

# Asymptotic connectivity for the network of RNA secondary structures

P. Clote

Biology Department, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, [clote@bc.edu](mailto:clote@bc.edu)

## Abstract

Given an RNA sequence  $\mathbf{a}$ , consider the network  $G = (V, E)$ , where the set  $V$  of nodes consists of all secondary structures of  $\mathbf{a}$ , and whose edge set  $E$  consists of all edges connecting two secondary structures whose base pair distance is 1. Define the network *connectivity*, or *expected network degree*, as the average number of edges incident to vertices of  $G$ . Using algebraic combinatorial methods, we prove that the asymptotic connectivity of length  $n$  homopolymer sequences is  $0.473418 \cdot n$ . This raises the question of what other network properties are characteristic of the network of RNA secondary structures. Programs in Python, C and Mathematica are available at the web site <http://bioinformatics.bc.edu/clotelab/RNAexpNbors>.

## 1 Introduction

In [24], Stein and Waterman used generating function theory to determine the asymptotic number of RNA secondary structures. Since that pioneering paper, a number of results concerning RNA secondary structure asymptotics have appeared, including the incomplete list [13, 12, 19, 3, 17, 5, 11, 15, 2, 22, 6, 23, 10, 16, 8].

In contrast to the previous papers, here we consider *network* properties of the ensemble of RNA secondary structures, following the seminal work of Wuchty [30], who showed by exhaustive enumeration of the low energy secondary structures of *E. coli* phe-tRNA, that the corresponding network architecture had *small-world* properties [29]. Small-world networks appear to abound in biology, providing a kind of robustness necessary for the molecular processes of life, as seen in networks of neural connections of *C. elegans* [29], gene co-expression in *S. cerevisiae* [25], metabolic pathways [26, 21], intermediate conformations in tertiary folding kinetics for the protein villin [1], etc.

In this paper, we use algebraic combinatorial methods, and in particular the Flajolet-Odlyzko theorem [7] to prove that the asymptotic expected network connectivity of RNA secondary structures is  $0.4734176431521986 \cdot n$ . Following [28, 14], *stickiness* is defined to be the probability  $p$  that any two positions can pair. For the simplicity of argument, in the homopolymer model, we take stickiness  $p$  to be 1; however, minor changes in our C dynamic programming algorithm and in our Mathematica code permit the computation of asymptotic expected connectivity for arbitrary stickiness  $p$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

A secondary structure for a given RNA nucleotide sequence  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$  is a set  $s$  of base pairs  $(i, j)$ , such that (i) if  $(i, j) \in s$  then  $\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j$  form either a Watson-Crick (AU,UA,CG,GC) or wobble (GU,UG) base pair, (ii) if  $(i, j) \in s$  then  $j - i > \theta = 3$  (a steric constraint requiring that there be at least  $\theta = 3$  unpaired bases between any two paired bases), (iii) if  $(i, j) \in s$  then for all  $j' \neq j$  and  $i' \neq i$ ,  $(i', j') \notin s$  and  $(i, j') \notin s$  (nonexistence of base triples), (iv) if  $(i, j) \in s$  and  $(k, \ell) \in s$ , then it is not the case that  $i < k < j < \ell$  (nonexistence of pseudoknots). For the purposes of this paper, following Stein and Waterman [24], we consider the *homopolymer* model of RNA, in which condition (i) is dropped, so that any base can pair with any other base.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$  is an RNA sequence. If  $s$  is a secondary structure of  $\mathbf{a}$ , then let  $N_s$  denote the number of secondary structures of  $\mathbf{a}$  that can be obtained from  $s$  by the removal or addition of a single

base pair; i.e. those structures having base pair distance from  $s$  of 1. Define the *expected number of neighbors*  $\langle N_s \rangle$  by

$$\langle N_s \rangle = \frac{Q}{Z} \quad (1)$$

where  $Q = \sum_s N_s$  is the total number  $N_x$  of neighbors of all secondary structures  $s$  of  $\mathbf{a}$ , and  $Z$  denotes the total number of secondary structures of  $\mathbf{a}$ . Note that  $Z$  corresponds to the *partition function*  $\sum_s \exp(-E(s)/RT)$  if the energy of every structure is 0.

