

CCA-Fuzzy-Land Cover and its implication in deforestation analysis: new method used for the classification of vegetation types and coverages

H. Arellano-P.^{1,2} and J. O. Rangel-Ch.¹

[1]{Grupo de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Conservación, Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, P.O. Box 7495, Bogotá D. C., Colombia}

[2]{Compensation International Progress S.A., P.O. Box 260161, Bogotá D. C., Colombia}

Correspondence to: H. Arellano-P (harellano@unal.edu.co)

Abstract

Nowadays, land covers are evaluated and classified with general features based in the reflectance or digital levels of the photographic or satellite supplies. One of the most utilized methodologies in the world such as CORINE Land Cover, classifies natural coverages with bulk stratifications. Thus, an exaggerated generalization is used (as palm groves, tall dense mangroves and dense forests, between others) and important floristic and structural information from the vegetation types present in the areas is omitted. This sacrifices information on site heterogeneity and diversity, especially in the pantropic. The generalization of the coverage types reaches its maximum level in the deforestation analysis in the world when considering and evaluating many times two classes: Forests vs. Non Forests, situation that as demonstrated in this contribution, brings serious consequences in conservation politics of megadiverse countries. We designed a new methodological route incorporating biological distinctiveness; phytosociological classification of vegetation and its relation to physical characteristics of the territory. It is based on the use of parameters obtained by a Canonical Correspondence Analysis on a fuzzy logic model that allows the production of multiple coverage maps. This tool is suitable for monitoring and analysing vegetation dynamics since it maintains the typological integrity of a cartographic series. Therefore,

our methodology allows to find cartographic series congruent in time and scale, and can be applied to multiple and different satellite inputs. The same model parameters are always evaluated. In the present contribution we use the example of the implementation of the method in the south-western Colombian Caribbean region. We compared our results with forefront tools used in the analysis of deforestation in the world.

Even though there are great advances in the quantification of the deforestation and the gain or loss of forest coverage principally in the pantropic such as the contribution of Hansel et al. (2013) and Kim et al. (2015), our results regarding the topic, developed a comparable scales and based in the analysis of similar satellite inputs in a region of the Colombian Caribbean, show great discrepancies in regard to the data provided by these. The aforementioned is attributed to the fact that they lack information that gives light regarding the validity of the information according to the evaluated region, to the lack of incorporation of degradation processes in the analyses, to the lack of awareness of the agents that cause deforestation, to the inadequacy of the chosen methodologies (evaluation of the case Sánchez et al. (2012) for Colombia applicable to Aide et al. (2013) for Latin America and the Caribbean) and principally to the lack of knowledge of the vegetation patterns where the evaluated impacts are generated. Our results show that the total gross transformation (loss) reported for the evaluated region (18,390.85 hectares of gross deforestation per year and gross degradation of 8,258.51 ha year⁻¹) was of 26,649.36 hectares year⁻¹, number calculated between 1987 and 2010. The net loss us calculate in 10,150.56 ha year⁻¹. While the annual record of gross deforestation based on the data provided by Hansel et al. (2013) for the period between 2000 and 2010 would be around of 9,405 ha. If we take into account that Kim et al. (2015) concludes that for the pantropic, the estimations show a strong correlation with Hansel et al. (2013), we conclude that Kim et al. (2015) contribution presents an underestimation as well. We emphasize the care that should be taking into account in the promotion of the generalized information as a baseline of initiatives such as REDD+, or the establishment of official deforestation numbers. We believe that the continuous confusions in the numbers, especially the ones regarding the diminution of the deforestation phenomenon, cause a false impression that the politics of governments aimed to the reduction of the adverse effects of climate change go in the right track, disastrous situation for the different conserved ecosystems. Using our method it is possible to satisfy different goals such as REDD + projects baseline, REDD + monitoring, planning and land use plans.

1 Introduction

Since ancient times, when philosophers like Plato, Hippocrates, Pliny, Strabo and Posidonius wrote treatises on the relationship between man and nature, biology was approached as a science that registered besides the philosophical approach, important empirical observations of the environmental changes caused by man. Nevertheless, in spite of its long history and its numerous contributions to the modern methodologies such as the development of the plant classification system by Linneo (1735) and the geography of plants by Mentzel (1650, 1682), only until the XIX century with the important theoretical contributions by Haeckel, Malthus and Darwin, this science developed one of its main divisions, the autoecology; which will subsequently influence the anglo-american school in the development of the gradient analysis as one of the principal quantitative approaches in ecology. On the other side, the synecology; a school developed on the basis of the geography of plants and the contributions in their classification on a physiognomic base by Humboldt (1805, 1807), had in the mid-twentieth century, one of its main representatives, Braun Blanquet (1964), who with a sociological approach classified the vegetation in function of its floristic composition converting the phytosociological analysis in the second quantitative approach in community ecology. From the influence of these two approaches (autoecological and synecological), a close contact between vegetation and geography was created, which alongside with the development of statistics, generated new analysis tools that were gradually implemented in vegetation studies. It's important to highlight that as a result of these approaches in vegetation sciences, a convergence with the physical geography was presented which led to the subsequent development of landscape ecology in Germany and Great Britain in the XX century (Jongman et al., 1995).

In this contribution, an integrated vision of the mentioned schools is presented for the solution of the adequate representation of the geographical distribution patterns of the arboreal vegetation and its many variants. In spite that the first statistical methods (date back to the late XIX century with Galton's studies in 1889) such as the linear regression and the correlation between variables, are derived from biological research, subsequent development such as multivariate analysis are mainly due to the adoption of this task by other sciences including sociology and astronomy. Nevertheless, due to the fact that social, economic and mathematical problems are closely related to the ones exposed in biotic communities, it is possible to relate the methodologies used for analysing races,

ethnicities or intelligence tests such as the ones developed by Spearman (1904), Pearson (1921), Hotelling (1931, 1933, 1936) and Mahalanobis (1936), or variance analysis methodologies developed by Fisher (1926) for the morphological comparison of skulls (Peña, 2002) with data from vegetation patterns. This task of refinement and adaptation of methodologies, came after a long period of inactivity in the science of vegetation, which was awakened between 1960 and 1970, in a time of nature rediscovery and its conservation, such as with the appearance of computers. Immediately, an increase of scientific publications on the subject was noted, which, among others, applied, the principle of maximum likelihood developed in the XIX century, univariate statistical methods developed between 1930 and 1940, the principal component analysis (Hotelling, 1933), the k-means analysis by MacQueen (1967), generalized linear models developed between 1970 and 1980 and the remaining multivariate methods discovered between 1950 and 1980 (Jongman et al., 1995).

Nowadays, biology and ecology relationships are a source of inspiration in creating useful complex models in different fields of science. The neural networks, genetic algorithms and ant colonies are highlighted, although its implementation in vegetation analyses suffers perhaps the same delay phenomenon that multivariate statistics did. The fuzzy logic lies within this relatively new modelling type based on biological complexity, and has been mainly associated to high precision decision-making when only part of the processes or phenomena to be evaluated are known. One of the it's main features is that it transforms human daily-life thinking in complex mathematical relationships based on the deep descriptive knowledge of the phenomenon, although, ignoring the deterministic functions that govern it. It is a tool that, when used appropriately, offsets the lack of detailed mathematical knowledge of the phenomena. It's worth mentioning that the latter doesn't implies that within this modelling type, is not possible to implement complex structures with deterministic or probabilistic shapes; conversely, when this happens, models with optimum results are generated. When assessing the power of synthesis of multivariate statistical models and the flexibility, versatility, and accuracy that fuzzy logic models can acquire, it has come to the conclusion that, with the adequate information, these models are tools that fit the characteristics necessary to analyse the distribution of the vegetation patterns'. To count with a satisfactory sampling of the vegetation and its relationship with the multiple environmental variables that meets the probabilistic or deterministic requirements reached by other science branches, would require

of a greater effort than the one that has been already accomplished for decades. Moreover, one of the biggest obstacles that arise some years ago, lies on the fact that, in spite of presenting advanced mathematical tools tested in other scientific disciplines, the component of mathematical and statistical analysis of the vegetation over a territory, lacked enough detailed information regarding the complex relationships between plant species and geophysical variables of regional character (there is still a lot of precarious regional information in the tropics such as soil variables, the distribution of the microelements' concentration and social aspects, among others), which added to the low computation capacity, especially in developing countries' research groups, hindered the generation of applicable regional models and with the required significance to be replicated. It doesn't mean that this consideration hasn't progressed in local or specific inferences, which are truly the foundation and basis of the knowledge with which it is pretended to develop the next step. However, as a result of the space missions like SRTM (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission)-TERRA-ASTER, TERRASAR X or WORLDVIEW 2 and sustained on old missions such as LANDSAT or SPOT in conjunction with the great progress of mathematics, it is now possible to relate the valuable local information generated by researchers in the field of vegetation analyses with some geophysical variables of satellite origin with the aim of filling information gaps that are required in the modelling of the forest coverage territories, which is an essential input into other analyses and mainly in the developing regions. In this contribution we conducted a detailed analysis of the distribution of regional environmental gradients (regional ordination) of the vegetation types determined by the phytosociological traditional methodology in a tropical region of great biogeographical importance in America: the southwest Colombian Caribbean and based on the species dominance according to their biomass record. The parameters of the groups and species positioning in the different environmental axes that resulted from multivariate statistics, were implemented in cartographic models of distribution (fuzzy logic) for two periods, 1987 and 2011. The comparison of the determined surfaces in these time periods gives the deforestation, degradation and recovery consolidates in the region, which we contrasted with the results of Global Forest Change 200-2013 (Hansen *et al*, 2013), tool that provides the deforestation and degradation analysis with the same satellite inputs, and that has a wide acceptance in different academic and governmental organisms worldwide and we analysed other important contributions on the topic.

2 Materials and methods

The study area is located in the south of the Colombian Caribbean on a flat area of 3,425,083 hectares and delimited by UTM coordinates (datum WGS 84 zone 18 N 78-72 W) 1,030,572 North, 835,192 South, 348,788 West and 529,688 East. The effective analysis took place on a subset of regional data covering 3,297,193 hectares of flat surface with scale 1:25,000 that includes territories in the departments of Córdoba, Sucre, Antioquia and Bolívar (Fig. 1)

2.1 Cartographic inputs

The procedure described below begins with the generation of middle resolution cartographic inputs (scale 1:25,000) by traditional methods or with some variations thereof. We build a general description of the coverage with no more than five class, the slopes' distribution, the digital elevation model, direction, aspect, the distribution's trends of the annual precipitation totals, the flow model and thereof the topographic convergence index (TCI). We emphasize that other regional variables can be explored; however, these must comply with the spatial resolution used, the chosen scale and with the described tests below for optimal results. LANDSAT scenes observed in Supplementary Table (1), were used to generate mosaics that were classified using traditional methodologies, and for the reconstruction of the evidence of the coverages in areas without information (non-classified areas covered by clouds and shadows), based on close time series images. We use this type of images because they fulfil the regional and temporal criteria, though we highlight that the described procedure is suitable for all types of inputs that capture the earth land coverage (satellite images or aerial photographs). The reconstructed images were classified with a mixed method that includes a supervised classification by spectral signature and a matrix's interactive rectification, or visual method (Arellano & Rangel, 2010; Arellano, 2012). Therefore, the coverages thus generated group large vegetal formations (s.l.) and other coverages (forest, agricultural and livestock areas, shrubs).

In relation to the environmental gradients, we can summarize that the study area has an altitudinal variation from 0 m to 1,839 m in nearby areas to the source of the San Agustín River and the San Juan creek, in the municipality of Puerto Libertador, Department (state) of Córdoba, Colombia. The altitudinal average is 279.54 m (standard deviation σ 301.54; variance σ^2 90,929.71). The minimum slope as recorded was zero (0) degrees, while the maximum slope was 59.73°, mean

9.05°; σ 8.56; σ^2 73.4. The direction and aspect of the topographical formations that have a marked effect on the explanation of the rain shadow's climatic phenomenon, presented a minimum value of zero (0) and a maximum of 360 degrees. For the region, the Topographic Convergence Index (TCI) recorded a minimum value of 1.77 and maximum of 22. It is important to emphasize that high values of this variable indicate good conditions for water storage, as well as the richness of hydric sources, and that this variable can be considered an index of soil moisture (Beven & Kirkby, 1979; Lookingbill et al., 2005) that reflects together with the direction of the topographical formation and the aspect, appearance of some particular climatic conditions. The rainfall gradient of the study area comprises semi-humid regions with annual amounts near to 1,343 mm to super humid pluvial regions with records exceeding 2,890 mm in the South and South East.

