

# A four component cubic peakon (4CH) equation

Ziemowit Popowicz

March 6, 2022

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław,  
Wrocław pl. M. Borna 9, 50-205 Wrocław Poland, ziemek@ift.uni.wroc.pl

## Abstract

Two different four component Camassa-Holm (4CH) systems with cubic nonlinearity are proposed. The Lax pair and Hamiltonian structure are defined for both (CH) systems. The first (4CH) system include as a special case the (3CH) system considered by Xia, Zhou and Qiao, while the second contains the two-component generalization of Novikov system considered by Geng and Xiu.

## 1 Introduction

Solitons and integrable models are very attractive objects in nonlinear sciences, originally found in experiments on shallow water propagations one and half centuries ago. In recent years, the Camassa-Holm (CH) [1] equation

$$m_t + 2mu_x + m_xu = 0, \quad m = u - u_{xx}, \quad (1)$$

was derived with the aid of an asymptotic approximation to the Hamiltonian for the Green-Naghdi equations [2] and has attracted much attention. The most interesting feature of the Camassa-Holm equation is the admittance of a peaked soliton, the so called peakon solution. A peakon is a weak solution in some Sobolev space with a corner at its crest. Subsequently there are a large number of papers where various properties of these equation are established. For example, it is shown that CH equation is integrable by the inverse scattering transformation, it possesses the Lax pair formalism, multi-soliton solutions and multi-peakon solutions [3 – 13].

In 1998, Degasperis and Procesi [14] proposed a different equation

$$m_t + 3u_xm + m_xu = 0, \quad m = u - u_{xx}, \quad (2)$$

which admits the peakon solution as well.

The solutions of the equation 2 are not mere abstractizations: the peakons replicate a feature that is characterizing for the waves of great height-waves of of largest amplitude that are exact solutions of the governing equations for irrotational water

waves [15, 16]. The Degaspersi-Procesi equation 2 is also considered as the horizontal component of the fluid velocity at the time in the spatial x-direction [17] with momentum density  $y$ , but evaluated at the different level line of the fluid domains.

Both the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equation are third order equations with quadratic nonlinearity. Olver and Rosenau in 1996 [18] proposed a general approach to construction of Camassa-Holm like equations with the peakon solution. In particular, the following equation appears

$$m_t = -[m(u^2 - u_x^2)]_x, \quad m = u - u_{xx} \quad (3)$$

and has been considered by Fokas [19, 20] and Qiao [21] referred as Fokas-Olver-Rosenau-Qiao's (FORQ) equation.

In 2008, Novikov [22], in his symmetry classification study, proposed several different generalizations of the Camassa-Holm equation to the peakon equations with cubic nonlinearity. One of them

$$m_t = -u^2 m_x - 3uu_x m, \quad (4)$$

referred to as the Novikov equation has been thoroughly considered by scientists from a different point of view.

The Fokas-Olver-Rosenau-Qiao and Novikov equations, referred to sometimes as the cubic CH equations, are integrable equations, which possess the Lax representation and bi-Hamiltonian structures [23, 24, 25]. For example, equation (4) arises as a zero curvature equation  $F_t - G_x + [F, G] = 0$  [25] where

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda m & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda m \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3\lambda^2} - u_x u & \frac{u_x}{\lambda} - \lambda u^2 m & u_x^2 \\ \frac{u}{\lambda} & -\frac{2}{3\lambda^2} & -\frac{u_x}{\lambda} - \lambda u^2 m \\ -u^2 & \frac{u}{\lambda} & \frac{1}{3\lambda^2} + uu_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

while equation (3) arises as a zero-curvature equation  $U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0$  where

$$U = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda m \\ \lambda m & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 - u_x^2) & -\lambda^{-1}(u - u_x) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda m(u^2 - u_x^2) \\ \lambda^{-1}(u + u_x) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda m(u^2 - u_x^2) & -\lambda^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}(u^2 - u_x^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Camassa-Holm and cubic CH equations have several different generalizations to the two-component case. In 2012 Xia, Qiao and Zhou [26, 27] generalized the Olver-Rosenau-Qiao equation (3) to the two-component case

$$\begin{aligned} m_t &= \left[ m(uv - u_x v_x) \right]_x - m(uv_x - u_x v) \\ n_t &= \left[ n(uv - u_x v_x) \right]_x + n(uv_x - u_x v) \\ m &= u - u_{xx}, \quad n = v - v_{xx} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and presented its Bi-hamiltonian structure and Lax representation. The spectral part of the Lax representation for equation (7) is

$$U = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda m \\ \lambda n & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

