

# Generalised cosine functions, basis and regularity properties

Lyonell Boulton<sup>1</sup> & Houry Melkonian<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Mathematics and  
Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences  
Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, UK.*

3rd November 2015

## Abstract

We examine regularity and basis properties of the family of rescaled  $p$ -cosine functions. We find sharp estimates for their Fourier coefficients. We then determine two thresholds,  $p_0 < 2$  and  $p_1 > 2$ , such that this family is a Schauder basis of  $L_s(0, 1)$  for all  $s > 1$  and  $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ .

## 1 Introduction

The contents of this paper can be summarised as follows. Consider a continuous 2-periodic function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the family of rescalings  $\mathcal{F} = \{f(nx)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . When does  $\mathcal{F}$  form a Schauder basis of  $L_s \equiv L_s(0, 1)$  for all  $s > 1$ ? This question can be traced back to a 1945 note by Arne Beurling [1]. However, quite remarkably, there are still a number of open problems associated to it. As it turns, finding a concrete answer can be extremely difficult, even for apparently simple functions  $f$ .

In a series of recent papers the above question has been addressed for the particular choice  $f(x) = \sin_p(\pi_p x)$ , the  $p$ -sine functions. Let  $p > 1$ . Let the increasing function  $F_p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \frac{\pi_p}{2}]$  be defined by means of the integral

$$(1) \quad F_p(y) := \int_0^y (1 - t^p)^{-\frac{1}{p}} dt$$

where

$$\pi_p := 2F_p(1) = \frac{2\pi}{p \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Email address: L.Boulton@hw.ac.uk

<sup>2</sup>Email address: hm189@hw.ac.uk

Denote the inverse of  $F_p$  by  $\sin_p$ , which is increasing in the segment  $[0, \frac{\pi_p}{2}]$ . Extend to the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$  by means of the rules

$$(2) \quad \sin_p(-x) = -\sin_p(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \sin_p\left(\frac{\pi_p}{2} - x\right) = \sin_p\left(\frac{\pi_p}{2} + x\right),$$

which makes it  $2\pi_p$ -periodic and continuous in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The choice  $p = 2$  corresponds to the standard trigonometric setting  $\sin_2 \equiv \sin$ ,  $\pi_2 = \pi$  and in this case  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Schauder basis of  $L_s$  for all  $s > 1$  as a consequence of Fourier's Theorem.

The study of the  $p$ -sine functions originated in the context of the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian non-linear eigenvalue problem and dates back to the work of Elbert [10] and Ôtani [14]. Their basis properties were first examined in [2], where it was announced that the family  $\{\sin_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  forms a Schauder basis of  $L_s$  for all  $s > 1$  and  $p \geq \frac{12}{11}$ . Further development in this respect were settled in [5], [6] and [4]. Currently we know that this family is a Schauder basis of  $L_s$  for all  $s > 1$  and  $p > \tilde{p}_0$ , and also a Riesz basis of  $L_2$  for  $p \in (\hat{p}_0, \tilde{p}_0]$ , where  $\tilde{p}_0 \approx 1.087$  and  $\hat{p}_0 \approx 1.044$  satisfy complicated identities involving hypergeometric functions.

Let

$$(3) \quad \cos_p x := \frac{d}{dx} \sin_p x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

and set  $f(x) = \cos_p(\pi_p x)$ , the  $p$ -cosine functions. From the various results established in the recent paper [7], it follows that  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{1\}$  is a Schauder basis of  $L_s$  for all  $s > 1$  and  $p \in (p_0^\dagger, 2]$  where  $p_0^\dagger \approx 1.75$ . In the present work we establish that this basis property in fact holds true for  $p$  in a wider segment. To be precise, we show the following.

**Theorem 1.** *There exist  $p_0 < \frac{3}{2}$  and  $p_1 > \frac{11}{5}$ , such that  $\{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Schauder basis of  $L_s$  for all  $s > 1$  and  $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ .*

The constants  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  will be given analytically as the zeros of corresponding equations involving the parameter  $p$ . Their approximated values turn out to be  $p_0 \approx 1.46$  and  $p_1 \approx 2.43$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is naturally divided into the cases  $1 < p < 2$  and  $p > 2$ . The different parts of the paper follow this division. In Section 2 we collect various properties of the  $p$ -trigonometric functions which will be useful later on. In Section 3 we establish precise upper bounds on the asymptotic behaviour of the Fourier coefficients of  $\cos_p(\pi_p \cdot)$ . In Section 4 we recall the framework for determining invertibility of the change of coordinates map between the families  $\{\cos(n\pi \cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  and  $\{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . In Section 5 we assemble the proof of Theorem 1, by combining the crucial criterion (12) of Section 4 with the estimates of Section 3. In the final Section 6 we describe the relation between the results announced here and other existing work.

## 2 The generalised trigonometric functions

We begin by recalling various elementary properties of the  $p$ -cosine functions. A more complete account on this matter can be found in [5, Section 2] and [9, Chapter 2].

Throughout we shall assume that  $1 < p < \infty$ . Note that  $\pi_p$  is a decreasing function, smooth in  $p > 1$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} \pi_p \rightarrow \infty & p \rightarrow 1^+ \\ \pi_p = \pi & p = 2 \\ \pi_p \rightarrow 2 & p \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here and everywhere below we write  $p' := p/(p-1)$ . According to [5, (2.3)], we know that

$$(4) \quad p' \pi_{p'} = p \pi_p.$$

From (2) and (3) it immediately follows that  $\cos_p$  is  $2\pi_p$ -periodic,

$$\cos_p(x) = \cos_p(-x) \quad \text{and} \quad \cos_p\left(x + \frac{\pi_p}{2}\right) = -\cos_p\left(x - \frac{\pi_p}{2}\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, setting  $y = \sin_p(x)$  for  $x \in [0, \pi_p/2]$  in the formula for the derivative of the inverse function of (1), gives

$$(5) \quad \cos_p(x) = (1 - y^p)^{1/p} = (1 - \sin_p(x)^p)^{1/p}.$$

Thus,  $\cos_p$  is decreasing in  $(0, \pi_p/2]$ ,  $\cos_p(0) = 1$  and  $\cos_p(\pi_p/2) = 0$ . In fact we have,

$$|\sin_p x|^p + |\cos_p x|^p = 1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

See [5, (2.7)].

**Lemma 1.** For all  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,

a.

$$\cos_p(\pi_p x) = \sin_{p'}\left(\pi_{p'}\left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right)\right)^{p'-1}$$

b.

$$\frac{d}{dx} \cos_p(x) = -\sin_p(x)^{p-1} \cos_p(x)^{2-p}$$

c.

