WEAK SYNCHRONIZATION FOR ISOTROPIC FLOWS

MICHAEL CRANSTON, BENJAMIN GESS, AND MICHAEL SCHEUTZOW

ABSTRACT. We study Brownian flows on manifolds for which the associated Markov process is strongly mixing with respect to an invariant probability measure and for which the distance process for each pair of trajectories is a diffusion r. We provide a sufficient condition on the boundary behavior of r at 0 which guarantees that the statistical equilibrium of the flow is almost surely a singleton and its support is a weak point attractor. The condition is fulfilled in the case of negative top Lyapunov exponent, but it is also fulfilled in some cases when the top Lyapunov exponent is zero. Particular examples are isotropic Brownian flows on S^{d-1} as well as isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck flows on \mathbb{R}^d .

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the asymptotic behavior of white-noise random dynamical systems¹ (RDS) φ on complete, seperable metric spaces (E, d). We present necessary and sufficient conditions for weak synchronization, which means that there is a weak point attractor for φ consisting of a single random point. In particular, in this case

$$d(\varphi_t(\cdot, x), \varphi_t(\cdot, y)) \to 0 \text{ for } t \to \infty,$$

in probability, for all $x, y \in E$.

In [6] (weak) synchronization for RDS generated by SDE driven by additive noise

$$dX_t = b(X_t) dt + dW_t \tag{1.1}$$

has been analyzed and general necessary and sufficient conditions for (weak) synchronization have been derived, based on a local asymptotic stability condition. More precisely, the existence of a non-empty open set U and a sequence $t_n \uparrow \infty$ such that U is contracted along the flow, that is,

$$\mathbb{P}(\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam} \varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, U) = 0) > 0$$

Date: September 22, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37B25; 37G35, 37H15.

Key words and phrases. synchronization, random dynamical system, random attractor, Lyapunov exponent, stochastic differential equation, statistical equilibrium, isotropic Brownian flow, isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck flow.

¹For notation and some background on random dynamical systems and random attractors see Section 1.1 below.

was assumed in [6]. This condition was shown to be satisfied in the case that the top Lyapunov exponent is negative $\lambda_1 < 0$ using the stable manifold theorem. In addition, in [6] weak synchronization has been shown for (1.1) in the gradient case, i.e. if $b = \nabla V$ for some $V \in C^2$ among further assumptions.

These results are complemented by the present work which concentrates on the case of vanishing top Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1 = 0$. In this case, local asymptotic stability as used in [6] is not satisfied in general. As a first main result we present necessary and sufficient conditions for weak synchronization. More precisely, in Theorem 2.4 we show that weak synchronization holds if and only if φ is strongly mixing and satisfies a global weak pointwise stability condition (cf. Definition 2.1). In a sense, this condition replaces the local asymptotic stability condition required in [6]. As it turns out, this global weak pointwise stability condition is particularly easy to check in the case of the distance $r_t := d(\varphi_t(x), \varphi_t(y))$ being a diffusion. In this case it follows from the speed measure of r_t being infinite.

This general result is then used in order to prove weak synchronization for isotropic Brownian flows on the sphere satisfying $\lambda_1 \leq 0$, as well as for isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck flows with $\lambda_1 \leq 0$. As detailed above, the cases of vanishing top Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1 = 0$ could not be treated by previous methods.

1.1. **Preliminaries and notation.** We start by fixing our set-up which is the same as in [6]. Let (E,d) be a complete separable metric space with Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{E} and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \theta)$ be an *ergodic metric dynamical system*, that is, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space (not necessarily complete) and $\theta := (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a group of jointly measurable maps on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with ergodic invariant measure \mathbb{P} .

Further, let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times E \to E$ be a *perfect cocycle*, that is, φ is measurable with $\varphi_0(\omega, x) = x$ and $\varphi_{t+s}(\omega, x) = \varphi_t(\theta_s \omega, \varphi_s(\omega, x))$ for all $x \in E, t, s \ge 0, \omega \in \Omega$. We will assume continuity of the map $x \mapsto \varphi_s(\omega, x)$ for each $s \ge 0$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. The collection $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \theta, \varphi)$ is then called a *random dynamical system* (in short: RDS), see [1] for a comprehensive treatment.