In [4] we described three algorithms to compute the expected number of neighbors, or *network connectivity*,  $\langle N_s \rangle = \sum_s \frac{\exp(-E(s)/RT)}{Z} \cdot N_s$ , where  $Z$  is the partition function  $\sum_s \exp(-E(s)/RT)$  with respect to energy model A (each structure  $s$  has energy  $E(s) = 0$ ), model B (each structure  $s$  has Nussinov [20] energy  $E(s)$  equal to  $-1$  times the number  $|s|$  of base pairs in  $s$ ), and model C (each structure  $s$  has energy  $E(s)$  given by the Turner energy model [18, 31]).

Below, we follow reference [4] in deriving the recurrence relations for  $Q$  and  $Z$  for model A, corresponding to equation equation (1). For  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , define the subsequence  $\mathbf{a}[i, j] = \mathbf{a}_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_j$ , and define  $SS(\mathbf{a}[i, j])$  to be the collection of secondary structures of  $\mathbf{a}[i, j]$ . Define

$$Q_{i,j} = \sum_{s \in SS(\mathbf{a}[i, j])} N_s. \quad (2)$$

Similarly, let  $Z_{i,j} = \sum_{s \in SS(\mathbf{a}[i, j])} 1$ ; i.e.  $Z_{i,j}$  denotes the number of secondary structures of  $\mathbf{a}[i, j]$ .

**BASE CASE:** For  $j - i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $Q_{i,j} = 0$  and  $Z_{i,j} = 1$ .

**INDUCTIVE CASE:** Let  $BP(i, j, \mathbf{a})$  be a boolean function, taking the value 1 if positions  $i, j$  can form a base pair for sequence  $\mathbf{a}$ , and otherwise taking the value 0. Assume that  $j - i > 3$ .

**SUBCASE A:** Consider all secondary structures  $s \in \mathbf{a}[i, j]$ , for which  $j$  is unpaired. For each structure  $s$  in this subcase, the number  $N_s$  of neighbors of  $s$  is constituted from the number of structures obtained from  $s$  by removal of a single base pair, together with the number of structures obtained from  $s$  by addition of a single base pair. If the base pair added does not involve terminal position  $j$ , then total contribution to  $\sum_{s \in SS(\mathbf{a}[i, j])} N_s$  is  $Q_{i,j-1}$ . It remains to count the contribution due to neighbors  $t$  of  $s$ , obtained from  $s \in SS(\mathbf{a}[i, j])$  by adding the base pair  $(k, j)$ . This contribution is given by  $\sum_{k=i}^{j-4} BP(k, j, \mathbf{a}) \cdot Z_{i,k-1} \cdot Z_{k+1,j-1}$ , where  $Z_{i,i-1}$  is defined to be 1. Thus the total contribution to  $Q_{i,j}$  from this subcase is

$$Q_{i,j-1} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-4} BP(k, j, \mathbf{a}) \cdot Z_{i,k-1} \cdot Z_{k+1,j-1}.$$

**SUBCASE B:** Consider all secondary structures  $s \in \mathbf{a}[i, j]$  that contain the base pair  $(k, j)$  for some  $k \in \{i, \dots, j-4\}$ . For secondary structure  $s$  in this subcase, the number  $N_s$  of neighbors of  $s$  is constituted from the number of structures obtained by removing base pair  $(k, j)$  together with a contribution obtained by adding/removing a single base pair either to the region  $[i, k-1]$  or to the region  $[k+1, j-1]$ . Setting  $Q_{i,i-1}$  to be 0, these contributions are given by

$$\sum_{k=i}^{j-4} BP(k, j, \mathbf{a}) \cdot [Z_{i,k-1} \cdot Z_{k+1,j-1} + Q_{i,k-1} \cdot Z_{k+1,j-1} + Z_{i,k-1} \cdot Q_{k+1,j-1}].$$

In the current subcase, the contribution to  $Z_{i,j}$  is  $\sum_{k=i}^{j-4} BP(k, j, \mathbf{a}) \cdot Z_{i,k-1} \cdot Z_{k+1,j-1}$ .

Finally, taking the contributions from both subcases together, it follows that

$$Q_{i,j} = Q_{i,j-1} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-4} BP(k, j, \mathbf{a}) \cdot [2 \cdot Z_{i,k-1} \cdot Z_{k+1,j-1} + Q_{i,k-1} \cdot Z_{k+1,j-1} + Z_{i,k-1} \cdot Q_{k+1,j-1}] \quad (3)$$

$$Z_{i,j} = Z_{i,j-1} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-4} BP(k, j, \mathbf{a}) \cdot Z_{i,k-1} \cdot Z_{k+1,j-1}. \quad (4)$$

a .....	6
b (...) ..	1
c (...) ..	1
d . (...) ..	2
e (.....) ..	2
f . (...) ..	1
g .. (...) ..	1
h ((...)) ..	2




Figure 1: (*Left*) All possible secondary structures of the 7-mer homopolymer, where position  $i$  can pair with position  $j$  provided only that  $1 \leq i < j \leq 7$  and  $j - i \geq 4$ . (*Right*) Graph representation of neighborhood network, where nodes a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h respectively represent the 8 secondary structures in the list. The number of neighbors of each secondary structure is indicated to its right. The expected number of neighbors for the 7-mer is thus  $(6 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 2)/8 = 16/8 = 2$ .