2.2 Regional distribution of the vegetation types, ordination by canonical correspondence analysis ordination

Our methodological proposal improves the understanding of the regional distribution of the vegetation types and the communities that they represent, when incorporating ordination tools and the analysis of gradients when modelling current vegetation types. The method we propose is based on the extensive vegetation, flora and anthropic coverages data, mainly collected by our research group, the contribution of secondary calibrated information for the study region and data describing the physical aspects mainly derived from a digital elevation model (SRTM 30 m USGS, 2004), and the distribution of the modelled and calibrated rainfall. With these, is possible to place in a significant gradient, the optimum development value of each vegetation type represented in the plots (relevés), which in turn reflect a syntaxonomical group or a determined phytosociological repair.

Furthermore and as a result of the analysis of the species' ecological optimums it was also possible to find, with a greater degree of certainty, and thus decreasing the error, the maximum and minimum limits of the distribution of the particular species (geographic distribution). The results are evaluated in combination in order to define the limits of the studied formations (associations and communities). The need to create a model that explains the distribution of the vegetation patterns is founded on important local and descriptive contributions to the flora, the structure and ecological aspects of the vegetation in the Colombian Caribbean regions, dating back to classical

studies such as those conducted by Dugand (1935, 1941, 1971, 1973) Cuatrecasas (1943, 1958), Beard (1955), Holdridge (1967), Schnetter (1968,1976), Reiger (1976), Espinal & Montenegro (1977), Bastidas & Corredor (1977), Van der Hammen & Ruiz, (1984), Sudgen & Forero (1982), Gentry (1982, 1995), Hernández et al. (1995) and Fernández & Jaramillo (1995) between others, and currently, the contributions of Mendoza (1999), Rangel (2001), Rivera (2001), Rodríguez (2001), Florez & Etter (2003), Patiño (2003) and Ruiz et al. (2005).

In the last years, floristic and structural characterizations of the vegetation types have been presented by Rangel et al. (2010); Estupiñan et al. (2011); Rangel & Avella (2011) and Avella & Rangel (2012), which include important aspects of their eco-geographical relationships that, in conjunction with the climatic analyses developed by Rangel & Arellano (2010), Rangel & Carvajal (2012), Palencia et al. (2006) on the Southwestern region of the Colombian Caribbean and the global climatic characterization by Hijmans et al. (2005), we achieved to build a consistent and fundamental baseline to understand the distribution patterns of the arboreal vegetation in this area.

Structural and floristic primary information was used from Rangel et al. (2010); Estupiñan et al. (2011); Rangel & Avella (2011) and Avella & Rangel (2012), which in combination with the wood density information (Vásquez & Arellano (2012), allowed us to develop biomass matrices needed for the detailed study of the gradients. It is worth noting that the use of the biomass incorporates a more realistic measure of the species dominance which besides the typical values (height and DBH), incorporates as well, the values of the wood density per species.

Due to the clear mesoclimatic division present in the study region (Rangel & Arellano, 2010, Rangel & Carvajal, 2012) the biomass information was divided into two matrices; one meets the vegetation types present in the study region with developed forests from super humid to semi humid climates and contains data on 62 plots (relevés), 703 species and 14 vegetation types; while the other contains information regarding the vegetation of the forests in less humid climates (dry) represented by 50 plots, 309 species and 14 vegetation types. Overall, information from 112 plots (relevés), 938 species, and 28 vegetation types is analysed. These vegetation types (associations and communities) share particular species with a wider range in the distribution allowing their pooling in the level of alliances. Regarding the modelling, it is emphasized that we included other coverage types of which their regional characteristics will be mentioned later. In Supplementary

Table 2 information regarding the plots and vegetation types analysed is summarized and the organization of the vegetation types determined according to the classification process is presented in Fig. 2.

The association Sabili Mauritiiformis-Cavallesietum platanofiliae can also be found in properly said semi humid climates. Regarding the environmental physical variables, Vásquez & Arellano (2012), in an ordination analysis over a region of the study area (45 plots in the South and West Córdoba), it was determined by an indirect Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA), that the species distribution exhibited nonlinear characteristics (gradient segments) because the distribution of the centred data exceeded four standard deviations the gradient. This evidence allowed us to generate a direct gradient analysis by CCA (canonical correspondence analysis) by which we determined some important relationships among species, plots and environmental variables applicable to localities with similar conditions as the ones analysed in this study.

For the physical and environmental variables, the authors explored 21 parameters analysed in seven groups of three variables to relate each variable with certain ordination axis (three dimensions) and eliminate the collinearity among variables (Arellano & Rangel, 2009). Between the combinations of variables that best explained the variability of the data; altitude groups, slope and magnesium; precipitation, iron and sodium and altitude, pH and phosphorus, were recorded.

Under these conditions, we might think that these variables are the best option to achieve a vegetation's regional modelling with a good degree of accuracy, however due to our inability to generate high reliability and statistically significant thematic inputs in regional medium resolution maps for the variables pH, magnesium, iron, sodium and phosphorus, among others, we define new variables such as altitude, the TCI, slope and direction because they meet the necessary requirements in modelling with the different methods applied to the digital elevation model SRTM 30 m (1 arc seg; USGS, 2004). Annual precipitation data is included in the analysis after a calibration, filtration and reescalation process. It is important to emphasize that the percentages of the explained variance for the new variables are lower than those obtained in specific analysis, however to choose the environmental variables of interest to evaluate the effect on species composition despite having low values of the explained variance, is one of the great advantages of

the CCA. We can reliably arrange the data on any variable especially when the regressions exhibit good dispersions and eigenvalues above 0.5 (Jongman et al., 1995).

The variables altitude, TCI, direction and slope were explored by Arellano & Rangel (2011) and Arellano & Rangel (2009, 2010) with satisfactory results but different objectives. In data table one, in Sect. 3, environmental physical values used in the CCA per plot, are observed. Although the precipitation evaluated as the yearly amount is responsible for most of the variability in the particular data explained by ordination (Arellano & Rangel, 2009, Vásquez & Arellano, 2012), its use in current vegetation's regional models, in most cases, is only useful for the separation of large groups. For the case of the study area, we raise its intervention as an internal exclusion filter in the initial classification of the four weather units incorporated within certain vegetation types (super humid pluvial climate, humid climate, semi-humid climate and transitionally dry climates); however, because the region has varied topographic features we were able to extend their use in determining susceptible sectors to the rain shadow phenomenon, for which we considerably increased the regional resolution of the base input (interpolation of rainfall annual amounts of stations with 1 km² per pixel) through the implementation of a adjusted and calibrated precipitation model by tensors. Moreover, the combination of the direction, the TCI and the slope, achieves the direct incorporation of important climatic aspects such as the leeward-winward differentiation (direction variable, aspect), which, in combination with the coverage, permitted the comprehension of the phenomenon thereof. Other ecological scenario's components such as soil moisture and the proximity to permanent or transient water sources could be evaluated by the cost model TCI and the drainage quality through the joint interpretation of the preliminary coverage and the model of slopes. According to McCune & Mefford (1997), although CCA is one of the most used tools in ecology, it is also one of the most commonly misused techniques and its dangers are often attributed to non-existent relationships or, in the contrary, in failing to provide enough information, which undervalues important relationships. This situation relies on the dangers of the multiple regressions in the lineal combination of environmental variables. This is why, as the number of the environmental variables increase, the results are more dubious, and especially when at first glance there appear to be strong relationships. It is common to confuse a relationship between species and the environmental plots of an environmental particular space, with which the environmental variables are strongly related to the community's structure and are their main explanation.

This is the reason that motivated us to study the relationships between species and environmental variables, partially (groups of three variables), though we evidently sacrificed statistics such as the amount of explained variance as well as the any possible data dispersion effect. It is preferable to link a variable to each axis ordination than to relate a large number, since its interpretation will evidently get complicated. Moreover, regarding the records of the linear combinations of the variables for a single axis, we must mention its low utility when the particular inference is abandoned due to the great difficulty of the regionalization of the results, for example when they are fundamental solutions of a cartographic modelling. The ordination analysis was programmed under the software MATLAB 2011b. The canonical correspondence analysis routine was based on the implementation of the algorithms and mainly published formulas in ter Braak (1986), Jongman et al. (1995), Legendre & Legendre (1998), Peres-Neto (2006).

We added the calculation of the orthogonal projections of the optimal plots on each environmental gradient vector, which consequently were re-projected on the X, Y, and Z-axes, respectively, with the aim of defining with precision the distribution of the plots in values of real gradients. The final records resulting from the ordination, were calibrated using linear multiple regression based on the exclusive species' values. For the generation of the matrix with physical and environmental variables we took into account aspects such as collinearity, the length of the analysed gradient (for the species and plots), the relationship of the data with its regional representations and the acquired knowledge in similar experiences.

2.3 CCA iteration process

In general, the iteration process consists in repeating, for each axis, the weighted average algorithm and the data typification (standardization and normalization) suggested by ter Braak (1986), Jongman et al. (1995), until the differences between one process and the other are minimal or null. The process ordinated in a first axis, the species and sites, with the maximum possible correlation between the weighted records (Gauch, 1982, Pielou, 1984). During the process, values of the environmental variables are incorporated by multiple regressions. In the calculation of the latter axes, the maximum correlation between these records, which are orthogonal between them, is sought. The proper values associated to each axis represent the correlation coefficient between the species and sites' gravities, being one (1) the maximum value. Important aspects of typification

(mean zero and variance one), maximum correlations, regression, orthogonalization of the axes (no to be confused with the orthogonalization of the records to be explained later) and standardization, are widely discussed by ter Braak (1986) and Jongman et al. (1995). In the visual implementation of the CCA we took into account that the values of the plots were extracted from the species records by means of the weighted average algorithm (WA). It is important to clarify that another option, widely discussed in the literature, is given when the values of the plots are programmed to be a product from the lineal combination of the environmental variables. However, when performing this procedure, the values of the plots will correspond to predictions of a simple regression, rather than the resulting values from the observed elements; in other words, from the species composition of the plots, situation that drastically changes the location of the plots in the studied gradient (Palmer, 1993). On the other hand, there is a relationship of correspondence between the properties of a dataset and the vector associated with it. The mean of the data is proportional to the projection of the vector data over the direction of the vector constant, which is defined by its equal coordinates. The typical deviation is equal to the average distance between the vector data and the constant vector, and the linear dependence corresponds to the covariance values. When the variables are standardized, the covariance is reduced to the correlation coefficient equivalent to the scalar product of two vectors of norm one (1). When considering several vectors, the linear dependence sets the number of important variables that exist (collinearity).

2.4 Orthogonalization and calibration results

As the results of the CCA are presented using standardized values which are difficult to read in three dimensions, we performed as a novel pathway to analyse the vegetation, a process of orthogonalization and calibration of the real values for their better visualization and incorporation into the modelling processes of the vegetation types. Overall, our orthogonalization process consists in projecting a three dimensional vector over another (in this case, physic-environmental variable) in a vector space, and our calibration concept consists in the search of the real value of the projected records that best describe the relationship found in the canonical correspondence analysis. We achieve this result by means of a multiple linear regression. The orthogonalization process of the records of the plots had as main objective to accurately determine with precision the distances between the minimum and maximum optimums of certain vegetation types. While the

orthogonalization of the species records had the goal to determine the deviations of the species' optimums and seek through the exclusive species, the corresponding value in the real gradient in order to calibrate the remaining results to the real values. It is important to emphasize that the CCA is one of the methods that presents minor deformations (arch or horseshoe effect) in the distribution of the records, which makes it suitable for this procedure. The orthogonalization process of the vectors is described with detail under other objectives by Peña (2002).

2.5 Basis for the classification model using fuzzy logic

The results obtained in the canonical correspondence analysis on altitudinal gradients, index of topographic convergence, direction, direction-aspect and precipitation, are the basis for the construction of the potential vegetation model of certain region (expected vegetation), we just have to input information regarding the general coverages classification, in other words, the distribution of the primary and secondary forests, of the low and high shrubs, among others (classification or general coverages) to obtain as a result a map that estimates with high probability the distribution of the real vegetation types that are present (determined patterns in the phytosociological analysis). For the general coverages classification we used the combination of the supervised classification with the visual correction of the results. We generated training surfaces (spectral seeds) to reclassify pixels with similar characteristics.

With the `r.terraflow` algorithm (GRASS 6.4) we calculated the flow, the accumulation, the filling, the topographic convergence index (TCI) and the watersheds. The topographic convergence index (TCI) intends to describe by a simple logarithmic relationship, between the total contribution of the slopes of a specific area and the angle of each pixel (concerning that area), the regional patterns of soil moisture. Therefore, sites with a lower index or less convergence will be less humid with regard to the ones with a higher value (Lookingbill et al., 2005).

If it is true that we can get a greater approximation to the reality of this variable through the incorporation of some climatic aspects such as precipitation, evaporation, solar radiation and coverages, the variable thereof described, allowed us to differentiate the regions where it is possible for vegetation associated to watercourses to grow in comparison to vegetation associated to a non-flooded solid substrate. With the `r.slope` algorithm (GRASS 6.4) we calculated the altitudinal rate of change through the analysis of isopoints and isolines.

