

# On $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence classes of some graphs

Somayeh Jahari

Saeid Alikhani \*

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, 89195-741, Yazd, Iran  
alikhani@yazd.ac.ir

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a simple graph of order  $n$ . The domination polynomial of  $G$  is the polynomial  $D(G, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n d(G, i)x^i$ , where  $d(G, i)$  is the number of dominating sets of  $G$  of size  $i$ . The  $n$ -barbell graph  $Bar_n$  with  $2n$  vertices, is formed by joining two copies of a complete graph  $K_n$  by a single edge. We prove that for every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $Bar_n$  is not  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique, that is, there is another non-isomorphic graph with the same domination polynomial. More precisely, we show that for every  $n$ , the  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence class of barbell graph,  $[Bar_n]$ , contains many graphs, which one of them is the complement of book graph of order  $n - 1$ ,  $B_{n-1}^c$ . Also we present many families of graphs in  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence class of  $K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_k}$ .

**Keywords:** Domination polynomial;  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique; Equivalence; Generalize barbell graphs.

**AMS Subj. Class.:** 05C60, 05C69

## 1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are simple of finite orders, i.e., graphs are undirected with no loops or parallel edges and with finite number of vertices. The *complement*  $G^c$  of a graph  $G$ , is a graph with the same vertex set as  $G$  and with the property that two vertices are adjacent in  $G^c$  if and only if they are not adjacent in  $G$ . For any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the *open neighborhood* of  $v$  is the set  $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) | uv \in E(G)\}$  and the *closed neighborhood* of  $v$  is the set  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . For a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , the open neighborhood of  $S$  is  $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$  and the closed neighborhood of  $S$  is  $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$ . A set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a *dominating set* if  $N[S] = V$ , or equivalently, every vertex in  $V(G) \setminus S$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $S$ . The *domination number*  $\gamma(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in  $G$ . For a detailed treatment of domination theory, the reader is referred to [10].

Let  $\mathcal{D}(G, i)$  be the family of dominating sets of a graph  $G$  with cardinality  $i$  and let  $d(G, i) = |\mathcal{D}(G, i)|$ . The *domination polynomial*  $D(G, x)$  of  $G$  is defined as  $D(G, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma(G)}^{|V(G)|} d(G, i)x^i$  (see [2, 6, 11]). This polynomial is the generating polynomial for the number of dominating sets of each cardinality.

---

\*Corresponding author

Calculating the domination polynomial of a graph  $G$  is difficult in general, as the smallest power of a non-zero term is the domination number  $\gamma(G)$  of the graph, and determining whether  $\gamma(G) \leq k$  is known to be NP-complete [9]. But for certain classes of graphs, we can find a closed form expression for the domination polynomial. Two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  are said to be *dominating equivalent*, or simply  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalent, written  $G \sim H$ , if  $D(G, x) = D(H, x)$ . It is evident that the relation  $\sim$  of being  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence is an equivalence relation on the family  $\mathcal{G}$  of graphs, and thus  $\mathcal{G}$  is partitioned into equivalence classes, called the  *$\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence classes*. Given  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , let

$$[G] = \{H \in \mathcal{G} : H \sim G\}.$$

We call  $[G]$  the equivalence class determined by  $G$ . A graph  $G$  is said to be dominating unique, or simply  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique, if  $[G] = \{G\}$  [3]. Determining  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence class of graphs is one of the interesting problems on equivalence classes.

A question of recent interest concerning this equivalence relation  $[\cdot]$  asks which graphs are determined by their domination polynomial. It is known that cycles [2] and cubic graphs of order 10 [7] (particularly, the Petersen graph) are, while if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , the paths of order  $n$  are not [2]. In [8], authors completely described the complete  $r$ -partite graphs which are  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique. Their results in the bipartite case, settles in the affirmative a conjecture in [1].

