arXiv:1511.03970v2 [cond-mat.soft] 25 Jan 2016

Polymer glass transition occurs at the marginal rigidity point with connectivity $z^* = 4$.

Anna Lappala¹, Alessio Zaccone² and Eugene M. Terentjev¹

²Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology,

University of Cambridge, Pembroke Street, Cambridge CB2 3RA, U.K.

(Dated: October 5, 2022)

We re-examine the physical origin of the polymer glass transition from the point of view of marginal rigidity, which is achieved at a certain average number of mechanically active intermolecular contacts per monomer. In the case of polymer chains in a melt / poor solvent, each monomer has two neighbors bound by covalent bonds and also a number of central-force contacts modelled by the Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential. We find that when the average number of contacts per monomer (covalent and non-covalent) exceeds the critical value $z^* \approx 4$, the system becomes solid and the dynamics arrested – a state that we declare the glass. Coarse-grained Brownian dynamics simulations show that at sufficient strength of LJ attraction (which effectively represents the depth of quenching, or the quality of solvent) the polymer globule indeed crosses the threshold of $z^* \approx 4$, and becomes a glass with a finite zero-frequency shear modulus, $G \sim (z - z^*)$. We verify this by showing the distinction between the 'liquid' polymer droplet at $z < z^*$, which changes shape and adopts the spherical conformation in equilibrium, and the glassy 'solid' droplet at $z > z^*$, which retains its shape frozen at the moment of z^* crossover. These results provide a robust microscopic criterion to tell the liquid apart from the glass for the linear polymers.

The phenomenon of a supercooled liquid transition into an amorphous solid (glass) has been studied extensively and many theories have been proposed, starting with the Gibbs-DiMarzio [1–4]. The modern modecoupling theory (MCT) [5] associates the dynamical arrest of ergodic liquid into the non-ergodic glass state with the emergence of a non-decaying plateau of the dynamic scattering function evaluated at the nearestneighbour distance $r_{\min} \sim 1/k_{\max}$. This scattering function, $F(k_{\max}, t)$, remains nonzero at long times for temperatures below a critical temperature. This MCT temperature T_{MCT} is somewhat higher than the calorimetric glass transition temperature, T_g , for example, in confined polymers T_{MC} =1.2-1.3 T_g [6]. Generally, the glass transition T_g somewhat depends on the quantity measured and on the route followed in bringing the system out of equilibrium upon cooling. Nevertheless, if one focuses on the mechanical signature of the glass transition, i.e. the sharp drop of the low-frequency shear modulus G by many orders of magnitude at $T \ge T_g$, the latter can be robustly determined for many different materials, and its value does not vary appreciably with the protocol [7].

Dense supercooled liquids slightly above T_g feature a slow decay of the scattering function at short times due to local rearrangements, known as the β -relaxation, and a second more dramatic decay at longer times when $F(k_{\max}, t)$ finally falls to zero, known as the α relaxation [5]. In glasses well below T_g there is no α relaxation left, and the $F(k_{\max}, t)$ has a long-time plateau corresponding to the arrested state [8, 9]. However, thermally-activated hopping processes may still lead to a further time-decay of this plateau at long-times [10].

In classical theories of dynamical arrest there is no way to discriminate the liquid from the solid glass by just looking at a snapshot of the atomic structure. This remains a crucially dark area in our understanding of the glass transition, because we cannot explain the emergence of rigidity and the finite zero-frequency elastic shear modulus G from a purely structural point of view. This issue is related to the impossibility of relating the glass transition to any detectable change in the average number of nearest-neighbours. This number is given by the integral of the static radial distribution function, g(r), from contact up to its first minumum, which defines the first coordination shell [11]. It is well established that the total coordination number so defined is always $\simeq 12$, and remains constant from the high-temperature liquid all the way into the low-temperature glassy state [11]. The reason for this lies in the fact that the total coordination number up to the first minimum of g(r) includes many nearest-neighbour particles which are highly fluctuating, i.e. not in actual contact. Hence, when one simply integrates q(r) up to the first minimum, the average number of mechanically-active contacts is significantly overestimated. The emergence of rigidity must be associated with only those nearest-neighbours that do not fluctuate and remain in the 'cage' for long times. Only these permanent nearest-neighbours are able to transmit stresses and their number does of course change significantly across T_q (as one can appreciate, for example, upon looking at the first peak of the van Hove correlation function or from MCT calculations [11]). A criterion to identify and estimate the average number zof *mechanically-active*, long-lived nearest neighbours is therefore much needed to understand the emergence of rigidity at the glass transition.

