

# TENSOR POWERS OF THE DEFINING REPRESENTATION OF $S_n$

SHANSHAN DING

ABSTRACT. We give a decomposition formula for tensor powers of the defining representation of  $S_n$  and apply it to bound the mixing time of a Markov chain on  $S_n$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The defining, or permutation, representation of  $S_n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional representation  $\varrho$  where

$$(1.1) \quad (\varrho(\sigma))_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \sigma(j) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since the fixed points of  $\sigma$  can be read off of the matrix diagonal, the character of  $\varrho$  at  $\sigma$ ,  $\chi_\varrho(\sigma)$ , is precisely the number of fixed points of  $\sigma$ . The irreducible representations, or irreps for short, of  $S_n$  are parametrized by the partitions of  $n$ , and  $\varrho$  decomposes as  $S^{(n-1,1)} \oplus S^{(n)}$ . Note that  $\chi_{S^{(n-1,1)}}(\sigma)$  is one less than the number of fixed points of  $\sigma$ . In the terminology of [7], we call the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional irrep  $S^{(n-1,1)}$  the standard representation of  $S_n$ .

A classic question in the representation theory of symmetric groups is how tensor products of representations decompose as direct sums of irreps. In Section 2 we will present a neat formula for the decomposition of tensor powers of  $\varrho$  and, as corollary, that of tensor powers of  $S^{(n-1,1)}$ .

Our study of tensor powers of  $\varrho$  arose from an investigation in the mixing time of the Markov chain on  $S_n$  formed by applying a single uniformly chosen  $n$ -cycle to a deck of  $n$  cards and following up with repeated random transpositions. This chain is a natural counterpart to the random transposition walk on  $S_n$ , famously shown by Diaconis and Shahshahani in [3] to mix in  $O(n \ln n)$  steps, in the sense that random transpositions induce Markov chains on not just  $S_n$ , but the set of partitions of  $n$ : the time-homogeneous random transposition walk is one such chain that starts at the partition  $(1^n)$ , whereas the process we proposed is one that starts at the other extreme,  $(n)$ . Along with following the classic approach of [3], we will use the tensor decomposition formula to show in Section 3 that the mixing time for the  $n$ -cycle-to-transpositions chain is  $O(n)$ .

## 2. DECOMPOSITION FORMULA FOR TENSOR POWERS OF $\varrho$

Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$ , and recall that the irreps of  $S_n$ , the  $S^\lambda$ 's, are indexed by the partitions of  $n$ . As promised, we give a compact formula for the decomposition of

tensor powers of  $\varrho$  into irreps, i.e. the coefficients  $a_{\lambda,r}$  in the expression

$$(2.1) \quad \varrho^{\otimes r} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} a_{\lambda,r} S^\lambda := \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} (S^\lambda)^{\oplus a_{\lambda,r}}.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\lambda \vdash n$  and  $1 \leq r \leq n - \lambda_2$ . The multiplicity of  $S^\lambda$  in the irreducible representation decomposition of  $\varrho^{\otimes r}$  is given by*

$$(2.2) \quad a_{\lambda,r} = f^{\bar{\lambda}} \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|}^r \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ i \end{matrix} \right\},$$

where  $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$  with weight  $|\bar{\lambda}|$ ,  $f^{\bar{\lambda}}$  is the number of standard Young tableaux of shape  $\bar{\lambda}$ , and  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}$  is a Stirling number of the second kind.

*Proof.* Goupil and Chauve derived in [8] the generating function

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{r \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} a_{\lambda,r} \frac{x^r}{r!} = \frac{f^{\bar{\lambda}}}{|\bar{\lambda}|!} e^{e^x - 1} (e^x - 1)^{|\bar{\lambda}|}.$$

By (24b) and (24f) in Chapter 1 of [11],

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{s \geq j} \left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{x^s}{s!} = \frac{(e^x - 1)^j}{j!}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{t \geq 0} B_t \frac{x^t}{t!} = e^{e^x - 1},$$

where  $B_0 := 1$  and  $B_t = \sum_{q=1}^t \left\{ \begin{matrix} t \\ q \end{matrix} \right\}$  is the  $t$ -th Bell number, so we obtain from (2.3) that