Let  $n$  be any positive integer and  $Bar_n$  be  $n$ -barbell graph with  $2n$  vertices which is formed by joining two copies of a complete graph  $K_n$  by a single edge. In this paper, we consider  $n$ -barbell graphs and study their domination polynomials. We prove that for every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $Bar_n$  is not  $\mathcal{D}$ -unique. More precisely, in Section 2, we show that for every  $n$ ,  $[Bar_n]$  contains many graphs, which one of them is  $2K_n$  and another one is the complement of book graph of order  $n - 1$ ,  $B_{n-1}^c$ . In Section 3, we present many graphs in  $[K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_k}]$ .

## 2 $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence classes of some graphs

In this section, we study the  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence classes of some graphs. First we consider the domination polynomial of the complement of book graph.

The  $n$ -book graph  $B_n$  can be constructed by bonding  $n$  copies of the cycle graph  $C_4$  along a common edge  $\{u, v\}$ , see Figure 1.




Figure 1: The book graph  $B_n$ .

The following theorem gives a formula for the domination polynomial of  $B_n$ .

**Theorem 2.1** [5] *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$D(B_n, x) = (x^2 + 2x)^n(2x + 1) + x^2(x + 1)^{2n} - 2x^n.$$

Domination polynomials, exploring the nature and location of roots of domination polynomials of book graphs has studied in [5]. Here, we consider the domination polynomial of the complement of the book graphs. We shall prove that the  $n$ -barbell graph  $Bar_n$  and  $B_{n-1}^c$  have the same domination polynomial.

The Turán graph  $T(n, r)$  is a complete multipartite graph formed by partitioning a set of  $n$  vertices into  $r$  subsets, with sizes as equal as possible, and connecting two vertices by an edge whenever they belong to different subsets. The graph will have  $(n \bmod r)$  subsets of size  $\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil$ , and  $r - (n \bmod r)$  subsets of size  $\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$ . That is, a complete  $r$ -partite graph

$$K_{\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil, \lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor}.$$

The Turán graph  $T(2n, n)$  can be formed by removing a perfect matching,  $n$  edges no two of which are adjacent, from a complete graph  $K_{2n}$ . As Roberts (1969) showed, this graph has boxicity exactly  $n$ ; it is sometimes known as the Robert's graph [13]. If  $n$  couples go to a party, and each person shakes hands with every person except his or her partner, then this graph describes the set of handshakes that take place; for this reason it is also called the cocktail party graph. So, the cocktail party graph  $CP(t)$  of order  $2t$  is the graph with vertices  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2t}$  in which each pair of distinct vertices form an edge with the exception of the pairs  $\{b_1, b_2\}, \{b_3, b_4\}, \dots, \{b_{2t-1}, b_{2t}\}$ . The following result is easy to obtain.

**Lemma 2.2** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D(CP(n), x) = (1 + x)^{2n} - 2nx - 1$ .*

Figure 2 shows the complement of the book graph  $B_n^c$ .

The vertex contraction  $G/u$  of a graph  $G$  by a vertex  $u$  is the operation under which all vertices in  $N(u)$  are joined to each other and then  $u$  is deleted (see[14]).

The following theorem is useful for finding the recurrence relations for the domination polynomials of arbitrary graphs.

**Theorem 2.3** [4, 12] *Let  $G$  be a graph. For any vertex  $u$  in  $G$  we have*

$$D(G, x) = xD(G/u, x) + D(G - u, x) + xD(G - N[u], x) - (1 + x)p_u(G, x),$$

where  $p_u(G, x)$  is the polynomial counting the dominating sets of  $G - u$  which do not contain any vertex of  $N(u)$  in  $G$ .

The following theorem gives a formula for the domination polynomial of the complement of the book graph.

**Theorem 2.4** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$D(B_n^c, x) = ((1 + x)^{n+1} - 1)^2.$$




Figure 2: Complement of the book graph  $B_n^c$ .

