

Transport Maps for β -Matrix Models in the Multi-Cut Regime

Florent Bekerman *

Abstract

We use the transport methods developed in [3] to obtain universality results for local statistics of eigenvalues in the bulk and at the edge for β -matrix models in the multi-cut regime. We construct an approximate transport map inbetween two probability measures from the fixed filling fraction model discussed in [6] and deduce from it universality in the initial model.

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to obtain universality results for local statistics of the eigenvalues for β -matrix models. The analysis of the local fluctuations of the eigenvalues was first done for the GUE and after the pioneer work of Gaudin, Dyson and Mehta the sine kernel law was exhibited (see [19]). Universality was then shown for classical values of β ($\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$) and smooth potentials through the study of orthogonal polynomials (See the work of L. Pastur and M. Shcherbina [20] [21], and P. Deift et al. [12],[13]).

For non classical values of β and unless the potential is quadratic, there is however no known matrix representation behind the model and universality results cannot be obtained through orthogonal polynomial methods. For a quadratic potential, the log-gases can be viewed as the eigenvalues of tridiagonal matrices (see [14]) and the local behaviour of the eigenvalues in the bulk and at the edge have been made explicit thanks to the work of B. Virág, B. Valkó, J. Ramirez and B. Rider [26], [22].

Recently, new techniques have been developed to study universality of the fluctuations. Thus, P. Bourgade, L. Erdős and H.T. Yau use dynamical methods and Dirichlet form estimates in [10],[7] to obtain the averaged energy universality of the correlations functions and fixed gap universality in the bulk (for $\beta > 0$), as well as universality at the edge ($\beta \geq 1$, see [9]) for smooth one-cut potentials. In the paper [24], M. Shcherbina uses change of variables to obtain the averaged energy universality of the correlation functions in both the one-cut case and multi-cut cases. The fluctuations of the linear statistics of the eigenvalues in the multi-cut regime where studied in [4] and [23], and rigidity in the multi-cut regime was recently obtained in [17]. In the paper [3], A. Figalli, A. Guionnet and the author construct approximate transport maps with an accurate dependence in the dimension. The dependence in N allows to compare the local fluctuation of the eigenvalues under two different potentials. The potentials do not need to be analytic, but an important hypothesis made in this previous article was the connectedness of the support of the limit of the spectral measure . Here, we assume that the

*Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Email: bekerman@mit.edu

potentials are analytic but remove the one-cut assumption and use the same methods to construct approximate transport maps in the case where the filling fractions of each cut is fixed. As a result, we obtain universality of fixed eigenvalue gaps at the edge and in the bulk. The plan of this paper is as follows: In the first section we introduce some notations and state our main results. We reintroduce in section 2 a more general model discussed in [6] of β log-gases with Coulomb interaction and construct an approximate transport map between two measures from this model when the number of particles in each cut is fixed. We will see how this approximate transport can lead to universality results in the fixed filling fractions case, and conclude for the initial model in Section 4. The main results are Theorems 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5.

We consider the general β -matrix model. For a subset \mathcal{A} of \mathbb{R} union of disjoint (possibly semi-infinite or infinite) intervals and a potential $V : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta > 0$, we denote the measure on \mathcal{A}^N

$$\mathbb{P}_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N(d\lambda_1, \dots, d\lambda_N) := \frac{1}{Z_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \exp\left(-N \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} V(\lambda_i)\right) \prod d\lambda_i, \quad (1.1)$$

with

$$Z_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N = \int_{\mathcal{A}^N} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \exp\left(-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} V(\lambda_i)\right) \prod d\lambda_i.$$

It is well known (see [1], [2] and [11]) that under $\mathbb{P}_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N$ the empirical measure of the eigenvalues converge towards an equilibrium measure:

Proposition 1.1. *Assume that $V : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and if $\infty \in \mathcal{A}$ assume that*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(x)}{\beta \log |x|} > 1.$$

then the energy defined by

$$E(\mu) = \int V(x) d\mu(x) - \frac{\beta}{2} \log |x_1 - x_2| d\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2) \quad (1.2)$$

has a unique global minimum on the space $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{A})$ of probability measures on \mathcal{A} .

Moreover, under $\mathbb{P}_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N$ the normalized empirical measure $L_N = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\lambda_i}$ converges almost surely and in expectation towards the unique probability measure μ_V which minimizes the energy.

It has compact support A and it is uniquely determined by the existence of a constant C such that:

$$\beta \int_{\mathcal{A}} \log |x - y| d\mu_V(y) - V(x) \leq C,$$

with equality almost everywhere on the support. The support of μ_V is a union of intervals $A = \bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq g} [\alpha_{h,-}; \alpha_{h,+}]$ with $\alpha_{h,-} < \alpha_{h,+}$ and if V is analytic on a neighbourhood of A ,

$$\frac{d\mu_V}{dx} = S(x) \prod_{h=0}^g \sqrt{|x - \alpha_{h,-}| |x - \alpha_{h,+}|},$$

with S analytic on a neighbourhood of A .

We make the following assumptions:

Hypothesis 1.2.

- V is continuous and goes to infinity faster than $\beta \log|x|$ if \mathcal{A} is semi-infinite.
- The support of μ_V is a union of $g+1$ intervals $A = \bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq g} A_h$ with $A_h = [\alpha_{h,-}; \alpha_{h,+}]$, $\alpha_{h,-} < \alpha_{h,+}$ and

$$\frac{d\mu_V}{dx} = \rho_V(x) = S(x) \prod_{h=0}^g \sqrt{|x - \alpha_{h,-}| |x - \alpha_{h,+}|} \quad \text{with } S > 0 \text{ on } [\alpha_{h,-}; \alpha_{h,+}]. \quad (1.3)$$

- V extends to an holomorphic function on a open neighborhood U of A , $U = \bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq g} U_h$ and $A_h \subset U_h$
- The function $V(\cdot) - \beta \int_{\mathcal{A}} \log|\cdot - y| d\mu_V(y)$ achieves its minimum on the support only.

The last hypothesis is useful to ensure a control of large deviations. Before stating the main theorems, we will introduce some notations.

Notations

- For all $0 \leq h \leq g$, $\epsilon_{\star,h} = \mu_V(A_h)$ and $\epsilon_{\star} = (\epsilon_{\star,0}, \dots, \epsilon_{\star,g})$.
- For all $0 \leq h \leq g$, $N_{\star,h} = N\epsilon_{\star,h}$, $\mathbf{N}_{\star} = N\epsilon_{\star}$, and $\lfloor \mathbf{N}_{\star} \rfloor = (\lfloor N\epsilon_{\star,0} \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor N\epsilon_{\star,g} \rfloor)$.
- For a configuration $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $N(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ denotes the vector such that for all $0 \leq h \leq g$, $(N(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))_h$ is the number of eigenvalues in U_h .
- For an index i , we introduce the classical location $E_i^{V,N}$ of the i -th eigenvalue by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{E_i^{V,N}} \rho_V(x) dx = \frac{i}{N}.$$

In the case where the fraction i/N exactly equals to the sum of the mass of the first cuts, we consider the smallest E satisfying the equality.

- For a configuration $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, let $\lambda_{h,i}$ the i -th smallest eigenvalue in U_h .
- For a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{g+1}$ and $0 \leq h \leq g$, $[\mathbf{x}]_h = x_0 + \dots + x_h$ and $[\mathbf{x}]_{-1} = 0$.
- For a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{g+1}$, $0 \leq h \leq g$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $i[h, \mathbf{x}] = i - [\mathbf{x}]_{h-1}$.
- For a signed measure ν and a function $f \in L^1(d|\nu|)$ we will write $\nu(f) = \int f d\nu$.

The main goal of this paper is to prove universality results in the bulk and at the edge. Fixed eigenvalue gaps have been proved to be universal for regular one-cut potentials (see [3], [10]), and their convergence can be obtained using the translation invariance of the eigenvalue gaps as in [15] (see also [25] for the case of the GUE). More precisely, if V is the Gaussian potential $G(\lambda) := \beta \frac{\lambda^2}{4}$ we have for i away from the edge

$$N\rho_V(E_i^{V,N})(\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{G}_\beta, \quad (1.4)$$

where \mathcal{G}_β is some distribution (corresponding to the Gaudin distribution for $\beta = 2$).

Our first Theorem states that this result holds for any multi-cut potential satisfying Hypothesis 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\beta > 0$ and assume that V satisfies Hypothesis 1.2.*

Let $i \leq N$ such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $h \in \llbracket 0; g \rrbracket$, $\varepsilon N < i - \lfloor \mathbf{N}_\star \rfloor_{h-1} < N_{\star,h} - \varepsilon N$. Then

$$N\rho_V(E_i^{V,N})(\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{G}_\beta.$$

We now state the results at the edge. Under a Gaussian potential and for general β , the behaviour of the eigenvalues at the edge is described by the Stochastic Airy Operator (We refer to [22]). J. Ramírez, B. Rider and B. Virág have shown that under the Gaussian potential, the k first rescaled eigenvalues $(N^{2/3}(\lambda_1 + 2), \dots, N^{2/3}(\lambda_k + 2))$ converge in distribution to $(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k)$ where Λ_i is the i -th smallest eigenvalue of the stochastic Airy operator SAO_β .

In the following result, Φ^h are smooth transport maps (defined later).

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that V satisfies Hypothesis 1.2. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N$ denote the distribution of the ordered eigenvalues under $\mathbb{P}_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N$.*

If for all $0 \leq h \leq g$ $f_h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz and compactly supported we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h,1} - \alpha_{h,-}), \dots, N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h,m} - \alpha_{h,-})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N \\ &= \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} \mathbb{E}_{SAO_\beta} f_h(\Phi^h(-2)\Lambda_1, \dots, \Phi^h(-2)\Lambda_m). \end{aligned}$$

It is also interesting to study the behaviour of the i -th eigenvalue where $i = \lfloor \mathbf{N}_\star \rfloor_{h-1} + 1$. This eigenvalue would be typically located at the right edge of the h -th cut or the left edge of the $h + 1$ -st cut. The following theorem gives the limiting distribution of such eigenvalues. We will use the following fact proved by G.Borot and A.Guionnet in [4]: along the subsequences such that $\mathbf{N}_\star \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} \rightarrow \kappa$ where $\kappa \in [0; 1]^{g+1}$ and under $\mathbb{P}_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N$, the vector $N(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \lfloor \mathbf{N}_\star \rfloor$ converges towards a random discrete Gaussian vector (not necessarily centered).

Theorem 1.5. *Let $0 \leq h \leq g$, $i = \lfloor \mathbf{N}_\star \rfloor_{h-1} + 1$ and $\Delta_h(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \lfloor \mathbf{N}_\star \rfloor_{h-1} - \lfloor N(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \rfloor_{h-1}$. Define*

$$\xi_h(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_h(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \geq 0} \alpha_h^- + \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_h(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) < 0} \alpha_{h-1}^+ ,$$

where the expression above simplifies to α_0^- for $h = 0$. Then along the subsequences $\mathbf{N}_\star \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} \longrightarrow \kappa$ and under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_h &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_{h,\kappa} \geq 0} \alpha_h^- + \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_{h,\kappa} < 0} \alpha_{h-1}^+ , \\ N^{2/3}(\lambda_i - \xi_h) &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_{h,\kappa} \geq 0} \Lambda_{\Delta_{h,\kappa}+1} \Phi^h(-2) + \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_{h,\kappa} < 0} \Lambda_{-\Delta_{h,\kappa}} \Phi^{h-1}(2) , \end{aligned}$$

where $(\Lambda_i)_i$ denote the eigenvalues of SAO_β , Φ^h is a transport map introduced later and $\Delta_{h,\kappa}$ is a discrete Gaussian random variable independent from Λ if $1 \leq h \leq g$, and equals to 0 if $h = 0$.

