THE PARAMETERS SPACE OF THE SPIN-ORBIT PROBLEM I. NORMALLY HYPERBOLIC INVARIANT CIRCLES

JESSICA ELISA MASSETTI

Abstract. In this paper we start a global study of the parameter space (dissipation, perturbation, frequency) of the dissipative spin-orbit problem in Celestial Mechanics with the aim of delimiting regions where the dynamics, or at least some of its important features, is determined. This is done via a study of the corresponding family of time 2π-maps. In the same spirit as Chenciner in [1], we are at first interested in delimiting regions where the normal hyperbolicity is sufficiently important to guarantee the persistence of an invariant attractive (resp. repulsive) circle under perturbation. As a tool, we use an analogue for diffeomorphisms in this family of Rüssmann's translated curve theorem in analytic category.

1. Introduction

This article constitutes the first part of a study of the parameters space of the spin-orbit problem in Celestial Mechanics.

In 1985 A. Chenciner started an analysis of the dynamical properties of generic 2-parameter families of germs of diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$ which unfold an elliptic fixed point. In [1–3], he showed that along a certain curve Γ in the space of parameters, we find all the complexity that the dynamics of a germ of generic area preserving diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$ presents, in the neighborhood of an elliptic fixed point.

In the same spirit of A. Chenciner in [1], the present paper starts from two results proven in [9, Theorem 7.1, Corollary 7.2] entailing the existence of a Cantor set of curves in the plane dissipation/frequency of the spin-orbit problem, corresponding to those values of parameters for which is proven the existence of an invariant attractive (resp. repulsive) torus, for any value of admissible perturbation.

The aim of this work is to "thicken" these curves and prove that in some well chosen regions containing them, the persistence of invariant attractive (resp. repulsive) tori is again guaranteed.

Let us be more precise and start to recall the spin-orbit problem as well as the concerned results.

Astronomy and Dynamical Systems, IMCCE (UMR 8028) - Observatoire de Paris, 77 Av. Denfert Rochereau 75014 Paris, France
e-mail: jessica.massetti@obspm.fr
Tel.: +33 140512024
Fax: +33 140512055
Université Paris-Dauphine, CEREMADE, Place du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France.

We consider an ellipsoidal satellite orbiting around a planet on a given Keplerian elliptic orbit, assuming that its spin axis is perpendicular to the orbital plane. The real valued equation describing the rotation dynamics of a satellite in spin-orbit resonance[1], taking into account non conservative effects due to the non elastic internal structure of the body, is

$$\ddot{\theta} + \eta(\dot{\theta} - \nu) + \varepsilon \partial_\theta f(\theta, t) = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

In the equation $\eta\dot{\theta}$, with $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^+$, is a friction term due to the non-elastic response of the internal structure, $\varepsilon f(\theta, t)$ (f real analytic in $(\theta, t) \in \mathbb{T}^2$) is the perturbation-potential function where $\varepsilon = \frac{B-A}{C}$ (A, B, C being the principal axes of inertia of the body) "measures" how much oblate the satellite is. The term $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ is an external free parameter representing the proper frequency of the attractor of the dynamics when $\varepsilon = 0$. Look at [4,8] for instance to see how this equation is recovered.



FIGURE 1. The spin-orbit problem

Introducing a frequency $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following Diophantine condition for some $\gamma, \tau > 0$

$$|k\alpha - l| \geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^\tau} \quad \forall (k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z},$$

we see that the vector field corresponding to (1.1) in the coordinates $(\theta, r = \dot{\theta} - \alpha)$ reads

$$v = \begin{cases} \dot{\theta} = \alpha + r \\ \dot{r} = -\eta r + \eta(\nu - \alpha) - \varepsilon \partial_\theta f(\theta, t). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Evidently, when $\varepsilon = 0$, $\mathrm{T}_0 = \mathbb{T} \times \{r = 0\}$ is an invariant quasi-periodic torus for v provided that $\nu = \alpha$.

In [9, corollary 7.1] we proved that if the perturbation is small enough, there exist a unique diffeomorphism (close to the identity) and a unique translation parameter (close to 0) such that v can be written (in new coordinates) in the following normal form

$$v = \begin{cases} \dot{\theta} = \alpha + O(r) \\ \dot{r} = -\eta r + b + O(r^2), \end{cases}$$

[1]A satellite is said to be in $n : k$ spin-orbit resonance when it rotates n about it spin-axis while revolving exaclty k times around its planet (or star).

$b \in \mathbb{R}$ being a translation function of the form $b = \nu - \alpha + O(\varepsilon^2)$ and $O(r^k)$, $k \geq 1$, terms that may depend on the angle but that vanish when $r = 0$.
The torus $r = 0$ is translated by b by the flow of v.
In a second step (see [9, Theorem 7.1]), one achieve in eliminating the translation b by a unique choice of the free frequency ν, thus proving the existence of an invariant torus $\mathbb{T} \times \{r = 0\}$ for the perturbed system (1.2). Actually b is proven to be smooth with respect to ν, η and analytic in ε, and the bound on admissible perturbations ε uniform with respect to η and ν.
A perturbation ε being fixed, for every α Diophantine, the equation $b = 0$ provides a curve of parameters for those values of which v has an invariant torus of frequency α (see theorem 7.2 and corollary 7.2 in [9])[2]. More precisely, we recall here the statement of such a result.

Corollary 1.1 (A curve of normally hyperbolic tori)**.** *Fixing α Diophantine and ε sufficiently small, there exists a unique analytic curve C_α in the plane (η, ν) of the form $\nu = \alpha + O(\varepsilon^2)$, along which the translation $b(\nu, \alpha, \eta, \varepsilon)$ "à la Rüssmann" vanishes, so that the perturbed system* (1.2) *possesses an invariant torus carrying quasi-periodic motion of frequency α. This torus is attractive (resp. repulsive) if $\eta > 0$ (resp. $\eta < 0$).*



FIGURE 2. The Cantor set of curves on the plane $\varepsilon = const$, whose points correspond to an attractive/repulsive invariant torus

The aim of this work is to understand what happens for values of parameters (η, ν) in the complement of the Cantor set of curves C_α.
Our study starts from the general solution of (1.2) when $\varepsilon = 0$

$$\begin{cases} \theta(t) = \theta(0) + \nu t + [r(0) - (\nu - \alpha)]\frac{1-e^{-\eta t}}{\eta}, \\ r(t) = r(0) + (e^{-\eta t} - 1)[r(0) - (\nu - \alpha)]. \end{cases}$$

The period of the perturbation being 2π, we are interested in the map

$$P(\theta(0), r(0)) = (\theta(2\pi), r(2\pi)). \tag{1.3}$$

The circle $r = r(0)$ is "translated" by the quantity

$$\tau = r(2\pi) - r(0) = (e^{-2\pi\eta} - 1)(r(0) - (\nu - \alpha))$$

[2]These results are part of the Ph.D thesis [10] of the present author; they are referenced in it as corollary 3.2.1, theorem 3.2 and corollary 3.2.2. The work is available at `http://dynamical-systems.eu/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/Tesi.pdf`

and "rotated" by the angle

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(2\pi) - \theta(0) &= 2\pi\nu + [r(0) - (\nu - \alpha)]\frac{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta} \\ &= 2\pi\nu - \frac{\tau}{\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the unique circle which is rotated by an angle $2\pi\alpha$ is the one with radius

$$r_\alpha = (\nu - \alpha)\left[1 + \frac{2\pi\eta}{e^{-2\pi\eta} - 1}\right];$$

this circle is translated by the quantity

$$\tau_\alpha = 2\pi\eta(\nu - \alpha).$$

The center of our interest will hence be the map

$$P(\theta, r) = \left(\theta + 2\pi\nu + \frac{(1 - 2^{-2\pi\eta})}{\eta}(r - (\nu - \alpha)), (\nu - \alpha) + e^{-2\pi\eta}(r - (\nu - \alpha))\right)$$

and its perturbation.

At first, we localize our study in a neighborhood of the invariant circle of rotation number $2\pi\nu$ and prove that for high enough values of the dissipation η, this circle persists under the perturbation, no matter what $2\pi\nu$ is. It results a first region where the normal hyperbolicity prevails (see theorem 1).

Then, adapting Rüssmann's translated curve theorem to this context, we perform a second localization (see section 3), and use all the strength of the Diophantine properties of α to put the perturbation Q of P in a meaningful normal form. It is then possible to identify a new region in which the normal hyperbolicity is strong enough to imply the existence of an invariant normally hyperbolic circle (see section 3, theorem 2).

In the appendix, we prove an analogue of Moser's normal form theorem for vector fields (see [10, 12]) for diffeomorphisms of the annulus and deduce Rüssman's translated curve theorem [13] as a consequence of this normal form result. Moreover, applying Rüssmann's translated curve theorem to perturbations of P, it is still possible to show the existence of curves along which the translation vanishes, this guaranteeing the existence of invariant quasi-periodic circles for generic analytic perturbations Q of P, for values of η sufficiently large with respect to the perturbation.

2. Invariant circles of arbitrary rotation number

Corollary 1.1 guarantees the existence, in a plane $\varepsilon = const.$ in the space (η, ν, ε), of a Cantor set of curves

$$C_\alpha := b(\nu(\eta, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$$

along which the invariant attractive torus with Diophantine frequency α persists under perturbation. We recall that all along C_α the perturbed vector field v can

be written in the form

$$v = (\alpha + O(\tilde{r}), -\eta\tilde{r} + O(\tilde{r}^2)),$$

showing that $\eta = 0$ is the only value of transition between the attractive and the repulsive regime of the invariant torus.

2.1. **The strength of dissipation: graph transform.** The map P defined by (1.3) is a global diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$. After the coordinate change $(\theta, r) \mapsto (\theta, r - (\nu - \alpha) = \rho)$, it reads

$$P(\theta, \rho) = (\theta + 2\pi\nu + \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\rho, \rho e^{-2\pi\eta})$$

and leaves invariant the circle $\rho = 0$.
Considering

$$Q(\theta, \rho) = (\theta + 2\pi\nu + \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\rho + \varepsilon f(\theta, r), \rho e^{-2\pi\eta} + \varepsilon g(\theta, r)),$$

f and g being two real analytic functions in their arguments, we show that the normal hyperbolicity of the invariant circle implies its persistence under perturbations of size ε, provided it is strong enough with respect to ε.

Theorem. *If $\eta >> \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, Q possesses a normally hyperbolic invariant circle.*

The proof is decomposed into some lemmata: the key point is to look for the invariant curve as the fixed point of a "graph transform" on an opportune functional space; the dissipation makes the graph transform a contraction.

We start considering the compact $\mathbb{T} \times [-\rho_0, \rho_0]$ centered at $\rho = 0$ in $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ and a Lipschitz map $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \to [-\rho_0, \rho_0]$, $\theta \mapsto \varphi(\theta)$, with $\mathrm{Lip}\,\varphi \leq k$. We will call Lip_k the set of Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant less than or equal to k.
Let $\mathrm{Gr}\,\varphi = \{(\theta, \varphi(\theta)) \in \mathbb{T} \times [-\rho_0, \rho_0]\}$ be the graph of φ. For convenience, we have supposed that Q is defined everywhere, hence the composition $Q(\mathrm{Gr}\,\varphi)$ makes sense.
We note $Q(\theta, r) = (\Theta, R)$. We endow functional spaces with the sup-norm $|\cdot|$, and define, for $z \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$, $|z| := \max(|\pi_1(z)|, |\pi_2(z)|)$, where π_1 and π_2 are the projections on the first and second coordinate.