2.6 Image reclassification by fuzzy logic

In regard of the benefits of fuzzy logic such as the possibility of describing through linguistic terms the complexity of reality, it is possible to debug the confusing signals from any classification source. The process involves the preparation of membership functions that explain the statistical distribution of the data in the sampled space as well as their probability of “occurrence” in a frequency range between 0 and 1. One of the great strengths of the method is the total lack of detailed mathematical equations. For their replacement, curves are described through data such as the limit, the mean, the standard deviation or simply by the acquired knowledge from the field of the potential distribution of species. The data of the input signal, as the corresponding ones to the classes of the supervised classification, generate a fuzzy set when they are related to the membership functions. The signal (datum) acquires a value of one (1) when being related 100 percent with the function that describes certain behaviour and of zero (0) in the opposite case, values between zero (0) and one (1) are also allowed and define the degree of belonging of the values to one or another class of fuzzy form.

By the use of this technique we were able to achieve information of great detail based on the plots, the acquired knowledge in the field visits and the secondary information. In this sense, its use generates an added value to the understanding of the transition zones because, unlike other regions like the paramo region, the forested regions do not present limits of easy observation. For our work the method covered multiple objectives, including the non-subjective possibility of distinguishing between vegetation and coverage patterns in apparently homogenous or of similar radiometric characteristics such as LANDSAT coverages previously classified by traditional methods.

It is necessary to mention that the application of fuzzy logic is equally useful for solving problems of resolution when there is a very detailed sample (Quickbird-WORLDVIEW-2 or IKONOS images) and the information should be amplified to surrounding regions, which we hope to illustrate in another contribution.

For generation of fuzzy sets, we use trapezoidal membership functions (trapmf) to describe the behaviour of the classes from the supervised classification with visual correction. Due to the mixture of signals and to the classification of shadows and illuminations, several resulting classes were synthesized in one membership function, for example the different signals that identify the

forest itself were grouped into a single function with the aim of removing the large types by evaluating other model inputs. The membership functions of the altitudinal signal that we used to describe the distribution of the vegetation types in the altitudinal gradient were described by the Gaussian curve model two (gauss2mf) because it allowed us to generate a higher amplitude in the signal response function and adequately represent the overlay of the vegetation limits. The behaviour of vegetation in some places with steep slopes or with some degree of inclination, as well as the description of their relationship with the direction-aspect, with the TCI and with the precipitation were modelled with functions (gauss2mf). For the solution of the model we used the logic type MAMDANI and the response method MOM (mean of the values that maximize the probability of occurrence) for the reconversion of the data that belongs to the fuzzy set. For the construction of the response signal we used again the trapezoidal membership functions (trapmf).

2.7 Coverages model validation

Error measurement in the model aims to define the impreciseness of the field records as well as the inaccuracy of the cartographic results. To face this problem, the methodology of ordination by CCA, discussed above, is very useful in to detect the known position error of the records (precision of the information), when it is very sensitive to regional inconsistencies of the evaluated environmental gradients. In other words, the wrong position of a plot in the sampled space usually brings ambiguous results of the position of the records of the species and therefore, of the plots over the environmental gradients of the analysis. These errors are a result of the easy detection for the trained researcher, although its correction implies of course, extra time for the reformulation of the tests. Moreover, due to the fact that coverages models and vegetation types gather information that in most cases present continuous and transitional features, an obvious difficulty is generated in the error calculation and thus, in its validation. To solve part of this problem we stress the importance of the observation points and their interpretation, as well as the prominent role that observations performed by other researchers have.

Back to the quality control of the inputs and precision errors minimization, we submitted the results obtained in the modelling processes by fuzzy logic, to consistency tests according to the Kappa coefficient by Cohen (Cohen, 1960, Fleiss et al., 1969, Foote & Huebner, 1995) and operational characteristics of the receptor (ROC) that measure the quality of the model (Slaby, 2007). For that

purpose, we generated a welter matrix between the locations of the field observations of the georeferenced primary and secondary information homologated to the determined certain vegetation types and some assumptions of the distribution of various vegetation types in the area and the modelling results. Cardillo (2008) code was implemented for the algorithm Kappa and ROC in MATLAB-2011b software.

3 Results

Due to the particular climatic conditions of the region, the altitudinal gradient and the classification of the vegetation types of the Southern humid forests of Cordoba (Avella & Rangel, 2012, Arellano & Vásquez, 2012), of the flooded forests of the Parque Nacional Natural Paramillo (Estupiñan et al., 2011), of the forests of *Quercus humboldtii* of the Colombian Caribbean region (Rangel & Avella, 2011), and of the surrounding humid and dry forests to the wetland complexes (Rangel et al., 2010), we explored the records of the plots according to the climatic affinity to humid and dry climates and to the altitudinal gradient according to the mentioned studies.

The location of the plots corresponding to the forests of dry climates in the described climatic units by Hijmans et al. (2005), Rangel & Arellano (2010) and Rangel & Carvajal (2012), locate these vegetation types within the very humid to semi-humid climates.

In order to determine the optimum position of the plots with respect to the environmental gradients, for the generation of figures and their respective values in the three-dimensional space, we considered the record from the weighted averages of the species and not from the linear combinations of the environmental variables. To test the results obtained in the analysis, we chose to perform the Monte Carlo test with about one thousand iterations to destroy the existent relationship between species and their respective plots by the random location of the records of the species within the same. The test has as a null hypothesis H_0 (1): there is no structure in the main matrix and therefore H_0 (2): there is no relationship between matrices (species and environmental variables). The p statistic indicates the probability of committing type I error: to reject the null hypothesis when it is not rejectable and it is only recorded for the first axis because the remaining axes derive from the first one and its calculation by traditional methods would be invalid. For this reason, the choice of the significant axes two (2) and three (3) depends on the interpretation of the p of the first axis (it is expected that the values for the remaining axes will be around this value)

and the resulting eigenvalues from the random Monte Carlo tests. It is important to mention that the Monte Carlo test is linked to the number of analysed plots, for this reason it is expected to achieve high results for the eigenvalues, when the number of samples is low. This scenario would invalidate the use of the method. In Supplementary Table 3 the physic-environmental variables used in the analysis and after to the relocation of the plots. From the regional variables with good spatial resolution for the coverages modelling, the best combinations of the physic-environmental variables that explain part of the distribution of the species and the plots are presented in Table 1.

We observed that the combination of the variables precipitation, altitude and slope, for tropical forests from super humid to semi-humid climates where a group of humid-dry transition is included (62 lev-703-esp) where location corrections were performed by approximation and calibration, presents the best results of the study for the three evaluated axes. The centred values of relative biomass of 703 species grouped in 62 plots for these plant formations have a total variance of 31.37. They combine the highest eigenvalues found with low probabilities of committing error type I for the hypothesis H_0 (1 and 2) according to the random tests.

The eigenvalue found for the first ordination axis is 0.907, the maximum value of the random simulations was 0.693 with a probability of $p=0.001$ whereby the H_0 (1) is rejected, the explained variance is 2.9%. The Pearson correlation between matrices was 0.987, according to the Monte Carlo test, the maximum value of the different iterations of the model was 0.986, $p=0.001$ whereby H_0 (2) is rejected. The variable correlated with this axis is the precipitation and it was chosen for the orthogonalization processes and final calibrations. For the axis number two (2), the eigenvalue found was 0.829, the random simulation registers a maximum eigenvalue of 0,601 by which the H_0 (1) is rejected, the explained variance is 2.6 percent and the cumulative variance is 5.5%. The Pearson correlation between matrices was 0.977, the simulated ordinations through the Monte Carlo test show a maximum correlation of 0.969 by which the H_0 (2) is rejected. The variable correlated with the axis is the altitude and it was chosen for the orthogonalization and calibration processes.

For the axis number three (3), the registered eigenvalue was 0.592, the maximum value of the random simulations was 0.545 due to this, the H_0 (1) is rejected. The variance explained by the axis is 1.9 percent and the final accumulated explained variance is 7.4%. For the Pearson

correlation between the species and the environmental variables a value of 0.922 was registered for the axis, according to the Monte Carlo test the maximum value of the various iterations of the model was 0.962, by which $H_0(2)$ is not rejected. However, the axis is analysed with the correlated variable slope because it represents the best result. In Table 1, all results are presented and the chosen combinations for the orthogonal calibration results are highlighted in grey.

In Fig. 3 (A, B) the final distribution over the gradients of precipitation, altitude and slope of the vegetation patterns developed from super humid to semi humid climates in the study region is observed. Regarding the organization of the plots in the multivariate space, the formation of sets are very consistent with the results obtained by the classification of the vegetation types published in humid forests of Southern Cordoba (Avella & Rangel, 2012, Arellano & Vásquez, 2011), flooded forests of Parque Nacional Natural Paramillo (Estupiñan et al., 2011) and *Quercus humboldtii* forests of the Colombian Caribbean region. To the level of alliances the clustering of species is very clear and it is possible to distinguish four main groups that regionally respond to elevated areas with high amounts of precipitation, middle areas with high amounts of precipitation, medium areas with intermediate amounts of precipitation and low areas with low amounts of precipitation. Their magnitudes are seen in Table 2. In the level of partnership, clusters are more dispersed, situation that is present in nature. The only group who apparently has a high plasticity in the gradients due to the plots SC-7 and SC-8, is the association Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae (BHtf2/Jco-Pmu).

Moreover due to the redundancy and dominance of *Dipterix oleifera*, *Cariniana pyriformis*, *Cavallinesia platinifolia*, *Jacaranda copaia*, *Astronium graveolens*, *Astrocaryum malybo*, *Castilla elastica* y *Dialium guianense*, among others, is evident the relation between the distributions of the association Cariniano pyriformis-Pentaplarietum doroteae (Bmhtf2/Cpy-Pdo) and Protio aracouchini-Viroletum elongatae (Bhmhtf1/Par-Vel). Vegetation types with lower plasticity occur along all gradients although with a higher concentration in the lowest areas and smaller amounts of precipitation. To better visualize the results and to find the optimums of the plots in the real gradient, weights were orthogonalized (Supplementary Fig. 1 A and B), for subsequent calibration using multiple linear regressions.

The canonical correspondence analysis was used in this approach mainly to explore the shape that a vegetation pattern acquires in the distribution of the abundance optimums of the species and their corresponding plots (biomass) in a given territory.

To achieve this goal we used real calibrated values from the location of the optimums of the plots and the standard deviation of the distribution of the records of the species optimums for each vegetation type to meet the Gaussian distribution expressed by right and by left through the function (Eq. 1):

$$f(x; \sigma, c) = e^{-\frac{(x-c)^2}{2\sigma^2}}. \quad (1)$$

Equation (1). Exponential equation that satisfies side and side of the Gaussian curve.

The values that c acquires represent the minimum or maximum of the optimum found for each vegetation type and sigma (σ) represents the standard deviation of the species optimums and confers the amplitude of the Gaussian curve used. In other words, it indicates the inflection point of each normal curve. It is important to note that the standard deviation values can be used for different research purposes. It is expected that when finding the deviation values of the characteristic exclusive and elective species, for each vegetation type, the distribution curves will present lower amplitude and therefore allow us to define more precisely the homogeneous regions though transition areas are minimized between types. On the other hand, if we use the deviations of all species belonging to each vegetation type, the amplitude of the curve is maximized obtaining greater overlays, useful case in the definition and the study of the species of wide distribution, or vegetation units with a higher hierarchy such as alliances and orders.

The optimal values for the plots found using multiple linear regression show that the dispersion in the precipitation gradient (Fig. 4 A and B, table 2) lies between 1,302.5 and 2,976.08 mm (range of 1,673.58 mm).

The optimums of the vegetation plots in the altitudinal gradient (Supplementary Fig. 2 A and B, table 2) are distributed between 5.27 and 1,088.97 m (range of 1,083.7 m). The records of the optimal values for the plots in the slope gradient (Supplementary Fig. 3 A and B) are dispersed between 0.00 and 20.85 degrees (range of 20.85°). In Table 3, registration of the optimal of the

analysed physic-environmental variables of the vegetation types developed in dry climates in the study region and standard deviation of their species is observed.

3.1 Image classification model by fuzzy logic (vegetation and other coverages map)

In Supplementary Fig. 4, the model of the distribution of the precipitation and the location of the plots in the area. We emphasize that for the elaboration of this model we incorporated by means of the v.vol.rst algorithm of GRASS 6.4, the influence of the digital elevation model in order to enhance phenomena like the rain shadow.

Other cartographic inputs used in the modelling process are shown in Supplementary Fig. 5 to 10. In the classification models for the coverage types that incorporate information from the 80's and the first decade of the XXI century, distribution curves obtained in the ordination were used and were structured in six entries with 94 membership functions and 64 possible answers were used.

The resulting values were rounded to the lower limit due mainly to the design of the response set in the model. Moreover, mountain range intervals not sampled (to see ordination results, Supplementary Fig. 2 A and B) were named with the most probable vegetation type. For the interval between 420 and 610 m primary tropical forests were located which establish in super humid climates with little or no intervention of the association *Eschweilero antioquiensis-Copaiferetum camibar* and for the interval between 816 and 1002 m, the aforementioned forests of the association *Tovomito weddellianae-Quercetum humboldtii* were located.