We could state a similar result about the joint distribution of k consecutive eigenvalues as well. We note also that using the transport methods of this paper, and adapting the methods presented in [16] (notably Lemma 4.1 and the proof of Corollary 2.8), we could prove universality of the correlation functions in the bulk. This would require rigidity estimate for the fixed filling fractions model introduced in the next section, which could be done as in [8], [17]. As this universality result has already been proved in [24], we do not continue in this direction.

In order to study the fluctuations of the eigenvalues we place ourselves in the setting of the fixed filling fraction model introduced in [4], in which the number of eigenvalues in each cut is fixed. The idea is to construct an approximate transport between our original measure, and a measure in which the interaction inbetween different cuts has been removed. This measure can then be written as a product measure and we can use the results proved for the one cut regime in [3]. We will construct this map in the second section and show universality in the fixed filling fractions models in Section 3. We will deduce from it the proofs of Theorems 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5 in the fourth section.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Alice Guionnet for the very helpful discussions and comments.

2 Fixed Filling Fractions

2.1 Introducing the model

We consider a slightly different model with a more general type of interaction between the particles and in which the number of particles in each cut is fixed. We will refer to [6] for the known results in this setting. For each $0 \leq h \leq g$, let $B_h = [\beta_{h,-}; \beta_{h,+}]$ be a small enlargement of $A_h = [\alpha_{h,-}; \alpha_{h,+}]$ included in U_h and $B = \bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq g} B_h$. It is well known (see for instance [5]) that under our Hypothesis, the eigenvalues will leave B with an exponentially small probability and we can thus study the behaviour of the eigenvalues under $\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^N$ instead of $\mathbb{P}_{V,\mathcal{A}}^N$ without loss of generality.

We fix $\mathbf{N} = (N_0, \dots, N_g) \in \mathbb{N}^{g+1}$ such that $\sum_{h=0}^g N_h = N$ and we want to consider a model in which the number of particles in each B_h is fixed equal to N_h . Let $\epsilon = \mathbf{N}/N \in]0; 1[^{g+1}$ and for $T : B \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ consider the probability measure on $\mathbf{B} = \prod_{h=0}^g (B_h)^{N_h}$:

$$\mathbb{P}_{T,B}^{N,\epsilon}(\mathbf{d}\lambda) := \frac{1}{Z_{T,B}^{N,\epsilon}} \prod_{h=0}^g \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N_h} |\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h,j}|^\beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 \leq h, h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} T(\lambda_{h,i}, \lambda_{h',j})\right) \\ \prod_{0 \leq h < h' \leq g} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} |\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h',j}|^\beta \prod_{h=0}^g \prod_{i=1}^{N_h} \mathbf{1}_{B_h}(\lambda_{h,i}) d\lambda_{h,i}. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that with $T(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = -(V(\lambda_1) + V(\lambda_2))$ and without the location constraints, we are in the same setting as in the previous section.

As in the original model, we can prove the following result (see [6]):

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that $T : B \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.*

Assume also that the energy defined by

$$E(\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \int T(x_1, x_2) + \beta \log |x_1 - x_2| d\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2) \quad (2.2)$$

has a unique global minimum on the space $\mathcal{M}_1^\epsilon(B)$ of probability measures on B satisfying $\mu[B_h] = \epsilon_h$.

Then under $\mathbb{P}_{T,B}^{N,\epsilon}$ the normalized empirical measure $L_N = N^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^g \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} \delta_{\lambda_{h,i}}$ converges almost surely and in expectation towards the unique probability measure μ_T^ϵ which minimizes the energy.

Moreover it has compact support A_T^ϵ and it is uniquely determined by the existence of constants $C_{\epsilon,h}$ such that:

$$\beta \int_B \log |x - y| d\mu_T^\epsilon(y) + \int_B T(x, y) d\mu_T^\epsilon(y) \leq C_{\epsilon,h} \quad \text{on } B_h \quad (2.3)$$

with equality almost everywhere on the support. The support of μ_T^ϵ is a union of $l + 1$ intervals $A_T^\epsilon = \bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq l} [\alpha_{h,-}^{T,\epsilon}; \alpha_{h,+}^{T,\epsilon}]$ with $\alpha_{h,-}^{T,\epsilon} < \alpha_{h,+}^{T,\epsilon}$, $l \geq g$ and if T is analytic on a neighbourhood of A_T^ϵ ,

$$\frac{d\mu_T^\epsilon}{dx} = S_T^\epsilon(x) \prod_{h=0}^l \sqrt{|x - \alpha_{h,-}^{T,\epsilon}| |x - \alpha_{h,+}^{T,\epsilon}|},$$

with S_T^ϵ analytic on a neighbourhood of A_T^ϵ .

We point out the fact that the previous theorem is also valid in the unconstrained case. In that case, we denote by μ_T the equilibrium measure. Let $\epsilon_{*,T} = (\mu_T(B_h))_{0 \leq h \leq g}$. Then it is obvious that $\mu_T^{\epsilon_{*,T}} = \mu_T$. It is shown in [6] that we have the following:

Lemma 2.2. *If T extends to an analytic function on a neighbourhood of B and the energy defined in (2.2) has a unique minimizer over $\mathcal{M}_1(B)$ then for ϵ close enough from ϵ_* , the energy has a unique minimizer over $\mathcal{M}_1^\epsilon(B)$ and the number of cuts of the support of μ_T^ϵ and μ_T are the same. Moreover, $\alpha_{h,-}^{T,\epsilon}$, $\alpha_{h,+}^{T,\epsilon}$ and S_T^ϵ are smooth functions of ϵ (for the L^∞ norm on B).*

They also prove a control of large deviations of the largest eigenvalue under $\mathbb{P}_{T,B}^{N,\epsilon}$.

We define the effective potential as

$$\tilde{T}^\epsilon(x) = \beta \int_B \log|x-y| d\mu_T^\epsilon(y) + \int_B T(x,y) d\mu_T^\epsilon(y) - C_{\epsilon,h} \quad \text{on } B_h. \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let T satisfy the conditions of the previous theorem. Then for any closed $F \subset B \setminus A_T^\epsilon$ and open $O \subset B \setminus A_T^\epsilon$ we have*

$$\begin{cases} \limsup \frac{1}{N} \log \mathbb{P}_{T,B}^{N,\epsilon}(\exists i \lambda_i \in F) \leq \sup_{x \in F} \tilde{T}^\epsilon(x). \\ \liminf \frac{1}{N} \log \mathbb{P}_{T,B}^{N,\epsilon}(\exists i \lambda_i \in O) \geq \sup_{x \in O} \tilde{T}^\epsilon(x). \end{cases}$$

We consider a potential V on \mathcal{A} satisfying Hypothethis 1.2 and the potentials $T_0(x, y) = -(V(x) + V(y))$ and $T_1(x, y) = -(\tilde{V}^\epsilon(x) + \tilde{V}^\epsilon(y) + W(x, y))$ where

$$W(x, y) = \begin{cases} \beta \log(x-y) & \text{if } x \in U_h, y \in U_{h'} \quad h > h' \\ \beta \log(y-x) & \text{if } x \in U_h, y \in U_{h'} \quad h < h' \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in U_h, y \in U_h \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{V}^\epsilon(x) = V(x) - \int W(x, y) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y).$$

The key point is that $d\mathbb{P}_{T_1,B}^{N,\epsilon}$ is a product measure as the interaction between cuts has been removed. Moreover, we can check by the characterization (2.3) that

$$\mu_V^\epsilon = \mu_{T_0}^\epsilon = \mu_{T_1}^\epsilon.$$

We now consider

$$T_t = (1-t)T_0 + t T_1 \quad , \quad t \in [0; 1]. \quad (2.5)$$

Still by (2.3) we can check that for all $t \in [0; 1]$ we have:

$$\mu_{T_t}^\epsilon = (1-t)\mu_{T_0}^\epsilon + t\mu_{T_1}^\epsilon = \mu_V^\epsilon.$$

Remark 2.4. Note that, by Lemma 2.2, for ϵ in a small neighbourhood of ϵ_* (that we will denote $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$) the support A^ϵ of $\mu_{T_t}^\epsilon = \mu_V^\epsilon$ has $g+1$ cut and we can write

$$d\mu_{T_t}^\epsilon = d\mu_V^\epsilon = S^\epsilon(x) \prod_{h=0}^g \sqrt{|x - \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon| |x - \alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon|} dx, \quad (2.6)$$

with S^ϵ positive on A^ϵ .

Remark 2.5. Note also that by the last point of Hypothesis 1.2 and by Lemma 2.2, if we fix a closed interval $F \subset B \setminus A$, then for ϵ close enough to ϵ_* and all $t \in [0; 1]$, $\tilde{T}_t^\epsilon < 0$ on F .

The goal is to build first an approximate transport map between the measures $d\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}$ for a fixed ϵ in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ i.e find a map $X_1^{N, \epsilon}$ that satisfies for all $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded measurable function

$$\left| \int f(X_1^{N, \epsilon}) d\mathbb{P}_{V, B}^{N, \epsilon} - \int f d\mathbb{P}_{T_1, B}^{N, \epsilon} \right| \leq C \|f\|_\infty \frac{(\log N)^3}{N}. \quad (2.7)$$

We will see that we can build a transport map depending smoothly on ϵ and show universality in the fixed filling model. We will then use this result to prove universality in the original model.

Proposition 2.6. Assume that V satisfies Hypothesis 1.2, and that T_t is as defined previously. Let $\mathbf{N} = (N_0, \dots, N_g)$ such that $\epsilon = \mathbf{N}/N$ is in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{T, B}^{N, \epsilon}$ denote the distribution of the ordered eigenvalues under $\mathbb{P}_{T, B}^{N, \epsilon}$. Then for a constant C independent of ϵ and N , and if for all $0 \leq h \leq g$ $f_h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz supported inside $[-M, M]^m$ we have:

1. Eigenvalue gaps in the Bulk

$$\left| \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N(\lambda_{h, i_{h+1}} - \lambda_{h, i_h}), \dots, N(\lambda_{h, i_{h+m}} - \lambda_{h, i_h})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V, B}^{N, \epsilon} \right. \\ \left. - \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N(\lambda_{h, i_{h+1}} - \lambda_{h, i_h}), \dots, N(\lambda_{h, i_{h+m}} - \lambda_{h, i_h})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{T_1, B}^{N, \epsilon} \right| \\ \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N} \|f\|_\infty + C(\sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{1/2}} + M \frac{(\log N)}{N^{1/2}}) \|\nabla f\|_\infty$$

2. Eigenvalue gaps at the Edge

$$\left| \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h, 1} - \alpha_{h, -}^\epsilon), \dots, N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h, m} - \alpha_{h, -}^\epsilon)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V, B}^{N, \epsilon} \right. \\ \left. - \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h, 1} - \alpha_{h, -}^\epsilon), \dots, N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h, m} - \alpha_{h, -}^\epsilon)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{T_1, B}^{N, \epsilon} \right| \\ \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N} \|f\|_\infty + C(\sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{5/6}} + \frac{\log N}{N^{1/3}}) \|\nabla f\|_\infty$$

where we defined $f : \mathbb{R}^{m(g+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_g) = \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(\mathbf{x}_h)$.

We deduce the following corollary from the results obtained in the one-cut regime in [3], and from the fact that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{T_1, B}^{N, \epsilon}$ is a product measure.