The components of $Q(\theta, \varphi(\theta))$ are:

$$\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi)(\theta) = \theta + 2\pi\nu + \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\varphi(\theta) + \varepsilon f(\theta, \varphi(\theta))$$
$$R \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi)(\theta) = \varphi(\theta)e^{-2\pi\eta} + \varepsilon g(\theta, \varphi(\theta)).$$

We define as usual the "graph transform" $\Gamma : \varphi \mapsto \Gamma\varphi$ by:

$$\Gamma\varphi : \theta \mapsto R \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi) \circ [\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi)]^{-1}(\theta). \tag{2.1}$$

The graph of $\Gamma\varphi$ is the image by Q of the graph of φ: $Q(\mathrm{Gr}\,\varphi) = \mathrm{Gr}(\Gamma\varphi)$.
Since $\rho = 0$ is the only invariant curve of P, we hope to find a unique invariant curve of Q as the fixed point of Γ.
The "graph transform" is a standard tool for proving the existence of invariant normally hyperbolic objects (see [15] for instance).



FIGURE 3. How the graph transform acts

We look for a class of Lipschitz functions Lip_k such that Γ defines a contraction of Lip_k in the C^0 metric. Although we are interested in small values of $k > 0$ (ε being small, we do not expect the invariant curve to be in a class of functions with big variations) we will need k as well as η to be larger than ε. We will try to realize this for $1 >> \eta, k, \varepsilon$, since if η is in the vicinity of 1, the persistence of the invariant circle is very easily shown.

We give some technical lemmata in order to make the proof easier to read.
Since f and g are real analytic on $\mathbb{T} \times [-\rho_0, \rho_0]$, they are Lipshitz.
First of all we have to guarantee the invertibility of $\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi) = \mathrm{id} + u$.

Lemma 2.1. *For every positive η, provided ε is sufficiently small, $\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi)$ is invertible.*

Proof. If u is a contraction, $\mathrm{id} + u$ is invertible with $\mathrm{Lip}(\mathrm{id} + u)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mathrm{Lip}\, u}$.
Using the definition of u and f being analytic, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(\theta_1) - u(\theta_2)| &\leq \mathrm{Lip}\, \varphi \frac{(1 - e^{-2\pi\eta})}{\eta} |\theta_1 - \theta_2| + \varepsilon A_f |(\theta_1, \varphi(\theta_1)) - (\theta_2, \varphi(\theta_2))| \\ &\leq (2\pi k + \varepsilon A_f (1 + k)) |\theta_1 - \theta_2|, \end{aligned}$$

with $A_f = \sup(|D_\theta f|, |D_r f|)$. Since $\varepsilon, k << 1$, $\mathrm{Lip}\, u < 1$. □

Lemma 2.2. *The functions Θ and R are Lipschitz on $\mathbb{T} \times [-\rho_0, \rho_0]$.*

Proof. It easily follows from the expression of Q. Let z_1 and z_2 in $\mathbb{T} \times [-\rho_0, \rho_0]$, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} |R(z_1) - R(z_2)| &\leq e^{-2\pi\eta} |z_1 - z_2| + \varepsilon A_g |z_1 - z_2| \\ &\leq (e^{-2\pi\eta} + \varepsilon A_g) |z_1 - z_2| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\Theta(z_1) - \Theta(z_2)| \leq (1 + \frac{(1 - e^{-2\pi\eta})}{\eta} + \varepsilon A_f) |z_1 - z_2|,$$

with $A_g = \sup(|D_\theta g|, |D_r g|)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *The graph transform* Γ *is well defined from* Lip_k *to itself, where* k *satisfies* $\varepsilon/\eta < k << \eta << 1$.

Proof. From the definition of the graph transform and the previous lemmata, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\Gamma\varphi(\theta_1) - \Gamma\varphi(\theta_2)| &\leq \frac{\mathrm{Lip}\, R \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi)}{1 - \mathrm{Lip}\, u}|\theta_1 - \theta_2| \\ &\leq \frac{ke^{-2\pi\eta} + \varepsilon A_g(1+k)}{1 - \left[\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\, k + \varepsilon A_f(1+k)\right]}|\theta_1 - \theta_2|.\end{aligned}$$

We want to find conditions on η and k, such that $\varepsilon << 1$ being fixed, Γ is well defined in Lip_k; we must satisfy

$$ke^{-2\pi\eta} + \varepsilon A_g(1+k) \leq k\left\{1 - \left[\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\, k + \varepsilon A_f(1+k)\right]\right\},$$

hence

$$k\left\{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta} - \left[\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\, k + \varepsilon A_f(1+k)\right]\right\} \geq \varepsilon A_g(1+k).$$

It suffices to choose k so that

$$1 >> \eta >> k \quad \text{with} \quad k > \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}. \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly, the larger η is, the easier it is to realize the inequality. □

The following technical lemma will be the key of the final proof.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $z = (\theta, \rho)$ *be a point in* $\mathbb{T}\times[-\rho_0, \rho_0]$ *and let* η, k, ε *satisfy condition* (2.2). *The following inequality holds for every* $\varphi \in \mathrm{Lip}_k$*:*

$$|R(\theta,\rho) - \Gamma\varphi \circ \Theta(\theta,\rho)| \leq C|\rho - \varphi(\theta)|,$$

C *being a constant smaller than* 1.

Proof. The following chain of inequalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned}|R(\theta,\rho) - \Gamma\varphi \circ \Theta(\theta,\rho)| &\leq |R(\theta,\rho) - R(\theta,\varphi(\theta))| + |R(\theta,\varphi(\theta)) - \Gamma\varphi \circ \Theta(\theta,\rho)| \\ &\leq \mathrm{Lip}\, R\, |(\theta,\rho) - (\theta,\varphi(\theta))| + \mathrm{Lip}\, \Gamma\varphi |\Theta(\theta,\varphi(\theta)) - \Theta(\theta,\rho)|,\end{aligned}$$

from the definition of Γ. We observe that

$$|\Theta(\theta,\varphi(\theta)) - \Theta(\theta,\rho)| \leq (\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta} + \varepsilon A_f)|\varphi(\theta) - \rho| \leq (2\pi + \varepsilon A_f)\, |\varphi(\theta) - \rho|,$$

hence

$$|R(\theta,\rho) - \Gamma\varphi \circ \Theta(\theta,\rho)| \leq [\mathrm{Lip}\, R + \mathrm{Lip}\, \Gamma\varphi\, (2\pi + \varepsilon A_f)]|\varphi(\theta) - \rho|,$$

and this chain of inequalities holds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Lip}\, R + \mathrm{Lip}\, \Gamma\varphi\, (2\pi + \varepsilon A_f) &\leq \mathrm{Lip}\, R + k(2\pi + \varepsilon A_f) \\ &\leq e^{-2\pi\eta} + \varepsilon A_g + k2\pi + \varepsilon k A_f \\ &= 1 - 2\pi\eta + O(\eta^2) + k2\pi + \varepsilon A_g + \varepsilon k A_f < 1\end{aligned}$$

since (2.2) holds and, consequently, $\eta >> \varepsilon, k$ and $\eta >> k\varepsilon$. □

We are now ready to state and prove the following

Theorem 1 (Existence of an invariant circle for Q)**.** *If $\eta >> \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, the map Q possesses a unique invariant circle in the vicinity of $C_0 = \mathbb{T} \times \{\rho = 0\}$.*

Proof. We want to show that Γ defines a contraction in the space Lip_k: indeed Lip_k is a closed subspace of the Banach space $C^0(\mathbb{T}, [-\rho_0, \rho_0])$, hence complete. The standard fixed point theorem then applies once we show that Γ is a contraction.
Let z be a point of $\mathbb{T}$, for every φ_1, φ_2 in Lip_k we want to bound

$$|\Gamma\varphi_1(z) - \Gamma\varphi_2(z)|.$$

The trick is to introduce the following point in $\mathbb{T} \times [-\rho_0, \rho_0]$,

$$(\theta, \rho) = \big([\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_1)]^{-1}(z), \varphi_1([\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_1)]^{-1})(z)\big)$$

and remark the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma\varphi_2 \circ \Theta(\theta, \rho) &= \Gamma\varphi_2\big(\Theta\big([\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_1)]^{-1}(z)\,,\, \varphi_1([\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_1)]^{-1})(z)\big)\big) \\ &= R \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_2) \circ [\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_2)]^{-1} \circ [\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_1)][\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_1)]^{-1}(z) \\ &= R \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_2) \circ [\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_2)]^{-1}(z) = \Gamma\varphi_2(z).\end{aligned}$$

We hence apply lemma 2.4 to $\varphi = \varphi_1$ at the point (θ, ρ) previously introduced. We have

$$|\Gamma\varphi_1(z) - \Gamma\varphi_2(z)| \leq C\,\big|\varphi_1 \circ [\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_1)]^{-1}(z) - \varphi_2 \circ [\Theta \circ (\mathrm{id}, \varphi_1)]^{-1}(z)\big|.$$

Taking the supremum for all z and remembering that $C < 1$, concludes the proof of the theorem.

□

3. Second localization

In the last section we proved that if η is sufficiently strong, the existence of a unique invariant attractive (resp. repulsive, for negative η) circle is guaranteed. We now consider the part of the (η, ν)-plane defined by $|\eta| << 1$, in which the graph transform does not work. In the following we show that it is still possible to find a region where one can put Q into a suitable normal form and deduce, again, the existence of an attracting (resp. repulsive) invariant circle.
In this section, with the help of Rüssmann's theorem we perform a coordinate change $(\theta, \rho) \mapsto (\xi, x)$ on Q, that allow us to see Q as the composition of a diffeomorphism leaving the circle $x = 0$ invariant up to a translation in the r-direction.

In section 2.1 we have localized our study to the circle $\rho = 0$; we now want to focus on the translated one with a given Diophantine rotation $2\pi\alpha$.
To do so, note that the translation function $\tau = 2\pi\eta(\nu - \alpha)$ defines a family of hyperbolas in the (η, ν)-plane.
In the terms of (τ, η), P becomes

$$P(\theta, \rho) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + \frac{\tau}{\eta} + \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\,\rho,\ \rho e^{-2\pi\eta}); \tag{3.1}$$

performing the change of variables

$$(\theta,\rho)\mapsto\left(\theta,\rho-\frac{2\pi\eta(\nu-\alpha)}{e^{-2\pi\eta}-1}=\tilde{\rho}\right),$$

we get

$$P(\theta,\tilde{\rho})=\left(\theta+2\pi\alpha+\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\,\tilde{\rho},\ \tilde{\rho}e^{-2\pi\eta}+\tau\right).$$

Considering the corresponding perturbed diffeomorphism

$$Q(\theta,\tilde{\rho})=\left(\theta+2\pi\alpha+\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\,\tilde{\rho}+\varepsilon f(\theta,\tilde{\rho}),\ \tilde{\rho}e^{-2\pi\eta}+\tau+\varepsilon g(\theta,\tilde{\rho})\right),$$

we want to see if there exists an invariant circle even for values of η smaller that the ones given by theorem 1.

In appendix A.3, we deduce an analogue of Rüssman's theorem for real analytic diffeomorphism (theorem 4), as a consequence of a more general normal form theorem for diffeomorphisms of the annulus (theorem 3 and proposition A.3). Theorem 4 hence guarantees, if $2\pi\alpha$ is Diophantine, if P has torsion (in this case $\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\to 2\pi$, when $\eta\to 0$) and if the perturbation is small enough, the existence of an analytic function $\gamma:\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{R}$, a diffeomorphism of the torus h close to the identity and $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

- the image of the curve $\tilde{\rho}=\gamma(\theta)$ via Q, is the "translated" curve of equation $\tilde{\rho}=\lambda+\gamma(\theta)$
- the restriction of Q to $\mathrm{Gr}\,\gamma$ is conjugated to the rotation $R_{2\pi\alpha}:\theta\mapsto\theta+2\pi\alpha$.

