ON THE ESCAPE RATE OF UNIQUE BETA-EXPANSIONS

JUNG-CHAO BAN, CHIH-HUNG CHANG*, AND BING LI

ABSTRACT. Let $1 < \beta \leq 2$. It is well-known that the set of points in $[0, 1/(\beta - 1)]$ having unique β -expansion, in other words, those points whose orbits under greedy β -transformation escape a hole depending on β , is of zero Lebesgue measure. The corresponding escape rate is investigated in this paper. A formula which links the Hausdorff dimension of univoque set and escape rate is established in this study. Then we also proved that such rate forms a devil's staircase function with respect to β .

Key Words: escape rate, beta-expansion, open system AMS Subject Classification: 37E05, 11A63

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. History and motivation: beta shifts. There are many ways to represent real numbers such as decimal expansion, binary expansion, etc. In 1957, Rényi [\[21](#page-11-0)] generalized the expansions with integer bases to any base $\beta > 1$ including non-integer bases.

Let $1 < \beta \leq 2$ and $x \geq 0$. Write

(1)
$$
x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta^n} \text{ with } \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for all } n \ge 1.
$$

We call this expression or the sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \dots)$ a β -expansion of x . It is obvious that the smallest number which can be represented by [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is 0 and the largest one is $\frac{1}{\beta-1}$.

Let $J_\beta=[0,\frac{1}{\beta-1}]$ $\frac{1}{\beta-1}$ and

$$
\Omega_{\beta} = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} := \{(w_1, \dots, w_n, \dots) : w_n = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for all } n \geq 1\}.
$$

Denote by $\Omega_{\beta}^n = \{0,1\}^n$ and $\Omega_{\beta}^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{\beta}^n$. Let \prec and \preceq be the *lexicographical order* on Ω_{β} . More precisely, $w \prec w'$ means that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w_i = w'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $w_k \leq w'_k$,

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

meanwhile, $w \preceq w'$ means that $w \prec w'$ or $w = w'$. This order can be extended to Ω_{β}^* by identifying a finite block (w_1, \ldots, w_n) with the sequence $(w_1, \ldots, w_n, 0^{\infty})$. The topic on the number of β -expansions of reals in J_β is very popular since Erdös investigated the reals with unique β -expansion in 1990s.

Theorem 1.1 ([\[11\]](#page-11-1)). If $1 < \beta < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ $\frac{2\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then every $x \in (0, \frac{1}{\beta - 1})$ $rac{1}{\beta-1}$) has a continuum of different β -expansions.

Theorem 1.2 ([\[22](#page-11-2)]). If $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \le \beta < 2$, then λ -almost every $x \in$ $(0, \frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{\beta-1}$) has a continuum of different β-expansion, where λ is the Lebesgue measure on R.

Define a *projection map* $\pi_{\beta}: \Omega_{\beta} \to J_{\beta}$ as

$$
\pi_{\beta}(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_n}{\beta^n}
$$

for $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n, \dots) \in \Omega_\beta$. Then $\#\pi_\beta^{-1}(x)$ is the number of β expansions of $x \in J_\beta$, here # denotes the cardinality of a finite set. Denote

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\beta} = \{ x \in J_{\beta} : \#\pi_{\beta}^{-1}(x) = 1 \},\
$$

that is, the set of the points with unique β -expansion. The set \mathcal{U}_{β} is called the univoque set. Together with Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) and Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-1) we know that $\lambda(\mathcal{U}_{\beta}) = 0$ for any $1 < \beta \leq 2$. Glendinning and Sidorov [\[13\]](#page-11-3) showed a finer description on \mathcal{U}_{β} as the following.

Theorem 1.3 ([\[13\]](#page-11-3)). The set \mathcal{U}_{β} is

- empty if $\beta \in (1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$,
- countable for $\beta \in (\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$ $\frac{2.5}{2}, \beta_*$, where $\beta_* = 1.787231650...$ is the Komornik-Loreti constant (see also [\[16\]](#page-11-4));
- an uncountable Cantor set of zero Hausdorff dimension if $\beta =$ β_* ; and
- a set of positive Hausdorff dimension for $\beta \in (\beta_*, 2)$.