**Proof.** Consider graph  $B_n^c$  and vertex  $v$  in the Figure 2. By Theorem 2.3, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
D(B_n^c, x) &= xD(B_n^c/v, x) + D(B_n^c - v, x) + xD(B_n^c - N[v], x) - (1+x)p_v(B_n^c, x) \\
&= (x+1)D(B_n^c - v, x) + xD(K_{n+1}, x) - (1+x)(D(K_{n+1}, x) - (n+1)x - nx^2) \\
&= (x+1)D(B_n^c - v, x) - D(K_{n+1}, x) + x(1+x)(1+n(1+x)) \\
&= (x+1)D(B_n^c - v, x) - ((1+x)^{n+1} - 1) + x(1+x)(1+n(1+x)),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $(B_n^c/v) \simeq B_n^c - v$ .

Now, we use Theorem 2.3 to obtain the domination polynomial of the graph  $B_n^c - v$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
D(B_n^c - v, x) &= xD(B_n^c - v/u, x) + D((B_n^c - v) - u, x) \\
&\quad + xD((B_n^c - v) - N[u], x) - (1+x)p_u(B_n^c - v, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $(B_n^c - v/u) \simeq (B_n^c - v) - u \simeq CP(n)$  and using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D(B_n^c - v, x) &= (x+1)D(CP(n), x) + x(D(K_n, x)) - (1+x)(D(K_n, x) - nx) \\
&= (x+1)D(CP(n), x) - D(K_n, x) + nx(1+x) \\
&= (x+1)((1+x)^{2n} - (1+2nx)) - ((1+x)^n - 1) + nx(1+x) \\
&= (1+x)^n((1+x)^{n+1} - 1) - nx(1+x) - x.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
D(B_n^c, x) &= (x+1)((1+x)^n((1+x)^{n+1}-1) - nx(1+x) - x) \\
&\quad - ((1+x)^{n+1}-1) + x(1+x)(1+n(1+x)) \\
&= ((1+x)^{n+1}-1)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The  $n$ -barbell graph is the graph on  $2n$  vertices which is formed by joining two copies of a complete graph  $K_n$  by a single edge, known as a bridge, shown in Figure 3. We denote this graph by  $Bar_n$ . For this graph, we shall calculate this domination polynomial. We need the following definition and theorems.




Figure 3: The barbell graph of order 16,  $Bar_8$ .

An irrelevant edge is an edge  $e \in E(G)$ , such that  $D(G, x) = D(G - e, x)$ , and a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is domination-covered, if every dominating set of  $G - v$  includes at least one vertex adjacent to  $v$  in  $G$  [12]. We need the following theorems to obtain the domination polynomial of barbell graph  $Bar_n$ .

**Theorem 2.5** [12] *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph. A vertex  $v \in V$  of  $G$  is domination-covered if and only if there is a vertex  $u \in N[v]$  such that  $N[u] \subseteq N[v]$ .*

**Theorem 2.6** [12] *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph. An edge  $e = \{u, v\} \in E$  is an irrelevant edge in  $G$ , if and only if  $u$  and  $v$  are domination-covered in  $G - e$ .*

**Theorem 2.7** *For every  $n \geq 2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$D(Bar_n, x) = ((1+x)^n - 1)^2.$$

**Proof.** Let  $e$  be an edge joining two  $K_n$  in barbell graph. By Theorem 2.5 two end vertices of edge  $e$  are domination-covered in  $Bar_n - e$ . So, by Theorem 2.6 the edge  $e$  is an irrelevant edge of  $Bar_n$ . Therefore

$$D(Bar_n, x) = D(Bar_n - e, x) = D(K_n \cup K_n, x) = ((1+x)^n - 1)^2.$$

□

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorems 2.4 and 2.7.

**Corollary 2.8** For each natural number  $n$ ,  $Bar_n$  and  $B_{n-1}^c$  have the same domination polynomial. More precisely, for every  $n$ ,  $[Bar_n] \supseteq \{Bar_n, B_{n-1}^c, K_n \cup K_n\}$ , and  $[B_{n-1}^c] \supseteq \{Bar_n, B_{n-1}^c, K_n \cup K_n\}$ .

