

# ON THE GROTHENDIECK–SERRE CONJECTURE CONCERNING PRINCIPAL $G$ -BUNDLES OVER SEMI-LOCAL DEDEKIND DOMAINS

I. PANIN AND A. STAVROVA

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a semi-local Dedekind domain and let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $R$ . Let  $G$  be a reductive semisimple simply connected  $R$ -group scheme such that every semisimple normal  $R$ -subgroup scheme of  $G$  contains a split  $R$ -torus  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ . We prove that the kernel of the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G)$$

induced by the inclusion of  $R$  into  $K$ , is trivial. This result partially extends the Nisnevich theorem [Ni, Thm.4.2].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A well-known conjecture due to J.-P. Serre and A. Grothendieck [Se, Remarque, p.31], [Gr1, Remarque 3, p.26-27], and [Gr2, Remarque 1.11.a] asserts that given a regular local ring  $R$  and its field of fractions  $K$  and given a reductive group scheme  $G$  over  $R$  the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion of  $R$  into  $K$ , has trivial kernel.

The Grothendieck–Serre conjecture holds for semi-local regular rings containing a field. That is proved in [FP] and in [Pa1]. The first of these two papers is heavily based on results of [PSV] and [Pa2]. For the detailed history of the topic see, for instance, [FP]. Assuming that  $R$  is not equicharacteristic, the conjecture has been established only in the case where  $G$  is an  $R$ -torus [C-T/S] and in the case where  $G$  is a reductive group scheme over a discrete valuation ring  $R$  [Ni, Theorem 4.2]. In the present paper, we extend the latter result to the case of an isotropic semisimple simply connected reductive group scheme over a semi-local Dedekind domain  $R$ ; see Theorem 3.4.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Parabolic subgroups and elementary subgroups.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring. Let  $G$  be an isotropic reductive group scheme over  $A$ , and let  $P$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  in the sense of [SGA3]. Since the base  $\text{Spec } A$  is affine, the group  $P$  has a Levi subgroup  $L_P$  [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Cor. 2.3]. There is a unique parabolic subgroup  $P^-$  in  $G$  which is opposite to  $P$  with respect to  $L_P$ , that is

---

Theorem 3.4 is proved due to the support of the Russian Science Foundation (grant no. 14-11-00456).

The second author is a postdoctoral fellow of the program 6.50.22.2014 “Structure theory, representation theory and geometry of algebraic groups” at St. Petersburg State University.

$P^- \cap P = L_P$ , cf. [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Th. 4.3.2]. We denote by  $U_P$  and  $U_{P^-}$  the unipotent radicals of  $P$  and  $P^-$  respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** *The elementary subgroup  $E_P(A)$  corresponding to  $P$  is the subgroup of  $G(A)$  generated as an abstract group by  $U_P(A)$  and  $U_{P^-}(A)$ .*

Note that if  $L'_P$  is another Levi subgroup of  $P$ , then  $L'_P$  and  $L_P$  are conjugate by an element  $u \in U_P(A)$  [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Cor. 1.8], hence  $E_P(A)$  does not depend on the choice of a Levi subgroup or of an opposite subgroup  $P^-$ , respectively. We suppress the particular choice of  $L_P$  or  $P^-$  in this context.

**Definition 2.2.** *A parabolic subgroup  $P$  in  $G$  is called strictly proper, if it intersects properly every normal semisimple subgroup of  $G$ .*

We will use the following result that is a combination of [PSt1] and [SGA3, Exp. XXVI, §5].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a reductive group scheme over a commutative ring  $A$ , and let  $R$  be a commutative  $A$ -algebra. Assume that  $A$  is a semilocal ring. Then the subgroup  $E_P(R)$  of  $G(R)$  is the same for any minimal parabolic  $A$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . If, moreover,  $G$  contains a strictly proper parabolic  $A$ -subgroup, the subgroup  $E_P(R)$  is the same for any strictly proper parabolic  $A$ -subgroup  $P$ .*

*Proof.* See [St14, Theorem 2.1]. □

**2.2. Torus actions on reductive groups.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1, and let  $S = (\mathbb{G}_{m,R})^N = \text{Spec}(R[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_N^{\pm 1}])$  be a split  $N$ -dimensional torus over  $R$ . Recall that the character group  $X^*(S) = \text{Hom}_R(S, \mathbb{G}_{m,R})$  of  $S$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ . If  $S$  acts  $R$ -linearly on an  $R$ -module  $V$ , this module has a natural  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ -grading

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X^*(S)} V_\lambda,$$

where

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid s \cdot v = \lambda(s)v \text{ for any } s \in S(R)\}.$$

Conversely, any  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ -graded  $R$ -module  $V$  can be provided with an  $S$ -action by the same rule.