Corollary 2.7. *Assume the same hypothesis as in the precedent proposition. We write $\mu_V^\epsilon = \sum_{0 \leq h \leq g} \epsilon_h \mu_V^{\epsilon, h}$ where $\mu_V^{\epsilon, h}$ has connected support. For some transport maps $\Phi^{\epsilon, h}$ from μ_G to $\mu_V^{\epsilon, h}$,*

1. *Eigenvalue gaps in the Bulk*

$$\left| \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N(\lambda_{h, i_{h+1}} - \lambda_{h, i_h}), \dots, N(\lambda_{h, i_{h+m}} - \lambda_{h, i_h})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V, B}^{N, \epsilon} \right. \\ \left. - \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} \left(\int f_h(N(\Phi^{\epsilon, h})'(\lambda_{i_h})(\lambda_{i_{h+1}} - \lambda_{i_h}), \dots, N(\Phi^{\epsilon, h})'(\lambda_{i_h})(\lambda_{i_{h+m}} - \lambda_{i_h})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_G^{N, \epsilon} \right) \right| \\ \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N} \|f\|_\infty + C(\sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{1/2}} + M \frac{(\log N)}{N^{1/2}} + \frac{M^2}{N}) \|\nabla f\|_\infty$$

2. *Eigenvalue gaps at the Edge*

$$\left| \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h, 1} - \alpha_{h, -}^\epsilon), \dots, N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h, m} - \alpha_{h, -}^\epsilon)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V, B}^{N, \epsilon} \right. \\ \left. - \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} \left(\int f_h(N^{2/3}(\Phi^{\epsilon, h})'(-2)(\lambda_1 + 2), \dots, N^{2/3}(\Phi^{\epsilon, h})'(-2)(\lambda_m + 2)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_G^{N, \epsilon} \right) \right| \\ \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N} \|f\|_\infty + C(\sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{5/6}} + \frac{\log N}{N^{1/3}} + \frac{M^2}{N^{4/3}}) \|\nabla f\|_\infty.$$

The proof of the theorem will be similar to what has already been done in the one-cut case, one major difference being the inversion of the operator Ξ introduced in Lemma 3.2 of [3].

2.2 Approximate Monge Ampère Equation

The analysis done in the one-cut regime suggests to look at the transport as the flow of an approximate solution to the Monge Ampère equation $\mathbf{Y}_t^{N, \epsilon} = (\mathbf{Y}_{0, t}^{N, \epsilon}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{g, t}^{N, \epsilon}) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ where $\mathbf{Y}_{h, t}^{N, \epsilon} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_h}$ solves the following equation:

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{Y}_t^{N, \epsilon}) = c_t^{N, \epsilon} - \beta \sum_{h=0}^g \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N_h} \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{h, i, t}^{N, \epsilon} - \mathbf{Y}_{h, j, t}^{N, \epsilon}}{\lambda_{h, i} - \lambda_{h, j}} - \beta \sum_{0 \leq h < h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{h, i, t}^{N, \epsilon} - \mathbf{Y}_{h', j, t}^{N, \epsilon}}{\lambda_{h, i} - \lambda_{h', j}} \\ - \sum_{0 \leq h, h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} (\partial_1 T(\lambda_{h, i}, \lambda_{h', j}) \mathbf{Y}_{h, i, t}^{N, \epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} W(\lambda_{h, i}, \lambda_{h', j})) - N \sum_{0 \leq h \leq g} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_h} \int W(\lambda_{h, i}, z) d\mu_V^\epsilon(z) \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_t^{N,\epsilon} &= \int \left(N \sum_{0 \leq h \leq g} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_h} \int W(\lambda_{h,i}, z) d\mu_V^\epsilon(z) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 \leq h, h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} W(\lambda_{h,i}, \lambda_{h',j}) \right) d\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ &= \partial_t \log(Z_{V_t, B}^{N,\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_t^{N,\epsilon}(\mathbf{Y}^{N,\epsilon})$ the error term defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_t^{N,\epsilon}(\mathbf{Y}^{N,\epsilon}) &= \beta \sum_{h=0}^g \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon} - \mathbf{Y}_{h,j,t}^{N,\epsilon}}{\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h,j}} + \beta \sum_{0 \leq h < h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon} - \mathbf{Y}_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon}}{\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h',j}} \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq h, h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} (\partial_1 T(\lambda_{h,i}, \lambda_{h',j}) \mathbf{Y}_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} W(\lambda_{h,i}, \lambda_{h',j})) + N \sum_{0 \leq h \leq g} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_h} \int W(\lambda_{h,i}, z) d\mu_V^\epsilon(z) \\ &+ \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{Y}_t^{N,\epsilon}) - c_t^{N,\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

We have the following stability lemma

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathbf{Y}_t^{N,\epsilon} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a smooth vector field and let $X_t^{N,\epsilon}$ be its flow:*

$$\dot{X}_t^{N,\epsilon} = \mathbf{Y}_t^{N,\epsilon}(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) \quad X_0^{N,\epsilon} = Id. \tag{2.10}$$

Assume that $\mathbf{Y}_t^{N,\epsilon}$ vanishes on the boundary of \mathbf{B} .

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function. Then

$$\left| \int f(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) d\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} - \int f d\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N,\epsilon} \right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}_s^{N,\epsilon}(\mathbf{Y}^{N,\epsilon})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{P}_{T_s, B}^{N,\epsilon})} ds.$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &:= \frac{1}{Z_{T,B}^{N,\epsilon}} \prod_{h=0}^g \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N_h} |\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h,j}|^\beta \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 \leq h, h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} T_t(\lambda_{i,h}, \lambda_{j,h'})\right) \\ &\prod_{0 \leq h < h' \leq g} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} |\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h',j}|^\beta \end{aligned}$$

and $JX_t^{N,\epsilon}$ denote the Jacobian of $X_t^{N,\epsilon}$. As $\mathbf{Y}_t^{N,\epsilon}$ vanishes on the boundary of \mathbf{B} , $X_t^{N,\epsilon}(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B}$. By the change of variable formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int f d\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N,\epsilon} &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \rho_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) d\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \int_{X_t^{N,\epsilon}(\mathbf{B})} f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \rho_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) d\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} f(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) \rho_t(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) JX_t^{N,\epsilon} d\boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\left| \int f(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) d\mathbb{P}_{T_0, B}^{N,\epsilon} - \int f d\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N,\epsilon} \right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \int_{\mathbf{B}} |\rho_0(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \rho_t(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) JX_t^{N,\epsilon}| d\boldsymbol{\lambda}.$$

Let

$$\Delta_t = \partial_t \int_{\mathbf{B}} |\rho_0(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \rho_t(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) JX_t^{N,\epsilon}| d\boldsymbol{\lambda}.$$

Using $\partial_t(JX_t^{N,\epsilon}) = \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{Y}_t^{N,\epsilon})JX_t^{N,\epsilon}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t &\leq \int_{\mathbf{B}} |\partial_t(JX_t^{N,\epsilon} \rho_t(X_t^{N,\epsilon}))| d\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} |\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{Y}_t^{N,\epsilon})JX_t^{N,\epsilon} \rho_t(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) + JX_t^{N,\epsilon}(\partial_t \rho_t)(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) + JX_t^{N,\epsilon} \nabla \rho_t(X_t^{N,\epsilon}) \dot{X}_t^{N,\epsilon}| d\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ &= \int |\mathcal{R}_t^{N,\epsilon}(\mathbf{Y}^{N,\epsilon})| d\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N,\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

and this gives the lemma. □

2.3 Constructing an Approximate Solution

The construction of the approximate solution will be very similar to Section 3 of [3].

We fix $t \in [0; 1]$, $\mathbf{N} = (N_0, \dots, N_g) \in \mathbb{N}^{g+1}$ such that $\sum_{h=0}^g N_h = N$ and set $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \mathbf{N}/N \in]0; 1[^{g+1}$.

Let

$$L_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h,i} \delta_{\lambda_{h,i}}, \quad M_N = \sum_{h,i} \delta_{\lambda_{h,i}} - N\mu_V^\epsilon.$$

We look for a map $\mathbf{Y}_t^{N,\epsilon} = (\mathbf{Y}_{0,1,t}^{N,\epsilon}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{g,N_g,t}^{N,\epsilon}) : \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ approximately solving (2.8). As in the one-cut regime, we make the following ansatz:

$$\mathbf{Y}_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i}) + \frac{1}{N} \xi_t^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i}, M_N), \quad \xi_t^\epsilon(x, M_N) = \int \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(x, y) dM_N(y) \quad (2.11)$$

for some functions $\mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let V satisfy Hypothesis 1.2 and T_t is as in (2.5). Then there are $\mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and \mathbf{z}_t^ϵ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that for a constant C , for all $t \in [0; 1]$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$:*

$$\left\| \mathcal{R}_t^{N,\epsilon}(\mathbf{Y}^{N,\epsilon}) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N,\epsilon})} \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N}.$$

Using the substitution (2.11), we have to find equations for $\mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon$ and \mathbf{z}_t^ϵ . To simplify the notations, we will write \mathcal{R} instead of $\mathcal{R}_t^{N,\epsilon}(\mathbf{Y}^{N,\epsilon})$. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} &= -\frac{N^2}{2} \iint W dL_N dL_N + N^2 \int W dL_N d\mu_V^\epsilon \\
&+ \frac{\beta N}{2} \iint \frac{\mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon(x) - \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon(y)}{x-y} dL_N(x) dL_N(y) + N \int \partial_1 T_t(x, y) \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon(x) dL_N(x) dL_N(y) \\
&+ \frac{\beta N}{2} \iint \frac{\xi_t^\epsilon(x, M_N) - \xi_t^\epsilon(y, M_N)}{x-y} dL_N(x) dL_N(y) + N \int \partial_1 T_t(x, y) \xi_t^\epsilon(x, M_N) dL_N(x) dL_N(y) \\
&+ \frac{1}{N} \eta(M_N) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \int \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^{\epsilon'} dL_N + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \int \partial_1 \xi_t^\epsilon(x, M_N) dL_N(x) + \tilde{c}_t^N
\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{c}_t^N is a constant and for any measure ν we set

$$\eta(\nu) = \int \partial_2 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(y, y) d\nu(y).$$

We use equilibrium relations to recenter L_N by μ_V^ϵ . Consider f a bounded measurable function on B and $\mu_{V,\delta}^\epsilon = (x + \delta f(x)) \# \mu_V^\epsilon$. Then as for δ small enough $\mu_{V,\delta}^\epsilon(B_h) = \epsilon_h$ for all $0 \leq h \leq g$, we have $E(\mu_{V,\delta}^\epsilon) \geq E(\mu_V^\epsilon)$ where we defined the energy in (1.2). By differentiating at $\delta = 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{\beta}{2} \iint \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x-y} d\mu_V^\epsilon(x) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y) + \int \partial_1 T_t(x, y) f(x) d\mu_V^\epsilon(x) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y) = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, if we define the operator Ξ acting on smooth functions $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Xi f(x) = \int \left[\beta \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x-y} + \partial_1 T_t(x, y) f(x) + \partial_2 T_t(x, y) f(y) \right] d\mu_V^\epsilon(y),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\beta}{2} \iint \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x-y} dL_N(x) dL_N(y) + \int \partial_1 T_t(x, y) f(x) dL_N(x) dL_N(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \int \Xi f dM_N + \frac{1}{N^2} \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \iint \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x-y} dM_N(x) dM_N(y) + \iint \partial_1 T_t(x, y) f(x) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} &= \int \left[\Xi \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(z, \cdot) d\mu_V^\epsilon(z) \right] dM_N \\
&+ \iint \left[\Xi \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\cdot, y)[x] - \frac{1}{2} W(x, y) \right] dM_N(x) dM_N(y) + C_t^{N,\epsilon} + E
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Xi \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\cdot, y)[x] = \int \left[\beta \frac{\mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(x, y) - \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(z, y)}{x-z} + \partial_1 T_t(x, z) \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(x, y) + \partial_2 T_t(x, z) \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(z, y) \right] d\mu_V^\epsilon(z)$$

where $C_t^{N,\epsilon}$ is deterministic and E is an error term:

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= \frac{1}{N} \int \partial_2 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(x, x) dM_N(x) + \frac{1}{N} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \int \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon dM_N \\
&+ \frac{1}{N} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \iint \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(x, y) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \\
&+ \frac{1}{N} \iint \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{\mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon(x) - \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon(y)}{x - y} + \partial_1 T_t(x, y) \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon(x) \right] dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \\
&+ \frac{1}{N} \iiint \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{\mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(x, y) - \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(z, y)}{x - z} + \partial_1 T_t(x, z) \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(x, y) \right] dM_N(x) dM_N(y) dM_N(z)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

To make \mathcal{R} small we need

$$\begin{cases} \Xi \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\cdot, y)[x] = \frac{1}{2} W(x, y) + \kappa_1(x, y) , \\ \Xi \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon = \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - 1\right) \int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(z, \cdot) d\mu_V^\epsilon(z) + \kappa_2 , \end{cases}$$

where κ_2 and $\kappa_1(\cdot, y)$ are functions on B constant on each B_h .

