

# NORM-INFLATION RESULTS FOR THE BBM EQUATION

JERRY BONA AND MIMI DAI

ABSTRACT. Considered here is the periodic initial-value problem for the regularized long-wave (BBM) equation

$$u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{xxt} = 0.$$

Adding to previous work in the literature, it is shown here that for any  $s < 0$ , there is smooth initial data that is small in the  $L_2$ -based Sobolev spaces  $H^s$ , but the solution emanating from it becomes arbitrarily large in arbitrarily small time. This so called *norm inflation* result has as a consequence the previously determined conclusion that this problem is ill-posed in these negative-norm spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This note derives from the paper [7] where it was shown that the initial-value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{xxt} &= 0, \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

for the regularized long-wave or BBM equation is globally well posed in the  $L_2$ -based Sobolev spaces  $H^r(\mathbb{R})$  provided  $r \geq 0$ . In the same paper, it was shown that the map that takes initial data to solutions cannot be locally  $C^2$  if  $r < 0$ . This latter result suggests, but does not prove, that the problem (1.1) is not well posed in  $H^r$  for negative values of  $r$ . Later, Panthee [15] showed that this solution map, were it to exist on all of  $H^r(\mathbb{R})$ , could not even be continuous, thus proving that the problem is ill posed in the  $L_2$ -based Sobolev spaces with negative index. Indeed, he showed that there is a sequence of smooth initial data  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $\phi_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $H^r(\mathbb{R})$  but the associated solutions,  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  have the property that  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^r}$  is bounded away from zero for all small values of  $t > 0$  and all  $n \geq 1$ .

The BBM equation itself was initially put forward in [16] and [3] as an approximate description of long-crested, surface water waves. It is an alternative to the classical Korteweg-de Vries equation and has been shown to be equivalent in that, for physically relevant initial data, the solutions of the two models differ by higher order terms on a long time scale (see [6].) It predicts the propagation of surface water waves pretty well in its range of validity [5]. Finally, it is known rigorously to be a good approximation to solutions of the full, inviscid, water-wave problem by combining results in [1], [4] and [13] (see also [14]).

It is our purpose here to show that in fact, for  $r < 0$ , the problem (1.1) is not only not well posed, but features blow-up in the  $H^r$ -norm in arbitrarily short time. This will be done in the context of the periodic initial-value problem wherein  $u_0$  is

---

The author M. Dai was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1517583.

a periodic distribution lying in  $H_{per}^r$  for some  $r < 0$ . Similar results hold for  $H^r(\mathbb{R})$ , but are not explicated here.

More precisely, it will be shown that, for any given  $r < 0$ , there is a sequence  $\{u_0^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of smooth initial data such that  $u_0^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_{per}^r$  and a sequence  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of positive times tending to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  such that the corresponding solutions  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  emanating from this initial data, whose existence is guaranteed by the periodic version [9] of the theory for the initial-value problem, are such that for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$\|u(\cdot, T_n)\|_{H_{per}^s} \geq n.$$

This insures in particular that the solution map  $\mathcal{S}$  that associates solutions to initial data, which exists on  $L_2$ , cannot be extended continuously to all of  $H_{per}^s$ , thus reproducing Panthee's conclusion. Results of this sort go by the appellation *norm inflation* for obvious reasons. The idea originated in the work of Bourgain and Pavlović [8] for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation. The method of construction there was applied to some other dissipative fluid equations by the second author and her collaborators, see [12, 11, 10]. It suggests that the method is generic as well as sophisticated.

#### Notation

The notation used throughout is standard. For  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , the collection  $\dot{H}_{per}^r$  is the homogeneous space of  $2\pi$ -periodic distributions whose norm

$$\|f\|_r^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2r} (|f_k|^2 + |g_k|^2)$$

is finite. Elements in  $\dot{H}_{per}^r$  all have mean zero over the period domain  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Here, the  $\{f_k\}$  are the Fourier sine coefficients and the  $\{g_k\}$  are the Fourier cosine coefficients of  $f$ . Notice that  $\dot{H}_{per}^0$  may be viewed simply as the  $L_2$ -functions on the period domain  $[0, 2\pi]$  with mean zero. If  $X$  is any Banach space, the set  $C([0, T]; X)$  consists of the continuous functions from the real interval  $[0, T]$  into  $X$  with its usual norm.