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{a_{\lambda,r}}{r!} = f^{\bar{\lambda}} \sum_{s+t=r} \frac{B_t}{s!t!} \left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{matrix} \right\},$$

and thus

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{a_{\lambda,r}}{f^{\bar{\lambda}}} &= \sum_{t=0}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} B_t \binom{r}{t} \left\{ \begin{matrix} r-t \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{matrix} \right\} + \sum_{t=1}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \sum_{q=1}^t \left\{ \begin{matrix} t \\ q \end{matrix} \right\} \binom{r}{t} \left\{ \begin{matrix} r-t \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{matrix} r \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{matrix} \right\} + \sum_{q=1}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \sum_{t=q}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \left\{ \begin{matrix} t \\ q \end{matrix} \right\} \binom{r}{t} \left\{ \begin{matrix} r-t \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{matrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (24.1.3, II.A) of [1],

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{t=q}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \begin{Bmatrix} t \\ q \end{Bmatrix} \binom{r}{t} \begin{Bmatrix} r-t \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{Bmatrix} = \binom{q+|\bar{\lambda}|}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ q+|\bar{\lambda}| \end{Bmatrix},$$

so that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{a_{\lambda,r}}{f^{\bar{\lambda}}} &= \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{Bmatrix} + \sum_{q=1}^{r-|\bar{\lambda}|} \binom{q+|\bar{\lambda}|}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ q+|\bar{\lambda}| \end{Bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ |\bar{\lambda}| \end{Bmatrix} + \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|+1}^r \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix} = \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|}^r \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown.  $\square$

Now, let  $b_{\lambda,r}$  be the multiplicities such that

$$(2.10) \quad (S^{(n-1,1)})^{\otimes r} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} b_{\lambda,r} S^\lambda.$$

Goupil and Chauve also derived the generating function

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{r \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} b_{\lambda,r} \frac{x^r}{r!} = \frac{f^{\bar{\lambda}}}{|\bar{\lambda}|!} e^{e^x - x - 1} (e^x - 1)^{|\bar{\lambda}|},$$

so from Proposition 2.1 we can obtain a formula for the decomposition of  $(S^{(n-1,1)})^{\otimes r}$  as well.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\lambda \vdash n$  and  $1 \leq r \leq n - \lambda_2$ . The multiplicity of  $S^\lambda$  in the irreducible representation decomposition of  $(S^{(n-1,1)})^{\otimes r}$  is given by*

$$(2.12) \quad b_{\lambda,r} = f^{\bar{\lambda}} \sum_{s=|\bar{\lambda}|}^r (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} \left( \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|}^s \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \begin{Bmatrix} s \\ i \end{Bmatrix} \right).$$

*Proof.* Comparing (2.11) with (2.3) gives

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{r \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} b_{\lambda,r} \frac{x^r}{r!} = \left( \sum_{s \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} a_{\lambda,s} \frac{x^s}{s!} \right) e^{-x} = \left( \sum_{s \geq |\bar{\lambda}|} a_{\lambda,s} \frac{x^s}{s!} \right) \left( \sum_{t \geq 0} \frac{(-x)^t}{t!} \right),$$

so that

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{b_{\lambda,r}}{r!} = \sum_{s+t=r} \frac{(-1)^t a_{\lambda,s}}{s!t!} = \sum_{s=|\bar{\lambda}|}^r \frac{(-1)^{r-s}}{s!(r-s)!} \left( f^{\bar{\lambda}} \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|}^s \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \begin{Bmatrix} s \\ i \end{Bmatrix} \right),$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

*Remark.* Corollary 2.2 is very similar to Proposition 2 of [8], but our result is cleaner, as it does not involve associated Stirling numbers of the second kind. For another approach to the decomposition of tensor powers of  $\varrho$ , see [6].

### 3. CONNECTION TO MARKOV CHAIN MIXING TIME

Consider the Markov chain on  $S_n$  formed by first applying a random  $n$ -cycle to a deck of  $n$  cards and then following with repeated random transpositions. Formally, form a Markov chain  $\{X_k\}$  on the symmetric group  $S_n$  as follows: let  $X_0$  be the identity, set  $X_1 = \pi X_0$ , where  $\pi$  is a uniformly selected  $n$ -cycle, and for  $k \geq 2$  set  $X_k = \tau_k X_{k-1}$ , where  $\tau_k$  is a uniformly selected transposition. Observe that  $X_k \in A_n$  when  $n$  and  $k$  are of the same parity. Otherwise,  $X_k \in S_n \setminus A_n$ . Let  $\mu_k$  be the law of  $X_k$ , and let  $U_k$  be the uniform measure on  $A_n$  if  $X_k \in A_n$  and the uniform measure on  $S_n \setminus A_n$  if  $X_k \in S_n \setminus A_n$ . What is the total variation distance between  $\mu_k$  and  $U_k$ ?