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \cos_p(x) = \sin_p(x)^{p-2} \cos_p(x)^{3-2p} [2 - p - \cos_p(x)^p].$$

*Proof.* The calculations leading to “a” and “b” can be found in the proofs of [5, Proposition 2.2] and [5, Proposition 2.1], respectively. From (5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \cos_p(x) &= (2-p) \sin_p(x)^{2p-2} \cos_p(x)^{3-2p} - (p-1) \sin_p(x)^{p-2} \cos_p(x)^{3-p} \\ &= \sin_p(x)^{p-2} \cos_p(x)^{3-2p} [(2-p) \sin_p(x)^p - (p-1) \cos_p(x)^p],\end{aligned}$$

which is “c”.  $\square$

The following inequalities will be important below.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$  and  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Then*

a.  $\sin_p(\pi_p x) \geq \sin_q(\pi_q x)$

b.  $\cos_p(\pi_p x) \leq \cos_q(\pi_q x)$ .

*Proof.* Statement “a” is [5, Corollary 4.4-(iii)].

Let us show “b”. A direct evaluation at  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1/2$  gives equality for all  $p$  and  $q$  at these points, so these two cases are immediate. Let  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  be fixed. Since  $p'$  is decreasing in  $p > 1$ , from part “a” it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dp} \sin_{p'} \left( \pi_{p'} \left( \frac{1}{2} - x \right) \right) \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in (1, \infty).$$

Note that,  $0 < \sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}(\frac{1}{2} - x)) < 1$  and hence  $\ln(\sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}(\frac{1}{2} - x))) < 0$ . Substituting the identity from Lemma 1-a, yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dp} \cos_p(\pi_p x) &= \frac{d}{dp} \left[ \sin_{p'} \left( \pi_{p'} \left( \frac{1}{2} - x \right) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ &= \left[ -\frac{\ln(\sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}(\frac{1}{2} - x)))}{(p-1)^2} + \frac{\frac{d}{dp} [\sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}(\frac{1}{2} - x))]}{(p-1) \sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}(\frac{1}{2} - x))} \right] \cos_p(\pi_p x) > 0.\end{aligned}$$

This implies “b”.  $\square$

## 2.1 The case $1 < p < 2$

For  $1 < p < 2$ , let  $u_p : [0, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$u_p(x) := \cos'_p(\pi_p x) = -\sin_p(\pi_p x)^{p-1} \cos_p(\pi_p x)^{2-p}.$$

This function will simplify the notation when we determine estimates for the Fourier coefficients of the  $p$ -cosine functions in Section 3.1. Here and everywhere below we write

$$(6) \quad c_p := (p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (2-p)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}.$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $1 < p < 2$ . Then*

- a.  $u_p(x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$
- b.  $u_p(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$  or  $x = \frac{1}{2}$
- c.  $u_p(x) = -c_p$  for  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  if and only if  $x = m_p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , where  $m_p$  is the unique point such that  $\cos_p(\pi_p m_p)^p = 2 - p$
- d.  $u_p : [0, m_p] \longrightarrow [-c_p, 0]$  is decreasing
- e.  $u_p : [m_p, \frac{1}{2}] \longrightarrow [-c_p, 0]$  is increasing
- f.  $\min_{x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]} u_p(x) = -c_p$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sin_p(\pi_p x)$  and  $\cos_p(\pi_p x)$  are non-negative over  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , then “a” holds true. Since  $\sin_p(\pi_p x)$  only vanishes at  $x = 0$  and  $\cos_p(\pi_p x)$  only vanishes at  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  in this interval, then “b” holds true.

Lemma 1-c gives

$$u'_p(x) = \pi_p \sin_p(\pi_p x)^{p-2} \cos_p(\pi_p x)^{3-2p} [2 - p - \cos_p(\pi_p x)^p].$$

Neither  $\sin_p$  nor  $\cos_p$  vanish in  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ . On the other hand,  $\cos_p(0) = 1 > 2 - p$ ,  $\cos_p(\frac{\pi_p}{2}) = 0 < 2 - p$  and  $\cos_p(\pi_p x)^p$  is decreasing for  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Then the term  $\cos_p(\pi_p x)^p + p - 2$  indeed vanishes at the unique point  $m_p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  as stated in “c”.

At  $m_p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_p(m_p) &= -\sin_p(\pi_p m_p)^{p-1} \cos_p(\pi_p m_p)^{2-p} \\ &= -(1 - \cos_p(\pi_p m_p)^p)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \cos_p(\pi_p m_p)^{2-p} = -c_p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof of “d” and “e”, and thus of “f”, is achieved as follows. Just observe that in the expression for  $u'_p(x)$  above,  $\cos_p(\pi_p x)^p > 2 - p$  for  $x \in [0, m_p)$  and  $\cos_p(\pi_p x)^p < 2 - p$  for  $x \in (m_p, \frac{1}{2})$ , because  $\cos_p(\pi_p x)$  is decreasing in  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .  $\square$

According to parts “d” and “e” of Lemma 3, the function  $u_p$  is invertible, when restricted to the segments  $[0, m_p]$  and  $[m_p, \frac{1}{2}]$ . We denote the inverses by  $w_{1,p} : [-c_p, 0] \longrightarrow [0, m_p]$  and  $w_{2,p} : [-c_p, 0] \longrightarrow [m_p, \frac{1}{2}]$ , respectively, so that

$$u_p(w_{k,p}(x)) = x \quad \forall x \in [-c_p, 0] \quad k = 1, 2.$$

## 2.2 The case $p > 2$

For  $p > 2$ , let  $v_p : (0, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be given by

$$v_p(x) := (p' - 1) \sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)^{p'-2} \cos_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x).$$

Let us summarise various properties of this function, which will be employed in Section 3.2.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $p > 2$ . Then*

- a.  $v_p$  is decreasing in  $(0, \frac{1}{2}]$
- b.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x v_p(x) = 0$
- c.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} v_p(x) = +\infty$  and  $v_p(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$
- d.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} v_p'(x) = -\infty$  and  $v_p'(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $p > 2$ ,  $p' \in (1, 2)$  and so  $p' - 2 < 0$ . Since,  $\sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)$  is increasing and  $\cos_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)$  is decreasing in  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then ‘‘a’’ holds true.

Let us show ‘‘b’’. L’Hôpital’s Rule gives

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x}{[\sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)]^{2-p'}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{[\sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)]^{p'-1}}{(2-p')\pi_{p'} \cos_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)} = 0.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x v_p(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (p' - 1) \frac{x \cos_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)}{[\sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)]^{2-p'}} = 0,$$

as claimed in ‘‘b’’.

