

# NEW HOOK-CONTENT FORMULAS FOR STRICT PARTITIONS

GUO-NIU HAN AND HUAN XIONG\*

ABSTRACT. We introduce the difference operator for functions defined on strict partitions and prove a polynomiality property for a summation involving the hook length and content statistics. As an application, several new hook-content formulas for strict partitions are derived.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The basic knowledge on partitions, Young tableaux and symmetric functions could be found in [17]. In this paper, we focus on strict partitions. A *strict partition* is a finite strict decreasing sequence of positive integers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ . The integer  $|\lambda| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \lambda_i$  is called the *size* of the partition  $\lambda$  and  $\ell(\lambda) = \ell$  is called the *length* of  $\lambda$ . For convenience, let  $\lambda_i = 0$  for  $i > \ell(\lambda)$ . A strict partition  $\lambda$  can be identified with its shifted Young diagram, which means that the  $i$ -th row of the usual Young diagram is shifted to the right by  $i$  boxes. Therefore the leftmost box in the  $i$ -th row has coordinate  $(i, i + 1)$ . For the  $(i, j)$ -box in the shifted Young diagram of the strict partition  $\lambda$ , we can associate its *hook length*, denoted by  $h_{(i,j)}$ , which is the number of boxes exactly to the right, or exactly above, or the box itself, plus  $\lambda_j$ . For example, consider the box  $\square = (i, j) = (1, 3)$  in the shifted Young diagram of the strict partition  $(7, 5, 4, 1)$ . There are 1 and 5 boxes above and to the right of the box  $\square$  respectively. Since  $\lambda_3 = 4$ , the hook length of  $\square$  is equal to  $1 + 5 + 1 + 4 = 11$ , as illustrated in Figure 1. The *content* of  $\square = (i, j)$  is defined to be  $c_\square = j - i$ , so that the leftmost box in each row has content 1. Also, let  $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$  be the multi-set of hook lengths of boxes and  $H_\lambda$  be the product of all hook lengths of boxes in  $\lambda$ .

Our goal is to find some hook-content formulas for *strict partitions*, by analogy with that for *ordinary partitions*. For the ordinary partition  $\nu$ , it is well known that (see [3, 9, 17])

$$(1.1) \quad f_\nu = \frac{|\nu|!}{H_\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{|\nu|=n} f_\nu^2 = 1,$$

where  $H_\nu$  denotes the product of all hook lengths of boxes in  $\nu$  and  $f_\nu$  denotes the number of standard Young tableaux of shape  $\nu$ . The first author conjectured [4] that

$$P(n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{|\nu|=n} f_\nu^2 \sum_{\square \in \nu} h_\square^{2k}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A15, 05A17, 05A19, 05E05, 05E10, 11P81.

*Key words and phrases.* strict partition, hook length, content, shifted Young tableau, difference operator.

An extended abstract for this paper appeared in the Proceedings of the FPSAC'16 conference.

\* Huan Xiong is the corresponding author.




FIGURE 1. The shifted Young diagram of the strict partition  $(7, 5, 4, 1)$  with its hook lengths and contents.




FIGURE 2. The skew shifted Young diagram of the skew strict partition  $(7, 5, 4, 1)/(4, 2, 1)$ .

is always a polynomial in  $n$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which was generalized and proved by Stanley [16], and later generalized in [7] (see also [2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13]).

For two strict partitions  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , we write  $\lambda \supseteq \mu$  if  $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . In this case, the skew strict partition  $\lambda/\mu$  can be identified with its skew shifted Young diagram. For example, the skew strict partition  $(7, 5, 4, 1)/(4, 2, 1)$  is represented by the white boxes in Figure 2. Let  $f_\lambda$  (resp.  $f_{\lambda/\mu}$ ) be the number of standard shifted Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\lambda/\mu$ ). The following are well-known formulas (see [1, 15, 18]) analogous to (1.1):

$$(1.2) \quad f_\lambda = \frac{|\lambda|!}{H_\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{|\lambda|=n} 2^{n-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda^2 = 1.$$

In this paper, we generalize the latter equality of (1.2) by means of the following results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $Q$  is a given symmetric function, and  $\mu$  is a given strict partition. Then*

$$P(n) = \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu}}{H_\lambda} Q\left(\binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda\right)$$

is a polynomial in  $n$ , where  $Q(\binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda)$  means that  $|\lambda|$  of the variables are substituted by  $\binom{c_\square}{2}$  for  $\square \in \lambda$ , and all other variables by 0.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $k$  is a given nonnegative integer. Then*