Here, we present some other families of graphs whose are in the  $[Bar_n]$ . Let to define the generalized barbell graphs. As we know, the  $Bar_n$  is formed by joining two copies of a complete graph  $K_n$  by a single edge. We like to join two copies with more edges as follows:

**Definition 2.9** Suppose that  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  are the vertices of two copies of complete graph of order  $n$ ,  $K_n$  and  $K_n$ . The generalized barbell graph is denoted by  $Bar_{n,t}$  and is a graph with  $V(Bar_{n,t}) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and

$$E(Bar_{n,t}) = E(K_n) \cup E(K_n) \cup \{u_i v_j | 1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n-1\},$$

where  $|\{u_i v_j | 1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}| = t$ .

As examples see two non-isomorphic graphs  $Bar_{3,2}$  in Figure 4. Notice that  $B_{n-1}^c$  is one of the specific case of  $B_{n,(n-1)(n-2)}$ . The left graph in Figure 4, is  $B_2^c$ .




Figure 4: Two generalized barbell graphs  $Bar_{3,2}$ .

We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.10** For every  $n \geq 3$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$D(Bar_{n,t}, x) = ((1+x)^n - 1)^2.$$

**Proof.** We prove this Theorem by induction on  $t$ . Suppose that  $t = 1$ , Then by Theorem 2.7, the result holds. Assume that the result holds for  $t = (n-1)^2 - 1$ . Let  $t = (n-1)^2$  and  $e$  be the additional edge of  $Bar_{n,t}$  to the  $Bar_{n,t-1}$ . By Theorem 2.5 two end vertices of edge  $e$  are domination-covered in  $Bar_n - e$ . So, by Theorem 2.6 the edge  $e$  is an irrelevant edge of  $Bar_{n,t-1}$ . Therefore by the induction hypothesis we have the result.  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorems 2.7 and 2.10.

**Corollary 2.11** For each natural number  $n$  and  $t \leq (n-1)^2$ ,  $Bar_n$  and  $Bar_{n,t}$  have the same domination polynomial.

The following example shows that, except for the generalized barbell graphs, there are other graphs in  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence classes of  $Bar_n$ .

**Example 2.12** *All connected graphs in  $[Bar_3]$  are the graphs  $Bar_3$ ,  $Bar_{3,2}$ ,  $Bar_{3,3}$ ,  $Bar_{3,4}$  and two graphs in Figure 5.*




Figure 5: Two graphs in  $[Bar_3]$ .

### 3 Some graphs in $[K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_k}]$

We observed that, for each natural number  $n$  and  $t \leq (n-1)^2$ , the domination polynomials of  $Bar_n$  and  $Bar_{n,t}$  is  $((1+x)^n - 1)^2$ . In this section, we present graphs whose domination polynomials are  $\prod_{i=1}^k ((1+x)^{n_i} - 1)$ . For this purpose, we construct families of graphs from a path  $P_k$  which we denote them by  $S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$  in the following definition.

**Definition 3.1** *The graph  $S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$  is a graph which obtain from a path  $P_k$  with the vertices  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ , by substituting a graph  $G_i$  of order  $n_i \geq 3$ , for every vertex  $v_i$  of  $P_k$ , such that*

- for  $i = 1, k$ , the graphs  $G_i$  have at least one vertex of degree  $n_i - 1$  and other  $G_i$ 's have at least two vertices of degree  $n_i - 1$ , and
- in the graph  $S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$ , the end vertices of each edge  $e_i$  in the path graph,  $P_k$  are one vertex of degree  $n_i - 1$  in graphs  $G_{i-1}$  and  $G_i$ .

We have the following result for graph  $S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *For every natural number  $k \geq 2$ ,*

$$D(S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k), x) = D(G_1, x)D(G_2, x) \dots D(G_k, x).$$

*In particular if  $G_i = K_{n_i}$  and  $n_i \geq 3$ , then*

$$D(S(K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, \dots, K_{n_k}), x) = \prod_{i=1}^k D(K_{n_i}, x) = \prod_{i=1}^k ((1+x)^{n_i} - 1).$$

**Proof.** Let  $e_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) be the edge joining  $G_{i-1}$  and  $G_i$  in  $S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$ . By Theorem 2.5 two end vertices of edge  $e_i$  are domination-covered in  $S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k) - e_i$ . So, by Theorem 2.6 every edge  $e_i$  is an irrelevant edge of  $S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$ . Therefore we have the result.  $\square$