In Fig. 5 the overall structure of the fuzzy logic model is observed (data within the membership function) developed in the MATLAB software for the analysed decades. As inputs, the preliminary supervised classification of the coverages was used (see 1) with five classes represented with the trapezoidal form membership functions (trapmf); the altitudinal signals (2) that we used to describe the distribution of the vegetation types in the altitudinal gradient and the signals of precipitation (3), slope (4), direction (5) and TCI (6) represented with the Gaussian model two (gauss2mf). The structure of the prepositional linguistic rules and the surface for the DEM axes, preliminary classification and response signal observed in seven (7). The solution of the model was by using

the MAMDANI logic type and the MOM method for the reconversion of the data belonging to the fuzzy set. Model results are seen in Fig. 6 (A, B) and in Supplementary Table 4 and 5, we present the surface calculations for each of the determined coverages in 1987 and 2010 periods.

3.2 Coverages model validation

Analyses of reliability and trustworthiness of Kappa de Cohen and ROC quality show that coverages maps constructed by the fuzzy logic methodology represent the coverages of the study region with a very good strength of concordance and quality. The value Kappa was 0.9556 and the area under the ROC curve was 0.8827. Due the procedure of fuzzy logic is based on the records of ordination CCA that estimating the optimal values of the plots, results of the abundances of all species and as method of solving the fuzzy sets select the maximum probability of occurrence of a given limited coverage for optimum characteristics of exclusives and electives specie expected, a significant number of confusions between classification edges (transitions) and small fragments and a great number of positives concordances (successes) on the types of vegetation classified with wide distribution and little fragmentation, mainly due to the effects of the probability of distribution. To review each of the points of observation, it is concluded that types of vegetation classified south of the study area (area comprised between edges UTM-WGS84 zone 18 X = 356808,49 Y = 909225,67; X = 425306,07 Y = 840911,59) they present the highest concordance (observed-modeling), followed by observations of the central sector (X = 386164,59 Y = 981833,78; X = 505154,67 Y = 908835,31), and north sector (X = 386164,59 Y = 1029848,82; X = 481669,79 Y = 984175,98) and northwestern sector (X = 352894,34 Y = 1029848,82; X = 386164,59 Y = 984175,98). To compare these results with naked eye surfaces thrown by model, the relationship between the agreement and degree of the fragmentation and degradation of the natural coverages to further degradation and fragmentation less correspondence between observations.(transitional zones). In terms of probability calculated according to computational routine of Cardillo (2007), the observed agreement is attributed, $p_o = 0.9583$, to random concordance is attributed, $p_a = 0.0618$, whereby a concordance is estimated actual, $(p_o - p_e) = 0.8965$, and **Kappa** = 0.9556, an error Kappa, $K_e = 0.0056$ and a confidence interval, kappa C.I. ($\alpha = 0.0500$) = (0.9446; 0.9665).

Test Z, with H_0 : there is no relationship between coverages evaluated so arrangement is accidental or random as parameters the maximum possible Kappa, observations given by marginal frequencies, Kappa Max = 0.9701 and perfect concordance proportion represented as maximum observed, $k = 0.9850$; it gives the following results, variance $\text{var} = 0.0000$, $Z (k/\sqrt{\text{var}}) = 149.7270$ and $p = 0.0000$, whereby rejects the H_0 and concludes that concordances evaluated are not random or accidental. In Fig. 7, the results of the quality ROC test are observed.

4 Discussion

The method allowed us to find and fix inconsistencies in the geographic location of the plots, especially the information from the contribution of other researchers. For example the location of the plots PNP-4, PNP-6 and PNP-8 published by Estupiñan et al. (2011) present inconsistencies in the registration of the seconds, which were recalculated to obtain appropriate values. We emphasize the need to calibrate in some cases the information regarding the regional location of the plots. When this is not done it is likely that data resulting from the orthogonalization of the records of the plots and species, are observed with the lowest values within a certain gradient to be negative, which immediately indicates problems and inconsistencies in the location of some of the inputs used in the ordination.

On the other hand, in the process of exploratory data analysis it is necessary to try different information arrangements to find the structure of the ordination that represents with a major precision the reality of the study area. This greater precision is achieved when the eigenvalues are increased in the evaluated matrices, the probability of committing type I error is considerably reduced and negative values are not observed in the records of the calibrated real gradients. We conclude that the CCA analysis applied to the strict separation between gradient, it is to say, humid and dry and to the grouping of all plots, does not respond to the best statistical treatment applied. In this sense, it is important to mention that in the last grouping (all plots) the highest value of committing type I error in the H_0 (2) ($p=0.99$) and for the combination of the variables slope, TCI and direction, was registered. This due to the lack of transitional groups; the lack of these data sets located in the extremes of the gradients limits the dispersion of the data along the axes causing a

decrease of the proper values of the matrices as well as an increase of the probability of committing type I error.

In general the results obtained show that the variables precipitation, altitude and TCI agree well with the observations performed in the field, while the slope and direction variables generate some questions considered in the modelling processes. The standard deviation used was that one of the characteristic exclusive and elective species.

4.1 Comparing the gross historic deforestation and degradation of the coverages, between the years 1987 and 2010 with the records delivered by Hansen et al. (2013) for the region.

Comparing these results with the ones provided Hansen et al. (2013) regarding the gross deforestation (in other words, it is not the result of the balance between the losses, gains and transformations or net deforestation, but from the phenomenon itself), in the study area between the years 2000 and 2013, we found that if it's true that the periods of time are different in regard to the ones realized in this paper, the deforestation and gross gains within 2000 and 2010 were evaluated by both contributions; only three years between 2010 and 2013 lack information regarding the method for the deforestation phenomenon that resulted from the comparison of data provided in the present study.

In this context, it is concluded that for the same analysed extension, Hansen et al. (2013) registered 99,065.52 deforested hectares between the year 2000 and 2013, where 35,878.28 (36.21%), intersect with the total deforested surface registered in the present study for the period between 1987 and 2010 (recovered surfaces are not included) and from which 19,108.36 hectares were found deforested since the year 1987 (19.28%).

When comparing the deforested surface between the year 2000 and 2010 from Hansen et al. (2013) determined by the accumulation of the deforestation between those years, we concluded that just 5,014.24 ha were deforested between 2010 and 2013, it is to say around 1,671.41 ha year⁻¹. The annual registration of deforestation based on the data provided by Hansen et al. (2013) for the period between 2000 and 2010 is around 9405 ha.

These results are different from the ones from the regional analysis by comparisons of pixel by pixel or wall to wall and based in our methodology, in which we found that 165,750.20 ha are registered under some class of deforestation exclusively for the year 1987, which implies that for the period 1987-2010 (23 years) an increase in the gross deforestation is registered for forests and shrubs of 259094.48 hectares, 11264.97739 hectares year⁻¹. In total, both evaluated scenarios register 424,844.68 ha classified as deforested and near to 668,885.52 ha were deforested since the beginning of the evaluated period, for a total accumulated value of 927,980 ha deforested for the year 2010.

On the other hand, regarding the coverages classified as herblands in regions nearby wetlands and transitional and permanent water bodies with dispersed shrubs in an anthropic matrix belonging to the *Montrichardia arborescens* community, which belongs to a class that incorporated of course characteristic elements of anthropic intervention, for the year 1987 near to 70777.88 ha were exclusively registered. This implies that between 1987 and 2010, near to 163,116.72 hectares increased with the pattern, at a rate of 7,092.03 hectares year⁻¹. In total, both periods registered in an exclusive way 233,894.60 ha and 214,398.68 hectares that have remained covered by the pattern since 1987. For the year 2010 a total accumulated of 377,515.40 hectares are registered and a fluctuation area was defined between the gross deforestation properly said and the classified coverages under this category that sums near to 778.32 hectares. In 1987, 466.08 ha classified in some sort of coverages with deforestation were converted into herblands and 312.24 hectares of herblands were transformed into totally deforested areas. It's important to mention the existent difficulty of working between deforested coverages and properly said herblands due to the scale, the satellite inputs and the proper characteristics of the herbland coverage that makes it very dynamic (the coverage moves with ease) due to the climatic conditions presented during the year and the physical characteristics of the soil.

Taking into account the study presented by Hansen et al. (2013) and realized under the same spatial resolution (LANDSAT 5 and 7 satellite inputs), it doesn't take into account the degradation process of the forests into shrubs, important process linked to the deforestation and that with high probabilities leads into deforestation and that we estimate near to 189,945.84 hectares in 23 year, 8,258.51 ha year⁻¹, we concluded, without including the low coherence between determined patches under the category for the region, that the estimated deforestation number through Hansen's et al.

(2013) data for the region of 9,405 ha year⁻¹, distances from the total gross transformation reported for this study (deforestation and degradation) calculated in 26,649.36 hectares year⁻¹.

On the other side, when comparing the regions intersected under both methods, a great confusion is observed in the existent classification of the areas under the domain of shrubs, herblands and inundated regions; situation that places in doubt the extent for the region presented by Hansen et al. (2013) due to the lack of verifications in the field. This does not imply that the aforementioned study is not valuable because it notes with great precision many of the areas that totally lack vegetation and presents an annual report of the occurred in the evaluated period. However, it is important to note that the care that has to be taken regarding the promotion of Hansen's information as a baseline for initiatives such as REDD+, or the establishment of official numbers of deforestation, principally due to the lack of data that enlightens the validity of the information according to the evaluated region, the processes of forest mass degradation, its causing agents, the speed and acceleration of the transformations and most of all of the vegetation pattern where the impact is generated. As it will be explained later on to consolidate the knowledge of the deforestation processes of certain region it is essential to perform a joint analysis together with the phenomena of degradation, transformation, gain together with the knowledge of the vegetation type and coverage furthermore than the simple interpretation of forest vs. non-forest, for this reasons a separate analysis of the variables that intervene around the phenomenon results in deficient interpretations. The consolidated gross values of our contribution are observed in Table 4.

4.2 Net historical deforestation and degradation of the coverages between the years 1987 and 2010

In the evaluated period (23 years), the region suffered a net loss (balance between deforestation, degradation and recuperation) in its natural coverages near to 233,463 ha (Table 5), which are a result of the sum of the total net losses of recorded surface for the vegetation types dominated by forests (conserved forests and in different levels of intervention) which contributed with 169,425 ha, and the net losses for the vegetation types dominated by tall and medium shrubs, with near to 64,038 ha. The surface net gains registered near to 47,780 ha for the natural patterns. These gains, can be considered net degradation when the increase is presented in the vegetation types dominated by medium and tall shrubs or increase in the structural quality of the elements (recuperation of

cleared areas) for the shrubs that are far away from the intervention and that belong to the conserved vegetation types.

Areas dominated by the intervention and deforestation by anthropic origin registered a net increase of 185,683 ha, being the coverage that presented the greatest growth in the area. In some of the conserved forests located at the foothill of the influence area of the Natural National Park Paramillo, there were net losses by deforestation and degradation, that could be considered small (they do not exceed 5,000 ha), if compared with the transformations occurred in the low and flat areas of the region. It is important to note that such an important event like an inundation for the creation of the Urrá dam, during this period of time, was not taken into account in the calculation, due to a big change on the land use that generates a very elevated transition rate, situation that will incorporate a big error in the results, its interpretations and in possible predictive models.

On the other hand, there are two mechanisms by which deforestation is obtained; one includes the dismantle of the elements of all strata through logging, and another is presented, in a slow process by means of the continuous and selective degradation of some elements of the forest. As shown in Table 5 and Fig. 8, the vegetation types dominated by conserved forests presented a net loss near to 6,900 ha, of which 4,511 ha belong to the primary tropical forests established in very humid to superhumid climates low or non intervened belonging to the *Protio aracouchini-Viroletum elongatae* (3. BHMhtf1/Par-Vel), near to 1,309 ha belonging to the primary tropical forests established in super humid climates low or medium intervened belonging to the association *Jacarando copaiaie-Pouterietum multiflorae* (1. BHtf2/Jco-Pmu), and near to 1,121 ha, that belong to the primary tropical forests established in super humid in flooded locations low or none intervened from the association *Prestoeo decurrentis-Trichillietum poeppigi* (6. Bhin1/Pde-Tpo).

