

LINEAR RESPONSE IN THE INTERMITTENT FAMILY: DIFFERENTIATION IN A WEIGHTED C^0 -NORM

Wael Bahsoun and Benoît Saussol

ABSTRACT. We provide a general framework to study differentiability of SRB measures for one dimensional non-uniformly expanding maps. We apply this general technique to interval maps with a neutral fixed point (Pomeau-Manneville maps) to prove differentiability of the corresponding SRB measure. Our work covers systems that admit a finite SRB measure and it also covers systems that admit an infinite SRB measure. In particular, we obtain a linear response formula for both finite and infinite SRB measures. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work that contains a linear response result for infinite measure preserving systems.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Setup and Assumptions	2
3. Statement of the main result and the strategy of the proof	4
3.1. Rigorous numerical approximation of the derivative	5
3.2. General strategy	5
4. Proof of Theorem 3.1	6
5. Verifying the assumptions for LSV maps	9
Acknowledgements	12
References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

In physical applications of dynamical systems, it is important to understand how statistical properties of a perturbed physical system are related to statistical properties of the original system; i.e., before the occurrence of the perturbation. In particular, it is always desirable to write a first order approximation of the Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen (SRB) measure of the perturbed system in terms of the SRB measure of the original system. In smooth

Date: December 7, 2019.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37A05, 37E05.

Key words and phrases. Linear response, Intermittent maps.

This work was conducted during mutual visits of WB to Université de Bretagne Occidentale and of BS to Loughborough University. WB and BS would like to thank The Leverhulme Trust for supporting their research visits through the Network Grant IN-2014-021.

ergodic theory, this direction of research, which was pioneered by David Ruelle, is called differentiation (with respect to noise) of SRB measures. In the physics literature the equivalent term is called ‘linear response’.

Linear response has been proved for several classes of smooth dynamical systems that admit exponential, or at least summable, decay of correlations [4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16]. Negative results, where linear response does not hold, are also known [4, 5]. A recent survey on the progress in this area of research is [5]. More recently, results on the linear response of slowly mixing systems that admit a probabilistic SRB measure were announced in [7, 12]. Such systems have attracted the attention of both mathematicians [14, 17] and physicists because of their importance in the study of intermittent transition to turbulence [15].

In this work we provide a general framework to study differentiability of SRB measures for one dimensional non-uniformly expanding maps. We use this general framework to study linear response of maps with neutral fixed points. In particular, we apply our results to study linear response of Pomeau-Manneville type maps [14, 15]. The difference between our result and those of [7, 12] is two-fold: in [7, 12] the authors obtain results only for probabilistic SRB measures. Moreover, they obtain a weak form of differentiability. While in our work, we cover both the finite and infinite SRB measure cases and we prove differentiability in norm¹. Moreover, we provide a linear response formula that covers both the finite and infinite SRB measure cases.

In Section 2 we introduce a general setup for the systems we study and we state our assumptions on this general setup. Section 3 includes the statement of our main theorem (Theorem 3.1), and an explanation of key steps that underline the strategy to prove Theorem 3.1. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 3.1 through several lemmas. In Section 5 we show that the assumptions of Section 2 are satisfied by the intermittent maps studied in [14].

2. SETUP AND ASSUMPTIONS

- Let V be a neighbourhood of 0. For any $\varepsilon \in V$, $T_\varepsilon: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a non-singular map, with respect to Lebesgue measure, with two onto branches $T_{0,\varepsilon}: [0, 1/2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $T_{1,\varepsilon}: [1/2, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$. The inverse branches of $T_{0,\varepsilon}$, $T_{1,\varepsilon}$ are respectively denoted by $g_{0,\varepsilon}$ and $g_{1,\varepsilon}$. We call $T_0 := T$ the unperturbed map, and T_ε , for $\varepsilon \neq 0$, the perturbed map.
- We assume that for each $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$ the following partial derivatives exist and satisfy the commutation relation

$$\partial_\varepsilon g_{i,\varepsilon}^{(j)} = (\partial_\varepsilon g_{i,\varepsilon})^{(j)}. \quad (1)$$

¹Theorem 1.2 of Korepanov [12] implies differentiability in norm for the LSV map but only for probabilistic SRB measures. See the discussion on page 2 of [12]. We would also like to stress here that Theorem 1.2 of [12] uses the explicit formula of LSV maps and it does not cover the infinite SRB measure case.