An alternative way is to consider the glassy solid with the tools of solid state physics, in particular, using non-

¹Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge,

JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, U.K. and

affine lattice dynamics. In the presence of structural disorder, a solid lattice deforms under an applied strain very differently from well-ordered centrosymmetric crystals. Additional nonaffine atomic displacements are required to relax the unbalanced nearest-neighbour forces transmitted to each atom (particle) during the deformation. These local forces cancel to zero in a centrosymmetric lattice, but are very important in glasses because they cause additional nonaffine displacements and substantially reduce the elastic free energy [12–14]. It has been shown that nonaffinity plays a role in the melting of model amorphous solids. In an earlier theory [13] we have defined the glass transition as a point at which the equilibrium shear modulus G vanishes with increasing the temperature due to the Debye-Gruneisen thermal expansion linking z to T via the monomer packing fraction $\phi(T)$. Since the affine elastic energy is just the sum of bond energies in the deformed state, it is proportional to the number of mechanically-active contacts, z. In contrast, the nonaffine negative contribution to the modulus is mostly independent of z [15, 16], but depends crucially on the type of bonding (central-force or bond-bending, or a mixture thereof). This defines the fixed value z^* as the point of marginal rigidity, with $G \sim (z - z^*)$.

When the volume is kept constant, like in canonical computer simulations of bulk polymers, z decreases due to thermal motions out of the cage, and the underlying physics is qualitatively described by the MCT and allied approaches. However, in most practical systems the volume of a liquid or a glass is free to change on changing the temperature, and thermal expansion is the leading mechanism for the decrease of z. Hence the equilibrium shear modulus G must vanish at a critical value $z(T) = z^*$, which gives the temperature at which the glass ceases to be an elastic solid (which we define as T_g), with a continuous critical-like dependence $G \propto \sqrt{T_g - T}$ [13, 14].

In this way, the dynamical arrest is understood in terms of a global rigidity transition, at which the average number of total mechanical contacts on each atom (particle, monomer) z becomes just sufficient to compensate local nonaffine relaxation and causes the emergence of rigidity. Here we consider this problem for the glass transition of a linear polymer chain, where the freezing of the dynamics is much more abrupt than in simple (monoatomic or monomeric) bulk liquids [5, 8, 9].

The number of bonds per particle requires a careful definition in amorphous systems. In a linear nonbranched polymer of N units, each particle has two covalent bonds per atom: $z_{\rm co} = 2(1 - 1/N)$, accounting for the chain ends. In addition to these bonds, weaker physical interactions can be established between pairs of monomers in close contact, depending on the local density. All such interactions are of central-force nature, both in vacuo and in organic solvents (in contrast to covalent bonds which have both central and bending components), and can be modeled by the effective LennardJones (LJ) potential. We shall count a contribution to $z_{\rm LJ}$ (a physical 'contact') when the two monomers are separated by $r \leq r_{\rm min}$ of the LJ potential well, see Fig. 1.

The Phillips-Thorpe constraint-counting analysis of marginal stability [17, 18] gives the fraction of floppy modes in a purely covalent network: f = $1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} z_{co} + [2z_{co} - 3] \right)$, where each z_{co} -coordinated monomer contributes $2z_{co} - 3$ bending constraints, in addition to $\frac{1}{2}z_{co}$ stretching constraints. The contact number z^* , at which f = 0, coincides with the point at which the equilibrium shear modulus vanishes, when the affine contribution is exactly balanced by the nonaffine contribution [15, 16]. This counting predicts a rigidity transition at $z^* = 2.4$ in a fully covalently bonded network. In a purely central-force network with no bending constraints, $f = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} z \right)$, recovering the Maxwell value of isostaticity in central-force 3D structures and sphere packings: $z^* = 6$. Clearly, the additional bond-bending constraints intrinsic to covalent bonding greatly extend the stability of lattices down to very low connectivity.