Regarding the net gains, the conserved coverage types registered just an increase near to 2,118 ha, from which 1,034 ha, correspond to the increase of the surface of the primary tropical forests established in super humid climates in areas associated to water bodies with low or non intervention of the association *Macrolobio ischnocalycis-Peltogynetum purpurea* (7. Bhri1/Mis-Ppu), 622 ha to the net increase of the surface of the primary tropical forests established from super humid to very humid climates with more or less intervention of the association *Marilo laxiflorae-Pentaclethretum macrolobae* (2. Bhmhtf2/Mla-Pma), 434 ha, to the net increase of the surface of the primary tropical

forests established in super humid climates with low or non intervention of the association *Tovomito weddelliana*-*Quercetum humboldtii* (5. Bhtf1/Twe-Qhu) and barely 26 ha, of net increase in the surface of primary tropical forests in super humid climates low or non intervened belonging to the association *Eschweilero antioquensis*-*Copaiferetum camibar* (4. Bhtf1/Ean-Cca). In the forests that register different intervention levels, net losses were recorded in surfaces near to 162,483 ha (Table 5, Fig. 8), and within this classification, the tropical forests established in semi humid climates very intervened belonging to the community of *Acalypha sp.* and *Guazuma ulmifolia* (14. Bttf3/Asp-Gul) and the tropical forests established in humid climates with strong intervention processes of the association *Sabali mauritii*formis-*Cavanillesietum platanifoliae* (15. Bhtf2/Sma-Cpl), registered net losses near to 10,000 ha. The maximum alterations by loss in patterns with multi stratified elements were registered for the tropical forests established from semi humid to humid climates with strong processes of intervention belonging to the association *Cinnamomo triplinervis*-*Apeibetum asperae* (16. Bhttf2/Ctr-Aas), with 60,309 ha and the tropical forests established in semi humid climates with strong processes of intervention belonging to the association *Cordio alliodorae*-*Attaleetum butyraceae* (23. Bttf2/Call-Abu) with near to 25,574 ha lost.

The only vegetation type within this classification, that registered significant net gains in surface, was the one dominated by the tropical forests established in very humid climates with strong intervention processes of the association *Xylopio aromatica*-*Tapiriretum guianensis* (20. Bmhtf2/Xar-Tgu), with an increase of 6.814 ha.

Regarding the net degradation of the forests in coverages with less complexity (tall and medium shrubs), the major increase was registered with near to 22.763 ha, for tall shrubs developed from semi humid to humid climates with strong intervention processes belonging to the association *Cinnamomo triplinervis*-*Apeibetum asperae* (44. Mhttf2/Ctr-Aas), followed by a record of near to 10.000 ha, presented for the tall shrubs developed in semi humid climates with strong intervention processes belonging to the association *Cordio alliodorae*-*Attaleetum butyraceae* (51. Mttf2/Call-Abu).

The net increase, for tall and medium shrubs developed in very humid to super humid climates belonging to the associations *Protio aracouchini*-*Viroletum elongatae* (31. MHmhtf1/Par-Vel),

Prestoeo decurrentis-Trichillietum poeppigi (34. Mhin1/Pde-Tpo), Macrolobio ischnocalycis-Peltogynetum purpurea (35. Mhri1/Mis-Ppu), Cariniano pyriformis-Pentaplarietum doroteae (36. Mmhtf2/Cpy-Pdo) and Mayno grandifoliae-Astrocaryetum malybo (37. Mmhtf2/Mgr-Ama), was near to 1,100 ha.

Within this classification, the coverage types that registered a greater loss, were the tall shrubs developed in semi humid climates highly intervened belonging to the community of *Acalypha sp.* and *Guazuma ulmifolia* (42. Mttf3/Asp-Gul), with near to 9,129 ha transformed, the tall and medium shrubs developed in humid climates belonging to the association Trichillio acuminatae-Huretum crepitantis (41. Mhtf2/Tac-Hcr), with near to 5,364 ha lost and tall shrubs developed in humid climates with strong intervention processes of the association Sabali mauritiiformis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae (43. Mhtf2/Sma-Cpl), with a record of 3,764 ha lost. Other net changes detected in the study area can be observed in the Fig. 8 and Table 5.

4.3 Discussion in the global and local context.

In the last years, the generalized idea of the recovery of the natural coverages in the tropics in the period 2000-2013, has been extended to the media and informative communication channels. At an international level the conclusions that are highlighted are based in the numbers given by the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) in 2010, that estimated a reduction of the deforestation in the tropics near to 25% between 1990 and 2010 and for the Colombian case it was reported by the same organization a constant number of 101,000 hectares year⁻¹ in this same period (FAO, 2010). The official reports in charge of the Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies (IDEAM by its initials in Spanish), give an insight of the drastic fall of the deforestation from 310,346 ha year⁻¹ in 2012 (Caracol radio 03-25 of 2013) to 120,933 hectares year⁻¹ as consolidated for 2013, a diminution around the 60% (IDEAM, 2014). Despite the impossibility to develop a methodological tracking regarding the results of these institutions of mainly political nature, studies like the ones published by Kim et al. (2015) with an analysis of several tropical countries and Sánchez et al. (2012) for the Colombian case, give an insight of this problematic.

In the same way as Hansen et al. (2013), Kim et al. (2015) use the treated satellite series of high resolution (30 m), based in LANDSAT scenes and part of the free available information for the

world under the GLS initials (Global Land Survey), to analyse the phenomenon of deforestation for 20 years (1990-2010) in 34 tropical countries, with results that support our evaluation and analysis of the phenomenon, regarding the impossibility that the deforestation numbers are falling under the actual global circumstances, such as the increase of the population size and the demand of goods and services related to it.

Kim *et al.* (2015) estimate an acceleration of the deforestation phenomenon for the pantropic, which is around 62% in the evaluated period (de 4.04×10^6 ha/year for the decade of 1990 to 6.54×10^6 ha/year for the decade of 2000). However, it is important to note that this approach doesn't take into account the degradation of the multi stratified arboreal vegetation structure in structures of less complexity, such as the types classified as shrubs, situation that affects significantly, as it is demonstrated in this contribution, the comprehension of the phenomenon. In addition to this, the utilized method lacks the information regarding the vegetation patterns dominant in a determined surface, situation that doesn't allow explaining, nor contextualizing depending on the region and the surroundings, a big part of the transformations that have occurred within the evaluated scenarios.

If we take into account that Kim *et al.* (2015) conclude that the estimations for the 34 countries show a strong correlation with Hansen's *et al.* (2013), and that for the results of the national estimations of forest change post-2000, are correlated with a coefficient of determination $r^2 > 0.95m$, we can conclude that based on our comparisons that all the work can also present a significant underestimation of the deforestation in the pantropic. Situation that should be verified.

In the contribution of Kim *et al.* (2015), for the Colombian case it is shown that between 1990 and 2000 the evaluated net deforestation reached 130,000 hectares year⁻¹, while between the period 2000-2010 it reached 363,000 hectares year⁻¹ (similar number reported by the IDEAM in Colombia of 310,346 ha year⁻¹ in 2012); is important to highlight that the period 2005-2010 according to the authors registers a gross loss of 498,870 ha year⁻¹ with a gain of 54,000 hectares year⁻¹ for a net deforestation of 444,870 hectares year⁻¹, without taking into account how we have mentioned, that the degradation and composition of the vegetation patterns was not included. Moreover it should be mentioned that Kim *et al.* (2015), attribute in part the big discrepancies to the numbers in the

periods evaluated with the ones presented by entities such as the FAO, to the utilized methods and to the inaccuracy and incoherence of the definition of forest.

As we demonstrated in the present contribution, to the methodological and conceptual error that results from linking the multi-stratified arboreal vegetation with the vegetation patterns less structured within a unique class (forest), with the aim of comparing it with the areas devoid of this (no forest) and so define deforestation, it is summed the error committed when choosing a comparison and classification method of the phenomenon fairly adequate.

As demonstrated by Kim *et al.* (2015) the classification and comparison methods based in the sample of satellite inputs at different scales are several times less precise to the methods that utilize the total comparison of information at a level of pixel to pixel or wall to wall. For the Colombian case a good example of the committed error by the inadequate use of methodologies and that leads to confusing interpretations, is represented by the paper of Sánchez *et al.* (2012). In this one, a new methodology is presented that according to its authors allows the quantification of the soil change at multiple spatial scales. The methods basically consists in the sampling of satellite inputs and multi-temporal visual classification (2001-2010) within seven classes woody (woody vegetation including trees and shrubs); herb (herbaceous vegetation); ag (agriculture); plant (plantations); built (urbanized areas); naked areas; and water for more than 10,000 high resolution QuickBird images that resulted from Google Earth, that are used as a reference for the training of the classification of the multi-temporal images MODIS with a pixel resolution of 250 x 250 m with information regarding the vegetation index, through the statistical method Random Forest (Sánchez *et al.*, 2012).

The use of this methodology is justified according to these authors thanks to the high values given in the tests of the error evaluation with samples “out-of-bag” (OOB) that register a general precision of 87.4% ($\pm 4.3\%$). These results certainly represent the statistical virtues of the method, though they do not indicate anything regarding the precision and inaccuracy of this regarding the reality of the territory in spite of the existence of a coherent classification in the high resolution QuickBird images, mainly because the images from the MODIS sensor obviously they will never acquire spatial resolution under the applied method.

When evaluating the step by step of the exposed methodology in (Sánchez *et al.*, 2012), rapidly it gets to the conclusion that what has been achieved is the assignation of an attribute of type (seven classes) through a Random Forest classification to pixels with a 6,25 hectares size, where the reality of this magnitude is evident, potentially there can exist any type of coverage most of all in the edges and transitions between the coverage types evaluated. For this reason the MODIS images due to their great temporal resolution (regularity) are of great utility in the location and monitoring of coverage changes at a country level, but are not used in the world to quantify the deforestation or any other phenomenon that requires a precise measurement.

For the better understanding of the aforementioned we have to think that Colombia has near to 114,174,800 hectares, that with MODIS images it will be represented with near to 18,267,968 pixels of 6.25 hectares each; in LANDSAT images, it will be represented with near to 1,268,608,888 pixels of 0.09 hectares, in other words MODIS barely represents in spatial information 1.44% of a LANDSAT matrix (layer, band). This means that its use for evaluating the phenomenon is not adequate. It is important to highlight that as equal as the case shown with the contributions of Hansen *et al.* (2013) and Kim *et al.* (2015), for the one of Sánchez *et al.* (2012) it is impossible to differentiate the phenomena of degradation, although for this case due to the size of the resultant pixels in the model it is evident that to differentiation of the degradation losses sense. For a method that mixes two spatial resolutions as more classes are evaluated less will be the adjustment of the dependent data in regard to the final result. This analysis is also applicable to Aide *et al.* (2013) for Latin America and the Caribbean.

It is important to note that the utility of the extensive QuickBird image classification work presented by Sánchez *et al.* (2012) in the complex validation processes of the coverages in classifications at medium and high resolution scales.

In Sánchez *et al.* (2012) it is affirmed that there is a net increase in the woody vegetation of the country near to 1,696,300 ha ($188,477.77 \text{ ha year}^{-1}$) and a net deforestation of 1,464,400 ha ($162,711.11 \text{ ha year}^{-1}$) between 2001 and 2010, a confusing situation taking into account that the recovery number will indicate that the transition of areas totally deforested into regions with forests or shrubs in different complexity levels will be reached in just 9 years. This situation cannot be explained under the argument of the recovery of the ecosystem health of forests and shrubs in different levels of intervention, though forests and shrubs were not evaluated under any of the

classes of intervention. The inconsistencies of the method applied by Sánchez et al. (2012) are also demonstrated by the results presented by Kim et al. (2015), in special as it was mentioned with the data for the period of 2005-2010.

When approaching the problematic in Colombia from a different perspective when analysing that since the ending of the XIX century to the first half of the XX century there is a strong increment of the deforestation that had a control with the promulgation of the Colombian Law 2 of 1959, through which there were declared as forest reserve near to 52 million of hectares of native forest. The deforestation rate has always been associated with the tool that men use, in such a way that we have passed from primary tensions related to agriculture, settlements, mineral resources exploitation through stone and wood tools to the actual tools with super machines for the forest and mining exploitation at a large scale.

The estimations of the loss of primary forests in Colombia, through its entire history gives the number of 354,605 km², it is to say, 31% of the original forest cover surface has been lost. The speed estimates (deforestation rates) for the country show us, for example, that between 1940 and 1995 the net rate was 545,455 ha year⁻¹; between 1960 and 2000 of 500,000 and between 1970 and 2000 of 400,000 ha year⁻¹.

There are areas in the country where good documentation is available to know their deforestation annual rate; for example, for areas in the medium Magdalena (localities of Bolívar, Cesar, Santander, Boyacá, Cundinamarca), the annual estimate of forest loss is 81,000 hectares (Cárdenas, 2006). For areas in the Caqueta department (Etter et al., 2006) in the Amazon region, the deforestation rate fluctuates between 25,000 and 40,000 ha year, extreme case which is the continuation of the deforestation front of the NAPO that as a shameful red spot adverts the danger that holds a chaotic colonization process as this one, in other parts of our Amazon where the natural isolation, particularly of the central and western portions has been decisive for the remain of the huge areas of the territory in good conservation conditions, according to our judgment.