- We assume that T_ε has a unique absolutely continuous invariant measure² (up to multiplication) whose Radom-Nykodim derivative will be denoted by h_ε , and we denote for simplicity $h = h_0$.
- Let \hat{T}_ε , be the first return map of T_ε to Δ , where $\Delta := [1/2, 1]$ is a closed interval independent of ε ; i.e., for $x \in \Delta$

$$\hat{T}_\varepsilon(x) = T_\varepsilon^{R_\varepsilon(x)}(x),$$

where

$$R_\varepsilon(x) = \inf\{n \geq 1 : T_\varepsilon^n(x) \in \Delta\}.$$

- We assume that \hat{T}_ε is piecewise C^3 , piecewise onto and uniformly expanding, with countable number of branches. Let Ω be the set of finite sequences of the form $\omega = 10^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We set $g_{\omega,\varepsilon} = g_{1,\varepsilon} \circ g_{0,\varepsilon}^n$. Then for $x \in [0, 1]$ we have $T_\varepsilon^{n+1} \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon}(x) = x$. The cylinder sets $[\omega]_\varepsilon = g_{\omega,\varepsilon}(\Delta)$, form a partition of $\Delta \pmod{0}$.

We use the letter C to denote positive constants whose values may change when estimating various expressions but are independent of both ε and ω (or n).

- For $x \in \Delta$, we assume

$$\sum_\omega \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \sup_{x \in \Delta} |g'_{\omega,\varepsilon}(x)| < \infty; \quad (2)$$

and

$$g''_{\omega,\varepsilon}(x) \leq C g'_{\omega,\varepsilon}(x); \quad (3)$$

and for $i = 1, 2$

$$\sum_\omega \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \sup_{x \in \Delta} |\partial_\varepsilon g_{\omega,\varepsilon}^i(x)| < \infty. \quad (4)$$

- Moreover, for $x \in [0, 1]$, we assume

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |g'_{\omega,\varepsilon}(x)| < \infty; \quad (5)$$

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |\partial g_{\omega,\varepsilon}(x)| < \infty; \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_\omega \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|g'_{\omega,\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty; \quad (7)$$

and

$$\sum_\omega \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|\partial_\varepsilon g'_{\omega,\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty, \quad (8)$$

where \mathcal{B} denotes the set of continuous functions on $(0, 1]$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{x \in (0,1]} |x^\gamma f(x)|,$$

²The T_ε absolutely continuous invariant measure is not assumed to be probabilistic; i.e., we allow for T_ε to admit an infinite absolutely continuous invariant measure.

for a fixed³ $\gamma > 0$. When equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$, \mathcal{B} is a Banach space. Let \hat{L}_ε denote the Perron-Frobenius operator of the map \hat{T}_ε ; i.e., for $\Phi \in L^1(\Delta)$

$$\hat{L}_\varepsilon \Phi(x) := \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon}(x) g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in \Delta$. We denote the Perron-Frobenius operator of the unperturbed induced map \hat{T} by \hat{L} ; i.e., $\hat{L} := \hat{L}_0$. Since \hat{T}_ε is piecewise C^3 , piecewise onto and uniformly expanding, it admits a unique invariant density \hat{h}_ε . Moreover, \hat{L}_ε has a spectral gap when acting on C^k , $k = 1, 2$ (see for instance [13]). For the invariant density of the unperturbed induced map \hat{T} we write $\hat{h}_0 := \hat{h}$. For $\Phi \in L^1$, let

$$F_\varepsilon(\Phi) := 1_\Delta \Phi + (1 - 1_\Delta) \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}. \quad (9)$$

Note that F_ε is a linear operator. In fact, for $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \Delta$, the formula of F_ε can be re-written using the Perron-Frobenius operator of T_ε :

$$F_\varepsilon(\Phi) := 1_\Delta \Phi + (1 - 1_\Delta) \sum_{k \geq 1} L_\varepsilon^k(\Phi \cdot 1_{\{R_\varepsilon > k\}}).$$

We also define the following operator, which will represent $\partial_\varepsilon F_\varepsilon \Phi|_{\varepsilon=0}$

$$Q\Phi = (1 - 1_\Delta) \sum_{\omega} \Phi' \circ g_\omega \cdot a_\omega g'_\omega + \Phi \circ g_\omega \cdot b_\omega,$$

where $a_\omega = \partial_\varepsilon g_{\omega, \varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0}$ and $b_\omega = \partial_\varepsilon g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0}$.

3. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT AND THE STRATEGY OF THE PROOF

The following theorem is the main result of the paper:

Theorem 3.1.

a) $\exists h^* \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{h_\varepsilon - h}{\varepsilon} - h^* \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0;$$

i.e., h_ε is differentiable as an element of \mathcal{B} with respect to ε ;

b) in particular, if the conditions hold for some $\gamma < 1$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{h_\varepsilon - h}{\varepsilon} - h^* \right\|_1 = 0.$$

c) The function h^* is given by⁴

$$h^* := F_0(I - \hat{L})^{-1} \hat{L}[A_0 \hat{h}' + B_0 \hat{h}] + Q\hat{h},$$

³In (7) and (8) we need the assumptions to hold only for a single γ .