As a byproduct, always in the annex, we show that if η is larger than $M\varepsilon$, M a real positive constant, it is still possible to eliminate the translation λ, along some curve of parameters.

Hence, in the conditions of applicability of Rüssmann's theorem, the local diffeomorphism

$$G:(\theta,\tilde{\rho})\mapsto\big(h^{-1}(\theta)=\xi,\ \tilde{\rho}-\gamma(\theta)=x\big),$$

sends $\tilde{\rho}=\gamma(\theta)$ to $x=0$ and is such that $G\circ Q\circ G^{-1}$ has $x=0$ as a translated curve on which the dynamics is the rotation of angle $2\pi\alpha$. We have:

$$\begin{cases} Q(\xi,x)=(\xi',x')\\ \xi'=h^{-1}\Big(h(\xi+2\pi\alpha)+\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\,x+\varepsilon\sum_{j=1}\frac{1}{j!}\frac{\partial^j f}{\partial\tilde{\rho}^k}(\theta,\gamma(\theta))\,x^j\Big),\\ x'=\lambda+xe^{-2\pi\eta}+\gamma(h(\xi+2\pi\alpha))-\gamma(h(\xi+2\pi\alpha)+\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}x+O(|x|))+\\ +\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}\frac{1}{i!}\frac{\partial^i g}{\partial\tilde{\rho}^i}(\theta,\gamma(\theta))x^i, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$Q(\xi,x)=(\xi+2\pi\alpha+\sum_i A_i(\xi)x^i,\ \lambda+\sum_i B_i(\xi)x^i),\tag{3.2}$$

where

- $B_1(\theta)=e^{-2\pi\eta}-D\gamma(h(\xi+2\pi\alpha))\cdot(\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}+\varepsilon\frac{\partial f}{\partial\tilde{\rho}}(\theta,\gamma(\theta)))+\varepsilon\frac{\partial g}{\partial\tilde{\rho}}(\theta,\gamma(\theta))$, hence it is of order $1+O(\varepsilon)$,

- $B_i(\theta)$, for $i > 1$, is the coefficient of the order-i term in x from the development of terms as

$$-\frac{1}{i!}D^i\gamma(h(\xi+2\pi\alpha))\cdot(\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\,x+\varepsilon\sum_{j=1}\frac{1}{j!}\frac{\partial^j f}{\partial\tilde{\rho}^j}(\theta,\gamma(\theta))\,x^j)^i+\varepsilon\frac{1}{i!}\frac{\partial^i g}{\partial\tilde{\rho}^i}(\theta,\gamma(\theta)),$$

  and has order $O(\varepsilon)$.
- $A_i(\theta)$ is the order-i term coming from

$$\frac{1}{i!}D^i h^{-1}(h(\xi+2\pi\alpha))\cdot(\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\,x+\varepsilon\sum_{j=1}\frac{1}{j!}\frac{\partial^j f}{\partial\tilde{\rho}^j}(\theta,\gamma(\theta))\,x^j)^i.$$

In particular $A_i(\theta)$ is of order $1+O(\varepsilon)$ for $i=1$ and $O(\varepsilon)$ otherwise.

We noted $\theta = h(\xi)$ and omitted indices indicating the smooth dependence on α, η and τ.

This change of coordinates actually permits us to see Q as the composition of a map

$$\mathcal{I}_{\eta,\tau} = (\xi + 2\pi\alpha + \sum_i A_i(\xi)x^i,\ \sum_i B_i(\xi)x^i),$$

leaving the circle $x = 0$ invariant, with a translation T_λ in the normal direction. Remark that when $\varepsilon = 0$, we have $h = \mathrm{id}$, $\gamma = 0$ and $\lambda = \tau$, thus Q would read as before the perturbation; in addition even if we don't dispose of the explicit form of the translation function λ, the implicit function theorem tells us that $\lambda = \lambda(\tau) = \tau + O(\varepsilon)$.

Theorem 2. *Whenever α is Diophantine, it is possible write Q as the perturbation of a diffeomorphism of the form:*

$$N(\Theta, R) = (\Theta + 2\pi\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\bar{\alpha}_i R^i, \lambda(\tau,\varepsilon) + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\bar{\beta}_i\,R^i), \tag{3.3}$$

$\bar{\alpha}_i$ and $\bar{\beta}_i$ being constants.
In particular, for values of the parameters belonging to the regions defined by $\eta >> \varepsilon$ and $|\tau| \leq \eta^2$, Q possesses an invariant attractive quasi-periodic circle.

In the following section we prove this result.

3.1. **Towards another normal form.** The aim of this section and the following one is to write Q in a form that entails the existence of an invariant circle and to delimit regions in the space of parameters in which the normal hyperbolicity is still strong enough to guarantee its persistence.

If $\lambda \neq 0$, it seems impossible to write Q in a form as gentle as $\mathcal{I}_{\eta,\tau}$. The idea is to use all the strength of the translation λ: we perform coordinates changes that push the dependence on the angles as far as possible, let say up to a certain order k, and eventually remark that **all the dependence on the angles of the remaining terms will cancel out with λ**.

Let us try to be more precise.

In the following we do an extensive use of the Diophantine property of α, repeatedly

applying lemma A.1.
Here we say that α is Diophantine if, for $\gamma, \tau > 0$,

$$|k\alpha - l| \geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^\tau} \quad \forall (k,l) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.4}$$

Using the fact that $B_1(\xi)$ is close to 1, we see that the difference equation

$$\log B_1(\xi) + \log X(\xi) - \log X(\xi + 2\pi\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log B_1(\xi)\, d\xi \tag{3.5}$$

then has a unique analytic solution $X(\xi)$ close to 1.
Hence, the coordinates change

$$(\xi, x) \mapsto (\xi, \frac{x}{X(\xi)} = y) \tag{3.6}$$

transforms Q into a map of the form

$$\begin{cases} Q(\xi, y) = (\xi', y') \\ \xi' = \xi + 2\pi\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i(\xi) y^i + O(\varepsilon |y|^{k+1}) \\ y' = \lambda + \bar{\beta}_1\, y + \sum_{i=2}^{k} \beta_i(\xi) y^i + O(\varepsilon |y|^{k+1}) + O(\varepsilon|\lambda||y|) + O(\varepsilon|\lambda|), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\bar{\beta}_1 = \exp \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log B_1(\xi)\, d\xi = 1 - 2\pi\eta + 2\pi^2\eta^2 + \varepsilon M_1 + \varepsilon^2 M_2 + O(\varepsilon\eta) + O(\varepsilon^3, \eta^3), \tag{3.7}$$

M_i being constants coming from the average of the order-ε^i terms in the Taylor's expansion of $\log B_1(\xi)$.
Just as for (3.5), there is a unique analytic solution $X^{(2)}(\xi)$, smoothly depending on the parameters - through $\bar{\beta}_1$ -, of the equation

$$\bar{\beta}_1^2 X^{(2)}(\xi + 2\pi\alpha) - \bar{\beta}_1 X^{(2)}(\xi) + \beta_2(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \beta_2(\xi)\, d\xi = \bar{\beta}_2. \tag{3.8}$$

The change of variables

$$(\xi, y) \mapsto (\xi, y + X^{(2)}(\xi)\, y^2) \tag{3.9}$$

then transforms the non constant coefficient $\beta_2(\xi)$ into its average $\bar{\beta}_2$.
Generalizing, by composing the following changes of variables

$$\begin{cases} (\xi, y) \mapsto (\xi, y + X^{(i)}(\xi)\, y^i) \quad i = 2, \cdots, k \\ \bar{\beta}_1^i\, X^{(i)}(\xi + 2\pi\alpha) - \bar{\beta}_1\, X^{(i)}(\xi) + \beta_i(\xi) = \bar{\beta}_i \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (\xi, y) \mapsto (\xi + Z^{(i)}(\xi)\, y^i, y) \quad i = 1, \cdots, k \\ \bar{\beta}_1^i\, Z^{(i)}(\xi + 2\pi\alpha) - Z^{(i)}(\xi) + \alpha_i(\xi) = \bar{\alpha}_i \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

we are able to put Q in the form

$$\begin{cases} Q(\Theta, R) = (\Theta', R') \\ \Theta' = \Theta + 2\pi\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{\alpha}_i R^i + O(\varepsilon |R|^{k+1}) + O(|\lambda|\varepsilon) \\ R' = \lambda + \bar{\beta}_1\, R + \sum_{i=2}^{k} \bar{\beta}_i\, R^i + O(\varepsilon |R|^{k+1}) + O(|\lambda|\varepsilon), \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

where $\bar\alpha_1$ and $\bar\beta_1$ are of order $1+O(\varepsilon)$ while $\bar\alpha_i, \bar\beta_i$ for $i>1$, of order $O(\varepsilon)$.
We thus have been able to confine the angle's dependency entirely in the terms $O(\cdots)$; in particular the terms $O(|\lambda|\varepsilon)$ vanish when no translation occurs.

3.2. **Normally hyperbolic invariant circle, again.** Starting from (3.12) we show that Q possesses an invariant normally hyperbolic attractive (resp. repulsive) circle, provided that the parameters (η,ν,ε) lie in some delimited regions in the space.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\eta >> \varepsilon$ and $|\tau| \le \eta^2$, the diffeomorphism Q possesses an invariant attractive (resp. repulsive) circle.*

This proposition is an improvement (with respect to the previous result, valid for all kind of frequency) in terms of the minimal admissible size of η that guarantees a normally hyperbolic regime.
The diffeomorphism Q is a perturbation of the normal form

$$N_{\eta,\tau}(\Theta,R) = (\Theta + 2\pi\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar\alpha_i R^i, \lambda(\tau,\varepsilon) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar\beta_i\, R^i), \tag{3.13}$$

which possesses an invariant circle $R=R_0$, solution of $R = \lambda + \sum_{k=1} \bar\beta_i R^i$.
Using the implicit function theorem and the structure of the terms $\bar\beta_1$ and $\bar\beta_2$, we have

$$R_0 = \frac{-\lambda}{\bar\beta_1 - 1} + O(\frac{|\lambda|^2 \left|\bar\beta_2\right|}{\left|\bar\beta_1 - 1\right|^3}) = R_- + O(\varepsilon \frac{|R_-|^2}{\left|\bar\beta_1 - 1\right|}), \tag{3.14}$$

where R_- reads more explicitly as

$$R_- = \frac{-\tau + O(\varepsilon)}{-2\pi\eta + \varepsilon M + O(\varepsilon\eta) + O(\eta^2,\varepsilon^2)}.$$

The goal is now to determine some region in the space of parameters in which it is still possible to apply the graph transform method to prove the existence of a normally hyperbolic invariant circle close to R_0.
In order to do so, we perform a last change of variables:

$$(\Theta, R) \mapsto (\Theta, R - R_0 = \tilde R).$$

Now centered at R_0, the diffeomorphism Q reads

$$\begin{cases} Q(\Theta,\tilde R) = (\Theta', \tilde R') \\ \Theta' = \Theta + 2\pi\alpha + \bar\alpha_1 R_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar\alpha_i \tilde R^i + O(\varepsilon|R_0|\left|\tilde R\right|) + O(\varepsilon\left|\tilde R\right|^{k+1}) + O(\varepsilon|R_0|^2) + O(\varepsilon|\lambda|) \\ \tilde R' = (\bar\beta_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} i\,\bar\beta_i\, R_0^{i-1})\tilde R + O(\varepsilon|R_0|\left|\tilde R\right|^2) + O(\varepsilon\left|\tilde R\right|^2) + O(\varepsilon|R_0|^2) + O(\varepsilon|\lambda|). \end{cases}$$