Let  $G$  be a reductive group scheme over  $R$  in the sense of [SGA3]. Assume that  $S$  acts on  $G$  by  $R$ -group automorphisms. The associated Lie algebra functor  $\text{Lie}(G)$  then acquires a  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ -grading compatible with the Lie algebra structure,

$$\text{Lie}(G) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X^*(S)} \text{Lie}(G)_\lambda.$$

We will use the following version of [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Prop. 6.1].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $L = \text{Cent}_G(S)$  be the subscheme of  $G$  fixed by  $S$ . Let  $\Psi \subseteq X^*(S)$  be an  $R$ -subsheaf of sets closed under addition of characters.*

(i) *If  $0 \in \Psi$ , then there exists a unique smooth connected closed subgroup  $U_\Psi$  of  $G$  containing  $L$  and satisfying*

$$(1) \quad \text{Lie}(U_\Psi) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Psi} \text{Lie}(G)_\lambda.$$

Moreover, if  $\Psi = \{0\}$ , then  $U_\Psi = L$ ; if  $\Psi = -\Psi$ , then  $U_\Psi$  is reductive; if  $\Psi \cup (-\Psi) = X^*(S)$ , then  $U_\Psi$  and  $U_{-\Psi}$  are two opposite parabolic subgroups of  $G$  with the common Levi subgroup  $U_{\Psi \cap (-\Psi)}$ .

(ii) If  $0 \notin \Psi$ , then there exists a unique smooth connected unipotent closed subgroup  $U_\Psi$  of  $G$  normalized by  $L$  and satisfying (1).

*Proof.* The statement immediately follows by faithfully flat descent from the standard facts about the subgroups of split reductive groups proved in [SGA3, Exp. XXII]; see the proof of [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Prop. 6.1].  $\square$

**Definition 2.5.** *The sheaf of sets*

$$\Phi = \Phi(S, G) = \{\lambda \in X^*(S) \setminus \{0\} \mid \text{Lie}(G)_\lambda \neq 0\}$$

is called the system of relative roots of  $G$  with respect to  $S$ .

Choosing a total ordering on the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -space  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X^*(S) \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$ , one defines the subsets of positive and negative relative roots  $\Phi^+$  and  $\Phi^-$ , so that  $\Phi$  is a disjoint union of  $\Phi^+$ ,  $\Phi^-$ , and  $\{0\}$ . By Lemma 2.4 the closed subgroups

$$U_{\Phi^+ \cup \{0\}} = P, \quad U_{\Phi^- \cup \{0\}} = P^-$$

are two opposite parabolic subgroups of  $G$  with the common Levi subgroup  $\text{Cent}_G(S)$ . Thus, if a reductive group  $G$  over  $R$  admits a non-trivial action of a split torus, then it has a proper parabolic subgroup. The converse is true Zariski-locally, see Lemma 2.6 below.

**2.3. Relative roots and subschemes.** In order to prove our main result, we need to use the notions of relative roots and relative root subschemes. These notions were initially introduced and studied in [PSt1], and further developed in [St15].

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $G$  be a reductive group scheme over  $R$ . Let  $P$  be a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  over  $R$ , and let  $L$  be a Levi subgroup of  $P$ . By [SGA3, Exp. XXII, Prop. 2.8] the root system  $\Phi$  of  $G_{\overline{k(s)}}$ ,  $s \in \text{Spec } R$ , is constant locally in the Zariski topology on  $\text{Spec } R$ . The type of the root system of  $L_{\overline{k(s)}}$  is determined by a Dynkin subdiagram of the Dynkin diagram of  $\Phi$ , which is also constant Zariski-locally on  $\text{Spec } R$  by [SGA3, Exp. XXVI, Lemme 1.14 and Prop. 1.15]. In particular, if  $\text{Spec } R$  is connected, all these data are constant on  $\text{Spec } R$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** [St15, Lemma 3.6] *Let  $G$  be a reductive group over a connected commutative ring  $R$ ,  $P$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ ,  $L$  be a Levi subgroup of  $P$ , and  $\bar{L}$  be the image of  $L$  under the natural homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}} \subseteq \text{Aut}(G)$ . Let  $D$  be the Dynkin diagram of the root system  $\Phi$  of  $G_{\overline{k(s)}}$  for any  $s \in \text{Spec } R$ . We identify  $D$  with a set of simple roots of  $\Phi$  such that  $P_{\overline{k(s)}}$  is a standard positive parabolic subgroup with respect to  $D$ . Let  $J \subseteq D$  be the set of simple roots such that  $D \setminus J \subseteq D$  is the subdiagram corresponding to  $L_{\overline{k(s)}}$ . Then there are a unique maximal split subtorus  $S \subseteq \text{Cent}(\bar{L})$  and a subgroup  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(D)$  such that  $J$  is invariant under  $\Gamma$ , and for any  $s \in \text{Spec } R$  and any split maximal torus  $T \subseteq \bar{L}_{\overline{k(s)}}$  the kernel of the natural surjection*

$$(2) \quad X^*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z} \Phi \xrightarrow{\pi} X^*(S_{\overline{k(s)}}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \Phi(S, G)$$

is generated by all roots  $\alpha \in D \setminus J$ , and by all differences  $\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in J$ ,  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ .

In [PSt1], we introduced a system of relative roots  $\Phi_P$  with respect to a parabolic subgroup  $P$  of a reductive group  $G$  over a commutative ring  $R$ . This system  $\Phi_P$  was defined independently over each member  $\text{Spec } A = \text{Spec } A_i$  of a suitable finite disjoint Zariski covering

$$\text{Spec } R = \coprod_{i=1}^m \text{Spec } A_i,$$

such that over each  $A = A_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , the root system  $\Phi$  and the Dynkin diagram  $D$  of  $G$  is constant. Namely, we considered the formal projection

$$\pi_{J,\Gamma}: \mathbb{Z}\Phi \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Phi / \langle D \setminus J; \alpha - \sigma(\alpha), \alpha \in J, \sigma \in \Gamma \rangle,$$

and set  $\Phi_P = \Phi_{J,\Gamma} = \pi_{J,\Gamma}(\Phi) \setminus \{0\}$ . The last claim of Lemma 2.6 allows to identify  $\Phi_{J,\Gamma}$  and  $\Phi(S, G)$  whenever  $\text{Spec } R$  is connected.

**Definition 2.7.** *In the setting of Lemma 2.6 we call  $\Phi(S, G)$  a system of relative roots with respect to the parabolic subgroup  $P$  over  $R$  and denote it by  $\Phi_P$ .*

If  $A$  is a field or a local ring, and  $P$  is a minimal parabolic subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\Phi_P$  is nothing but the relative root system of  $G$  with respect to a maximal split subtorus in the sense of [BT1] or, respectively, [SGA3, Exp. XXVI §7].

We have also defined in [PSt1] irreducible components of systems of relative roots, the subsets of positive and negative relative roots, simple relative roots, and the height of a root. These definitions are immediate analogs of the ones for usual abstract root systems, so we do not reproduce them here.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1. For any finitely generated projective  $R$ -module  $V$ , we denote by  $W(V)$  the natural affine scheme over  $R$  associated with  $V$ , see [SGA3, Exp. I, §4.6]. Any morphism of  $R$ -schemes  $W(V_1) \rightarrow W(V_2)$  is determined by an element  $f \in \text{Sym}^*(V_1^\vee) \otimes_R V_2$ , where  $\text{Sym}^*$  denotes the symmetric algebra, and  $V_1^\vee$  denotes the dual module of  $V_1$ . If  $f \in \text{Sym}^d(V_1^\vee) \otimes_R V_2$ , we say that the corresponding morphism is homogeneous of degree  $d$ . By abuse of notation, we also write  $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  and call it a *degree  $d$  homogeneous polynomial map from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$* . In this context, one has

$$f(\lambda v) = \lambda^d f(v)$$

for any  $v \in V_1$  and  $\lambda \in R$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** [St15, Lemma 3.9]. *In the setting of Lemma 2.6, for any  $\alpha \in \Phi_P = \Phi(S, G)$  there exists a closed  $S$ -equivariant embedding of  $R$ -schemes*

$$X_\alpha: W(\text{Lie}(G)_\alpha) \rightarrow G,$$

*satisfying the following condition.*

- (\*) *Let  $R'/R$  be any ring extension such that  $G_{R'}$  is split with respect to a maximal split  $R'$ -torus  $T \subseteq L_{R'}$ . Let  $e_\delta$ ,  $\delta \in \Phi$ , be a Chevalley basis of  $\text{Lie}(G_{R'})$ , adapted to  $T$  and  $P$ , and  $x_\delta: \mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow G_{R'}$ ,  $\delta \in \Phi$ , be the associated system of 1-parameter root subgroups (e.g.  $x_\delta = \exp_\delta$  of [SGA3, Exp. XXII, Th. 1.1]). Let*

$$\pi: \Phi = \Phi(T, G_{R'}) \rightarrow \Phi_P \cup \{0\}$$

be the natural projection. Then for any  $u = \sum_{\delta \in \pi^{-1}(\alpha)} a_\delta e_\delta \in \text{Lie}(G_{R'})_\alpha$  one has

$$(3) \quad X_\alpha(u) = \left( \prod_{\delta \in \pi^{-1}(\alpha)} x_\delta(a_\delta) \right) \cdot \prod_{i \geq 2} \left( \prod_{\theta \in \pi^{-1}(i\alpha)} x_\theta(p_\theta^i(u)) \right),$$

where every  $p_\theta^i : \text{Lie}(G_{R'})_\alpha \rightarrow R'$  is a homogeneous polynomial map of degree  $i$ , and the products over  $\delta$  and  $\theta$  are taken in any fixed order.