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda}{H_\lambda} \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square + k - 1}{2k} = \frac{2^k}{(k+1)!} \binom{n}{k+1}.$$

When  $k = 0$  we derive the latter identity of (1.2). When  $k = 1$ , Theorem 1.2 becomes

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda}{H_\lambda} \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2} = \binom{n}{2},$$

which could also be obtained by setting  $\mu = \emptyset$  in the next theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a strict partition. Then*

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)-|\mu|+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu} H_{\mu}}{H_{\lambda}} \left( \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_{\square}}{2} - \sum_{\square \in \mu} \binom{c_{\square}}{2} \right) = \binom{n}{2} + n|\mu|.$$

The proofs of those theorems are given in Section 4, by using the difference operator technique.

## 2. DIFFERENCE OPERATORS

For each strict partition  $\lambda$ , the symbol  $\lambda^+$  (resp.  $\lambda^-$ ) always represents a strict partition obtained by adding (resp. removing) a box to (resp. from)  $\lambda$ . In other words,  $|\lambda^+/\lambda| = 1$  and  $|\lambda/\lambda^-| = 1$ . By analogy with the difference operator for ordinary partitions introduced in [7], we define *the difference operator for strict partitions* by

$$D(g(\lambda)) := \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} g(\lambda^+) + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) = \ell(\lambda)} g(\lambda^+) - g(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^+$  are strict partitions and  $g$  is a function on strict partitions. Notice that  $\#\{\lambda^+ : \ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)\} = 0$  or  $1$ .

For each skew strict partition  $\lambda/\mu$ , let  $f'_{\lambda/\mu} := 2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For two different strict partitions  $\lambda \supseteq \mu$  we have*

$$f'_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\lambda^-: \substack{\lambda \supseteq \lambda^- \supseteq \mu \\ \ell(\lambda^-) < \ell(\lambda)}} f'_{\lambda^-/\mu} + 2 \sum_{\lambda^-: \substack{\lambda \supseteq \lambda^- \supseteq \mu \\ \ell(\lambda^-) = \ell(\lambda)}} f'_{\lambda^-/\mu}.$$

*Proof.* By the construction of standard shifted Young tableaux we have

$$f_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\lambda \supseteq \lambda^- \supseteq \mu} f_{\lambda^-/\mu}$$

and therefore

$$2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\lambda^-: \substack{\lambda \supseteq \lambda^- \supseteq \mu \\ \ell(\lambda^-) < \ell(\lambda)}} 2^{|\lambda^-|-\ell(\lambda^-)} f_{\lambda^-/\mu} + 2 \sum_{\lambda^-: \substack{\lambda \supseteq \lambda^- \supseteq \mu \\ \ell(\lambda^-) = \ell(\lambda)}} 2^{|\lambda^-|-\ell(\lambda^-)} f_{\lambda^-/\mu}.$$

Then by the definition of  $f'_{\lambda/\mu}$  we prove the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *For each strict partition  $\mu$  and each function  $g$  of strict partitions, let*

$$A(n) := \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda)$$

and

$$B(n) := \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} Dg(\lambda).$$

Then

$$A(n) = A(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B(k).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
A(n+1) - A(n) &= \sum_{|\gamma/\mu|=n+1} f'_{\gamma/\mu} g(\gamma) - \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{|\gamma/\mu|=n+1} \left( \sum_{\gamma^-: \gamma \supseteq \gamma^- \supseteq \mu, \ell(\gamma^-) < \ell(\gamma)} f'_{\gamma^-/\mu} + 2 \sum_{\gamma^-: \gamma \supseteq \gamma^- \supseteq \mu, \ell(\gamma^-) = \ell(\gamma)} f'_{\gamma^-/\mu} \right) g(\gamma) \\
&\quad - \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} \left( \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} g(\lambda^+) + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) = \ell(\lambda)} g(\lambda^+) - g(\lambda) \right) \\
&= \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} Dg(\lambda) = B(n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
A(n+1) &= A(n) + B(n) \\
&= A(n-1) + B(n-1) + B(n) \\
&= \dots \\
&= A(0) + \sum_{k=0}^n B(k). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $g$  be a function on strict partitions and  $\mu$  be a given strict partition. Then we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} 2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} D^k g(\mu)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad D^n g(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=k} 2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda).$$