Recently, Komornik, Kong and Li [\[15](#page-11-5)] gave much more information for \mathcal{U}_{β} for $\beta_{*} < \beta < 2$. The authors showed that the Hausdorff dimension of such set forms a devil's staircase function, i.e. $D(\beta) := \dim_H \mathcal{U}_{\beta}$ is continuous, monotonic and $D' < 0$ almost everywhere. They also

gave explicit formula for the Hausdorff dimension of \mathcal{U}_{β} when β is in any admissible interval $[\beta_L, \beta_U]$ (see Theorem 2.6 [\[18](#page-11-6)] for the definition of such interval).

Among all the β -expansions of any given number $x \in J_\beta$, the maximum and minimum in the sense of lexicographical order are provided by greedy and lazy algorithms respectively. These two algorithms can be induced by greedy and lazy β -transformations respectively.

Definition 1.4 (Greedy β -transformation). Let $1 < \beta \leq 2$. The greedy β-transformation $G_\beta: J_\beta \to J_\beta$ is defined as

$$
G_{\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} \beta x, & \text{if } 0 \le x < \frac{1}{\beta}; \\ \beta x - 1, & \text{if } \frac{1}{\beta} \le x \le \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \end{cases}
$$

.

The system (J_β, G_β) is called the greedy β -transformation dynamical system.

A coding of any $x \in J_\beta$ according to G_β which includes two branches can be given as follows. Define

$$
\varepsilon_1(x,\beta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \le x < \frac{1}{\beta}; \\ 1, & \text{if } \frac{1}{\beta} \le x \le \frac{1}{\beta-1} \end{cases}
$$

for $x \in J_\beta$. That is, the first branch $[0, \frac{1}{\beta}]$ $\frac{1}{\beta}$) of G_{β} is labelled by 0 and the other one $\left[\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta -}\right]$ $\frac{1}{\beta-1}$) is labelled by 1. Denote $\varepsilon_n(x,\beta) := \varepsilon_1(G_{\beta}^{n-1}x,\beta)$. Then,

(2)
$$
x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n(x,\beta)\beta^{-n},
$$

which is called the greedy β -expansion of $x \in J_\beta$ and denote $\varepsilon(x, \beta) =$ $(\varepsilon_1(x,\beta),\cdots,\varepsilon_n(x,\beta),\cdots)$. The trapping region of (J_β,G_β) is (I,T_β) , where $I = [0, 1)$ and $T_{\beta} = G_{\beta}|_I$. More precisely, $T_{\beta}I = I$ and for any $1\leq x\leq \frac{1}{\beta}$ $\frac{1}{\beta-1}$, there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_{\beta}^{n}(x) \in I$. If $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{\beta-1}$ $\frac{1}{\beta-1},$ then $\varepsilon(x, \beta) = (1^k, \varepsilon(y, \beta))$ for some $k \ge 1$ and $y = G_{\beta}^k(x) \in I$.

Definition 1.5 (Lazy β -transformation). The lazy β -transformation $L_{\beta}: J_{\beta} \to J_{\beta}$ is defined as

$$
L_{\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} \beta x, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{\beta(\beta - 1)}; \\ \beta x - 1, & \text{if } \frac{1}{\beta(\beta - 1)} < x \le \frac{1}{\beta - 1}. \end{cases}
$$

Besides the greedy and lazy β -expansions, other β -expansions are called *intermediate β-expansion*, which is rich from Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) and Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-1) The corresponding β -transformations are also studied recently, for example, see [\[18](#page-11-6)].

By the greedy and lazy β -transformations, the whole interval J_{β} is partitioned to three parts:

$$
I_0 = [0, 1/\beta), \Delta_{\beta} = [1/\beta, 1/\beta(\beta - 1)],
$$

\n
$$
I_1 = (1/\beta(\beta - 1), 1/(\beta - 1)].
$$

If $x \in I_0$, the first digit of both greedy and lazy expansions of x are 0; since the greedy and lazy expansions are the maximum and minimum among all β -expansions, we know that the first digit of any β -expansion of x is 0. Similarly, if $x \in I_1$, then the first digit of all β -expansions of x are 1. For $x \in \Delta_{\beta}$, since the first digit of greedy expansion is 1 and the lazy is 0, the first digits of β -expansions of x have two possibilities.