Both statements ‘‘c’’ and ‘‘d’’ follow directly from (5) and the expression

$$v_p'(x) = (p' - 1)\pi_{p'} \sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)^{p'-3} \cos_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)^{2-p'} \left[ (p' - 1) \cos_{p'}(\pi_{p'}x)^{p'} - 1 \right].$$

□

According to this lemma, there exists a function  $z_p : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  such that  $z_p$  is the inverse function of  $v_p$ . This inverse function has the following characteristics.

- a.  $z_p$  is decreasing in  $[0, \infty)$
- b.  $z_p(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} z_p(x) = 0$
- c.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} z_p'(x) = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} z_p'(x) = 0$ .

### 3 The Fourier coefficients of the $p$ -cosine functions

Let

$$a_j(p) \equiv a_j := 2 \int_0^1 \sin_p(\pi_p x) \sin(j\pi x) dx \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$$

be the Fourier sine coefficients of  $\sin_p(\pi_p x)$ . Let

$$b_j(p) \equiv b_j := 2 \int_0^1 \cos_p(\pi_p x) \cos(j\pi x) dx \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

be the Fourier cosine coefficients of  $\cos_p(\pi_p x)$ . Since  $\sin_p$  is an odd function and  $\cos_p$  is an even function,  $a_j = b_j = 0$  for all  $j \equiv_2 0$ .

**Lemma 5.** For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$b_j(p) = \frac{j\pi}{\pi_p} a_j(p).$$

*Proof.* Let  $j \equiv_2 1$ . Integration by parts alongside with the fact that  $\cos_p(\pi_p x)$  and  $\cos(j\pi x)$  are odd with respect to  $\frac{1}{2}$ , yield

$$\begin{aligned} b_j &= 2 \int_0^1 \cos_p(\pi_p x) \cos(j\pi x) dx = 4 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos_p(\pi_p x) \cos(j\pi x) dx \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi_p} \cos(j\pi x) \sin_p(\pi_p x) \Big|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{4j\pi}{\pi_p} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin_p(\pi_p x) \sin(j\pi x) dx \\ &= \frac{j\pi}{\pi_p} a_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now find estimates on  $|b_j(p)|$  in terms of the parameter  $p > 1$ .

#### 3.1 The case $1 < p < 2$

**Lemma 6.** For  $1 < p < 2$ , let  $c_p > 0$  be given by (6). Then

$$|b_j(p)| < \frac{8\pi_p}{j^2\pi^2} c_p \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Integrate by parts twice to get

$$\begin{aligned} b_j &= 4 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos_p(\pi_p x) \cos(j\pi x) dx \\ &= \frac{4}{j\pi} \cos_p(\pi_p x) \sin(j\pi x) \Big|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{4\pi_p}{j\pi} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos'_p(\pi_p x) \sin(j\pi x) dx \\ &= -\frac{4\pi_p}{j\pi} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos'_p(\pi_p x) \sin(j\pi x) dx \\ &= \frac{4\pi_p}{j^2\pi^2} \cos'_p(\pi_p x) \cos(j\pi x) \Big|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{4\pi_p}{j^2\pi^2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d}{dx} [\cos'_p(\pi_p x)] \cos(j\pi x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the identities in Lemma 3-b, it follows that the boundary term in the fourth equality always vanishes. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_j &= -\frac{4\pi_p}{j^2\pi^2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u'_p(x) \cos(j\pi x) dx \\
&= -\frac{4\pi_p}{j^2\pi^2} \left( \int_0^{m_p} + \int_{m_p}^{\frac{1}{2}} u'_p(x) \cos(j\pi x) dx \right) \\
&= -\frac{4\pi_p}{j^2\pi^2} \left( \int_0^{-c_p} \cos(j\pi w_{1,p}(s)) ds + \int_{-c_p}^0 \cos(j\pi w_{2,p}(s)) ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_j| &\leq \frac{4\pi_p}{j^2\pi^2} \left[ \int_{-c_p}^0 |\cos(j\pi w_{1,p}(s))| ds + \int_{-c_p}^0 |\cos(j\pi w_{2,p}(s))| ds \right] \\
&< \frac{8\pi_p}{j^2\pi^2} c_p,
\end{aligned}$$

because the functions inside the integrals are not constants identically equal to 1.  $\square$

### 3.2 The case $p > 2$

Let  $p > 2$ . According to Lemma 1-a,

$$b_j(p) = 4 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin_{p'} \left( \pi_{p'} \left( \frac{1}{2} - x \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cos(j\pi x) dx.$$

Since  $\cos(j\pi(\frac{1}{2} - t)) = (-1)^{\frac{j-1}{2}} \sin(j\pi t)$  for  $j \equiv_2 1$ , changing variables to  $t = \frac{1}{2} - x$  gives

$$b_j = (-1)^{\frac{j-1}{2}} 4 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'} t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sin(j\pi t) dt.$$

By virtue of Lemma 4 and integration by parts twice, then

$$\begin{aligned}
b_j &= (-1)^{\frac{j-1}{2}} \frac{4\pi_{p'}}{j\pi} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} v_p(t) \cos(j\pi t) dt \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{j-1}{2}} \frac{4\pi_{p'}}{j\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{j\pi} v_p(t) \sin(j\pi t) \Big|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{j\pi} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} v'_p(t) \sin(j\pi t) dt \right] \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{j+1}{2}} \frac{4\pi_{p'}}{j^2\pi^2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} v'_p(t) \sin(j\pi t) dt \\
(7) \quad &= (-1)^{\frac{j+3}{2}} \frac{4\pi_{p'}}{j^2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \sin(j\pi z_p(y)) dy.
\end{aligned}$$



**Lemma 7.** *Let  $p > 2$ . Then*

$$|b_j(p)| < \frac{2\pi p'}{\pi^2(p-1)} \left[ 2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}(p-2) \right] j^{-p'} \quad \forall j \geq 3.$$

*Proof.* Since  $p > 2$ , then  $1 < p' < 2$ . Let  $r = p' - 1$ . In view of Lemma 2, we have

$$v_p(t) \leq r [\sin_{p'}(\pi_{p'} t)]^{r-1} \leq r [\sin(\pi t)]^{r-1}$$

and so

$$(8) \quad z_p(y) \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin \left[ \left( \frac{y}{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \right] =: r_p(y) \quad \forall y \in [r, \infty).$$

Set

$$\eta(j) := r \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2j} \right)^{r-1}.$$

Then,

$$r_p(\eta(j)) = \frac{1}{2j} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Here we use the requirement  $j \geq 3$ , in order to make sure that the arc-sine does not change branches.