*In particular, if there exists some positive integer  $r$  such that  $D^r g(\lambda) = 0$  for every strict partition  $\lambda$ , then the left-hand side of (2.1) is a polynomial in  $n$  with degree at most  $r-1$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove (2.1) by induction. The case  $n=0$  is trivial. Assume that (2.1) is true for some nonnegative integer  $n$ . Then by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n+1} f'_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) &= \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) + \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} Dg(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} D^k g(\mu) + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} D^{k+1} g(\mu) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} D^k g(\mu).
\end{aligned}$$

Identity (2.2) follows from the Möbius inversion formula [14].  $\square$

*Example.* Let  $g(\lambda) = 1/H_\lambda$ . Then  $Dg(\lambda) = 0$  by Theorem 3.3. The two quantities defined in Lemma 2.2 are:

$$A(n) = \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{f'_{\lambda/\mu}}{H_\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad B(n) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu}}{H_\lambda} = \frac{1}{H_\mu}.$$

In particular,  $\mu = \emptyset$  implies

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda}{H_\lambda} = 1,$$

or equivalently,

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{|\lambda|=n} 2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda^2 = n!.$$

### 3. CORNERS OF STRICT PARTITIONS

For a strict partition  $\lambda$ , the *outer corners* are the boxes which can be removed to get a new strict partition  $\lambda^-$ . Let  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_m, \beta_m)$  be the coordinates of outer corners such that  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_m$ . Let  $y_j = \beta_j - \alpha_j$  be the contents of outer corners for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . We set  $\alpha_{m+1} = 0$ ,  $\beta_0 = \ell(\lambda) + 1$  and call  $(\alpha_1, \beta_0), (\alpha_2, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_{m+1}, \beta_m)$  the *inner corners* of  $\lambda$ . Let  $x_i = \beta_i - \alpha_{i+1}$  be the contents of inner corners for  $0 \leq i \leq m$  (see Figure 3). The following relations of  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  are obvious.

$$(3.1) \quad x_0 = 1 \leq y_1 < x_1 < y_2 < x_2 < \dots < y_m < x_m.$$

Notice that  $y_1 = 1$  iff  $\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} = 1$ .

We define

$$(3.2) \quad q_k(\lambda) := \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{x_i}{2}^k - \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{y_i}{2}^k$$

for each  $k \geq 0$ . For each partition  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_\ell)$  we define the function  $q_\nu(\lambda)$  of strict partitions by

$$(3.3) \quad q_\nu(\lambda) := q_{\nu_1}(\lambda) q_{\nu_2}(\lambda) \cdots q_{\nu_\ell}(\lambda).$$

First we consider the difference between the hook length sets of  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^+ = \lambda \cup \{\square\}$  for some box  $\square$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\lambda^+ = \lambda \cup \{\square\}$  such that  $c_\square = x_i$ . If  $i = 0$ , then*

$$\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left( \binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2} \right)}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left( \binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2} \right)}.$$

If  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , then

$$\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left( \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2} \right)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \left( \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2} \right)}.$$

*Proof.* First we consider the case  $i = 0$ , which means that  $y_1 \geq 2$ . In this case we add the box  $\square = (\ell + 1, \ell + 2)$  to  $\lambda$  with  $c_\square = x_0 = 1$  where  $\ell$  is the length of  $\lambda$ . By the definition, it is easy to see that the hook lengths of boxes which are in the  $(\ell + 1)$ -th column and  $(\ell + 2)$ -th column of  $\lambda$  increase by 1, and the hook lengths of boxes in the other columns don't change. Since the boxes which are in the  $\ell + 1$ -column and  $\ell + 2$ -column of  $\lambda$  have hook lengths

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^m (\{h : y_j - 1 \leq h \leq x_j - 2\} \cup \{h : y_j \leq h \leq x_j - 1\}),$$

then the boxes which are in the  $\ell + 1$ -column and  $\ell + 2$ -column of  $\lambda^+$  have hook lengths

$$\{1\} \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\{h : y_j \leq h \leq x_j - 1\} \cup \{h : y_j + 1 \leq h \leq x_j\}) \right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{H}(\lambda^+) &= \{y_1, y_1 - 1, y_2, y_2 - 1, \dots, y_m, y_m - 1\} \\ &\quad \setminus \{1, x_1, x_1 - 1, x_2, x_2 - 1, \dots, x_m, x_m - 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} y_j(y_j - 1)}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} x_j(x_j - 1)} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left( \binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2} \right)}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left( \binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2} \right)}$$

since  $x_0 = 1$ .