It follows that the expected number  $\langle N_s \rangle$  of neighbors  $N_s$  of structures  $s$  of **a** is  $\frac{Q_{1,n}}{Z_{1,n}}$ . Note that the recursion for  $Z_{i,j}$  is well-known and due originally to Waterman [27].

To provide concrete intuition for the problem we consider, in Figure 1, we present the list of secondary structures for a homopolymer of length 7, depicted as a network having expected connectivity of 2. In the left panel of Figure 2, we present a histogram for the network connectivity (graph degree or number of neighbors), by analyzing an exhaustively produced list of all 106,633 structures of the 20-mer homopolymer. In the right panel of Figure 2, we present a plot of the *normalized* expected number of neighbors of for homopolymers of length 1 to 1000 nt, obtained by dividing the expected number of neighbors by sequence length. Clearly there appears to be an asymptotic value for the length-normalized expected connectivity, suggesting that it may be possible to formally prove the existence of this asymptotic value, a task to which the remainder of the paper is dedicated.

### 3 Methods

#### 3.1 Recurrence relation for partition function $Z_n$

In order to determine asymptotic network connectivity, we now provide similar recursions to those of (3) and (4) for the *homopolymer* model of RNA, where any base (position)  $i$  can pair with any other position (base)  $j$ , provide only that  $1 \leq i + \theta + 1 \leq j \leq n$ . Following common convention due to steric constraints, we take  $\theta$  to be 3. These recursions are the basis of the dynamic programming code we implemented, used to produce Figure 2.

We define  $Z_0 = 1$ , in order to simplify the recurrence relation for  $Z_n$ , defined to be the number of secondary structures for a homopolymer of length  $n$ , or equivalently, the *partition function* for the energy model that assigns an energy of 0 to every structure. Moreover, since the empty structure is the only structure for sequences of length 1, 2, 3, 4 =  $\theta + 1$ , we define  $Z_n = 1$  for  $0 \leq n \leq 4$ . Secondary structures for a homopolymer of length  $n > 4$  can be partitioned into two classes: (1)  $n$  is unpaired, (2) there is a base pair  $(k, n)$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq n - 4 = n - \theta - 1$ . Thus we have

$$Z_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq n \leq 4 = \theta + 1 \\ Z_{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-\theta-2} Z_k \cdot Z_{n-2-k} & \text{if } n \geq 5 = \theta + 2 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

which is the homopolymer analogue of equation (4). To employ generating function theory, we require a single formula for  $Z_n$ , rather than a definition by cases – see p. 66 of [9]. This is easily achieved by (*i*) adding the indicator function  $I[n = 0]$ , defined to equal 1 if  $n = 0$ , and otherwise 0, and (*ii*) adding and subtracting the same terms to ensure that  $k$  ranges from 0 to  $n - 2$ , rather than  $n - \theta - 2 = n - 5$ . Thus




Figure 2: (Left) Histogram of the number of neighbors for all 106,633 secondary structures of the 20-mer homopolymer. The mean is 8.336, the standard deviation is 4.769, the maximum is 136, and minimum is 3. (Right) Plot of the *normalized* expected number of neighbors for homopolymers of length 1 to 1000 nt, obtained by dividing the expected number of neighbors by sequence length. Apparent asymptotic value seems to be  $\approx 0.4724$ . The main result of this paper is the proof that the asymptotic value is in fact 0.4734176431521986.