Set

$$J_1 = \int_0^{\eta(j)} dx = \eta(j)$$

and

$$J_2 = \int_{\eta(j)}^{\infty} \sin(j\pi r_p(y)) dy.$$

Then, (7) yields

$$|b_j| \leq \frac{4\pi p'}{j^2 \pi^2} (J_1 + J_2).$$

Here  $J_2$  is guaranteed to be on the right hand side, because

$$0 < j\pi z_p(y) \leq j\pi z_p(\eta(j)) \leq j\pi r_p(\eta(j)) = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

so that  $0 < \sin(j\pi z_p(y)) \leq \sin(j\pi r_p(y))$  for  $y \in [\eta(j), \infty)$ .

Let us estimate an upper bound for  $J_2$ . Changing variables to

$$t = j\pi r_p(y) \iff y = r \sin \left( \frac{t}{j} \right)^{r-1}$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{r(1-r)}{j} \sin \left( \frac{t}{j} \right)^{r-2} \cos \left( \frac{t}{j} \right) \sin(t) dt \\ &= r(1-r) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin \left( \frac{t}{j} \right)^{r-1} \left[ \frac{\frac{t}{j}}{\sin \left( \frac{t}{j} \right)} \right] \left( \frac{\sin t}{t} \right) \cos \left( \frac{t}{j} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that,

$$(9) \quad \max_{0 < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \max_{0 < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} = 1$$

and

$$0 < t < j\pi r_p(\eta(j)) = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Here we are using once again the fact that  $j \geq 3$ . Then

$$J_2 < \frac{\pi}{2} r(1-r) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{t}{j}\right)^{r-1} \cos\left(\frac{t}{j}\right) dt.$$

Changing variables to

$$\tau = \sin\left(\frac{t}{j}\right),$$

yields

$$J_2 < \frac{j\pi}{2} r(1-r) \int_0^{\sin \frac{\pi}{2j}} \tau^{r-1} d\tau = \frac{j\pi}{2} (1-r) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2j}\right)^r.$$

Then

$$|b_j| < \frac{2\pi_{p'}}{j^2\pi^2} \left[ 2 + \frac{j\pi(1-r)}{r} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2j}\right) \right] \eta(j).$$

According to (9), we get

$$\eta(j) \leq rj^{1-r}$$

and

$$(10) \quad |b_j| < \frac{2\pi_{p'}r}{j^2\pi^2} \left[ 2 + \frac{j\pi(1-r)}{r} \frac{\pi}{2j} \right] j^{1-r}.$$

Simplifying the expression on the right hand side, ensures the validity of the lemma.  $\square$

## 4 The change of coordinates map

We now derive various properties of the change of coordinates maps that take the 2-cosine functions into the  $p$ -cosine functions. Most of the material in this section can also be found in [2], [5], [7] and [4]. We keep a self-contained presentation here by including details of the main arguments.

Given any  $g \in L_s$ , denote the even extension of  $g$  with respect to 1 by

$$\tilde{g}(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & x \in [0, 1] \\ g(2-x) & x \in (1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

A 2-periodic extension of  $g$  to the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$  is then written as

$$g^*(x) = \tilde{g}(x - 2 \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor).$$

The floor function  $\lfloor y \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the unique integer such that  $y - \lfloor y \rfloor \in [0, 1)$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$M_n g(x) := g^*(nx).$$

**Lemma 8.** *The operators  $M_n : L_s \rightarrow L_s$  are linear isometries.*

*Proof.* Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_n g\|_{L_s}^s &= \int_0^1 |M_n g(x)|^s dx = \int_0^1 |g^*(nx)|^s dx = \int_0^1 |\tilde{g}(nx - 2 \lfloor \frac{nx}{2} \rfloor)|^s dx \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n |\tilde{g}(y - 2 \lfloor \frac{y}{2} \rfloor)|^s dy = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \int_l^{l+1} |\tilde{g}(y - 2 \lfloor \frac{y}{2} \rfloor)|^s dy \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[ \sum_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \equiv_2 0}}^{n-1} \int_l^{l+1} |\tilde{g}(y - 2 \lfloor \frac{y}{2} \rfloor)|^s dy + \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \equiv_2 1}}^{n-1} \int_l^{l+1} |\tilde{g}(y - 2 \lfloor \frac{y}{2} \rfloor)|^s dy \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Changing variables to  $w = y - l$  for  $l \equiv_2 0$  and  $z = y - (l - 1)$  for  $l \equiv_2 1$ , gives

$$\lfloor \frac{y}{2} \rfloor = \begin{cases} \frac{l}{2} & \text{whenever } l \equiv_2 0 \\ \frac{l-1}{2} & \text{whenever } l \equiv_2 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\|M_n g\|_{L_s}^s = \frac{1}{n} \left[ \sum_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \equiv_2 0}}^{n-1} \int_0^1 |g(w)|^s dw + \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \equiv_2 1}}^{n-1} \int_1^2 |\tilde{g}(z)|^s dz \right].$$

Another change of variables  $z = 2 - w$ , then yields

$$\|M_n g\|_{L_s}^s = \frac{1}{n} \left[ n \int_0^1 |g(w)|^s dw \right] = \|g\|_{L_s}^s$$

as claimed.  $\square$

Let  $e_n(x) := \cos(n\pi x)$ . If

$$g = \frac{\widehat{g}(0)}{2} e_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(j) e_j \in L_s$$

where

$$\widehat{g}(k) := 2 \int_0^1 g(x) e_k(x) dx \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

are the corresponding cosine Fourier coefficients, then

$$M_n g = \frac{\widehat{g}(0)}{2} e_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(j) M_n e_j = \frac{\widehat{g}(0)}{2} e_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(j) e_{nj} \in L_s.$$

Now, let  $f_n(x) := \cos_p(n\pi_p x)$ . Note that  $e_0(x) = f_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suitable linear extensions of the map  $A : e_n \mapsto f_n$  are the changes of coordinates between  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Our next goal is to find a canonical decomposition for  $A$  in terms of  $M_n$  and the Fourier coefficients  $b_n(p)$ . After that, we show that these are bounded operators of the Banach spaces  $L_s$  for all  $s > 1$ .

