

Generalized Gompertz-power series distributions

S. Tahmasebi¹ , A. A. Jafari^{2,*}

¹Department of Statistics, Persian Gulf University, Bushehr, Iran

²Department of Statistics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the generalized Gompertz-power series class of distributions which is obtained by compounding generalized Gompertz and power series distributions. This compounding procedure follows same way that was previously carried out by Silva et al. (2013) and Barreto-Souza et al. (2011) in introducing the compound class of extended Weibull-power series distribution and the Weibull-geometric distribution, respectively. This distribution contains several lifetime models such as generalized Gompertz, generalized Gompertz-geometric, generalized Gompertz-poisson, generalized Gompertz-binomial distribution, and generalized Gompertz-logarithmic distribution as special cases. The hazard rate function of the new class of distributions can be increasing, decreasing and bathtub-shaped. We obtain several properties of this distribution such as its probability density function, Shannon entropy, its mean residual life and failure rate functions, quantiles and moments. The maximum likelihood estimation procedure via a EM-algorithm is presented, and sub-models of the distribution are studied in details.

Keywords: EM algorithm, Generalized Gompertz distribution, Maximum likelihood estimation, Power series distributions.

1 Introduction

The exponential distribution is commonly used in many applied problems, particularly in lifetime data analysis (Lawless, 2003). A generalization of this distribution is the Gompertz distribution. It is a lifetime distribution and is often applied to describe the distribution of adult life spans by actuaries and demographers. The Gompertz distribution is considered for the analysis of survival in some sciences such as biology, gerontology, computer, and marketing science. Recently, Gupta and Kundu (1999) defined the generalized exponential distribution

*Corresponding: aajafari@yazd.ac.ir

and in similar manner, El-Gohary et al. (2013) introduced the generalized Gompertz (GG) distribution. A random variable X is said to have a GG distribution denoted by $GG(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, if its cumulative distribution function (cdf) is

$$G(x) = [1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}]^\alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0, \quad \gamma > 0; \quad x \geq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

and the probability density function (pdf) is

$$g(x) = \alpha\beta e^{\gamma x} e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)} [1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}]^{\alpha-1}. \quad (1.2)$$

The GG distribution is a flexible distribution that can be skewed to the right and to the left, and the well-known distributions are special cases of this distribution: the generalized exponential proposed by Gupta and Kundu (1999) when $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$, the Gompertz distribution when $\alpha = 1$, and the exponential distribution when $\alpha = 1$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$.

In this paper, we compound the generalized Gompertz and power series distributions, and introduce a new class of distribution. This procedure follows similar way that was previously carried out by some authors: The exponential-power series distribution is introduced by Chahkandi and Ganjali (2009) which is concluded the exponential-geometric (Adamidis et al., 2005; Adamidis and Loukas, 1998), exponential-Poisson (Kuş, 2007), and exponential-logarithmic (Tahmasbi and Rezaei, 2008) distributions; the Weibull-power series distributions is introduced by Morais and Barreto-Souza (2011) and is a generalization of the exponential-power series distribution; the generalized exponential-power series distribution is introduced by Mahmoudi and Jafari (2012) which is concluded the Poisson-exponential (Cancho et al., 2011; Louzada-Neto et al., 2011) complementary exponential-geometric (Louzada et al., 2011), and the complementary exponential-power series (Flores et al., 2013) distributions; linear failure rate-power series distributions (Mahmoudi and Jafari, 2014).

The remainder of our paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give the probability density and failure rate functions of the new distribution. Some properties such as quantiles, moments, order statistics, Shannon entropy and mean residual life are given in Section 3. In Section 4, we consider four special cases of this new distribution. We discuss estimation by maximum likelihood and provide an expression for Fisher's information matrix in Section 5. A simulation study is performed in Section 6. An application is given in the Section 7.

2 The generalized Gompertz-power series model

A discrete random variable, N is a member of power series distributions (truncated at zero) if its probability mass function is given by

$$p_n = P(N = n) = \frac{a_n \theta^n}{C(\theta)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

where $a_n \geq 0$ depends only on n , $C(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \theta^n$, and $\theta \in (0, s)$ (s can be ∞) is such that $C(\theta)$ is finite. Table 1 summarizes some particular cases of the truncated (at zero) power series distributions (geometric, Poisson, logarithmic and binomial). Detailed properties of power series distribution can be found in Noack (1950). Here, $C'(\theta)$, $C''(\theta)$ and $C'''(\theta)$ denote the first, second and third derivatives of $C(\theta)$ with respect to θ , respectively.

Table 1: Useful quantities for some power series distributions.

Distribution	a_n	$C(\theta)$	$C'(\theta)$	$C''(\theta)$	$C'''(\theta)$	s
Geometric	1	$\theta(1-\theta)^{-1}$	$(1-\theta)^{-2}$	$2(1-\theta)^{-3}$	$6(1-\theta)^{-4}$	1
Poisson	$n!^{-1}$	$e^\theta - 1$	e^θ	e^θ	e^θ	∞
Logarithmic	n^{-1}	$-\log(1-\theta)$	$(1-\theta)^{-1}$	$(1-\theta)^{-2}$	$2(1-\theta)^{-3}$	1
Binomial	$\binom{m}{n}$	$(1+\theta)^m - 1$	$\frac{m}{(\theta+1)^{1-m}}$	$\frac{m(m-1)}{(\theta+1)^{2-m}}$	$\frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{(\theta+1)^{3-m}}$	∞

We define generalized Gompertz-Power Series (GGPS) class of distributions denoted as GGPS($\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta$) with cdf

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n (\theta G(x))^n}{C(\theta)} = \frac{C(\theta G(x))}{C(\theta)} = \frac{C(\theta t^\alpha)}{C(\theta)}, \quad x > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $t = 1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}$. The pdf of this distribution is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{\theta \alpha \beta}{C(\theta)} e^{\gamma x} (1-t) t^{\alpha-1} C'(\theta t^\alpha). \quad (2.3)$$

This class of distribution is obtained by compounding the Gompertz distribution and power series class of distributions as follows. Let N be a random variable denoting the number of failure causes which it is a member of power series distributions (truncated at zero). For given N , let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be a independent random sample of size N from a GG(α, β, γ) distribution. Let $X_{(N)} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} X_i$. Then, the conditional cdf of $X_{(N)} \mid N = n$ is given by

$$G_{X_{(N)} \mid N=n}(x) = [1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}]^{n\alpha},$$

which has GG($n\alpha, \beta, \gamma$) distribution. Hence, we obtain

$$P(X_{(N)} \leq x, N = n) = \frac{a_n (\theta G(x))^n}{C(\theta)} = \frac{a_n \theta^n}{C(\theta)} [1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}]^{n\alpha}.$$

Therefore, the marginal cdf of $X_{(N)}$ has GGPS distribution. This class of distributions can be applied to reliability problems. Therefore, some of its properties are investigated in the following.

Proposition 1. *The pdf of GGPS class can be expressed as infinite linear combination of pdf of order distribution, i.e. it can be written as*

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n g_{(n)}(x; n\alpha, \beta, \gamma), \quad (2.4)$$

where $g_{(n)}(x; n\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the pdf of $GG(n\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof. Consider $t = 1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{\theta\alpha\beta}{C(\theta)} e^{\gamma x} (1-t)t^{\alpha-1} C'(\theta t^\alpha) = \frac{\theta\alpha\beta}{C(\theta)} e^{\gamma x} (1-t)t^{\alpha-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n (\theta t^\alpha)^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \theta^n}{C(\theta)} n \alpha \beta (1-t) e^{\gamma x} t^{n\alpha-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n g_{(n)}(x; n\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2. *The limiting distribution of $GGPS(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ when $\theta \rightarrow 0^+$ is*

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} F(x) = [1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}]^{c\alpha},$$

which is a GG distribution with parameters $c\alpha$, β , and γ , where $c = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n > 0\}$.