**Definition 2.9.** Closed embeddings  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi_P$ , satisfying the statement of Lemma 2.8, are called relative root subschemes of  $G$  with respect to the parabolic subgroup  $P$ .

Relative root subschemes of  $G$  with respect to  $P$ , actually, depend on the choice of a Levi subgroup  $L$  in  $P$ , but their essential properties stay the same, so we usually omit  $L$  from the notation.

We will use the following properties of relative root subschemes.

**Lemma 2.10.** [PSt1, Theorem 2, Lemma 6, Lemma 9] Let  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi_P$ , be as in Lemma 2.8. Set  $V_\alpha = \text{Lie}(G)_\alpha$  for short. Then

(i) There exist degree  $i$  homogeneous polynomial maps  $q_\alpha^i : V_\alpha \oplus V_\alpha \rightarrow V_{i\alpha}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , such that for any  $R$ -algebra  $R'$  and for any  $v, w \in V_\alpha \otimes_R R'$  one has

$$(4) \quad X_\alpha(v)X_\alpha(w) = X_\alpha(v+w) \prod_{i > 1} X_{i\alpha}(q_\alpha^i(v, w)).$$

(ii) For any  $g \in L(R)$ , there exist degree  $i$  homogeneous polynomial maps  $\varphi_{g,\alpha}^i : V_\alpha \rightarrow V_{i\alpha}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , such that for any  $R$ -algebra  $R'$  and for any  $v \in V_\alpha \otimes_R R'$  one has

$$gX_\alpha(v)g^{-1} = \prod_{i \geq 1} X_{i\alpha}(\varphi_{g,\alpha}^i(v)).$$

(iii) (generalized Chevalley commutator formula) For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_P$  such that  $m\alpha \neq -k\beta$  for all  $m, k \geq 1$ , there exist polynomial maps

$$N_{\alpha\beta ij} : V_\alpha \times V_\beta \rightarrow V_{i\alpha+j\beta}, \quad i, j > 0,$$

homogeneous of degree  $i$  in the first variable and of degree  $j$  in the second variable, such that for any  $R$ -algebra  $R'$  and for any  $u \in V_\alpha \otimes_R R'$ ,  $v \in V_\beta \otimes_R R'$  one has

$$(5) \quad [X_\alpha(u), X_\beta(v)] = \prod_{i, j > 0} X_{i\alpha+j\beta}(N_{\alpha\beta ij}(u, v))$$

(iv) For any subset  $\Psi \subseteq X^*(S) \setminus \{0\}$  that is closed under addition, the morphism

$$X_\Psi : W\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Psi} V_\alpha\right) \rightarrow U_\Psi, \quad (v_\alpha)_\alpha \mapsto \prod_{\alpha} X_\alpha(v_\alpha),$$

where the product is taken in any fixed order, is an isomorphism of schemes.

**Lemma 2.11.** In the notation of Lemma 2.6, let  $\Phi^\pm$  be the set of positive and negative roots such that  $D \subseteq \Phi^+$ . Set  $\Phi_P^\pm = \pi(\Phi^\pm) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $P^+ = P$ , and let  $P^-$  be the opposite parabolic subgroup to  $P$  such that  $P \cap P^- = L$ . Then for any  $R$ -algebra  $R'$ , one has

$$U_{P^\pm}(R') = \langle X_\alpha(R' \otimes_R V_\alpha), \alpha \in \Phi_P^\pm \rangle.$$

Consequently,

$$E_P(R') = \langle X_\alpha(R' \otimes_R V_\alpha), \alpha \in \Phi_P \rangle.$$

*Proof.* By the choice of  $D$  the parabolic subgroup  $P_{\overline{k(s)}}$  is the standard positive parabolic subgroup of  $G_{\overline{k(s)}}$  corresponding to a closed set of roots  $\Psi \supseteq \Phi^+$ . By the choice of  $J \subseteq D$ , one has

$$\Psi = \Phi^+ \cup (\mathbb{Z}(D \setminus J) \cap \Phi^-).$$

Then, clearly,  $\pi(\Psi) = \Phi_P^+ \cup \{0\}$ . Similarly,  $P^-$  corresponds to the set  $(-\Psi)$  and  $\pi(-\Psi) = \Phi_P^- \cup \{0\}$ . Then the unipotent radicals  $U_{P^\pm}$  correspond to the closed unipotent subsets

$$\pi(\Phi^\pm \setminus \mathbb{Z}(D \setminus J)) = \Phi_P^\pm \subseteq \Phi_P.$$