Similarly, for the case  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we add the box  $\square = (\alpha_{i+1} + 1, \beta_i + 1)$  to  $\lambda$  with  $c_\square = x_i$ . By the definition, it is easy to see that the hook lengths of boxes which are in the  $(\alpha_{i+1} + 1)$ -th column,  $(\beta_i + 1)$ -th column and  $(\alpha_{i+1} + 1)$ -th row of  $\lambda$  increase by 1, and the hook lengths of other boxes don't change. Since the boxes




FIGURE 3. A strict partition and its corners. The outer corners are labelled with  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). The inner corners are indicated by the dot symbol “.”.

which are in the  $(\alpha_{i+1} + 1)$ -th column,  $(\beta_i + 1)$ -th column and  $(\alpha_{i+1} + 1)$ -th row of  $\lambda$  have hook lengths

$$\bigcup_{j=i+1}^m \{h : x_i + y_j - 1 \leq h \leq x_i + x_j - 2\},$$

$$\bigcup_{j=i+1}^m \{h : y_j - x_i \leq h \leq x_j - x_i - 1\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{h : x_i - y_1 \leq h \leq x_i - 1\} \cup \{h : x_i + y_i - 1 \leq h \leq 2x_i - 3\} \\ & \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} (\{h : x_i - y_{j+1} \leq h \leq x_i - x_j - 1\} \cup \{h : x_i + y_j - 1 \leq h \leq x_i + x_j - 2\}) \end{aligned}$$

respectively, then the same boxes which are in the  $(\alpha_{i+1} + 1)$ -th column,  $(\beta_i + 1)$ -th column and  $(\alpha_{i+1} + 1)$ -th row of  $\lambda^+$  have hook lengths

$$\bigcup_{j=i+1}^m \{h : x_i + y_j \leq h \leq x_i + x_j - 1\},$$

$$\bigcup_{j=i+1}^m \{h : y_j - x_i + 1 \leq h \leq x_j - x_i\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{h : x_i - y_1 + 1 \leq h \leq x_i\} \cup \{h : x_i + y_i \leq h \leq 2x_i - 2\} \\ & \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} (\{h : x_i - y_{j+1} + 1 \leq h \leq x_i - x_j\} \cup \{h : x_i + y_j \leq h \leq x_i + x_j - 1\}) \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Notice that the box  $\square = (\alpha_{i+1} + 1, \beta_i + 1)$  in  $\lambda^+$  has hook length 1, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{H}(\lambda^+) &= (\{|x_i - y_j| : 1 \leq j \leq m\} \cup \{x_i + y_j - 1 : 1 \leq j \leq m\}) \\ &\quad \setminus (\{1, x_i, 2x_i - 2\} \cup \{|x_i - x_j| : 1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i\} \\ &\quad \cup \{x_i + x_j - 1 : 1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i\}), \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{1}{x_i(2x_i - 2)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (x_i - y_j)(x_i + y_j - 1)}{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (x_i - x_j)(x_i + x_j - 1)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}}. \quad \square$$

Suppose that  $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_m$  and  $b_1 < \dots < b_m$  are real numbers. Let

$$(3.4) \quad q_k(\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}) := \sum_{i=0}^m a_i^k - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^k$$

for each  $k \geq 0$  and

$$(3.5) \quad q_\nu(\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}) := \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_j}(\{a_i\}, \{b_i\})$$

for the usual partition  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_\ell)$ . Notice that

$$q_k(\lambda) = q_k \left( \left\{ \binom{x_i}{2} \right\}_{0 \leq i \leq m}, \left\{ \binom{y_i}{2} \right\}_{1 \leq i \leq m} \right).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer. Then there exist some  $\xi_\nu \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that*

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (a_i - b_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - a_j)} a_i^k = \sum_{|\nu| \leq k} \xi_\nu q_\nu(\{a_i\}, \{b_i\})$$

for all real numbers  $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_m$  and  $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_m$ .