equation (5) is equivalent to (6) defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n &= Z_{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} Z_k \cdot Z_{n-2-k} + I[n=0] - Z_{n-1} \cdot Z_0 - Z_{n-3} \cdot Z_1 - Z_{n-4} \cdot Z_2 \\ &= Z_{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} Z_k \cdot Z_{n-2-k} + I[n=0] - Z_{n-1} - Z_{n-3} - Z_{n-4}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let  $z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n \cdot x^n$ . By multiplying equation (6) by  $x^n$ , summing from  $n = 0$  to  $\infty$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n \cdot x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{n-1} \cdot x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} Z_k \cdot Z_{n-2-k} \cdot x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (Z_{n-2} + Z_{n-3} + Z_{n-4} - I[n=0]) \cdot x^n$$

which yields

$$z = xz + x^2 z^2 - zx^2 - zx^3 - zx^4 + 1 \quad (7)$$

Solving the quadratic equation (7) for  $z$ , we determine that

$$z = \frac{1 - x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 \pm \sqrt{1 - 2x - x^2 + x^4 + 3x^6 + 2x^7 + x^8}}{2x^2}.$$

Only the first solution

$$z_1 = \frac{1 - x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 - \sqrt{1 - 2x - x^2 + x^4 + 3x^6 + 2x^7 + x^8}}{2x^2} \quad (8)$$

has the property that the coefficients of its Taylor expansion correspond to the values of  $Z_n$ , as determined by dynamic programming (see program at web site). In particular, using Mathematica, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + 2x^5 + 4x^6 + 8x^7 + 16x^8 + 32x^9 + 65x^{10} + 133x^{11} + 274x^{12} + 568x^{13} + \\ &\quad 1184x^{14} + 2481x^{15} + 5223x^{16} + 11042x^{17} + 23434x^{18} + 49908x^{19} + 106633x^{20} + O(x)^{21} \end{aligned}$$

which can be compared with the values determined by our dynamic programming implementation:

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
$Z_n$	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	8	16	32	65	133	274	568	1184	2481	5223	11042

### 3.2 Recurrence relation for the number of neighbors $Q_n$

Let  $Q_n$  denote the total number  $N_s$  of nearest neighbors of all secondary structures  $s$  of a homopolymer of length  $n$ . The homopolymer analogue of equation (3) is as follows:

$$Q_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \\ Q_{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} 2Z_k Z_{n-2-k} + Q_k Z_{n-2-k} + Z_k Q_{n-2-k} & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

If we assume that  $Z_n = 0 = Q_n$  for  $n < 0$ , then it follows from equations (9) and (5), that we can express  $Q_n$  by the formula

$$Q_n = Q_{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-5} 2Z_k Z_{n-2-k} + Q_k Z_{n-2-k} + Z_k Q_{n-2-k}. \quad (10)$$

Next, we add and subtract the same terms in order to ensure that the upper bound in the previous summation is  $n - 2$ . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n = & Q_{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} 2Z_k Z_{n-2-k} + Q_k Z_{n-2-k} + Z_k Q_{n-2-k} \\ & - 2(Z_{n-2} \cdot Z_0 + Z_{n-3} \cdot Z_1 + Z_{n-4} \cdot Z_2) - (Q_{n-2} \cdot Z_0 + Q_{n-3} \cdot Z_1 + Q_{n-4} \cdot Z_2) \\ & - (Z_{n-2} \cdot Q_0 + Z_{n-3} \cdot Q_1 + Z_{n-4} \cdot Q_2) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

which simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n = & Q_{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} 2Z_k Z_{n-2-k} + Q_k Z_{n-2-k} + Z_k Q_{n-2-k} \\ & - 2(Z_{n-2} + Z_{n-3} + Z_{n-4}) - (Q_{n-2} + Q_{n-3} + Q_{n-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Multiply each term of equation (12) by  $x^n$  and summing from  $n = 0$  to  $\infty$ , to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n \cdot x^n = & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{n-1} \cdot x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} 2Z_k Z_{n-2-k} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} Q_k Z_{n-2-k} \right) + \\ & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} Z_k Q_{n-2-k} \right) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2(Z_{n-2} + Z_{n-3} + Z_{n-4}) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (Q_{n-2} + Q_{n-3} + Q_{n-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Let  $q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n x^n$  and  $z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n x^n$ . Then from (13) we have

$$q = xq + 2x^2 z^2 + x^2 qz + x^2 zq - 2x^2 z - 2x^3 z - 2x^4 z - qx^2 - qx^3 - qx^4. \quad (14)$$