⁴Note that in the finite measure case, h^* is the derivative of the non-normalized density h_ε . The advantage in working with h_ε is reflected in keeping the operator F_ε linear and to accommodate the infinite measure preserving case. In the finite measure case, once the derivative of h_ε is obtained, the derivative of the normalized density can be easily computed. Indeed, $h_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon h^* + o(\varepsilon)$. Consequently, $\int h_\varepsilon = \int h + \varepsilon \int h^* + o(\varepsilon)$. Hence, $\partial_\varepsilon \left(\frac{h_\varepsilon}{\int h_\varepsilon} \right) |_{\varepsilon=0} = h^* - h \int h^*$.

where \hat{h}' is the spatial derivative of \hat{h} ,

$$A_0 = - \left(\frac{\partial_\varepsilon \hat{T}_\varepsilon}{\hat{T}'_\varepsilon} \right) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}, \quad B_0 = \left(\frac{\partial_\varepsilon \hat{T}_\varepsilon \cdot \hat{T}_\varepsilon''}{\hat{T}'_\varepsilon{}^2} - \frac{\partial_\varepsilon \hat{T}'_\varepsilon}{\hat{T}'_\varepsilon} \right) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

Remark 3.2 (Moving inducing sets). *We notice that the above theorem generalizes easily to the case where the inducing sets Δ_ε are allowed to depend on ε in a C^1 way. Indeed, any C^1 family of C^1 diffeomorphism $S_\varepsilon: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $S_\varepsilon(\Delta_\varepsilon) = \Delta$, $S_0 = id$, will conjugate T_ε with a map \bar{T}_ε whose inducing set is Δ . Applying the above result to the map \bar{T}_ε , with the obvious notation,*

$$\bar{h}_\varepsilon = \bar{h} + \varepsilon \bar{h}^* + o(\varepsilon) \quad (10)$$

Then using (10) and the fact that $h_\varepsilon = \bar{h}_\varepsilon \circ S_\varepsilon \cdot S'_\varepsilon$ we obtain

$$\partial_\varepsilon h_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} = \bar{h}' \cdot \partial_\varepsilon S_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} + \bar{h}^* + \bar{h} \cdot \partial_\varepsilon S'_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0}. \quad (11)$$

3.1. Rigorous numerical approximation of the derivative. An important feature of our approach is that it could be amenable to obtain rigorous numerical approximation of h^* . In particular, since \hat{L} has a spectral gap on C^k , $k = 1, 2$, using ideas of [2] one can approximate $(I - \hat{L})^{-1} \hat{L} [A_0 \hat{h}' + B_0 \hat{h}]$ as a first step, and in the second step one can follow the path of [1] and pull back the computed formula of the first step to the full system and obtain a numerical approximation of h^* in \mathcal{B} .

3.2. General strategy. We first prove two lemmas. They relate h_ε , the invariant density⁵ of the perturbed map T_ε , $\varepsilon \neq 0$, with \hat{h} , the invariant density of the induced unperturbed map \hat{T} . The outcome of the two lemmas below will form the basis of our strategy to prove Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. *We have $\hat{h}_\varepsilon = (I - \hat{L}_\varepsilon)^{-1} (\hat{L}_\varepsilon - \hat{L}) \hat{h} + \hat{h}$.*

Proof. One easily checks that

$$(I - \hat{L}_\varepsilon)(\hat{h}_\varepsilon - \hat{h}) = (\hat{L}_\varepsilon - \hat{L})\hat{h}.$$

Since \hat{L}_ε has a spectral gap on C^1 it eventually contracts exponentially on the subset of zero average functions C_0^1 . Since the ranges of $(\hat{L}_\varepsilon - \hat{L}_0)$ and $(I - \hat{L}_\varepsilon)$ are contained in C_0^1 , the composition below is well defined

$$(I - \hat{L}_\varepsilon)^{-1} (I - \hat{L}_\varepsilon)(\hat{h}_\varepsilon - \hat{h}) = (I - \hat{L}_\varepsilon)^{-1} (\hat{L}_\varepsilon - \hat{L})\hat{h}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.4. *The densities of the original system and the induced one are related (modulo normalization in the finite measure case) by $h_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon(\hat{h}_\varepsilon)$.*

Proof. This is well known, see for instance [3]. \square

The goal is to show that h_ε is differentiable with respect to ε as an element of \mathcal{B} . Setting $H_\varepsilon = \hat{L}_\varepsilon - \hat{L}$ and $G_\varepsilon = (I - \hat{L}_\varepsilon)^{-1}$, Lemma 3.3 reads

$$\hat{h}_\varepsilon = G_\varepsilon H_\varepsilon \hat{h} + \hat{h}.$$

⁵With a slight abuse of language we call h_ε the density even in the non-integrable case.