The deforestation rate of the territories covered under the forest reserve created by the Colombian law (Law 2 of 1959) until the year 2006 was 40,000 ha year⁻¹. For the South Western area of the Caribbean summarizing the results of the present study between 1987 and 2010 the net annual deforestation rate was 10,150 ha year⁻¹. In 23 years there were lost 169,425 ha of natural forests of

which 6,900 belonged to formations with excellent ecosystem health and 64,038 ha of tall and medium shrubs and the degraded regions increased to around 47,780 hectares. The gross deforestation rate (deforestation and degradation) reached 26,649.36 hectares year⁻¹ for the area. Nonetheless, it's important to note that in the Caribbean region, a high portion of its territory doesn't offer forest supply, in localities of the departments of Cesar, Bolívar, Sucre there are significant deforestation fronts related to the palm oil crops and mining. Another of the strong tensions regarding the remain of the native forest in Colombia is the wood consumption, which estimation is 4 million m³ year⁻¹, of which 80% comes from natural forests, though the production of companies that reforest covers barely between 15 and 20% the wood requirements (Silva, 2006). The supply of this annual consumption of wood means the use of 128,000 ha of native forest.

Illegal crops constitute another source of impact towards the natural vegetation, maybe the most reliable estimations come from localities in the medium Magdalena, where native forest losses are estimated in 3,000 ha year⁻¹ for this illegal crops. If from 2002 to 2012 the country's PIB had an exaggerated percentage growth, represented by the arrival of investors for the exploitation of mining and carbon resources and over the base of the multiplication of the geological-mining records, is logical to think that none of the aforementioned tensions have been controlled and on the contrary new sources of deforestation were added to the traditional ones.

5. Conclusion.

The utilization rate of the forest resource depends essentially in its offer and although in all natural regions, with the exception of the Andean or mountain chain region, still remains more than 50% of the surface covered with natural vegetation, a weighted value per natural region is approximated to 60,000 ha of natural forest that disappear to incorporate their territories to the agriculture and livestock chain or by exploitation of the mining-energetic resources, with which there are 300,000 ha of native forest that are lost every year.

Wood consumption still represents the loss of 128,000 ha of native forest, this is summed to around 30,000 ha that are destroyed to be used as illegal crops. These actions mean that 458,000 ha year⁻¹ of forest are lost. In reason that the coverage of the reforestation programs is practically insignificant (until 2004 they didn't exceed the 100,000 ha) our deforestation rate will be at the same rhythm, with which we will run out our natural capital (forests, biodiversity) in 144 years.

5.1 Adequate strategy

If it is true that at present there are results of the deforestation processes in the world based on the high spatial resolution LANDSAT sensors such as the ones presented by Hansen et al. (2013) and Kim et al. (2015), these are not calibrated, it is to say that they are unaware of the actors, the transforming processes and its dynamic, and the natural vegetation patterns established over a varied and determined geography. It is important to highlight, that in spite it is said the opposite and that its use is generalized, for example in the foundation of the baseline REDD+ projects, the offered results for this cutting-edge work (Hansen et al., 2013 and Kim et al., 2015) should be taken carefully though they do not represent a detailed analysis of the coverages and deforestation based on the plots and observations in the field and analysed under different social, economic and ecological contexts.

For the Colombian case, if the central government wants to have numbers and indicators that are useful for its development plans, it is urgent to win credibility in cases such as the loss and degradation of the vegetation coverage (deforestation). It is fundamental to count with the conditions of zero time or a starting point for all statistics regarding the covered area by forests and different vegetation types in Colombia, this is achieved with a well proposed forest inventory and with the participation of the knowledgeable experts in the topics and the territory. Unfortunately in spite that Colombia is the country with the major biodiversity and the richest in the different vegetation types, we do not count with a vegetation map as an appropriate guide for these type of measurements. If there is political goodwill this action can be developed and acquire the basic tools in a short time. Based on this statistical numbers the modern technologies can be applied (satellite images) to allow in a reliable way to control any process that will change vegetation coverage. As long as we achieve this essential equilibrium point for reliable statistics, it is urgent to promote the declaration of reserve areas, at a national, departmental or municipal level, increase the extension of several protected areas, stimulate the network of protected areas of the civilian society and to adopt a strategy that allows the control of the agricultural frontier expansion using as instruments the land use management plans and outlines (POT and EOT in Spanish).

To finalize, the deforestation and degradation are the principal threats affecting the continuance of biodiversity and affect all natural regions of the country and are the major contribution to the climate change of countries in development such as Colombia. To the contrary of the exposed

conclusions by Sánchez et al. (2012) regarding that an unexpected tendency in the recovery of the forests can offer an opportunity of extending the actual protected areas and promote the habitat connectivity, we believe that the continuous confusions in the numbers most of all in the diminution of the phenomenon (FAO, 2010; IDEAM, 2014) cause a negative effect in the different regions, though when the deforestation problem disappears or diminishes, there is a false impression that the governmental politics directed to the reduction of the adverse effects of climate change are on the right track, disastrous situation for the different conserved and vulnerable ecosystems in Colombia and in the world.

Appendix A: Mathematical background

CCA iteration process, (Jongman et al., 1995; ter Braak, 1986).

As a first step, arbitrary values are assigned to localities or surveys and from these are calculated of gravities of the specie (u_k) of the sites based on the point product between typified values of biomass by specie and values of the localities (Equation A1). Then it is recalculated the position of sites based on the point product of the positions calculated in the previous step and gravities of the species (x_i). Worth mentioning that y_{ki} represents to the records of the main matrix with typified biomass of a specie k on a plot (relevé) i (Equation A2).

$$u_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{ki} x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{ki}} \quad x_i = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m y_{ki} u_k}{\sum_{k=1}^m y_{ki}} \quad (\text{A1,2})$$

With these results multiple regression coefficients of the gravities of the records of the sites are obtained with values of environmental variables typified. These data are now used to calculate new records sites. According to ter Braak (1986) it is expressed as equations A3 and A4):

$$b = \left((z)^t RZ \right)^{-1} \left((Z)^t R x_i \right) \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$x_i = b_0 + \sum_{j=1}^q b_j z_{ij} \quad \text{or in other terms} \quad x_i = zb \quad x_i = b_0 + b_1 z_{1i} + b_2 z_{2i} + b_{\dots} + b_q z_{qi} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Where z_{ji} is the value of environmental j in the site i ; b_j is of coefficient of regression and x_i is the value of the environmental variable composed incorporated as new recording sites.

The new records must be typified again (zero mean and variance of one) and the iterative process must be stopped when differences between two final iteration processes are minimal and maximum the correlation, otherwise process is repeated from the calculation of the equation A1 (u_k). Additional axes are calculated from regression with the addition of an alternate route from this step taking the values uncorrelated process and eliminating the effect of horseshoe caused by the quadratic dependence of two axes consecutive by orthogonalization process axes (not be confused with the later explained in appendix, orthogonalization records).

Axis orthogonalization (Jongman et al., 1995).

Additional axes are extracted from linear regression where records of the sites of previous axis are called f and of records of sites to try in this new axis are called x , for calculating of v (Equation A5)

$$v = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{si} x_i f_i}{y_{ss}} \quad \text{where} \quad y_{si} = \sum_{k=1}^m y_{ki} \quad y_{ss} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_{si} \quad (\text{A5})$$

With these results is calculated a new position of x for each specie i by equation A6. For remaining axes the procedure is repeated and sites again are typified.

$$x_{i,new} = x_{i,old} - v f_i \quad (\text{A6})$$

Standardization, (Jongman et al., 1995).

The standardization process begins with the calculation of the center of gravity for sites z (Equation A7)

$$z = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{si} x_i}{y_{ss}} \quad (\text{A7})$$

Then the dispersion of the records of surveys are calculated (S^2) by equation A8.

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{si} (x_i - z)^2}{y_{ss}} \quad (\text{A8})$$

The value typified is calculated by equation nine A9.

$$x_{i,new} = \frac{x_{i,old} - z}{S} \quad (\text{A9})$$

The value of S is equal to the eigenvalue of each axis when it comes to the convergence of iterations.

In the visual implementation of CCA took into account the values of surveys were extracted from records of species by algorithm of weighted averages (WA).

Orthogonalization and calibration results, Peña (2002).

The orthogonalization process vectors, follows the matrix Equation A10.

$$v = \frac{u \cdot a}{\|a\|^2} a = (uc)c \quad \text{where} \quad c = \frac{a}{\|a\|} \quad (\text{A10})$$

and $\|a\|$ represents the norm or length of a or $\sqrt{a \cdot a}$

Coverages model validation, Cohen (1960)

$$k = \frac{(\sum \text{diag} X) - \left(\sum \frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^m x_{ks} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{si} \right)}{N} \right)}{(N) - \left(\sum \frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^m x_{ks} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{si} \right)}{N} \right)} \quad (\text{A11})$$

Where X is the matrix of confusion.

Acknowledgements

Part of this work was funded by the Bicentennial project of the National University of Colombia. We thank, Andres Avella Dr.(c) and Adela Vasquez Lozano MSc, for your active participation in various phases of the project.

Author declarations

The author(s) have made the following declarations about their contribution: The study idea, research design, sampling, cartographic and modelling design, development CCA and Fuzzy logic methodology, data analysis and preparation of the figures of the main article and supplementary information were provided by HAP. ORC was responsible for the collection and preparation of

structural data for conducting the local phytosociological analysis that supported this study and for the provision of conditions for carrying out the research. HAP wrote the paper and interpreted the results, and both authors wrote conclusions in the global and local context and reviewed the manuscript. The filiation of the authors not involving commercial use possible with the entities referred. Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0).

References

Aide, T. M., Clark, M. L., Grau, H. R., López-Carr, D., Levy, M. A., Redo, D., Bonilla-Moheno, M., Riner, G., Andrade-Núñez, M. J., and Muñiz, M.: Deforestation and Reforestation of Latin America and the Caribbean (2001–2010). *Biotropica*, 45: 262–271, 2013. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7429.2012.00908.x

Arellano-P., H.: Servicios ambientales de la biodiversidad-Almacenamiento de carbono y deforestación evitada en áreas del Caribe colombiano. Tesis Doctorado en Biología. Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Bogotá, 2012.

Arellano-P. H., and Rangel-C., J.O.: La vegetación de las selvas y los bosques de la serranía de Perijá in: Colombia Diversidad Biótica VIII. Baja Y Media Montaña De La Serranía Del Perijá. In: Colombia ISBN: 978-958-71-9214-8 ed: Instituto De Ciencias Naturales Universidad Nacional De Colombia, v., p.245 – 298 1, 2009.

Arellano-P. H., and Rangel-C., J.O.: Patrones de distribución de las especies dominantes en la vegetación de la serranía de Perijá, sectores Norte y Centro. In: Colombia Diversidad Biótica VIII. Baja Y Media Montaña De La Serranía Del Perijá. In: Colombia ISBN: 978-958-71-9214-8 ed: Instituto De Ciencias Naturales Universidad Nacional De Colombia, v., p.299 - 322 1, 2009.

Arellano-P, H., and Rangel, J. O.: Fragmentación y estado de conservación en algunos páramos de Colombia. In: J. O. Rangel-Ch. (ed). Colombia Diversidad Biótica X. El páramo colombiano, cambios globales y amenazas del cambio climático. Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Bogotá, 2010. Available at: http://www.colombiadiversidadbiotica.com/Sitio_web/Bienvenida.html.

Arellano-P, H., and Rangel-Ch, J. O.: Informe interno para REDD model. The Chocó-Darién Conservation Corridor. A Project Design Note for Validation to Climate, Community, and Biodiversity (CCB) Standards (2nd Edition). Validation Conducted by: Scientific Certification Systems Greenhouse Gas Verification Program. (Gold validation), 2011.

Arellano-P., and Vásquez-L., A.: Servicios ambientales de la biodiversidad en localidades del Caribe Colombiano. In: Rangel-Ch., J. O. (ed). Colombia Diversidad Biótica. Publicación especial N° · Resúmenes. Simposio Internacional sobre Biodiversidad del Caribe Colombiano. Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Bogotá D. C., 2011.

Avella-M, A., and Rangel-Ch., J.O.: Composición florística y aspectos estructurales de la vegetación boscosa del sur del departamento de Córdoba. In: J.O. Rangel-Ch. (ed.). Colombia Diversidad Biótica XII. La región Caribe de Colombia, Universidad Nacional de Colombia – Instituto de Ciencias Naturales. Bogotá, D.C.: 477-537, 2012.

Bastidas, N., and Corredor, H.: Contribución al estudio fitosociológico del Parque Nacional Natural Tayrona (ensenadas de Chengue y parte este de Neguanje). Tesis 98 pp. Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Bogotá, 1977.

Beard, J.S.: The Clasification of tropical American vegetation types. Ecology 36: 89-100., 1955.

Beven, K.J., and Kirkby, M.J.: A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin hydrology. Hydrol. Sci. Bull. 24: 43–69., 1979.

Braun-Blanquet, J.J.: Pflanzensoziologie, Grundziige der Vegetationskunde. 3rd Edition. Springer, Vienna, New York, 865 pp, 1964.