The goal of this section is to prove the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any  $c > 0$ , after one  $n$ -cycle and  $cn$  transpositions,*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{e^{-2c}}{e} - o(1) \leq \|\mu_{cn+1} - U_{cn+1}\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \frac{e^{-2c}}{2\sqrt{1 - e^{-4c}}} + o(1)$$

as  $n$  goes to infinity.

The upper bound follows from the approach of [3]. For the (lazy) random transposition shuffle on  $n$  cards, the time-homogeneous chain on  $S_n$  with increment measure  $\nu$  that assigns mass  $\frac{1}{n}$  to the identity and  $\frac{2}{n^2}$  to each of the  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  transpositions  $\tau$ , Diaconis and Shahshahani derived the bound

$$(3.2) \quad 4\|\mu_k - U\|_{\text{TV}}^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \widehat{S_n} \\ \rho \neq \rho_{\text{triv}}}} d_\rho^2 \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(n-1)\chi_\rho(\tau)}{nd_\rho} \right)^{2k},$$

where  $U$  is the uniform measure on  $S_n$ ,  $\widehat{S_n}$  is the set of irreps of  $S_n$ , and  $d_\rho$  and  $\chi_\rho(\tau)$  denote the dimension and the character at  $\tau$  of the representation  $\rho$ , respectively. Careful computations of the terms on the RHS of (3.2) gave a mixing time of  $O(n \ln n)$ , and explicit constants were later calculated by Saloff-Coste and Zúñiga in [10].

Inequality (3.2) comes from the theory of non-commutative Fourier analysis on  $S_n$ . It carries the following routine extension (carefully spelled out in Chapter 2 of [4]) to the  $n$ -cycle-to-transpositions chain:

$$(3.3) \quad 4\|\mu_{k+1} - U_{k+1}\|_{\text{TV}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \widehat{S_n} \\ \rho \neq \rho_{\text{triv}}, \rho_{\text{sign}}}} d_\rho^2 \left( \frac{\chi_\rho(\tau)}{d_\rho} \right)^{2k} \left( \frac{\chi_\rho(\pi)}{d_\rho} \right)^2.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any  $c > 0$ , after one  $n$ -cycle and  $cn$  transpositions,*

$$(3.4) \quad 4\|\mu_{cn+1} - U_{cn+1}\|_{\text{TV}}^2 \leq \frac{e^{-4c}}{1 - e^{-4c}} + o(1)$$

as  $n$  goes to infinity.

*Proof.* Let  $\chi_\gamma^\lambda$  denote the character of  $S^\lambda$  on the cycle type  $\gamma$ . The first and most critical step of the proof is the observation that, discounting  $(n)$  and  $(1^n)$ ,  $\chi_{(n)}^\lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda$  except the hook-shaped ones, for which  $\lambda_2 = 1$ . This is an almost trivial consequence of the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule, as it is impossible to remove a rim hook of size  $n$  from a Young diagram of size  $n$  unless the Young diagram itself is the rim hook. Moreover, for a hook-shaped  $\lambda$ , it is clear that  $\chi_{(n)}^\lambda$  is equal to 1 if  $\lambda$  has an odd number of rows and  $-1$  if  $\lambda$  has an even number of rows. Thus we arrive at a significant simplification of (3.3), namely that

$$(3.5) \quad 4\|\mu_{k+1} - U_{k+1}\|_{\text{TV}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n} \left( \frac{\chi_{(2,1^{n-2})}^\lambda}{\dim S^\lambda} \right)^{2k},$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad \Lambda_n = \{\lambda \vdash n : \lambda_1 > 1 \text{ and } \lambda_2 = 1\}.$$

The normalized characters  $\frac{\chi_{(2,1^{n-2})}^\lambda}{\dim S^\lambda}$  have a simple description when  $\lambda \in \Lambda_n$ : let  $j$  be one less than the number of rows of  $\lambda$ , then for  $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\chi_{(2,1^{n-2})}^{(n-j,1^j)}}{\dim S^{(n-j,1^j)}} = \frac{n-1-2j}{n-1}.$$

This is a special case of the identity

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\chi_{(2,1^{n-2})}^\lambda}{\dim S^\lambda} = \frac{\sum_i (\lambda_i^2 - (2i-1)\lambda_i)}{n(n-1)},$$

known as early as to Frobenius in [5].