From equations (7) and (14), we have

- $z^2 x^2 = z - zx + zx^2 + zx^3 + zx^4 - 1$
- $q = xq + 2x^2 z^2 + 2x^2 qz - 2x^2 z - 2x^3 z - 2x^4 z - qx^2 - qx^3 - qx^4$

from which we eliminate the variable  $z$  to obtain the following quadratic equation in variable  $q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 4x^5 = & q^2 x^2 (1 - 2x - x^2 + x^4 + 3x^6 + 2x^7 + x^8) + \\ & q (2 - 6x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + 2x^4 - 2x^5 + 6x^6 - 2x^7 - 2x^8 - 2x^9). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Solving for  $q$ , we determine that only the solution

$$\begin{aligned} q2 &= (-1 + 3x - x^2 - x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - 3x^6 + x^7 + x^8 + x^9 + \\ &\quad \sqrt{(1 - 6x + 11x^2 - 4x^3 - 3x^4 - 6x^5 + 15x^6 - 16x^7 + x^8 + 2x^9 + 9x^{10} - \\ &\quad 8x^{11} + 7x^{12} + 6x^{13} + 5x^{14} + 3x^{16} + 2x^{17} + x^{18})}) / (x^2 - 2x^3 - x^4 + x^6 + 3x^8 + 2x^9 + x^{10}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

is possible, since its Taylor expansion is

$$q2 = 2x^5 + 6x^6 + 16x^7 + 40x^8 + 96x^9 + 228x^{10} + 532x^{11} + 1230x^{12} + 2826x^{13} + 6464x^{14} + 14742x^{15} + 33546x^{16} + 76216x^{17} + 172968x^{18} + 392228x^{19} + 888932x^{20} + O(x)^{21}.$$

These values agree with those from the following table that were obtained by our dynamic programming implementation.

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
$Q_n$	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	16	40	96	228	532	1230	2826	6464	14742	33546	76216

### 3.3 Flajolet-Odlyzko theorem

Having determined the formulas for  $z1$  [resp.  $q2$ ] in equation (8) [resp. equation (16)], we use the following theorem of Flajolet and Odlyzko [7] to determine the asymptotic coefficients of  $Z_n$  [resp.  $Q_n$ ] in the Taylor expansion of the generating function  $z1$  [resp.  $q2$ ].

Following standard convention, let  $[x^n]f(x)$  denote the  $n$ th coefficient in the Taylor expansion of  $f(x)$ . The following theorem is stated as Corollary 2, part (i) of [7] on page 224.

**Theorem 1 (Flajolet and Odlyzko)** *Assume that  $f(x)$  has a singularity at  $x = \rho > 0$ , is analytic in the rest of the region  $\Delta \setminus 1$ , depicted in Figure 3, and that as  $x \rightarrow \rho$  in  $\Delta$ ,*

$$f(x) \sim K(1 - x/\rho)^\alpha. \quad (17)$$

*Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , if  $\alpha \notin 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,*

$$f_n = [x^n]f(x) \sim \frac{K}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \cdot n^{-\alpha-1} \rho^{-n}$$

*where  $\Gamma$  denotes the Gamma function.*

In Section 3.4, we determine the asymptotic value of  $[x^n]q2 = Q_n$ , and in the following Section 3.5, we determine the asymptotic value of  $[x^n]z1 = Z_n$ . The ratio of these values then yields the asymptotic expected number of neighbors, or *network connectivity* for the homopolymer model of RNA.

### 3.4 Asymptotic number of neighbors

Let  $P$  denote the polynomial under the radical of equation (16), i.e.

$$P = 1 - 6x + 11x^2 - 4x^3 - 3x^4 - 6x^5 + 15x^6 - 16x^7 + x^8 + 2x^9 + \dots \quad (18)$$

$$9x^{10} - 8x^{11} + 7x^{12} + 6x^{13} + 5x^{14} + 3x^{16} + 2x^{17} + x^{18}. \quad (19)$$

There are 4 real roots and 14 imaginary roots of  $P$ ; however, the root of the smallest modulus (absolute value) is the real root

$$\rho = 0.436911127214519 \approx 0.436911. \quad (20)$$

Now  $q2$  can be expressed in the form  $q2 = G + H$ , where  $G = \frac{G_{num}}{G_{denom}}$  and  $H = \frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom}}$ , and where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{num} &= (-1 + 3x - x^2 - x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - 3x^6 + x^7 + x^8 + x^9) \\ G_{denom} &= x^2 (1 - 2x - x^2 + x^4 + 3x^6 + 2x^7 + x^8). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$




Figure 3: The shaded region  $\Delta$  where, except at  $x = \rho$ , the generating function  $f(x)$  must be analytic. Here  $\rho = 1$ . Figure taken from Lorenz et al. [17].

One notes that  $\rho$  is a root of both  $G_{num}$  and  $G_{denom}$ , so their ratio is well-defined; as well, clearly 0 is a root of  $G_{denom}$ . It follows that both 0 and  $\rho$  are singularities of the function  $q_2$  (recall that in complex analysis, the square root of 0 is a singularity).