Proposition 1.6. The univoque set

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\beta} = \{x \in J_{\beta} : G_{\beta}^{n}(x) \notin \Delta_{\beta} \,\forall n \geq 0\}
$$

= $\{x \in J_{\beta} : L_{\beta}^{n}(x) \notin \Delta_{\beta} \,\forall n \geq 0\}.$

This proposition tells us that the set \mathcal{U}_{β} consists of the points whose orbit under G_{β} or L_{β} will never fall in the hole Δ_{β} . So \mathcal{U}_{β} is regarded as a problem of open system (dynamical system with hole and exclusion systems) and we just need to focus on the greedy β -transformation G_{β} .

1.2. History and motivation: open systems and escape rate. Proposition [1.6](#page-3-0) reveals that the study of the topological properties of \mathcal{U}_{β} is equivalent to the study of *open systems*. Such systems have been studied extensively by physicists and mathematicians in many aspects. Main questions in open systems are: how do typical points of the phase space escape from a given hole, what is the speed of escape, and which hole is leaking the most (see [\[14](#page-11-7), [1](#page-10-0), [8](#page-10-1), [9,](#page-10-2) [10\]](#page-10-3))? Escape rate is introduced to measure such quantity and we define such rate in our setting. Denote

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\beta,n} = \widetilde{\Gamma}_n(\Delta_\beta) \n= \{x \in J_\beta : G^n_\beta(x) \in \Delta_\beta \text{ and } G^k_\beta(x) \notin \Delta_\beta \text{ for } 0 \le k \le n-1\}.
$$

Since the trapping region of (J_β, G_β) is (I, T_β) , we can define

$$
\Gamma_{\beta,n} = \Gamma_n(\Delta_\beta)
$$

= { $x \in I : T_\beta^n(x) \in \Delta_\beta \cap I$ and $T_\beta^k(x) \notin \Delta_\beta \cap I$ for $0 \le k \le n - 1$ }.

It is clear that $\mathcal{U}_{\beta} \cap I = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I \setminus \Gamma_n(\Delta_{\beta})$. Since $\lambda(\mathcal{U}_{\beta}) = 0$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda(I\backslash\Gamma_n(\Delta_\beta))=0.$ (Recall that λ is the Lebesgue measure on R.) Note that $\Gamma_{n+1}(\Delta_{\beta}) \supset \Gamma_n(\Delta_{\beta})$ for all $n \geq 0$, the following limit exists and we can define the escape rate as follows

$$
e_{\beta} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \lambda(\Gamma_{\beta,n})}{n}
$$
 and $E_{\beta} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \lambda(\Gamma_{\beta,n})}{n \log \beta} = \frac{e_{\beta}}{\log \beta}$.

Here we also call E_β the *escape rate* if it causes no confusion. The corresponding escape rate \tilde{e}_{β} and \tilde{E}_{β} are defined similarly for $\tilde{\Gamma}_n(\Delta_{\beta})$.

The aim of this paper is to calculate the rate e_{β} and describe how e_{β} changes as β varies. It is worth pointing out that such a problem has been raised by Bundfuss, Krüger and Troubetzkoy (p.23, [\[7](#page-10-4)]).

> One would like to develop a relationship between the escape rate properties and topological and/or metric invariants of the invariant set.

We emphasize that the central problem of open systems is how to estimate the escape rate and how the escape rate varies when the hole is shrinking to zero. Our problem is a little bit different since the map T_β also changes with respect to β in (1, 2). The following is the main result of this investigation.

Theorem 1.7. Let $1 < \beta \leq 2$. Then $\dim_H \mathcal{U}_{\beta} + E_{\beta} = 1$.

Corollary 1.8. Let $1 < \beta \leq 2$.

- (1) If $1 < \beta \leq \beta_*$, then $E_\beta = 1$ and $e_\beta = \log \beta$.
- (2) If $\beta_* < \beta \leq 2$, then E_β forms a devil staircase function (i.e., continuous, monotonic, and the derivatives of E_β with respect to β are large than zero almost everywhere). Moreover, $\lim_{\beta \to \beta^*} E_\beta =$ 1 and $E_2 = 0$.
- (3) Let $[\beta_L, \beta_U]$ be the admissible interval generated by a block $t_1 \cdots t_p$ (see [\[18\]](#page-11-6)). For $\beta \in [\beta_L, \beta_U]$, the escape rate E_β is given by

$$
E_{\beta} = 1 - \frac{h_{top}(Z_{t_1 \cdots t_p})}{\log \beta},
$$

where $h_{top}(Z_{t_1\cdots t_p})$ is the entropy of the subshift of finite type

(3)
$$
Z_{t_1 \cdots t_p} := \{(d_i) : \overline{t_1 \cdots t_p} \leq d_n \cdots d_{n+p-1} \leq t_1 \cdots t_p, \ n \geq 1\}
$$

and $\overline{\ell} := 1 - \ell$.