The Novikov equation (4) has been extended to the two-component case

$$m_{1,t} = u_1 u_2 m_{1,x} - 3u_2 u_{1,x} m_1, \quad m_{2,t} = -u_1 u_2 m_{2,x} - 3u_1 u_{2,x} m_2, \quad (9)$$

where  $m_i = u_i - u_{i,xx}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  by Geng and Xue [28]. This system has Bi-Hamiltonian formulations and possesses the Lax representation [29].

Further generalizations to the multicomponent cubic Camassa-Holm equation have been considered in the literature. For example, Qia [30] generalized the Lax representation (8) in which  $n, m$  are  $N$  dimensional vector function. On the other side Xia, Zhou and Qia [31] generalized the Lax representation (8) in which  $m = n$  is now a two dimensional matrix. They have shown that the system of equation obtained from this matrix Lax representation, referred to as 3CH system, is a Hamiltonian one and can be presented as

$$m_t = \frac{1}{2}[m(u^2 - u_x)]_x + \frac{1}{4}[m(uu_x - u_xu) - (uu_x - u_xu)m] \quad (10)$$

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} & u_{1,2} \\ u_{2,1} & -u_{1,1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad m = u - u_{xx}.$$

Moreover recently the Lax representation (5) has been generalized [32] to the four component case as

$$\Psi_x = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_3 \end{pmatrix}_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda m_1 & 1 \\ \lambda m_3 & 0 & \lambda m_2 \\ 1 & \lambda m_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$\Psi_t = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_3 \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} -g_1 f_1 & \frac{g_1}{\lambda} - \lambda m_1 \Gamma & -g_1 g_2 \\ \frac{f_1}{\lambda} - \lambda m_3 \Gamma & -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} + f_1 g_1 + g_2 f_2 & \frac{g_2}{\lambda} - \lambda m_2 \Gamma \\ -f_2 f_1 & \frac{f_2}{\lambda} - \lambda m_4 \Gamma & -f_2 g_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is an arbitrary function and  $f_1 = u_2 - u_{3,x}$ ,  $f_2 = u_1 + u_{4,x}$ ,  $g_1 = u_4 + u_{1,x}$ ,  $g_2 = u_3 - u_{2,x}$ ,  $m_i = u_i - u_{i,xx}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

The integrability condition  $\Psi_{x,t} = \Psi_{t,x}$  leads us to the system which generalizes the cubic Comassa-Holm type equation with an arbitrary function  $\Gamma$ . The freedom in the choice of the function  $\Gamma$  allows us to recover many known cubic (CH), as well as CH type equations. In the special reduced cases, when  $\Gamma$  is a bilinear form in  $u, u_x$ , these equations contain: the three-component system proposed by Geng and Xue [33] and two-component Novikov's equation 9. In this sense almost all known  $3 \otimes 3$  spectral problems for the CH type equations are contained for this.

In this paper, we would like to investigate the equations of motion and Hamiltonian structure obtained from the matrix Lax representation (11). By matrix representation we mean that in the Lax representation (11)  $m_i$  are now  $N$  dimensional matrices. We consider the case of two dimensional matrices for which as we will show, it is possible to obtain from the Lax representation two different four-component cubic peakon equations. We referred these equations as 4CH equations.

The first (4CH) system includes, as a special case, the (3CH) system considered by Xia, Zhou and Qiao [31], while the second contains the two-component generalizations of the Novikov system considered by Geng and Xue [28]. For these equations, we have constructed the Hamiltonian operators.

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section, we present the general form of the matrix cubic peakon system obtained from the matrix Lax representation (11). In the next sections, we consider the special reduced version, where we deal with the two-dimensional matrices  $m_i$ . In subsection *A*, we consider the case  $m_3 = m_2, m_4 = m_1$ , while, in subsection *B*, the case  $m_3 = m_4 = 0$ . For both cases, the Hamiltonian structure is constructed. The paper contains two appendices, in which we prove that our Hamiltonian operators satisfy the Jacobi identities. The last section contains concluding remarks.

## 2 Matrix peakon equation.

Let us consider the matrix version of the Lax representation equations (11), in which  $m_i = u_1 - u_{i,xx}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are  $N$  dimensional matrices,  $\Gamma$  is an arbitrary scalar function, not a matrix.

