

An extension of Mcdiarmid's inequality

Richard Combes *

June 22, 2023

Abstract

We generalize McDiarmid's inequality for functions with bounded differences on a high probability set, using an extension argument. Those functions concentrate around their conditional expectations. We further extend the results to concentration in general metric spaces.

1 Introduction

Consider $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a set and $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{X}$ a random vector with independent entries. We say that a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has c -bounded differences with $c \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ if its value can change by at most c_i when its i -th entry is modified.

Definition 1 (bounded differences). *Consider a vector $c \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ and a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. f has c -bounded differences if and only if $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c_i$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}^2$ such that $x_j = y_j$ for all $j \neq i$.*

McDiarmid's inequality states that if f has c -bounded differences, then $f(X)$ concentrates around its expected value.

Proposition 1 ([8]). *If f has c -bounded differences on \mathcal{X} , then for all $\epsilon \geq 0$:*

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \geq \epsilon] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right).$$

In the present work we consider the case where the finite differences property only holds on a high probability subset $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$, so that f has "bounded differences with high probability". The behaviour of f outside of \mathcal{Y} can be arbitrary.

Definition 2 (bounded differences on a subset). *Consider a set $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$, a vector $c \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ and a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. f has c -bounded differences on subset \mathcal{Y} if and only if $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c_i$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{Y}^2$ such that $x_j = y_j$ for all $j \neq i$.*

*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CentraleSupélec, Laboratoire des signaux et systèmes, France

If f has bounded differences on a high probability subset, it may not concentrate around its expected value as shown by the following example.

Example 1. Consider (X_1, \dots, X_n) i.i.d. Bernoulli with mean $1/2$, $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{(1, \dots, 1)\}$ and $f(X) = 2^n \mathbf{1}\{X \in \mathcal{Y}\}$. f has bounded differences over subset \mathcal{Y} , whose probability is high $\mathbb{P}[X \in \mathcal{Y}] = 1 - 2^{-n}$, but f concentrates around $\mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}] = 0$, not $\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = 1$.

This example suggests that $f(X)$ should concentrate around its conditional expectation, which is correct, but not straightforward. Indeed one cannot upper bound $\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \geq \epsilon | X \in \mathcal{Y}]$ with McDiarmid's inequality since in general (X_1, \dots, X_n) are not independent conditional to $X \in \mathcal{Y}$, unless \mathcal{Y} has a specific structure.

2 Generalized McDiarmid's Inequality

2.1 Statement

Proposition 2 shows that if f has c -bounded differences on a high probability subset, then it concentrates around its conditional expectation.

Proposition 2. Consider f with c -bounded differences over a subset \mathcal{Y} , then for all $\epsilon \geq 0$:

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}] \geq \epsilon + p\bar{c}] \leq p + \exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right).$$

where $\bar{c} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$ and $p = \mathbb{P}[X \notin \mathcal{Y}]$.

Some remarks are in order:

- (i) In typical situations, $\mathbb{P}[X \notin \mathcal{Y}]$ will be exponentially small, while \bar{c} will be independent of n , so that $p = a^{-n}$ and $c_i = b/n$ for all i for some $a, b > 0$. In that case one obtains the same exponent as in McDiarmid's inequality. Think for instance of the case where $f(X) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ for all $X \in \mathcal{Y}$.
- (ii) If f has c -bounded differences over \mathcal{Y} , then it also does over any $\mathcal{Y}' \subset \mathcal{Y}$, at the cost of increasing p . If p is controlled by another concentration inequality that holds for some family of sets, one can optimize the bound over p to obtain a refined inequality.
- (iii) The behavior of f outside of \mathcal{Y} may be arbitrary, and in particular f may even be unbounded so that $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) = +\infty$. It is also noted that if f is bounded, the difference between the expectation and conditional expectation can be controlled in a simple manner by

$$|\mathbb{E}[f(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}]| \leq 2 \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x)| \mathbb{P}[X \notin \mathcal{Y}]$$

The proof relies on an extension argument from [10], similar to [4]. The idea is to apply McDiarmid's inequality to g which is a "smoothed" version of f that has c -bounded differences and that is equal to f over subset \mathcal{Y} .

2.2 Proof

To prove the result we first use the fact that f has c -bounded differences on subset \mathcal{Y} if and only if it is 1-Lipschitz over \mathcal{Y} with respect to distance d_c

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq d_c(x, y) \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{Y}^2$$

with d_c the weighted Hamming distance

$$d_c(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbf{1}(x_i \neq y_i)$$

We then use an extension argument from [10], let

$$g(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{f(y) + d_c(x, y)\}.$$

Then g is a Lipschitz extension of f : g is 1-Lipschitz over \mathcal{X} with respect to d_c , and $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{Y}$. To derive the inequality decompose:

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq \epsilon] \leq \mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq \epsilon, X \in \mathcal{Y}] + \mathbb{P}[X \notin \mathcal{Y}]$$

Since g has c -bounded differences and coincides with f on \mathcal{Y} :

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq \epsilon, X \in \mathcal{Y}] \leq \mathbb{P}[g(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq \epsilon] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right)$$

To control the expectation of g we use the fact that:

$$g(X) = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{f(y) + d_c(X, y)\} \leq \begin{cases} f(X) & \text{if } X \in \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}] + \bar{c} & \text{if } X \notin \mathcal{Y} \end{cases}$$

since $\sup_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2} d_c(x, y) = \bar{c}$ and $\inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(y) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}]$. Taking expectations we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[g(X)] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)\mathbf{1}(X \in \mathcal{Y})] + \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}] + \bar{c})\mathbf{1}(X \notin \mathcal{Y})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}] + p\bar{c} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\mathbb{E}[g(X)]$ by this upper bound yields the result.