Proof. Consider $t = 1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} F(x) &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{C(\lambda t^\alpha)}{C(\theta)} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \theta^n t^{n\alpha}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \theta^n} \\ &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{a_c t^{c\alpha} + \sum_{n=c+1}^{\infty} a_n \theta^{n-c} t^{n\alpha}}{a_c + \sum_{n=c+1}^{\infty} a_n \theta^{n-c}} = t^{c\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3. *The limiting distribution of $GGPS(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ when $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$ is*

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0^+} F(x) = \frac{C(\theta(1 - e^{-\beta x})^\alpha)}{C(\theta)},$$

i.e. the cdf of the generalized exponential-power series class of distribution introduced by Mahmoudi and Jafari (2012).

Proof. When $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$, the generalized Gompertz distribution becomes to generalized exponential distribution. Therefore, proof is obvious. \square

Proposition 4. *The hazard rate function of the GGPS class of distributions is*

$$h(x) = \frac{\theta\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x}(1-t)t^{\alpha-1}C'(\theta t^\alpha)}{C(\theta) - C(\theta t^\alpha)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $t = 1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x}-1)}$.

Proof. Using (2.2), (2.3) and definition of hazard rate function as $h(x) = f(x)/(1 - F(x))$, the proof is obvious. \square

Proposition 5. *For the pdf in (2.3), we have*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ \frac{C'(0)\theta\beta}{C(\theta)} & \alpha = 1 \\ 0 & \alpha > 1, \end{cases} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is a forward calculation using the following limits

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} t^{\alpha-1} = \begin{cases} \infty & 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ 1 & \alpha = 1 \\ 0 & \alpha > 1, \end{cases} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} t^\alpha = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} t = 1.$$

\square

Proposition 6. *For the hazard rate function in (2.5), we have*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} h(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ \frac{C'(0)\theta\beta}{C(\theta)} & \alpha = 1 \\ 0 & \alpha > 1, \end{cases} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & \gamma > 0 \\ \beta & \gamma \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+}(1 - F(x)) = 1$, we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} h(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x)$.

For $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x)$, the proof is satisfied using the limits

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} C'(\theta t^\alpha) &= C'(\theta), & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} t^{\alpha-1} &= 1, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{\gamma x}(1-t)}{C(\theta) - C(\theta t^\alpha)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{\gamma x}(1-t)[\beta e^{\gamma x} - \gamma]}{\theta\beta\alpha C'(\theta)e^{\gamma x}(1-t)} = \begin{cases} \infty & \gamma > 0 \\ \frac{1}{\theta\alpha C'(\theta)} & \gamma \rightarrow 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

\square

As a example, we consider $C(\theta) = \theta + \theta^{20}$. The plots of pdf and hazard rate function of GGPS for parameters $\beta = 1, \gamma = .01, \theta = 1.0$, and $\alpha = 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$ are given in Figure 1. This pdf is bimodal when $\alpha = 2.0$, and the values of modes are 0.7 and 3.51.




Figure 1: Plots of pdf and hazard rate functions of GGPS with $C(\theta) = \theta + \theta^{20}$.

3 Statistical properties

In this section, some properties of GGPS distribution such as quantiles, moments, order statistics, Shannon entropy and mean residual life are obtained.

3.1 Quantiles and Moments

The quantile q of GGPS is given by

$$x_q = G^{-1}\left(\frac{C^{-1}(qC(\theta))}{\theta}\right), \quad 0 < q < 1,$$

where $G^{-1}(y) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \log\left[1 - \frac{\gamma \log(1-y^{\frac{1}{\gamma}})}{\beta}\right]$ and $C^{-1}(\cdot)$ is the inverse function of $C(\cdot)$. This result helps in simulating data from the GGPS distribution with generating uniform distribution data.

For checking the consistency of the simulating data set form GGPS distribution, the histogram for a generated data set with size 100 and the exact pdf of GGPS with $C(\theta) = \theta + \theta^{20}$, and parameters $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 0.01$, $\theta = 1.0$, are displayed in Figure 2 (left). Also, the empirical cdf and the exact cdf are given in Figure 2 (right).

Consider $X \sim \text{GGPS}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$. Then the Laplace transform of the GGPS class can be expressed as

$$L(s) = E(e^{-sX}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(N = n)L_n(s), \quad (3.1)$$

where $L_n(s)$ is the Laplace transform of $\text{GG}(n\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ distribution given as

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(s) &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-sx} n\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x} e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x}-1)} \left[1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x}-1)}\right]^{n\alpha-1} dx \\ &= n\alpha\beta \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(\gamma-s)x} e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x}-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n\alpha-1}{j} (-1)^j e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}j(e^{\gamma x}-1)} dx \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: The histogram of a generated data set with the pdf (left) and the empirical cdf with cdf (right) of GGPS distribution.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= n\alpha\beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n\alpha-1}{j} (-1)^j e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(\gamma-s)x} e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)e^{\gamma x}} dx \\
&= n\alpha\beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n\alpha-1}{j} (-1)^j e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(\gamma-s)x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k e^{\gamma kx}}{\Gamma(k+1)} dx \\
&= n\alpha\beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n\alpha-1}{j} \frac{(-1)^{j+k} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left[\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right]^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(s-\gamma-\gamma k)}, \quad s > \gamma. \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we obtain the moment generating function of GGPS.

$$\begin{aligned}
M_X(t) &= E(e^{tX}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(N=n) L_n(-t) \\
&= \alpha\beta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \theta^n}{C(\theta)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{n \binom{n\alpha-1}{j} (-1)^{j+k+1} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(t+\gamma+\gamma k)} \\
&= \alpha\beta E_N \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N \binom{N\alpha-1}{j} (-1)^{j+k+1} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(t+\gamma+\gamma k)} \right], \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where N is a random variable from the power series family with the probability mass function in (2.1) and $E_N[U]$ is expectation of U with respect to random variable N .

We can use $M_X(t)$ to obtain the non-central moments, $\mu_r = E[X^r]$. But from the direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_r &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \theta^n}{C(\theta)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{n\alpha\beta \binom{n\alpha-1}{j} (-1)^{j+k+r+1} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k \Gamma(r+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma+\gamma k)^{r+1}} \\
&= \alpha\beta E_N \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N \binom{N\alpha-1}{j} (-1)^{j+k+r+1} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k \Gamma(r+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma+\gamma k)^{r+1}} \right]. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7. For non-central moment function in 3.4, we have

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \mu_r = E[Y^r],$$

where Y has $\text{GG}(c\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $c = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n > 0\}$.

Proof. If Y has $\text{GG}(c\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then

$$E[Y^r] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c\alpha\beta \binom{c\alpha-1}{j} (-1)^{j+k+r+1} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k \Gamma(r+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma + \gamma k)^{r+1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \mu_r &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \theta^n E[Y^r]}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \theta^n} \\ &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{a_c E[Y^r] + \sum_{n=c+1}^{\infty} a_n \theta^{n-c} E[Y^r]}{a_c + \sum_{n=c+1}^{\infty} a_n \theta^{n-c}} \\ &= E[Y^r]. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2 Order statistic

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be an independent random sample of size n from $\text{GGPS}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$. Then, the pdf of the i th order statistic, say $X_{i:n}$, is given by

$$f_{i:n}(x) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-i)!} f(x) \left[\frac{C(\theta t^\alpha)}{C(\theta)} \right]^{i-1} \left[1 - \frac{C(\theta t^\alpha)}{C(\theta)} \right]^{n-i},$$

where f is the pdf given in (2.3) and $t = 1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}$. Also, the cdf of $X_{i:n}$ is given by

$$F_{i:n}(x) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-i)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \frac{(-1)^k \binom{n-i}{k}}{k+i+1} \left[\frac{C(t^\alpha)}{C(\theta)} \right]^{k+i}.$$

An analytical expression for r th non-central moment of order statistics $X_{i:n}$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_{i:n}^r] &= r \sum_{k=n-i+1}^n (-1)^{k-n+i-1} \binom{k-1}{n-i} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^{+\infty} x^{r-1} S(x)^k dx \\ &= r \sum_{k=n-i+1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-n+i-1}}{[C(\theta)]^k} \binom{k-1}{n-i} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^{+\infty} x^{r-1} [C(\theta) - C(\theta t^\alpha)]^k dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $S(x) = 1 - F(x)$ is the survival function of GGPS distribution.