Hence, by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$h_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon G_\varepsilon H_\varepsilon \hat{h} + F_\varepsilon \hat{h}. \quad (12)$$

Thus, using (12), our proof of Theorem 3.1 consists of the following steps:

(i) First, we prove that there exists $q \in C_0^1$ such that

$$\frac{H_\varepsilon \hat{h}}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow q \text{ in } C_0^1. \quad (13)$$

Which means that $r_\varepsilon := \frac{H_\varepsilon \hat{h}}{\varepsilon} - q \rightarrow 0$ in C_0^1 . We then notice that

$$G_\varepsilon(H_\varepsilon \hat{h}) = G_\varepsilon(\varepsilon q + \varepsilon r_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon G_\varepsilon(q) + \varepsilon G_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon).$$

(ii) In the second step we show that $G_\varepsilon(q) \rightarrow G_0(q)$ in C^0 and G_ε is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{L}(C_0^1, C^0)$. In particular we show that the function $s_\varepsilon := G_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ in C^0 . We then notice that

$$F_\varepsilon G_\varepsilon(H_\varepsilon \hat{h}) = F_\varepsilon(\varepsilon G_0(q) + \varepsilon s_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon F_\varepsilon(G_0(q)) + \varepsilon F_\varepsilon(s_\varepsilon).$$

(iii) Consequently, in the third step we show that $F_\varepsilon(G_0(q)) \rightarrow F_0(G_0(q))$ in \mathcal{B} and F_ε is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{L}(C^0, \mathcal{B})$.

(iv) Finally, for the remaining term $F_\varepsilon \hat{h}$, we show that $\varepsilon \mapsto F_\varepsilon \hat{h}$ is differentiable as an element of \mathcal{B} .

The above steps imply that h_ε is differentiable as an element of \mathcal{B} . The formula of the derivative is obtained using (3.1), and Lemma 3.4. Indeed, we have

$$h_\varepsilon - h = F_\varepsilon G_\varepsilon H_\varepsilon \hat{h} + F_\varepsilon \hat{h} - h = F_\varepsilon G_\varepsilon H_\varepsilon \hat{h} + F_\varepsilon \hat{h} - F_0 \hat{h}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_\varepsilon - h}{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon G_\varepsilon \frac{H_\varepsilon \hat{h}}{\varepsilon} + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_\varepsilon \hat{h} - F_0 \hat{h}}{\varepsilon}.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

We prove Theorem 3.1 in a series of lemmas that follow steps (i)-(iv) of Subsection 3.2. We first recall some notation.

$$A_\varepsilon = - \left(\frac{\partial_\varepsilon \hat{T}_\varepsilon}{\hat{T}'_\varepsilon} \right), \quad B_\varepsilon = \left(\frac{\partial_\varepsilon \hat{T}_\varepsilon \cdot \hat{T}''_\varepsilon}{\hat{T}'_\varepsilon{}^2} - \frac{\partial_\varepsilon \hat{T}'_\varepsilon}{\hat{T}'_\varepsilon} \right).$$

Lemma 4.1. *For any differentiable function Φ , the function $\Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}$ is differentiable with respect to ε and we have on $[0, 1]$*

$$\partial_\varepsilon(\Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}) = \Phi' \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} \partial_\varepsilon g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon} + \Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} \partial_\varepsilon g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}, \quad (14)$$

which reduces on Δ to

$$\partial_\varepsilon \Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon} = [\Phi' A_\varepsilon + \Phi B_\varepsilon] \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}. \quad (15)$$

Proof. For (14) the differentiation with respect to ε gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varepsilon(\Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}) &= \partial_\varepsilon(\Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon}) g'_{\omega, \varepsilon} + \Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} \partial_\varepsilon g'_{\omega, \varepsilon} \\ &= \Phi' \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} \partial_\varepsilon g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon} + \Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} \partial_\varepsilon g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the statement for $x \in \Delta$, we start from the relation $\hat{T}_\varepsilon \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon}(x) = x$ and differentiate it with respect to ε and get $\hat{T}'_\varepsilon \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} \partial_\varepsilon g_{\omega,\varepsilon} + \partial_\varepsilon \hat{T}_\varepsilon \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} = 0$. This gives $\partial_\varepsilon g_{\omega,\varepsilon} = A_\varepsilon \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon}$. This also implies that $\partial_\varepsilon g'_{\omega,\varepsilon} = A'_\varepsilon \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g'_{\omega,\varepsilon} = B_\varepsilon \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g'_{\omega,\varepsilon}$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$\frac{H_\varepsilon \hat{h}}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow q \text{ in } C_0^1,$$

where $q = \hat{L}[A_0 \hat{h}' + B_0 \hat{h}]$.

Proof. Recall that $H_\varepsilon = \hat{L}_\varepsilon - \hat{L}$ hence we need to show that $\varepsilon \mapsto \hat{L}_\varepsilon \hat{h}$ is differentiable as a C^1 element, on some neighborhood V of 0. To this end, recall that $\hat{L}_\varepsilon \hat{h} = \sum_\omega \hat{h} \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g'_{\omega,\varepsilon}$. It suffices to show that

- (i) for each ω , the map $\varepsilon \in V \mapsto \hat{h} \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g'_{\omega,\varepsilon} \in C^1$ is differentiable;
- (ii) the series $\sum_\omega \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|\partial_\varepsilon(\hat{h} \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g'_{\omega,\varepsilon})\|_{C^1} < \infty$.