The following lemma shows how to invert Ξ and will give us the desired functions. We will denote by $\mathcal{O}(U)$ the set of holomorphic functions on U .

Lemma 2.10. *Let V satisfy Hypothesis 1.2 , T_t as in (2.5) and $\epsilon = \mathbf{N}/N$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. The support of μ_V^ϵ is a union of $g+1$ intervals $A^\epsilon = \bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq g} [\alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon; \alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon]$ with $\alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon < \alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon$ and,*

$$\frac{d\mu_V^\epsilon}{dx} = S(x) \prod \sqrt{|x - \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon| |x - \alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon|}$$

with S positive on A^ϵ .

Let $k \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and set for $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$

$$\Xi f(x) = \int \left[\beta \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} + \partial_1 T_t(x, y) f(x) + \partial_2 T_t(x, y) f(y) \right] d\mu_{T_t}^\epsilon(y) \quad \forall x \in U.$$

Then there exists a unique function κ_k on U constant on each U_h such that the equation

$$\Xi f = k + \kappa_k$$

has a solution in $\mathcal{O}(U)$. Moreover, for all $x \in U_h$

$$f(x) = -\frac{1}{2\beta\pi^2\sigma(x)\sigma_h(x)S(x)} \left[\oint \frac{i\sigma_h(\xi)(k(\xi) + c_h^1)}{(\xi - x)} d\xi + c_h^2 \right] , \tag{2.14}$$

where the contour surrounds x and A_h^ϵ in U_h and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^2(x) &= \prod_{h'} (x - \alpha_{h',-}^\epsilon) (x - \alpha_{h',+}^\epsilon) \\
\sigma(x) &\underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} x^{g+1} \\
\sigma_h^2(x) &= (x - \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon) (x - \alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon) \\
\sigma_h(x) &\underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} x
\end{aligned}$$

and the constants c_h^1 and c_h^2 are chosen in a way such that the expression under the bracket vanishes at $x = \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon$ and $x = \alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon$ for each h (see the following Lemma).

Moreover f satisfies for all j

$$\|f\|_{C^j(B)} \leq C_j \|k\|_{C^{j+2}(B)} \quad (2.15)$$

for some constants C_j . We will denote f by $\Xi^{-1}k$.

Before proving this lemma we need another lemma

Lemma 2.11. *Let $V \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and μ_V^ϵ as in the previous lemma.*

Then for all $0 \leq h \leq g$ the linear operator

$$\Theta_h := \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$$

$$(c^1, c^2) \longrightarrow \left(c^1 \oint \frac{\sigma_h(\xi)}{(\xi - \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon)} d\xi + c^2, c^1 \oint \frac{\sigma_h(\xi)}{(\xi - \alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon)} d\xi + c^2 \right)$$

is invertible and Θ_h^{-1} is analytic.

Proof. This comes easily from the fact that

$$\int_{\alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon}^{\alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon} \frac{\sqrt{(y - \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon)(\alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon - y)}}{y - \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon} dy = \pi \frac{\alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon - \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon}{2}$$

$$\int_{\alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon}^{\alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon} \frac{\sqrt{(y - \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon)(\alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon - y)}}{y - \alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon} dy = \pi \frac{\alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon - \alpha_{h,+}^\epsilon}{2}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 2.10. By the identity (2.12) with $f(x) = (z - x)^{-1}$ and z outside the support, we obtain that the Stieltjes transform $G(z) = \int \frac{1}{z-y} d\mu_V^\epsilon(y)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\beta}{2} G(z)^2 + G(z) \int \partial_1 T_t(z, y) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y) + F(z) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad F(z) = \iint \frac{\partial_1 T_t(\tilde{y}, y) - \partial_1 T_t(z, y)}{\tilde{y} - z} d\mu_V^\epsilon(\tilde{y}) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y)$$

and this gives

$$\beta G(z) + \int \partial_1 T_t(z, y) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y) = -\sqrt{\left(\int \partial_1 T_t(z, y) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y) \right)^2 - 2\beta F(z)}.$$

As $-\pi^{-1} \mathcal{I}G(z)$ converges towards the density of μ_V^ϵ as z goes to the real axis (see for instance [1], Section 2.4 for the basic properties of the Stieltjes transform) and the quantity under the square root converges to a real number, this number has to be negative on the support (otherwise the density would vanish) and thus for $x \in A^\epsilon$

$$\frac{d\mu_V^\epsilon}{dx} = \frac{1}{\beta\pi} \sqrt{2\beta F(x) - \left(\int \partial_1 T_t(x, y) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y) \right)^2}.$$

Noticing that σ becomes purely imaginary when z converges towards the support, we may write

$$\beta G(z) + \int \partial_1 T_t(z, y) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y) = \beta \pi \tilde{S}(z) \sigma(z) \quad (2.16)$$

where \tilde{S} is an analytic extension of S in U (we can assume \tilde{S} non zero on U by possibly shrinking U). We will keep writing S for \tilde{S} .

For f analytic in $U \setminus A^\epsilon$ and $z \in U \setminus A^\epsilon$ let

$$\tilde{\Xi}f(z) = \frac{i}{2} \oint \left(\frac{\beta f(\xi)}{z - \xi} - \partial_2 T_t(z, \xi) f(\xi) \right) S(\xi) \sigma(\xi) d\xi$$

where the contour surrounds z and each A_h^ϵ . Then $\tilde{\Xi}f \in \mathcal{O}(U \setminus A^\epsilon)$ and, noticing that $-iS(x + i\delta)\sigma(x + i\delta) \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d\mu_V^\epsilon}{dx}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi f(z) &= - \int \left(\beta \frac{f(y)}{z - y} - \partial_2 T_t(z, y) f(y) \right) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y) + f(z) \left(\int \partial_1 T_t(z, y) d\mu_V^\epsilon(y) + \beta \int \frac{d\mu_V^\epsilon(y)}{z - y} \right) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \oint \left(\frac{\beta f(\xi)}{z - \xi} - \partial_2 T_t(z, \xi) f(\xi) \right) S(\xi) \sigma(\xi) d\xi + \beta \pi f(z) S(z) \sigma(z) \\ &= \tilde{\Xi}f(z) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where the contour surrounds each A_h^ϵ (but not z), and we used Cauchy's formula and (2.16). If furthermore $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, by continuity this formula extends to $z \in U$.

Let $k \in \mathcal{O}(U)$. We want to show that the function defined on each U_h by

$$f(z) = - \frac{1}{2\beta\pi^2\sigma(z)\sigma_h(z)S(z)} \left[\oint \frac{i\sigma_h(\xi)(k(\xi) + c_h^1)}{(\xi - z)} d\xi + c_h^2 \right]$$

where the contour surrounds A_h^ϵ and lays in U_h , and c_h^1 and c_h^2 are defined as in the statement of the lemma, is a solution of $\Xi f = k + \kappa_k$ in $\mathcal{O}(U)$. The fact that $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ is clear (the function is meromorphic and the poles are removable by construction of c^1 and c^2). Thus, by previous remark, it suffices to prove that $\tilde{\Xi}f = k + \kappa_k$.

By (2.5) We have

$$\tilde{\Xi}f = (1 - t) \tilde{\Xi}_0 f + t \tilde{\Xi}_1 f + c_t \quad (2.18)$$

where c_t is a function constant on each U_h depending on t and

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Xi}_0 f(z) = \frac{\beta i}{2} \oint \frac{f(\xi) \sigma(\xi) S(\xi)}{z - \xi} d\xi \\ \tilde{\Xi}_1 f(z) = \frac{\beta i}{2} \oint \frac{f(\xi) \sigma(\xi) S(\xi)}{z - \xi} d\xi \end{cases}$$

where the first contour surrounds z and each A_h^ϵ , whereas the second one surrounds z and A_h^ϵ when $z \in U_h$.

Let f_0 and f_1 be the functions analytic in $U \setminus A^\epsilon$ defined on each $U_h \setminus A_h^\epsilon$ by

$$f_0(z) = -\frac{1}{2\beta\pi^2\sigma(z)\sigma_h(z)S(z)} \oint \frac{i\sigma_h(\xi)(k(\xi) + c_h^1)}{(\xi - z)} d\xi$$

$$f_1(z) = -\frac{c_h^2}{2\beta\pi^2\sigma(z)\sigma_h(z)S(z)}$$

So that $f = f_0 + f_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Xi}_0(f_0)(z) &= \frac{\beta i}{2} \oint_C \frac{f_0(\xi)S(\xi)\sigma(\xi)}{z - \xi} d\xi \\ &= -\frac{\beta i}{2} \sum_h \oint_{C_h} \frac{1}{z - \xi} \frac{1}{2\beta\pi^2\sigma(\xi)\sigma_h(\xi)S(\xi)} \left(\oint_{C'_h} \frac{i\sigma_h(\eta)(k(\eta) + c_h^1)}{(\eta - \xi)} d\eta \right) S(\xi)\sigma(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_h \oint_{C_h} \oint_{C'_h} \frac{\sigma_h(\eta)(k(\eta) + c_h^1)}{(z - \xi)(\eta - \xi)\sigma_h(\xi)} d\eta d\xi \end{aligned}$$

where C_h surrounds z and A_h^ϵ (integral in ξ), and C'_h surrounds C_h (integral in η).

Cauchy formula gives

$$\oint_{C'_h} \frac{\sigma_h(\eta)(k(\eta) + c_h^1)}{(\eta - \xi)} d\eta = 2i\pi(k(\xi) + c_h^1)\sigma_h(\xi) + \oint_{C''_h} \frac{\sigma_h(\eta)(k(\eta) + c_h^1)}{(\eta - \xi)} d\eta$$

with C_h surrounding C''_h . Thus:

$$\tilde{\Xi}_0(f_0)(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_h \oint_{C_h} \oint_{C''_h} \frac{\sigma_h(\eta)(k(\eta) + c_h^1)}{(z - \xi)(\eta - \xi)\sigma_h(\xi)} d\eta d\xi + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_h \oint_{C_h} \frac{2i\pi(k(\xi) + c_h^1)}{z - \xi} d\xi.$$

Letting each C_h go to infinity, we see that the first integral goes to zero and using Cauchy formula again we see that the second term equals $k(z) + c^1$.