3.3 Shannon entropy and mean residual life

If X is a none-negative continuous random variable with pdf f , then Shannon's entropy of X is defined by Shannon (1948) as

$$H(f) = E[-\log f(X)] = - \int_0^{+\infty} f(x) \log(f(x)) dx,$$

and this is usually referred to as the continuous entropy (or differential entropy). An explicit expression of Shannon entropy for GGPS distribution is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} H(f) &= E\left\{-\log\left[\frac{\theta\alpha\beta}{C(\theta)}e^{\gamma X}(e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma X}-1)})(1-e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma X}-1)})^{\alpha-1}C'\left(\theta(1-e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma X}-1)})^\alpha\right)\right]\right\} \\ &= -\log\left[\frac{\theta\beta\alpha}{C(\theta)}\right] - \gamma E(X) + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}E(e^{\gamma X}) - \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \\ &\quad - (\alpha-1)E[\log(1-e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma X}-1)})] - E[\log(C'\left(\theta(1-e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma X}-1)})^\alpha\right))] \\ &= -\log\left[\frac{\theta\beta\alpha}{C(\theta)}\right] - \gamma\mu_1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}M_X(\gamma) - \frac{\beta}{\gamma} - (\alpha-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}P(N=n)\int_0^1 n\alpha t^{n\alpha-1}\log(t)dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}P(N=n)\int_0^1 nu^{n-1}\log(C'(\theta u))du \\ &= -\log\left[\frac{\theta\beta\alpha}{C(\theta)}\right] - \gamma\mu_1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma}M_X(\gamma) - \frac{\beta}{\gamma} + \frac{(\alpha-1)}{\alpha}E_N\left[\frac{1}{N}\right] - E_N[A(N,\theta)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $A(N,\theta) = \int_0^1 Nu^{N-1}\log(C'(\theta u))du$, N is a random variable from the power series family with the probability mass function in (2.1), and $E_N[U]$ is expectation of U with respect to random variable N . In reliability theory and survival analysis, X usually denotes a duration such as the lifetime. The residual lifetime of the system when it is still operating at time s , is $X_s = X - s \mid X > s$ which has pdf

$$f(x;s) = \frac{f(x)}{1-F(s)} = \frac{\theta g(x)C'(\theta G(x))}{C(\theta) - C(\theta G(s))}, \quad x \geq s > 0.$$

Also, the mean residual lifetime of X_s is given by

$$\begin{aligned} m(s) = E[X - s \mid X > s] &= \frac{\int_s^{+\infty} (x-s)f(x)dx}{1-F(s)} \\ &= \frac{\int_s^{+\infty} xf(x)dx}{1-F(s)} - s \\ &= \frac{C(\theta)E_N[Z(s,N)]}{C(\theta) - C(\theta[1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma s}-1)]^\alpha})} - s, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z(s,n) = \int_s^{+\infty} xg_{(n)}(x;n\alpha,\beta,\gamma)dx$, and $g_{(n)}(x;n\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is the pdf of $GG(n\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$.

4 Special cases of GGPS distribution

In this Section, we consider four special cases of the GGPS distribution. To simplify, we consider $t = 1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}$, $x > 0$, and $A_j = \binom{n\alpha - 1}{j}$.

4.1 Generalized Gompertz-geometric distribution

The geometric distribution (truncated at zero) is a special case of power series distributions with $a_n = 1$ and $C(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ ($0 < \theta < 1$). The pdf and hazard rate function of generalized Gompertz-geometric (GGG) distribution is given respectively by

$$f(x) = \frac{(1-\theta)\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x}(1-t)t^{\alpha-1}}{(\theta t^\alpha - 1)^2}, \quad x > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$h(x) = \frac{(1-\theta)\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x}(1-t)t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-\theta t^\alpha)(1-t^\alpha)}, \quad x > 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Remark 4.1. Consider

$$f_M(x) = \frac{\theta^* \alpha \beta e^{\gamma x} (1-t) t^{\alpha-1}}{((1-\theta^*)t^\alpha - 1)^2}, \quad x > 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\theta^* = 1 - \theta$. Then $f_M(x)$ is pdf for all $\theta^* > 0$ (see Marshall and Olkin, 1997). Note that when $\alpha = 1$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 0^+$, the pdf of extended exponential geometric (EEG) distribution (Adamidis et al., 2005) is concluded from (4.3). The EEG hazard function is monotonically increasing for $\theta^* > 1$; decreasing for $0 < \theta^* < 1$ and constant for $\theta^* = 1$.

Remark 4.2. If $\alpha = \theta^* = 1$, then the pdf in (4.3) becomes the pdf of Gompertz distribution. Note that the hazard rate function of Gompertz distribution is $h(x) = \beta e^{\gamma x}$ which is increasing.

The plots of pdf and hazard rate function of GGG for different values of α , β , γ and θ^* are given in Figure 3.

Theorem 4.1. Consider the GGG hazard function in (4.2). Then, for $\alpha \geq 1$, the hazard function is increasing and for $0 < \alpha < 1$, is decreasing and bathtub shaped.

Proof. See Appendix A.1. □

The first and second non-central moments of GGG are given by

$$E(X) = \alpha\beta(1-\theta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\theta^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_j (-1)^{j+k} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma + \gamma k)^2},$$

$$E(X^2) = 2\alpha\beta(1-\theta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\theta^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_j (-1)^{j+k+3} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma + \gamma k)^3}.$$




Figure 3: Plots of pdf and hazard rate function of GGG for different values α , β , γ and θ^* .

4.2 Generalized Gompertz-Poisson distribution

The Poisson distribution (truncated at zero) is a special case of power series distributions with $a_n = \frac{1}{n!}$ and $C(\theta) = e^\theta - 1$ ($\theta > 0$). The pdf and hazard rate function of generalized Gompertz-Poisson (GGP) distribution are given respectively by

$$f(x) = \theta\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x - \theta} (1-t)t^{\alpha-1} e^{\theta t^\alpha}, \quad x > 0 \quad (4.4)$$

$$h(x) = \frac{\theta\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x} (1-t)t^{\alpha-1} e^{\theta t^\alpha}}{e^\theta - e^{\theta t^\alpha}}, \quad x > 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Theorem 4.2. Consider the GGP hazard function in (4.5). Then, for $\alpha \geq 1$, the hazard function is increasing and for $0 < \alpha < 1$, is decreasing and bathtub shaped.

Proof. See Appendix A.2. □

The first and second non-central moments of GGP can be computed as

$$E(X) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{e^\theta - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^n}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_j (-1)^{j+k} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma + \gamma k)^2},$$

$$E(X^2) = \frac{2\alpha\beta}{e^\theta - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^n}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_j (-1)^{j+k+3} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma + \gamma k)^3}.$$




Figure 4: Plots of pdf and hazard rate function of GGP for different values α , β , γ and θ .

The plots of pdf and hazard rate function of GGP for different values of α , β , γ and θ are given in Figure 4.