We first prove (i). Drop for simplicity the subscript ω and write $g_\varepsilon = g_{\omega,\varepsilon}$ and let $f_\varepsilon = \hat{h} \circ g_\varepsilon g'_\varepsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon &= \hat{h} \circ g_\varepsilon g'_\varepsilon \\ f'_\varepsilon &= \hat{h}' \circ g_\varepsilon (g'_\varepsilon)^2 + \hat{h} \circ g_\varepsilon g''_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the commutation relations given by assumption (1) are preserved by iterations, compositions and algebraic operations, hence we have

$$\partial_\varepsilon f_\varepsilon^{(i)} = (\partial_\varepsilon f_\varepsilon)^{(i)}, \quad i = 0, 1 \quad (16)$$

and these are continuous functions on $[0, 1] \times I$.

Let $\nu \in V$ and ε be small. We have

$$\|f_{\varepsilon+\nu} - f_\nu - \varepsilon(\partial_\delta f_\delta|_{\delta=\nu})\|_{C^1} = \sum_{i=0}^1 \|f_{\varepsilon+\nu}^{(i)} - f_\nu^{(i)} - \varepsilon(\partial_\delta f_\delta|_{\delta=\nu})^{(i)}\|_{C^0}. \quad (17)$$

For each x , by the mean value theorem, there exists $\eta_{x,\varepsilon}^i$ such that $f_{\varepsilon+\nu}^{(i)}(x) - f_\nu^{(i)}(x) = \varepsilon \partial_\delta f_\delta^{(i)}|_{\delta=\eta_{x,\varepsilon}^i}$, with $|\eta_{x,\varepsilon}^i - \nu| < \varepsilon$. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^1 \|f_{\varepsilon+\nu}^{(i)} - f_\nu^{(i)} - \varepsilon(\partial_\delta f_\delta^{(i)}|_{\delta=\nu})\|_{C^0} \leq |\varepsilon| \sum_{i=0}^1 \|\partial_\delta f_\delta^{(i)}|_{\delta=\eta_{x,\varepsilon}^i} - \partial_\delta f_\delta^{(i)}|_{\delta=\nu}\|_{C^0} = o(\varepsilon).$$

We conclude by (17) and the commutation relation (16). We now prove (ii).

$$\sum_\omega \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|\partial_\varepsilon f_{\omega,\varepsilon}\|_{C^1} = \sum_\omega \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \sum_{i=0}^1 \|\partial_\varepsilon f_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{(i)}\|_{C^0}. \quad (18)$$

We write for $i = 0, 1$

$$\partial_\varepsilon f_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{(i)} = \sum_{k=0}^{i+1} a_k^{(i)} \partial_\varepsilon g_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{(k)}, \quad (19)$$

where the coefficients $a_k^{(i)}$ are given respectively by

$$a_0^{(0)} = \hat{h}' \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g'_{\omega,\varepsilon}, \quad a_1^{(0)} = \hat{h} \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon}$$

then differentiating again in space we get

$$a_0^{(1)} = \hat{h}'' \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{\prime 2} + \hat{h}' \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}'' , \quad a_1^{(1)} = 2\hat{h}' \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}' , \quad a_2^{(1)} = \hat{h} \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} .$$

By assumptions (3) and (5), we have $a_0^{(i)} \leq C g_{\omega,\varepsilon}'$ and $a_k^{(i)} \leq C$ for any $i = 0, 1$ and $k \neq 0$. Moreover, by assumption (4), for $k = 1, 2$,

$$\sum_{\omega} \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \sup_{x \in \Delta} |\partial_{\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(x)| \leq C .$$

Putting these estimates together with (19) imply that (18) is finite, proving (ii). Moreover, we have

$$\partial_{\varepsilon} H_{\varepsilon} \hat{h}|_{\varepsilon=0} = \sum_{\omega} \partial_{\varepsilon} (\hat{h} \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}')|_{\varepsilon=0} = \hat{L}[A_0 \hat{h}' + B_0 \hat{h}] ,$$

where we have used (15). \square

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\Phi \in C^1$ we have $\hat{L}_{\varepsilon} \Phi \rightarrow \hat{L} \Phi$ in C^1 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. We have $\hat{L}_{\varepsilon} \Phi = \sum_{\omega} \Phi \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}'$. It suffices to show that for some neighborhood V of 0,

(i) for each ω , the map $\varepsilon \in V \mapsto \Phi \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}' \in C^1$ is continuous in ε ;