Then Lemma 2.10 (iv) finishes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. MAIN THEOREM

All commutative rings are assumed to be unital. For any commutative ring  $R$  and  $n \geq 3$ , we denote by  $E_n(R)$  the usual elementary subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, Let  $G$  be a reductive group scheme over  $R$ , and let  $i : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n,R}$  be a closed embedding of  $G$  as a closed  $R$ -subgroup, where  $n \geq 3$ . Assume that  $G$  contains a non-central 1-dimensional subtorus  $H \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ , and let  $P = P^+$  and  $P^-$  be the corresponding two opposite parabolic subgroups constructed as in Lemma 2.4. Then one has  $E_P(R) \leq E_n(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q = Q^+$  and  $Q^-$  be the two parabolic  $R$ -subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n,R}$  corresponding to  $H \leq G \leq \mathrm{GL}_{n,R}$ , and let  $M = \mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,R}}(T)$  be their common Levi subgroup. We show that  $U_P(R) \leq U_Q(R)$ . Clearly, this implies the claim of the lemma. By [CGP10, Proposition 2.8.3(3)] this is true if  $R$  is a field. In general, take  $g \in U_P(R)$ . It is enough to show that  $g \in U_Q(R_m)$  for any maximal localization  $R_m$  of  $R$ . Let

$$\rho : R_m \rightarrow R_m/mR_m = l$$

be the residue homomorphism. By the above  $\rho^*(g) \in U_Q(l)$ . Recall that  $\Omega_Q = U_{Q^+}MU_{Q^-} \cong U_{Q^+} \times M \times U_{Q^-}$  is an open subscheme of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n,R}$  [SGA3, Exp. XXVI, Remarque 4.3.6]. Hence

$$(6) \quad g \in U_{Q^+}(R_m)M(R_m)U_{Q^-}(R_m).$$

Let  $L = P \cap P^- = \mathrm{Cent}_G(H)$  be the Levi subgroup of  $P$  and  $P^-$ . Let  $\bar{H} \subseteq G^{ad}$  be the image of  $H$  under the natural homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G^{ad}$ . Clearly,  $\bar{H} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,R_m}$  is a split subtorus of the center of the image  $\bar{L}$  of  $L$  in  $G^{ad}$ . Let  $S \leq \mathrm{Cent}(\bar{L}_{R_m})$  be the split torus constructed in Lemma 2.6 (applied to the connected ring  $R_m$ ). Then  $\bar{H}_{R_m} \leq S$ . The embeddings  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi(S, G_{R_m})$ , are  $S$ -equivariant, hence they are  $\bar{H}_{R_m}$ -equivariant. Since  $H \leq L$  preserves the subschemes  $U_{P^\pm}$ , and  $\mathrm{Cent}(G) \leq L$ , this implies that the embeddings  $X_\alpha$  are  $H_{R_m}$ -equivariant.

By definition of  $P = P^+$  and  $P^-$ , there is an isomorphism  $X^*(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\mathrm{Lie}(U_P) = \bigoplus_{n>0} \mathrm{Lie}(G)_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Lie}(U_{P^-}) = \bigoplus_{n<0} \mathrm{Lie}(G)_n.$$

Since the embeddings  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi_P$ , are  $H_{R_m}$ -equivariant, for any  $R_m$ -algebra  $R'$ , any  $s \in H(R')$ , and any  $u \in R' \otimes_{R_m} V_\alpha = R' \otimes_{R_m} \text{Lie}(G)_\alpha$  we have

$$sX_\alpha(u)s^{-1} = X_\alpha(s(u)).$$

By Lemma 2.11 for any  $\alpha \in \Phi_P^+$  we have  $u \in \text{Lie}(U_P)(R')$ , hence  $s(u) = s^n u$  for some  $n = n(u) > 0$ . Similarly,  $\alpha \in \Phi_P^-$  we have  $u \in \text{Lie}(U_{P^-})(R')$ , hence  $s(u) = s^{-n} u$  for some  $n = n(u) > 0$ .