Now $\tilde R = 0$ is the invariant circle of the normal form, and the terms $O(\varepsilon|R_0|^2) + O(\varepsilon|\lambda|)$ represent perturbations.
To better see, let us write explicitly the order one term:

$$\tilde R' = (1 - 2\pi\eta + \varepsilon M_1 + O(\varepsilon\eta) + \sum_{i=2}^{k} i\,\bar\beta_i\, R_0^{i-1})\,\tilde R + O(\cdots) \tag{3.15}$$

In the region defined by

$$\begin{cases} \eta \geq \sqrt{2\pi}|\nu - \alpha|, \quad \text{hence} \quad |\tau| \leq \eta^2 \\ \eta >> \varepsilon, \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

the term $\bar{\beta}_2 R_0$ is of order $O(\varepsilon\eta) + \frac{O(\varepsilon^2)}{O(\eta)}$.
We remark in particular that each region of this type actually contains the curve C_α along which $\nu = \alpha + O(\varepsilon^2)$.
This point being crucial for the following, it calls for an important comment.
Up to now, we have made our calculations without making any hypothesis on εf and εg, which led us, following coordinates changes, to the expression in (3.15). For the spin-orbit problem, in [9] we proved the existence of a Cantor set of curves C_α, for which there exists a normally hyperbolic attractive (resp. repulsive, when $\eta < 0$) invariant torus provided the perturbation is small enough. In particular, the bound on the perturbation was uniform with respect to η (see [9, Remark 4.1]), meaning that *to every fixed value* of $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$, in the space (ε, η, ν) the plane $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$ contains the $C'_\alpha s$ of normally hyperbolic dynamics and that these curves are defined even for $|\eta|$ small enough, and passing through $\eta = 0$ change their dynamical regime. By normal hyperbolicity, we know a priori that in a thin cusp neighborhood along each of these curves a normally hyperbolic invariant circle persists (normally hyperbolicity is a stable property).
The regions we defined above enlarge the known domain of normal hyperbolicity which, up to now, we know to include values of $\eta >> \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Nevertheless, if we hope to draw these regions till $\eta = 0$, the terms $\varepsilon^i M_i$ constitute an obstruction to the normal hyperbolicity, which would be guaranteed if $(1 - 2\pi\eta)$ dominated over the rest.
Moreover, not even the first order term of the time-ε flow ϕ_v^ε of

$$v = \begin{cases} \dot{\theta} = \alpha + r \\ \dot{r} = -\eta r + \eta(\nu - \alpha) - \varepsilon f_\theta(\theta, t) \end{cases},$$

hints anything about the nullity of, at least, the first term εM, once we impose the only exploitable information we have: the corresponding flow is conformally symplectic, as the divergence of v is equal to the constant $-\eta$. Hence $\phi_v^{\varepsilon *} d\theta \wedge dr = e^{-\varepsilon\eta} d\theta \wedge dr$.
In addition, let us suppose that Q lives in the class of those flows for which $\varepsilon^i M_i = 0$. Even in this very special case, η won't be allowed to reach 0; the first term would be

$$\tilde{R}' = (1 - 2\pi\eta + \frac{O(\varepsilon^2)}{O(\eta)} + O(\varepsilon\eta))\tilde{R} + \cdots, \tag{3.17}$$

and if we want $1 - 2\pi\eta$ to dominate, η still has to satisfy $\eta >> \varepsilon$. Our regions would then stop at a certain point and cannot follow tightly the $C'_\alpha s$ till the end.



In the region defined by $|\tau| \leq \eta^2$ and $\eta >> \varepsilon$, R_0 is of order $O(\eta) + O(\varepsilon/\eta)$ and $O(\lambda) = O(\eta^2) + O(\varepsilon)$; our system reads

$$\begin{cases} Q(\Theta, \tilde{R}) = (\Theta', \tilde{R}') \\ \Theta' = \Theta + 2\pi\alpha + \bar{\alpha}_1 R_0 + (C + O(\varepsilon))\tilde{R} + O(\varepsilon\left|\tilde{R}\right|^2) + O(\varepsilon|R_0|^2) + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon\eta^2) \\ \tilde{R}' = (1 - 2\pi\eta + O(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon\eta))\tilde{R} + O(\varepsilon\left|\tilde{R}\right|^2) + O(\varepsilon|R_0|^2) + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon\eta^2), \end{cases}$$

having denoted by C the twist $\frac{1-e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}$. In particular the term $O(\varepsilon|R_0|^2)$ is constant and much smaller than ε, in the region considered.
Applying the "graph transform" method in the annulus $\left|\tilde{R}\right| \leq 1$ centered at $\tilde{R} = 0$, is now an easy matter. The preponderance of $1 - 2\pi\eta$ with respect to the reminder's terms in the regions considered, makes the procedure work and guarantee the existence of an attractive (resp. repulsive) circle in a neighborhood of R_0.



4. Conclusions

We conclude by summing up results presented up to now, which give a first "decoupage" of the parameters' space of the spin-orbit flow in terms of regions in which the existence of an attractive/repelling invariant circle is guaranteed.

Cantor set of curves. We start by recalling that the existence of the Cantor set of curves C_α in the plane (ν, η) for every fixed value of admissible ε is subordinated to the elimination of the translation function of the torus: the parameter b in [9, Corollary 7.1] in the case of vector fields, or in section A.11 in the case of

diffeomorphisms. This elimination, which takes place *for any* η for vector fields of the spin-orbit, it is not guaranteed for generic diffeomorphisms close to the spin-orbit unperturbed flow as we considered in the present paper (not knowing the precise feature of the spin-orbit flow). Still, in section A.3.5 of the Appendix, we prove the existence of a Cantor set of curves that exists up to values of η greater than a fixed admissible perturbation ε. Along the curves the existence of an invariant quasi-periodc Diophantine torus is guaranteed and the further study of "what happens between the curves" provided in this article apply.

Graph transforms 1&2**.** In the second section of this paper we gave a first rough region in which the existence of an invariant attractive/repelling curve is guaranteed *no matter what the rotation* $2\pi\alpha$ *is*, provided that the normal hyperbolicity (given by the dissipation term $e^{-2\pi\eta}r$) prevails over the perturbative terms: $\eta >> \sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

In the following, we performed a second localization and used all the Diophantine properties of the rotation number to apply Rüssmann's translated curve theorem and changes of coordinates that allowed to write the perturbed diffeomorphism in a meaningful form: we drew regions in which it is still possible to apply the graph transform technique to prove the existence of an invariant attractive/repelling torus: $\eta >> \varepsilon, |\tau| < \eta^2$.



FIGURE 4. Two situations: for the real spin-orbit flow curves reach the Hamiltonian axis, they stop at the order $O(\varepsilon)$ otherwise.

These conic regions contain the curves C_α where $\nu = \alpha + O(\varepsilon^2)$ in the actual spin-orbit time 2π-flow while $\nu = \alpha + O(\varepsilon)$ otherwise. In the case of the actual spin-orbit flow (the one corresponding to equation (1.1)) they reach the $\eta = 0$ axis. By stability of the normal hyperbolicity, we know a priori that in a thin cusp region along every C_α we can guarantee the persistence of invariant attractive/repelling curve. Unfortunately, our knowledge of C_α is not explicit enough to allow a quantitative description of this thin neighborhood. Alternative topological arguments such as Morse index theory or the Wazevsky theorem would still provide answers for values of η up to order ε, thus preventing us to say which region contains the other in the $O(\varepsilon)$-strip.

For generic perturbations the dynamics contained in this strip is expected to be very rich: in a further study the existence of Birkhoff attractors and Aubry-Mather sets is likely to be proven.



FIGURE 5. Graph transform improvement.

APPENDIX A. A NORMAL FORM THEOREM FOR DIFFEOMORPHISMS IN $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$

Here we prove a 2-dimensional discrete time analogue of Moser's normal form theorem in [12] and deduce Rüssmann's theorem as a particular case. An n-dimensional generalization of Rüssmann's translated curve result is also given.

We are interested in real analytic diffeomorphisms in $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ that, in the neighborhood of the circle $\mathrm{T}_0 = \mathbb{T} \times \{r = 0\}$, can be expressed as

$$Q(\theta, r) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + f(\theta, r), (1 + A) \cdot r + g(\theta, r)); \tag{A.1}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following Diophantine condition for $\gamma, \tau > 0$

$$|k\alpha - l| \geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^\tau} \quad \forall (k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}, \tag{A.2}$$

and A is a positive or negative real constant, f, g are real analytic functions. If $A \neq 0$, T_0 is a normally hyperbolic invariant circle of

$$P^0(\theta, r) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + O(r), (1 + A)r + O(r^2)), \tag{A.3}$$

of which Q represents a perturbation.
We will call V the set of germs of real analytic diffeomorphisms along $\mathrm{T}_0 \subset \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $U(\alpha, A)$ its affine subspace of germs along T_0 of real analytic diffeomorphism of the form (A.3).
We introduce the set of germs of real analytic isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} : G(\theta, r) = (\varphi(\theta), R_0(\theta) + R_1 \cdot r)\}, \tag{A.4}$$

φ being a diffeomorphism of the torus fixing the origin and R_0, R_1 real valued functions defined on $\mathbb{T}$.
Finally we consider the translation function

$$T_\lambda : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (\theta, r) \mapsto (\beta + \theta, b + (1 + B) \cdot r) = (\theta, r) + \lambda, \tag{A.5}$$

having denoted $\lambda = (\beta, b + B \cdot r)$.
We denote Λ the space of translations $\Lambda = \{\lambda = (\beta, b + Br),\ \beta, b, B \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Theorem 3. *Let α be Diophantine and $P^0 \in U(\alpha, A)$ be given. If Q is sufficiently close to P^0, there exist a unique $(G, P, \lambda) \in \mathcal{G} \times U(\alpha, A) \times \Lambda$, close to $(\mathrm{id}, P^0, 0)$, such that*

$$Q = T_\lambda \circ G \circ P \circ G^{-1}.$$

Whenever $\beta = 0 = B$, the curve $(\Theta, \gamma(\Theta))$, $\gamma = R_0 \circ \varphi^{-1}$, is translated by $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and the translated curve's dynamics is conjugated to the rotation $R_{2\pi\alpha}$. Rüssmann's theorem turns out to be a direct consequence (cf. section A.3).

The case of our interest will be when A is close to 0, as whenever the normal hyperbolicity gets large with respect to the perturbation, one can prove the actual persistence of T_0 via the method of the graph transform.

A.1. **Outline of the proof.** We will show that the operator

$$\phi : \mathcal{G} \times U(\alpha, A) \times \Lambda \to V, \quad (G, P, \lambda) \to T_\lambda \circ G \circ P \circ G^{-1}$$

is a local diffeomorphism in a neighborhood of $(\mathrm{id}, P^0, 0)$.
Although the difficulty to overcome in this proof is rather standard for conjugacy problems of this kind (proving the fast convergence of a Newton-like scheme), it relies on a relatively general inverse function theorem (theorem 7 of section C), following an alternative strategy with respect to the one proposed by Zehnder in [16]. However, both Zehnder's approach and ours rely on the fact that the fast convergence of the Newton' scheme is somewhat independent of the internal structure of the variables.
Let us proceed with introducing the functional setting.