The integrability condition  $\Psi_{x,t} = \Psi_{t,x}$  forces the following equation of motion

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1,t} &= -(m_1\Gamma)_x + m_4\Gamma - m_1(g_2f_2 + f_1g_1) - g_1(g_2m_4 + f_1m_1), \\ m_{2,t} &= -(m_2\Gamma)_x - m_3\Gamma + m_2f_2g_2 + m_3g_1g_2 + (g_2f_2 + f_1g_1)m_2, \\ m_{3,t} &= -(m_3\Gamma)_x - m_2\Gamma + (f_1g_1 + g_2f_2)m_3 + (m_2f_2 + m_3g_1)f_1, \\ m_{4,t} &= -(m_4\Gamma)_x + m_1\Gamma - f_2(f_1m_1 + g_2m_4) - m_4(f_1g_1 + g_2f_2) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2$  are defined in the same manner as in the scalar case but now  $u_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are matrices.

Our equations (12) depend on four arbitrary  $N$  dimensional matrix functions  $m_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and, hence constitute the system of  $4N$  equations.

The Hamiltonian structure for our equations, if such exists, depends on these arbitrary functions as well. In the non-matrix case, we can assume a special reduction of the function  $m_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , fix the  $\Gamma$  function, and then find the Hamiltonian structure. In the next section, we will show that for the two-dimensional matrices it is also possible to assume special reduction of matrices  $m_i$ , fix  $\Gamma$  function, and finally find the Hamiltonian structure.

## 3 4CH equations.

In this section, we consider the case where  $m_i$  are two dimensional matrices only. In this situation, we have 16 arbitrary functions which parametrize the matrices  $m_i$ , and the equations (12) constitute 16 equations. It appear that, when we restrict the considerations to the four arbitrary functions, it is possible to fix the  $\Gamma$  function and find the Hamiltonian formulations for the system of equations (12).

### 3.1 First 4CH equation $m_3 = m_2, m_4 = m_1$ .

For this case our equation (12) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{1,t} &= -(m_1\Gamma)_x + m_1\Gamma + & (13) \\
&\quad 2m_1(u_{2,x} - u_2)(u_{1,x} + u_1) + 2(u_{1,x} + u_1)(u_{2,x} - u_2)m_1 \\
m_{2,t} &= -(m_2\Gamma)_x - m_2\Gamma + \\
&\quad 2m_2(u_{1,x} + u_1)(u_2 - u_{2,x}) + 2(u_2 - u_{2,x})(u_{1,x} + u_1)m_2
\end{aligned}$$

and constitute the system of 8 equations in which  $\Gamma$  is an arbitrary function.

Further, we assume that  $m_2 = m_1^*, u_2 = u_1^*$  where  $\star$  denotes the hermitean conjugation, and we parametrize our matrices  $u_i$  and  $m_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , as

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= u_{1,0} + iu_{1,1}\sigma_1 + iu_{1,2}\sigma_2 + iu_{1,3}\sigma_3, & (14) \\
u_2 &= u_{1,0} - iu_{1,1}\sigma_1 - iu_{1,2}\sigma_2 - iu_{1,3}\sigma_3 \\
m_k &= u_k - u_{k,x}, \quad m_{k,j} = u_{k,j} - u_{k,j,xx}, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_1$  are Pauli matrices.

From the assumption  $m_{2,t} = m_{1,t}^*$  it follows that  $\Gamma = 4 \sum_{k=0}^3 (u_{1,k}^2 - u_{1,k,x}^2)$ . Now, our equations (12) reduce to the system of four equations

$$m_{1,i,t} = -(\Gamma m_{1,i})_x + \sum_{k=0}^3 (u_{1,k,x}u_{1,i} - u_{1,k}u_{1,i,x})m_{1,k} \quad (15)$$

It is our first four component cubic 4CH system.

Apparently, this system reduces to the matrix peakon equation (10), when

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{1,0} = 0, \quad u_{1,1} = v_1, \quad u_{1,2} = \frac{v_2 + v_3}{2}, \quad u_{1,3} = -i\frac{v_2 - v_3}{2}, & (16) \\
m_{1,i} = v_i - v_{i,xx}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3
\end{aligned}$$

It can be further reduced to the Camassa-Holm equation (1), when  $v_3 = 0, v_1 = 0$ , or to the cubic CH equation, when  $v_3 = v_4 = 0$ .