4.3 Generalized Gompertz-binomial distribution

The binomial distribution (truncated at zero) is a special case of power series distributions with $a_n = \binom{m}{n}$ and $C(\theta) = (\theta + 1)^m - 1$ ($\theta > 0$), where m ($n \leq m$) is the number of replicas. The pdf and hazard rate function of generalized Gompertz-binomial (GGB) distribution are given respectively by

$$f(x) = m\theta\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x}(1-t)t^{\alpha-1} \frac{(\theta t^\alpha + 1)^{m-1}}{(\theta + 1)^m - 1}, \quad x > 0, \quad (4.6)$$

$$h(x) = \frac{m\theta\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x}(1-t)t^{\alpha-1}(\theta t^\alpha + 1)^{m-1}}{(\theta + 1)^m - (\theta t^\alpha + 1)^m}, \quad x > 0. \quad (4.7)$$

The plots of pdf and hazard rate function of GGB for $m = 4$, and different values of α , β , γ and θ are given in Figure 5. We can find that the GGP distribution can be obtained as limiting of GGB distribution if $m\theta \rightarrow \lambda > 0$, when $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 4.3. Consider the GGB hazard function in (4.7). Then, for $\alpha \geq 1$, the hazard function is increasing and for $0 < \alpha < 1$, is decreasing and bathtub shaped.




Figure 5: Plots of pdf and hazard rate function of GGB for $m = 5$, and different values α , β , γ and θ .

Proof. The proof is omitted, since $\theta > 0$ and therefore the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

The first and second non-central moments of GGB are given by

$$E(X) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\theta+1)^m - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta^n n \binom{m}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_j (-1)^{j+k} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma + \gamma k)^2},$$

$$E(X^2) = \frac{2\alpha\beta}{(\theta+1)^m - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta^n n \binom{m}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_j (-1)^{j+k+3} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma + \gamma k)^3}.$$

4.4 Generalized Gompertz-logarithmic distribution

The logarithmic distribution (truncated at zero) is also a special case of power series distributions with $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and $C(\theta) = -\log(1 - \theta)$ ($0 < \theta < 1$). The pdf and hazard rate function of generalized Gompertz-logarithmic (GGL) distribution are given respectively by

$$f(x) = \frac{\theta\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x} (1-t)t^{\alpha-1}}{(\theta t^\alpha - 1) \log(1-\theta)}, \quad x > 0, \quad (4.8)$$

$$h(x) = \frac{\theta\alpha\beta e^{\gamma x} (1-t)t^{\alpha-1}}{(\theta t^\alpha - 1) \log\left(\frac{1-\theta}{1-\theta t^\alpha}\right)}, \quad x > 0. \quad (4.9)$$




Figure 6: Plots of pdf and hazard rate function of GGL for different values α , β , γ and θ .

The plots of pdf and hazard rate function of GGL for different values of α , β , γ and θ are given in Figure 6.

Theorem 4.4. *Consider the GGL hazard function in (4.9). Then, for $\alpha \geq 1$, the hazard function is increasing and for $0 < \alpha < 1$, is decreasing and bathtub shaped.*

Proof. The proof is omitted, since $0 < \theta < 1$ and therefore the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. □

The first and second non-central moments of GGL are

$$E(X) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{-\log(1-\theta)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_j (-1)^{j+k} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma + \gamma k)^2},$$

$$E(X^2) = \frac{2\alpha\beta}{-\log(1-\theta)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_j (-1)^{j+k+3} e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(j+1)\right)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)(\gamma + \gamma k)^3}.$$

5 Estimation and inference

In this section, we will derive the maximum likelihood estimators (MLE) of the unknown parameters $\Theta = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)^T$ of the GGPS($\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta$). Also, asymptotic confidence intervals of

these parameters will be derived based on the Fisher information. At the end, we proposed an Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm for estimating the parameters.

5.1 MLE for parameters

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be an independent random sample, with observed values x_1, \dots, x_n from $GGPS(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ and $\Theta = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)^T$ be a parameter vector. The log-likelihood function is given by

$$l_n = l_n(\Theta; \mathbf{x}) = n \log(\theta) + n \log(\alpha\beta) + n\gamma\bar{x} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - t_i) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(t_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)) - n \log(C(\theta)),$$

where $t_i = 1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x_i} - 1)}$. Therefore, the score function is given by $U(\Theta; \mathbf{x}) = (\frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \alpha}, \frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \beta}, \frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \gamma}, \frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \theta})^T$, where

$$\frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(t_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta t_i^\alpha \log(t_i) C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\gamma x_i} - n \right) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\frac{\partial t_i}{\partial \beta}}{t_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta \frac{\partial(t_i^\alpha)}{\partial \beta} C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \gamma} &= n\bar{x} + \frac{\beta}{\gamma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\gamma x_i} - n \right) - \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i e^{\gamma x_i} \right) \\ &\quad + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\frac{\partial t_i}{\partial \gamma}}{t_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta \frac{\partial(t_i^\alpha)}{\partial \gamma} C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i^\alpha C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} - \frac{n C'(\theta)}{C(\theta)}. \quad (5.4)$$

The MLE of Θ , say $\hat{\Theta}$, is obtained by solving the nonlinear system $U(\Theta; \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$. We cannot get an explicit form for this nonlinear system of equations and they can be calculated by using a numerical method, like the Newton method or the bisection method.

For each element of the power series distributions (geometric, Poisson, logarithmic and binomial), we have the following theorems for the MLE of parameters:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $g_1(\alpha; \beta, \gamma, \theta, \mathbf{x})$ denote the function on RHS of the expression in (5.1), where β, γ and θ are the true values of the parameters. Then, for a given $\beta > 0, \gamma > 0$ and $\theta > 0$, the roots of $g_1(\alpha; \beta, \gamma, \theta, \mathbf{x}) = 0$, lies in the interval*

$$\left(\frac{-n}{\frac{\theta C''(\theta)}{C'(\theta)} + 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log(t_i) \right)^{-1}, -n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log(t_i) \right)^{-1} \right),$$

Proof. See Appendix B.1. □

Theorem 5.2. Let $g_2(\beta; \alpha, \gamma, \theta, x)$ denote the function on RHS of the expression in (5.3), where α , γ and θ are the true values of the parameters. Then, the equation $g_2(\beta; \alpha, \gamma, \theta, x) = 0$ has at least one root.

Proof. See Appendix B.2. □

Theorem 5.3. Let $g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x)$ denote the function on RHS of the expression in (5.4) and $\bar{x} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, where α , β and γ are the true values of the parameters.

a) The equation $g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = 0$ has at least one root for all GGG, GGP and GGL distributions if $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$.

b) If $g_3(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = \frac{\partial \ln}{\partial p}$, where $p = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$ and $p \in (0, 1)$ then the equation $g_3(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = 0$ has at least one root for GGB distribution if $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{-\alpha} > \frac{nm}{m-1}$.

Proof. See Appendix B.3. □

Now, we derive asymptotic confidence intervals for the parameters of GGPS distribution. It is well-known that under regularity conditions (see Casella and Berger, 2001, Section 10), the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\Theta} - \Theta)$ is multivariate normal with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and variance-covariance matrix $J_n^{-1}(\Theta)$, where $J_n(\Theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n(\Theta)$, and $I_n(\Theta)$ is the 4×4 observed information matrix, i.e.

$$I_n(\Theta) = - \begin{bmatrix} I_{\alpha\alpha} & I_{\alpha\beta} & I_{\alpha\gamma} & I_{\alpha\theta} \\ I_{\beta\alpha} & I_{\beta\beta} & I_{\beta\gamma} & I_{\beta\theta} \\ I_{\gamma\alpha} & I_{\gamma\beta} & I_{\gamma\gamma} & I_{\gamma\theta} \\ I_{\theta\alpha} & I_{\theta\beta} & I_{\theta\gamma} & I_{\theta\theta} \end{bmatrix},$$

whose elements are given in Appendix C. Therefore, an $100(1-\eta)$ asymptotic confidence interval for each parameter, Θ_r , is given by

$$ACI_r = (\hat{\Theta}_r - Z_{\eta/2} \sqrt{\hat{I}_{rr}}, \hat{\Theta}_r + Z_{\eta/2} \sqrt{\hat{I}_{rr}}),$$

where \hat{I}_{rr} is the (r, r) diagonal element of $I_n^{-1}(\hat{\Theta})$ for $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $Z_{\eta/2}$ is the quantile $\frac{\eta}{2}$ of the standard normal distribution.