**Proposition 1.** *For all  $p > 1$ ,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_j(p)| < \infty.$$

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of lemmas 6 and 7. See (14) and (23) below.  $\square$

In the notation of Section 3, we have  $\widehat{f}_1(k) = b_k(p)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Recall that  $b_k = 0$  for  $k \equiv_2 0$ . Since any of the functions  $f_n(x)$  is continuous, then they all have a Fourier cosine expansion

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{f}_n(0) e_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}_n(k) e_k(x)$$

which is both pointwise convergent for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and also convergent in the norm of  $L_s$  for all  $s > 1$ . Then, for all  $n > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_n(k) &= 2 \int_0^1 f_1(nx) \cos(k\pi x) dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}_1(m) \cos(m\pi nx) \right) \cos(k\pi x) dx \\ &= 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}_1(m) \int_0^1 \cos(mn\pi x) \cos(k\pi x) dx \\ &= \begin{cases} b_m(p) & \text{for } mn = k, \ m \equiv_2 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here we can exchange the infinite summation with the integral sign, due to the pointwise convergence of the series, Proposition 1 and the Dominated Convergence theorem.

Let

$$(11) \quad A := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j(p) M_j.$$

By virtue of Proposition 1, Lemma 8 and the triangle inequality, it follows that the expression (11) is convergent in the operator norm of  $L_s$  and that  $A : L_s \rightarrow L_s$  is a bounded linear operator such that

$$\|A\|_{L_s \rightarrow L_s} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_j| \|M_j\|_{L_s \rightarrow L_s} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_j|.$$

Moreover,

$$Ae_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j M_j e_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j e_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j e_j(0) = \cos_p(\pi_p 0) = 1 = f_0$$

and

$$Ae_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j M_j e_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}_1(j) e_{nj} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}_n(k) e_k = f_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

These are the change of basis maps between  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ .

The operator  $A$  is an homeomorphism of  $L_s$  if and only if the family  $\{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Schauder basis of  $L_s$ , cf. [12] or [15]. Then we have the following criterion, which is a consequence of [13, Theorem IV-1.16],

$$(12) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=3 \\ j=2^1}}^{\infty} |b_j(p)| < |b_1(p)| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{ is a Schauder} \\ \text{basis of } L_s \text{ for all } s > 1. \end{cases}$$

We employ this criterion below in order to determine the basis thresholds for the family  $\{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  claimed in Theorem 1.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof is separated into two cases.

### 5.1 The case $1 < p < 2$

Recall the expression for  $c_p$  given in (6) and consider the identity

$$(13) \quad \pi_p^2 c_p = \frac{\pi^3}{\pi^2 - 8}.$$

**Lemma 9.** *There exists  $1 < p_0 < 2$  such that (13) holds true for  $p = p_0$ . Moreover,*

$$\pi_p^2 c_p < \frac{\pi^3}{\pi^2 - 8} \quad \forall p \in (p_0, 2).$$

*Proof.* It will be enough to prove that  $\pi_p^2 c_p$  is a convex function of the parameter  $p$  for all  $1 < p < 2$ . Indeed, since

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \pi_p^2 c_p = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow 2^-} \pi_p^2 c_p = \pi^2 < \frac{\pi^3}{\pi^2 - 8},$$

both statements will immediately follow from this property.

Firstly note that

$$\frac{d}{dp} \ln(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = \frac{1}{p^2} \ln(p-1) + \frac{1}{p}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{dp^2} \ln(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = \frac{2-p}{p^2(p-1)} - 2 \frac{\ln(p-1)}{p^3} > 0.$$

Then  $\ln(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$  is convex for  $1 < p < 2$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{d}{dp} \ln(2-p)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} = \frac{-2}{p^2} \ln(2-p) - \frac{1}{p}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{dp^2} \ln(2-p)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} = \frac{4-p}{p^2(2-p)} + 4 \frac{\ln(2-p)}{p^3} > 0.$$

Then, also  $\ln(2-p)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}$  is convex for  $1 < p < 2$ .

Furthermore,

$$\frac{d}{dp} [\ln \pi_p] = \frac{\pi \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{p^2} - \frac{1}{p}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{dp^2} \ln \pi_p = \frac{(p^2 + \pi^2)}{p^4} - \frac{2\pi}{p^3} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{p^4} \cot^2\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right) > 0.$$

The latter is a consequence of the fact that  $\cos \frac{\pi}{p} < 0$  and  $\sin \frac{\pi}{p} > 0$ . Hence, also  $\ln \pi_p^2$  is convex for  $1 < p < 2$ .

The convexity of the logarithm of each one of the multiplying terms in the expression for  $\pi_p^2 c_p$ , implies that  $\ln \pi_p^2 c_p$  is convex for  $1 < p < 2$ . This ensures that indeed  $\pi_p^2 c_p$  is convex in the same segment and the validity of the statement is ensured.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $1 < p_0 < 2$  be such that (13) holds true for  $p = p_0$ . The family  $\{\cos_p(n\pi\cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Schauder basis of  $L_s$  for all  $s > 1$  and  $p_0 \leq p \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 6,

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=3 \\ j \equiv 2^1}}^{\infty} |b_j(p)| < \frac{8\pi_p c_p}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{j=3 \\ j \equiv 2^1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} = \frac{\pi_p^2 c_p (\pi^2 - 8)}{\pi^2 \pi_p}.$$

On the other hand, in view of Lemma 5 and Lemma 2-a, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_1(p) &= \frac{\pi}{\pi_p} a_1 = \frac{4\pi}{\pi_p} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin_p(\pi_p x) \sin(\pi x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{4\pi}{\pi_p} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin(\pi x)^2 dx = \frac{\pi}{\pi_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, Lemma 9 yields

$$\sum_{\substack{j=3 \\ j \equiv 2^1}}^{\infty} |b_j(p)| < b_1(p)$$

for all  $p \in [p_0, 2)$ . By virtue of (12) the claimed conclusion follows.  $\square$

Since

$$\pi_{\frac{4}{3}}^2 c_{\frac{4}{3}} = \frac{\pi^2 3^{\frac{5}{4}} \sqrt{2}}{2} > \frac{\pi^3}{\pi^2 - 8}$$

and

$$\pi_{\frac{3}{2}}^2 c_{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{64\pi^2}{27\sqrt[3]{4}} < \frac{\pi^3}{\pi^2 - 8},$$

then  $\frac{4}{3} < p_0 < \frac{3}{2}$ . This settles the proof of Theorem 1 for  $1 < p < 2$ .