In order to obtain the Hamiltonian formulation of the first cubic peakon 4CH system, let us introduce new a parametrization of  $u_{1,j}, m_{1,j}$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{1,0} &= \frac{1}{2}(v_1 + v_2), & u_{1,1} &= -\frac{i}{2}(v_1 - v_2) & (17) \\
u_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{2}(v_3 + v_4), & u_{1,3} &= -\frac{i}{2}(v_3 - v_4) \\
n_j = v_j - v_{j,xx}, \quad \Gamma &= 4(v_1v_2 + v_3v_4 - v_{1,x}v_{2,x} - v_{3,x}v_{4,x}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and our equation (15) transforms to

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{i,t} &= -(n_i\Gamma)_x + 4v_i(v_{1,x}n_2 + v_{2,x}n_1 + v_{3,x}n_4 + v_{4,x}n_3) - & (18) \\
&\quad 4v_{i,x}(v_1n_2 + v_2n_1 + v_3n_4 + v_4n_3).
\end{aligned}$$

These equations could be formulated as the Hamiltonian system,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx (v_1 n_2 + v_3 n_4), & \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_1 - \mathcal{L}_2 \\ \mathcal{L}_{1,i,j} &= -\partial n_i \partial^{-1} n_j \partial + \delta_{i,j} n_i \partial^{-1} n_j \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n_4 \partial^{-1} n_3 + n_3 \partial^{-1} n_4 + n_1 \partial^{-1} n_2 & -n_3 \partial^{-1} n_1 & -n_4 \partial^{-1} n_1 \\ n_4 \partial^{-1} n_3 + n_3 \partial^{-1} n_4 + n_2 \partial^{-1} n_1 & 0 & -n_3 \partial^{-1} n_2 & -n_4 \partial^{-1} n_2 \\ -n_1 \partial^{-1} n_3 & -n_2 \partial^{-1} n_3 & 0 & n_2 \partial^{-1} n_1 + n_1 \partial^{-1} n_2 + n_3 \partial^{-1} n_4 \\ -n_1 \partial^{-1} n_4 & -n_2 \partial^{-1} n_4 & n_2 \partial^{-1} n_1 + n_1 \partial^{-1} n_2 + n_4 \partial^{-1} n_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \\ n_4 \end{pmatrix}_t = 4\mathcal{L} \begin{pmatrix} H_{n_1} \\ H_{n_2} \\ H_{n_3} \\ H_{n_4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

where  $H_{n_i} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta n_i}$ , and

The proof that the Jacobi identity for the  $\mathcal{L}$  operator holds is delegated to appendix A.

### 3.2 Second 4CH equation $m_3 = m_4 = 0$ .

Similarly to the first cubic peakon 4CH system we assume that  $m_2 = m_1^*$  and parametrize the matrices  $u_i$  and  $m_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  as

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= r_1 + ir_2 \sigma_1 + ir_3 \sigma_2 + ir_4 \sigma_3, & u_2 &= r_1 - ir_2 \sigma_1 - ir_3 \sigma_2 - ir_4 \sigma_3 \\ m_1 &= p_1 + ip_2 \sigma_1 + ip_3 \sigma_2 + ip_4 \sigma_3, & m_2 &= p_1 - ip_2 \sigma_1 - ip_3 \sigma_2 - ip_4 \sigma_3 \\ m_i &= u_i - u_{i,xx}, \quad i = 1, 2, & p_j &= r_j - r_{j,xx}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The assumption  $m_{3,t} = m_{4,t} = 0$  forces that  $\Gamma = r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2 + r_4^2$ .

Because if this, the equations (12) become

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1,t} &= m_1(u_{2,x}u_1 - u_2u_{1,x}) - u_{1,x}u_2m_1 - (u_1u_2m_1)_x, \\ m_{2,t} &= (u_2u_{1,x} - u_{2,x}u_1)m_2 - m_2u_1u_{2,x} - (m_2u_1u_2)_x \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and it can be rewritten as

$$p_{i,t} = -(p_i \Gamma)_x - \frac{1}{2} p_i \Gamma_x - \sum_{j,k,s=1}^4 \epsilon_{i,j,k,s} p_k r_j r_{s,x} + 3 \sum_{k=1}^4 p_k (r_i r_{k,x} - r_{i,x} r_k), \quad (23)$$

where  $\epsilon_{i,j,k,l}$  is the antisymmetric tensor such that  $\epsilon_{1,2,3,4} = 1$ .