5.2 EM-algorithm

The traditional methods to obtain the MLE of parameters are numerical methods by solving the equations (5.1)-(5.4), and sensitive to the initial values. Therefore, we develop an Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm to obtain the MLE of parameters. It is an iterative method, and is a very powerful tool in handling the incomplete data problem (Dempster et al., 1977).

We define a hypothetical complete-data distribution with a joint pdf in the form

$$g(x, z; \Theta) = \frac{a_z \theta^z}{C(\theta)} z \alpha \beta e^{\gamma x} (1-t) t^{z\alpha-1},$$

where $t = 1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1)}$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta > 0$, $x > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\Theta^{(r)} = (\alpha^{(r)}, \beta^{(r)}, \gamma^{(r)})$, $\theta^{(r)}$ is the current estimate (in the r th iteration) of Θ . Then, the E-step of an EM cycle requires the expectation of $(Z|X; \Theta^{(r)})$. The pdf of Z given $X = x$ is given by

$$g(z|x) = \frac{a_z \theta^{z-1} z t^{z\alpha-\alpha}}{C'(\theta t^\alpha)},$$

and since

$$C'(\theta) + \theta C''(\theta) = \sum_{z=1}^{\infty} a_z z \theta^{z-1} + \theta \sum_{z=1}^{\infty} a_z z (z-1) \theta^{z-2} = \sum_{z=1}^{\infty} z^2 a_z \theta^{z-1},$$

the expected value of $Z|X = x$ is obtained as

$$E(Z|X = x) = 1 + \frac{\theta t^\alpha C''(\theta t^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t^\alpha)}. \quad (5.5)$$

By using the MLE over Θ , with the missing Z 's replaced by their conditional expectations given above, the M-step of EM cycle is completed. Therefore, the log-likelihood for the complete-data is

$$\begin{aligned} l_n^*(\mathbf{y}, \Theta) &\propto \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \log(\theta) + n \log(\alpha \beta) + n \gamma \bar{x} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - t_i) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i \alpha - 1) \log(t_i) - n \log(C(\theta)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. On differentiation of (5.6) with respect to parameters α, β, γ and θ , we obtain the components of the score function, $U(\mathbf{y}; \Theta) = (\frac{\partial l_n^*}{\partial \alpha}, \frac{\partial l_n^*}{\partial \beta}, \frac{\partial l_n^*}{\partial \gamma}, \frac{\partial l_n^*}{\partial \theta})^T$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l_n^*}{\partial \alpha} &= \frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \log[1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x_i} - 1)}], \\ \frac{\partial l_n^*}{\partial \beta} &= \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\gamma} (\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\gamma x_i} - n) + \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i \alpha - 1) \frac{\frac{1}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x_i} - 1)}{[e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x_i} - 1)} - 1]}, \\ \frac{\partial l_n^*}{\partial \gamma} &= n \bar{x} + \frac{\beta}{\gamma^2} (\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\gamma x_i} - n) - \frac{\beta}{\gamma} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i e^{\gamma x_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i \alpha - 1) \frac{\frac{-\beta}{\gamma^2}(e^{\gamma x_i} - 1) + \frac{\beta x_i e^{\gamma x_i}}{\gamma}}{[e^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x_i} - 1)} - 1]}, \\ \frac{\partial l_n^*}{\partial \theta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{z_i}{\theta} - n \frac{C'(\theta)}{C(\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

From a nonlinear system of equations $U(\mathbf{y}; \Theta) = 0$, we obtain the iterative procedure of the EM-algorithm as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}^{(j+1)} &= \frac{-n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{z}_i^{(j)} \log[1 - e^{\frac{-\hat{\beta}^{(j)}}{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}}(e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}x_i} - 1)}]}, & \hat{\theta}^{(j+1)} - \frac{C(\hat{\theta}^{(j+1)})}{nC'(\hat{\theta}^{(j+1)})} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{z}_i^{(j)} &= 0, \\ \frac{n}{\hat{\beta}^{(j+1)}} - \frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}x_i} - n \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{z}_i \hat{\alpha}^{(j)} - 1) \frac{\frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}}(e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}x_i} - 1)}{[e^{\frac{\hat{\beta}^{(j+1)}}{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}}(e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}x_i} - 1)} - 1]} &= 0, \\ n\bar{x} + \frac{\hat{\beta}^{(j)}}{[\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}]^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}x_i} - n \right) - \frac{\hat{\beta}^{(j)}}{\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}x_i} \right) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{z}_i \hat{\alpha}^{(j)} - 1) \frac{\frac{-\hat{\beta}^{(j)}}{[\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}]^2}(e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}x_i} - 1) + \frac{\hat{\beta}^{(j)}x_i e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}x_i}}{\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}}}{[e^{\frac{\hat{\beta}^{(j)}}{\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}}(e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}x_i} - 1)} - 1]} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\theta}^{(j+1)}$, $\hat{\beta}^{(j+1)}$ and $\hat{\gamma}^{(j+1)}$ are found numerically. Here, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have that

$$\hat{z}_i^{(j)} = 1 + \frac{\theta^{*(j)} C''(\theta^{*(j)})}{C'(\theta^{*(j)})},$$

where $\theta^{*(j)} = \hat{\theta}^{(j)} [1 - e^{\frac{-\hat{\beta}^{(j)}}{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}}(e^{\hat{\gamma}^{(j)}x_i} - 1)}] \hat{\alpha}^{(j)}$.

We can use the results of Louis (1982) to obtain the standard errors of the estimators from the EM-algorithm. Consider $l_c(\Theta; \mathbf{x}) = E(I_c(\Theta; \mathbf{y})|\mathbf{x})$, where $I_c(\Theta; \mathbf{y}) = -[\frac{\partial U(\mathbf{y}; \Theta)}{\partial \Theta}]$ is the 4×4 observed information matrix. If $l_m(\Theta; \mathbf{x}) = Var[U(\mathbf{y}; \Theta)|\mathbf{x}]$, then, we obtain the observed information as

$$I(\hat{\Theta}; \mathbf{x}) = l_c(\hat{\Theta}; \mathbf{x}) - l_m(\hat{\Theta}; \mathbf{x}).$$

The standard errors of the MLEs of the EM-algorithm are the square root of the diagonal elements of the $I(\hat{\Theta}; \mathbf{x})$. The computation of these matrices are too long and tedious. Therefore, we did not present the details. Reader can see Mahmoudi and Jafari (2012) how to calculate these values.

6 Simulation study

We performed a simulation in order to investigate the proposed estimator of α , β , γ and θ of the proposed EM-scheme. We generated 1000 samples of size n from the GGG distribution with $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0.1$. Then, the averages of estimators (AE), standard error of estimators (SEE), and averages of standard errors (ASE) of MLEs of the EM-algorithm determined though the Fisher information matrix are calculated. The results are given in Table 2. We can find that

- (i) convergence has been achieved in all cases and this emphasizes the numerical stability of the EM-algorithm,
- (ii) the differences between the average estimates and the true values are almost small,
- (iii) the standard errors of the MLEs decrease when the sample size increases.

