

A short note on estimation of WCRE and WCE

Salimeh Yasaei Sekeh*

Abstract

In this note the author uses order statistics to estimate WCRE and WCE in terms of empirical and survival functions. An example in both cases normal and exponential WFs is analyzed.

2000 MSC. 62N05, 62B10

Keywords: weighted cumulative residual entropy, weighted cumulative entropy, statistical estimation, weight function.

1 Introduction

Let X be a non-negative absolutely continuous random variable (RV) describing a component failure time, with the probability density function (PDF), $f(x)$, the cumulative distribution function (CDF), $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$, and the survival function (SF), $\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x)$.

Following [6] for given function $x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \varphi(x) \geq 0$, and the PDF f , the *weighted cumulative residual entropy* (WCRE) of X (or F) with weight function (WF) φ is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varphi}^w(X) = \mathcal{E}_{\varphi}^w(F) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi(x) \bar{F}(x) \log \bar{F}(x) dx. \quad (1.1)$$

A standard agreement $0 = 0 \cdot \log 0 = 0 \cdot \log \infty$ is adopted. Given the CDF, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto F(\mathbf{x}) \in [0, 1]$, with WF φ , the *weighted cumulative entropy* (WCE) of a RV X is presented by

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\varphi}^w(X) = \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\varphi}^w(F) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi(x) F(x) \log F(x) dx. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that in particular $\varphi(x) = x$ the WCRE and WCE in (1.1) and (1.2) turn (8) and (9) in [3]. Evidentially for $\varphi \equiv 1$, the WCRE and WCE take the forms CRE and CE, cf. [2].

Passing to Kullback-Leibler divergence, it's worthwhile to notice that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varphi}^w(F) = -D(\varphi F \parallel \varphi) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi(x) \bar{F}(x) \log \frac{\varphi(x) \bar{F}(x)}{\varphi(x)} dx. \quad (1.3)$$

*Department of Statistics, Federal University of São Carlos (UFSCar), São Carlos, Brazil. E-mail: sa_yasaei@yahoo.com

The reader would be addressed to [6] for numerous properties and motivations of WCRE and WCE. Also refer to [1], [5] for more details regarding the weighted entropies.

The main aim of this work is to represent an empirical estimator for WCRE and WCE, following analogue arguments in [3], [4].

2 Main results

The paper statistically focuses on the estimation of WCRE and WCE with general WF φ by using the order statistics. Here and below \widehat{F}_n and $\widehat{\overline{F}}_n$ stand the empirical distribution and survival function of random sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n at point x :

$$\widehat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{X_i \leq x\} \quad , \quad \widehat{\overline{F}}_n(x) = 1 - \widehat{F}_n(x),$$

where $\mathbf{1}\{X \leq x\}$ is the indicator function of the event $\{X \leq x\}$.

Definition 2.1 *Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample drawn from a population with distribution function F . Again set $\varphi(x)$ as WF, according to the definition of WCRE and WCE in (1.1) and (1.2), the empirical WCRE and empirical WCE respectively are defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\varphi^w(\widehat{F}_n) &= - \int_0^\infty \varphi(x) \widehat{\overline{F}}_n(x) \log \widehat{\overline{F}}_n(x) dx, \\ \overline{\mathcal{E}}_\varphi^w(\widehat{F}_n) &= - \int_0^\infty \varphi(x) \widehat{F}_n(x) \log \widehat{F}_n(x) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Paying homage to the Theorem 9 in [4], with similar methodology the following assertion holds true, omitting the proof.

Theorem 2.1 *Let X be a non-negative RV in L^p . Given $p > 1$ suppose for given $0 < a < \infty$ the WF φ obeys*

$$\int_0^a \varphi(x) dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^\infty \varphi(x) x^{-p} dx < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the empirical WCRE convergens to the WCRE of X , that is :

$$\mathcal{E}_\varphi^w(\widehat{F}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\varphi^w(F) \quad a.s.$$

Next, consider the order statistics of random sample, denote by $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$, indeed on the other hand $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_\varphi^w(\widehat{F}_n)$ renders the empirical quantity as

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}}_\varphi^w(\widehat{F}_n) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{X_{(i)}}^{X_{(i+1)}} \varphi(x) \widehat{F}_n(x) \log \widehat{F}_n(x) dx. \tag{2.3}$$

Further, set

$$\zeta_i = i(\psi(x_{(i+1)}) - \psi(x_{(i)})) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(t)dt.$$

Taking into account $\widehat{F}_n(x) = \frac{i}{n}$, $X_{(i)} \leq x \leq X_{(i+1)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, the Eqn (2.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\varphi}^w(\widehat{F}_n) &= - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\psi(x_{(i+1)}) - \psi(x_{(i)}) \right) \frac{i}{n} \log \frac{i}{n} \\ &= - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \zeta_i [\log i - \log n]. \end{aligned}$$