The question now arises about the value of critical connectivity when not all bonds are covalent, and some are purely central-force. Adding the central-force LJ contacts, the fraction of floppy modes becomes:

$$f = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} [z_{\rm co} + z_{\rm LJ}] + [2z_{\rm co} - 3] \right).$$
(1)

The rigidity transition occurs at $z_{\rm LJ}^* = 12 - 5z_{\rm co}$. In our case, when $z_{\rm co}$ is fixed by the linear polymer chemistry, the critical value of connectivity at which the rigidity is lost is $z^* = 12 - 4z_{\rm co} = 4 + 8/N$. For very long chains, $N \gg 1$, the polymer solidifies into glass when $z^* \approx 4$, i.e. when each monomer acquires additional $z_{\rm LJ}^* \approx 2$ physical bonds. Interestingly, the same conclusion ($z^* \approx 4$) has been reached in a recent study of colloidal gel in high strain-rate flows [19].



FIG. 1. Schematic of the criterion to define the physical contacts: only pairs of particles that lie within the soft repulsive part of the LJ potential contribute to the $z_{\rm LJ}$. R_c is the cutoff length and ε the depth for the attractive LJ potential used in simulations.

Only the physical central-force contacts contributing to $z_{\rm LJ}$ are changing upon increasing the packing fraction by $\delta\phi$. Therefore, the critical volume fraction ϕ^* will be lower when covalent bonds are present. One may account for this decrease in the simplest meaningful way: $\phi^* = \phi_c - \Lambda \cdot z_{\rm co}$, where ϕ_c is the packing fraction of non-covalently bonded particles (e.g. in a system of frictionless spheres $\phi_c \simeq 0.64$ at random close packing). As a result, one finds the glass transition temperature T_g with no free parameters (given that the thermal expansion coefficient is experimentally measured in the glass, e.g. $\alpha_T = 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{K}^{-1}$ for polystyrene [20]):

$$T_g \approx \frac{1 - \phi_c + \ln \phi_0 + 2\Lambda}{\alpha_T},\tag{2}$$

where $\Lambda \approx 0.1$, and $\phi(T) = \phi_0 \exp[-\alpha_T T]$ with $\phi_0 \approx 0.61$ from fitting the data on polystyrene [13].

Up to this point we have defined z as the mean number of mechanically-active, long-lived contacts per monomer, which is a restrictive definition to avoid counting those neighbours that cannot bear stress. However, we have not vet provided a quantitative criterion to estimate zfrom the pair potential or from q(r), apart from noticing that the usual integral of the first peak of q(r) up to the first minimum is not appropriate as it counts too many neighbours that are highly fluctuating and short-lived, thus unable to bear stress. A shorter cut-off is required. In order to identify a quantitative way of extracting and estimating z, we have run numerical simulations using the Brownian dynamics of bead-spring polymer chains where we can identify the cut-off position that yields zvalues able to reproduce the rigidity transition at $z^* = 4$ right when $T = T_g$, i.e. when the polymer freezes-in into a solid glassy state. We find that the choice of cut-off which gives the condition $z^* = 4$, and hence G = 0 at $T = T_q$, is well defined and coincides with the minimum in the Lennard-Jones, which in turn corresponds approximately to the maximum in q(r). This conservative criterion appears very meaningful: monomers which are farther apart than the minimum of the LJ effectively experience a much weaker force of the restoring interaction and are likely to be short-lived and prone to leave the cage after a short time. These neighbours should then reasonably be discarded from the counting of mechanicallyactive neighbours. A less conservative criterion would be to take the inflection point of the LJ, but we find here that the minimum of the LJ works better upon benchmarking with the numerical data.