Some related results are also addressed herein. In [\[12\]](#page-11-8), Feng and Sidorov considered the growth rate of the points having a continuum of β -expansions, which is somehow a kind of duality of escape rate we study here. Ban et al. [\[4](#page-10-5)] considered a unimodal map with a symmetric hole in the middle and study the topological entropy of those points whose orbits never fall in the hole under iteration. The authors showed that the entropy function forms a devil's staircase function with respect to the size of the hole. However, the constant part of such function are not completely characterized. Misiurewicz [\[20](#page-11-9)] also provided an topological proof for the same result. If $\beta = 2$, Barrera [\[5](#page-10-6)] put a symmetry hole about $1/2$ and show that the entropy function forms a devil's staircase with respect to the the size of the hole, and the author completely characterized the constant part of the entropy (also called the entropy pleateau). The topics of the transitive components of the open systems are discussed in([\[5](#page-10-6), [6](#page-10-7), [7](#page-10-4)]).

Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem [1.7.](#page-4-0)

2. Proof of Theorem [1.7](#page-4-0)

Before proving the main theorem, we provide some useful materials on open systems. Let $f : M \to M$ be a map which admits a Markov partition P. We denote by $\pi : \Sigma^{\mathcal{P}} \to M$ the corresponding coding map and $\Sigma^{\mathcal{P}}$ the corresponding symbolic space with respect to \mathcal{P} . Fix an open hole $H \subset M$, set $\Lambda^* = \Lambda_H^*$ the invariant set of points whose orbits never fall in the hole under f. Denote by $\Sigma^* = \Sigma_H^* = \pi^{-1}\Lambda^*$ the preimage of Λ^* under π and denote by σ the shift map on Σ^* . Let ∂H be the boundary of H. The following result shows that once the boundary points fall in the gap H under iteration of f, then Σ^* is a subshift of finite type.

Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 4.1 [\[7\]](#page-10-4)). If for each $x \in \partial H$ there is an i such that $f^i x \in H$, then Σ^* is a SFT.

- **Remark 2.2.** (1) It is worth noting that Δ_{β} is not open. However, the computation of the Hausdorff dimension and escape rate of U_q are not affected if we substitute $\Delta_\beta = (1/\beta, 1/\beta(\beta-1))$ since $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_q \backslash \mathcal{U}_q$ is countable [\[17\]](#page-11-10), where $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_q$ is the closure of \mathcal{U}_q . Thus we define Δ_{β} as such an open interval in what follows.
	- (2) We point out that there is an analogous result of Proposition [2.1](#page-5-0) in IFS setting (Theorem 2.4, [\[3\]](#page-10-8)). The authors in [\[3\]](#page-10-8) also show that the set of points whose orbits fall in holes is of full Lebesgue measure (Corollary 3.1, [\[3](#page-10-8)]).

The following simple proposition reveals that the escape rate are the same under the dynamical systems (J_β, G_β) and (I, T_β) , and the proof is omitted.

Proposition 2.3. Let $1 < \beta \leq 2$. Then $e_{\beta} = \widetilde{e}_{\beta}$ and $E_{\beta} = \widetilde{E}_{\beta}$.