*Proof.* First notice we just need to prove the case that  $a_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . Because if we multiply by  $\prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq m} (a_i - a_j)$  on both sides of the above formula, then both sides become polynomials in  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$ , which means they are continuous functions on such variables. Therefore if the above formula is true for all nonzero  $a_i$ , then it is also true for the case  $a_i = 0$  for some  $i$ . Let

$$g(z) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (1 - b_j z) - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (a_i - b_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - a_j)} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (1 - a_j z).$$

Then for  $0 \leq i \leq m$  we obtain

$$g\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left(1 - \frac{b_j}{a_i}\right) - \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (a_i - b_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - a_j)} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \left(1 - \frac{a_j}{a_i}\right) = 0.$$

This means that  $g(z)$  has at least  $m + 1$  roots, so that  $g(z) = 0$  since  $g(z)$  is a polynomial in  $z$  with degree at most  $m$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (a_i - b_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - a_j)} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - a_i z} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (1 - b_j z)}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq m} (1 - a_j z)},$$

which means that

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (a_i - b_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - a_j)} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} (a_i z)^k \right) \\ = \exp \left( \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \ln(1 - b_j z) - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \ln(1 - a_i z) \right)$$



$$= \exp \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{q_k(\{a_i\}, \{b_i\})}{k} z^k \right).$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $z^k$  on both sides, we obtain there exist some  $\xi_\nu \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - b_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - a_j)} a_i^k = \sum_{|\nu| \leq k} \xi_\nu q_\nu(\{a_i\}, \{b_i\})$$

for all real numbers  $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_m$  and  $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_m$ .  $\square$

By (3.6), when  $k = 0, 1, 2$ , we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (a_i - b_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - a_j)} = 1,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (a_i - b_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - a_j)} a_i = q_1(\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}),$$

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} (a_i - b_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} (a_i - a_j)} a_i^2 = \frac{q_1^2(\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}) + q_2(\{a_i\}, \{b_i\})}{2}.$$

Let  $\lambda^{i+} = \lambda \cup \{\square_i\}$  such that  $c_{\square_i} = x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . If  $y_1 > 1$ , let  $\lambda^{0+} = \lambda \cup \{\square_0\}$  such that  $c_{\square_0} = x_0 = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a given strict partition. Then*

$$D \left( \frac{1}{H_\lambda} \right) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Notice that when  $y_1 = 1$ , we have  $\{\lambda^+ : \ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)\} = \emptyset$ , therefore

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = 0 = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}}.$$

When  $y_1 > 1$ , we have  $\{\lambda^+ : \ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)\} = \{\lambda^{0+}\}$ , therefore by Theorem 3.1 we also obtain

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}}$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) = \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}}.$$

Let  $a_i = \binom{x_i}{2}$  and  $b_i = \binom{y_i}{2}$  in (3.7), we obtain

$$D\left(\frac{1}{H_\lambda}\right) = \frac{1}{H_\lambda} \left( \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) = \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} - 1 \right) = 0. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Suppose that  $g$  is a function on strict partitions. Then*

$$D\left(\frac{g(\lambda)}{H_\lambda}\right) = \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} \frac{g(\lambda^+) - g(\lambda)}{H_{\lambda^+}} + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) = \ell(\lambda)} \frac{g(\lambda^+) - g(\lambda)}{H_{\lambda^+}}$$

for every strict partition  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* The corollary follows directly from the definition of the operator  $D$  and the last identity in the proof of Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $k$  be a given nonnegative integer and  $\lambda$  be a strict partition. Then there exist some  $\xi_j \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that*

$$q_k(\lambda^{i+}) - q_k(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \xi_j \binom{x_i}{2}^j$$

for every strict partition  $\lambda$  and every  $i$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , four cases are to be considered.

(i) If  $\beta_i + 1 < \beta_{i+1}$  and  $\alpha_{i+1} + 1 < \alpha_i$ . Then it is easy to see that the contents of inner corners and outer corners of  $\lambda^{i+}$  are  $X \cup \{x_i - 1, x_i + 1\} \setminus \{x_i\}$  and  $Y \cup \{x_i\}$  respectively.

(ii) If  $\beta_i + 1 = \beta_{i+1}$  and  $\alpha_{i+1} + 1 < \alpha_i$ , so that  $y_{i+1} = x_i + 1$ . Hence the contents of inner corners and outer corners of  $\lambda^{i+}$  are  $X \cup \{x_i - 1\} \setminus \{x_i\}$  and  $Y \cup \{x_i\} \setminus \{x_i + 1\}$  respectively.

(iii) If  $\beta_i + 1 < \beta_{i+1}$  and  $\alpha_{i+1} + 1 = \alpha_i$ , so that  $y_i = x_i - 1$ . Then the contents of inner corners and outer corners of  $\lambda^{i+}$  are  $X \cup \{x_i + 1\} \setminus \{x_i\}$  and  $Y \cup \{x_i\} \setminus \{x_i - 1\}$  respectively.