We used the Brownian dynamics simulation package LAMMPS [21], where we could control the strength of physical (LJ) interactions between particles on a polymer chain. We took the chain composed of N = 1000connected monomeric units consisting of monomers – a length sufficient to not only demonstrate the stiffnessdependent dynamics of individual polymer chains during coil-globule transition, but also to demonstrate the differences between the collapse of chains at different inter-monomer attraction strengths (we have separately verified that the results were nearly identical for N =2000 [22], meaning that surface to volume ratio of the collapsed globule is no longer at this size). The simulation is based on the classical coarse-grained polymer model of Kremer and Grest [23], where each monomer has a diameter of σ and the interactions between monomers are described by:

1) Finitely extensible non-linear elastic (FENE) potential for connected monomers on the chain:

$$U_{\rm FENE} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}\kappa R_0^2 \ln\left[1 - (r/R_0)^2\right], & r \le R_0\\ 0, & r > R_0 \end{cases} , \quad (3)$$

where the maximum bond length $R_0 = 1.5\sigma$ and the spring constant $\kappa = 30w/\sigma^2$, with the characteristic energy scale w = 2.5 kJ/mol corresponding to the thermostat temperature T = 300K, as in [23].

2) The nominal bending elasticity described by a cosine potential of LAMMPS, chosen to produce the chain persistence length $l_p = \sigma$ (i.e. the case of flexible chain).

3) The Lennard-Jones potential for non-consecutive monomer interactions, with the strength ϵ measured in units of w or, equivalently, k_BT . The LJ potential reaches its minimum $U_{LJ} = -\varepsilon$ at $r_{\min}=2^{1/6}\sigma$. For numerical simulations, it is common to use a shifted and truncated form of the potential which is set to zero past a certain separation cutoff. In poor solvent when there is an effective attraction between monomers, the cut-off was set to $r_{\text{cut-off}} = 3\sigma$, and the potential is given by:

$$U_{LJ} = \begin{cases} 4\varepsilon [(\sigma/r)^{12} - (\sigma/r)^6 + \frac{1}{4}], & r \le 3\sigma \\ 0, & r > 3\sigma \end{cases}$$
(4)

Depending on the depth of quenching (effectively measured by the depth of LJ potential well ε), the expanded self-avoiding random walk rapidly collapses into a globule [22], and we monitor the number of contacts between monomers growing as a function of time as the globule becomes increasingly dense. In the expanded coil, only two covalent contacts per particle exist due to the chain connectivity $(z_{\rm co} \approx 2)$. In the dense globular state (melt), the number of physical (LJ) contacts, as defined in Fig. 1, increases. Since the total number of particles within a sphere r_{\min} includes the particle itself, we define $z = (\Omega - N)/N$, where Ω is the total number of contacts in the whole system. Figure 2 shows the evolution of this average number of contacts per particle for several values of the quench depth ε , which we measure in units of $k_B T$, while keeping the average temperature of the simulation constant. The line $z^* = 4$ in this plot marks the zone above which our theory predicts the polymer globule to be in a solid glassy state.

We will now show that the critical connectivity $z^* = 4$ (and the associated transition temperature T_g), at which the glass is predicted by nonaffine dynamics to lose its mechanical stability (or conversely, where the melt acquires rigidity), coincides with the point at which the dynamical arrest of the liquid occurs. First consider the shapes of the polymer globule for different quench depths, and compare the globules soon after the collapse (at 1 million time-steps: 1 Mts) and at a very long time after



FIG. 2. The increase of number of contacts per particle for a chain collapsing into a globule in poor solvent with time (measured in simulation timesteps, ts), from an initial expanded coil at t = 0. For a 'shallow quench' ($\varepsilon = 1$) the globule must remain fluid, while for a 'deep quench' ($\varepsilon = 10$) the glass state sets in early in the collapse process as z exceeds the threshold for marginal stability $z^*=4$. The numbers on the right show the total number of physical (LJ) bonds in the final globule.



FIG. 3. Snapshots of a globule for different depth of quenching (labelled in the graph), at 1 Mts, and at 12 Mts of simulation. We call an 'equilibrium' the state where no further change in its topology has occurred for an order of magnitude longer time than the time it took to form the globule (cf. Fig. 2).

the collapse. Figure 2 confirms that the local density, or the average number of contacts each particle has, remains constant during this period. The representative snapshots from the simulations are presented in Fig. 3. For liquid globules above the glass transition temperature ($\varepsilon = 1$), the system immediately acquires a spherical shape, and retains it for all subsequent times.