**Remark 1.** *An implementation of the Newton method gives  $p_0 \approx 1.458801$  as an approximated solution of (13) with all digits correct.*

## 5.2 Case $p > 2$

Recall the following identities involving the Riemann Zeta function [11, 3.411, 9.522 & 9.524],

$$(15) \quad \zeta(q) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{q-1}}{e^t - 1} dt \quad \operatorname{Re}(q) > 1,$$

$$(16) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq 2^0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^q} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^q}\right) \zeta(q)$$

and

$$(17) \quad \frac{\zeta'(q)}{\zeta(q)} = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(k)}{k^q}$$

where

$$\Delta(k) = \begin{cases} \ln(r) & \text{if } k = r^m \text{ for some } r \text{ prime and } m \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 10.** *Let*

$$t_0 = \frac{2(e^2 - 3e + 1)}{(e^2 - 2e - 1)}.$$

*Then*

$$(18) \quad \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) < \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( 2\sqrt{2} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{t_0^2}{4} - \frac{(t_0 - 1)^2}{2(e-1)^2} - \frac{t_0(e-2) + 1}{e-1} \right).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} 1!! = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$ , the representation (15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{1/2}}{e^t - 1} dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \int_0^1 + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{t^{1/2}}{e^t - 1} dt \right) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} (J_1 + J_2). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate separately upper bounds for  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ .

The change of variables  $t = u^2$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_0^1 \frac{t^{1/2}}{e^t - 1} dt < \int_0^1 \frac{t^{1/2}}{t + \frac{t^2}{2}} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{2u^2}{u^2 + \frac{u^4}{2}} du = 2\sqrt{2} \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we know that  $\zeta(2) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t}{e^t - 1} dt = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ , so

$$J_2 \leq \int_1^{\infty} \frac{t}{e^t - 1} dt = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \int_0^1 \frac{t}{e^t - 1} dt.$$

We find lower bound for the integral on the right hand side, by interpolating the curve  $c(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1}$  at two points,  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$ . Firstly observe that  $c(t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $c(t)$  is decreasing and  $c''(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $t_0$  be as in the hypothesis and let

$$\tilde{c}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{2}t & 0 \leq t \leq t_0 \\ \frac{1}{(e-1)^2}(1-t) + \frac{1}{e-1} & t_0 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$



be the piecewise linear interpolant of  $c(t)$  in the two segments  $[0, t_0]$  and  $[t_0, 1]$ , which is continuous at  $t_0$ . Note that  $\tilde{c}(t)$  and  $c(t)$  are tangent at  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$ . Then

$$c(t) \geq \tilde{c}(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 c(t) dt &\geq \int_0^{t_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}t\right) dt + \int_{t_0}^1 \left(\frac{1}{(e-1)^2}(1-t) + \frac{1}{e-1}\right) dt \\ &= -\frac{t_0^2}{4} + \frac{(t_0-1)^2}{2(e-1)^2} + \frac{t_0(e-2)+1}{e-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$J_2 \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{t_0^2}{4} - \frac{(t_0-1)^2}{2(e-1)^2} - \frac{t_0(e-2)+1}{e-1}.$$

Alongside with the upper bound above for  $J_1$ , this ensures the validity of the claimed statement.  $\square$

Now, consider the equation

$$(19) \quad \frac{2\pi_{p'}}{\pi^2(p-1)} \left[2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}(p-2)\right] \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{p'}}\right) \zeta(p') - 1\right] = \frac{8}{\pi\pi_p}.$$

**Lemma 11.** *There exists  $p_1 \in (\frac{11}{5}, 3)$  such that (19) holds true for  $p = p_1$ . Moreover,*

$$\frac{2\pi_{p'}}{\pi^2(p-1)} \left[2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}(p-2)\right] \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{p'}}\right) \zeta(p') - 1\right] < \frac{8}{\pi\pi_p} \quad \forall p \in [2, p_1).$$

*Proof.* From (4) it follows that the identity (19) reduces to

$$(20) \quad \frac{\pi}{p^2 \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})^2} \left(2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}(p-2)\right) \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{p'}}\right) \zeta(p') - 1\right] = 1.$$

Denote by  $h(p)$  the left hand side of (20). Then  $h : (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and

$$h(2) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{8} - 1\right) < 1.$$

Since

$$\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) > 1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} + \sqrt{3} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{4 + \sqrt{2}}{4} + \sqrt{3} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{5}{4}\right),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(3) &= \frac{\pi}{9 \sin(\frac{\pi}{3})^2} \left[2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}\right] \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - 1\right] \\ &> \frac{\pi}{9 \sin(\frac{\pi}{3})^2} \left[2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}\right] \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \left(\frac{4 + \sqrt{2}}{4} + \sqrt{3} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{5}{4}\right)\right) - 1\right] \\ &> 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists  $p_1 \in (2, 3)$  such that  $h(p_1) = 1$ .

The derivative

$$\frac{d}{dq} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^q}\right) \zeta(q) \right] = \frac{\ln(2)}{2^q} \zeta(q) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^q}\right) \zeta'(q)$$

is negative for any  $q \in (1, 2)$ . Indeed the identity (17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta'(q)}{\zeta(q)} &< -\frac{\ln(2)}{2^q} - \frac{\ln(3)}{3^q} - \frac{\ln(2)}{4^q} \\ &< -\ln(2) \left[ \frac{1}{2^q} + \frac{1}{3^q} + \frac{1}{4^q} \right] < \frac{\ln(2)}{1 - 2^q}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{d}{dq} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^q}\right) \zeta(q) \right] = \zeta(q) \left[ \frac{\ln(2)}{2^q} + \frac{2^q - 1}{2^q} \frac{\zeta'(q)}{\zeta(q)} \right] < 0.$$

Since  $p'$  and  $\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)$  are decreasing functions of  $p > 2$ , then

$$\frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)^2} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{p'}}\right) \zeta(p') - 1 \right]$$

is an increasing function of  $p > 2$ .

As

$$\frac{d}{dp} \left[ \frac{1}{p^2} \left(2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}(p - 2)\right) \right] = \frac{1}{p^3} (-\frac{\pi^2}{2}p + 2\pi^2 - 4) > 0 \quad \forall p \in [2, 3],$$

then  $h(p)$  is increasing for  $p \in [2, 3]$  and so indeed

$$h(p) < h(p_1) = 1 \quad \forall p \in [2, p_1).$$

Let us now show that  $p_1 > \frac{11}{5}$ . Let  $c_1$  denote the right hand side of the estimate (18) in Lemma 10. Since  $\zeta(q)$  is convex in the segment  $[\frac{3}{2}, 2]$ , then

$$\zeta(q) \leq \left( \frac{\pi^2}{3} - 2c_1 \right) (q - 2) + \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

That is, the straight line joining the points  $(\frac{3}{2}, c_1)$  and  $(2, \frac{\pi^2}{6})$  is above the curve  $\zeta(q)$  for all  $q \in [\frac{3}{2}, 2]$ . Then

$$(21) \quad \zeta\left(\frac{11}{6}\right) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{9} + \frac{c_1}{3}.$$