We denote by Λ^*_{β} the collection of points whose orbits never fall in the gap Δ_{β} . Note that Λ_{β}^{*} is T_{β} -invariant. Therefore, $(\Lambda_{\beta}^{*}, T_{\beta}^{*})$ is a dynamical system on its own right, where $T^*_{\beta} = T_{\beta}|_{\Lambda_{\beta}^*}$. Also we denote by $\Sigma^*_{\beta} = \pi_{\beta}^{-1} \Lambda^*_{\beta}$ the symbolic space. Let $a = a_{\beta} := 1/\beta$ and $b = b_{\beta} := 1/\beta(\beta - 1)$. Define $\mathcal{F} := \{\beta \in (1, 2] : a \text{ and } b \text{ fall in } \Delta_{\beta}\}$ under iteration} and $\mathcal{N}=I\backslash\mathcal{F},$ i.e., a or b does not fall in the hole Δ_{β} under T_β for $\beta \in \mathcal{N}$. Proposition [2.1](#page-5-0) shows that Σ^*_{β} is a subshift of finite type for $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.1. The case where $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$. In this section, we discuss the case where $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$. The aim of this section is to define a new map \mathbf{T}_{β} which enables us to apply the results of Afraimovich and Bunimovich [\[1](#page-10-0)] on open systems. Introduce a piecewise linear map $\mathbf{T}_{\beta}: I \to I$ from T_{β} as follows.

$$
\mathbf{T}_{\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} T_{\beta}(x), & \text{if } x \notin \Delta_{\beta}; \\ x, & \text{if } x \in \Delta_{\beta}. \end{cases}
$$

That is, those points which fall in Δ_{β} under iteration of T_{β} are stuck by \mathbf{T}_{β} .

For $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$, let $i_* \geq 1$ be such that $T_{\beta}^{i_*}$ $\mathcal{P}_{\beta}^{i_{*}} \ast \in \Delta_{\beta}$ and $T_{\beta}^{i_{*}} \notin \Delta_{\beta}$ for $0 \leq i \leq i_*$, where $*$ stands for a or b. That is, i_* is the first return time

of the orbits of $*$ falls in the hole Δ_{β} . Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\beta} = \{T_{\beta}^{k} a\}_{k=0}^{i_a}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\beta} = \{T_{\beta}^{k}b\}_{k=0}^{i_{b}}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\beta} = \mathcal{A}_{\beta} \cup \mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ be an ordered set in \mathbb{R} . Then \mathcal{C}_{β} is a partition of I. For $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$, the following lemma provides the explicit representation of the Markov partition of \mathbf{T}_{β} .

Lemma 2.4. For $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$, \mathcal{C}_{β} is a Markov partition for \mathbf{T}_{β} .

Proof. If suffices to show that $\mathbf{T}_{\beta}z \in \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$. We claim that, if $z \in \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$ and $T_{\beta}z \notin \Delta_{\beta}$, then $\mathbf{T}_{\beta}z = T_{\beta}z \in \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$. If this is not the case, then $T_{\beta}z \in \Delta_{\beta}$ implies $\mathbf{T}_{\beta}z = z \in \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$, which completes the proof. \square

For $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$, let $\eta = {\eta_j}_{j \in \mathcal{I}}$ be the Markov partition of \mathbf{T}_{β} (Lemma [2.4\)](#page-7-0). Decompose the index set $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_H \cup \mathcal{I}_0$, where $\mathcal{I}_H = \{i \in \mathcal{I} : \eta_i \subseteq \Delta_\beta\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_H$, and let A_β be the corresponding transition matrix, i.e., $A_{\beta}(i, j) = 1$ if $\mathbf{T}_{\beta} \eta_i \subseteq \eta_j$ and $A_{\beta}(i, j) = 0$ otherwise. Denote by A_{β}^- the 0-1 matrix which is derived by deleting the \mathcal{I}_H -columns and rows of A_{β} . Let $X_{\beta}^{-} = X_{A_{\beta}^{-}}$ be the subshift generated by the adjacency matrix A_{β}^- . Define $\Theta : \mathcal{I} \to \{0,1\}$ by $\Theta(i) = 0$ if $\eta_i \subseteq I_0$ and $\Theta(i) = 1$ if $\eta_i \subseteq I_1$. Let $\theta : X_{\beta}^{-} \to \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the map induced by Θ , i.e., $\theta(\omega) = (\Theta(\omega_1), \Theta(\omega_2), \ldots)$, where $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) \in X_{\beta}^-$. Since the indices of A_{β}^- are those intervals of $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_H$, it is evident that

(4)
$$
h_{top}(X_{\beta}^{-}) = h_{top}(\Sigma_{\beta}^{*}).
$$

Let M be a square matrix. We denote by ρ_M the maximal eigenvalue of M. Define $B_{\beta} = A_{\beta} \times \text{diag}(1/\beta, \dots, 1/\beta)$, and B_{β}^- is derived by deleting the \mathcal{I}_H -columns and rows of B_β . The following result in [\[1](#page-10-0)] links the escape rate e_{β} with the entropy $h_{top}(\Sigma_{\beta}^{*}).$