Fix any  $c > 0$ . By calculus, for  $n-1-2j > 0$ ,

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{n-1-2j}{n-1} \right)^{2cn} = e^{-4cj}.$$

Thus (3.7) and the fact that  $\chi_\gamma^\lambda = \pm \chi_\gamma^{\lambda'}$ , where  $\lambda'$  is the conjugate partition of  $\lambda$  (see p. 25 of [9]), imply that

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n} \left( \frac{\chi_{(2,1^{n-2})}^\lambda}{\dim S^\lambda} \right)^{2cn} \sim \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-2)/2} e^{-4cj} & n \text{ is even} \\ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-3)/2} e^{-4cj} & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Summing the geometric series gives

$$(3.11) \quad 4\|\mu_{cn+1} - U_{cn+1}\|_{\text{TV}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n} \left( \frac{\chi_{(2,1^{n-2})}^\lambda}{\dim S^\lambda} \right)^{2cn} \sim \frac{e^{-4c}}{1 - e^{-4c}},$$

as was to be shown.  $\square$

For measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on a set  $G$ , a classic approach to finding a lower bound for  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}}$  is to identify a subset  $A$  of  $G$  where  $|\mu(A) - \nu(A)|$  is close to maximal. In many mixing problems involving the symmetric group, it is convenient to make  $A$  either the set of fixed-point-free permutations or its complement, since it is well-known that the distribution of the number of fixed points with respect to the uniform measure on  $S_n$  is asymptotically  $\mathcal{P}(1)$ , the Poisson distribution of mean one. The same is true for the distribution of fixed points with respect to the uniform measure on either  $A_n$  or  $S_n \setminus A_n$ . See Theorem 4.3.3 of [4] for a proof.

For Diaconis and Shahshahani's random transposition shuffle,  $A$  is the set of permutations with one or more fixed points, and finding  $\mu_k(A)$  boils down to a coupon collector's problem. Let  $B$  be the event that, after  $k$  transpositions, at least one card is untouched. It is not difficult to see that  $\mu_k(A) \geq \mathbf{P}(B)$ , where  $\mathbf{P}(B)$  is equal to the probability that at least one of  $n$  coupons is still missing after  $2k$  trials. The coupon collector's problem is well-studied, so this immediately gives a lower bound for  $\mu_k(A)$ , which in turn produces a lower bound for  $\|\mu_k(A) - U(A)\|_{\text{TV}}$ .

The above argument is so short and simple that it was tagged onto the end of the introduction of [3], as if an afterthought. Unfortunately, it is inapplicable to our problem, since the initial  $n$ -cycle obliterates the core of the argument. Instead, we will fully characterize the distribution of  $\chi_\varrho$  with respect to  $\mu_{k+1}$  by deriving all moments of  $\chi_\varrho$  with respect to  $\mu_{k+1}$ . Let  $E_\mu$  denote expectation with respect to  $\mu$ , then as observed in Chapter 3D of [2],

$$(3.12) \quad E_\mu(\chi_\rho) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mu(\sigma) \text{tr}(\rho(\sigma)) = \text{tr} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mu(\sigma) \rho(\sigma) \right) = \text{tr}(\hat{\mu}(\rho)),$$

so that

$$(3.13) \quad E_\mu((\chi_\varrho)^r) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} a_{\lambda,r} \text{tr}(\hat{\mu}(S^\lambda)),$$

where  $\hat{\mu}$  is the Fourier transform of  $\mu$  and

$$(3.14) \quad \text{tr}(\widehat{\mu_{k+1}}(S^\lambda)) = \chi_{(n)}^\lambda \left( \frac{\chi_{(2,1^{n-2})}^\lambda}{\dim S^\lambda} \right)^k.$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Fix any  $c > 0$ . As  $n$  approaches infinity, the distribution of the number of fixed points after one  $n$ -cycle and  $cn$  transpositions converges to  $\mathcal{P}(1 - e^{-2c})$ .*