Note that for  $p = \frac{11}{5}$ ,  $p' = \frac{11}{6}$ . Now,  $\sin(\pi y)$  is concave for  $y \in [\frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Then it is above the straight line joining the points  $(\frac{5}{12}, \sin \frac{5\pi}{12})$  and  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ . That is

$$\sin(\pi y) \geq \left(12 - 12 \sin \frac{5\pi}{12}\right) \left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right) + 1 \quad \forall y \in \left[\frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{2}\right].$$

Then

$$(22) \quad \sin \frac{5\pi}{11} > \frac{\sqrt{6}}{22}(\sqrt{3} + 3) + \frac{5}{11}.$$

Denote by  $c_2$  the right hand side of the latter inequality. From (21) and (22), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\frac{11}{5}\right) &= \frac{\pi}{\left(\frac{11}{5}\right)^2 \sin\left(\frac{5\pi}{11}\right)^2} \left[2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \left(\frac{11}{5} - 2\right)\right] \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{11/6}}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{11}{6}\right) - 1\right] \\ &< \frac{\pi}{\frac{121}{25}c_2^2} \left(2 + \frac{\pi^2}{10}\right) \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{11/6}}\right) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{9} + \frac{c_1}{3}\right) - 1\right] < 1. \end{aligned}$$

As  $h(p)$  is increasing, then indeed  $p_1 > \frac{11}{5}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $p_1 > 2$  be such that (19) holds true for  $p = p_1$ . The family  $\{\cos_p(n\pi p')\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  forms a Schauder basis of  $L_s$  for all  $s > 1$  and  $2 \leq p \leq p_1$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 7 and (16), we have

$$(23) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=3 \\ j \equiv 21}}^{\infty} |b_j| < \frac{2\pi p'}{\pi^2(p-1)} \left[2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}(p-2)\right] \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{p'}}\right) \zeta(p') - 1\right].$$

According to part “b” of Lemma 1,  $\sin_p(\pi p x)$  is strictly concave on  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 2 \int_0^1 \sin_p(\pi p x) \sin(\pi x) dx = 4 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin_p(\pi p x) \sin(\pi x) dx \\ &> 4 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (2x) \sin(\pi x) dx = \frac{8}{\pi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of Lemma 5, we get

$$(24) \quad b_1 = \frac{\pi}{\pi_p} a_1 > \frac{8}{\pi \pi_p}.$$

From Lemma 11, it then follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{j=3 \\ j \equiv 21}}^{\infty} |b_j(p)| < b_1(p) \quad \forall p \in [2, p_1].$$

By virtue of (12) this implies the claimed conclusion.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** *An approximation of the solution of (19) via the Newton Method gives  $p_1 \approx 2.42865$  with all digits correct.*

## 6 Connections with other work

In this final section we describe various connections between the statements established above and those reported in the literature.

### The $p$ -exponential functions

Let

$$\exp_p(iy) = \cos_p(y) + i \sin_p(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By combining Theorem 1 with [2, Theorem 1] or [5, Theorem 4.5], it immediately follows that the family  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{\exp_p(in\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is a Schauder basis of the Banach space  $L_s(-1, 1)$  for all  $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ .

Indeed, recall that every  $f \in L^s(-1, 1)$  decomposes as  $f = f_e + f_o$  for

$$f_e(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad f_o(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2},$$

the even and odd parts of  $f$ , respectively. The family  $\{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  comprises only even functions, the family  $\{\sin_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  comprises only odd functions and they are Schauder bases of the corresponding subspaces of  $L_s(-1, 1)$  for  $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ . This implies that there exist two unique scalar sequences  $(\alpha_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$  and  $(\beta_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ , such that

$$f(\cdot) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \cos_p(k\pi_p \cdot) + i\beta_k \sin_p(k\pi_p \cdot)$$

in  $L_s(-1, 1)$ . In order to see this, one expands  $f_e$  in  $\{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $f_o$  in  $\{\sin_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , in the corresponding even and odd subspaces.

By letting  $c_0 = \alpha_0$ ,

$$c_k = \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{-k} = \frac{\alpha_k - \beta_k}{2} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

we get

$$f(\cdot) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \exp_p(ik\pi_p \cdot)$$

in  $L_s(-1, 1)$ . Since there is a 1:1 correspondence between the scalar sequences via

$$\alpha_k = c_k + c_{-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = c_k - c_{-k},$$

then in fact  $(c_k)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is unique for the given  $f$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  satisfies the definition of a Schauder basis for the Banach space  $L_s(-1, 1)$ .

## The regularity of the $p$ -sine functions

Let  $r > 0$  and denote by  $H^r \equiv H^r(0, 1)$  the (Hilbert) Sobolev space of order  $r$ . Let  $1 < p < 2$ . According to the formula [5, (4.4)], it follows that the Fourier coefficients of the  $p$ -sine function are such that

$$|a_j(p)| \leq \frac{16\pi_p^2 c_p}{\pi^3} j^{-3} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then,  $\sin_p(\pi_p \cdot) \in H^\rho$  for all  $\rho < \frac{5}{2}$ .

Numerical estimates for the Sobolev regularity of  $\sin_p(\pi_p \cdot)$  for  $2 < p < 100$  were reported in [3, Figure 2]. From that picture, one may conjecture that for  $p > 3$ ,  $\sin_p(\pi_p \cdot) \notin H^2$ . Moreover, the regularity appears to drop asymptotically to  $\frac{3}{2}$  for  $p$  large. By contrast, it appears that  $\sin_p(\pi_p \cdot) \in H^2$  for  $2 < p < 3$ . The following statement, which is a consequence of Lemma 7, settles this conjecture.

**Corollary 3.** *For  $p > 2$  set  $r(p) = p' + \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $\sin_p(\pi_p \cdot) \in H^\rho$  for all  $0 \leq \rho < r(p)$ .*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 5,

$$|a_j(p)| = \frac{\pi_p}{j\pi} |b_j(p)|.$$

Then, by virtue of Lemma 7,

$$|a_j(p)| \leq \frac{2\pi_p \pi_{p'}}{\pi^3(p-1)} \left[ 2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}(p-2) \right] j^{-(p'+1)} \quad \forall j \geq 3.$$

Let  $\langle j \rangle^2 = 1 + j^2$ . For  $\rho < p' + \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle j \rangle^{2\rho} |a_j(p)|^2 \leq 2^\rho a_1(p)^2 + c(p) \sum_{\substack{j=3 \\ j \equiv 2^1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{1+\epsilon(p)}} < \infty$$

where

$$c(p) = \frac{2\pi_p \pi_{p'}}{\pi^3(p-1)} \left[ 2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}(p-2) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(p) = 1 - 2\rho + 2p' > 0.$$

Hence  $\sin_p(\pi_p \cdot) \in H^\rho$  as claimed.  $\square$

The recent paper [8] includes various intriguing results connected to Corollary 3.