## 2. NORM INFLATION

The principal result of our study is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $r < 0$  be given. Then there is a sequence  $\{u_0^j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ , periodic initial data such that*

$$u_0^{(j)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

*in  $\dot{H}_{per}^r$  and a sequence  $\{T_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of positive times tending to zero as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  such that if  $u_j(x, t)$  is the solution emanating from  $u_0^{(j)}$ , then*

$$\|u(\cdot, T_j)\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^r} \geq j$$

*for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ .*

**Proof:** Fix  $s > 0$ , let  $r = -s$  and consider a wavenumber  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  which, in due course, will be taken to be large. Let  $k_2 = k_1 + 1$ , define  $\bar{u}$  by  $\bar{u} = \sin(k_1 x) + \sin(k_2 x)$  and consider the  $2\pi$ -periodic, mean zero initial data  $u_0 = k_1^\gamma \bar{u}$  for (1.1) where  $\gamma > 0$  will be restricted presently. Of course,  $u_0$  is smooth, so the theory developed in [9] implies that a unique, global, smooth solution emanates from this initial data.

Notice also that the solution preserves the property of having zero mean, so it lies in  $C([0, T]; \dot{H}_{per}^\rho)$  for all  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\varphi(D_x)$  be the Fourier multiplier operator given in terms of its Fourier transform by  $\widehat{\varphi(D_x)u}(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{1+\xi^2}\hat{u}(\xi)$ . The equation (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} iu_t &= \varphi(D_x)u + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(D_x)(u^2), \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S(t) = e^{-it\varphi(D_x)}$  be the unitary group defining the evolution of the linear BBM equation. Then, Duhamel's principle allows the solution of (1.1)-(2.2) to be written in the form

$$(2.3) \quad u(x, t) = S(t)u_0(x) + u_1(x, t) + y(x, t)$$

where

$$u_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t S(t-\tau)\varphi(D_x)(S(\tau)u_0)^2 d\tau$$

is the first order approximation of the nonlinear term in the differential-integral equation in (2.2). The function  $y(x, t)$  is the remainder, which may be expressed implicitly in the slightly complicated, but useful form

$$(2.4) \quad y(x, t) = \int_0^t S(t-\tau)\varphi(D_x)[G_0(\tau) + G_1(\tau) + G_2(\tau)] d\tau$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}u_1^2(\tau) + u_1(\tau)S(\tau)u_0, \\ G_1(\tau) &= u_1(\tau)y(\tau) + y(\tau)S(\tau)u_0, \\ G_2(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}y^2(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where the spatial dependence has been suppressed for ease of reading. The strategy is to show that by choosing  $k_1$  sufficiently large,  $u_1$  becomes large in a short time in the space  $\dot{H}_{per}^r = \dot{H}_{per}^{-s}$ , while the error term  $y$  remains under control in the same space.

In contrast to dissipative equations, the linear dispersion operator  $S(t)$  only translates the wave, but does not change its magnitude; more precisely, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad S(t) \sin(kx) = \sin\left(kx - \frac{k}{1+k^2}t\right), \quad S(t) \cos(kx) = \cos\left(kx - \frac{k}{1+k^2}t\right).$$

On the other hand, the operator  $\varphi(D_x)$  both decreases the amplitude of its argument and adds rotation *viz.*

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi(D_x) \sin kx = -i \frac{k}{1+k^2} \cos kx, \quad \varphi(D_x) \cos kx = i \frac{k}{1+k^2} \sin kx.$$

It follows from this that  $\varphi(D_x)$  vanishes on constant functions.