Since our main applications are RDS generated by SDE driven by Brownian motion, we will assume that the RDS φ is suitably adapted to a filtration and is of white noise type in the following sense: We will assume that there exists a family $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_{s,t})_{-\infty < s \leq t < \infty}$ of sub- σ algebras of \mathcal{F} such that $\mathcal{F}_{t,u} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{s,v}$ whenever $s \leq t \leq u \leq v, \theta_r^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{s,t}) = \mathcal{F}_{s+r,t+r}$ for all r, s, t and $\mathcal{F}_{t_0,t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1},t_n}$ are independent for all $t_0 \leq \dots \leq t_n$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_t$ denotes the smallest σ -algebra containing all $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}, s \leq t$ and $\mathcal{F}_{t,\infty}$ denotes the smallest σ -algebra containing all $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}, s \leq t$ and $\mathcal{F}_{t,\infty}$ denotes the smallest σ -algebra. We will assume that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the σ -algebras \mathcal{F}_t and $\mathcal{F}_{t,\infty}$ are independent. We will assume that $\varphi_s(\cdot, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{0,s}$ -measurable for each $s \geq 0$. The collection $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}, \theta, \varphi)$ (or just φ) is then called a *white noise (filtered) RDS*.

If φ is a white noise RDS then we define the associated Markovian (Feller) semigroup by

$$P_t f(x) \coloneqq \mathbb{E} f(\varphi_t(\cdot, x)),$$

for f measurable and bounded. If there exists an invariant probability measure ρ for (P_t) , then for every sequence $t_k \to \infty$ the weak^{*} limit, the so-called *statistical* equilibrium of φ ,

$$\mu_{\omega} \coloneqq \lim_{k \to \infty} \varphi_{t_k}(\theta_{-t_k}\omega)\rho \tag{1.2}$$

exists \mathbb{P} -a.s. and does not depend on the sequence t_k , \mathbb{P} -a.s. The measure μ_{ω} can be chosen to be \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable and it satisfies, for each $t \ge 0$, $\mu_{\omega} \varphi_t^{-1}(\omega, .) = \mu_{\theta_t \omega}$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. and $\mathbb{E}\mu_{\omega} = \rho$.

A Markovian semigroup (P_t) with invariant measure ρ is said to be *strongly* mixing if

$$P_t f(x) \to \int_E f(y) d\rho(y) \quad \text{for } t \to \infty$$

for each continuous, bounded f and all $x \in E$. Similarly, an RDS φ is said to be strongly mixing if the law of $\varphi_t(\cdot, x)$ converges to ρ for $t \to \infty$ for all $x \in E$.

Definition 1.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \theta, \varphi)$ be a white-noise RDS. We say that *weak synchronization* occurs if there exists an \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable *a* such that

- (1) for all $t \ge 0$, $\varphi_t(a(\omega)) = a(\theta_t \omega)$ almost surely, and
- (2) for every $x \in E$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} d(\varphi_t(\theta_{-t}\omega, x), a(\omega)) = 0, \text{ in probability.}$$

We say that synchronization occurs if (1) holds and (2) is replaced by

(2') for every compact set $B \subset E$ we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup_{x\in B}d(\varphi_t(\theta_{-t}\omega,x),a(\omega))=0, \text{ in probability.}$$

Note that (weak) synchronization means that there exists a weak (point) attractor which is a singleton (see [6] for further details).

2. Main result

2.1. **RDS on metric spaces.** Let (E, d) be a complete, separable metric space and assume that φ is an *E*-valued white noise random dynamical system for which the associated Markov process has an ergodic invariant probability measure ρ .

Definition 2.1. The RDS φ is said to satisfy

(1) weak local pointwise stability iff there is a set $U \subseteq E$ with $\rho(U) > 0$ and a sequence $t_n \uparrow \infty$ such that for all $x, y \in U$ there is a $\delta = \delta(x, y) > 0$ such that for all $\eta > 0$:

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \Big(d(\varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, x), \varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, y)) \le \eta \Big) \ge \delta(x, y) > 0.$$
(2.1)

(2) weak global pointwise stability iff U in (1) can be chosen such that $\rho(U) = 1$.

We first present a slight generalization of [6, Lemma 2.19 (ii)] (which in turn is based on the main result in [10]).

Lemma 2.2. Assume that φ satisfies weak local pointwise stability. Then the statistical equilibrium is discrete, that is μ_{ω} consists of finitely many atoms of the same mass \mathbb{P} -a.s., i.e. there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variables a_1, \ldots, a_N such that

$$\mu_{\omega} = \Big\{ \frac{1}{N} \delta_{a_i(\omega)} : i = 1, \dots, N \Big\}.$$

Proof. Due to [6, Lemma 2.19 (i)], the statistical equilibrium μ_{ω} is either discrete or diffuse, which means that μ_{ω} does not have point masses \mathbb{P} -a.s..