For polymer chains quenched down to $T < T_g$ (i.e. to LJ depths $\varepsilon > 3$), things are very different. In this case we see from Fig. 3 that the globule is not able to equilibrate into the spherical shape (the absolute minimum of free energy). It remains instead frozen in a random shape that it happened to have when the density increased past the rigidity transition at z^* , and changes little past that point clearly remaining far away from thermodynamic equilibrium. The case of $\varepsilon = 3$ represents the borderline situation when the initially non-spherical globule adopts the spherical shape after a very long time.

In order to study the dynamical arrest following the quench in a more quantitative way, let us consider the change (evolution) of LJ contacts (defined in Fig. 1) with time. First let us define a (somewhat arbitrary) time at which the globule collapse is 'complete' and its density reaches the constant plateau value, a time $t_{\rm ref} = 500$ thousand time steps [kts] labeled in Fig. 2, and then record each event of a pair of monomers changing their contact after that reference time. Since the density after collapse in all cases remains constant, we have normalized the contact-change number by the total (constant) number of contacts per particle on this constant plateau. Figure 4 shows the result of this analysis: at short times after $t_{\rm ref}$, very few particles change their contact configuration; the asymptote values $\Delta z/z_{\rm max} \rightarrow 1$ imply that all initial contacts are broken and re-formed in a different way.



FIG. 4. The change in the internal contact topology: the difference in physical (LJ) contacts between a reference 'contact map' at $t_{\rm ref}$ =500 kts and the subsequent long-time globule evolution. The data for liquid globule (ε =1) is fitted by the $t^{0.5}$ power-law relaxation curve, while the deeply quenched globules develop a long-time plateau after the universal fast relaxation period, indicative of the 'cage confinement'.

The first point to notice is the behavior of the liquid globule ($\varepsilon = 1$), where we do see that all contacts change over time ergodically; the data is fitted to the powerlaw $1 - at^{-1/2}$ with good accuracy. The crossover case $\varepsilon = 3$ is evident here as well, while the glassy globules have a distinctly different evolution of $\Delta z/z_{\text{max}}$. At short times, the number of broken contacts initially increases with time in exactly the same way as in the liquid system, clearly reflecting the thermal motion within the cage, or β -relaxation. After a characteristic time, the change of contacts $\Delta z/z_{\rm max}$ is very abruptly arrested and remains frozen for a very long time. At this crossover point there is an almost singular behaviour of the first derivative of $\Delta z(t)/z_{\rm max}$ with respect to time, which is different from the smooth crossover in monoatomic liquids [5]. Finally, at very long times, the number of broken contacts starts to slowly increase again, we assume due to the local thermally-activated hopping. This process is analogous to the one seen in simulations of simple glass-formers [24], where it typically appears as the development of a second peak in the self-part of the van Hove correlation function

(the first peak at short distance corresponds to rattling motion in the cage, which we see at short times in Fig. 4). Figure 5 graphically illustrates this difference in 'cage' confinement between the liquid and glassy states of the globule. All particles on the chain are marked as small dots, except the single test monomer (red) and a group of its neighbors (green) in a volume of 2 particle diameters. These designations are set at $t_{\rm ref}$ and then we monitor the labelled particles at later times. In the liquid droplet all particles on the chain are evidently free to diffuse and eventually evenly disperse around the allowed volume. In the glassy droplet ($\varepsilon = 10$), the cage around an arbitrary chosen particle remains essentially intact in time, even though there are small motions and re-arrangements on a very long time scale (isolated hopping events).



FIG. 5. An illustration of the 'cage effect', comparing the chosen particle and its neighbours at a reference time of t=500 kts and the subsequent times during the globule evolution. The liquid globule (ε =1) has the initial neighbours surrounding the particle diffusing around the volume. In contrast, the glassy globule (ε =10) has the cage of neighbours surrounding the particle intact.