*Proof.* One can deduce from the moment-generating function that the  $r$ -th moment of  $\mathcal{P}(\nu)$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^r \binom{r}{i} \nu^i$ . It is a standard result that  $\widehat{\mu_{cn+1}}(S^{(n)}) = 1$ , and we will ignore the alternating representation because it suffices to consider the first  $n - 2$  moments, in which the alternating representation does not appear. For the non-trivial and non-alternating representations, we take advantage of previous computations and synthesize

(3.7), (3.9) with  $n$  instead of  $2n$ , and (3.14) to obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \widehat{\mu_{cn+1}}(S^\lambda) \sim \begin{cases} (-1)^{|\bar{\lambda}|} e^{-2c|\bar{\lambda}|} & \lambda \in \Lambda_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 2.1 (second line below) and (3.15) (fourth line), for  $1 \leq r \leq n-2$ ,

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{\mu_{cn+1}}((\chi_\varrho)^r) &= a_{(n),r} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n} a_{\lambda,r} \widehat{\mu_{cn+1}}(S^\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix} + \sum_{|\bar{\lambda}=1}^{n-2} \sum_{i=|\bar{\lambda}|}^r \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix} \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \widehat{\mu_{cn+1}}(S^\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{|\bar{\lambda}=1}^i \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix} \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} \widehat{\mu_{cn+1}}(S^\lambda) \\ &\sim \sum_{i=1}^r \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{|\bar{\lambda}=1}^i \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix} \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} (-e^{-2c})^{|\bar{\lambda}|} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix} \left( 1 + \sum_{|\bar{\lambda}=1}^i \binom{i}{|\bar{\lambda}|} (-e^{-2c})^{|\bar{\lambda}|} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \begin{Bmatrix} r \\ i \end{Bmatrix} (1 - e^{-2c})^i. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the first  $n-2$  moments of  $\chi_\varrho$  with respect to  $\mu_{cn+1}$  approach those of  $\mathcal{P}(1 - e^{-2c})$ , and convergence follows from the method of moments.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *For any  $c > 0$ , after one  $n$ -cycle and  $cn$  transpositions,*

$$(3.17) \quad \|\mu_{cn+1} - U_{cn+1}\|_{\text{TV}} \geq \frac{e^{-2c}}{e} - o(1)$$

as  $n$  goes to infinity.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the set of fixed-point-free permutations. Then

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mu_{cn+1} - U_{cn+1}\|_{\text{TV}} &\geq |\mu_{cn+1}(A) - U_{cn+1}(A)| \\ &\sim e^{e^{-2c}-1} - \frac{1}{e} = \frac{1}{e} \left( e^{-2c} + \frac{(e^{-2c})^2}{2!} + \dots \right) \geq \frac{e^{-2c}}{e}, \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown.  $\square$

Together with Proposition 3.3, Corollary 3.4 completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, New York, 1965.
- [2] P. Diaconis, *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*, IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser. 11, Inst. Math. Statist., Hayward, CA, 1988.
- [3] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani, *Generating a random permutation with random transpositions*, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb. **57** (1981), no. 2, 159-179.
- [4] S. Ding, *A Random Walk in Representations*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 2014.
- [5] F. G. Frobenius, *Über die Charaktere der symmetrischen Gruppen*, Sitz. Konig. Preuss. Akad. Wissen. (1900), 516-534.
- [6] J. Fulman, *Separation cutoffs for random walk on irreducible representations*, Ann. Comb. **14** (2010), no. 3, 319-337.
- [7] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, GTM 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [8] A. Goupil and C. Chauve, *Combinatorial operators for Kronecker powers of representations of  $S_n$* , Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, **54** (2006), B54j.
- [9] G. D. James, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Groups*, LNM 682, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [10] L. Saloff-Coste and J. Zúñiga, *Refined estimates for some basic random walks on the symmetric and alternating groups*, ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **4** (2008), 359-392.
- [11] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. I*, Wadsworth, Monterey, CA, 1986, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 49, reprinted by Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

*E-mail address:* dish@sas.upenn.edu