Hence, we only have to show that μ_{ω} has a point mass with positive probability. Denote the diagonal in $E \times E$ by Δ . Then there exists a measurable function $\psi: (E \times E) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\psi(x, y) \rightarrow \infty$ for $d(x, y) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta}\psi(x,y)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\omega}(x)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\omega}(y)<\infty.$$

By invariance of μ_{ω} we get

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} \psi(x,y) d\mu_{\omega}(x) d\mu_{\omega}(y)$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E} \int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} \psi(\varphi_{t}(\omega,x),\varphi_{t}(\omega,y)) d\mu_{\omega}(x) d\mu_{\omega}(y) \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E} \int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} 1_{U}(x) 1_{U}(y) \psi(\varphi_{t}(\omega,x),\varphi_{t}(\omega,y)) d\mu_{\omega}(x) d\mu_{\omega}(y).$$

By assumption there is a sequence $t_n \to \infty$ such that, for all $x, y \in U, \eta > 0$

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(d(\varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, x), \varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, y)) \le \eta) \ge \delta(x, y) > 0.$$
(2.3)

We can and will assume that δ depends measurably upon (x, y) (e.g. by defining $\delta(x, y)$ as the $\lim_{\eta \to 0}$ of the left hand side of (2.3)). We define $C(n, x, y, R) := \{\omega \in \Omega : \psi(\varphi_{t_n}(\omega, x), \varphi_{t_n}(\omega, y)) \geq R\}$ and observe that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(C(n, x, y, R)) \ge \delta(x, y) > 0,$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and all R > 0. From (2.2) we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} \psi(x,y) d\mu_{\omega}(x) d\mu_{\omega}(y)$$

$$\geq R \mathbb{E} \int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} 1_U(x) 1_U(y) 1_{C(n,x,y,R)}(\omega) d\mu_{\omega}(x) d\mu_{\omega}(y).$$

Using that μ_{ω} is \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable, C(n, x, y, R) is $\mathcal{F}_{0,\infty}$ -measurable and that $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_{0,\infty}$ are independent, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} 1_U(x) 1_U(y) 1_{C(n,x,y,R)}(\omega) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) |\mathcal{F}_0]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} 1_U(x) 1_U(y) 1_{C(n,x,y,R)}(\omega) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) |\mathcal{F}_0] \right] d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(y)$$

Using this above, taking $\liminf_{n\to\infty}$ and using Fatou's lemma yields

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} \psi(x,y) d\mu_{\omega}(x) d\mu_{\omega}(y)$$

$$\geq R \mathbb{E} \int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} 1_U(x) 1_U(y) \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[C(n,x,y,R)] d\mu_{\omega}(x) d\mu_{\omega}(y)$$

$$\geq R \mathbb{E} \int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} 1_U(x) 1_U(y) \delta(x,y) d\mu_{\omega}(x) d\mu_{\omega}(y).$$

If μ_{ω} has no point masses, then $(\mu_{\omega} \otimes \mu_{\omega})(\Delta) = 0$ and thus

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{(E\times E)\smallsetminus\Delta} 1_U(x) 1_U(y)\delta(x,y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(x) = \mathbb{E}\int_{E\times E} 1_U(x) 1_U(y)\delta(x,y) d\mu_\omega(y) d\mu_\omega(x) d\mu_\omega$$

Assume that the right hand side is 0. Then, for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\mu_{\omega|U}$ -a.a. $x \in E$ we have

$$\int_E 1_U(y)\delta(x,y)\mathrm{d}\mu_\omega(y) = 0,$$

which implies $\mu_{\omega}(U) = 0$. Since $\rho(U) = \mathbb{E}\mu_{\omega}(U) > 0$ this implies $\rho(U) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{E\times E} \mathbf{1}_U(x)\mathbf{1}_U(y)\delta(x,y)\mathrm{d}\mu_\omega(y)\mathrm{d}\mu_\omega(x) > 0.$$

Since R > 0 is arbitrary we obtain a contradiction. This concludes the proof. \Box

Lemma 2.3. Assume that φ satisfies weak global pointwise stability. Then the statistical equilibrium is supported by a single point.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 the statistical equilibrium is discrete, with

$$\mu_{\omega} = \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \delta_{a_i(\omega)} : i = 1, \dots, N \right\}.$$

$$(2.4)$$

Let

$$A(\omega) \coloneqq \{a_i(\omega) \colon i = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

Step 1: We show that for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ there is a $\delta_{i,j} > 0$ such that for every $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(d(\varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_i(\cdot)), \varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_j(\cdot)) \le \eta) \ge \delta_{i,j}.$$
(2.5)

By assumption, there is a set $U \subseteq E$ with $\rho(U) = 1$ such that (2.1) holds for all $x, y \in U$. Due to (2.4) we have $a_i(\omega) \in U$ for \mathbb{P} -a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