(iv) If  $\beta_i + 1 = \beta_{i+1}$  and  $\alpha_{i+1} + 1 = \alpha_i$ . Then  $y_i + 1 = x_i = y_{i+1} - 1$ . The contents of inner corners and outer corners of  $\lambda^{i+}$  are  $X \setminus \{x_i\}$  and  $Y \cup \{x_i\} \setminus \{x_i - 1, x_i + 1\}$  respectively.

For  $i = 0$ , two cases are to be considered.

(v) If  $y_1 = 2$ , the contents of inner corners and outer corners of  $\lambda^{0+}$  are  $X$  and  $Y \cup \{1\} \setminus \{2\}$  respectively.

(vi) If  $y_1 > 2$ , the contents of inner corners and outer corners of  $\lambda^{i+}$  are  $X \cup \{2\}$  and  $Y \cup \{1\}$  respectively.

In each of the six cases, we always have

$$(3.10) \quad q_k(\lambda^{i+}) - q_k(\lambda) = \binom{x_i + 1}{2}^k + \binom{x_i - 1}{2}^k - 2 \binom{x_i}{2}^k.$$

Next we have for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(z + 2)^{2k} + (z - 2)^{2k} - 2z^{2k} = 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \binom{2k}{2j} 2^{2j} z^{2k-2j}.$$

Replace  $z$  by  $2z - 1$ , we obtain

$$(2z + 1)^{2k} + (2z - 3)^{2k} - 2(2z - 1)^{2k} = 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \binom{2k}{2j} 2^{2j} (2z - 1)^{2k-2j},$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(8 \binom{z+1}{2} + 1\right)^k + \left(8 \binom{z-1}{2} + 1\right)^k - 2 \left(8 \binom{z}{2} + 1\right)^k \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \binom{2k}{2j} 2^{2j} \left(8 \binom{z}{2} + 1\right)^{k-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by induction on  $k$  we have

$$\binom{x_i + 1}{2}^k + \binom{x_i - 1}{2}^k - 2 \binom{x_i}{2}^k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \xi_j \binom{x_i}{2}^j$$

for some constants  $\xi_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . □

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_\ell)$  be a partition. Then there exist some  $\xi_\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that*

$$(3.11) \quad D \left( \frac{q_\nu(\lambda)}{H_\lambda} \right) = \sum_{|\delta| \leq |\nu| - 1} \xi_\delta \frac{q_\delta(\lambda)}{H_\lambda}$$

for every strict partition  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* For  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , we have

$$(3.12) \quad \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda^{i+}) - \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda) = \sum_{(*)} \prod_{k \in U} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda) \prod_{k' \in V} (q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda^{i+}) - q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda)),$$

where the sum  $(*)$  ranges over all pairs  $(U, V)$  of positive integer sets such that  $U \cup V = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ ,  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $V \neq \emptyset$ . Actually the Identity (3.12) follows by the inclusion-exclusion principle. By Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda D \left( \frac{q_\nu(\lambda)}{H_\lambda} \right) &= \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda (q_\nu(\lambda^+) - q_\nu(\lambda))}{H_{\lambda^+}} + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) = \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda (q_\nu(\lambda^+) - q_\nu(\lambda))}{H_{\lambda^+}} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda^{i+}) - \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda) \right) \\ &= \sum_{(*)} \prod_{k \in U} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda) \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}} \prod_{k' \in V} (q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda^{i+}) - q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the claim follows from Theorems 3.5 and 3.2. □

## 4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

Instead of proving Theorem 1.1, we prove the following more general result, which implies Theorem 1.1 when  $\nu = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_\ell)$  is a given partition,  $\mu$  is a given strict partition and  $Q$  is a symmetric function. Then there exists some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$D^r \left( \frac{Q \left( \binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda \right) q_\nu(\lambda)}{H_\lambda} \right) = 0$$

for every strict partition  $\lambda$ . Consequently,

$$P(n) = \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu}}{H_\lambda} Q \left( \binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda \right) q_\nu(\lambda)$$

is a polynomial in  $n$ .