We now prove $\tilde{\Xi}_0(f_1) = 0$.

$$\tilde{\Xi}_0(f_1)(z) = -\frac{i}{4\pi^2} \sum_h \oint_{C_h} \frac{c_h^2 S(\xi)\sigma(\xi)}{\sigma(\xi)\sigma_h(\xi)S(\xi)(z - \xi)} d\xi = -\frac{i}{4\pi^2} \sum_h \oint_{C_h} \frac{c_h^2}{\sigma_h(\xi)(z - \xi)} d\xi = 0$$

where we let the contours go to infinity.

By the exact same reasoning, we show that $\tilde{\Xi}_1(f_0) = k + c^1$ and $\tilde{\Xi}_1(f_1) = 0$.

By setting $\kappa_k = c_t + c_h^1$ on each U_h we have the desired result. The unicity of κ_k is implied by the previous lemma. Formula (2.15) can be easily deduced by (2.14). \square

Remark 2.12. By Lemma 2.11 and (2.14), if k defined on $U \times U$ is analytic in each variable then f defined on $U \times U$ and solution of

$$\Xi f(\cdot, y) = k(x, y) + \kappa_k(x, y) \quad \forall y \in U,$$

with $\kappa(\cdot, y)$ constant on each U_h is analytic in each variable.

We can now construct our approximate solution of the Monge-Ampère equation. As we want the domain \mathbf{B} to be fixed by the flow of this approximate solution, we would like to choose $\mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon$ and \mathbf{z}_t^ϵ vanishing at the boundaries of B (and $B \times B$). Fix $\delta > 0$ small and denote $B^\delta = \bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq g} [\beta_{h,-} + \delta; \beta_{h,+} - \delta]$.

For a function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ let $\Upsilon(f)$ be the multiplication of f by a smooth plateau function equal to 1 on B^δ and 0 outside B . If we are given a function $k \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ satisfying $\Xi(f) = k + \kappa_k$, then :

- $\Upsilon(f) = f$ on B^δ .
- $\Upsilon(f)$ is C^∞ and has compact support in B (and can thus be extended by 0 to \mathbb{R}).
- $\Xi(\Upsilon(f)) = k + \kappa_k$ on B^δ (By definition of Ξ and the fact that f and $\Upsilon(f)$ coincide on B^δ).
- $\|\Upsilon(f)\|_{C^j(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_j \|k\|_{C^{j+2}(B)}$ for some constants C_j .

Note that by Remark 2.5, possibly by shrinking $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ we can assume $\tilde{T}_t^\epsilon < 0$ outside B^δ . Thus for N large enough and a constant $\eta > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(\exists i \lambda_i \notin B^\delta) \leq \exp(-N\eta). \quad (2.19)$$

Moreover

$$\int \left(\int |k - \Xi(\Upsilon(f))| dM_N \right) d\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \leq \int \left(\int |k - \Xi f| dM_N \right) d\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} + \int \left(\int |\Xi f - \Xi(\Upsilon(f))| dM_N \right) d\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}. \quad (2.20)$$

The first term on the right hand side is 0 as κ_k is constant on each B_h and the second term is exponentially small by the large deviation estimate.

We first choose

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t^\epsilon(\cdot, y) = \frac{1}{2} \Xi^{-1}(W(\cdot, y)) \quad \forall y \in B \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1,t}^\epsilon = \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - 1\right) \Xi^{-1} \left(\int \partial_1 \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t^\epsilon(z, \cdot) d\mu_V^\epsilon(z) \right) \end{cases}$$

and then

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\cdot, y) = \Upsilon(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_t^\epsilon(\cdot, y)) \quad \forall y \in B \\ \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon = \Upsilon(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1,t}^\epsilon) \end{cases}$$

With this choice of function and by inequality (2.20) we have that

$$\mathcal{R} = E + C_t^{N, \epsilon} + o\left(\frac{1}{N}\right). \quad (2.21)$$

We now have to control the error term E . To do so we will use a direct consequence of the concentration result proved in Corollary 3.5 of [6] (adapted from a result from [18]):

Proposition 2.13. *Let V satisfy Hypothesis 1.2 and T_t is as in (2.5). Then there exist constants c , c' and s_0 such that for N large enough, $s \geq s_0 \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}}$, and for any $\epsilon = \mathbf{N}/N \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, $t \in [0; 1]$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\sup_{\substack{\phi \in C_c^1(B) \\ \|\phi'\|_\infty \leq 1}} \left| \int \phi(x) d(L_N - \mu_V^\epsilon)(x) \right| \geq s \right) \leq \exp(-cN^2 s^2) + \exp(-c'N^2). \quad (2.22)$$

In order to control the error term we will make use of the following three loop equations. We recall that $M_N = N(L_N - N\mu_V^\epsilon)$ and we will denote $\tilde{M}_N = N(L_N - \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}[L_N])$.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $f \in C^1(B)$ such that for all $0 \leq h \leq g$, $f(\beta_{h,-}) = f(\beta_{h,+}) = 0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(\Xi f) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) L_N(f') + \frac{1}{N} \left[\iint \left(\frac{\beta f(x) - f(y)}{2(x-y)} + \partial_1 T_t(x, y) f(x) \right) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right] \right) = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

If k_1 is also in $C^1(B)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(L_N(fk'_1) + M_N(\Xi f) \tilde{M}_N(k_1) + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) L_N(f') \tilde{M}_N(k_1) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{N} \left[\iint \left(\frac{\beta f(x) - f(y)}{2(x-y)} + \partial_1 T_t(x, y) f(x) \right) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right] \tilde{M}_N(k_1) \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

If k_2 and k_3 are also in $C^1(B)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\sum_{\sigma} L_N(fk'_{\sigma(1)}) \tilde{M}_N(k_{\sigma(2)}) \tilde{M}_N(k_{\sigma(3)}) + M_N(\Xi f) \tilde{M}_N(k_1) \tilde{M}_N(k_2) \tilde{M}_N(k_3) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{N} \left[\iint \left(\frac{\beta f(x) - f(y)}{2(x-y)} + \partial_1 T_t(x, y) f(x) \right) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right] \tilde{M}_N(k_1) \tilde{M}_N(k_2) \tilde{M}_N(k_3) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) L_N(f') \tilde{M}_N(k_1) \tilde{M}_N(k_2) \tilde{M}_N(k_3) \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where the sum ranges over the permutations of \mathfrak{S}_3

Proof. Using integration by parts we show

$$\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\iint \left(\frac{\beta f(x) - f(y)}{2(x-y)} + \partial_1 T_t(x, y) f(x) \right) dL_N(x) dL_N(y) + \frac{1}{N} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) L_N(f') \right) = 0 \quad (2.26)$$

we deduce the first loop equation by using the definition of Ξ .

The second loop equation is obtained by replacing in (2.26) $T_t(x, y)$ by $T_t(x, y) - \delta_1(k_1(x) + k_1(y))$ and differentiating at $\delta = 0$.

The third one is obtained by replacing in (2.26) $T_t(x, y)$ by $T_t(x, y) - \delta_1(k_1(x) + k_1(y)) - \delta_2(k_2(x) + k_2(y)) - \delta_3(k_3(x) + k_3(y))$ and differentiating at $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 0$. \square

We will now put in use these loop equations and the concentration result of Proposition 2.13 to obtain some estimates.

Lemma 2.15. *Let k be an analytic function on U . Then for some constant C :*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(M_N(k))| &\leq C \log N \|k\|_{C^6(B)}. \\ \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(M_N(k)^2) &\leq C(\log N)^2 \|k\|_{C^6(B)}^2. \\ \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(M_N(k)^4) &\leq C(\log N)^4 \|k\|_{C^6(B)}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We apply (2.23) to $f = \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)$. Using (2.20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(k) + \frac{1}{N} \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \iint \left(\frac{\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(x) - \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(y)}{x-y} + \partial_1 T_t(x, y) \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(x) \right) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) L_N((\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k))') \right) = O \left(N \|k\|_{L^\infty(B)} \exp(-N\eta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(k) = \frac{1}{N} \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \iint \left(\frac{\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(x) - \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(y)}{x-y} + \partial_1 T_t(x, y) \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(x) \right) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right] \\ + \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) L_N((\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k))'). \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by \mathcal{F} the fourier transform operator (for functions of either one or several variables) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint \frac{\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(x) - \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(y)}{x-y} dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \\ = i \int \left(\int_0^1 d\alpha \int e^{i\alpha\xi x} dM_N(x) \int e^{i(1-\alpha)\xi y} dM_N(y) \right) \mathcal{F}(\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k))(\xi) \xi d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \iint \partial_1 T_t(x, y) \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(x) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \\ = \int \left(\int e^{i\xi x} dM_N(x) \int e^{i\zeta y} dM_N(y) \right) \mathcal{F}(\partial_1 T_t \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k))(\xi, \zeta) d\xi d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Now on the set $\Omega = \left\{ \sup_{\substack{\phi \in C_c^1(B) \\ \|\phi'\|_\infty \leq 1}} \left| \int \phi(x) d(L_N - \mu_V^\epsilon)(x) \right| \leq s_0 \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}} \right\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int e^{i\xi x} dM_N(x) \right| &\leq \left| \int \Upsilon(e^{i\xi \cdot})(x) dM_N(x) \right| + 2N e^{-N\eta} \\ &\leq C(1 + |\xi|) \sqrt{N \log N} + 2N e^{-N\eta} \end{aligned}$$

consequently, on this set

$$\left| \iint \frac{\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(x) - \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(y)}{x - y} dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right| \leq C(N \log N) \int |\mathcal{F}(\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k))(\xi)| (1 + |\xi|)^3 d\xi + O(Ne^{-N\eta}).$$

The integral is bounded by the norm $\mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{R})$ of $\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)$ and we have:

$$\|\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)\|_{\mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \left(\|\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| (\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k))^{(4)} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} \right).$$

As $\Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)$ has its support in B , the $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ norm can be in turn controlled by the $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ norm and we can use (2.15). Similarly on Ω we have

$$\left| \iint \partial_1 T_t(x, y) \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k)(x) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right| \leq C(N \log N) \|k\|_{C^6(B)} + O(Ne^{-N\eta})$$

Note that here the constant depends on T_t but we can make it uniform in t and $\epsilon \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. On Ω^c we can use the trivial bound

$$\left| \int e^{i\xi x} dM_N(x) \right| \leq 2N$$

to prove that $|\Lambda(k)|$ is bounded everywhere by $CN \|k\|_{C^6(B)}$. By using Proposition 2.13 we obtain

$$|\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(\Lambda(k))| \leq C \left((\log N) \|k\|_{C^6(B)} + Ne^{-cs_0^2 N \log N} \|k\|_{C^6(B)} \right)$$

and we can conclude the proof of the first inequality.