Applying this result to the ring of Laurent polynomials  $R' = R_m[Z^\pm]$  and  $s = Z \in H(R')$ , we conclude that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} sU_P(R_m)s^{-1} &\subseteq U_P(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]); \\ sU_{P^-}(R_m)s^{-1} &\subseteq U_{P^-}(R_m[Z^{-1}], Z^{-1}R_m[Z^{-1}]); \\ s|_{L(R_m)} &= \text{id}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, one has

$$(8) \quad sgs^{-1} \in U_P(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]) \leq G(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]) \leq \text{GL}_n(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]).$$

On the other hand, the analogs of (7) hold for  $\text{GL}_n$ ,  $U_{Q^\pm}$ , and  $M$  in place of  $G$ ,  $U_{P^\pm}$ , and  $L$ . Therefore by (6) we have

$$sgs^{-1} \in U_{Q^+}(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]) \cdot M(R_m) \cdot U_{Q^-}(R_m[Z^{-1}], Z^{-1}R_m[Z^{-1}]).$$

Since one has

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{Q^+}(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]) \cdot M(R_m) \cdot U_{Q^-}(R_m[Z^{-1}], Z^{-1}R_m[Z^{-1}]) \cap \text{GL}_n(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]) \\ &= U_{Q^+}(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that  $sgs^{-1} \in U_{Q^+}(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z])$  and thus  $g \in U_{Q^+}(R_m)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be an isotropic reductive group scheme over a connected Noetherian commutative ring  $B$ , provided with a closed  $B$ -embedding  $G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n,B}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , which is a  $B$ -group scheme homomorphism. Assume that  $G$  contains a non-central 1-dimensional split subtorus  $\mathbb{G}_{m,B}$ , and let  $P = P^+$  and  $P^-$  be the corresponding pair of opposite parabolic subgroups that exist by Lemma 2.4. Assume moreover that  $B$  is a subring of a commutative ring  $A$ , and let  $h \in B$  be a non-nilpotent element. Denote by  $F_h : G(A) \rightarrow G(A_h)$  the localization homomorphism.*

*If  $Ah + B = A$ , i.e. the natural map  $B \rightarrow A/Ah$  is surjective, then for any  $x \in E_P(A_h)$  there exist  $y \in G(A)$  and  $z \in E_P(B_h)$  such that  $x = F_h(y)z$ .*

*Proof.* Since the ring  $B$  is connected, by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.8 the group  $G$  over  $B$  with a parabolic subgroup  $P$  is provided with a split  $B$ -torus  $S \leq G^{ad}$ , the corresponding system of relative roots  $\Phi(S, G) = \Phi_P$  and relative root subschemes  $X_\alpha(V_\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha \in \Phi_P$  and each  $V_\alpha$  is a finitely generated projective  $B$ -module. By Lemma 2.11 one has

$$E_P(R) = \langle X_\alpha(R \otimes_B V_\alpha), \alpha \in \Phi_P \rangle$$

for any  $B$ -algebra  $R$ .

One has  $x = \prod_{i=1}^m X_{\beta_i}(c_i)$ ,  $c_i \in A_h \otimes_B V_{\beta_i}$ ,  $\beta_i \in \Phi_P$ . We need to show that  $x \in F_h(G(A))E_P(B_h)$ . Clearly, it is enough to show that

$$(9) \quad E_P(B_h)X_\beta(c) \subseteq F_h(G(A))E_P(B_h)$$

for any  $\beta \in \Phi_P$  and  $c \in A_h \otimes_B V_\beta$ . We can assume that  $\beta$  is a positive relative root without loss of generality. We prove the inclusion (9) by descending induction on the height of  $\beta$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  be a set of generators of the  $B$ -module  $V_\beta$ .

Take any  $z \in E_P(B_h)$ . By Lemma 3.1 we have  $E_P(R) \leq E_n(R)$  for any  $B$ -algebra  $R$ . Take  $R = A[Z]$ , the ring of polynomials over  $A$ . For any  $N \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$  one has

$$zX_\beta(h^N Z e_i)z^{-1} \in zE_n(A_h[Z], ZA_h[Z])z^{-1} \cap G(A_h[Z]).$$

Since  $z \in E_P(B_h) \leq E_n(A_h)$ , by [Sus77, Lemma 3.3] there exists  $N_i \geq 1$  and  $g_i(Z) \in E_n(A[Z], ZA[Z])$  such that  $F_h(g_i(Z)) = zX_\beta(h^{N_i} Z e_i)z^{-1}$ . By [Mo, Lemma 3.5.4] there is  $K_i \geq 1$  such that  $g_i(h^{K_i} Z) \in G(A[Z])$ . Summing up, we conclude that there is  $N \geq 1$  such that

$$(10) \quad zX_\beta(h^N Z e_i)z^{-1} \in F_h(G(A[Z]))$$

for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

On the other hand, note that  $Ah + B = A$  implies  $Ah^n + B = A$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $M \geq 0$  be such that  $h^M c \in A \otimes_B V_\beta$ . Then one can find  $a \in A \otimes_B V_\beta$  and  $b \in V_\beta$  such that

$$c = ah^N + h^{-M}b.$$

Write  $a = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i$ , where  $a_i \in A$ . By the multiplication formula for relative root elements (4) we have