*Proof.* By linearity we can assume that

$$Q \left( \binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda \right) = \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}$$

for some tuple  $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= q_\nu(\lambda), \\ \Delta_i A &= q_\nu(\lambda^{i+}) - q_\nu(\lambda), \\ B &= \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}, \\ \Delta_i B &= \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda^{i+}} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} - \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i A &= \sum_{(*)} \prod_{k \in U} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda) \prod_{k' \in V} (q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda^{i+}) - q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda)), \\ \Delta_i B &= \sum_{(**)} \prod_{t \in U} \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} \prod_{t' \in V} \left( \sum_{\square \in \lambda^{i+}} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_{t'}} - \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_{t'}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(**)} \prod_{t \in U} \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} \prod_{t' \in V} \binom{x_i}{2}^{r_{t'}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum  $(*)$  (resp.  $(**)$ ) ranges over all pairs  $(U, V)$  of positive integer sets such that  $U \cup V = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$  (resp.  $U \cup V = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ ),  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $V \neq \emptyset$ . It follows from Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & H_\lambda D \left( \frac{q_\nu(\lambda) \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}}{H_\lambda} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} \left( q_\nu(\lambda^+) \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda^+} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} - q_\nu(\lambda) \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) = \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} \left( q_\nu(\lambda^+) \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda^+} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} - q_\nu(\lambda) \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} \right) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{(x_i)}{2} - \binom{(y_j)}{2}}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{(x_i)}{2} - \binom{(x_j)}{2}} \left( q_\nu(\lambda^{i+}) \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda^{i+}} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} - q_\nu(\lambda) \prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} \right) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{(x_i)}{2} - \binom{(y_j)}{2}}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{(x_i)}{2} - \binom{(x_j)}{2}} (A \cdot \Delta_i B + B \cdot \Delta_i A + \Delta_i A \cdot \Delta_i B).
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorems 3.2, 3.5, and 3.6 each of the above three terms could be written as a linear combination of some  $\prod_{\bar{i}=1}^{\bar{s}} \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{\bar{r}_i} q_{\bar{\nu}}(\lambda)$  satisfying one of the following two conditions:

- (1)  $\bar{s} < s$ ;
- (2)  $\bar{s} = s$  and  $|\bar{\nu}| \leq |\nu| - 1$ .

Therefore the theorem follows by induction on  $s$  and  $|\nu|$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* The special case in the proof of Theorem 4.1 with  $\nu = \emptyset$  and  $s = 1$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\lambda D \left( \frac{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_1}}{H_\lambda} \right) & = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{(x_i)}{2} - \binom{(y_j)}{2}}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{(x_i)}{2} - \binom{(x_j)}{2}} \binom{(x_i)}{2}^{r_1} \\
& = \sum_{|\nu| \leq r_1} \xi_\nu q_\nu(\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_\nu$  are some constants. The last equality is due to Theorem 3.2. Notice that

$$(2k)! \binom{z+k-1}{2k} = 2^k \prod_{i=1}^k \left( \binom{z}{2} - \binom{i}{2} \right).$$

Then by Theorems 3.6 and 2.3 we know that

$$P(n) = \sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{f'_\lambda}{H_\lambda} \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square + k - 1}{2k}$$

is a polynomial in  $n$  with degree at most  $k + 1$ .

On the other hand,

$$P(k+1) = \frac{f'_{(k+1)}}{H_{(k+1)}} \binom{2k}{2k} = \frac{2^k}{(k+1)!}$$

since  $\lambda = (k+1)$  is the only strict partition with size  $k+1$  who has contents greater than  $k$ . Moreover, it is obvious that  $P(0) = P(1) = \dots = P(k) = 0$ . Since the polynomial  $P(n)$  is uniquely determined by those values, we obtain

$$P(n) = \frac{2^k}{(k+1)!} \binom{n}{k+1}.$$

$\square$

By Theorem 4.1, the left-hand side of (1.3) is a polynomial in  $n$ . To evaluate this polynomial explicitly, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a strict partition. Then*

$$q_1(\lambda) = |\lambda|.$$

*Proof.* By the definition of the size of  $\lambda$ , we have

$$|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=y_i}^{x_i-1} j = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_i}{2} \right) = q_1(\lambda).$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* It is easy to check that both sides of (1.3) are equal for  $n = 0, 1, 2$ . By Corollary 3.4, Theorem 3.1 and Identity (3.8) it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda D \left( \frac{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}}{H_\lambda} \right) &= \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} \left( \sum_{\square \in \lambda^+} \binom{c_\square}{2} - \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+) = \ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} \left( \sum_{\square \in \lambda^+} \binom{c_\square}{2} - \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left( \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2} \right)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \left( \binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2} \right)} \binom{x_i}{2} \\ &= q_1(\lambda) \\ &= |\lambda|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda D^2 \left( \frac{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}}{H_\lambda} \right) &= 1, \\ H_\lambda D^3 \left( \frac{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}}{H_\lambda} \right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then our claim follows from Theorem 2.3. □