Since φ is a white noise RDS we have that

$$\mathbb{P}(d(\varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_i(\cdot)), \varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_j(\cdot))) \leq \eta) = \mathbb{E}(1_{d(\varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_i(\cdot)), \varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_j(\cdot))) \leq \eta})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}(1_{d(\varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_i(\cdot)), \varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_j(\cdot))) \leq \eta} | \mathcal{F}_0)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(1_{d(\varphi_{t_n}(\omega, a_i(\tilde{\omega})), \varphi_{t_n}(\omega, a_j(\tilde{\omega}))) \leq \eta})$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\mathbb{P}(d(\varphi_{t_n}(\omega, a_i(\tilde{\omega})), \varphi_{t_n}(\omega, a_j(\tilde{\omega}))) \leq \eta).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} & \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(d(\varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_i(\cdot)), \varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_j(\cdot))) \leq \eta) \\ &= \liminf_{n \to \infty} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\mathbb{P}(d(\varphi_{t_n}(\omega, a_i(\tilde{\omega})), \varphi_{t_n}(\omega, a_j(\tilde{\omega}))) \leq \eta) \\ &\geq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(d(\varphi_{t_n}(\omega, a_i(\tilde{\omega})), \varphi_{t_n}(\omega, a_j(\tilde{\omega}))) \leq \eta) \\ &\geq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\delta(a_i(\tilde{\omega}), a_j(\tilde{\omega})) \\ &=: \delta_{i,j} > 0. \end{split}$$

Step 2: Assume that $A(\omega)$ is not a singleton P-a.s.. Then

$$F(\omega) \coloneqq \min_{i,j=1,\dots,N, \ i\neq j} d(a_i(\omega), a_j(\omega)) > 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$
(2.6)

Moreover, since $\varphi_t(\omega, A(\omega)) = A(\theta_t \omega)$ we get

$$F(\theta_t \omega) = \min_{\substack{i,j=1,\dots,N, \ i\neq j}} d(a_i(\theta_t \omega), a_j(\theta_t \omega))$$

=
$$\min_{\substack{i,j=1,\dots,N, \ i\neq j}} d(\varphi_t(\omega, a_i(\omega)), \varphi_t(\omega, a_j(\omega)))$$

$$\leq d(\varphi_t(\omega, a_1(\omega)), \varphi_t(\omega, a_2(\omega))).$$

Hence, for all $\eta > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(F(\cdot) \leq \eta) = \mathbb{P}(F(\theta_{t_n} \cdot) \leq \eta)$$

$$\geq \mathbb{P}(d(\varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_1(\cdot)), \varphi_{t_n}(\cdot, a_2(\cdot))) \leq \eta).$$

Taking $\liminf_{n\to\infty}$ and using (2.5) we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}(F(\cdot) \le \eta) \ge \delta_{1,2} > 0, \tag{2.7}$$

for all $\eta > 0$ in contradiction to (2.6).

Theorem 2.4. Let (φ, θ) be a white noise *E*-valued *RDS* which is strongly mixing with respect to an invariant probability measure ρ and satisfies weak global pointwise stability. Then weak synchronization holds for φ .

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, the statistical equilibrium μ_{ω} is supported by a single random point. Since φ is strongly mixing, by [6, Proposition 2.20] this implies weak synchronization.

Lemma 2.5. Let (φ, θ) be a white noise *E*-valued *RDS* with invariant probability measure ρ . Assume that the distance of each pair of points converges to 0 in probability as $t \to \infty$. Then φ is strongly mixing.

Proof. Denote the law of a random variable by $\mathcal{L}(.)$. For $f \in C_b(E)$ and $x \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}(\varphi_t(\cdot, x))(f) - \rho(f)| &= |\mathcal{L}(\varphi_t(\cdot, x))(f) - P_t^*\rho(f)| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}f(\varphi_t(\cdot, x)) - \int_E \mathbb{E}f(\varphi_t(\cdot, y)) \mathrm{d}\rho(y)| \\ &\leq \int_E \mathbb{E}|f(\varphi_t(\cdot, x)) - f(\varphi_t(\cdot, y))| \mathrm{d}\rho(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(\varphi_t(\cdot, x), \varphi_t(\cdot, y)) \to 0$ in probability for all $x, y \in E$, by dominated convergence, we have $\mathbb{E}|f(\varphi_t(\cdot, x)) - f(\varphi_t(\cdot, y))| \to 0$. Again by dominated convergence we conclude

$$|\mathcal{L}(\varphi_t(\cdot, x))(f) - \rho(f)| \to 0,$$

for $t \to \infty$.

Corollary 2.6. Let (φ, θ) be a white noise *E*-valued *RDS* with invariant probability measure ρ , such that for all $x, y \in E$

 $d(\varphi_t(\cdot, x), \varphi_t(\cdot, y)) \to 0 \text{ for } t \to \infty,$

in probability. Then weak synchronization holds for φ .