For asymptotics, the term  $\frac{G_{num}}{G_{denom}}$  can be neglected, since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \rho} \frac{G_{num}}{G_{denom}} = -2.963617606602476$ ; thus it follows that  $[x^n]q_2 = [x^n]\frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom}}$ . However, the Flajolet-Odlyzko theorem cannot be applied to the function  $f = \frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom}}$ , since  $\rho$  is not the dominant singularity, as  $0 < \rho$ . To address this issue, we define  $G_{num1} = G_{num}$  and  $G_{denom1} = G_{denom}/x^2$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} G_{num1} &= (-1 + 3x - x^2 - x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - 3x^6 + x^7 + x^8 + x^9) \\ G_{denom1} &= (1 - 2x - x^2 + x^4 + 3x^6 + 2x^7 + x^8). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Now we can apply Theorem 1 to the function  $f_1 = x^2 \cdot f = \frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom1}}$ , for which  $\rho$  is the dominant singularity. First, we factor  $(1 - x/\rho)$  out from  $P$ .

$$\frac{P}{1 - x/\rho} = 1 - 3.71121x + 2.50581x^2 + 1.73529x^3 + 0.971726x^4 - 3.77592x^5 + 6.3577x^6 - \quad (23)$$

$$1.44854x^7 - 2.3154x^8 - 3.29948x^9 + 1.44816x^{10} - 4.68545x^{11} - 3.72404x^{12} - \quad (24)$$

$$2.52356x^{13} - 0.775919x^{14} - 1.77592x^{15} - 1.06471x^{16} - 0.436911x^{17}. \quad (25)$$

Second, we factor  $(1 - x/\rho)$  out from  $G_{denom1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_{denom1}}{1 - x/\rho} &= 1 + 0.288795x - 0.339007x^2 - 0.775919x^3 - 0.775919x^4 - 1.77592x^5 - \\ &\quad 1.06471x^6 - 0.436911x^7. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

It follows from (23) and (26) that

$$\frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom1}} = \frac{(1 - x/\rho)^{-1/2} \cdot \sqrt{P/(1 - x/\rho)}}{G_{denom1}/(1 - x/\rho)} \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{P/(1 - x/\rho)}}{G_{denom1}/(1 - x/\rho)}(\rho) = 0.11422693623949792. \quad (28)$$

and so  $\frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom1}} = 0.11422693623949792 \cdot (1 - x/\rho)^{-1/2}$ . If we define  $K = 0.11422693623949792$ , then it follows that  $f_1 = \frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom1}} \sim K(1 - x/\rho)^{-1/2}$ , and by applying Theorem 1 for  $\alpha = -1/2$ , we have the following asymptotic result.

**Lemma 1** The asymptotic value of the  $n$ th coefficient  $[x^n](x^2 q2)$  in the Taylor expansion of  $x^2 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n x^n$  is  $\frac{0.06444564758689844 \cdot 2.2887949921884863^n}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned}[x^n](x^2 q2) &= [x^n](f1) \sim \frac{K}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \cdot n^{-\alpha-1} \cdot \rho^{-n} \\ &= \frac{0.11422693623949792}{\Gamma(1/2)} \cdot n^{-1/2} \cdot 0.436911127214519^{-n} \\ &= \frac{0.06444564758689844 \cdot 2.2887949921884863^n}{\sqrt{n}} \square\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

### 3.5 Asymptotic number of structures

We now proceed similarly to determine the asymptotic value of the Taylor coefficients of  $x^2 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n x^n$ . Now let  $P$  denote the polynomial under the radical of equation (8), i.e.

$$P = 1 - 2x - x^2 + x^4 + 3x^6 + 2x^7 + x^8.\tag{30}$$

There are 2 real roots and 6 imaginary roots of  $P$ ; however, the root of the smallest modulus (absolute value) is the real root

$$\rho = 0.436911127214519 \approx 0.436911,\tag{31}$$

identical the the value from equation (20). Then  $z1$  can be expressed in the form  $z1 = G + H$ , where  $G = \frac{G_{num}}{G_{denom}}$  and  $H = \frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom}}$ , and where

$$\begin{aligned}G_{num} &= (1 - x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4) \\ G_{denom} &= 2x^2.\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

Note that  $\rho$  is not a root of either  $G_{num}$  or  $G_{denom}$ , so their ratio is well-defined; as well, clearly 0 is a root of  $G_{denom}$ . It follows that both 0 and  $\rho$  are singularities of the function  $z1$  (recall that in complex analysis, the square root of 0 is a singularity).