This is our second four component cubic 4CH system.

The system of equation (23) allows for further reduction. For example, in the case when  $p_3 = p_4 = r_3 = r_4 = 0$  and assuming that  $p_1 = a_1 + a_2$ ,  $p_2 = i(a_1 - a_2)$  the system (23) reduces to the two-component Novikov equation considered by Geng, Xiu, Li and Liu [28, 29].

The system of equations (23) is a hamiltonian system,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \\ p_4 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{L} \begin{pmatrix} H_{p_1} \\ H_{p_2} \\ H_{p_3} \\ H_{p_4} \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{j,k} &= (3p_j\partial + 2p_{j,x})(\partial_{xxx} - 4\partial)^{-1}(3p_k\partial + p_{k,x}) \\ &+ \sum_{s,n=1}^4 \epsilon_{j,k,s,n} p_s \partial^{-1} p_n - 3\delta_{j,k} \sum_{s=1}^4 p_s \partial^{-1} p_s + 3p_k \partial^{-1} p_j \\ H &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx (p_1 r_1 + p_2 r_2 + p_3 r_3 + p_4 r_4). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The proof that the operator satisfies the Jacobi identity is described in appendix B.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper we studied two different four component Camassa-Holm (4CH) systems with cubic nonlinearity. The Lax pairs and Hamiltonian structure have been proposed for these two different systems. The first (4CH) system include as a special case the (3CH) system considered by Xia, Zhou and Qiao while the second contains the two-component generalizations of Novikov system considered by Geng and Xiu. Our Lax pair is a matrix generalization of the Lax pair for the four component Camassa-Holm type hierarchy considered in [32].

Our matrix Lax representation produces a huge number of different cubic CH type equations and it will be interesting to investigate these further, especially to study the existence of infinitely many conservation laws.

## 5 Appendix A

We use traditional manner to verify the Jacobi identity [34]. In order to prove that the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ , defined in (20), satisfies the Jacobi identity, we utilize the standard form of the Jacobi identity

$$Jacobi = \int dx A \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}(B)}^* C + cyclic(A, B, C) = 0, \quad (26)$$

where  $A, B$  and  $C$  are the test vector functions for example  $A = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$  while  $\star$  denotes the Gato derivative along the vector  $\mathcal{L}(B)$ . We check this identity utilizing

the computer algebra Reduce and package Susy2 [35]. We will, briefly explain our procedures used during the verification of the Jacobi identity (26).

In the first stage, we remove the derivatives from the test functions  $a_{i,x}$ ,  $b_{i,x}$  and  $c_{i,x}$  in the Jacobi identity, using the rule

$$f_x = \overleftarrow{\partial} f - f \overrightarrow{\partial} \quad (27)$$

where  $f$  is an arbitrary function.

Because of this, the Jacobi identity can be split into three segments. The first and second segments contain terms in which the integral operator  $\partial^{-1}$  appears twice and once respectively. The last segment does not contain any integral operators. We consider each segment separately.

The first segment is a combination of the following expressions:

$$\int dx n_c \partial^{-1} n_a \partial^{-1} n_b + \int dx \tilde{n}_a \partial^{-1} \tilde{n}_c \partial^{-1} \tilde{n}_b + \text{cyclic}(a, b, c)$$

where  $n_a$  denotes  $n_j a_i$  or  $n_{j,x} a_i$  or  $n_{j,xx} a_i$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and similarly for  $n_b, n_c, \tilde{n}_a, \tilde{n}_b, \tilde{n}_c$ .

Here  $\partial^{-1}$  is an integral operator, and, therefore, each ingredient could be rewritten as, for example,

$$\int dx n_c \partial^{-1} n_a \partial^{-1} n_b = - \int dx n_a (\partial^{-1} n_c) (\partial^{-1} n_b), \quad (28)$$

Now, we replace  $n_a$  in the last formula by

$$n_a = \overleftarrow{\partial} (\partial^{-1} n_a) - (\partial^{-1} n_a) \overrightarrow{\partial}.$$

Hence, the expression (28) transforms to

$$\int dx n_c \partial^{-1} n_a \partial^{-1} n_b = \int dx n_c (\partial^{-1} n_a) (\partial^{-1} n_b) + \int dx n_b (\partial^{-1} n_a) (\partial^{-1} n_c)$$

Now repeating this procedure for  $n_a$  and  $\tilde{n}_a$  in the first segment, it appears that this segment reduces to zero.