$$X_\beta(c) = X_\beta(ah^N)X_\beta(h^{-M}b) \prod_{j \geq 2} X_{j\beta}(u_j),$$

where  $u_j = q_\beta^j(h^N a, h^{-M}b) \in A_h \otimes_B V_{j\beta}$ , and, similarly,

$$X_\beta(ah^N) = \prod_{i=1}^k X_\beta(a_i h^N e_i) \prod_{j \geq 2} X_{j\beta}(v_j),$$

where  $v_j \in A \otimes_B V_{j\beta}$ . By the choice of  $N$  in (10), one has

$$(11) \quad z \left( \prod_{i=1}^k X_\beta(a_i h^N e_i) \right) z^{-1} \in F_h(G(A)).$$

It remains to note that, since the height of the relative roots  $j\beta$ ,  $j \geq 2$ , is larger than that of  $\beta$ , the inductive hypothesis version of the inclusion (9) can be applied to all elements  $X_{j\beta}(v_j)$  and  $X_{j\beta}(u_j)$ ,  $j \geq 2$ . Since, moreover,  $z$  and  $X_\beta(h^{-M}b)$  belong to  $E_P(B_h)$ , we see that

$$z \left( \prod_{j \geq 2} X_{j\beta}(v_j) \right) X_\beta(h^{-M}b) \left( \prod_{j \geq 2} X_{j\beta}(u_j) \right) z^{-1} \in F_h(G(A))E_P(B_h).$$

Combining this result with (11), we conclude that

$$zX_\beta(c)z^{-1} \in F_h(G(A))E_P(B_h),$$

which proves (9).  $\square$



**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a henselian discrete valuation ring. Let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $R$ . Let  $G$  be a semisimple simply connected  $R$ -group scheme such that every semisimple normal  $R$ -subgroup scheme of  $G$  contains a split  $R$ -torus  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ . Then  $G$  contains a strictly proper parabolic  $R$ -subgroup  $P$ , and*

$$G(K) = G(R)E_P(K).$$

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is a semisimple simply connected  $R$ -group scheme, by [SGA3, Exp. XXIV 5.3, Prop. 5.10] there exist finite étale ring extensions  $R'_i/R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and absolutely almost simple simply connected  $R'_i$ -group schemes  $G'_i$  such that

$$G \cong G_1 \times_{\text{Spec } R} G_2 \times_{\text{Spec } R} \cdots \times_{\text{Spec } R} G_n,$$

where  $G_i = R_{R'_i/R}(G'_i)$  are minimal semisimple normal subgroups of  $G$ . Clearly,

$$(12) \quad G(K) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n G'_i(K \otimes_R R_i) \quad \text{and} \quad G(R) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n G'_i(R_i).$$

Since each  $G_i$  contains  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ , one readily sees that each  $G'_i$  is isotropic, i.e. contains  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R'_i}$  (see e.g. the proof of [PSV, Theorem 11.1]). Hence by Lemma 2.4  $G'_i$  has a proper parabolic  $R'_i$ -subgroup  $P'_i$ . Then  $P_i = R_{R'_i/R}(P'_i)$  is a proper parabolic  $R$ -subgroup of  $G_i$ , and

$$P = P_1 \times_{\text{Spec } R} P_2 \times_{\text{Spec } R} \cdots \times_{\text{Spec } R} P_n$$

is a strictly proper parabolic  $R$ -subgroup of  $G$ . We have

$$(13) \quad E_P(K) = \prod_{i=1}^n E_{P_i}(K) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n E_{P'_i}(K \otimes_R R'_i).$$

Fix an  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and abbreviate  $A = R'_i$ ,  $H = G'_i$ ,  $P' = P'_i$ . Since the map  $R \rightarrow A$  is finite étale, the ring  $A$  is a product of a finite number of henselian discrete valuation rings  $A_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and  $K \otimes_R A$  is the product of their respective fraction fields  $L_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . By [Gi, Lemme 4.5] one has

$$H(K \otimes_R A) = \prod_{j=1}^m H(L_j) = \prod_{j=1}^m H(A_j)E_{P'}(L_j) = H(A)E_{P'}(K \otimes_R A).$$

Combining this result with (12) and (13), we deduce that

$$G(K) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n G'_i(R'_i)E_{P'_i}(K \otimes_R R'_i) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n G_i(R)E_{P_i}(K) = G(R)E_P(K),$$

as required. □

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a semi-local Dedekind domain. Let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $R$ . Let  $G$  be a reductive semisimple simply connected  $R$ -group scheme such that every semisimple normal  $R$ -subgroup scheme of  $G$  contains a split  $R$ -torus  $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$ . Then the map*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G)$$