Similarly, by (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda D \left( \frac{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square+1}{4}}{H_\lambda} \right) &= \frac{1}{12} (q_2(\lambda) + |\lambda|^2 - 2|\lambda|), \\ H_\lambda D^2 \left( \frac{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square+1}{4}}{H_\lambda} \right) &= \frac{2}{3} |\lambda|, \\ H_\lambda D^3 \left( \frac{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square+1}{4}}{H_\lambda} \right) &= \frac{2}{3}, \\ H_\lambda D^4 \left( \frac{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_\square+1}{4}}{H_\lambda} \right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Theorem 2.3 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a strict partition. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)-|\mu|+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu} H_{\mu}}{H_{\lambda}} \left( \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \binom{c_{\square}+1}{4} - \sum_{\square \in \mu} \binom{c_{\square}+1}{4} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \binom{n}{3} + \frac{2}{3} |\mu| \binom{n}{2} + \frac{1}{12} (q_2(\mu) + |\mu|^2 - 2|\mu|) n. \end{aligned}$$

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the referees for carefully reading the paper and for giving helpful comments. The second author thanks Prof. P.-O. Dehaye for the encouragements and helpful suggestions. The second author is supported by Grant [PP00P2\_138906] of the Swiss National Science Foundation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bandlow, An elementary proof of the hook formula, *Electron. J. Comb.* **15** (2008), research paper 45.
- [2] P.-O. Dehaye, G.-N. Han, and H. Xiong, Difference operators for partitions under the Littlewood decomposition, *Ramanujan J.*, to appear.
- [3] G.-N. Han, The Nekrasov-Okounkov hook length formula: refinement, elementary proof, extension, and applications, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **60(1)** (2010), 1–29.
- [4] G.-N. Han, Some conjectures and open problems on partition hook lengths, *Exp. Math.* **18** (2009), 97–106.
- [5] G.-N. Han, Hook lengths and shifted parts of partitions, *Ramanujan J.* **23(1-3)** (2010), 127–135.
- [6] G.-N. Han and Kathy Q. Ji, Combining hook length formulas and BG-ranks for partitions via the Littlewood decomposition, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), 1041–1060.
- [7] G.-N. Han and H. Xiong, Difference operators for partitions and some applications, preprint; [arXiv:1508.00772](https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.00772).
- [8] G.-N. Han and H. Xiong, Polynomiality of some hook-content summations for doubled distinct and self-conjugate partitions, preprint; [arXiv:1601.04369](https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.04369).
- [9] D. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 3: Sorting and Searching*, Addison–Wesley, London, 1973, pp. 54–58.
- [10] N. A. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions, *The unity of mathematics, Progress in Mathematics* **244**, Birkhäuser Boston, 2006, pp. 525–596.
- [11] G. Olshanski, Anisotropic Young diagrams and infinite-dimensional diffusion processes with the Jack parameter, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **6** (2010), 1102–1166.
- [12] G. Olshanski, Plancherel averages: Remarks on a paper by Stanley, *Electron. J. Comb.* **17** (2010), research paper 43.
- [13] G. Panova, Polynomiality of some hook-length statistics, *Ramanujan J.* **27(3)** (2012), 349–356.

- [14] Rota, Gian-Carlo, On the foundations of combinatorial theory: I. Theory of Möbius functions, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **2** (1964), 349–356.
- [15] I. Schur, Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen und der alternierenden Gruppe durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **139** (1911), 155–250.
- [16] R. P. Stanley. Some combinatorial properties of hook lengths, contents, and parts of partitions, *Ramanujan J.* **23(1-3)** (2010), 91–105.
- [17] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [18] R. M. Thrall, A combinatorial problem, *Mich. Math. J.* **1** (1952), 81–88.

UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG, CNRS, IRMA UMR 7501, F-67000 STRASBOURG, FRANCE  
E-mail address: [guoniu.han@unistra.fr](mailto:guoniu.han@unistra.fr), [xiong@math.unistra.fr](mailto:xiong@math.unistra.fr)