Table 2: The average MLEs, standard error of estimators and averages of standard errors for the GGG distribution.

n	parameter		AE				SEE				ASE			
	α	θ	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$	$\hat{\gamma}$	$\hat{\theta}$	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$	$\hat{\gamma}$	$\hat{\theta}$	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{\beta}$	$\hat{\gamma}$	$\hat{\theta}$
50	0.5	0.2	0.491	0.961	0.149	0.204	0.114	0.338	0.265	0.195	0.173	0.731	0.437	0.782
	0.5	0.5	0.540	0.831	0.182	0.389	0.160	0.337	0.260	0.263	0.210	0.689	0.421	0.817
	0.5	0.8	0.652	0.735	0.154	0.684	0.304	0.377	0.273	0.335	0.309	0.671	0.422	0.896
	1.0	0.2	0.988	0.972	0.129	0.206	0.275	0.319	0.191	0.209	0.356	0.925	0.436	0.939
	1.0	0.5	1.027	0.852	0.147	0.402	0.345	0.352	0.226	0.283	0.408	0.873	0.430	0.902
	1.0	0.8	1.210	0.711	0.178	0.745	0.553	0.365	0.230	0.342	0.568	0.799	0.433	0.898
	2.0	0.2	1.969	0.990	0.084	0.216	0.545	0.305	0.151	0.228	0.766	1.135	0.422	0.902
	2.0	0.5	1.957	0.842	0.113	0.487	0.608	0.334	0.192	0.277	0.820	1.061	0.431	0.963
	2.0	0.8	2.024	0.713	0.161	0.756	0.715	0.396	0.202	0.353	1.143	0.873	0.402	0.973
100	0.5	0.2	0.491	0.977	0.081	0.212	0.084	0.252	0.171	0.179	0.125	0.514	0.283	0.561
	0.5	0.5	0.528	0.883	0.109	0.549	0.124	0.275	0.178	0.247	0.155	0.504	0.275	0.567
	0.5	0.8	0.602	0.793	0.136	0.769	0.215	0.323	0.194	0.299	0.220	0.466	0.259	0.522
	1.0	0.2	0.974	0.997	0.102	0.226	0.195	0.242	0.129	0.206	0.251	0.645	0.280	0.767
	1.0	0.5	1.030	0.875	0.113	0.517	0.262	0.291	0.155	0.270	0.298	0.651	0.295	0.843
	1.0	0.8	1.113	0.899	0.117	0.846	0.412	0.342	0.177	0.331	0.400	0.600	0.287	0.781
	2.0	0.2	1.952	0.995	0.138	0.221	0.424	0.237	0.117	0.209	0.524	0.922	0.321	0.992
	2.0	0.5	2.004	0.885	0.110	0.518	0.493	0.283	0.131	0.274	0.601	0.873	0.321	0.966
	2.0	0.8	2.028	0.981	0.104	0.819	0.605	0.350	0.155	0.339	0.816	0.717	0.289	0.946

7 Real examples

In this Section, we consider two real data sets and fit the Gompertz, GGG, GGP, GGB (with $m = 5$), and GGL distributions. The first data set is negatively skewed, and the second data set is positively skewed, and we show that the proposed distributions fit both positively skewed and negatively skewed data well. For each data, the MLE of parameters (with standard deviations) for the distributions are obtained. To test the goodness-of-fit of the distributions, we calculated the maximized log-likelihood, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) statistic with its respective p-value, the AIC (Akaike Information Criterion), AICC (AIC with correction), BIC (Bayesian Information Criterion), CM (Cramer-von Mises statistic) and AD (Anderson-Darling statistic) for the six distributions. Here, the significance level is 0.10. To show that the likelihood

Table 3: The strengths of glass fibers.

0.55, 0.93, 1.25, 1.36, 1.49, 1.52, 1.58, 1.61, 1.64, 1.68, 1.73, 1.81, 2.00, 0.74, 1.04, 1.27, 1.39, 1.49, 1.53, 1.59, 1.61, 1.66, 1.68, 1.76, 1.82, 2.01, 0.77, 1.11, 1.28, 1.42, 1.50, 1.54, 1.60, 1.62, 1.66, 1.69, 1.76, 1.84, 2.24, 0.81, 1.13, 1.29, 1.48, 1.50, 1.55, 1.61, 1.62, 1.66, 1.70, 1.77, 1.84, 0.84, 1.24, 1.30, 1.48, 1.51, 1.55, 1.61, 1.63, 1.67, 1.70, 1.78, 1.89
--

Table 4: The phosphorus concentration in the leaves.

0.22, 0.17, 0.11, 0.10, 0.15, 0.06, 0.05, 0.07, 0.12, 0.09, 0.23, 0.25, 0.23, 0.24, 0.20, 0.08
0.11, 0.12, 0.10, 0.06, 0.20, 0.17, 0.20, 0.11, 0.16, 0.09, 0.10, 0.12, 0.12, 0.10, 0.09, 0.17
0.19, 0.21, 0.18, 0.26, 0.19, 0.17, 0.18, 0.20, 0.24, 0.19, 0.21, 0.22, 0.17, 0.08, 0.08, 0.06
0.09, 0.22, 0.23, 0.22, 0.19, 0.27, 0.16, 0.28, 0.11, 0.10, 0.20, 0.12, 0.15, 0.08, 0.12, 0.09
0.14, 0.07, 0.09, 0.05, 0.06, 0.11, 0.16, 0.20, 0.25, 0.16, 0.13, 0.11, 0.11, 0.11, 0.08, 0.22
0.11, 0.13, 0.12, 0.15, 0.12, 0.11, 0.11, 0.15, 0.10, 0.15, 0.17, 0.14, 0.12, 0.18, 0.14, 0.18
0.13, 0.12, 0.14, 0.09, 0.10, 0.13, 0.09, 0.11, 0.11, 0.14, 0.07, 0.07, 0.19, 0.17, 0.18, 0.16
0.19, 0.15, 0.07, 0.09, 0.17, 0.10, 0.08, 0.15, 0.21, 0.16, 0.08, 0.10, 0.06, 0.08, 0.12, 0.13

equations have a unique solution in the parameters, we plot the profile log-likelihood functions of β , γ , α and θ for the six distributions.

First, we consider the data consisting of the strengths of 1.5 cm glass fibers given in Smith and Naylor (1987) and measured at the National Physical Laboratory, England. This data is also studied by Barreto-Souza et al. (2010) and is given in Table 3.

The results are given in Table 5 and show that the GGG distribution yields the best fit among the GGP, GGB, GGL, GG and Gompertz distributions. Also, the GGG, GGP, and GGB distribution are better than GG distribution. The plots of the pdfs (together with the data histogram) and cdfs in Figure 7 confirm this conclusion. Figures 9 show the profile log-likelihood functions of β , γ , α and θ for the six distributions.

As a second example, we consider a data set from Fonseca and Franca (2007), who studied the soil fertility influence and the characterization of the biologic fixation of N_2 for the *Dimorphandra wilsonii rizz growth*. For 128 plants, they made measures of the phosphorus concentration in the leaves. This data is also studied by Silva et al. (2013) and is given in Table 4. Figures 10 show the profile log-likelihood functions of β , γ , α and θ for the six distributions.

The results are given in Table 6. Since the estimation of parameter θ for GGP, GGB, and GGL is close to zero, the estimations of parameters for these distributions are equal to the estimations of parameters for GG distribution. In fact, The limiting distribution of GGPS when $\theta \rightarrow 0^+$ is a GG distribution (see Proposition 2). Therefore, the value of maximized log-likelihood, $\log(L)$, are equal for these four distributions. The plots of the pdfs (together with

the data histogram) and cdfs in Figure 8 confirm these conclusions. Note that the estimations of parameters for GGG distribution are not equal to the estimations of parameters for GG distribution. But the $\log(L)$'s are equal for these distributions. However, from Table 6 also we can conclude that the GG distribution is simpler than other distribution because it has three parameter but GGG, GGP, GGB, and GGL have four parameter. Note that GG is a special case of GGPS family.




Figure 7: Plots (pdf and cdf) of fitted Gompertz, generalized Gompertz, GGG, GGP, GGB and GGL distributions for the first data set.




Figure 8: Plots (pdf and cdf) of fitted Gompertz, generalized Gompertz, GGG, GGP, GGB and GGL distributions for the second data set.

Table 5: Parameter estimates (with std.), K-S statistic, p -value, AIC, AICC and BIC for the first data set.