The second segment is constructed from the combinations of the following terms:

$$\int dx \Lambda_a \Lambda_c \partial^{-1} \Lambda_b + \int dx \tilde{\Lambda}_b \partial^{-1} \tilde{\Lambda}_a \tilde{\Lambda}_c + \text{cyclic}(a, b, c).$$

where  $\Lambda_a$  takes values in  $\{n_j a_i, n_{j,x} a_i, n_{j,xx} a_i, n_j a_{i,x}, n_j a_{i,xx}\}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . In a similar manner the  $\Lambda_b, \Lambda_c, \tilde{\Lambda}_a, \tilde{\Lambda}_b, \tilde{\Lambda}_c$  are defined. These terms are rewritten as

$$\int dx \Lambda_a \Lambda_c (\partial^{-1} \Lambda_b) - \int dx \tilde{\Lambda}_a \tilde{\Lambda}_c (\partial^{-1} \tilde{\Lambda}_b) + \text{cyclic}(a, b, c).$$

Next, using rule (27), we replace once more the derivatives in  $a_{k,x}$  and  $b_{k,x}$  in the second segment. After this replacement, it appears that the second segment contains no term with an the integral operator. Therefore, we add this segment to the third segment.

Now, it is easy to check that this last segment vanishes. Indeed, it is enough to use the rule (27) in order to remove the derivatives from  $a_{k,x}$  in the last segment.

This finishes the proof.

## 6 Appendix B

We use the idea of the decompression of the Hamiltonian operator [36] in order to prove that the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defined in (25) satisfies the Jacobi identity. To this end, let us consider a new operator  $\Lambda$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} -(\partial^3 - 4v\partial - 2v_x), & 3p_1\partial + p_{1,x}, & 3p_2\partial + p_{2,x}, & 3p_3\partial + p_{3,x}, & 3p_4\partial + p_{4,x} \\ 3p_1\partial + 2p_{1,x}, & & & & \\ 3p_2\partial + 2p_{2,x}, & & \Theta & & \\ 3p_3\partial + 2p_{3,x}, & & & & \\ 3p_4\partial + 2p_{4,x}, & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Theta$  is the matrix with the entries

$$\Theta_{j,k} = \sum_{s,n=1}^4 \epsilon_{j,k,s,n} p_s \partial^{-1} p_n - 3\delta_{j,k} \sum_{s=1}^4 p_s \partial^{-1} p_s + 3p_k \partial^{-1} p_j$$

As we see, the Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{L}$ , defined in (25), is a Dirac reduced version of the  $\Lambda$  operator when  $v = 1$ . From this, it is enough to prove that the operator  $\Lambda$  satisfies the Jacobi identity. We verified the Jacobi identity using the same procedure as in appendix A.

## References

- [1] R. Camassa and D. D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons, **Phys. Rev. Lett.** **71** (1993) 1661-1664.
- [2] A.E. Green and P.M. Naghdi A derivation of equations for wave propagation in water of variable depth , **J. Fluid Mech** **787** (1976) **237-246**
- [3] R. Camassa, D. D. Holm and J. M. Hyman, A new integrable shallow water equation, **Adv. Appl. Mech.** **31** (1994) 1-33.
- [4] P. J. Olver and P. Rosenau, Tri-Hamiltonian duality between solitons and solitary-waves solutions having compact support, **Phys. Rev. E** **53** (1996) 1900-1906.
- [5] M. Chen, S-Q Liu, Y. Zhang A two-component generalization of the Camassa-Holm equation and its solutions, **Lett. Math. Phys.** **75** (2006) 1-15.
- [6] H. Aratyn, J.F.Gomes, A.H. Zimerman On negative flows of the AKNS hierarchy and a class of deformations of a bihamiltonina structure of hydrodynamical type **J. Phys. A** **39** (2006) 1099-1114.
- [7] D. Holm, R. Ivanov Multi-component generalizations of the CH equation: Geometrical Aspects, Peakons and Numerical Examples , **J. Phys. A:Math.Theor.** **43** (2010)no. 49 492001.
- [8] Z. Popowicz A 2 - Component or N=2 Supersymmetric Camassa - Holm Equation **Phys. Lett. A** **354**, (2006), 110 - 114.