A.2. **Complex extensions.** Let us extend the tori

$$\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{T}_0 = \mathbb{T} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R},$$

as

$$\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{T}_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$$

respectively, and consider the corresponding s-neighborhoods defined using ℓ^∞-balls (in the real normal bundle of the torus):

$$\mathbb{T}_s = \{\theta \in \mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C} :\ |\mathrm{Im}\,\theta| \le s\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{T}_s = \{(\theta, r) \in \mathrm{T}^n_\mathbb{C} :\ |(\mathrm{Im}\,\theta, r)| \le s\},$$

where $|(\mathrm{Im}\,\theta, r)| := \max(|\mathrm{Im}\,\theta|, |r|)$.

Let now $f : \mathrm{T}_s \to \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic, and consider its Fourier expansion $f(\theta, r) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} f_k(r)\, e^{i\,k\cdot\theta}$. In this context we introduce the so called "weighted norm":

$$|f|_s := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |f_k|\, e^{|k|s},$$

$|f_k| = \sup_{|r|<s} |f_k(r)|$. Whenever $f : \mathrm{T}_s \to \mathbb{C}^n$, $|f|_s = \max_{1\le j\le n}(|f_j|_s)$, f_j being the j-th component of $f(\theta, r)$.
It is a trivial fact that the classical sup-norm is bounded from above by the weighted norm:

$$\sup_{z\in\mathrm{T}_s} |f(z)| \le |f|_s$$

and that $|f|_s < +\infty$ whenever f is analytic on its domain, which necessarily contains some $\mathrm{T}_{s'}$ with $s' > s$. In addition, the following useful inequalities hold if f, g are analytic on $\mathrm{T}_{s'}$

$$|f|_s \le |f|_{s'} \text{ for } 0 < s < s',$$

and

$$|fg|_{s'} \le |f|_{s'} |g|_{s'}.$$

For more details about the weighted norm, see for example [11].
In general for complex extensions U_s and $V_{s'}$ of $\mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{R}$, we will denote $\mathcal{A}(U_s, V_{s'})$ the set of holomorphic functions from U_s to $V_{s'}$ and $\mathcal{A}(U_s)$, endowed with the s-weighted norm, the Banach space $\mathcal{A}(U_s, \mathbb{C})$.

Eventually, let E and F be two Banach spaces,

– We indicate contractions with a dot " $\cdot$ ", with the convention that if $l_1, \ldots, l_{k+p} \in E^*$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_p \in E$

$$(l_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes l_{k+p}) \cdot (x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_p) = l_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes l_k \langle l_{k+1}, x_1\rangle \ldots \langle l_{k+p}, x_p\rangle.$$

In particular, if $l \in E^*$, we simply note $l^n = l \otimes \ldots \otimes l$.

– If f is a differentiable map between two open sets of E and F, $f'(x)$ is considered as a linear map belonging to $F \otimes E^*$, $f'(x) : \zeta \mapsto f'(x)\cdot\zeta$; the corresponding norm will be the standard operator norm

$$|f'(x)| = \sup_{\zeta\in E, |\zeta|_E=1} |f'(x)\cdot\zeta|_F.$$

A.2.1. *Spaces of conjugacies.*

– We consider the set $\mathcal{G}_s^\sigma$ of germs of holomorphic diffeomorphisms on T_s such that

$$|\varphi - \mathrm{id}|_s \le \sigma$$

as well as

$$|R_0 + (R_1 - \mathrm{id})\cdot r|_s \le \sigma.$$

– We endow the tangent space at the identity $T_{\mathrm{id}}\mathcal{G}_s^\sigma$ with the norm

$$\left|\dot G\right|_s = \max(\left|\dot G_1\right|_s, \left|\dot G_2\right|_s)$$



FIGURE 6. Deformed complex domain

– Let $U_s(\alpha, A)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{T}_s, \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C})$ of those diffeomorphisms P of the form

$$P(\theta, r) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + O(r), (1 + A) \cdot r + O(r^2)).$$

We will indicate with p_i and P_i the coefficients of the order-i term in r in θ and r-directions respectively.

– If $G \in \mathcal{G}_s^\sigma$ and P is a diffeomorphism over $G(\mathrm{T}_s)$ we define the following deformed norm

$$|P|_{G,s} := |P \circ G|_s,$$

depending on G; this in order not to shrink artificially the domains of analyticity. The problem, in a smooth context, may be solved without changing the domain, by using plateau functions.

A.2.2. *The normal form operator.* Thanks to corollary B.1 the following operator

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \phi: & \mathcal{G}_{s+\sigma}^\sigma \times U_{s+\sigma}(\alpha, A) \times \Lambda & \to & \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{T}_s, \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}) \\ & (G, P, \lambda) & \mapsto & T_\lambda \circ G \circ P \circ G^{-1} \end{array} \tag{A.6}$$

is now well defined. It would be more appropriate to write $\phi_{s,\sigma}$ but, since these operators commute with source and target spaces, we will refer to them simply as ϕ. We will always assume that $0 < s < s + \sigma < 1$ and $\sigma < s$.

A.2.3. *Difference equation on the torus.*

Lemma A.1. *Let α be Diophantine in the sense of* (A.2)*, $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_{s+\sigma})$ and let some constants $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ be given. There exist a unique $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}_s)$ of zero average and a unique $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the following is satisfied*

$$\lambda + af(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) - bf(\theta) = g(\theta), \quad \lambda = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g. \tag{A.7}$$

In particular f satisfies

$$|f|_s \leq \frac{C}{\gamma\sigma^{\tau+1}} |g|_{s+\sigma},$$

C being a constant depending on τ.

Proof. Developing in Fourier series one has

$$\lambda + \sum_k (a\, e^{i2\pi\, k\, \alpha} - b) f_k e^{ik\theta} = \sum_k g_k e^{i\, k\theta};$$

we get $\lambda = g_0 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g$ and

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{g_k}{a\, e^{i2\pi\, k\, \alpha} - b} e^{i\, k\theta}.$$

Remark that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|a\,e^{i2\pi\,k\,\alpha}-b\right|^2&=(a-b)^2\cos^2\frac{2\pi k\alpha}{2}+(a+b)^2\sin^2\frac{2\pi k\alpha}{2}\\&\geq(a+b)^2\sin^2\frac{2\pi k\alpha}{2}=(a+b)^2\sin^2\frac{2\pi(k\alpha-l)}{2},\end{aligned}$$

with $l\in\mathbb{Z}$. Choosing $l\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\frac{2\pi(k\alpha-l)}{2}\in[-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}]$, we get

$$\left|a\,e^{i2\pi\,k\,\alpha}-b\right|\geq\frac{\pi^2}{4}|a+b||k\alpha-l|\geq\frac{\pi^2}{4}|a+b|\frac{\gamma}{|k|^\tau},$$

using that $|\sin x|\geq\frac{\pi}{2}|x|, x\in[-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}]$ and condition (A.2). Hence the lemma. □

We address the reader interested to optimal estimates to [14].

A.2.4. *Inversion of ϕ' and bound of ϕ''.*

Proposition A.1. *Let $0<s_0<s<s+\sigma$. There exists ε_0 such that if $(G,P,\lambda)\in\mathcal{G}_{s_0}^{\varepsilon_0}\times U_{s+\sigma}(\alpha,A)\times\Lambda$, for all $\delta Q\in G^*\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{T}_{s+\sigma},\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C})$, there exists a unique triplet $(\delta G,\delta P,\delta\lambda)\in T_G\mathcal{G}_s\times\overrightarrow{U_s(\alpha,A)}\times\Lambda$ such that*

$$\phi'(G_s,P,\lambda)\cdot(\delta G,\delta P,\delta\lambda)=\delta Q. \tag{A.8}$$

Moreover we have the following estimates

$$\max(|\delta G|_s,|\delta P|_s,|\delta\lambda|)\leq\frac{C'}{\sigma^{\tau'}}|\delta Q|_{G,s}, \tag{A.9}$$

C' being a constant depending on $|x|_{s+\sigma}$.

Proof. We have

$$\delta(T_\lambda\circ G\circ P\circ G^{-1})=T_{\delta\lambda}\circ(G\circ P\circ G^{-1})+T'_\lambda\circ(G\circ P\circ G^{-1})\cdot\delta(G\circ P\circ G^{-1})$$

hence

$$M\cdot(\delta G\circ P+G'\circ P\cdot\delta P-G'\circ P\cdot P'\cdot G'^{-1}\cdot\delta G)\circ G^{-1}=\delta Q-T_{\delta\lambda}\circ(G\circ P\circ G^{-1}),$$

where $M=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1+B\end{pmatrix}$ is T'_λ.
The data is δQ while the unknowns are the "tangent vectors" $\delta P\in O(r)\times O(r^2)$, δG (geometrically, a vector field along g) and $\delta\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^3$.
Pre-composing by G we get the equivalent equation between germs along the standard torus T_0 (as opposed to $G(\mathrm{T}_0)$):

$$M\cdot(\delta G\circ P+G'\circ P\cdot\delta P-G'\circ P\cdot P'\cdot G'^{-1}\cdot\delta G)=\delta Q\circ G-T_{\delta\lambda}\circ G\circ P;$$

multiplying both sides by $(G'^{-1}\circ P)M^{-1}$, we finally obtain

$$\dot G\circ P-P'\cdot\dot G+\delta P=G'^{-1}\circ P\cdot M^{-1}\delta Q\circ G+G'^{-1}\circ P\cdot M^{-1}T_{\delta\lambda}\circ G\circ P, \tag{A.10}$$

where $\dot G=G'^{-1}\cdot\delta G$.
Remark that the term containing $T_{\delta\lambda}$ is not constant; expanding along $r=0$, it reads

$$T_{\dot\lambda}=G'^{-1}\circ P\cdot M^{-1}\cdot T_{\delta\lambda}\circ G\circ P=(\dot\beta+O(r),\dot b+\dot B\cdot r+O(r^2)).$$

The vector field $\dot{G}$ (geometrically, a germ along T_0 of tangent vector fields) reads

$$\dot{G}(\theta, r) = (\dot{\varphi}(\theta), \dot{R}_0(\theta) + \dot{R}_1(\theta) \cdot r).$$

The problem is now: G, λ, P, Q being given, find $\dot{G}, \delta P$ and $\dot{\lambda}$, hence $\delta\lambda$ and δg. We are interested in solving the equation up to the 0-order in r in the θ-direction, and up to the first order in r in the action direction; hence we consider the Taylor expansions along T_0 up to the needed order.
We remark that since $\delta P = (O(r), O(r^2))$, it will not intervene in the cohomological equations given out by (A.10), but will be uniquely determined by identification of the reminders.
Let us proceed to solve the equation (A.10), which splits into the following three

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\varphi}(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) - \dot{\varphi}(\theta) + p_1 \cdot \dot{R}_0 &= \dot{q}_0 + \dot{\beta} \\
\dot{R}_0(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) - (1 + A)\dot{R}_0(\theta) &= \dot{Q}_0 + \dot{b} \\
(1 + A)\dot{R}_1(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) - (1 + A)\dot{R}_1(\theta) &= \dot{Q}_1 - (2P_2 \cdot \dot{R}_0 + \dot{R}_0(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) \cdot p_1) + \dot{B}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first equation is the one straightening the tangential dynamics, while the second and the third are meant to relocate the torus and straighten the normal dynamics. For the moment we solve the equations "modulo $\dot{\lambda}$". According to lemma A.1, these tree equation admit unique analytic solutions once the right hand side is average free.