In addition following more straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \zeta_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^i \left(\psi(x_{(i+1)}) - \psi(x_{(i)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \left(\psi(x_{(i+1)}) - \psi(x_{(i)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\psi(x_{(n)}) - \psi(x_{(k)}) \right) = n \left(\psi(x_{(n)}) - \overline{\psi} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\psi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_{(i)})$. Consequently,

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\varphi}^w(\widehat{F}_n) = (\psi(x_{(n)}) - \overline{\psi}) \log n - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \zeta_i \log i. \quad (2.4)$$

Now set $\tau_i = (n-i)(\psi(x_{(i+1)}) - \psi(x_{(i)}))$, similarly it is plain that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varphi}^w(\widehat{F}_n) = (\overline{\psi} - \psi(x_{(1)})) \log n - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i \log(n-i). \quad (2.5)$$

The note is concluded by giving an example in order to discuss the represented estimation for WCRE and WCE above. In fact in Example 2.1, two Normal and Exponential WFs are considered. The observations from the simulations are coincide with analytical computation here and in [6].

Example 2.1 For Given set of 50 Sample lifetime generated from exponential distributions with mean 2:

8.23	2.86	0.906	6.66	0.912	0.127	0.290	0.422	7.23	10.93
0.126	1.65	2.18	1.18	1.43	0.521	1.34	0.428	3.40	3.36
0.119	1.83	1.24	1.37	4.54	6.44	0.626	2.37	0.906	1.72
0.049	1.51	0.123	0.651	3.32	1.42	2.74	1.96	0.047	0.120
0.247	0.417	4.24	2.04	6.01	0.721	2.57	1.45	2.22	0.221




Figure I.1




Figure I.2

Empirical WCRE and WCE for the data of Example 2.1 with Normal WF: Longdash ($\sigma = 0.5$), point ($\sigma = 1$) and Solid ($\sigma = 2$).

(I) Normal WF: According to the upcoming sample, assume the WF $\varphi(x) = \exp(-x^2/2\sigma^2)$. The graphs in Figure I.1, I.2 present the empirical WCRE and WCE for the given data in particular value of $\sigma = 0.5, 1, 2$. These simulations show that both WCRE and WCE are increasing in σ , however this is what we were expecting from the analytical calculations. In addition, for biggest $\sigma = 2$, same as CRE and CE, when the number of sample order data, n , is increasing the both curves WCRE and WCE are ascending as well, whereas for small value of σ this is violated. For instant for $\sigma = 0.5$, $WCRE(35) = 0.1872$ and $WCRE(40) = 0.1847$ or when $\sigma = 1$, $WCE(35) = 0.3299$ and $WCE(40) = 0.3270$.

Here $WCRE(n)$ and $WCE(n)$ are the amount of WCRE and WCE in n respectively.

(II) Exponential WF: Suppose that $\varphi(x) = \exp(tx)$. To satisfy the condition (2.2) in Theorem 2.1, constant t should be considered non-positive. Observe the Figure II.1, II.2 for the given sample data. The graphs represents the WCRE and WCE for different values of $t = -1, -0.2, -0.0001$. Here also the WCRE and WCE are increasing when t is increasing. Although in spite of Normal WF case, for each value of t the WCRE and WCE increase singly.

Acknowledgements – SYS thanks Professor Yuri Suhov for useful discussions. SYS also thanks the CAPES PNPd-UFSCAR Foundation for the financial support in the year 2014-5 and the Federal University of Sao Carlos, Department of Statistics, for hospitality during the year 2014-5.




Figure II.1




Figure II.2

Empirical WCRE and WCE for the data of Example 2.1 with exponential WF: Longdash ($t = -1$), point ($t = -0.2$) and Solid ($t = -0.0001$).

References

- [1] M. Belis and S. Guiasu. A Quantitative and qualitative measure of information in cybernetic systems. *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, **14** (1968), 593–594.
- [2] A. Di Crescenzo and M. Longobardi. On cumulative entropies. *J. of Stat. Plann and Infer.*, **139** (2009), 4072–4087.
- [3] F. Misagh, Y. Panahi, G. H. Yari and R. Shahi. Weighted cumulative entropy and its estimation. *Quality and Reliability (ICQR), IEEE International Conference on* (2011), 477–480.
- [4] M. Rao, Y. Chen, B. C. Vemuri and F. Wang. Cumulative residual entropy, a new measure of information. *IEEE Transactions Information Theory*, **50** (2004), 1220–1228.
- [5] Y. Suhov and S. Yasaei Sekeh. Simple inequalities for weighted entropies. arXiv 1409.4102.
- [6] Y. Suhov and S. Yasaei Sekeh. Weighted cumulative entropies: An extension of CRE and CE. arXiv 1507.07051.