Cárdenas, M.: Estimación de la deforestación por cultivos ilícitos en la zona de reserva forestal del Río Magdalena. Revista Colombia Forestal, 9(19), 136-154, 2006.

Cardillo, G.: ROC curve: compute a Receiver Operating Characteristics curve, 2008. <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/19950>

Cohen, J.: A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 20, 37-46, 1960.

Cuatrecasas, J.: Resumen de unas observaciones geobotánicas en Colombia. Revista de la Academia Colombiana de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales 5: 289-294, 1943.

Cuatrecasas, J.: Aspectos de la vegetación natural de Colombia. *Revista de la Academia Colombiana de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales* 10(40): 21 -268, 1958.

Dugand-G., A.: Árboles y arbustos notables o poco conocidos del departamento del Atlántico. *Boletín de agricultura y ganadería*: 1(2): 21-32. Barranquilla, Colombia, 1935.

Dugand-G., A.: Estudios geobotánicas colombianos: Descripción de una sinecia típica en la subxerofitia del Litoral Caribe. *Rev. Acad. Col. Cienc. Ex Fis Nat.* 4(14): 135-141, 1941.

Dugand-G., A.: Observaciones botánicas y geobotánicas en la costa colombiana del Caribe. *Rev. Acad. Col. Cienc.* 13(52): 415-465, 1971.

Dugand, A.: Elementos para un curso de Geobotánica en Colombia. *Cespedecia* 2(6-8): 139-480, 1973.

Espinal, L. S., and Montenegro, E.: Formaciones vegetales de Colombia. Instituto Geográfico Agustín Codazzi, Bogotá, 237 pp, 1977.

Estupiñan-G., A.C., Jimenez, D., Avella, A., and Rangel, J.O.: Estructura y composición florística de los bosques inundables del Parque Nacional Natural Paramillo (Córdoba, Colombia). In: *Colombia Diversidad Biótica Xi. Patrones de la estructura y de la riqueza de la vegetación en Colombia* . In: Colombia ISBN: 978-958-719-755-6 ed: , v., p.269 - 294 XI , 2011.

Etter, A., Mcalpine, C., Pullard, D. & Possingham, H.: Modeling the conversion of Colombian lowland ecosystems since 1940: Drivers, patterns and rates. *Journal of Environmental Management* 79: 74–87, 2006.

FAO: *Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010 Main Report*. Rome, Italy, 2010.

Fernández J.L., Jaramillo, R.: Hallazgo del género *Acidocroton* Griseb. (Euphorbiaceae) en Suramérica, en un bosque seco de Colombia *Caldasia* 17: 389-394, 1995.

Fisher, R.: *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*, ISBN 0-05-002170-2 (excerpt), 1926.

Fleiss J.L., Cohen, J., Everitt, B.S.: Large sample standard errors of kappa and weighted kappa. *Psychol Bull* 1969; 72: 323-327, 1969.

Flórez, C. A., and Etter, A.: Caracterización ecológica de las islas Múcura y Tintipán, archipiélago de San Bernardo, Colombia. *Revista de la Academia Colombiana de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales* Volumen XXVII, Número 104, 2003.

Foote, K. E., and Huebner, D.J.: *The Geographer Graft Project*, Dpto. of Geography of Texas at Austin, The University of Colorado at Boulder, 1995.

Jongman, R.H.G., ter Braak, C. J. F., and van Tongeren, O. F. R.: *Data analysis in community and landscape ecology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

Galton, F.: Co-relations and their measurement, chiefly from anthropometric data.

Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, 45:135–145, 1889.

Gauch, H.G., Jr.: *Multivariate Analysis in Community Ecology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.

Gentry, A.H.: Patterns of neotropical diversity. *Evolutionary Biology* 15: 1 -84, 1982.

Gentry, A.H.: Diversity and floristic composition of neotropical dry forest. In: *tropical deciduous forest ecosystem*. S. Bullock, E. Medina & H. A. Mooney (eds). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge: 116-194, 1995.

Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V. , Moore, R., Hancher M., Turubanova, S. A., Tyukavina, A., Thau, D., Stehman, S. V., Goetz, S. J., Loveland, T. R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A., Chini, L., Justice, C. O., and Townshend., J. R. G.: “High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change.” *Science* 342 (15 November): 850–53., 2013. Data available on-line from: <http://earthenginepartners.appspot.com/science-2013-global-forest>.

Hernández, J., Samper, D., Sánchez, H., Rueda, V., Vásquez, S., and Correa, H. D.: *Desiertos: zonas áridas y semiáridas de Colombia*. Diego Samper Ediciones, Bogotá, 1995.

Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., and Jarvis, A.: Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology* 25: 1965-1978, 2005. In: *WORLDCLIM- Global climate data* [on line database]. <http://www.worldclim.org/>

Hotelling, H.: "The generalization of Student's ratio". *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 2 (3): 360–378., 1931. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177732979.

Humboldt: Essai sur la géographie des plantes, accompagné d'un tableau physique des régions équinoxiales. Paris, 1805.

Holdridge LR. 1967. Life Zone Ecology. San Jose (Costa Rica): Tropical Science Center.

Hotelling, H.: Analysis of a complex of statistical variables into principal components. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 24, 417–441, and 498–520, 1933.

Hotelling, H.: Relations between two sets of variates. *Biometrika*, 27, 321–77, 1936.

Humboldt: Ideen zu einer Geographie der Pflanzen nebst einem Naturgemälde der Tropenländer. Tubinga / Paris (preparation in German by Essai), 1807.

IDEAM: Colombia revela su primera Tasa Anual de Deforestación, 2014. <http://www.parquesnacionales.gov.co/portal/colombia-revela-su-primera-tasa-anual-de-deforestacion/>

Kim, D-H., Sexton, J. O., And Townshend, J. R.: Accelerated deforestation in the humid tropics from the 1990s to the 2000s. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 18 pp, 2015. (accepted for publication document). DOI: 10.1002/2014GL062777

Legendre, P., and Legendre, L.: *Numerical Ecology*. Second English Edition. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.

Linnaeus, C.: *Systema naturae 1735*: facsimile of the first edition with an introduction and a first English translation of the "Observationes.". Trans. And ed. MSJ Engel-Ledeboer and H. Engel (Nieuwkoop: B. de Graaf). 1964.

Lookingbill T., Band, L., Moore, G., and Petersen, S.: Soil moisture model comparison. In *Review Hydrological Processes*, 2005.

Mahalanobis, P. C.: "On the generalised distance in statistics". *Proceedings of the National Institute of Sciences of India* 2 (1): 49–55. Retrieved 2012-05-03, 1936.

McCune, B., and Mefford, J.: *Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data*, vers 3.16 manual electrónico. MjM software, Glenden Beach, Oregon, U. S. A., 1997.

MacQueen, J. B.: Some Methods for classification and Analysis of Multivariate Observations. *Proceedings of 5th Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability* 1. University of California Press. pp. 281–297, 1967.

Mentzel, C.: *Centuria plantarum circa nobile Gedanum sponte nascentium*. Danzig: Typis Andreae Hüenfeldij, 1650.

Mentzel, C.: *Index nominum plantarum universalis, Diversis Terrarum, Gentiumque Linguis, quotquot ex Auctoribus ad singula Plantarum Nomina excerpti & juxta seriem A.B.C. collocari potuerunt, ad Unum redactus*. Berlin: Sumptibus Auctoris, Prostat apud Danielem Reichelium, Bibliopol., Ex Officina Rungiana, 1682.

Mendoza, C.: Estructura y riqueza florística del bosque seco tropical en la región caribe y valle del río Magdalena, Colombia. *Caldasia* 21(1): 70-94, 1999.

Patiño, R.: Estudio de la flora y la vegetación del bosque en la estación de Primates Coloso-Sucre. Trabajo de grado Magister. Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Facultad de Biología. Bogotá : 54-83, 2003.

Palencia-S., Mercado-F., G. T., and Combath-C., E.: Estudio Agroclimático del departamento de Córdoba. Facultad de Ciencias Agrícolas. Universidad de Córdoba. p.126, 2006.

Palmer, M. W.: Putting things in even better order: the advantages of canonical correspondence analysis. *Ecology* 74:2215-2230, 1993.

Pearson, K.: *Side Lights on the Evolution of Man: Being a Lecture Delivered at the Royal Institution*. Cambridge University Press, 1921.

Peres-Neto, P., Legendre, P., Dray, S., and Borcard, D.: Variation Partitioning of Species Data Matrices: Estimation and Comparison of Fractions. *Ecology* 87(10): 2614-2625, 2006.

Peña, D.: *Análisis de datos multivariantes*. McGraw-Hill. 515 pp, 2002.

Pielou, E.C.: *The interpretation of ecological data. A primer on classification and ordination*. Wiley, New York, 263 pp, 1984.

Rangel-Ch., J.O.: Los tipos de vegetación de la costa Caribe de Colombia. Documento interno. Instituto de Ciencias Naturales-IDEAM, Bogotá, D.C., 2001.

Rangel-Ch., J. O.: Vegetación acuática -caracterización inicial-. In: Colombia Diversidad Biótica IX . Ciénagas De Córdoba: Biodiversidad ecologica y manejo ambiental. In: Colombia ISBN: 978-958-719-406-7 ed: Arfo Editores E Impresores Ltda, v., p.325 - 339 IX, 2010.

Rangel-C., J. O., and Arellano-P., H.: Clima. In: Colombia Diversidad Biótica IX . Ciénagas de Córdoba: Biodiversidad ecológica y manejo ambiental. In: Colombia ISBN: 978-958-719-406-7 ed: Arfo Editores E Impresores Ltda, v., p.1 - 13 IX, 2010.

Rangel-CH., J.O., and Avella-M., A.: Oak forests (*Quercus humboldtii*) at the Caribbean region and distribution patterns related with environmental factors in Colombia. Plant Biosystem, 2011.

Rangel-Ch., J. O., and Carvajal-C, J. E.: Clima de la región Caribe colombiana. In: J.O. Rangel-Ch. (ed.). Colombia Diversidad Biótica XII. La región Caribe de Colombia (67-129). Bogotá: Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, 2012.

Rivera, O.: Caracterización florística y fitogeográfica de la Serranía del Perijá (departamento del Cesar y La Guajira, Colombia). Tesis de Maestría. Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Bogotá, 2001.

Rieger: Vegetationskundliche Untersuchungen auf Guajira-Halbinsel (Nordost-Kolumbien). Geographischen Institut der Justus. Liebig-Universität, Giessen, Alemania, 1976.

Rodríguez, G. M.: Inventario florístico de un bosque seco tropical (Bs-T) en la Hacienda “El Ceibal”, Santa Catalina (Bolívar), con énfasis en las especies asociadas a la dieta del tití cabeciblanco (*Saguinus oedipus*). Trabajo de grado. Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Facultad de Ciencias, Departamento de Biología. Bogotá D. C., 127 pp, 2001.

Ruiz, J., Fandiño, M. C., and Chazdon, R. L.: Vegetation structure, composition and species richness across a 56-year chronosequence of Dry Tropical Forest on Providencia Island, Colombia. Biotropica 37 (4): 520- 530, 2005.

Sánchez-Cuervo, A. M., Aide, T.M., Clark, M.L., and Etter, A.: Land Cover Change in Colombia: Surprising Forest Recovery Trends between 2001 and 2010. PLoS ONE 7(8): e43943, 2012. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043943

Silva, R.: Industrias de Celulosa y Papel: Calidad, Medioambiente y Biotecnología. In: Ambiente Forestal. Revista extensión Vol 1, Nº 1. Facultad de Ciencias Forestales Universidad de Chile, 2006.

Slaby, A.: ROC Analysis with Matlab. Information Technology Interfaces, 2007. ITI 2007. 29th International Conference on. 191-196, 2007.

Spearman, C.: The proof and measurement of association between two things. American Journal of Psychology, 15, 72-101, 1904.

Sudgen, A., and Forero, E.: Catálogo de las plantas vasculares de La Guajira con comentarios sobre la vegetación de la Serranía de Macuira. Colombia Geográfica 10(1): 23-76, 1982.

ter Braak, C.J.F.: Canonical correspondence analysis: a new eigenvector technique for multivariate direct gradient analysis. Ecology 67: 1167-1179, 1986.

ter Braak, C.J.F., Interpreting a hierarchical classification with simple discriminant functions: an ecological example. In: E. Diday et al. (Editors): Data analysis and informatics 4. North Holland, Amsterdam, p. 11-21, 1986.

USGS: Shuttle Radar Topography Mission, 1 Arc Second, Unfilled Unfinished 2.0, Global Land Cover Facility, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, 2004.

Van der Hammen, T., and Ruiz, P. (eds.): La Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta (Colombia). Transecto Buritaca-La Cumbre. Estudios de Ecosistemas Tropandinos – Ecoandes. J. Cramer, Vaduz, 1984.