– First, second equation has a solution

$$\dot{R}_0 = L_\alpha^{-1}(\dot{Q}_0 + \dot{b} - \bar{b}),$$

with

$$\bar{b} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \dot{Q}_0 + \dot{b}\, \frac{d\theta}{2\pi},$$

and

$$\left|\dot{R}_0\right|_s \leq \frac{C}{(2 + A)\gamma^2\sigma^{\tau+1}} \left|\dot{Q}_0 + \dot{b}\right|_{s+\sigma}.$$

– Second, we have

$$\dot{\varphi}(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) - \dot{\varphi}(\theta) + p_1 \cdot \dot{R}_0 = \dot{q}_0 + \dot{\beta} - \bar{\beta},$$

with $\bar{\beta} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \dot{q}_0 - p_1 \cdot R_0 + \dot{\beta}\, \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$, hence

$$\dot{\varphi} = L_\alpha^{-1}(\dot{q}_0 + \dot{\beta} - \bar{\beta}),$$

satisfying

$$|\dot{\varphi}|_{s-\sigma} \leq \frac{C}{\gamma\sigma^{\tau+2}} \left|\dot{q}_0 + \dot{\beta}\right|_{s+\sigma}$$

– Third, the solution of equation in $\dot{\mathbb{R}}_1$ is

$$\dot{R}_1 = L_\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_1 + \dot{B} - \bar{B}),$$

hiving noted $\tilde{Q}_1 = \dot{Q}_1 - (2P_2 \cdot \dot{R}_0 + \dot{R}_0(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) \cdot p_1)$, satisfies

$$\left|\dot{R}_1\right|_{s-\sigma} \leq \frac{C}{(2 + 2A)\gamma\sigma^{1+\tau}} \left|\tilde{Q}_1 - \dot{B}\right|_{s+\sigma}.$$

We now handle the unique choice of $\delta\lambda = (\delta\beta, \delta b + \delta B \cdot r)$ occurring in the translation map $T_{\delta\lambda}$. If $\bar\lambda = (\bar\beta, \bar b + \bar B \cdot r)$, the map $f : \Lambda \to \Lambda$, $\delta\lambda \mapsto \bar\lambda$ is well defined. In particular when $G = \mathrm{id}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \delta\lambda} = -\mathrm{id}$ and it will remain bounded away from 0 if G stays sufficiently close to the identity: $|G - \mathrm{id}|_{s_0} \leq \varepsilon_0$, for $s_0 < s$. In particular, $-\bar\lambda$ is affine in $\delta\lambda$, the system to solve being triangular of the form $\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} a(G, \dot v) + A(G) \cdot \delta\lambda = 0$, with diagonal close to 1 if the smalleness condition above is assumed. Under these conditions f is a local diffeomorphism and $\delta\lambda$ such that $f(\delta\lambda) = 0$ is then uniquely determined, and

$$|\delta\lambda| \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^{\tau+1}} |\delta Q|_{G, s+\sigma}.$$

Now, from the definition of $\dot G = G'^{-1} \cdot \delta G$ we get $\delta G = G' \cdot \dot G$, hence similar estimates hold for δG:

$$|\delta G|_{s-\sigma} \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^{\tau+2}} (1 + |G' - \mathrm{id}|_{s-\sigma}) |\delta Q|_{G, s+\sigma} \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^{\tau+3}} |\delta Q|_{G, s+\sigma}.$$

Equation (A.10) uniquely determines δP.
Up to redefining $\sigma' = \sigma/2$ and $s' = s + \sigma$, we have the wanted estimates for all $s', \sigma' : s' < s' + \sigma'$. □

A.2.5. *Second derivative.* We consider the bilinear map $\phi''(x)$. We have

Proposition A.2 (Boundness of ϕ'')**.** *The bilinear map* $\phi''(x)$

$$\phi''(x) : (T_G \mathcal{G}^\sigma_{s+\sigma} \times \overrightarrow{U_{s+\sigma}(\alpha, A)} \times \Lambda)^{\otimes 2} \to \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{T}_s, \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}),$$

satisfies the estimates

$$\left|\phi''(x) \cdot \delta x^{\otimes 2}\right|_{G,s} \leq \frac{C''}{\sigma^{\tau''}} |\delta x|^2_{s+\sigma},$$

C'' being a constant depending on $|x|_{s+\sigma}$.

Proof. Differentiating twice ϕ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&- M\{[\delta G' \circ P \cdot \delta P + \delta G' \circ P \cdot \delta P + G'' \circ P \cdot \delta P^2 - (\delta G' \circ P + G'' \circ P \cdot \delta P) \cdot P' \cdot G'^{-1} \cdot \delta G \\
&- G' \circ P \cdot \left(\delta P' \cdot (-G'^{-1} \cdot \delta G' \cdot G'^{-1}) \cdot \delta G\right)] \circ G^{-1} + \\
&+ [\delta G' \circ P \cdot \delta P + \delta G' \circ P \cdot \delta P + G'' \circ P \cdot \delta P^2 - (\delta G' \circ P + G'' \circ P \cdot \delta P) \cdot P' \cdot G'^{-1} \cdot \delta G \\
&- G' \circ P \cdot \left(\delta P' \cdot (-G'^{-1} \cdot \delta G' \cdot G'^{-1}) \cdot \delta G\right)]' \circ G^{-1} \cdot (-G'^{-1} \cdot \delta G) \circ G^{-1}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Once we precompose with G, the estimate follows. □

Hypothesis of theorem 7 are satisfied; regularity propositions C.1-C.2 guarantee the uniqueness and smoothness of the normal form. Theorem 3 follows.

A.3. **The translated curve of Rüssmann.** The diffeomorphisms considered by Rüssmann are of this kind in a neighborhood of T_0

$$Q(\theta, r) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + t(r) + f(\theta, r), (1 + A)r + g(\theta, r)), \tag{A.11}$$

where α is Diophantine, $t(0) = 0$ and $t'(r) > 0$ for every r. This represents a perturbation of

$$P^0(\theta, r) = (\theta + \alpha + t(r), (1 + A)r),$$

for which T_0 is invariant and carries a rotation $2\pi\alpha$.

Theorem 4 (Rüssmann)**.** *Fix $\alpha \in D_{\gamma,\tau}$ and*

$$P^0(\theta, r) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + t(r) + O(r^2), (1 + A)r + O(r^2)) \in U(\alpha, A)$$

such that $t(0) = 0$ and $t'(r) > 0$.
If Q is close enough to P^0 there exists a unique analytic curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, close to $r = 0$, a diffeomorphism φ of $\mathbb{T}$ close to the identity and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, close to 0, such that

$$Q(\theta, \gamma(\theta)) = (\varphi \circ R_{2\pi\alpha} \circ \varphi^{-1}(\theta), b + \gamma(\varphi \circ R_{2\pi\alpha} \circ \varphi^{-1}(\theta))).$$

Actually in its original version the theorem is stated for $A = 0$; to consider the more general case with A close to 0, does not bring any further difficulties.

To deduce Rüssmann's theorem from theorem 3 we need to get rid of the counter-terms β and B.

A.3.1. *Elimination of B.* In order to deduce Rüssmann's result from theorem 3 we need to reduce the number of translation terms of T_λ to one, corresponding to the translation in the r-direction ($T_{\lambda=(0,b)}$). As we are not interested in keeping the same normal dynamics of the perturbed diffeomorphism Q, up to let A vary and conjugate Q to some well chosen P_A, we can indeed make the counter term $B \cdot r$ to be zero.
Let $\Lambda_2 = \{\lambda = (\beta, b),\ \beta, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Proposition A.3. *For every $P^0 \in U_{s+\sigma}(\alpha, A_0)$ with α diophantine, there is a germ of C^∞ maps*

$$\psi : \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{T}_{s+\sigma}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{G}_s \times U_s(\alpha, A) \times \Lambda_2, \quad Q \mapsto (G, P, \lambda),$$

at $P^0 \mapsto (\mathrm{id}, P^0, 0)$, such that $Q = T_\lambda \circ G \circ P \circ G^{-1}$.

Proof. Denote ϕ_A the operator ϕ, as now we want A to vary. Let us write P^0 as

$$P^0(\theta, r) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + O(r), (1 + A_0 - \delta A) \cdot r + \delta A \cdot r + O(r^2)),$$

and remark that

$$P^0 = T_\lambda \circ P_A, \quad \lambda = \left(0, B \cdot r = (-\delta A + \frac{\delta A \cdot A_0}{1 + A_0}) \cdot r\right),$$

where $P_A = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + O(r), (1 + A) \cdot r + O(r^2))$[3] with

$$A = \left(A_0 + \frac{\delta A(1 + A_0)}{1 + A_0 - \delta A}\right).$$

According to theorem 3, $\phi_A(\mathrm{id}, P_A, \lambda) = P^0$. In particular

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial \delta A}_{|_{G=\mathrm{id}}} = -\,\mathrm{id} + \frac{A_0}{1 + A_0},$$

where A_0 is close to 0. Hence, defining

$$\hat{\psi} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{T}_{s+\sigma}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{G}_s \times U_s(\alpha, A) \times \Lambda,\ (A, Q) \mapsto \hat{\psi}_A(Q) := \phi_A^{-1}(Q) = (G, P, \lambda)$$

[3]The terms $O(r)$ and $O(r^2)$ contain a factor $(1 + \frac{\delta A}{1 + A_0 - \delta A})$

in the neighborhood of (A_0, P^0), by the implicit function theorem locally for all Q there exists a unique $\bar{A}$ such that $B(\bar{A}, Q) = 0$. It remains to define $\psi(Q) = \hat{\psi}(\bar{A}, Q)$. □

Whenever the interest lies on the translation of the curve and the dynamics tangential to it, do not care about the "final" A and consider the situation that puts $B = 0$.
In particular the graph of $\gamma(\theta) := R_0 \circ \varphi^{-1}(\theta)$ is translated by b and its dynamics is conjugated to $R_{2\pi\alpha}$, modulo the term β:

$$Q(\theta, \gamma(\theta)) = (\beta + \varphi \circ R_{2\pi\alpha} \circ \varphi^{-1}(\theta), b + \gamma(\varphi \circ R_{2\pi\alpha} \circ \varphi^{-1}(\theta))).$$

A.3.2. *A family of translated curves.* Theorem 3 guarantees that any given diffeomorphism Q, sufficiently close to P^0 (see equation (A.3)), is of the form $Q = T_\lambda \circ G \circ P \circ G^{-1}$, with G, P and T_λ uniquely determined, implying the existence of a curve whose image by Q is translated. Actually there exists a whole family of translated curves. Indeed, let us consider a parameter $c \in B_1(0)$ (the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}$) and the family of diffeomorphisms $Q_c(\theta, r) := Q(\theta, c+r)$ relative to the given Q. Considering the corresponding normal form operators ϕ_c, the parametrized version of theorem 3 follows readily.
Now, if Q_c is close enough to P^0, proposition A.3 asserts the existence of $(G_c, P_c, \lambda_c) \in \mathcal{G} \times U(\alpha, A) \times \Lambda_2$ such that

$$Q_c = T_\lambda \circ G_c \circ P_c \circ G_c^{-1}.$$

Hence we have a family of curves parametrized by $\tilde{c} = c + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \gamma \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$,

$$Q(\theta, \tilde{c} + \tilde{\gamma}(\theta)) = (\beta + \varphi \circ R_{2\pi\alpha} \circ \varphi^{-1}(\theta), b + \tilde{c} + \tilde{\gamma}(\varphi \circ R_{2\pi\alpha} \circ \varphi^{-1}(\theta))),$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \gamma \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$.