For asymptotics, the term  $\frac{G_{num}}{G_{denom}}$  can be neglected, since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \rho} \frac{G_{num}}{G_{denom}} = 2.2887949921884205$ ; thus it follows that  $[x^n]z1 = [x^n]\frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom}}$ . However, the Flajolet-Odlyzko theorem cannot be applied to the function  $f = \frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom}}$ , since 0, rather than  $\rho$ , is the dominant singularity. To address this issue, we define  $G_{num1} = G_{num}$  and  $G_{denom1} = G_{denom}/x^2$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned}G_{num1} &= (1 - x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4) \\ G_{denom1} &= 2.\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

Now we can apply Theorem 1 to the function  $f1 = x^2 \cdot f = \frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom1}}$ , for which  $\rho$  is the dominant singularity. First, we factor  $(1 - x/\rho)$  out from  $P$ .

$$\frac{P}{1 - x/\rho} = 1 + 0.288795x - 0.339007x^2 - 0.775919x^3 - 0.775919x^4 - 1.77592x^5 - 1.06471x^6 - 0.436911\tag{34}$$

It follows from (34) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sqrt{P}}{G_{denom1}} &= \frac{(1 - x/\rho)^{1/2} \cdot \sqrt{P/(1 - x/\rho)}}{G_{denom1}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{P/(1 - x/\rho)}}{G_{denom1}}(\rho) &= \frac{\sqrt{P/(1 - x/\rho)}}{2}(\rho) = 0.4825630725501931\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

and so  $\frac{\sqrt{P}}{2} = 0.4825630725501931 \cdot (1 - x/\rho)^{1/2}$ . If we define  $K = 0.4825630725501931$ , then it follows that  $f1 = \frac{\sqrt{P}}{2} \sim K(1 - x/\rho)^{1/2}$ , and by applying Theorem 1 for  $\alpha = +1/2$ , we have the following asymptotic result.

**Lemma 2** The asymptotic value of the  $n$ th coefficient  $[x^n](x^2 z_1)$  in the Taylor expansion of  $x^2 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n x^n$  is  $\frac{0.13612852946880957 \cdot 2.2887949921884863^n}{n^{3/2}}$ .

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned}[x^n](x^2 z_1) &= [x^n](f_1) \sim \frac{K}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \cdot n^{-\alpha-1} \cdot \rho^{-n} \\ &= \frac{0.13612852946880957}{\Gamma(-1/2)} \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot 2.2887949921884863^n \\ &= \frac{0.13612852946880957 \cdot 2.2887949921884863^n}{n^{3/2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

□ We have now established the asymptotic *expected* network connectivity.

**Theorem 2** The asymptotic value of the expected number of neighbors is  $0.4734176431521986 \cdot n$ ; i.e. the asymptotic value of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_n}{n Z_n} \cdot x^n$  is  $0.4734176431521986$ .

PROOF. By Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{[x^n]q_2}{[x^n]z_1} &= \frac{[x^n](x^2 \cdot q_2)}{[x^n](x^2 \cdot z_1)} \\ &= \frac{(0.06444564758689844 \cdot 2.2887949921884863^n \cdot n^{-1/2})}{(0.13612852946880957 \cdot 2.2887949921884863^n \cdot n^{-3/2})} \\ &= 0.4734176431521986 \cdot n.\end{aligned}$$

## 4 Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the National Science Foundation grant DBI-1262439. Akademischer Austauschdienst. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

## References

- [1] G. R. Bowman and V. S. Pande. Protein folded states are kinetic hubs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 107(24):10890–10895, June 2010.
- [2] W.Y. C. Chen, J. Qin, C. Reidys, and D. Zeilberger. Efficient counting and asymptotics of k-noncrossing tangled diagrams. *Electr. J. Comb.*, 16(1), 2009.
- [3] P. Clote. Combinatorics of saturated secondary structures of RNA. *J. Comput. Biol.*, 13(9):1640–1657, November 2006.
- [4] P. Clote. Expected degree for RNA secondary structure networks. *J Comp Chem*, 2014.
- [5] P. Clote, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, and B. Salvy. Asymptotics of canonical and saturated RNA secondary structures. *J. Bioinform. Comput. Biol.*, 7(5):869–893, October 2009.
- [6] P. Clote, Y. Ponty, and J. M. Steyaert. Expected distance between terminal nucleotides of RNA secondary structures. *J Math Biol.*, 0(O):O, October 2011.
- [7] P. Flajolet and A. M. Odlyzko. Singularity analysis of generating functions. *SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, 3:216–240, 1990.
- [8] E. Fusy and P. Clote. Combinatorics of locally optimal RNA secondary structures. *J Math Biol.*, 0(O):O, December 2012.