3 Extension to general metric spaces

3.1 Statement

Interestingly, the above can be extended to a more general scenario: concentration of Lipschitz continuous functions on a high probability subset in a general metric space. For instance, this allows to apply the approach to Gaussian concentration.

Consider X a random variable in a metric space (\mathcal{X}, d) , for any $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ define

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}) = \{f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq d(x, y), \forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{Y}^2\}$$

the set of 1-Lipschitz functions over subset \mathcal{Y} and

$$\Phi(\epsilon) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})} \mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \geq \epsilon]$$

a function which controls the concentration of Lipschitz functions over \mathcal{X} around their expectation.

Proposition 3. *Consider a subset $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}^c = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Y}$ its complement and a function $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$. Then for all $\epsilon \geq 0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}] \geq \epsilon + pW_1(P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}, P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c})] \leq \Phi(\epsilon)$$

with $p = \mathbb{P}[X \in \mathcal{Y}]$, $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}$ and $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}$ the distributions of X conditional to $X \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $X \in \mathcal{Y}^c$ respectively and W_1 the Wasserstein distance.

Proposition 3 states that if Lipschitz functions tend to concentrate around their expectation, then Lipschitz functions on a high probability subset also concentrate around their conditional expectation, up to an error term proportional to the Wasserstein distance between $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}$ and $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}$. This is interesting, as there are strong links between the Wasserstein distance and Lipschitz functions due to the Kantorovich duality. The error term can be controlled under mild assumptions if \mathcal{Y} is a high probability set, as shown in two corollaries, even when the metric space is unbounded, providing that the distribution of X has bounded second moment.

Corollary 1. *For any (\mathcal{X}, d) we have the upper bound:*

$$pW_1(P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}, P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}) \leq \sqrt{p/(1-p)} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[d(X, X')^2]}$$

where X and X' are i.i.d. with distribution P_X .

Corollary 2. *For (\mathcal{X}, d) with a finite diameter we have the upper bound:*

$$pW_1(P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}, P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}) \leq p \sup_{(x, y) \in \mathcal{X}^2} d(x, y)$$

We now provide two illustrative examples, showing that proposition 3 includes proposition 2 as a particular case, and also that it is readily applicable to Gaussian concentration.

Example 2. *Consider $c \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$, the metric space (\mathcal{X}, d_c) with d_c the weighted Hamming distance $d_c(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbf{1}(x_i \neq y_i)$. We have $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ if f has c -bounded differences, and from McDiarmid's inequality*

$$\Phi(\epsilon) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})} \mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \geq \epsilon] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right)$$

We also note that the diameter of (\mathcal{X}, d_c) is bounded by $\bar{c} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$. So in that case proposition 3 reduces to proposition 2.

Example 3. Consider metric space (\mathcal{X}, d) with $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^n$, d the Euclidean distance and $X \sim N(0, I_n)$ a standard Gaussian vector. As shown in [7] for all $\epsilon \geq 0$:

$$\Phi(\epsilon) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})} \mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \geq \epsilon] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\right)$$

Hence for any 1-Lipschitz function f over $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$, for all $\epsilon \geq 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}] \geq \epsilon + \sqrt{2np/(1-p)}] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\right)$$

since $\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[d(X, X')^2]} = \sqrt{2n}$.

3.2 Proof

The proof follows similar arguments as the previous one, once again, since $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$, one can use the extension technique of [10],

$$g(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{f(y) + d(x, y)\}.$$

where g is the Lipschitz extension of f : $g \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ and $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{Y}$. Decomposing:

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq \epsilon] \leq \mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq \epsilon, X \in \mathcal{Y}] + \mathbb{P}[X \notin \mathcal{Y}]$$

and since g is the Lipschitz extension of f

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq \epsilon, X \in \mathcal{Y}] \leq \mathbb{P}[g(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq \epsilon] \leq \Phi(\epsilon)$$

To bound the expectation of g , consider any (Z_1, Z_2) with marginals $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}$ and $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}$ and let

$$(X, Y) = \begin{cases} (Z_1, Z_1) & \text{with probability } 1-p \\ (Z_2, Z_1) & \text{with probability } p \end{cases}$$

It is noted that $X \sim P_X$. We then have

$$g(X) = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{f(y) + d(X, y)\} \leq f(Y) + d(X, Y)$$

Taking expectations:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(Z_1)] + p\mathbb{E}[d(Z_1, Z_2)]$$

The above holds for any (Z_1, Z_2) with marginals $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}$ and $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}$ so by definition of the Wasserstein distance:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X \in \mathcal{Y}] + pW_1(P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}, P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c})$$

yielding the proposition.