A.3.3. *Torsion property: elimination of β.* As we have seen in the last section, under smallness and diophantine conditions on Q, there exists a family of curves, parametrized by c, whose images are translated by b in the r-direction and whose tangential dynamics is conjugated to the rotation $R_{2\pi\alpha}$, modulo the term $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.
In order to get the dynamical conjugacy to the rotation stated by Rüssmann's theorem, it is of fundamental importance for Q to satisfy some torsion property, and this is provided by the request that $t'(r) > 0$ for every r. Once this property is satisfied, in the light of the previous section, in order to prove Rüssmann's theorem is suffices to show that there exists a unique c close to 0 such that $\beta = \beta(c) = 0$.
We want to show that the map $c \mapsto \beta(c)$ is a local diffeomorphism.
It suffices to show this for the trivial perturbation P_c^0. The Taylor expansion of P_c^0 directly gives $c \mapsto \beta(c) = t(c) + O(c^2)$, which is a local diffeomorphism due to the torsion hypothesis on Q. Hence, the analogous map for Q_c, is a small perturbation of the previous one, hence a local diffeomorphism too. Then there exists a unique $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\beta(c) = 0$.

A.3.4. *A comment about higher dimension.* In dimension higher than 2, the analogue of Rüssmann's theorem could not be possible: needing the matrix $B \in \mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{R})$, $m \geq 2$, to solve the third homological equation and disposing of just m characteristic exponents of A that we may vary as we did in the last sections, it is hopeless to kill the whole B. As a consequence, the obtained surface will undergo more than a simple translation. The analogous result is stated as follows.

Let $U(\alpha, A)$ be the space of germs of diffeomorphisms along $\mathrm{T}^n_0 \subset \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ of the form

$$P(\theta, r) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + T(r) + O(r^2), (1 + A) \cdot r + O(r^2)),$$

where $A \in \mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{R})$ is a diagolanizable matrix of real eigenvalues $a_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$ and $T(r)$ is such that $T(0) = 0$ and $T'(r)$ is invertible for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let also $\mathcal{G}$ be the space of germs of real analytic isomorphisms of the form

$$g(\theta, r) = (\varphi(\theta), R_0(\theta) + R_1(\theta) \cdot r),$$

φ being a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{T}^n$ fixing the origin, R_0 and R_1 an $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued and $\mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{R})$-valued functions defined on $\mathbb{T}^n$.
Let $\Lambda_{m^2} = \{\lambda = (0, b + B \cdot r),\ b \in \mathbb{R}^m, B \in \mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{R})\}$, where $B \in \mathrm{Mat}_m(\mathbb{R})$ has the $(m^2 - m)$ non diagonal entries different from 0.

Theorem 5. *Let α be Diophantine. If Q is sufficiently close to $P^0 \in U(\alpha, A_0)$, there exists a unique $(G, P, \lambda) \in \mathcal{G} \times U(\alpha, A) \times \Lambda_{m^2}$, close to $(\mathrm{id}, P^0, 0)$ such that*

$$Q = T_\lambda \circ G \circ P \circ G^{-1}.$$

The proof follows from the generalization in dimension ≥ 2 of theorem 3 and the elimination of parameters, which are not hard to recover. We just give some guiding remarks.

– First note that the constant matrix M appearing in the proof of proposition A.1 gets the form $M = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{id} + B \end{pmatrix}$ and that equation (A.10) splits into three homological equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot\varphi(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) - \dot\varphi(\theta) + p_1 \cdot \dot R_0 &= \dot q_0 + \dot\beta \\ \dot R_0(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) - (\mathrm{id} + A) \cdot \dot R_0(\theta) &= \dot Q_0 + \dot b \\ \dot R_1(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) \cdot (\mathrm{id} + A) - (\mathrm{id} + A) \cdot \dot R_1(\theta) &= \dot Q_1 + \dot B. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

  – equation determining $\dot R_0$ is readily solved by applying lemma A.1 componentwise, if A is diagonal. If A is diagonalizable and $P \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $P^{-1}AP$ is diagonal, left multiply the equation by P^{-1} and solve it for $\tilde R_0 = P^{-1}\dot R_0$ and $\tilde Q_0 = P^{-1}\dot Q_0$
  – equation determining the matrix $\dot R_1$ consists, when A is diagonal of eigenvalues $a_1, \ldots, a_m$, in solving m equations of the form

$$(1 + a_j)\Big(\dot R^j_j(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) - \dot R^j_j(\theta)\Big) = \dot Q^j_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, m$$

and $m^2 - m$ equations of the form

$$(1 + a_j)\dot{R}^i_j(\theta + 2\pi\alpha) - (1 + a_i)\dot{R}^i_j(\theta) = \dot{Q}^i_j(\theta), \quad \forall i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

If A is diagonalizable, and $P \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{R})$ the transition matrix, left and right multiply the equation by P^{-1} and P respectively, then solve.

– Eventually, the torsion property on $T(r)$ guarantees the elimination of the β-obstruction to the rotation conjugacy. The analogue of proposition A.3 holds.

A.3.5. *Curves C_α for general perturbations of the unperturbed spin-orbit flow.* With no further assumptions on Q, one cannot a priori expect that the translation b vanishes in some circumstances. If in the case of vector fields relative to the spin-orbit problem, the Hamiltonian structure of equations and the dependence on the external parameter $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ has been the key point to kill the counter term b and obtain the dynamical conjugacy (see [9, Sections 6.2 and 7]), in this study we consider generic analytic perturbation of the time 2π-map relative to the unperturbed spin-orbit equations:

$$Q(\theta, \tilde{\rho}) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\tilde{\rho} + \varepsilon f(\theta, \tilde{\rho}), e^{-2\pi\eta}\tilde{\rho} + \tau + \varepsilon g(\theta, \tilde{\rho})).$$

In this case, nothing ensures that the C_α portrayed in the very particular context of the vector-field of the spin-orbit, exist and reach the $\eta = 0$ axis (the hamiltonian axis). However, if these curves are not expected to exist for any value of η and ε, we can still guarantee their existence provided η being not too small.
As a matter of fact, we notice that when no perturbation occurs Q reduces to

$$Q(\theta, \tilde{\rho}) = (\theta + 2\pi\alpha + \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta}}{\eta}\tilde{\rho}, e^{-2\pi\eta}\tilde{\rho} + \tau),$$

and the circle $\tilde{\rho} = 0$ undergoes the translation $b := \tau = 2\pi\eta(\nu - \alpha)$. For the unique choice of the parameter $\nu = \alpha$, T_0 is invariant.
Rüssmann's theorem 4 applied to the perturbation Q asserts the existence, for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ (ε_0 being the maximal admissible perturbation), of a unique curve γ, a diffeomorphism φ and a translation function b such that the diffeomorphism

$$(\theta, \tilde{\rho}) \mapsto \big(h^{-1}(\theta) = \xi,\ \tilde{\rho} - \gamma(\theta) = x\big)$$

transforms Q as

$$Q(\xi, x) = (\xi + 2\pi\alpha + O(x), b + e^{-2\pi\eta}x + O(x)).$$

Considering the map $\nu \mapsto b$ it is evident that $\frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu}_{|_{\varepsilon=0}} = 2\pi\eta \neq 0$.
Because of the uniform convergence and the smallness condition on ε uniform with respect to parameters, the limit solution b keeps its real analytic dependence on ε, and smoothness with respect to η, ν.
Considering the map $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni (\varepsilon, \nu, \eta) \mapsto b(\varepsilon, \nu, \eta)$ we already know that at $p_0 = (0, \alpha, \eta)$ we have $b(p_0) = 0$ and that $\frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu}_{|_{\varepsilon=0}} = 2\pi\eta > \pi\eta > 0$.
In order to have $b = 0$ when $\varepsilon \neq 0$, we need to guarantee that its differential with respect to ν remains bounded away from 0. But this can be seen as follows.
Let us consider the closed ball of radius ε_0 centered at $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and call it $B_{\varepsilon_0}(p_0)$. Because of the regularity of b with respect to ε, ν and η, there exists a positive

constant M independent of ε, η, ν such that $\|b\|_{C^2} < M$. Let now consider a ball of radius $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. The mean value theorem applied to the function $\frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu}$ guarantees $\forall p_2, p_1 \in B_{\varepsilon/2}(p^0)$ that

$$\left|\frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu}(p_2) - \frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu}(p_1)\right| \leq \int_0^1 \left|D\frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu}(p_t)\right| |p_1 - p_2|\, dt \leq M|p_2 - p_1|,$$

$|\cdot|$ indicating the supremum norm. By the triangular inequality we obtain

$$\left|\frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu}(p_2)\right| \geq \left|\frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu}(p_1)\right| - M\varepsilon,$$

in particular fixing $p_1 = p_0$, a sufficient condition for having $\left|\frac{\partial b}{\partial \nu}(p_2)\right| > \pi\eta$ is that $\pi\eta/4M > \varepsilon$.
Hence, for every fixed value of ε, we can guarantee that the derivative of b with respect to ν is different from 0, for those η's such that $\eta \geq \varepsilon 4M/\pi$, this guarantees us to find ν such that $b(\nu, \varepsilon, \eta) = 0$ whenever this condition on η is fulfilled.

Appendix B. Inversion of a holomorphism of $\mathbb{T}^n_s$

We present here a classical result and a lemma that guarantee the well definition of the normal form operator ϕ defined in section A.2.2.
Complex extensions of manifolds are defined at the help of the ℓ^∞-norm.
Let

$$\mathbb{T}^n_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{T}^n_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{T}^n_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^m,$$

$$\mathbb{T}^n_s = \left\{\theta \in \mathbb{T}^n_{\mathbb{C}} : |\theta| := \max_{1\leq j\leq n} |\mathrm{Im}\,\theta_j| \leq s\right\}, \quad \mathrm{T}^n_s = \left\{(\theta, r) \in \mathrm{T}^n_{\mathbb{C}} : |(\mathrm{Im}\,\theta, r)| \leq s\right\},$$

where $|(\mathrm{Im}\,\theta, r)| := \max_{1\leq j\leq n} \max(|\mathrm{Im}\,\theta_j|, |r_j|)$.
Let also define $\mathbb{R}^n_s := \mathbb{R}^n \times (-s, s)$ and consider the universal covering of $\mathbb{T}^n_s$, $p : \mathbb{R}^n_s \to \mathbb{T}^n_s$.

Theorem 6. *Let $v : \mathbb{T}^n_s \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a vector field such that $|v|_s < \sigma/n$. The map $\mathrm{id} + v : \mathbb{T}^n_{s-\sigma} \to \mathbb{R}^n_s$ induces a map $\varphi = \mathrm{id} + v : \mathbb{T}^n_{s-\sigma} \to \mathbb{T}^n_s$ which is a biholomorphism and there is a unique biholomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{T}^n_{s-2\sigma} \to \mathbb{T}^n_{s-\sigma}$ such that $\varphi \circ \psi = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{T}^n_{s-2\sigma}}$.*
In particular the following hold:

$$|\psi - \mathrm{id}|_{s-2\sigma} \leq |v|_{s-\sigma}$$

and, if $|v|_s < \sigma/2n$

$$|\psi' - \mathrm{id}|_{s-2\sigma} \leq \frac{2}{\sigma}|v|_s.$$

Proof. Let $\hat{\varphi} := \mathrm{id} + v \circ p : \mathbb{R}^n_s \to \mathbb{R}^n_{s+\sigma}$ be the lift of φ to $\mathbb{R}^n_s$.
Let's start proving the injectivity and surjectivity of $\hat{\varphi}$; the same properties for φ descend from these.