Lemma 2.5 (Theorem 4, [\[1](#page-10-0)]). The measure $\lambda(\Gamma_{\beta,n})$ satisfies the following asymptotic equality:

$$
\lambda(\Gamma_{\beta,n}) \simeq Q_0(n)\rho_{B_\beta}^n \lambda(\Delta_\beta),
$$

where $Q_0(n)$ is a polynomial with degree less then the number of the holes m (herein $m = 1$).

Proof of Theorem [1.7](#page-4-0) for $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\rho_{B_{\beta}} = \beta^{-1} \rho_{A_{\beta}}$, Lemma [2.5](#page-7-1) is applied to show that

$$
e_{\beta} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \lambda(\Gamma_{\beta,n})}{n} = -\log \rho_{B_{\beta}^{-}} = \log \beta - \log \rho_{A_{\beta}^{-}}
$$

$$
= \log \beta - h_{top}(X_{\beta}^{-}) = \log \beta - h_{top}(\Sigma_{\beta}^{*}).
$$

Since $\dim_H \mathcal{U}_\beta = \frac{h_{top}(\Sigma^*_\beta)}{\log \beta}$ $\frac{\partial p(z_{\beta})}{\partial \alpha \beta}$ (Theorem 1.3, [\[15](#page-11-5)]), we have

$$
E_{\beta} = \frac{e_{\beta}}{\log \beta} = 1 - \frac{h_{top}(\Sigma^*)}{\log \beta} = 1 - \dim_H \mathcal{U}_{\beta}.
$$

This completes the proof for $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.2. The case where $\beta \in \mathcal{N}$.

Proof of Theorem [1.7.](#page-4-0) Let $\beta \in \mathcal{N}$, $\Lambda^* = \Lambda^*_{\beta}$, $T^* = T^*_{\beta}$, $e = e_{\beta}$, and $E = E_{\beta}$. The idea of this proof is to approximate (Λ^*, T^*) by the open systems $\{(\Lambda_i^*, T_i^*)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\Sigma_i^* = \pi_{\beta}^{-1} \Lambda_i^*$ is a SFT for all $l \geq 1$.

It suffices to prove the case of $T^n a \notin \Delta_\beta$ for all $n \geq 1$ and b fall in Δ_{β} under iteration of T. The same argument remains valid for other cases. Since the set of points whose orbits fall in the hole Δ_{β} is of full Lebesgue measure (Remark 2.2 (ii)), we construct two sequences ${a_l}_{l=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and ${i_l}_{l=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_l \le a_{l+1}$, $\lim_{l \to \infty} a_l = a = \frac{1}{\beta}$ $\frac{1}{\beta},$ $T^{i_l}a_l \in \Delta_\beta$, and $T^i a_l \notin \Delta_\beta$ for $1 \leq i < i_l$. Denote by Λ_l^* the set of points whose orbits never fall in $\Delta_l := (a_l, \frac{1}{\beta(\beta-1)})$. Thus we have $\Lambda_l^* \subseteq \Lambda_{l+1}^*$ and $\Lambda_{\beta}^* = \overline{\cup_{l=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_l^*}$. Define $\Sigma_l^* = \pi_{\beta}^{-1} \Lambda_l^*$, Proposition [2.1](#page-5-0) infers that Σ_l^* is a SFT (sinec a_l and $b = \frac{1}{\beta(\beta-1)}$ fall in Δ_l). Construct $A_l, A_l^-, B_l, B_l^-,$ and X_l^- analogously to the case where $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\rho_l = \rho_{B_l^-}$. We then have $h_{top}(\Lambda_l) = h_{top}(\Sigma_l) = \log \rho_l$ under the same discussion of [\(4\)](#page-7-2).