For any (\mathcal{X}, d) , consider X, X' i.i.d. with distribution P_X and:

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}, P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}) &\leq \mathbb{E}[d(X, X') | (X, X') \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^c] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}((X, X') \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^c) d(X, X')]}{\mathbb{P}[(X, X') \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^c]} \end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}((X, X') \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^c) d(X, X')] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{P}[(X, X') \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^c]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[d(X, X')^2]}$$

From independence $\mathbb{P}[(X, X') \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}^c] = p(1-p)$, and replacing

$$pW_1(P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}, P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}) \leq \sqrt{p/(1-p)} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[d(X, X')^2]}$$

yielding the first corollary.

If (\mathcal{X}, d) has finite diameter for any (X, Y) with marginals $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}$ and $P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}$:

$$pW_1(P_{X|\mathcal{Y}}, P_{X|\mathcal{Y}^c}) \leq p \mathbb{E}[d(X, Y)] \leq p \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{X}^2} d(x, y)$$

yielding the second corollary.

4 Related work

The strength of McDiarmid's inequality lies in its applicability (see [9] for an extensive survey): set \mathcal{X} may be completely arbitrary, and, even when f is involved, it is usually easy to check that the bounded differences assumption holds. Two notable applications are combinatorics and learning theory. Two representative results are the concentration of the chromatic number of Erdos-Rényi graphs [1], and the fact that stable algorithms have good generalization performance [2]. Namely, if the output of a learning algorithm does not vary too much when a training example is modified, then it performs well on an unseen example.

Motivated by the study of random graphs, [3, 11, 12, 13] have provided concentration inequalities for particular classes of functions f (e.g. polynomials) which have bounded differences with high probability. Indeed the number of subgraphs such as triangles or cliques can be written as a random polynomial in the entries of the adjacency matrix.

On the other hand, concentration inequalities for general functions whose differences are bounded with high probability were provided in [6], [5], [14]. The authors assume that there exists vectors b and c , with $b_i \geq c_i$ for all i such that function f has c -bounded differences on \mathcal{Y} and b -bounded differences on \mathcal{X} . The provided concentration inequalities usually give a strong improvement over McDiarmid's inequality, but are not informative if b is too large. Our results shows that this is an artefact, since all the required "information" about the behaviour of f outside of \mathcal{Y} is contained in p . A toy example of this phenomenon is found below.

Example 4. Consider (X_1, \dots, X_n) i.i.d. Bernoulli with mean $1/2$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0), (1, \dots, 1)\}$, $B \geq 0$ and

$$f(X) = \begin{cases} +B & \text{if } X = (0, \dots, 0) \\ -B & \text{if } X = (1, \dots, 1) \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 2(X_i - 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For all $B \geq 0$, our results guarantee that

$$\mathbb{P}[f(X) \geq \epsilon + 2^{1-n}] \leq 2^{-n} + \exp(-2n\epsilon^2)$$

while previously known inequalities become vacuous for B arbitrarily large.

References

- [1] B. Bollobás. The chromatic number of random graphs. *Combinatorica*, 8(1):49–55, 1988.
- [2] O. Bousquet and A. Elisseeff. Algorithmic stability and generalization performance. In *Proc. of NIPS (2001)*.
- [3] J. H. Kim and V. H. Vu. Concentration of multivariate polynomials and its applications. *Combinatorica*, 20:417–434, 2000.
- [4] M. D. Kirszbraun. Über die zusammenziehende und lipschitzsche transformationen. *Fund. Math*, 22:77–108, 1934.
- [5] A. Kontorovich. Concentration in unbounded metric spaces and algorithmic stability. In *Proc. of ICML (2014)*.
- [6] S. Kutin. Extensions to McDiarmid’s inequality when differences are bounded with high probability. *Technical report*, 2002.
- [7] Michel Ledoux. *Isoperimetry and Gaussian analysis*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.
- [8] C. McDiarmid. On the method of bounded differences. *Surveys in Combinatorics*, 141:148–188, 1989.
- [9] C. McDiarmid. Concentration. *Probabilistic Methods for Algorithmic Discrete Mathematics*, 16:195–248, 1998.
- [10] E. J. McShane. Extension of range of functions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 40(1):837–842, 1934.
- [11] W. Schudy and M. Sviridenko. Concentration and moment inequalities for polynomials of independent random variables. In *Proc. of SODA (2012)*.
- [12] V. H. Vu. On the concentration of multivariate polynomials with small expectation. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 16:344–363, 2000.

- [13] V. H. Vu. Concentration of non-Lipschitz functions and applications. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 20:262–316, 2002.
- [14] L. Warnke. On the method of typical bounded differences. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, pages 1–31, 8 2015.