There is a quantitative link between the number of changed contacts that we monitor in Fig. 4 and the standard correlation functions of liquid dynamics. Assuming isotropicity of monomer distribution in the dense globule, the (total) van Hove correlation function G(r,t) = $\left\langle \frac{1}{N} \int \rho(r'+r,t) \rho(r',0) dr' \right\rangle$ [11], gives the probability of finding a second particle at a distance r and at time tfrom a test particle located at r' at t = 0. Our normalized number of contacts change is related to the integral of G(r,t) taken up to $r = r_{min}$, cf. Fig. 1. Hence $\Delta z/z_{\text{max}}$ can be expressed as $\Delta z(t)/z_{\text{max}} = 1 - (z_{\text{max}}^{-1}) \int_{r'}^{r=r_{\text{min}}} r^2 G(r,t) dr$. The main quantity which is monitored in studies of liquid and glassy dynamics is the dynamic structure factor F(k,t) which is related to the van Hove correlation function G(r, t) via a spa*tial* Fourier transformatiobn. Since all the space integrations leave the qualitative behaviour as a function of time unaltered, we thus have the following relation $\Delta z(t)/z_{\rm max} \sim [1 - F(k_{\rm max}, t)]$. This is almost exactly what we see in Fig. 4. This connection allows one to compare the qualitative decay in time of our contact-change parameter introduced here, with standard bulk dynamical parameters such as $F(k_{\text{max}}, t)$. In future studies, our contact change parameter can be used in simulations and also in experimental systems such as colloids under confocal microscopy, to analyse the dynamical arrest transition in terms of mechanical contacts, thus bridging the mean-field dynamics of standard approaches like MCT with particle-based analysis of rigidity and nonaffine motions [16, 25–27].

The aim of this simulation was to construct a minimal system that demonstrates the arrested dynamics of polymer collapse; although one might argue that it would be useful to study the collapse process of multiple chains, the complexity of analysis would in that case increase to the point where it would be difficult to draw detailed conclusions. It would not be clear whether the frozen state is a result of interactions within a single chain or collective interactions and entanglements between several interacting chains. Another important issue to point out is that the thermostat temperature was kept constant (on average) during the simulation to avoid confusion and misinterpratation of the connectivity data. It might be interesting to study the collapse dynamics at different temperatures, however, our interest is mostly in the arrested dynamics at high inter-monomer attraction and not the glass transition temperature per se.

In conclusion, we have established that the linear polymer chain of length N will form a glass when each monomer, on average, gets approximately 2 + 8/N additional physical contacts in a dense system of attracting particles, with a total number of mechanical contacts $z^* = 4 + 8/N$, in contrast with the Maxwell's $z^* = 6$ of purely central-force networks. The glass transition temperature can be easily derived from this criterion by using the thermal expansion relation for the packing density $\phi(T)$. We have verified the cooperative freezing of α -relaxation in the glassy state, and the retention of localized thermal motion akin to β -relaxation inside the confining cage for each particle, although the qualitative behavior differs from that of bulk simple liquids. This approach offers a different, much simpler and intuitive look at the glass properties and criteria of dynamical arrest in complex liquids. Furthermore, our view of the polymer folding into a mechanically-stable glassy state in terms of a quantitative rigidity criterion appears consistent with independent simulations studies [28] where the collapse was put in relation to the boson peak (excess of low-frequency soft modes) in the vibrational density of states. The excess of soft modes, in turn, correlates typically with z, and this framework may lead to a unifying picture of the emergence of rigidity across the variety of glassy transitions in soft matter.

We are grateful for useful discussions and input of W. Goetze, H. Tanaka, D. Frenkel and W. Kob. This work has been funded by the Theory of Condensed Matter Critical Mass Grant from EPSRC (EP/J017639). Simulations were performed using the Darwin Supercomputer

of the University of Cambridge High Performance Computing Service (http://www.hpc.cam.ac.uk).