Distribution	Gompertz	GG	GGG	GGP	GGB	GGL
$\hat{\beta}$	0.0088	0.0356	0.7320	0.1404	0.1032	0.1705
$s.e.(\hat{\beta})$	0.0043	0.0402	0.2484	0.1368	0.1039	0.2571
$\hat{\gamma}$	3.6474	2.8834	1.3499	2.1928	2.3489	2.1502
$s.e.(\hat{\gamma})$	0.2992	0.6346	0.3290	0.5867	0.6010	0.7667
$\hat{\alpha}$	—	1.6059	2.1853	1.6205	1.5999	2.2177
$s.e.(\hat{\alpha})$	—	0.6540	1.2470	0.9998	0.9081	1.3905
$\hat{\theta}$	—	—	0.9546	2.6078	0.6558	0.8890
$s.e.(\hat{\theta})$	—	—	0.0556	1.6313	0.5689	0.2467
$-\log(L)$	14.8081	14.1452	12.0529	13.0486	13.2670	13.6398
K-S	0.1268	0.1318	0.0993	0.1131	0.1167	0.1353
p-value	0.2636	0.2239	0.5629	0.3961	0.3570	0.1992
AIC	33.6162	34.2904	32.1059	34.0971	34.5340	35.2796
AICC	33.8162	34.6972	32.7956	34.78678	35.2236	35.9692
BIC	37.9025	40.7198	40.6784	42.6696	43.1065	43.8521
CM	0.1616	0.1564	0.0792	0.1088	0.1172	0.1542
AD	0.9062	0.8864	0.5103	0.6605	0.7012	0.8331

Table 6: Parameter estimates (with std.), K-S statistic, p -value, AIC, AICC and BIC for the second data set.

Distribution	Gompertz	GG	GGG	GGP	GGB	GGL
$\hat{\beta}$	1.3231	13.3618	10.8956	13.3618	13.3618	13.3618
$s.e.(\hat{\beta})$	0.2797	4.5733	8.4255	5.8585	6.3389	7.3125
$\hat{\gamma}$	15.3586	3.1500	4.0158	3.1500	3.1500	3.1500
$s.e.(\hat{\gamma})$	1.3642	2.1865	3.6448	2.4884	2.6095	2.5024
$\hat{\alpha}$	—	6.0906	5.4236	6.0906	6.0906	6.0905
$s.e.(\hat{\alpha})$	—	2.4312	2.8804	2.6246	2.7055	2.8251
$\hat{\theta}$	—	—	-0.3429	1.0×10^{-8}	1.0×10^{-8}	1.0×10^{-8}
$s.e.(\hat{\theta})$	—	—	1.2797	0.8151	0.2441	0.6333
$-\log(L)$	-184.5971	-197.1326	-197.1811	-197.1326	-197.1326	-197.1326
K-S	0.1169	0.0923	0.0898	0.0923	0.0923	0.0923
p-value	0.06022	0.2259	0.2523	0.2259	0.2259	0.2259
AIC	-365.1943	-388.2653	-386.3623	-386.2653	-386.2653	-386.2653
AICC	-365.0983	-388.0717	-386.0371	-385.9401	-385.9401	-385.9401
BIC	-359.4902	-379.7092	-374.9542	-374.8571	-374.8571	-374.8571
CM	0.3343	0.1379	0.1356	0.1379	0.1379	0.1379
AD	2.3291	0.7730	0.7646	0.7730	0.7730	0.7730




Figure 9: The profile log-likelihood functions for Gompertz, generalized Gompertz, GGG, GGP, GGB and GGL distributions for the first data set.




Figure 10: The profile log-likelihood functions for Gompertz, generalized Gompertz, GGG, GGP, GGB and GGL distributions for the second data set.

Appendix

A.

We demonstrate those parameter intervals for which the hazard function is decreasing, increasing and bathtub shaped, and in order to do so, we follow closely a theorem given by Glaser (1980). Define the function $\tau(x) = \frac{-f'(x)}{f(x)}$ where $f'(x)$ denotes the first derivative of $f(x)$ in (2.3). To simplify, we consider $u = 1 - \exp(\frac{-\theta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x} - 1))$.

A.1

Consider the GGG hazard function in (4.2), then we define

$$\tau(u) = \frac{-f'(u)}{f(u)} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{u} + \frac{2\alpha\theta u^{\alpha-1}}{1 - \theta u^\alpha}.$$

If $\alpha \geq 1$, then $\tau'(u) > 0$, and $h(\cdot)$ is an increasing function. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \tau'(u) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 1} \tau'(u) = \frac{2\alpha\theta^2}{(1 - \theta)^2} + (\alpha - 1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{(1 - \theta)^2}\right) > 0.$$

Since the limits have different signs, the equation $\tau'(u) = 0$ has at least one root. Also, we can show that $\tau''(u) > 0$. Therefore, the equation $\tau'(u) = 0$ has one root. Thus the hazard function is decreasing and bathtub shaped in this case.

A.2

The GGP hazard rate is given by $h(u) = \theta\alpha\beta u^{\alpha-1} e^{\theta u^\alpha} / (e^\theta - e^{\theta u^\alpha})$. We define $\eta(u) = \log[h(u)]$.

Then, its first derivative is

$$\eta'(u) = \frac{\alpha - 1}{u} + \alpha\theta e^\theta \frac{u^{\alpha-1}}{e^\theta - e^{\theta u^\alpha}}.$$

It is clearly for $\alpha \geq 1$, $\eta'(u) > 0$ and $h(u)$ is increasing function. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \eta'(u) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 1} \eta'(u) = 0,$$

So the equation $\eta'(u) = 0$ has at least one root. Also, we can show that $\eta''(u) > 0$. It implies that equation $\eta'(u) = 0$ has a one root and the hazard rate increase and bathtub shaped.

B.

B.1

Let $w_1(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta t_i^\alpha \log(t_i) C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))$. For GGG,

$$w_1(\alpha) = 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i^\alpha \log t_i}{1 - \theta t_i^\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial w_1(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha \left[\frac{\log t_i}{1 - \theta t_i^\alpha} \right]^2 > 0.$$

For GGP,

$$w_1(\alpha) = \theta \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha \log t_i, \quad \frac{\partial w_1(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = \theta \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha [\log t_i]^2 > 0.$$

For GGL,

$$w_1(\alpha) = \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i^\alpha \log t_i}{1 - \theta t_i^\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial w_1(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = \theta \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha \left[\frac{\log t_i}{1 - \theta t_i^\alpha} \right]^2 > 0.$$

For GGB,

$$w_1(\alpha) = (m-1)\theta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i^\alpha \log t_i}{1 + \theta t_i^\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial w_1(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = (m-1)\theta \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha \left[\frac{\log t_i}{1 + \theta t_i^\alpha} \right]^2 > 0.$$

Therefore, $w_1(\alpha)$ is strictly increasing in α and

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} g_1(\alpha; \beta, \gamma, \theta, x) = \infty, \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} g_1(\alpha; \beta, \gamma, \theta, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(t_i).$$

Also,

$$g_1(\alpha; \beta, \gamma, \theta, x) < \frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(t_i), \quad g_1(\alpha; \beta, \gamma, \theta, x) > \frac{n}{\alpha} + \left(\frac{\theta C''(\theta)}{C'(\theta)} + 1 \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(t_i).$$

Hence, $g_1(\alpha; \beta, \gamma, \theta, x) < 0$ when $\frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(t_i) < 0$, and $g_1(\alpha; \beta, \gamma, \theta, x) > 0$ when $\frac{n}{\alpha} + \left(\frac{\theta C''(\theta)}{C'(\theta)} + 1 \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(t_i) > 0$. The proof is completed.

B.2

It can be easily shown that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0^+} g_2(\beta; \alpha, \gamma, \theta, x) = \infty, \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} g_2(\beta; \alpha, \gamma, \theta, x) = \frac{-1}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n (e^{\gamma x_i} - 1).$$

Since the limits have different signs, the equation $g_2(\beta; \alpha, \gamma, \theta, x) = 0$ has at least one root with respect to β for fixed values α , γ and θ . The proof is completed.

B.3

a) For GGP, it is clear that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha - \frac{n}{2}, \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = -\infty.$$

Therefore, the equation $g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = 0$ has at least one root for $\theta > 0$, if $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha - \frac{n}{2} > 0$ or $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$.

b) For GGG, it is clear that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = -n + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha.$$

Therefore, the equation $g_3(\theta, \beta, \gamma, x) = 0$ has at least one root for $0 < \theta < 1$, if $-n + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha > 0$ or $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$.