Clearly, $\rho_{l+1} \geq \rho_l$. We claim that the sequence $\{a_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{i_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ can be chosen so that $\rho_{l+1} > \rho_l$. Since Σ_l^* is a SFT, Theorem 6.4 in [\[7](#page-10-4)] shows that the number of topologically transitive components of $(\Lambda_l^*)^{\text{nw}}$ of Λ_l^* is at most 2 (it is 2r actually, where r is the number of holes, and $r = 1$ in our case), where "nw" stands for the non-wandering set of Λ_t^* . Since 0 is a trivial transitive component, thus the number of non-trivial proper topological transitive components with uncountable elements is exact one.

Once the pair (a_l, i_l) has been chosen for $l \geq 1$, we pick a pair (a_{l+1}, i_{l+1}) such that $T^{i_{l+1}}a_{l+1} \in \Delta_{l+1}$ but $T^{i_l}a_{l+1} \notin \Delta_l$. That is, a_{l+1} does not fall in the hole Δ_l for the first i_l iterations. This is possible since $\beta > 1$ and the function $a \to T^{i_l}a$ grows fast with respect to a if $i_l \geq 1$ is large enough. Therefore, a_{l+1} can be chosen among such those points and wait for its orbit fall in the hole Δ_{l+1} again. For each $l \geq 1$, T_l^* admits a Markov partition and is topologically transitive. Let $A_l^$ and A_{l+1}^- be the corresponding adjacency matrices. We may assume that A_{l}^- and A_{l+1}^- are of the same size, otherwise one can present both of them by Nth higher block representation (Definition 1.4.1, [\[19\]](#page-11-11)) until the lengths of all forbidden sets in X_l^- and X_{l+1}^- are less than N for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. From the construction of (a_{l+1}, i_{l+1}) above we know that there exists at least a word which belongs to X_{l+1}^- but not belong to X_l^- . That is, $A_l^- < A_{l+1}^-$. Thus, we have $B_l^- < B_{l+1}^-$. Theorem 4.4.7 in [\[19\]](#page-11-11) indicates that $\rho_l < \rho_{l+1}$.

Since $\Lambda^* = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_l^*$, it follows from Lemma 4.1.10 in [\[2\]](#page-10-9) (see Remark [2.6](#page-9-0) below) that

$$
h_{top}(\Lambda^*) = \sup_l h_{top}(\Lambda_l^*) = \lim_{l \to \infty} h_{top}(\Lambda_l^*).
$$

Let e_l (resp. E_l) be the escape rate corresponding to the hole Δ_l . From Lemma [2.5,](#page-7-1) we deduce that, for all $l \geq 1$,

$$
e_l = \log \beta - \log \rho_l
$$
 and $E_l = \frac{e_l}{\log \beta} = 1 - \frac{\log \rho_l}{\log \beta} = 1 - \frac{h_{top}(\Lambda_l^*)}{\log \beta}$.

Taking $l \to \infty$, then we have

$$
E = \lim_{l \to \infty} E_l = 1 - \frac{1}{\log \beta} \lim_{l \to \infty} h_{top}(\Lambda_l^*) = 1 - \frac{1}{\log \beta} h_{top}(\Lambda^*) = 1 - \dim_H \mathcal{U}_{\beta}.
$$

The last equality comes from the fact that $\dim_H \mathcal{U}_\beta = \frac{h_{top}(\Lambda^*)}{\log \beta}$ $\frac{\log(N)}{\log \beta}$ for gen-eral subshift (Theorem 1.3, [\[15\]](#page-11-5)). That is, $\dim_H \mathcal{U}_{\beta} + E = 1$, which establishes the formula.

Remark 2.6. Lemma 4.1.10 in [\[2\]](#page-10-9) shows that if $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Y_i$, and the sets Y_i are closed and invariant, then $h_{top}(X) = \max_i h_{top}(Y_i)$. However, the Theorem stays true if we replace a finite cover of X by an infinite one (see [\[2\]](#page-10-9) for more details).