To prove the second inequality, using (2.24) and (2.20) we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(k) \tilde{M}_N(k) \right) = -\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\Lambda(k) \tilde{M}_N(k) + L_N(k') \Upsilon(\Xi^{-1}k) \right) + O(N^2 \|k\|_{L^\infty(B)}^2 e^{-N\eta}).$$

By splitting on Ω and Ω^c we see that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(k) \tilde{M}_N(k) \right) \right| \leq C \left(\log N \|k\|_{C^6(B)} \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(|\tilde{M}_N(k)| \right) + \|k\|_{C^6(B)}^2 \left(1 + N^2 e^{-cs_0^2 N \log N} + N^2 e^{-N\eta} \right) \right)$$

We notice that $M_N(k) - \tilde{M}_N(k) = \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(M_N(k))$ is deterministic and that $\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(\tilde{M}_N(k))$ vanishes. The term on the left is thus equal to $\left| \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^2 \right) \right|$ and we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^2 \right) \leq C \left(\log N \|k\|_{C^6(B)} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^2 \right)} + \|k\|_{C^6(B)}^2 \left(1 + N^2 e^{-cs_0^2 N \log N} + N^2 e^{-N\eta} \right) \right).$$

Elementary manipulations show that this implies that $\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^2 \right) \leq C(\log N)^2 \|k\|_{C^6(B)}^2$ with a different constant.

Writing

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(k)^2 \right) &\leq 2 \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^2 \right) + 2 \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left((\tilde{M}_N(k) - M_N(k))^2 \right) \\ &= 2 \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^2 \right) + 2 \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(k) \right)^2\end{aligned}$$

and using the first inequality yields to the second one.

Finally, to prove the last inequality, (2.25) gives :

$$\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^4 \right) \leq C \left(\log N \|k\|_{C^6(B)} \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^4 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + \|k\|_{C^6(B)}^4 \left((\log N)^2 + N^4 e^{-cs_0^2 N \log N} + N^4 e^{-N\eta} \right) \right)$$

which shows $\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^4 \right) \leq C (\log N)^4 \|k\|_{C^6(B)}^4$. We conclude by using the identity

$$\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(k)^4 \right) \leq 8 \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\tilde{M}_N(k)^4 \right) + 8 \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left((\tilde{M}_N(k) - M_N(k))^4 \right).$$

□

We will need a last lemma to estimate the error E .

Lemma 2.16. *There exists a constant C such that for $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$) of compact support in B (resp. B^2, B^3) we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\left| \int \phi(x) dM_N(x) \right| \right) &\leq C \|\phi\|_{C^6(B)} \log N \\ \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\left| \iint \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(y)}{x - y} dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right| \right) &\leq C \|\phi\|_{C^{14}(B)} \log N^2 \\ \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\left| \iint \psi(x, y) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) \right| \right) &\leq C \|\psi\|_{C^{14}(B^2)} \log N^2 \\ \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\left| \iiint \chi(x, y, z) dM_N(x) dM_N(y) dM_N(z) \right| \right) &\leq C \|\chi\|_{C^{21}(B^3)} \log N^3 \\ \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\left| \iiint \frac{\psi(x, y) - \psi(z, y)}{x - z} dM_N(x) dM_N(y) dM_N(z) \right| \right) &\leq C \|\psi\|_{C^{21}(B^2)} \log N^3\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will prove the last inequality as the other ones are simpler and can be proved the same way.

$$\begin{aligned}\iiint \frac{\psi(x, y) - \psi(z, y)}{x - z} dM_N(x) dM_N(y) dM_N(z) \\ = i \iint \left(\int_0^1 d\alpha M_N(e^{i\alpha\xi \cdot}) M_N(e^{i(1-\alpha)\xi \cdot}) M_N(e^{i\zeta \cdot}) \right) \mathcal{F}(\partial_1 \psi)(\xi, \zeta) d\xi d\zeta\end{aligned}$$

and by using Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(\left| \iiint \frac{\psi(x, y) - \psi(z, y)}{x - z} dM_N(x) dM_N(y) dM_N(z) \right| \right) \\ \leq \iint \left(\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(e^{i\alpha\xi \cdot})^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(e^{i(1-\alpha)\xi \cdot})^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(M_N(e^{i\zeta \cdot})^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) |\xi| \mathcal{F}(\psi)(\xi, \zeta) d\xi d\zeta \\ \leq C (\log N)^3 \iint (1 + |\xi|^6)^2 (1 + |\zeta|^6) |\xi| \mathcal{F}(\psi)(\xi, \zeta) |d\xi d\zeta\end{aligned}$$

where we used the last identity of Lemma 2.15. The last term is controlled by the $H^{21}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ norm of ψ and we have

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{21}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \left(\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \sup_{|\beta| \leq 21} \left\| \partial^\beta \psi \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right) \leq C \|\psi\|_{C^{21}(B^2)}.$$

□

A direct application of this lemma shows that $\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(|E|) \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N}$, and we could prove similarly using higher order loop equations that for all integer $k \geq 1$

$$\left(\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(|E|^{2k}) \right)^{1/2k} \leq C_k \frac{(\log N)^3}{N}. \quad (2.27)$$

In order to prove Proposition 2.9 it remains to control the deterministic term $C_t^{N, \epsilon}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Y}) &= \beta \sum_{h=0}^g \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N_h} \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{h,i} - \mathbf{Y}_{h,j}}{\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h,j}} + \beta \sum_{0 \leq h < h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} \frac{\mathbf{Y}_{h,i} - \mathbf{Y}_{h',j}}{\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h',j}} \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq h, h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} (\partial_1 T(\lambda_{h,i}, \lambda_{h',j}) \mathbf{Y}_{h,i,t}) + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

Integration by part shows that any vector field \mathbf{Y} that vanishes on the boundary of \mathbf{B} satisfies $\mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Y})) = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(\mathcal{R}_t^{N, \epsilon}(\mathbf{Y}^{N, \epsilon})) &= \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 \leq h, h' \leq g} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N_h \\ 1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}}} W(\lambda_{h,i}, \lambda_{h',j}) + N \sum_{0 \leq h \leq g} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_h} \int W(\lambda_{h,i}, z) d\mu_V^\epsilon(z) \right. \\ &\left. + \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Y}_t^{N, \epsilon}) - c_t^{N, \epsilon} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.21)

$$|C_t^{N, \epsilon}| = \left| \mathbb{E}_{T_t, B}^{N, \epsilon}(\mathcal{R}_t^{N, \epsilon}(\mathbf{Y}^{N, \epsilon}) - E) \right| + o\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N}.$$

2.4 Obtaining the Transport map via the flow

In this section we will discuss the properties of the transport map given by the flow of the approximate solution $\mathbf{Y}^{N, \epsilon}$ of the Monge-Ampère equation. As the equilibrium measures of the initial potential and the target potential are the same, this map is equal to the identity at the first order. The smaller order are then given by the expansion (2.11) of $\mathbf{Y}^{N, \epsilon}$.

Lemma 2.17. *Let V satisfy Hypothesis 1.2, T_t is as in (2.5) and $\epsilon = N/N \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. Then the flow $X_t^{N, \epsilon}$ can be written*

$$X_t^{N, \epsilon} = Id + \frac{1}{N} X_t^{N, \epsilon, 1} + \frac{1}{N^2} X_t^{N, \epsilon, 2} \quad (2.28)$$

where $X_t^{N, \epsilon, 1}$ and $X_t^{N, \epsilon, 2}$ are in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ supported in \mathbf{B} , and for some constant $C > 0$

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i \leq N_h}} \left\| X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1} \right\|_{L^4(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} \leq C \log N \quad , \quad \left\| X_t^{N,\epsilon,2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} \leq C\sqrt{N}(\log N)^2 \quad (2.29)$$

and with probability greater than $1 - N^{-\frac{N}{C}}$

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i,j \leq N_h}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - X_{h,j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})| \leq C\sqrt{N} \log N |\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h,j}| \quad (2.30)$$

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i,j \leq N_h}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - X_{h,j,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})| \leq CN\sqrt{N} \log N |\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h,j}| \quad (2.31)$$

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i \leq N_h}} \left\| X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1} \right\|_{\infty} \leq C\sqrt{N} \log N \quad , \quad \sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i \leq N_h}} \left\| X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2} \right\|_{\infty} \leq CN\sqrt{N} \log N \quad (2.32)$$

Proof. The expansion (2.11) suggests to define $X_t^{N,\epsilon,1} = (X_{0,1,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}, \dots, X_{g,N_g,t}^{N,\epsilon,1})$ as the solution of the linear ODE

$$\dot{X}_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{y}_{1,t}^{\epsilon}(\lambda_{h,i}) + \int \mathbf{z}_t^{\epsilon}(\lambda_{h,i}, y) dM_N(y) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 \leq h' \leq g} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}} \partial_2 \mathbf{z}_t^{\epsilon}(\lambda_{h,i}, \lambda_{h',j}) X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \quad (2.33)$$

with initial condition $X_t^{N,\epsilon,1} = 0$. We then define $X_t^{N,\epsilon,2}$ through the identity (2.28). Using the fact that $\mathbf{y}_{1,t}^{\epsilon}$ and \mathbf{z}_t^{ϵ} have compact support and are thus bounded, along with equation (2.33), we obtain:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i \leq N_h}} \left\| X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1} \right\|_{L^4(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} \right) \leq C \left(1 + \sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i \leq N_h}} \left\| X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1} \right\|_{L^4(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} + \sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i \leq N_h}} \left\| \int \mathbf{z}_t^{\epsilon}(\lambda_{h,i}, y) dM_N(y) \right\|_{L^4(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} \right).$$

As in Lemma 2.16, we can prove that the last term is of order $\log N$. Using Grönwall's Lemma, this proves

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i \leq N_h}} \left\| X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1} \right\|_{L^4(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} \leq C \log N. \quad (2.34)$$

Furthermore, Proposition 2.13 shows that for some constant C , with probability greater than $1 - N^{-\frac{N}{C}}$ we have

$$\left\| \int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^{\epsilon}(\cdot, y) dM_N(y) \right\|_{\infty} \leq C\sqrt{N} \log N$$

and similarly, this proves (2.30). We now have to bound the norm of $X_t^{N,\epsilon,2}$. For $s \in [0; 1]$ let

$$X_t^{s,N,\epsilon} = Id + \frac{s}{N} X_t^{N,\epsilon,1} + \frac{s}{N^2} X_t^{N,\epsilon,2} = (1-s)Id + sX_t^{N,\epsilon}$$

and define the measure $M_N^{X_t^{s,N,\epsilon}}$ by

$$\int f(y) dM_N^{X_t^{s,N,\epsilon}}(y) = \sum_{0 \leq h \leq g} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_h} f(X_{h,i,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) - N \int f d\mu_V^\epsilon.$$

Then a Taylor expansion gives us an ODE for $X_t^{N,\epsilon,2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \int_0^1 (\mathbf{y}_{1,t}^\epsilon)'(X_{h,i,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) ds \left(X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{N} X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right) \\ &+ \int_0^1 \left[\int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(X_{h,i,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), y) dM_N^{X_t^{s,N,\epsilon}} - \int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i}, y) dM_N(y) \right] ds \left(X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{N} X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right) \\ &+ \int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i}, y) dM_N(y) \left(X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \frac{1}{N} X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq h' \leq g} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}} \int_0^1 \left[\partial_2 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(X_{h,i,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), X_{h',j,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) - \partial_2 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i}, \lambda_{h',j}) \right] ds X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq h' \leq g} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N_{h'}} \int_0^1 \left[\partial_2 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(X_{h,i,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), X_{h',j,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) \right] ds \frac{X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