(ii) the series $\sum_{\omega} \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|\Phi \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}'\|_{C^1} < \infty$. We skip the proof of (i) since it is similar to (i) in the proof of Lemma 4.2. (ii) follows from the identity

$$(\Phi \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}')' = \Phi' \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}^{\prime 2} + \Phi \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}''$$

and conditions (2) and (3). \square

Lemma 4.4. *We have $G_{\varepsilon}(q) \rightarrow G_0(q)$ in C^0 and G_{ε} is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{L}(C_0^1, C^0)$*

Proof. We will use the fact that the family of operators \hat{L}_{ε} has a uniform spectral gap on C_0^1 , for ε in a neighborhood of 0. Hence, these operators are invertible on this space and we have $\|(1 - \hat{L}_{\varepsilon})^{-1}\|_{C_0^1 \rightarrow C_0^1} \leq C < \infty$. This proves in particular the second statement. Note that

$$(G_{\varepsilon} - G_0)(q) = (1 - \hat{L}_{\varepsilon})^{-1} (\hat{L}_{\varepsilon} - \hat{L}) (1 - \hat{L})^{-1}(q) .$$

By Lemma 4.3 with $\Phi = (1 - \hat{L})^{-1}(q)$ and the previous observations this proves the first statement. \square

Lemma 4.5. *The map $\varepsilon \mapsto F_{\varepsilon} \hat{h}$ is differentiable as an element in \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. It suffices to show that

(i) for each ω , the map $\varepsilon \in V \mapsto \hat{h} \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}' \in \mathcal{B}$ is differentiable;

(ii) the series $\sum_{\omega} \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|\partial_{\varepsilon} (\hat{h} \circ g_{\omega,\varepsilon} g_{\omega,\varepsilon}')\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty$.

We skip the proof of (i) as it follows similar steps as in the proof of (i) in Lemma 4.2. For (ii), using (14) of Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\omega} \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|\partial_{\varepsilon}(\hat{h} \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon})\|_{\mathcal{B}} &\leq \sum_{\omega} \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|\hat{h}' \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{\varepsilon} g_{\omega, \varepsilon} \cdot g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\omega} \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|\hat{h} \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{\varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{\omega} \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{B}} + C \sum_{\omega} \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|\partial_{\varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where we have used the fact that \hat{h} is C^1 and assumptions (5) and (6). The rest of the proof follows from assumptions (7) and (8). \square

Lemma 4.6. $F_{\varepsilon}(G_0(q)) \rightarrow F_0(G_0(q))$ in \mathcal{B} and F_{ε} is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{L}(C^0, \mathcal{B})$.

Proof. To prove uniform boundedness we use assumption (7) to get, for $\Phi \in C^0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\varepsilon}(\Phi)\|_{\mathcal{B}} &= \|1_{\Delta} \Phi + (1 - 1_{\Delta}) \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\leq \|\Phi\|_{C^0} + \|\Phi\|_{C^0} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sup_{\varepsilon \in V} \|g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C \|\Phi\|_{C^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, the map $g_{\omega, \varepsilon}$ converges to $g_{\omega, 0}$ in the C^1 norm. Hence for the continuous function $\Phi = G_0(q) \in C^0$ we have $\Phi \circ g_{\omega, \varepsilon} g'_{\omega, \varepsilon}$ converges uniformly to $\Phi \circ g_{\omega, 0} g'_{\omega, 0}$. This together with the normal convergence above shows the continuity of $F_{\varepsilon}(G_0(q)) \in \mathcal{B}$ at $\varepsilon = 0$. \square

5. VERIFYING THE ASSUMPTIONS FOR LSV MAPS

We verify the assumptions of Section 2 for the family of intermittent maps, known as Liverani-Saussol-Vaianti (LSV) maps [14]. Let $0 < \alpha < \infty$, and define

$$T_{\alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} x(1 + 2^{\alpha} x^{\alpha}) & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 2x - 1 & x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}. \quad (21)$$

Note that $x = 0$ is a neutral fixed point for the map T_{α} which is consequently a non-uniformly expanding map of the interval (on two pieces). Following Korepanov [12], we use the following notation

$$\text{logg}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \leq e \\ \log(n) & n > e \end{cases},$$

and we let $E_{\alpha} : [0, 1/2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $E_{\alpha} x = T_{\alpha} x$ be the left branch of T_{α} . Let $z \in [0, 1]$, and write $z_n := E_{\alpha}^{-n}(z)$; $z := z_0$. Let $\hat{T}_{\omega} := \hat{T}_{\alpha}|_{[\omega]}$ as defined in Section 2, then $\hat{T}_{\omega}(z) = E_{\alpha}^n(T_{\alpha}(z)) = E_{\alpha}^n(2z - 1)$ for $z \in [\omega]$, and for $z \in [1/2, 1]$ $T_{\alpha}(g_{\omega}(z)) = 2g_{\omega}(z) - 1 = z_n$. Note that $z_0 = z$, $z'_0 = 1$, $z''_0 = z'''_0 = 0$, for $n \geq 1$ $z_n \leq 1/2$, and

$$z_n = z_{n+1}(1 + 2^{\alpha} z_{n+1}^{\alpha}); \quad (22)$$

$$z'_n = (1 + (\alpha + 1)2^{\alpha} z_{n+1}^{\alpha})z'_{n+1}. \quad (23)$$

It is well known, see for example [17], that $z_n \sim \frac{1}{2\alpha^{1/\alpha}} n^{-1/\alpha}$. In [12] Korepanov proved