Remark 2.7. The following converse statement holds true. Assume that φ satisfies weak synchronization. Then φ is strongly mixing and for all $x, y \in E$

$$d(\varphi_t(\cdot, x), \varphi_t(\cdot, y)) \to 0 \text{ for } t \to \infty,$$

in probability. In particular, φ satisfies weak global pointwise stability.

Proof. Let $A(\omega) = \{a(\omega)\}$ P-a.s. be the weak point attractor of φ . Then, $\rho = \mathbb{E}\delta_{a(\omega)}$ is an invariant probability measure for (P_t) . By Lemma 2.5, φ is strongly mixing. Moreover,

$$d(\varphi_t(\cdot, x), \varphi_t(\cdot, y)) \le d(\varphi_t(\cdot, x), a(\theta_t \omega)) + d(\varphi_t(\cdot, y), a(\theta_t \omega)) \to 0 \text{ for } t \to \infty,$$

in probability.

2.2. Case of distance being a diffusion. In this section we consider the special case in which the distance process of any pair of trajectories is a diffusion. The set-up is the same as in the previous subsection. In addition, we assume that for each pair of initial points $x, y \in E$ the distance process $r_t := d(\varphi_t(x), \varphi_t(y)), t \ge 0$ satisfies a scalar stochastic differential equation

$$dr_t = b(r_t) dt + \sigma(r_t) dW_t, \qquad (2.8)$$

on I := (0, R) for some $R \in (0, \infty]$, where W is standard Brownian motion. We assume that b and σ are continuous on I, $\sigma > 0$ on I, and $\lim_{x\downarrow 0} \sigma(x) = 0$. Recall the following definition of the scale function s and the speed measure m on I (see e.g. [7] or [8]):

$$s(x) \coloneqq \int_{c}^{x} \exp\left\{\int_{c}^{y} \frac{2b(z)}{\sigma^{2}(z)} dz\right\} dy,$$
$$m(dx) \coloneqq \frac{2}{s'(x)\sigma^{2}(x)} dx,$$

for $x \in I$ and an arbitrary point $c \in I$. Assume that none of the boundary points of I are accessible (which can be expressed in terms of b and σ via Feller's test for explosions, see [8, p.342ff]) and that the speed measure m is finite away from 0, i.e. $m[\varepsilon, R) < \infty$ for some (and hence for all) $\varepsilon \in I$.

Lemma 2.8. Under the assumptions above we have the following equivalences

(i) $r_t \to 0$ in probability (ii) m(I) is infinite.

Proof. First note that the fact that m is finite away from 0 and the boundary R is inaccessible imply $s(R) = \infty$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): if *m* is finite, then the diffusion *r* is ergodic with invariant probability measure m/m(I) by [7, Theorem 23.15] contradicting (i).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): this follows from [13, Proposition 2.1(iii)].

3. Examples

Let φ be a white noise RDS on a complete, *d*-dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold *E* with respect to an ergodic metric dynamical system $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \theta)$ and let (P_t) be the associated Markovian semigroup. Further assume that $\varphi_t(\omega, \cdot) \in C_{loc}^{1,\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$ and all $t \ge 0$ and that P_1 has an ergodic invariant measure ρ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{E}\|D\varphi_{1}(\omega,x)\|\,\mathrm{d}\rho(x)<\infty.$$

Following [3] for the case of E being compact and [6] for the case $E = \mathbb{R}^d$ this implies the existence of constants $\lambda_m < \cdots < \lambda_1, m \leq d$, the so-called Lyapunov

spectrum, such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \| D\varphi_n(\omega, x) v \| \in \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m,$$

for $\mathbb{P} \otimes \rho$ -a.a. $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times M$ and all $v \in T_x M \setminus \{0\}$. We define the top Lyapunov exponent by $\lambda_{top} = \lambda_1$.

3.1. Isotropic Brownian flows on the sphere. We study isotropic Brownian flows on $E = S^{d-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| = 1\}$ for $d \ge 3$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm. On E, we define the metric $d(x, y) = \arccos\langle x, y \rangle$, where $\langle ., . \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^d . Note that the metric d takes values in $[0, \pi]$. The reason for excluding the case d = 2 (i.e. $E = S^1$) is that in that case the boundary π of the two-point distance is accessible and therefore requires a slightly different treatment. Given smooth vector fields V_j , $j \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ and V on the sphere S^{d-1} and *iid* standard Brownian motions B^j , a stochastic flow on S^{d-1} is defined by the solution of the Stratonovich equation

$$d\varphi_t(x) = \partial W_{\varphi_t(x)}(t),$$

$$\varphi_0(x) = x$$
(3.1)

where the vector field valued semi-martingale $W_x(t)$ is defined as

$$W_x(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j(x) B_t^j + V(x) t.$$
(3.2)