- [9] R.L Graham, D.E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik. *Concrete Mathematics - A Foundation for Computer Science*. Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- [10] H. S. Han and C. M. Reidys. The 5'-3' distance of RNA secondary structures. *J. Comput. Biol.*, 19(7):867–878, July 2012.
- [11] Hillary S. W. Han and Christian M. Reidys. Stacks in canonical RNA pseudoknot structures. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 219(1):7–14, May 2009.
- [12] Christian Haslinger and Peter F. Stadler. Rna structures with pseudo-knots: Graph-theoretical, combinatorial, and statistical properties. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 61(3):437–467, May 1999.
- [13] Ivo L. Hofacker, Peter Schuster, and Peter F. Stadler. Combinatorics of RNA secondary structures. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 88(1-3):207–237, 1998.
- [14] Ivo L. Hofacker, Peter Schuster, and Peter F. Stadler. Combinatorics of RNA secondary structures. *Discr. Appl. Math.*, 88:207–237, 1998.
- [15] Emma Y. Jin and Christian M. Reidys. On the decomposition of k-noncrossing RNA structures. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 44(1):53–70, January 2010.
- [16] T. J. Li and C. M. Reidys. Combinatorics of RNA-RNA interaction. *J. Math. Biol.*, 64(3):529–556, February 2012.
- [17] W. A. Lorenz, Y. Ponty, and P. Clote. Asymptotics of RNA shapes. *J. Comput. Biol.*, 15(1):31–63, 2008.
- [18] D.H. Matthews, J. Sabina, M. Zuker, and D.H. Turner. Expanded sequence dependence of thermodynamic parameters improves prediction of RNA secondary structure. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 288:911–940, 1999.
- [19] M. E. Nebel. Combinatorial properties of RNA secondary structures. *J. Comput. Biol.*, 9(3):541–573, 2002.
- [20] R. Nussinov and A. B. Jacobson. Fast algorithm for predicting the secondary structure of single stranded RNA. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 77(11):6309–6313, 1980.
- [21] E. Ravasz, A. L. Somera, D. A. Mongru, Z. N. Oltvai, and A. L. Barabasi. Hierarchical organization of modularity in metabolic networks. *Science*, 297(5586):1551–1555, August 2002.
- [22] C. M. Reidys, F. W. Huang, J. E. Andersen, R. C. Penner, P. F. Stadler, and M. E. Nebel. Topology and prediction of RNA pseudoknots. *Bioinformatics*, 27(8):1076–1085, April 2011.
- [23] C. Saule, M. Regnier, J. M. Steyaert, and A. Denise. Counting RNA pseudoknotted structures. *J. Comput. Biol.*, 18(10):1339–1351, October 2011.
- [24] P. R. Stein and M. S. Waterman. On some new sequences generalizing the Catalan and Motzkin numbers. *Discrete Mathematics*, 26:261–272, 1978.
- [25] V. Van Noort, B. Snel, and M. A. Huynen. The yeast coexpression network has a small-world, scale-free architecture and can be explained by a simple model. *EMBO Rep.*, 5(3):280–284, March 2004.
- [26] A. Wagner and D. A. Fell. The small world inside large metabolic networks. *Proc. Biol. Sci.*, 268(1478):1803–1810, September 2001.
- [27] M. S. Waterman. Secondary structure of single-stranded nucleic acids. *Studies in Foundations and Combinatorics, Advances in Mathematics Supplementary Studies*, 1:167–212, 1978.
- [28] M. S. Waterman. *Introduction to Computational Biology*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1995.
- [29] D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz. Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. *Nature*, 393(6684):440–442, June 1998.

- [30] S. Wuchty. Small worlds in RNA structures. *Nucleic. Acids. Res.*, 31(3):1108–1117, February 2003.
- [31] T. Xia, Jr. J. SantaLucia, M.E. Burkard, R. Kierzek, S.J. Schroeder, X. Jiao, C. Cox, and D.H. Turner. Thermodynamic parameters for an expanded nearest-neighbor model for formation of RNA duplexes with Watson-Crick base pairs. *Biochemistry*, 37:14719–35, 1999.