We then use the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \left| \int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(X_{h,i,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), y) dM_N^{X_t^{s,N,\epsilon}} - \int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i}, y) dM_N(y) \right| ds \\ &\leq C |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| + \frac{C}{N} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| + \frac{C}{N} \sum_{h',j} \left(|X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| + \frac{1}{N} |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| \right), \\ &\sum_{h',j} \int_0^1 \left| \partial_2 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(X_{h,i,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), X_{h',j,t}^{s,N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) - \partial_2 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i}, \lambda_{h',j}) \right| ds |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{N} \sum_{h',j} \left(|X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}|^2 + \frac{1}{N} |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| \right) \end{aligned}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left\| X_t^{N,\epsilon,2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})}^2 &= 2 \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{h,i} \dot{X}_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2} X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2} \right) \\
&\leq C \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{h,i} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| \right) + \frac{C}{N} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{h,i} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}|^2 \right) \\
&+ C \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{h,i} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}|^2 |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| \right) + \frac{C}{N} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{h,i} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}|^2 \right) \\
&+ \frac{C}{N^2} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{h,i} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}|^3 \right) \\
&+ \frac{C}{N} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{\substack{h,i \\ h',j}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| \right) + \frac{C}{N^2} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{\substack{h,i \\ h',j}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| \right) \\
&+ \frac{C}{N^2} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{\substack{h,i \\ h',j}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}|^2 |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| \right) + \frac{C}{N^3} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{\substack{h,i \\ h',j}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}|^2 |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| \right) \\
&+ \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{h,i} \left| \int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i}, y) dM_N(y) \right| |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| \right) + C \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{h,i} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}|^2 \right) \\
&+ \frac{C}{N} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{\substack{h,i \\ h',j}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}|^2 \right) + \frac{C}{N^2} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{\substack{h,i \\ h',j}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| \right) \\
&+ \frac{C}{N} \mathbb{E}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \left(\sum_{\substack{h,i \\ h',j}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Using the bounds $\left\| \int \partial_1 \mathbf{z}_t^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i}, y) dM_N(y) \right\|_{L^4(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} \leq C \log N$ (see Lemma 2.16), $|X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| \leq C N$, $|X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| \leq CN^2$ and inequalities such as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h,i} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{h,i} ((X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1})^2 + (X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2})^2) \right) \\
\sum_{\substack{h,i \\ h',j}} |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| |X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1}| |X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2}| &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{h,i \\ h',j}} ((X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1})^4 + (X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,1})^4 + (X_{h',j,t}^{N,\epsilon,2})^2) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

along with (2.34) and Hölder inequality, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| X_t^{N,\epsilon,2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})}^2 \leq C \left(\left\| X_t^{N,\epsilon,2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})}^2 + N(\log N)^4 \right). \tag{2.37}$$

Using Grönwall's Lemma, we can conclude the proof. The bounds (2.31) and (2.32) are proven the same way. \square

Remark 2.18. Using (2.32), (2.33) and (2.36) we see that we have in fact for all integer $k \geq 1$

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i \leq N_h}} \left\| X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,1} \right\|_{L^{2k}(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} \leq C_k \log N \quad , \quad \sup_{\substack{0 \leq h \leq g \\ 1 \leq i \leq N_h}} \left\| X_{h,i,t}^{N,\epsilon,2} \right\|_{L^{2k}(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} \leq C_k \sqrt{N} (\log N)^2$$

3 From Transport to Universality

In this section we will prove Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 2.7. We prove the results in the bulk as the proof is almost identical for the edge result.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. Note that by Lemma 2.8 and by our construction of $\mathbf{Y}_t^{N,\epsilon}$, $X_1^{N,\epsilon}$ is an approximate transport map from $\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}$ to $\mathbb{P}_{T_1,B}^{N,\epsilon}$ in the sense that it satisfies (2.7). Now, keeping our notations from the previous section, set $\hat{X}^{N,\epsilon} = Id + \frac{1}{N} X_1^{N,\epsilon,1}$. Then for all $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f(\hat{X}^{N,\epsilon}) d\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} - \int f(X_1^{N,\epsilon}) d\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \right| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla f\|_\infty}{N^2} \int |X_1^{N,\epsilon,2}| d\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \\ &\leq \frac{\|\nabla f\|_\infty}{N^2} \left\| X_1^{N,\epsilon,2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon})} \\ &\leq \|\nabla f\|_\infty \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\left| \int f(\hat{X}^{N,\epsilon}) d\mathbb{P}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} - \int f d\mathbb{P}_{T_1,B}^{N,\epsilon} \right| \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N} \|f\|_\infty + \|\nabla f\|_\infty \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Now for all $0 \leq h \leq g$ let $R^h : B_h^{N_h} \rightarrow B_h^{N_h}$ the ordering map (i.e the map satisfying for all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_h}) \in B_h^{N_h}$ $R^{h,i}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_h}) \leq R^{h,j}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_h})$ if $i < j$ and $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_h}\} = \{R^{h,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_h}), \dots, R^{h,N_h}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_h})\}$, so that if $R(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (R^0(\lambda_{0,1}, \dots, \lambda_{0,N_0}), \dots, R^g(\lambda_{g,1}, \dots, \lambda_{g,N_g}))$ we have $R\#d\mathbb{P}_B^{N,\epsilon} = d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_B^{N,\epsilon}$.

Then if f_h is a function of m variables, we have $\|\nabla(f_h \circ R^h)\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{m} \|\nabla f_h\|_\infty$.

It is clear from (2.30) that $\hat{X}^{N,\epsilon}$ preserves the order of the eigenvalues with probability greater than $1 - N^{-\frac{N}{C}}$. Thus, if we define $f : \mathbb{R}^{m(g+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_g) = \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(\mathbf{x}_h)$ where $f_h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N(\lambda_{h,i_h+1} - \lambda_{h,i_h}), \dots, N(\lambda_{h,i_h+m} - \lambda_{h,i_h})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{T_1,B}^{N,\epsilon} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N(\hat{X}_{h,i_h+1}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \hat{X}_{h,i_h}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})), \dots, N(\hat{X}_{h,i_h+m}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \hat{X}_{h,i_h}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \right| \quad (3.1) \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{(\log N)^3}{N} \|f\|_\infty + \|\nabla f\|_\infty \sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (2.30) we notice that with probability greater than $1 - N^{-\frac{N}{c}}$, for all $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $0 \leq h \leq g$

$$\hat{X}_{h,i_h+k}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \hat{X}_{h,i_h}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \lambda_{h,i_h+k} - \lambda_{h,i_h} + (\lambda_{h,i_h+k} - \lambda_{h,i_h})O\left(\frac{\log N}{\sqrt{N}}\right).$$

As f_h has compact support in $[-M, M]^m$, $(\lambda_{h,i_h+k} - \lambda_{h,i_h})$ remains bounded by $\frac{2M}{N}$ and

$$\hat{X}_{h,i_h+k}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \hat{X}_{h,i_h}^{N,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \lambda_{h,i_h+k} - \lambda_{h,i_h} + O\left(\frac{M \log N}{N\sqrt{N}}\right),$$

we easily deduce the first part of Proposition 2.6 .

□

Before proving Corollary 2.7 we recall Theorem 1.5 of [3].

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that W is a potential satisfying Hypothesis 1.2 with $g = 0$. Then for a constant C and for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz and compactly supported in $[-M; M]$ we have*

1. *In the Bulk*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int f(N(\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i), \dots, N(\lambda_{i+m} - \lambda_i)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_W^N \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int f(N(\Phi)'(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i), \dots, N(\Phi)'(\lambda_i)(\lambda_{i+m} - \lambda_i)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_G^N \right| \\ & \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N} \|f\|_\infty + C(\sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{1/2}} + M \frac{(\log N)}{N^{1/2}} + \frac{M^2}{N}) \|\nabla f\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

2. *At the Edge*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int f(N^{2/3}(\lambda_1 - \alpha_-), \dots, N^{2/3}(\lambda_m - \alpha_-)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_W^N \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int f(N^{2/3}(\Phi)'(-2)(\lambda_1 + 2), \dots, N^{2/3}(\Phi)'(-2)(\lambda_m + 2)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_G^N \right| \\ & \leq C \frac{(\log N)^3}{N} \|f\|_\infty + C(\sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{5/6}} + \frac{\log N}{N^{1/3}} + \frac{M^2}{N^{4/3}}) \|\nabla f\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

where Φ is a transport map from μ_G to μ_W , and we recall that G denotes the Gaussian potential.

Proof of Corollary 2.7. Noticing that $d\mathbb{P}_{T_1,B}^{N,\epsilon}$ is a product measure we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} f_h(N(\lambda_{h,i_h+1} - \lambda_{h,i_h}), \dots, N(\lambda_{h,i_h+m} - \lambda_{h,i_h})) d\mathbb{P}_{T_1,B}^{N,\epsilon} = \frac{1}{Z_{T_1,B}^{N,\epsilon}} \int \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N_h} \mathbf{1}_{B_h}(\lambda_{h,i}) d\lambda_{h,i} \\ & \quad \left[f_h(N(\lambda_{h,i_h+1} - \lambda_{h,i_h}), \dots, N(\lambda_{h,i_h+m} - \lambda_{h,i_h})) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N_h} |\lambda_{h,i} - \lambda_{h,j}|^\beta \exp\left(-N \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_h} \tilde{V}^\epsilon(\lambda_{h,i})\right) \right] \\ & = \prod_{0 \leq h \leq g} \int f_h(N(\lambda_{h,i_h+1} - \lambda_{h,i_h}), \dots, N(\lambda_{h,i_h+m} - \lambda_{h,i_h})) d\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{V}^\epsilon/\epsilon_h, B_h}^{N_h} \end{aligned}$$

We notice using (2.3) that

$$\mu_{\tilde{V}^{\epsilon}/\epsilon_h, B_h} = \mu_V^{\epsilon, h}.$$

We conclude using Proposition 3.1. \square

4 Universality in the initial model

To derive universality in the initial model, we expand the expectation of the quantity we want to compute in terms of the filling fractions, and we make use of Corollary 2.7.

First, we notice that for all $0 \leq h \leq g$ the map $\Phi^{\epsilon, h}$ is smooth in $\epsilon \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and we have a bound

$$(\Phi^{\epsilon, h})'(\lambda_{h, i}) = (\Phi^{\epsilon_*, h})'(\lambda_{h, i}) + O(|\epsilon - \epsilon_*|) \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda_{h, i} \in B \quad (4.1)$$

Indeed, it is shown in [3] (4.1) that our transport map $\Phi^{\epsilon, h}$ is equal to X_1^ϵ where X_t^ϵ solves the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{X}_t^\epsilon = y_t^\epsilon(X_t^\epsilon) \quad , \quad X_0^\epsilon = Id$$

and y_t^ϵ is given by inverting Ξ . By formula (2.14) and Lemma 2.2, we see that y_t^ϵ is regular in ϵ , and from the standard theory of ordinary differential equations, so is Φ^ϵ .

We will use the following result proved in section 8.2, equations (8.18) and (8.19) of [4].