- Adam G, Gibbs JH (1965) On the temperature dependence of cooperative relaxation properties in glassforming liquids. J. Chem. Phys. 43:139–146.
- [2] DiMarzio EA, Gibbs JH (1959) Glass temperature of copolymers. J. Polym. Sci. 40:121–131.
- [3] DiMarzio EA, Gibbs JH (1963) Molecular interpretation of glass temperature depression by plasticizers. J. Polym. Sci. 1:1417–1428.
- [4] Debenedetti PG, Stillinger FH (2001) Supercooled liquids and the glass transition. *Nature* 410:259–267.
- [5] Goetze W (2009) Complex Dynamics of Glass-Forming Liquids: A Mode-Coupling Theory (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford).
- [6] Riggleman RA, Yoshimoto K, Douglas JF, de Pablo JJ (2006) Influence of confinement on the fragility of antiplasticized and pure polymer films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97:045502.
- [7] Schmieder K, Wolf K (1953) Mechanische relaxationserscheinungen an hoch-polymeren. Kolloid Z. 134:149–189.
- [8] van Megen W, Underwood SM, Pusey PN (1991) Nonergodicity parameters in colloidal glasses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67:1586–1589.
- [9] Kob W, Andersen HC (1995) Testing mode-coupling theory for a supercooled binary lennard-jones mixture ii: Intermediate scattering function and dynamic susceptibility. *Phys. Rev. E* 52:4134–4153.
- [10] Berthier L, Biroli G (2011) Theoretical perspective on the glass transition and amorphous materials. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 83:587–645.
- [11] Hansen JP, MacDonald IR (2005) Theory of Simple Liquids (Academic Press, London).
- [12] Lemaitre A, Maloney C (2006) Sum rules for the quasistatic and visco-elastic response of disordered solids at zero temperature. J. Stat. Phys. 123:415–453.
- [13] Zaccone A, Terentjev EM (2013) Disorder-assisted melting and the glass transition in amorphous solids. *Phys.*

Rev. Lett. 110:178002.

- [14] Wittmer JP, Xu H, Polinska P, Weysser F, Baschnagel J (2013) Communication: Pressure fluctuations in isotropic solids and fluids. J. Chem. Phys. 138:191101.
- [15] Zaccone A, Scossa-Romano E (2011) Approximate analytical description of the nonaffine response of amorphous solids. *Phys. Rev. B* 83:184205.
- [16] Zaccone A, Blundell JR, Terentjev EM (2011) Network disorder and nonaffine deformations in marginal solids. *Phys. Rev. B* 84:174119.
- [17] Phillips JC (1979) Topology of covalent non-crystalline solids i: Short-range order in chalcogenide alloys. J. Non-Cryst. Solids 34:153–181.
- [18] He H, Thorpe MF (1985) Elastic properties of glasses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 54:2107–2110.
- [19] Hsiao LC, Newman RS, Glotzer SC, Solomon MJ (2012) Role of isostaticity and load-bearing microstructure in the elasticity of yielded colloidal gels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109:16029–16034.
- [20] Sperling LH (2006) Introduction to Physical Polymer Science (Wiley, New York).
- [21] Plimpton SJ (1995) Fast parallel algorithms for shortrange molecular dynamics. J. Comput. Phys. 117:1–19.
- [22] Lappala A, Terentjev EM (2013) Maximum compaction density of folded semiflexible polymers. *Macromolecules* 46:7125–7131.
- [23] Kremer K, Grest GS (1990) Dynamics of entangled linear polymer melts: a molecular dynamics simulation. J. Chem. Phys. 92:5057–5086.
- [24] Barrat JL, Roux JN, Hansen JP (1990) Diffusion, viscosity and structural slowing down in soft sphere alloys near the kinetic glass transition. *Chem. Phys.* 149:197–208.
- [25] Falk ML, Langer JS (1998) Dynamics of viscoplastic deformation in amorphous solids. *Phys. Rev. E* 57:7192.
- [26] Yoshino H, Mezard M (2010) Emergence of rigidity at the structural glass transition: a first-principles computation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105:015504.
- [27] Yoshino H (2012) Replica theory of the rigidity of structural glasses. J. Chem. Phys. 136:214108.
- [28] Maffi C, Baiesi M, Casetti L, Piazza F, De Los Rios P (2012) First-order coil-globule transition driven by vibrational entropy. *Nature Comm.* 3:1065.