It is clear that if  $s > 0$ , then

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S(t)u_0\|_{-s} &= \|u_0\|_{-s} \sim k_1^{\gamma-s}, \\ \text{while } \|S(t)u_0\|_0 &= \|u_0\|_0 \sim k_1^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

As we want the initial data to be small in  $\dot{H}_{per}^{-s}$ ,  $\gamma$  is restricted to the range  $(0, s)$ . The formulas in (2.5) imply

$$S(\tau)\bar{u} = \sin\left(k_1x - \frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2}\tau\right) + \sin\left(k_2x - \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\tau\right),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} [S(\tau)\bar{u}]^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \cos\left(2k_1x - \frac{2k_1}{1+k_1^2}\tau\right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \cos\left(2k_2x - \frac{2k_2}{1+k_2^2}\tau\right) \right] \\ &\quad + \cos\left((k_1 - k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} - \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)\tau\right) \\ &\quad - \cos\left((k_1 + k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} + \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)\tau\right). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\varphi(D_x)[S(\tau)\bar{u}]^2 &= -\frac{i}{4} \frac{2k_1}{1+4k_1^2} \sin\left(2k_1x - \frac{2k_1}{1+k_1^2}\tau\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{4} \frac{2k_2}{1+4k_2^2} \sin\left(2k_2x - \frac{2k_2}{1+k_2^2}\tau\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} \frac{k_1 - k_2}{1+(k_1 - k_2)^2} \sin\left((k_1 - k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} - \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)\tau\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2} \frac{k_1 + k_2}{1+(k_1 + k_2)^2} \sin\left((k_1 + k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} + \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)\tau\right) \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the function  $\sin(kx - \omega t)$  and calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad \int_0^t S(t-\tau) \sin(kx - \omega\tau) d\tau &= \int_0^t \sin\left(kx - \frac{k}{1+k^2}(t-\tau) - \omega\tau\right) d\tau \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{1+k^2} - \omega\right)^{-1} \left(\cos\left(kx - \frac{k}{1+k^2}t\right) - \cos(kx - \omega t)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where use has been made of (2.5).

The latter formula, applied four times, allows us to calculate  $u_1$  explicitly, to wit,

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1 &= k_1^{2\gamma} \int_0^t S(t-\tau) [I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4] d\tau \\
 &= -\frac{ik_1^{2\gamma}}{12} \frac{1+k_1^2}{k_1^2} \left[ \cos\left(2k_1x - \frac{2k_1}{1+k_1^2}t\right) - \cos\left(2k_1x - \frac{2k_1}{1+4k_1^2}t\right) \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{ik_1^{2\gamma}}{12} \frac{1+k_2^2}{k_2^2} \left[ \cos\left(2k_2x - \frac{2k_2}{1+k_2^2}t\right) - \cos\left(2k_2x - \frac{2k_2}{1+4k_2^2}t\right) \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{ik_1^{2\gamma}}{2} \frac{k_1-k_2}{1+(k_1-k_2)^2} \left[ \frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} - \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2} - \frac{k_1-k_2}{1+(k_1-k_2)^2} \right]^{-1} \\
 &\quad \left[ \cos\left((k_1-k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} - \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)t\right) - \cos\left((k_1-k_2)x - \frac{k_1-k_2}{1+(k_1-k_2)^2}t\right) \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{ik_1^{2\gamma}}{2} \frac{k_1+k_2}{1+(k_1+k_2)^2} \left[ \frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} + \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2} - \frac{k_1+k_2}{1+(k_1+k_2)^2} \right]^{-1} \\
 &\quad \left[ \cos\left((k_1+k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} + \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)t\right) - \cos\left((k_1+k_2)x - \frac{k_1+k_2}{1+(k_1+k_2)^2}t\right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

A study of the various constants appearing above reveals that, up to absolute constants,