- [9] J.P.Boyd Peakons and coshoidal waves: travelling wave solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation **Appl.Math.Comput.** **81** (1997) 173-187
- [10] A. Constantin On the scattering problem for the Camassa-Holm equation **Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser A** **457** (2001) 953-970
- [11] F. Cooper and H. Shepard Solutions in the Camassa-Holm shallow water equations **Phys.Lett A** **194** 246-250
- [12] R.S. Johnson On solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation **Proc. R. Soc. Lond Ser A** **459** (2003) 1687-1708
- [13] Y. Matsuno Parametric representation for the multisolitons solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation **J. Phys.Soc.Japan** **74** (2005) 1983 - 1987
- [14] A. Degasperis and M. Procesi, Asymptotic integrability Symmetry and Perturbation Theory ed A. Degasperis and G. Gaeta (Singapore: World Scientific) (1999), pp 23-37.
- [15] A. Constantin The trajectories of particles in Stokes waves, **Invent. Math.** **1666** (2006),523-535
- [16] J.F. Toland, Stokes waves **Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.** **7** (1996), 165-186
- [17] A. Constantin and D. Lannes The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations **Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.** **192** (2009) 165-186
- [18] P. J. Olver and P. Rosenau, Tri-Hamiltonian duality between solitons and solitary-waves solutions having compact support, **Phys. Rev. E** 53 (1996) 1900-1906.
- [19] A.S. Fokas On a class of physically important integrable equations. **Physics D: Nonlinear Phenomena** vol. 87,Issue 1-4 ,1995 ,145
- [20] A. Himonas, D. Mahntzavinos The Cauchy problem for the Fokas-Olver-Rosenau-Qiao equation **Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications** vol 95, 2014 499.
- [21] Z. J. Qiao, A new integrable equation with cuspons and W/M-shape-peaks solitons,**J. Math. Phys.** **47** 112701.
- [22] V. S. Novikov, Generalisations of the Camassa-Holm equation, **J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.** **42** (2009) 342002.
- [23] A. Degasperis, A. N. W. Hone and D. D. Holm, A new integrable equation with peakon solutions, **Theor. Math. Phys.** **133** (2002) 1463-74.
- [24] A. N. W. Hone and J. P. Wang, Prolongation algebras and Hamiltonian operators for peakon equations, **Inverse Problems** **19** (2003) 129-145.

- [25] A. N. W. Hone and J. P. Wang, Integrable peakon equations with cubic nonlinearity, **J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.** **41** (2008) 372002.
- [26] B. Xia and Z. Qiao A new two-component integrable system with peakon solutions. **Proc. R. Soc. A** **2015**, **471** **20140750**.
- [27] B. Xia, Z. Qiao, R. Zhou A synthetical two-component model with peakon solutions **Studies in Applied Mathematics** vol. 135 issue 3 p. 248 (2015).
- [28] X. G. Geng and B. Xue, An extension of integrable peakon equations with cubic nonlinearity, **Nonlinearity** **22** (2009) 1847-1856.
- [29] N. Li , Q. P. Liu, On Bi-Hamiltonian Structure of a Two-Component Novikov Equation **Phys. Lett A** **377** (2013) 257-261.
- [30] B. Xia and Z. Qiao Multi-component generalization of Camassa-Holm equation. arXiv1310.0268
- [31] B. Xia, R. Zhou and Z. Qiao, A three-component Camassa-Holm system with cubic nonlinearity and peakons **J. Nonlinear Math. Phys** vol. 22 issue 1 p. 155 (2015).
- [32] N. Li, Q. P. Liu, Z. Popowicz A four-component Camassa-Holm type hierarchy **Journal of Geometry and Physics.** 85 (2014) 29-39.
- [33] X. G. Geng and B. Xue, A three-component generalization of Camassa-Holm equation with N-peakon solutions, **Adv. Math.** **226** (2011) 827-839.
- [34] M. Błaszak “Multi-Hamiltonian Theory of Dynamical Systems” **Springer-Verlag** 1998.
- [35] Z. Popowicz, SUSY 2, **Computer Physics Communications** 100, 277-296 (1997).
- [36] Z. Popowicz A two - Component Generalization of the Degasperis-Procesi Equation **J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen** 39, 13717-13726 (2006).