The flow φ is completely determined by its characteristics $a: S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \to TS^{d-1} \times TS^{d-1}$ and $b: S^{d-1} \to TS^{d-1}$ which are given by

$$\langle a(x,y), \mathrm{d}f_x \otimes \mathrm{d}g_y \rangle = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} E[(f(\varphi_t(x)) - f(x))(g(\varphi_t(y)) - g(y))]$$

$$\langle b(x), \mathrm{d}f_x \rangle = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} E[f(\varphi_t(x)) - f(x)].$$

In terms of the vector fields used in (3.2) one has

$$a(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j(x) \otimes V_j(y),$$
$$b(x) = V + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nabla_{V_j} V_j,$$

where ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection on S^{d-1} compatible with the standard metric defined above on the sphere. The flow is called Brownian if the one point motion is a Brownian motion on S^{d-1} and this corresponds to the requirement $\langle b(x), df_x \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x)$ where Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on S^{d-1} . The definition of isotropy for a flow on a sphere relies on the fact that the sphere is a homogeneous space. In the present context, the space $S^{d-1} = \mathcal{O}(d-1)/\mathcal{O}(d-2)$ where $\mathcal{O}(d-1)$ is the orthogonal group. The group $\mathcal{O}(d-1)$ acts transitively on S^{d-1} and the flow φ is called isotropic if for all $g \in \mathcal{O}(d-1)$,

$$\{g^{-1}\varphi_t(gx): x \in S^{d-1}, t \ge 0\} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{\varphi_t(x): x \in S^{d-1}, t \ge 0\}.$$
(3.3)

In terms of the characteristics, the flow is isotropic if and only if for every $g \in \mathcal{O}(d-1)$

$$\begin{split} \langle a(gx,gy), \mathrm{d}g_x(u) \otimes \mathrm{d}g_y(v) \rangle &= \langle a(x,y), u \otimes v \rangle, \, x, y \in S^{d-1}, \, (u,v) \in T_x S^{d-1} \otimes T_y S^{d-1} \\ \langle b(gx), \mathrm{d}g_x(u) \rangle &= \langle b(x), u \rangle, \, x \in S^{d-1}, \, u \in T_x S^{d-1}. \end{split}$$

Here dg_x means the differential of the mapping $g: S^{d-1} \to S^{d-1}$ at the point $x \in S^{d-1}$. It was shown in [11] that the solution of (3.1) defines a flow of diffeomorphisms of S^{d-1} into itself and satisfies the definition of an RDS.

Theorem 3.1. Let φ be an isotropic Brownian flow on S^{d-1} satisfying $\lambda_1 \leq 0$. Then weak synchronization holds.

Proof. We recall from [12, Theorem 4.1] that $r_t \coloneqq d(\varphi_t(\cdot, x), \varphi_t(\cdot, x))$ is a diffusion and

$$\mathrm{d}r_t = b(r_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(r_t)\mathrm{d}W_t,$$

where

$$\sigma^{2}(r) = 2[\alpha(1) - \alpha(\cos r)\cos r + \beta(\cos r)\sin^{2}r]$$
$$b(r) = \frac{d-2}{\sin r}(\alpha(1)\cos r - \alpha(\cos r)),$$

with

$$\alpha(r) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l \gamma_l(r) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} b_l \left(r \gamma_l(r) - \frac{1 - r^2}{d - 2} \gamma_l'(r) \right)$$
$$\beta(r) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l \gamma_l'(r) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} b_l \left(-\gamma_l(r) - \frac{r}{d - 2} \gamma_l'(r) \right)$$

and

$$\gamma_l(r) = \frac{C_{l-1}^{d/2}(r)}{C_{l-1}^{d/2}(1)}$$

where $C_{l-1}^{d/2}$ are the Gegenbauer polynomials. The sequences $\{a_l\}$ and $\{b_l\}$ are summable with nonnegative terms. Moreover, from the proof of [12, Theorem 4.1] we recall that, since $d \ge 3$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\inf\{t>0:r_t=\pi\}=\infty]=1.$$

In [12, Theorem 3.1] the Lyapunov spectrum $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{d-1})$ is shown to be given by

$$\lambda_n = \frac{d-2n-1}{2} \alpha'(1) - \frac{d-1}{2} \alpha(1) - n\beta(1) \quad n = 1, \dots, d-1.$$

In particular, $\lambda_1 = \frac{d-3}{2} \alpha'(1) - \frac{d-1}{2} \alpha(1) - \beta(1)$. Moreover, if $\lambda_1 < 0$ then $\lim_{t\to\infty} r_t = 0$ P-a.s. and if $\lambda_1 \ge 0$ then $\mathbb{P}[\limsup_{t\to\infty} r_t = 0]$ $\pi] = \mathbb{P}[\liminf_{t \to \infty} r_t = 0] = 1.$