*of pointed sets induced by the inclusion of  $R$  into  $K$  has trivial kernel.*

*Proof.* We prove the theorem by induction on the number of maximal ideals in  $R$ . If  $R$  is local then the theorem holds by [Ni]. Let  $n > 1$  be an integer and suppose the theorem holds for all Dedekind domains containing strictly less than  $n$  maximal ideals. Prove that the theorem holds for a Dedekind domain  $R$  with exactly  $n$  maximal ideals. Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$  be a maximal ideal and let  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$  be its generator. Let  $R'$  be the Henselization of  $R$  at the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and let  $R_f$  be the localization of  $R$  at  $f$ . Let  $L'$  be the fraction field of  $R'$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle over  $R$  which is trivial over the field  $K$ . By the inductive hypothesis  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial over  $R_f$  and over  $R'$ . Thus we may assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is obtained by patching over  $\text{Spec } L'$  of two trivial principal  $G$ -bundles  $G_f := G \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } R_f$  and  $G' := G \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } R'$  using an element  $x \in G(L')$ .

By Lemma 3.3  $G$  contains a strictly proper parabolic  $R$ -subgroup  $P$ , and one has

$$G(L') = G(R').E_P(L')$$

So,  $x = x''.x'$  for some  $x'' \in G(R')$  and  $x' \in E_P(L')$ . Replacing the patching element  $x = x''.x'$  with  $x' \in E_P(L')$  we do not change the isomorphism class of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $R$ . Moreover, by Lemma 3.2 one can present  $x'$  in the form  $x' = y.z$  with  $y \in G(R')$  and  $z \in E_P(R_f)$ . The latter yields the triviality of the principal  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $R$ , since  $\text{Spec } R_f$  and  $\text{Spec } R'$  form a covering of  $\text{Spec } R$  for the Nisnevich topology. □

## REFERENCES

- [BT1] A. Borel, J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Publ. Math. I.H.É.S. **27** (1965), 55–151.
- [C-T/S] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, *Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: Applications*, Journal of Algebra **106** (1987), 148–205.
- [CGP10] B. Conrad, O. Gabber, G. Prasad, *Pseudo-reductive groups*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 17, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 151–153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [Gi] Ph. Gille, *Le problème de Kneser-Tits*, Sémin. Bourbaki **983** (2007), 983-01–983-39.
- [FP] R. Fedorov, I. Panin, *A proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over a semilocal regular ring containing an infinite field*, Preprint, 2013, <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/1211.2678v2>, Published online in Publ. IHES (2015), <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10240-015-0075-z>
- [Gr1] A. Grothendieck, *Torsion homologique et sections rationnelles*, in Anneaux de Chow et applications, Séminaire Claude Chevalley **3** (1958), Exp. 5, 29 p.
- [Gr2] A. Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer. II. Théorie cohomologique*, Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 67–87.
- [Mo] L.-F. Moser, *Rational triviale Torseure und die Serre-Grothendiecksche Vermutung*, Diplomarbeit, 2008, <http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/~lfmoser/da.pdf>.
- [Ni] Y. Nisnevich, *Rationally Trivial Principal Homogeneous Spaces and Arithmetic of Reductive Group Schemes Over Dedekind Rings*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I **299** (1984), no. 1, 5–8.
- [Pa1] I. Panin, *Proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over regular local rings containing a finite field*, Preprint, <https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/lag/>, No. 559, 2015.
- [Pa2] I. Panin, *On Grothendieck–Serre’s conjecture concerning principal  $G$ -bundles over reductive group schemes: II*, Preprint, April 2013, <http://www.math.org/0905.1423v3>.

- [PSV] I. Panin, A. Stavrova, N. Vavilov, *On Grothendieck-Serre’s conjecture concerning principal  $G$ -bundles over reductive group schemes: I*, *Compos. Math.* **151** (2015), no. 3, 535–567.
- [PSt1] V. Petrov, A. Stavrova, *Elementary subgroups of isotropic reductive groups*, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **20** (2009), 625–644.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Espaces fibrés algébriques*, in *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, Séminaire Claude Chevalley **3** (1958), Exp. 1, 37 p.
- [St14] A. Stavrova, *Homotopy invariance of non-stable  $K_1$ -functors*, *J. K-Theory* **13** (2014), 199–248.
- [St15] A. Stavrova, *Non-stable  $K_1$ -functors of multiloop groups*, *Canad. J. Math.* (2015), Online First.
- [Sus77] A. A. Suslin, *The structure of the special linear group over rings of polynomials*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **41** (1977), no. 2, 235–252, 477.

STEKLOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AT ST.-PETERSBURG, FONTANKA 27, ST.-PETERSBURG 191023, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* `paniniv@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* `anastasia.stavrova@gmail.com`