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

- a) $\frac{C}{n} z_0^\alpha \leq z_n^\alpha \leq \frac{C}{n}$, and $-\log(z_n) \leq C[\text{logg}(n) - \log z_0]$;
b)

$$0 \leq z'_n \leq C(1 + nz_0^\alpha \alpha 2^\alpha)^{-1/\alpha-1}; \quad (24)$$

- c) $0 \leq \frac{z''_n}{z'_n} \leq Cz_0^{-2} / \max\{n, 1\}$;
d) $\frac{\partial_\alpha z_n}{z_n} \leq C \text{logg}(n) [\text{logg}(n) - \log z_0]$ and

$$\partial_\alpha z_n \leq C \frac{\text{logg}(n)}{n^{1/\alpha}} [\text{logg}(n) - \log z_0]; \quad (25)$$

- e) $|\frac{\partial_\alpha z'_n}{z'_n}| \leq C(\text{logg}(n))^2 [\text{logg}(n) - \log z_0]$;
f) $|\frac{\partial_\alpha z''_n}{z''_n}| \leq Cz_0^{-2} (\text{logg}(n))^2 [\text{logg}(n) - \log z_0]$.

The above list shows that our assumptions (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) are satisfied for the LSV family. We still have to show that assumptions (6), (7) and (8) hold. This will be done in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let⁶ $\alpha_0 < \gamma$. Let U be a neighbourhood of α_0 such that $\gamma \notin U$. We have*

- a) $\sum_n \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0,1]} |z^\gamma (g^n)'(z)| < C$;
b) $\sup_n \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0,1]} |\partial_\alpha g^n(z)| < \infty$;
c) $\sum_n \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0,1]} |z^\gamma \partial_\alpha (g^n)'(z)| < \infty$.

Proof. For (a), by (24), we have

$$\sum_n \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in [0,1]} |z^\gamma (g^n)'(z)| \leq C \sum_n \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0,1]} z^\gamma (1 + nz^\alpha \alpha 2^\alpha)^{-1/\alpha-1} < C.$$

For (b), we only discuss the case for $z \in (0, 1/2]$. The other case is the same⁷, with a small change in notation. Using (22) we have

$$\partial_\alpha z_{j+1} = \frac{\partial_\alpha z_j + 2^\alpha z_{j+1}^{\alpha+1} (-\log 2z_{j+1})}{1 + (\alpha + 1)2^\alpha z_{j+1}^\alpha} > 0. \quad (26)$$

Consequently

$$0 < \partial_\alpha z_{j+1} - \partial_\alpha z_j \leq 2^\alpha z_{j+1}^{\alpha+1} (-\log 2z_{j+1}).$$

Noticing that $\partial_\alpha z_0 = 0$, and summing up, we get

$$\partial_\alpha z_{n+1} \leq 2^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_j^{\alpha+1} (-\log 2z_j). \quad (27)$$

⁶Here α_0 is understood as the parameter corresponding to the unperturbed map; i.e., equivalent to the case $\varepsilon = 0$ in Section 2.

⁷In fact Lemma 5.6 of [12] provides an estimate which works only for $z \in (1/2, 1]$.

Therefore, using (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_n \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in [0, 1/2]} |\partial_\alpha g^n| \\
& \leq C \sup_n \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sum_{j=1}^n ((j)^{-1/\alpha})^{\alpha+1} (-\log(j))^{-1/\alpha} \\
& \leq C \sup_n \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-1-1/\alpha} \log(j) < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

For (c), using the commutation relation $\partial_\alpha z'_n = (\partial_\alpha z_n)'$, (26) and (23), we get

$$\frac{\partial_\alpha z'_j}{z'_j} - \frac{\partial_\alpha z'_{j+1}}{z'_{j+1}} = \frac{2^\alpha z_{j+1}^\alpha + (\alpha+1)2^\alpha z_{j+1}^\alpha \log(2z_{j+1}) + \alpha(\alpha+1)2^\alpha z_{j+1}^{\alpha-1} \partial_\alpha z_{j+1}}{1 + (\alpha+1)2^\alpha z_{j+1}^\alpha}.$$