## The paper [7]

The recent paper [7] seems to be the only one in the existing literature which conducts an analysis of the basis properties of the  $p$ -cosine functions. In the notation of [7] we fix  $\alpha = 1$  and  $p = q > 1$ . The Fourier coefficients of the  $p$ -cosine functions are

$$\tau_j(p, p, 1) = b_j(p) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

The condition [7, (2.2)] as well as the criterion for determining whether  $\{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Schauder basis of  $L^s$  are exactly the same as (12). Let us compare some of the results of [7] with those of the present work.

In [7, Proposition 2.5], the estimate [7, (2.20)] is equivalent to the following. There exists  $p_0^* = \frac{72(\pi-2)-2\pi^3}{96(\pi-2)-3\pi^3}$ , such that

$$(25) \quad \tau_1(p, p, 1) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi(p-1)}{2p-1} - \frac{(\pi-2)(p-1)}{3p-2} & 1 < p < p_0^* \\ \frac{\pi(p-1)}{2p-1} - \frac{\pi^3(p-1)}{24(4p-3)} & p_0^* < p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here  $p_0^*$  satisfies the identity

$$\frac{4p-3}{3p-2} = \frac{\pi^3}{24(\pi-2)}.$$

Note that  $p_0^* \approx 1.22$ .

Let us consider firstly the regime  $1 < p < 2$ . From [7, Proposition 2.2] it follows that

$$(26) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tau_{2k+1}(p, p, 1)| \leq \frac{\pi_p(\pi^2 - 8)}{\pi^2} \quad \forall p \in (1, 2).$$

As  $c_p < 1$  whenever  $1 < p < 2$  in (6), then (14) is sharper than (26) in this regime.

If  $1 < p < p_0^*$ , then

$$\frac{\pi_p(\pi^2 - 8)}{\pi^2} > \frac{\pi(p-1)}{2p-1} - \frac{(\pi-2)(p-1)}{3p-2},$$

and no conclusion about the validity of (12) can be derived in this case from (25) and (26). For  $p_0^* < p < 2$ , on the other hand,

$$\frac{\pi_p(\pi^2 - 8)}{\pi^3} < \frac{p-1}{2p-1} - \frac{\pi^2(p-1)}{24(4p-3)} \iff p \in (p_0^\dagger, 2),$$

where  $p_0^\dagger \approx 1.75$ . In order to see this, note that  $\pi_p$  is decreasing and  $\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \pi_p = \infty$ , while the right hand side of this identity is increasing

for  $1 < p < 2$ . Thus, a combination of [7, Proposition 2.2] and [7, Proposition 2.5], only guarantees that  $\{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Schauder basis of  $L^s$  for  $p \in [p_0^\dagger, 2)$  where  $p_0^\dagger > \frac{3}{2} > p_0$ .

As it turns, it is not possible to deduce from the results of [7] any basis property of the family  $\{\cos_p(n\pi_p \cdot)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in the complementary regime  $p > 2$ . Here is how the different estimates on the Fourier coefficients compare in this case.

From [7, Proposition 2.4], we gather that

$$(27) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tau_{2k+1}(p, p, 1)| \leq \frac{2\pi_{p'}}{\pi^2(p-1)} [4 + \pi(p-1)] \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{p'}}\right) \zeta(p') - 1 \right].$$

Since

$$4 + \pi(p-1) \geq 2 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}(p-2) \quad \forall p \leq \frac{4 + 2\pi^2 - 2\pi}{\pi^2 - 2\pi},$$

the upper bound (23) is sharper than (27) for  $2 \leq p \leq 3$ . The latter is the relevant regime in the proof of Theorem 1.

Since  $\pi_p < \pi$  for  $p > 2$ , the lower bound (24) is sharper than [7, (2.19)]. Moreover,

$$\frac{8}{\pi\pi_p} > \frac{\pi(p-1)}{2p-1} - \frac{\pi^3(p-1)}{24(4p-3)} \quad \forall p > 2.$$

Hence the estimate (25), which is [7, (2.20)], is also superseded by (24) for  $p > 2$ .

## Acknowledgements

HM was supported by Heriot-Watt University under the Global Platform Scholarships Scheme.

## 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification

Primary: 42A16. Secondary: 42A65.

## Keywords

Generalised cosine functions, Schauder basis properties,  $p$ -Laplacian.

## References

- [1] A. BEURLING, *The collected works of Arne Beurling. Vol. 1*, Contemporary Mathematicians, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989. Complex analysis, Edited by L. Carleson, P. Malliavin, J. Neuberger and J. Wermer. Pages 378–380.

- [2] P. BINDING, L. BOULTON, J. ČEPIČKA, P. DRÁBEK, AND P. GIRG, *Basis properties of eigenfunctions of the  $p$ -Laplacian*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134 (2006), pp. 3487–3494.
- [3] L. BOULTON AND G. J. LORD, *Approximation properties of the  $q$ -sine bases*, Proc. R. Soc. A, 467 (2011), pp. 2690–2711.
- [4] ———, *Basis properties of the  $p, q$ -sine functions*, Proc. R. Soc. A, 471 (2015).
- [5] P. J. BUSHELL AND D. E. EDMUNDS, *Remarks on generalized trigonometric functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 42 (2012), pp. 25–57.
- [6] D. E. EDMUNDS, P. GURKA, AND J. LANG, *Properties of generalized trigonometric functions*, J. Approx. Theory, 164 (2012), pp. 47–56.
- [7] ———, *Basis properties of generalized trigonometric functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 420 (2014), pp. 1680–1692.
- [8] ———, *Decay of  $(p, q)$ -Fourier coefficients*, Proc. R. Soc. A, 470 (2014), pp. 1–9.
- [9] D. E. EDMUNDS AND J. LANG, *Eigenvalues Embeddings and Generalised Trigonometric Functions*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2011.
- [10] Á. ELBERT, *A half-linear second order differential equation*, in Qualitative theory of differential equations, Vol. I, II (Szeged, 1979), vol. 30 of Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981, pp. 153–180.
- [11] I. S. GRADSHTEYN AND I. M. RYZHIK, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, seventh ed., 2007.
- [12] J. R. HIGGINS, *Completeness and Basis Properties of Sets of Special Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977.
- [13] T. KATO, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [14] M. ÔTANI, *A remark on certain nonlinear elliptic equations*, Proc. Fac. Sci. Tokai Univ., 19 (1984), pp. 23–28.
- [15] I. SINGER, *Bases in Banach Spaces I*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1970.