Lemma 4.1. *Along the subsequences such that $\mathbf{N}_\star \bmod \mathbb{Z}^{g+1} \rightarrow \kappa$ where $\kappa \in [0; 1]^{g+1}$ and under $\mathbb{P}_{V, B}^N$, the vector $[\mathbf{N}_\star] - N(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ converges towards a random discrete Gaussian vector $\Delta_{h, \kappa}$. In particular*

$$\mathbb{P}_{V, B}^N(|N(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - [\mathbf{N}_\star]| \geq K) = O\left(\exp(-K^2)\right).$$

Note that the limit is not necessarily centered, and although the result is proved for $\mathbf{N}_\star - N(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, it obviously also holds for $[\mathbf{N}_\star] - N(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ since we are only considering subsequences such that $\mathbf{N}_\star - [\mathbf{N}_\star] \rightarrow \kappa$. We will also need the following result, which can be proved using the previous result or Lemma 2.16

$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g)} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V, B}^{N, \epsilon}}{Z_{V, B}^N} |\epsilon - \epsilon_*| = \mathbb{E}_{V, B}^N \left(\sum_{0 \leq h \leq g} |L_N(B_h) - \mu_V(B_h)| \right) \leq C \frac{\log N}{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

We now provide a proof of Theorem 1.3. Let f be a function of compact support and i such as in the hypothesis of the theorem. Using Corollary 2.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int f(N\rho_V(E_i^{V,N})(\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^N \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{N}=(N_0,\dots,N_g)} \int f(N\rho_V(E_i^{V,N})(\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i)) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{N}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\mathbf{N}} d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^N \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{N}=(N_0,\dots,N_g)} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \int f(N\rho_V(E_i^{V,N})(\lambda_{h,i+1[h,\mathbf{N}]} - \lambda_{h,i[h,\mathbf{N}]}) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{N}=(N_0,\dots,N_g) \\ |\mathbf{N}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - [\mathbf{N}_\star]| \leq K}} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \int f(N\rho_V(E_i^{V,N})(\lambda_{h,i+1[h,\mathbf{N}]} - \lambda_{h,i[h,\mathbf{N}]}) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \\
&\quad + O\left(\|f\|_\infty \exp(-K^2)\right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{N}=(N_0,\dots,N_g) \\ |\mathbf{N}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - [\mathbf{N}_\star]| \leq K}} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \int f(N(\Phi^{\epsilon,h})'(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]})\rho_V(E_i^{V,N})(\lambda_{i+1[h,\mathbf{N}]} - \lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]}) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_G^{N_h} \\
&\quad + O\left(\left(\exp(-K^2) + \frac{(\log N)^3}{N}\right) \|f\|_\infty + \left(\sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{1/2}} + M \frac{(\log N)}{N^{1/2}} + \frac{M^2}{N}\right) \|\nabla f\|_\infty\right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we manage to replace the term $N(\Phi^{\epsilon,h})'(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]})\rho_V(E_i^{V,N})$ by $N_h \rho_G(E_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]}^{G,N_h})$ then, using the convergence (1.4) we can conclude.

By (4.1) we can replace $(\Phi^{\epsilon,h})'(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]})$ by $(\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,h})'(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]})$ in the last equation and obtain an error of order K/N . Now, using that $\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,h}$ is a transport from μ_G to $\mu_V^{\epsilon_\star,h}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,h})'(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]}) &= \frac{\rho_G(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]})}{\rho_V^{\epsilon_\star,h}(\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,h}(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]})} , \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,h}(E_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]}^{G,N_h})} \rho_V^{\epsilon_\star,h}(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{E_i^{V,N}} \rho_V^{\epsilon_\star,h}(x) dx + O(K/N).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,h}(E_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]}^{G,N_h}) = E_i^{V,N} + O(K/N)$ and using $\rho_V = \epsilon_{\star,h} \rho_V^{\epsilon_\star,h}$ on A_h we see that

$$N(\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,h})'(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]})\rho_V(E_i^{V,N}) = N_{\star,h} \rho_G(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]}) \frac{\rho_V^{\epsilon_\star,h}(E_i^{V,N})}{\rho_V^{\epsilon_\star,h}(\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,h}(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]})}.$$

We can replace $\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]}$ by $E_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]}^{G,N_h}$ in the right hand side with an error term $o(N)$ with high probability under $\mathbb{P}_G^{N_h}$ using a very rough rigidity estimate that can be proved for instance using Proposition 2.13. As $(\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,h})'$ is bounded by below and f is compact we notice that $N(\lambda_{i+1[h,\mathbf{N}]} - \lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]})$ is of order 1 and we can conclude.

We can now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.4. To simplify the notations, we will do the proof when $m = 1$ but the proof for general m is identical.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \prod_{h=0}^g f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h,1} - \alpha_{0,-})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^N \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g)} \int \prod_{h=0}^g f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h,1} - \alpha_{0,-})) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{N}(\lambda)=\mathbf{N}} d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^N \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g)} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \int \prod_{h=0}^g f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h,1} - \alpha_{0,-})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g)} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \int \prod_{h=0}^g f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h,1} - \alpha_{0,-}^\epsilon)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \\
&\quad + O\left(\sum_{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g)} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \|\nabla f\|_\infty N^{2/3} |\epsilon - \epsilon_\star| \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g) \\ |\mathbf{N}(\lambda) - [\mathbf{N}_\star]| \leq K}} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \int \prod_{h=0}^g f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h,1} - \alpha_{h,-}^\epsilon)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{\log N}{N^{1/3}} \|\nabla f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty \exp(-K^2) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g) \\ |\mathbf{N}(\lambda) - [\mathbf{N}_\star]| \leq K}} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \prod_{h=0}^g \int f_h(N^{2/3}(\Phi^{\epsilon,h})'(-2)(\lambda_1 + 2)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_G^{N_h} \\
&\quad + O\left((\exp(-K^2) + \frac{(\log N)^3}{N}) \|f\|_\infty + (\sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{5/6}} + \frac{\log N}{N^{1/3}} + \frac{M^2}{N^{4/3}}) \|\nabla f\|_\infty \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $(\Phi^{\epsilon,h})'$ is bounded by below on B and that f_h is supported in $[-M; M]$ we obtain that $|\lambda_1 + 2|$ remains bounded by $\frac{CM}{N^{2/3}}$. Using (4.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_h(N^{2/3}(\Phi^{\epsilon,h})'(-2)(\lambda_1 + 2)) &= f_h(N^{2/3}(\Phi^{\epsilon_\star,0})'(\alpha_{G,-})(\lambda_1 - \alpha_{G,-})) \\
&\quad + O(M \|\nabla f\|_\infty |\epsilon - \epsilon_\star|).
\end{aligned}$$

This equation, along with (4.2), shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \prod_{h=0}^g f_h(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h,1} - \alpha_{h,-})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^N \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g) \\ |\mathbf{N}(\lambda) - [\mathbf{N}_\star]| \leq K}} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \prod_{h=0}^g \int f_h(N^{2/3}(\Phi^{\epsilon,h})'(-2)(\lambda_1 + 2)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_G^{N_h} \\
&\quad + O\left((\exp(-K^2) + \frac{(\log N)^3}{N}) \|f\|_\infty + (\sqrt{m} \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{5/6}} + \frac{\log N}{N^{1/3}} + \frac{M^2}{N^{4/3}}) \|\nabla f\|_\infty \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As Theorem 1.1 of [22] ensures the convergence of the expectation, we can conclude.

We now come to the proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $0 \leq h \leq g$, $i = \llbracket \mathbf{N}_\star \rrbracket_{h-1} + 1$ and $\Delta_h(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \llbracket \mathbf{N}_\star \rrbracket_{h-1} - [N(\boldsymbol{\lambda})]_{h-1}$. As before we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(N^{2/3}(\lambda_i - \xi_h)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^N &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g) \\ \Delta_h(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \geq 0}} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \int f(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h,i[h,\mathbf{N}]} - \alpha_{h,-})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{N}=(N_0, \dots, N_g) \\ \Delta_h(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) < 0}} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \int f(N^{2/3}(\lambda_{h-1,i[h-1,\mathbf{N}]} - \alpha_{h-1,+})) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

We focus on the first term. Applying Corollary 2.7 we see that this term equals to

$$\sum_{\substack{[N(\boldsymbol{\lambda})] - \llbracket \mathbf{N}_\star \rrbracket \leq K \\ \Delta_h(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \geq 0}} \frac{N!}{\prod N_h!} \frac{Z_{V,B}^{N,\epsilon}}{Z_{V,B}^N} \int f(N^{2/3}(\Phi^{\epsilon,h})'(-2)(\lambda_{i[h,\mathbf{N}]} + 2)) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_G^{N_h}.$$

Noticing that $i[h, \mathbf{N}] = \llbracket \mathbf{N}_\star \rrbracket_{h-1} - [\mathbf{N}]_{h-1} + 1$, we deduce the theorem from Lemma 4.1.

References

- [1] G. W. Anderson, A. Guionnet, and O. Zeitouni. *An introduction to random matrices*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [2] G. B. Arous and A. Guionnet. Large deviations for Wigner’s law and Voiculescu’s non-commutative entropy. *Probability theory and related fields*, 108(4):517–542, 1997.
- [3] F. Bekerman, A. Figalli, and A. Guionnet. Transport maps for β -matrix models and universality. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 338(2):589–619, 2013.
- [4] Borot and Guionnet. Asymptotic expansion of β matrix models in the multi-cut regime. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.1045*, 2013.
- [5] G. Borot and A. Guionnet. Asymptotic expansion of β matrix models in the one-cut regime. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 317(2):447–483, 2013.
- [6] G. Borot, A. Guionnet, and K. K. Kozłowski. Large-N asymptotic expansion for mean field models with coulomb gas interaction. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, page rnu260, 2015.
- [7] P. Bourgade, L. Erdős, and H.-T. Yau. Bulk universality of general β -ensembles with non-convex potential. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 53(9):095221, 2012.
- [8] P. Bourgade, L. Erdős, and H.-T. Yau. Bulk universality of general β -ensembles with non-convex potential. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 53(9):095221, 2012.
- [9] P. Bourgade, L. Erdős, and H.-T. Yau. Edge universality of beta ensembles. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 332(1):261–353, 2014.

- [10] P. Bourgade, L. Erdős, and H.-T. Yau. Universality of general β -ensembles. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 163(6):1127–1190, 2014.
- [11] P. Deift. Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach. *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 3, New York, 1999.
- [12] P. Deift and D. Gioev. Universality at the edge of the spectrum for unitary, orthogonal, and symplectic ensembles of random matrices. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 60(6):867–910, 2007.
- [13] P. Deift and D. Gioev. Universality in random matrix theory for orthogonal and symplectic ensembles. *International Mathematics Research Papers*, 2007:rpm004, 2007.
- [14] I. Dumitriu and A. Edelman. Matrix models for beta ensembles. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 43(11):5830–5847, 2002.
- [15] L. Erdos and H.-T. Yau. Gap universality of generalized wigner and beta-ensembles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.3786*, 2012.
- [16] A. Figalli and A. Guionnet. Universality in several-matrix models via approximate transport maps. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1407.2759*, 2014.
- [17] Y. Li. Rigidity of eigenvalues for β ensemble in multi-cut regime. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.06603*, 2016.
- [18] M. Maïda and É. Maurel-Segala. Free transport-entropy inequalities for non-convex potentials and application to concentration for random matrices. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 159(1-2):329–356, 2014.
- [19] M. Mehta. Random matrices. *Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 142*, Elsevier/Academic, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [20] L. Pastur and M. Shcherbina. Bulk universality and related properties of Hermitian matrix models. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 130(2):205–250, 2008.
- [21] L. Pastur and M. Shcherbina. Bulk universality and related properties of Hermitian matrix models. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 130(2):205–250, 2008.
- [22] J. Ramírez, B. Rider, and B. Virág. Beta ensembles, stochastic Airy spectrum, and a diffusion. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 24(4):919–944, 2011.
- [23] M. Shcherbina. Fluctuations of linear eigenvalue statistics of β matrix models in the multi-cut regime. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 151(6):1004–1034, 2013.
- [24] M. Shcherbina. Change of variables as a method to study general β -models: bulk universality. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 55(4):043504, 2014.
- [25] T. Tao. The asymptotic distribution of a single eigenvalue gap of a Wigner matrix. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 157(1-2):81–106, 2013.
- [26] B. Valkó and B. Virág. Continuum limits of random matrices and the Brownian carousel. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 177(3):463–508, 2009.