We shall generalize the graphs  $S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$  in Definition 3.1 such that this generalized graphs and  $S(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$  have the same domination polynomial. Suppose that  $GS_t(K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, \dots, K_{n_k})$  be a family of graphs in the form of  $S(K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, \dots, K_{n_k})$  such that the complete graphs  $K_{n_i}$  with  $V(K_{n_i}) = \{u_1, \dots, u_{n_i}\}$  and  $K_{n_{i+1}}$  with  $V(K_{n_{i+1}}) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n_{i+1}}\}$  are joined with  $t$  following edges

$$\{u_i v_j | 1 \leq i \leq n_i - 1, 1 \leq j \leq n_{i+1} - 1\}.$$

Similar to the proof of the Theorem 2.10, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.3** *For each natural number  $t$ , all graphs in the family of  $GS_t(K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, \dots, K_{n_k})$  have the same domination polynomial. More precisely, the domination polynomial of each  $H$  in  $GS_t(K_{n_1}, K_{n_2}, \dots, K_{n_k})$  is equal to  $\prod_{i=1}^k ((1+x)^{n_i} - 1)$ .*

**Conclusion.** In this paper, we studied the  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence classes of barbell graphs  $Bar_n$ . We showed that, for each natural number  $n$ ,  $2K_n$ ,  $Bar_n$ ,  $Bar_{n,t}$  and the complement of the book graph of order  $n-1$ ,  $B_{n-1}^c$  have the same domination polynomial, i.e.,  $[Bar_n] = [Bar_{n,t}] = [B_{n-1}^c] = [K_n \cup K_n]$ . Example 2.12, implies that except for these kind of graphs, there are another graphs in this class. Therefore, exact characterization of graphs in  $[Bar_n]$  remains as an open problem. Also we presented many families of graphs whose are in  $[K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_k}]$ , but similar to Example 2.12, there are another graphs in this class. So, exact characterization of graphs in  $[K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_k}]$  remains as another open problem.

## References

- [1] G. Aalipour-Hafshejani, S. Akbari, Z. Ebrahimi, *On  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence class of complete bipartite graphs*, Ars Comb. 117 (2014) 275288.
- [2] S. Akbari, S. Alikhani and Y.H. Peng, *Characterization of graphs using domination polynomial*, Europ. J. Combin., Vol 31 (2010) 1714–1724.
- [3] S. Alikhani, *On the  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalence class of a graph*, Bull. Georgian Nat. Acad. Sci. 6 (2012) no. 1, 43-46.
- [4] S. Alikhani, *Dominating sets and domination polynomials of graphs: Domination polynomial: A new graph polynomial*, LAMBERT Academic Publishing, (2012).
- [5] S. Alikhani, J.I. Brown, S. Jahari, *On the domination polynomials of friendship graphs*, FILOMAT, to appear. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.2092>.



- [6] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, *Introduction to domination polynomial of a graph*, Ars Combin., Vol. 114 (2014) 257-266.
- [7] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, *Domination polynomials of cubic graphs of order 10*, Turk. J. Math. 35 (3) (2011) 355–366.
- [8] B.M. Anthony and M.E. Picolletti, *Complete  $r$ -partite graphs determined by their domination polynomial*, arXiv:1303.5999v2.
- [9] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1979.
- [10] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, NewYork, 1998.
- [11] T. Kotek, J. Preen, P. Tittmann, *Subset-sum representations of domination polynomials*, Graphs Combin., (2014) 30, 3, pp. 647–660.
- [12] T. Kotek, J. Preen, F. Simon, P. Tittmann, M. Trinks, *Recurrence relations and splitting formulas for the domination polynomial*, Elec. J. Combin. 19(3) (2012), # P47.
- [13] F. S. Roberts, *Recent progress in combinatorics*. Academic Press. (1969) 301–310.
- [14] M. Walsh, *The hub number of a graph*, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., 1 (2006) 117–124.