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_1 &\sim -ik_1^{2\gamma} \left[ \cos\left(2k_1x - \frac{2k_1}{1+k_1^2}t\right) - \cos\left(2k_1x - \frac{2k_1}{1+4k_1^2}t\right) \right] \\
 &\quad - ik_1^{2\gamma} \left[ \cos\left(2k_2x - \frac{2k_2}{1+k_2^2}t\right) - \cos\left(2k_2x - \frac{2k_2}{1+4k_2^2}t\right) \right] \\
 &\quad + ik_1^{2\gamma} \left[ \cos\left(x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} - \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)t\right) - \cos\left(x - \frac{t}{2}\right) \right] \\
 &\quad - ik_1^{2\gamma} \left[ \cos\left((k_1+k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} + \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)t\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. - \cos\left((k_1+k_2)x - \frac{k_1+k_2}{1+(k_1+k_2)^2}t\right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

as  $k_1$  becomes large. Since

$$|\cos(kx - \omega_1 t) - \cos(kx - \omega_2 t)| \leq |\omega_1 - \omega_2|t,$$

straightforward calculations show that the first, second and fourth terms above are uniformly small compared to the third term, for large values of  $k_1$ . Indeed, they are all of order  $k_1^{2\gamma-1}t$ , whereas the third term is of order  $k_1^{2\gamma}t$ .

It follows from this that for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad &\|u_1(t, \cdot)\|_{-s} \sim k_1^{2\gamma}t \quad \text{and likewise} \\
 &\|u_1(t, \cdot)\|_0 \sim k_1^{2\gamma}t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by taking  $k_1$  large, the  $\dot{H}_{per}^{-s}$ -norm of  $u_1$  can be made as big as we like.

As mentioned earlier, an estimate of the error term  $y$  is needed to complete the argument. It will in fact be shown that  $y$  is even bounded in  $L_2$ , let along  $\dot{H}_{per}^{-s}$ .

To this end, use is made of one of a periodic version of one the bilinear estimates in [7].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $u, v \in H_{per}^q$  with  $q \geq 0$ . Then*

$$(2.10) \quad \|\varphi(D_x)(uv)\|_q \lesssim \|u\|_q \|v\|_q$$

where the implied constant only depends upon  $q$ .

The proof of this result is the same as the proof of Lemma 1 in [7], with sums replacing integrals.

Introduce the abbreviation  $X_T$  for  $C([0, T]; L^2)$  for ease of reading. The value of  $T > 0$  will be specified momentarily. It follows from (2.10) and the implicit relationship (2.4) for the remainder  $y$  that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|y\|_{X_T} &\lesssim T \|u_1\|_{X_T}^2 + T \|S(t)u_0\|_{X_T} \|u_1\|_{X_T} + T \|u_1\|_{X_T} \|y\|_{X_T} \\ &\quad + T \|S(t)u_0\|_{X_T} \|y\|_{X_T} + T \|y\|_{X_T}^2 \\ &\lesssim T^3 k_1^{4\gamma} + T^2 k_1^{3\gamma} + \left(k_1^{2\gamma} T^2 + k_1^\gamma T\right) \|y\|_{X_T} + T \|y\|_{X_T}^2 \\ &= \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Y} + T\mathcal{Y}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}(T) = \|y\|_{X_T}$ . As  $y \in C([0, M]; L_2)$  for all  $M > 0$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{Y}(T)$  is a continuous function of  $T$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{Y}(0) = 0$ .

Choose  $T_0 = k_1^{-\mu\gamma}$ , where  $\mu > \frac{3}{2}$ . With this choice, we see that for  $T \leq T_0$ ,

$$\mathcal{A} = O(k_1^{\gamma(4-3\mu)} + k_1^{\gamma(3-2\mu)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = O(k_1^{2\gamma(1-\mu)} + k_1^{\gamma(1-\mu)}),$$

as  $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$  and all the exponents are negative.

Choose  $k_1$  large enough that  $\mathcal{B} < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $T$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are both small. It follows in this circumstance that the quadratic polynomial

$$p(z) = \mathcal{A} + (\mathcal{B} - 1)z + Tz^2$$

has two positive roots, the smaller of which is denoted  $\underline{z}$  and the larger  $\bar{z}$ . Of course,  $p(z) < 0$  for  $z \in (\underline{z}, \bar{z})$ .