Proof of Corollary [1.8.](#page-4-1) (1) and (3) of Corollary [1.8](#page-4-1) are the immediate consequences of the following facts: (i) $\dim_H \mathcal{U}_\beta = 0$ for $1 < \beta \leq \beta_*$, (ii) dim_H $\mathcal{U}_2 = 1$, and (iii) dim_H $\mathcal{U}_{\beta} = \frac{h_{top}(Z_{t_1 \cdots t_p})}{\log \beta}$ $\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \cdots \sum_{p} f}{\log \beta}$ for $\beta \in [\beta_L, \beta_U]$, where $h_{top}(Z_{t_1\cdots t_p})$ is the topological entropy of the SFT [\(3\)](#page-5-1) (Theorem 2.6, [\[18\]](#page-11-6)). Finally, combining Theorem [1.7](#page-4-0) with the fact that $q \to \dim_H \mathcal{U}_\beta$ forms a devil staircase function (Theorem 1.7, [\[15](#page-11-5)]) yields (2). This completes the proof. \Box

REFERENCES

- 1. V. S. Afraimovich and L. A. Bunimovich, Which hole is leaking the most: a topological approach to study open systems, Nonlinearity **23** (2010), no. 3, 643–656.
- 2. L. Alsedà, J. Llibre, and M. Misiurewicz, *Combinatorial dynam*ics and entropy in dimension one, Advanced Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, 5. World Scietific, Singapore, 2000.
- 3. S. Baker, K. Dajani, and K. Jiang, On univoque points for selfsimilar sets, arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.3263 (2014).
- 4. J.-C. Ban, C.-H. Hsu, and S.-S. Lin, Devil's staircase of gap maps, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. 13 (2003), no. 01, 115– 122.
- 5. R. A. Barrera, Topological and ergodic properties of symmetric subshifts, arXiv preprint arXiv:1306.2054 (2013).
- 6. <u>January endominate</u> of the doubling map with a hole, Ph.D. thesis, University of Manchester, 2014.
- 7. S. Bundfuss, T. Krüger, and S. Troubetzkoy, *Topological and sym*bolic dynamics for hyperbolic systems with holes, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 31 (2011), no. 5, 1305–1323.
- 8. L. Bunimovich and A. Yurchenko, Where to place a hole to achieve a maximal escape rate, Israel J. Math. 182 (2011), no. 1, 229–252.
- 9. M. Demers, P. Wright, and L.-S. Young, Escape rates and physically relevant measures for billiards with small holes, Comm. Math. Phys. 294 (2010), no. 2, 353–388.
- 10. M. Demers and L.-S. Young, Escape rates and conditionally invariant measures, Nonlinearity 19 (2006), no. 2, 377–397.
- 11. P. Erdös, I. Joó, and V. Komornik, *Characterization of the unique* expansions $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-n_i}$ and related problems, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France 118 (1990), no. 3, 377–390.
- 12. D.-J. Feng and N. Sidorov, Growth rate for beta-expansions, Monatshefte für Mathematik 162 (2011), no. 1, 41–60.
- 13. P. Glendinning and N. Sidorov, Unique representations of real num*bers in non-integer bases*, Math. Res. Lett. $8(2001)$, no. 4, 535–544.
- 14. G. Keller and C. Liverani, Rare events, escape rates and quasistationarity: some exact formulae, J. Stat. Phys. 135 (2009), no. 3, 519–534.
- 15. V. Komornik, D.-R. Kong, and W.-X. Li, Hausdorff dimension of univoque sets and devil's staircase, arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.00475 (2015).
- 16. V. Komornik and P. Loreti, Unique developments in non-integer bases, Amer. Math. Monthly (1998), 636–639.
- 17. V. Komornik and P. Loreti, On the topological structure of univoque sets, Journal of Number Theory 122 (2007), no. 1, 157–183.
- 18. D.-R. Kong and W.-X. Li, Hausdorff dimension of unique beta expansions, Nonlinearity 28 (2015), no. 1, 187–209.
- 19. D. Lind and B. Marcus, An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- 20. M. Misiurewicz, Entropy of maps with horizontal gaps, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. 14 (2004), no. 04, 1489–1492.
- 21. A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, Acta Math. Hungar. 8 (1957), no. 3, 477–493.
- 22. N. Sidorov, Almost every number has a continuum of β -expansions, Amer. Math. Monthly (2003), 838–842.

(Jung-Chao Ban) Department of Applied Mathematics, National Dong Hwa University, Hualien 970003, Taiwan, R.O.C. E-mail address: jcban@mail.ndhu.edu.tw

(Chih-Hung Chang) Department of Applied Mathematics, National University of Kaohsiung, Kaohsiung 81148, Taiwan, R.O.C. $E-mail$ $address:$ chchang@nuk.edu.tw

(Bing Li) Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, PR China

E-mail address: libing0826@gmail.com