For GGL, it is clear that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha - \frac{n}{2}, \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = -\infty.$$

Therefore, the equation $g_3(\theta; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = 0$ has at least one root for $0 < \theta < 1$, if $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha - \frac{n}{2} > 0$ or $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$.

For GGB, it is clear that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} g_3(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha (m-1) - \frac{n(m-1)}{2}, \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} g_3(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-m+1+mt_i^\alpha}{t_i},$$

Therefore, the equation $g_3(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = 0$ has at least one root for $0 < p < 1$, if $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha (m-1) - \frac{n(m-1)}{2} > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-m+1+mt_i^\alpha}{t_i} < 0$ or $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{-\alpha} > \frac{nm}{1-m}$.

C.

Consider $t_i = 1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma x_i} - 1)}$. Then, the elements of 4×4 observed information matrix $I_n(\Theta)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\alpha\alpha} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \alpha^2} = \frac{-n}{\alpha^2} + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha [\log(t_i)]^2 \left[\frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} + \theta t_i^\alpha \frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right], \\ I_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{e^{\gamma x_i} - 1}{\gamma} \right] + \frac{\theta}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha [e^{\gamma x_i} - 1] \left[(\alpha \log(t_i) + 1) \frac{C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha \theta t_i^\alpha \log(t_i) \frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right], \\ I_{\alpha\gamma} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \alpha \partial \gamma} = \beta \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1}{\gamma^2} \right] + \frac{\theta\beta}{\gamma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n [e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1] \left[(\alpha \log(t_i) + 1) \frac{C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha \theta t_i^\alpha \log(t_i) \frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right], \\ I_{\alpha\theta} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \alpha \partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha \log(t_i) \left[\frac{C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} + \theta t_i^\alpha \frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right], \\ I_{\beta\beta} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \beta^2} = \frac{-n}{\beta^2} + \theta \alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^\alpha \left[\frac{e^{\gamma x_i} - 1}{\gamma} \right]^2 \left[\frac{C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} + \theta t_i^\alpha \frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right], \\ I_{\beta\gamma} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \beta \partial \gamma} = \frac{(\alpha - 2)}{\gamma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1) + \alpha \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i}{\gamma^2} (e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1) \left[\frac{C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\beta^2}{\gamma} (e^{\gamma x_i} - 1) \frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\beta\theta} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial\beta\partial\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{2\alpha} \left[\frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right], \\
I_{\gamma\gamma} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial\gamma^2} = \frac{2\beta}{\gamma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n [e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1] + (\alpha - 1)\beta \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{-2}{\gamma^3} (e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1) + \frac{x_i^2 e^{\gamma x_i}}{\gamma^3} \right] \\
&\quad + \alpha\beta\theta \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{-2}{\gamma^3} (e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1) t_i^\alpha \frac{C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} + \frac{t_i^\alpha x_i^2 e^{\gamma x_i}}{\gamma} \frac{C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha\beta t_i^\alpha}{\gamma^4} (e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1)^2 \frac{C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha\beta t_i^{2\alpha}}{\gamma^4} (e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1)^2 \frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right], \\
I_{\theta\gamma} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial\theta\partial\gamma} = \alpha\beta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i^\alpha}{\gamma^2} [e^{\gamma x_i}(\gamma x_i - 1) + 1] \left[\frac{C''(\theta t_i^\alpha)}{C'(\theta t_i^\alpha)} + \theta t_i^\alpha \frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right], \\
I_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial\theta^2} = \frac{-n}{\theta^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{2\alpha} \left[\frac{C'''(\theta t_i^\alpha)C'(\theta t_i^\alpha) - (C''(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2}{(C'(\theta t_i^\alpha))^2} \right] - n \left[\frac{C'''(\theta)C'(\theta) - (C''(\theta))^2}{(C'(\theta))^2} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for many helpful comments and suggestions.


References

- Adamidis, K., Dimitrakopoulou, T., and Loukas, S. (2005). On an extension of the exponential-geometric distribution. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 73(3):259–269.
- Adamidis, K. and Loukas, S. (1998). A lifetime distribution with decreasing failure rate. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 39(1):35–42.
- Barreto-Souza, W., Morais, A. L., and Cordeiro, G. M. (2011). The Weibull-geometric distribution. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 81(5):645–657.
- Barreto-Souza, W., Santos, A. H. S., and Cordeiro, G. M. (2010). The beta generalized exponential distribution. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 80(2):159–172.
- Cancho, V. G., Louzada-Neto, F., and Barriga, G. D. C. (2011). The Poisson-exponential lifetime distribution. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 55(1):677–686.
- Casella, G. and Berger, R. (2001). *Statistical Inference*. Duxbury, Pacific Grove, California, USA.


- Chahkandi, M. and Ganjali, M. (2009). On some lifetime distributions with decreasing failure rate. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 53(12):4433–4440.
- Dempster, A. P., Laird, N. M., and Rubin, D. B. (1977). Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 39(1):1–38.
- El-Gohary, A., Alshamrani, A., and Al-Otaibi, A. N. (2013). The generalized Gompertz distribution. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37(1-2):13–24.
- Flores, J., Borges, P., Cancho, V. G., and Louzada, F. (2013). The complementary exponential power series distribution. *Brazilian Journal of Probability and Statistics*, 27(4):565–584.
- Fonseca, M. and Franca, M. (2007). A influência da fertilidade do solo e caracterização da fixação biológica de N_2 para o crescimento de *Dimorphandra wilsonii* rizz. *Masters thesis, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais*.
- Glaser, R. E. (1980). Bathtub and related failure rate characterizations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 75(371):667–672.
- Gupta, R. D. and Kundu, D. (1999). Generalized exponential distributions. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Statistics*, 41(2):173–188.
- Kuş, C. (2007). A new lifetime distribution. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 51(9):4497–4509.
- Lawless, J. F. (2003). *Statistical Models and Methods for Lifetime Data*. Wiley-Interscience, second edition.
- Louis, T. A. (1982). Finding the observed information matrix when using the EM algorithm. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 44(2):226–233.
- Louzada, F., Roman, M., and Cancho, V. G. (2011). The complementary exponential geometric distribution: Model, properties, and a comparison with its counterpart. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 55(8):2516–2524.
- Louzada-Neto, F., Cancho, V. G., and Barriga, G. D. C. (2011). The Poisson–exponential distribution: a Bayesian approach. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 38(6):1239–1248.

- Mahmoudi, E. and Jafari, A. A. (2012). Generalized exponential–power series distributions. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 56(12):4047–4066.
- Mahmoudi, E. and Jafari, A. A. (2014). The compound class of linear failure rate-power series distributions: model, properties and applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1402.5282*.
- Marshall, A. W. and Olkin, I. (1997). A new method for adding a parameter to a family of distributions with application to the exponential and Weibull families. *Biometrika*, 84(3):641–652.
- Morais, A. L. and Barreto-Souza, W. (2011). A compound class of Weibull and power series distributions. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 55(3):1410–1425.
- Noack, A. (1950). A class of random variables with discrete distributions. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 21(1):127–132.
- Shannon, C. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27:379–432.
- Silva, R. B., Bourguignon, M., Dias, C. R. B., and Cordeiro, G. M. (2013). The compound class of extended Weibull power series distributions. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 58:352–367.
- Smith, R. L. and Naylor, J. C. (1987). A comparison of maximum likelihood and Bayesian estimators for the three-parameter Weibull distribution. *Applied Statistics*, 36(3):358–369.
- Tahmasbi, R. and Rezaei, S. (2008). A two-parameter lifetime distribution with decreasing failure rate. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 52(8):3889–3901.


Hazard



Hazard



Hazard



Hazard