The inequality (2.11) may be expressed as

$$p(\mathcal{Y}(T)) \geq 0.$$

As  $\mathcal{Y}(T)$  is continuous and  $\mathcal{Y}(0) = 0$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{Y}(T) \leq \underline{z}$  for all  $T \in [0, T_0]$ . For  $k_1$  large,  $T_0 < 1$ . When combined with the fact that  $\mathcal{B} < \frac{1}{2}$ , it is readily deduced that

$$\underline{z} \leq 4\mathcal{A}, \quad \text{whence} \quad \mathcal{Y}(T) \leq 4\mathcal{A},$$

thus assuring that the remainder  $y(\cdot, t)$  is indeed uniformly bounded in  $\dot{H}_{per}^{-s}$  for  $t \leq T_0$  and large choices of  $k_1$ .

Taking a suitably chosen, increasing sequence  $\{k_1^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of wavenumbers for which

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} k_1^{(j)} = +\infty,$$

and with the indicated choices of  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$ , (2.7) assures the initial data tends to zero in  $\dot{H}_{per}^{-s}$ . The decomposition (2.3) together with (2.7), (2.9) and the bound just obtained on  $y$  then implies that the solutions  $u_j$  blow up at times  $T_j = (k_1^{(j)})^{-\mu\gamma}$ . The latter tend to zero as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  are both positive. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.A. Alazman, J.P. Albert, J.L. Bona, M. Chen and J. Wu. *Comparisons between the BBM equation and a Boussinesq system*. Advances Differential. Eq. **11** (2006) 121–166.
- [2] D. Ambrose, J.L. Bona, and D. Nicholls. *On Ill-posedness of truncated series models for water waves*. Proc. Royal Soc. London, Series A **470** (2014) 1–16.
- [3] T.B. Benjamin, J.L. Bona and J.J. Mahony. *Model equations for long waves in non-linear dispersive media*, Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London Series A **272** (1972) 47–78.
- [4] J.L. Bona, T. Colin and D. Lannes. *Long wave approximations for water waves*, Archive Rat. Mech. Anal. **178** (2005) 373–410.
- [5] J.L. Bona, W.G. Pritchard and L.R. Scott. *An evaluation of a model equation for water waves*, Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London Series A **302** (1981) 457–510.
- [6] J.L. Bona, W.G. Pritchard and L.R. Scott. *A comparison of solutions of two model equations for long waves*, In Lectures in Applied Mathematics **20** (ed. N. Lebovitz) American Mathematical Society: Providence (1983) 235–267.
- [7] J.L. Bona and N. Tzvetkov. *Sharp well-posedness results for the BBM equation*, Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series A **23** (2009) 1241–1252.
- [8] . *Ill-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space in 3D*. Journal of Functional Analysis, **255** (2008) 2233–2247.
- [9] H. Chen. *Periodic initial-value problem for the BBM-equation*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, Special Issue on Computational Methods in Analysis **48** (2004) 1305–1318.
- [10] A. Cheskidov and M. Dai. *Norm inflation for generalized Magneto-hydrodynamic system*. Nonlinearity, **28** (2015) 129–142.
- [11] A. Cheskidov and M. Dai. *Norm inflation for generalized Navier-Stokes equations*. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, **63** (2014), No. 3 : 869–884.
- [12] M. Dai, J. Qing, and M. Schonbek. *Norm inflation for incompressible Magneto-hydrodynamic system in  $\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}$* . Advances in Differential Equations, **16** (2011), No. 7-8, 725–746.
- [13] D. Lannes. *Well-posedness of the water-waves equations*, J. American Math. Soc. **18** (2005) 605–654.
- [14] D. Lannes. *The water waves problem: mathematical analysis and asymptotics*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **188** American Math. Soc.: Providence (2013).
- [15] M. Panthee, *On the ill-posedness result for the BBM equation* Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems **30** (2011) 253–259.
- [16] D.H. Peregrine, *Calculations of the development of an undular bore*, J. Fluid Mech. **25** (1966) 321–330 .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60607, USA  
*E-mail address:* bona@math.uic.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60607,  
*E-mail address:* mdai@uic.edu