Let s be the scale function and m the speed measure associated to the diffusion r_t . From [12, proof of Theorem 4.1] we recall, close to 0,

$$b(x) = x \left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right) \left(\alpha'(1) - \alpha(1)\right) + o(|x|^2)$$

$$\sigma^2(x) = x^2 \left(\alpha'(1) + \alpha(1) + 2\beta(1)\right) + o(|x|^3)$$

$$s'(x) = \exp\left\{-\int_{\varphi}^x \frac{2b(\theta)}{\sigma^2(\theta)} d\theta\right\} \approx c_1 x^{-\gamma_1}$$

with $\gamma_1 = \frac{(d-2)(\alpha'(1)-\alpha(1))}{\alpha'(1)+\alpha(1)+2\beta(1)}$, where c_1 is a positive constant and \approx means the ratio of the two sides tends to 1 as x tends to 0. On the other hand, close to π ,

$$\sigma^{2}(x) = 2(\alpha(1) + \alpha(-1)) + (\alpha(-1) - \alpha(-1) + 2\beta(-1))(x - \pi)^{2} + o(|x - \pi|^{2})$$

$$\frac{2b(x)}{\sigma^{2}(x)} = -\frac{d-2}{\pi - x} + o(1)$$

$$s'(x) = \exp\left\{-\int_{\varphi}^{x} \frac{2b(\theta)}{\sigma^{2}(\theta)} d\theta\right\} \approx c_{2}(\pi - x)^{-(d-2)}.$$

We note that $\gamma_1 \ge 1$ iff $\lambda_1 \ge 0$ which is seen by noting $\lambda_1 \ge 0$ is the same as $\frac{d-3}{2}\alpha'(1) - \frac{d-1}{2}\alpha'(1) \ge \beta(1)$. Thus, if $\lambda_1 \ge 0$,

$$\gamma_{1} = \frac{(d-2)(\alpha'(1) - \alpha(1))}{\alpha'(1) + \alpha(1) + 2\beta(1)}$$

$$\geq \frac{(d-2)(\alpha'(1) - \alpha(1))}{\alpha'(1) + \alpha(1) + 2(\frac{d-3}{2}\alpha'(1) - \frac{d-1}{2}\alpha(1))}$$

$$= 1$$

and the other equivalence is proven in a similar fashion. Hence, $\lambda_1 \ge 0$ iff s(0+) = $-\infty$. Furthermore, $s(\pi -) = \infty$ iff $d \ge 3$.

We further note that

$$m((0,\pi)) = \int_{(0,\pi)} \frac{2}{\sigma^2(x)s'(x)} dx$$

= $\int_{(0,\frac{\pi}{2})} \frac{2}{\sigma^2(x)s'(x)} dx + \int_{(\frac{\pi}{2},\pi)} \frac{2}{\sigma^2(x)s'(x)} dx.$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ small we have

$$\int_{(0,\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(x)s'(x)} \mathrm{d}x \approx c_3 \int_{(0,\varepsilon)} x^{\gamma_1 - 2} \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \gamma_1 \leq 1 \\ < \infty & \text{if } \gamma_1 > 1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\int_{(\pi-\varepsilon,\pi)} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(x)s'(x)} \mathrm{d}x \approx c_4 \int_{(\pi-\varepsilon,\pi)} (\pi-x)^{d-2} \mathrm{d}x < \infty.$$

Hence, $m((0,\pi)) = \infty$ iff $\gamma_1 \le 1$ iff $\lambda_1 \le 0$ and the claim follows from Lemma 2.8 and Corollary 2.6.

Remark 3.2. Note that synchronization can never occur for an IBF on S^{d-1} since $\varphi_t(\omega, \cdot): S^{d-1} \to S^{d-1}$ is a homeomorphism almost surely and S^{d-1} is compact.

3.2. Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck flows. Next, we consider isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck flows (IOUF) on \mathbb{R}^d . Consider a stochastic flow generated by the following Kunita-type SDE on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -cX_t\,\mathrm{d}t + M(\mathrm{d}t, X_t),\tag{3.4}$$

where $c \ge 0$ and M is a (normalized) isotropic Brownian field, i.e.

- (1) $M: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a centered Gaussian process which is continuous in the first two variables.
- (2) There exists a covariance tensor $b : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ such that

 $\operatorname{cov}(M_i(t,x), M_j(s,y)) = (s \wedge t)b_{i,j}(x-y), \ s, t \ge 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$

- (3) b is a C^4 function with bounded derivatives up to order 4, $b(0) = I_d$ is the identity matrix and $x \mapsto b(x)$ is not constant.
- (4) b is *isotropic*, i.e. $b(x) = O^T b(Ox)O$ for each orthogonal matrix O and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Note that these properties imply that $t \mapsto M(t,x)$ is a *d*-dimensional standard Brownian motion for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For the definition of the solution of an equation like (3.4) and the fact that the equation generates a *stochastic flow* φ , see [9]. For a proof of the fact that (3.4) generates an RDS φ (which has a random attractor when c > 0), see [4]. We will use the term *isotropic Brownian flow* (IBF) for both ϕ and φ if c = 0 and *isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck flow* if c > 0.