Noticing that $\partial_\alpha z_0 = 0$, and summing up, we get

$$-\frac{\partial_\alpha z'_{n+1}}{z'_{n+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{2^\alpha z_{j+1}^\alpha + (\alpha+1)2^\alpha z_{j+1}^\alpha \log(2z_{j+1}) + \alpha(\alpha+1)2^\alpha z_{j+1}^{\alpha-1} \partial_\alpha z_{j+1}}{1 + (\alpha+1)2^\alpha z_{j+1}^\alpha},$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\partial_\alpha z'_{n+1} = z'_{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{2^\alpha z_j^\alpha + (\alpha+1)2^\alpha z_j^\alpha \log(2z_j) + \alpha(\alpha+1)2^\alpha z_j^{\alpha-1} \partial_\alpha z_j}{1 + (\alpha+1)2^\alpha z_j^\alpha}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_n \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0, 1/2]} |z^\gamma \partial_\alpha (g^n)'| & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0, 1/2]} |z^\gamma \cdot z'_n| \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^\alpha \\
& + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0, 1/2]} |z^\gamma \cdot z'_n| \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^\alpha |\log(z_j)| \\
& + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0, 1/2]} |z^\gamma \cdot z'_n| \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{\alpha-1} |\partial_\alpha z_j| \\
& := (I) + (II) + (III).
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

We use (24) to show that (I) and (II) are finite, and (24), (27) to show that (III) is finite. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
(I) & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0, 1/2]} z^\gamma \cdot (1 + nz^\alpha \alpha 2^\alpha)^{-1/\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-1} < \infty; \\
(II) & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0, 1/2]} z^\gamma \cdot (1 + nz^\alpha \alpha 2^\alpha)^{-1/\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-1} \log(j) < \infty; \\
(III) & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in U} \sup_{z \in (0, 1/2]} z^\gamma \cdot (1 + nz^\alpha \alpha 2^\alpha)^{-1/\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^j k^{-1-1/\alpha} \log k < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dalia Terhesiu for useful discussions during ESI workshop “Thermodynamic formalism and Mixing”, in particular for encouraging us to incorporate the infinite measure case.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bahsoun, C. Bose, Y. Duan, Rigorous Pointwise approximations for invariant densities of nonuniformly expanding maps, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 35 (2015), 1028–1044.
- [2] W. Bahsoun, S. Galatolo, I. Nisoli, X. Niu, A Rigorous Computational Approach to Linear Response. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.08661>
- [3] W. Bahsoun, S. Vaienti, Metastability of certain intermittent maps. *Nonlinearity*, 25 (2012), no. 1, 107–124.
- [4] V. Baladi, On the susceptibility function of piecewise expanding interval maps, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, (2007) 839–859.
- [5] V. Baladi, Linear response, or else. Available at <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1408.2937v1.pdf>
- [6] V. Baladi, D. Smania, Linear response formula for piecewise expanding unimodal maps. *Nonlinearity*, (2008) 677–711.
- [7] V. Baladi, M. Todd, Linear response for intermittent maps. To appear in *Comm. Math. Phys.* Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.02700>
- [8] O. Butterley, C. Liverani, Smooth Anosov flows: correlation spectra and stability. *J. Mod. Dyn.* (2007), 301–322.
- [9] D. Dolgopyat, On differentiability of SRB states for partially hyperbolic systems. *Invent. Math.* (2004), 389–449.
- [10] S. Gouëzel, C. Liverani, Banach spaces adapted to Anosov systems, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 26 (2006), 189–217.
- [11] A. Katok, G. Knieper, M. Pollicott, H. Weiss, Differentiability and analyticity of topological entropy for Anosov and geodesic flows. *Invent. Math.* 98 (1989), no. 3, 581–597.
- [12] A. Korepanov, Linear response for intermittent maps with summable and non-summable decay of correlations. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.06571>. To appear in *Nonlinearity*.
- [13] C. Liverani, *Invariant measures and their properties. A functional analytic point of view*. Dynamical systems. Part II, 185–237, *Pubbl. Cent. Ric. Mat. Ennio Giorgi, Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa*, 2003.
- [14] C. Liverani, B. Saussol, S. Vaienti, A probabilistic approach to intermittency, *Ergodic theory Dynam. System*, **19**, (1999), 671–685.
- [15] Y. Pomeau, P. Manneville, Intermittent transition to turbulence in dissipative dynamical systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* (74 (1980) 189–197.
- [16] D. Ruelle, Differentiation of SRB states, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 187 (1997) 227–241.
- [17] L.-S. Young, Recurrence times and rates of mixing, *Israel J. Math.* **110** (1999) 153–188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LOUGHBOROUGH UNIVERSITY, LOUGHBOROUGH, LEICESTERSHIRE, LE11 3TU, UK
E-mail address: W.Bahsoun@lboro.ac.uk

UNIVERSITÉ DE BREST, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BRETAGNE ATLANTIQUE, CNRS UMR 6205, BREST, FRANCE
E-mail address: benoit.saussol@univ-brest.fr