– $\hat{\varphi}$ is injective as a map from $\mathbb{R}^n_{s-\sigma} \to \mathbb{R}^n_s$.
Let $\hat{\varphi}(x) = \hat{\varphi}(x')$, from the definition of $\hat{\varphi}$ we have

$$|x - x'| = |v \circ p(x') - v \circ p(x)| \leq \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n |\partial_{x_k} \hat{v}|_{s-\sigma} |x'_k - x_k| \, dt \leq \frac{n}{\sigma} |v|_s |x - x'| < |x - x'|,$$

hence $x' = x$.

– $\hat{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^n_{s-\sigma} \to \mathbb{R}^n_{s-2\sigma} \subset \hat{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n_{s-\sigma})$ is surjective.
Define, for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n_{s-2\sigma}$ the map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^n_{s-\sigma} \to \mathbb{R}^n_{s-\sigma},\ x \mapsto y - v \circ p(x),$$

which is a contraction (see the last but one inequality of the previous step).
Hence there exists a unique fixed point such that $\hat{\varphi}(x) = x + v \circ p(x) = y$.

For every $k \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$, the function $\mathbb{R}^n_s \to \mathbb{R}^n_s$, $x \mapsto \hat{\varphi}(x+k) - \hat{\varphi}(x)$ is continuous and $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$-valued. In particular there exists $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\hat{\varphi}(x+k) = \hat{\varphi}(x) + Ak$.

– $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^n_{s-\sigma} \to \mathbb{T}^n_s$ is injective.
Let $\varphi(p(x)) = \varphi(p(x'))$, with $p(x), p(x') \in \mathbb{T}^n_{s-\sigma}$, hence $\hat{\varphi}(x') = \hat{\varphi}(x) + k' = \hat{\varphi}(x + k')$, for some $k' \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$, hence $x' = x + k'$, for the injectivity of $\hat{\varphi}$, thus $p(x) = p(x')$. In particular φ is biholomorphic:

Lemma B.1 ([7]). *If $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a domain and $f : G \to \mathbb{C}^n$ injective and holomorphic, then $f(G)$ is a domain and $f : G \to f(G)$ is biholomorphic.*

– That $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^n_{s-\sigma} \to \mathbb{T}^n_{s-2\sigma} \subset \varphi(\mathbb{T}^n_{s-\sigma})$ is surjective follows from the one of $\hat{\varphi}$.

– Estimate for $\psi : \mathbb{T}^n_{s-2\sigma} \to \mathbb{T}^n_{s-\sigma}$ the inverse of φ.
Let $\hat{\psi} : \mathbb{R}^n_{s-2\sigma} \to \mathbb{R}^n_{s-\sigma}$ be the inverse of $\hat{\varphi}$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n_{s-2\sigma}$. From the definition of $\hat{\varphi}$, $v \circ p(\hat{\psi}(y)) = y - p(\hat{\psi}(y)) = y - \hat{\psi}(y)$. Hence

$$\left|\hat{\psi}(y) - y\right|_{s-2\sigma} = \left|v \circ p(\hat{\psi}(y))\right|_{s-2\sigma} \leq |v|_{s-2\sigma} \leq |v|_{s-\sigma}.$$

– Estimate for $\psi' = \varphi'^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}$. We have

$$|\psi' - \mathrm{id}|_{s-2\sigma} \leq \left|\varphi'^{-1} - \mathrm{id}\right|_{s-\sigma} \leq \frac{|\varphi' - \mathrm{id}|_{s-\sigma}}{1 - |\varphi' - \mathrm{id}|_{s-\sigma}} \leq \frac{2n}{2n-1} \frac{|v|_s}{\sigma} \leq 2\frac{|v|_s}{\sigma},$$

by triangular and Cauchy inequalities.

□

Corollary B.1 (Well definition of the normal form operator ϕ). *For all s, σ if $g \in \mathcal{G}^{\sigma/n}_{s+\sigma}$, then $g^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{T}^n_s, \mathrm{T}^n_{s+\sigma})$.*

Proof. We recall the form of $g \in \mathcal{G}^{\sigma/n}_{s+\sigma}$:

$$g(\theta, r) = (\varphi(\theta), R_0(\theta) + R_1(\theta) \cdot r).$$

g^{-1} reads

$$g^{-1}(\theta, r) = (\phi^{-1}(\theta), R_1^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}(\theta) \cdot (r - R_0 \circ \varphi(\theta))).$$

Up to rescaling norms by a factor $1/2$ like $\|x\|_s := \frac{1}{2}|x|$, the statement is straightforward and follows from theorem 6. By abuse of notations, we keep on indicating $\|x\|_s$ with $|x|_s$. □

Appendix C. An implicit function theorem

We state here the implicit function theorem we use to prove theorem 3 as well as the regularity statements needed to guarantee uniqueness and smoothness of the normal form. This results follow Féjoz [5,6]. Remark that we endowed functional spaces with weighted norms and bounds appearing in propositions A.1-A.2 may depend on $|x|_s$ (as opposed to the analogue statements in [5,6]); for the corresponding proofs taking account of these (slight) differences we send the reader to [9,10] and the proof of Moser's theorem there in.

Let $E = (E_s)_{0<s<1}$ and $F = (F_s)_{0<s<1}$ be two decreasing families of Banach spaces with increasing norms $|\cdot|_s$ and let $B_s^E(\sigma) = \{x \in E : |x|_s < \sigma\}$ be the ball of radius σ centered at 0 in E_s.
On account of composition operators, we additionally endow F with some deformed norms which depend on $x \in B_s^E(s)$ such that

$$|y|_{0,s} = |y|_s \qquad \text{and} \qquad |y|_{\hat{x},s} \leq |y|_{x,s+|x-\hat{x}|_s}.$$

Consider then operators commuting with inclusions $\phi : B_{s+\sigma}^E(\sigma) \to F_s$, with $0 < s < s+\sigma < 1$, such that $\phi(0) = 0$.
We then suppose that if $x \in B_{s+\sigma}^E(\sigma)$ then $\phi'(x) : E_{s+\sigma} \to F_s$ has a right inverse $\phi'^{-1}(x) : F_{s+\sigma} \to E_s$ (for the particular operators ϕ of this work, ϕ' is both left and right invertible).
ϕ is supposed to be at least twice differentiable.
Let $\tau := \tau' + \tau''$ and $C := C'C''$.

Theorem 7 (Inverse function theorem)**.** *Under the previous assumptions, assume*

$$|\phi'^{-1}(x) \cdot \delta y|_s \leq \frac{C'}{\sigma^{\tau'}} |\delta y|_{x,s+\sigma} \tag{C.1}$$

$$|\phi''(x) \cdot \delta x^{\otimes 2}|_{x,s} \leq \frac{C''}{\sigma^{\tau''}} |\delta x|_{s+\sigma}^2, \quad \forall s, \sigma : 0 < s < s+\sigma < 1 \tag{C.2}$$

C' and C'' depending on $|x|_{s+\sigma}$, $\tau', \tau'' \geq 1$.
For any s, σ, η with $\eta < s$ and $\varepsilon \leq \eta \frac{\sigma^{2\tau}}{2^{8\tau} C^2}$ ($C \geq 1, \sigma < 3C$), ϕ has a right inverse $\psi : B_{s+\sigma}^F(\varepsilon) \to B_s^E(\eta)$. In other words, ϕ is locally surjective:

$$B_{s+\sigma}^F(\varepsilon) \subset \phi(B_s^E(\eta)).$$

Proposition C.1 (Lipschitz continuity of ψ)**.** *Let $\sigma < s$. If $y, \hat{y} \in B_{s+\sigma}^F(\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon = 3^{-4\tau} 2^{-16\tau} \frac{\sigma^{6\tau}}{4C^3}$, the following inequality holds*

$$|\psi(y) - \psi(\hat{y})|_s \leq L |y - \hat{y}|_{x,s+\sigma},$$

with $L = 2C'/\sigma^{\tau'}$. In particular, ψ being the unique local right inverse of ϕ, it is also its unique left inverse.

Proposition C.2 (Smooth differentiation of ψ)**.** *Let $\sigma < s < s+\sigma$ and ε as in proposition C.1. There exists a constant K such that for every $y, \hat{y} \in B_{s+\sigma}^F(\varepsilon)$ we*

have

$$\left|\psi(\hat{y}) - \psi(y) - \phi'^{-1}(\psi(y))(\hat{y} - y)\right|_s \leq K(\sigma)|\hat{y} - y|^2_{x,s+\sigma},$$

and the map $\psi' : B^F_{s+\sigma}(\varepsilon) \to L(F_{s+\sigma}, E_s)$ *defined locally by* $\psi'(y) = \phi'^{-1}(\psi(y))$ *is continuous. In particular* ψ *has the same degree of smoothness of* ϕ*.*

Acknowledgments. *This work would have never seen the light without the mathematical (and moral) support and advises of A. Chenciner and J. Féjoz all the way through my Ph.D. I'm grateful and in debt with both of them. Thank you to T. Castan, B. Fayad, J.-P. Marco, P. Mastrolia and L. Niederman for the enlightening discussions we had and their constant interest in this (and future) work.*

References

[1] A. Chenciner. Bifurcations de points fixes elliptiques. I. Courbes invariantes. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 61:67–127, 1985.

[2] A. Chenciner. Bifurcations de points fixes elliptiques. II. Orbites periodiques et ensembles de Cantor invariants. Invent. Math., 80(1):81–106, 1985.

[3] A. Chenciner. Bifurcations de points fixes elliptiques. III. Orbites périodiques de "petites" périodes et élimination résonnante des couples de courbes invariantes. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 66:5–91, 1988.

[4] A. C. M. Correia and J. Laskar. Tidal Evolution of Exoplanets, pages 239–266. dec 2010.

[5] J. Féjoz. Mouvements périodiques et quasi-périodiques dans le problème des n corps, *mémoire d'habilitation à diriger des recherches*, 2010.

[6] J. Féjoz. Introduction to KAM theory and application to the trhee-body problem, 2015. Submitted.

[7] K. Fritzsche and H. Grauert. From holomorphic functions to complex manifolds, volume 213 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[8] P. Goldreich and S. Peale. Spin-orbit coupling in the solar system. The Astronomical Journal, 71:425, August 1966.

[9] J. E. Massetti. Moser's normal form and application to the spin-orbit problem in Celestial Mechanics. ArXiv e-prints, nov 2015. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.02733`. Submitted.

[10] J. E. Massetti. Quasi-périodicité et quasi-conservativité. *Ph.D thesis*, Observatoire de Paris, 2015.

[11] K. R. Meyer. The implicit function theorem and analytic differential equations. In Dynamical systems—Warwick 1974 (Proc. Sympos. Appl. Topology and Dynamical Systems, Univ. Warwick, Coventry, 1973/1974; presented to E. C. Zeeman on his fiftieth birthday), pages 191–208. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 468. Springer, Berlin, 1975.

[12] J. Moser. Convergent series expansions for quasi-periodic motions. Math. Annalen, (169):136–176, 1967.

[13] H. Rüssmann. Kleine Nenner. I. Über invariante Kurven differenzierbarer Abbildungen eines Kreisringes. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl. II, 1970:67–105, 1970.

[14] H. Rüssmann. On optimal estimates for the solutions of linear difference equations on the circle. In Proceedings of the Fifth Conference on Mathematical Methods in Celestial Mechanics (Oberwolfach, 1975), Part I, volume 14, pages 33–37, 1976.

[15] Michael Shub. Stabilité globale des systèmes dynamiques, volume 56 of Astérisque. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1978. With an English preface and summary.

[16] E. Zehnder. Generalized implicit function theorem with applications to some small divisor problems, i. XXVIII:91–140, 1975.