IBFs have been studied by several authors, among them [2] who also provide a formula for its Lyapunov spectrum. Before stating the formula for the top exponent we mention that the covariance tensor b can be represented in the form

$$b_{i,j}(x) = (B_L(|x|) - B_N(|x|))\frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} + \delta_{i,j} B_N(|x|), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \ i, j = 1, \cdots, d,$$

where B_L and B_N (the *longitudinal* respectively *transversal* or *normal* covariance functions) are defined as $B_L(r) \coloneqq b_{p,p}(re_p)$ and $B_N(r) \coloneqq b_{p,p}(re_q)$, where $r \ge 0$, $p \ne q$ and e_i is the *i*-th standard basis vector in \mathbb{R}^d . Abbreviating $\beta_L \coloneqq -B''_L(0)$ and $\beta_N \coloneqq -B''_N(0)$ the formula for the top exponent λ_1 reads

$$\lambda_1 = (d-1)\frac{\beta_N}{2} - \frac{\beta_L}{2}$$

in case c = 0 (note that due to translation invariance a Lyapunov spectrum can be defined in spite of the fact that the associated Markov process (which is *d*dimensional Brownian motion) does not have an invariant probability measure). It is easy to see (and was proved in [5]) that for c > 0 the Lyapunov spectrum just shifts by c. In particular,

$$\lambda_1 = (d-1)\frac{\beta_N}{2} - \frac{\beta_L}{2} - c.$$

The two-point distance (r_t) is a diffusion on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the equation

$$\mathrm{d}r_t = \left((d-1)\frac{1-B_N(r)}{r} - cr \right) \mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2(1-B_L(r))} \,\mathrm{d}W_t$$

(this is shown in [2] for c = 0 and in [5] for c > 0). Note that an IBF and an IOUF is a white-noise RDS. While the Markov process associated to an IBF is Brownian motion which does not have an invariant probability measure the Markov process associated to an IOUF is a *d*-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process which has an invariant probability measure ρ (a centered Gaussian measure). According to [5, Proposition 7.1.3], the speed measure of r is finite iff $\lambda_1 > 0$ (this fact is not difficult to check given the SDE for the two-point distance). We conclude

Theorem 3.3. Let φ be an isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck flow on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying $\lambda_1 \leq 0$. Then weak synchronization holds.

References

- Ludwig Arnold. Random Dynamical Systems. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [2] Peter Baxendale and Theodore E. Harris. Isotropic stochastic flows. Ann. Probab., 14(4):1155–1179, 1986.
- [3] A. Carverhill. Survey: Lyapunov exponents for stochastic flows on manifolds. In Lyapunov exponents (Bremen, 1984), volume 1186 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 292–307. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [4] G. Dimitroff and M. Scheutzow. Attractors and expansion for Brownian flows. *Electron. J.* Probab., 16:no. 42, 1193–1213, 2011.
- [5] Georgi Dimitroff. Some properties of isotropic Brownian and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck flows. PhD thesis, TU Berlin, https://opus4.kobv.de/opus4-tuberlin/files/1237/dimitroff_georgi.pdf, 2006.
- [6] Franco Flandoli, Benjamin Gess, and Michael Scheutzow. Synchronization by noise. arXiv:1411.1340, pages 1–42, 2014.
- [7] Olav Kallenberg. Foundations of Modern Probability. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2002.
- [8] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven E. Shreve. Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, volume 113 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.
- [9] Hiroshi Kunita. Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations, volume 24 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [10] Yves Le Jan. Équilibre statistique pour les produits de difféomorphismes aléatoires indépendants. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist., 23(1):111–120, 1987.

- [11] Yves Le Jan and Shinzo Watanabe. Stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms. In Stochastic analysis (Katata/Kyoto, 1982), volume 32 of North-Holland Math. Library, pages 307–332. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [12] Olivier Raimond. Flots browniens isotropes sur la sphère. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist., 35(3):313–354, 1999.
- [13] Michael Scheutzow. Comparison of various concepts of a random attractor: a case study. Arch. Math. (Basel), 78(3):233–240, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, USA *E-mail address*: mcransto@math.uci.edu

MAX-PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS IN THE SCIENCES, 04103 LEIPZIG, GERMANY *E-mail address*: bgess@mis.mpg.de

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, MA 7-5, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, 10623 BERLIN, GERMANY

E-mail address: ms@math.tu-berlin.de

14