Vásquez, A., and Arellano, H.: Estructura, biomasa aérea y carbono almacenado en los bosques del sur y noroccidente de Córdoba. In: Rangel-ch. J.O. (ed). Colombia Diversidad Biótica XII. La región Caribe de Colombia. 923-961. Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Bogotá, 2012. arXiv:1208.0248v1 [q-bio.QM]

Table 1. Statistical results of the exploration of the physic-environmental variables regarding the ordination of species and plots by CCA in the study region.

Combination of environmental variables explored	General vegetation pattern	Data Correction	Total variance	Eigenvalues	MONTECARLO for Eigenvalues (Average, Min, Max, p)				Variable correlated (Axis 1, 2, 3) Extraction	Percentage explained by Axes			Cumulative percent			Correlation Pearson species-environment variables			MONTECARLO for correlation (Average, Min, Max, p)						
TCI-Direction-Slope	Tropical forests in humid climates from super humid-pluvial to semi humid climates. A group of transition dry humid is included (62 Plots Sp-703).	Original, same as the publication or source data.	31.3781	0.688	0.610	0.514	0.572	0.482	0.677	0.0010	Slope						0.941 0.895 0.974 0.3594								
				0.510	0.411	0.606	TCI			2.2	1.9	1.6	2.2	4.1	5.8	0.947 0.910 0.902			0.926 0.860 0.974						
				0.448	0.320	0.544	Direction									0.905 0.828 0.956									
Altitude-Slope-TCI				0.575	0.470	0.733	0.575	0.470	0.733	0.0010	Altitude						0.943 0.890 0.982 0.0010								
				0.904	0.662	0.592	0.508	0.403	0.620	Slope			2.9	2.1	1.9	2.9	5.0	6.9	0.989 0.945 0.897			0.926 0.852 0.977			
				0.442	0.264	0.576	TCI									0.905 0.781 0.955									
TCI-Direction-Slope	Tropical forests in dry climates. A group of transition wet-dry is included (50 Plots Sp-309).		24.654	0.660	0.463	0.868	0.660	0.463	0.868	0.3833	Direction						0.932 0.828 0.986 0.8311								
				0.679	0.405	0.306	0.538	0.291	0.745	TCI			2.8	1.6	1.2	2.8	4.4	5.6	0.907 0.759 0.759			0.891 0.694 0.978			
				0.410	0.141	0.644	Slope									0.820 0.499 0.956									
Altitude-Slope-TCI				0.660	0.453	0.879	0.660	0.453	0.879	0.1467	Altitude						0.934 0.800 0.989 0.4978								
				0.734	0.457	0.285	0.541	0.303	0.772	TCI			3.0	1.9	1.2	3.0	4.8	6.0	0.937 0.776 0.776			0.894 0.736 0.973			
				0.407	0.122	0.692	Slope									0.822 0.535 0.958									
TCI-Direction-Slope	Tropical forests in humid climates from super humid-pluvial to semi humid climates. The group of wet-dry transition is excluded (60 Plots Sp-713).	Some location data corrected by approximation and calibration.	29.7634	0.561	0.469	0.660	0.561	0.469	0.660	0.0100	Slope						0.936 0.886 0.974 0.7457								
				0.638	0.561	0.492	0.499	0.408	0.602	TCI			2.1	1.9	1.7	2.1	4.0	5.7	0.928 0.897 0.875			0.920 0.866 0.964			
				0.438	0.323	0.532	Direction									0.899 0.818 0.972									
Altitude-Precipitation-TCI				0.565	0.479	0.683	0.565	0.479	0.683	0.0010	Altitude						0.938 0.890 0.977 0.0010								
				0.895	0.823	0.511	0.499	0.377	0.596	Precipitation			3.0	2.8	1.7	3.0	5.8	7.5	0.982 0.969 0.890			0.921 0.836 0.974			
				0.433	0.297	0.541	TCI									0.900 0.772 0.957									
TCI-Direction-Slope	Tropical forests in dry climates. The group of wet-dry transition is excluded (52 Plots Sp-313).		28.8046	0.639	0.510	0.811	0.639	0.510	0.811	0.3093	Direction						0.952 0.891 0.986 0.8318								
				0.660	0.484	0.421	0.559	0.434	0.695	Slope			2.3	1.7	1.5	2.3	4.0	5.4	0.938 0.842 0.803			0.932 0.852 0.979			
				0.478	0.261	0.615	TCI									0.905 0.730 0.962									
Altitude-Slope-TCI				0.635	0.509	0.804	0.635	0.509	0.804	0.0621	Precipitation						0.950 0.885 0.987 0.6476								
				0.710	0.663	0.462	0.557	0.431	0.717	Altitude			2.5	2.3	1.6	2.5	4.8	6.4	0.946 0.942 0.843			0.932 0.840 0.977			
				0.475	0.281	0.618	TCI									0.904 0.744 0.968									
TCI-Direction-Slope	Tropical forests in humid climates from super humid-pluvial to dry (112 Plots Sp-938).	Some location data corrected by approximation and calibration.	55.5331	0.546	0.457	0.642	0.546	0.457	0.642	0.0130	Slope						0.928 0.881 0.961 0.9940								
				0.617	0.539	0.508	0.498	0.430	0.578	TCI			1.1	1.0	0.9	1.1	2.1	3.0	0.896 0.885 0.872			0.913 0.864 0.948			
				0.449	0.362	0.529	Direction									0.896 0.833 0.942									

Altitude-Slope-TCI			0.548	0.480	0.646	0.0010	Altitude											0.928	0.873	0.962	0.0120		
			0.800	0.790	0.471	0.496	0.423	0.585	Slope	1.4	1.4	0.8	1.4	2.9	3.7	0.954	0.967	0.870	0.912	0.868	0.955		
						0.447	0.359	0.526	TCI											0.896	0.820	0.943	
Altitude-Precipitation-Slope			0.574	0.467	0.693	0.0010	Precipitation											0.943	0.891	0.986	0.0010		
			0.907	0.829	0.592	0.511	0.391	0.601	Altitude	2.9	2.6	1.9	2.9	5.5	7.4	0.987	0.977	0.922	0.926	0.858	0.969		
						0.447	0.306	0.545	Slope											0.907	0.807	0.962	
Altitude-Precipitation-TCI			0.573	0.460	0.740	0.0010	Altitude											0.943	0.890	0.983	0.0010		
			0.901	0.825	0.501	0.510	0.418	0.617	Precipitation	2.9	2.6	1.6	2.9	5.5	7.1	0.985	0.973	0.873	0.926	0.852	0.967		
						0.444	0.292	0.537	TCI											0.905	0.817	0.964	
Altitude-Precipitation-Direction	Tropical forests in humid climates from super humid-pluvial to semi humid climates. A group of transition dry humid is included (62 Plots Sp-703).	31.3781	0.572	0.461	0.742	0.0010	Altitude											0.942	0.888	0.984	0.0010		
			0.900	0.826	0.472	0.510	0.410	0.607	Precipitation	2.9	2.6	1.5	2.9	5.5	7.0	0.985	0.972	0.869	0.926	0.851	0.968		
						0.448	0.341	0.537	Direction											0.907	0.830	0.955	
Slope-Precipitation-TCI			0.570	0.455	0.677	0.0010	Precipitation											0.941	0.886	0.969	0.0010		
			0.893	0.631	0.492	0.509	0.440	0.601	Slope	2.8	2.0	1.6	2.8	4.9	6.4	0.982	0.922	0.875	0.926	0.870	0.965		
						0.448	0.337	0.531	TCI											0.906	0.839	0.961	
Altitude-Slope-TCI	Some location data corrected by approximation and calibration.		0.574	0.479	0.716	0.0010	Altitude											0.942	0.888	0.986	0.0010		
			0.881	0.592	0.565	0.509	0.413	0.627	Slope	2.8	1.9	1.8	2.8	4.7	6.5	0.988	0.918	0.886	0.926	0.867	0.972		
						0.445	0.298	0.545	TCI											0.906	0.807	0.963	
TCI-Direction-Slope			0.570	0.485	0.671	0.0070	Slope											0.941	0.892	0.976	0.7437		
			0.652	0.573	0.497	0.510	0.425	0.593	TCI	2.1	1.8	1.6	2.1	3.9	5.5	0.933	0.878	0.884	0.925	0.870	0.963		
						0.450	0.351	0.554	Direction											0.908	0.821	0.950	
Altitude-Precipitation-TCI			0.649	0.485	0.857	0.0511	Altitude											0.954	0.892	0.985	0.5495		
			0.737	0.678	0.581	0.568	0.434	0.731	Precipitation	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.6	5.0	7.1	0.954	0.930	0.899	0.935	0.863	0.980		
						0.480	0.285	0.621	TCI											0.903	0.732	0.974	
Altitude-Precipitation-Direction	Tropical forests in dry climates. A group of transition wet-dry is included (50 Plots Sp-309).	28.2498	0.649	0.533	0.807	0.0561	Altitude											0.954	0.892	0.984	0.5516		
			0.720	0.688	0.606	0.570	0.435	0.700	Precipitation	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.5	5.0	7.1	0.953	0.937	0.924	0.934	0.872	0.973		
						0.488	0.290	0.613	Direction											0.907	0.743	0.969	
Altitude-Slope-TCI			0.657	0.466	0.886	0.0761	Altitude											0.956	0.857	0.989	0.7017		
			0.743	0.587	0.405	0.568	0.391	0.765	TCI	2.6	2.1	1.4	2.6	4.7	6.1	0.950	0.905	0.788	0.936	0.786	0.983		
						0.473	0.224	0.645	Slope											0.902	0.712	0.977	
			0.728	0.681	0.430	0.654	0.485	0.881	0.1041	Altitude	2.6	2.4	1.5	2.6	5.0	6.5	0.954	0.932	0.803	0.955	0.889	0.988	0.5536

Altitude-Precipitation-Slope				0.570	0.380	0.752		Precipitation										0.936	0.777	0.986	
				0.478	0.294	0.641		Slope										0.903	0.741	0.977	
Slope-Precipitation-TCI				0.648	0.477	0.820	0.2242	Precipitation										0.953	0.881	0.989	0.8899
	0.684	0.625	0.407	0.568	0.431	0.730		TCI	2.4	2.2	1.4	2.4	4.6	6.1	0.934	0.903	0.791	0.934	0.870	0.982	
				0.484	0.325	0.628		Slope										0.904	0.770	0.968	
				0.649	0.506	0.826	0.5015	TCI										0.953	0.890	0.992	0.9930
TCI-Direction-Slope	0.646	0.614	0.396	0.564	0.420	0.711		Direction	2.3	2.2	1.4	2.3	4.5	5.9	0.911	0.919	0.786	0.933	0.828	0.976	
				0.478	0.303	0.621		Slope										0.903	0.776	0.968	

Table 2. Registration of the optimal of the analysed physic-environmental variables of the vegetation types developed in pluvial super humid to semi humid climates in the study region and standard deviation of their species.

Map simbol	Pattern of vegetation	Altitude (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model	Precipitation (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model
BHtf2/Jco-Pmu	Possible variant, Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae	235.94	157.10	865.30	Excluded	230.49	2034.80	2441.69	Excluded
BHtf2/Jco-Pmu	Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae	144.10	157.10	331.38	Included	234.55	2034.80	2441.69	Included
BHtf2/Jco-Pmu/tr	Possible transitional variant, Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae	308.98	819.17	865.30	Excluded	235.53	2177.53	2228.65	Excluded
BHmhtf2/Mla-Pma	Marilo laxiflorae-Pentaclethretum macrolobae	127.77	158.44	202.98		240.50	2135.04	2346.12	
BHmhtf1/Par-Vel	Protio aracouchini-Viroletum elongatae	154.04	117.61	184.36		229.04	1763.49	2403.09	
BHtf1/Ean-Cca	Eschweilero antioquensis-Copaiferetum camibar	218.73	684.91	729.32		98.15	2332.17	2434.68	
BHtf1/Twe-Qhu	Tovomito weddellianae-Quercetum humboldtii	243.20	1057.74	1088.97		106.55	2277.50	2314.39	
BHin1/Pde-Tpo	Prestoeco decurrentis-Trichillietum poeppigi	40.75	131.58	182.59		357.00	2305.28	2976.08	
BHri1/Mis-Ppu	Macrolobio ischnocalycis-Peltogyneum purpurea	106.66	159.88	310.99		341.80	2766.66	2928.57	
Bmhtf2/Cpy-Pdo	Cariniano pyriformis-Pentaplarium doroteae	166.64	95.64	134.76	Included	288.37	1651.28	2085.47	Included
Bmhtf2/Mgr-Ama	Mayno grandifoliae-Astrocarvetum malybo	109.82	85.05	109.07		179.94	1596.13	1719.22	
Bhtf2/Cpr-Cpa	Cordietum proctato-panamensis	37.18	81.47	131.45		117.46	1420.60	1517.23	
Bhtf2/Cod-Cpl	Cappari odoratissimatis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae	30.96	81.11	85.79		104.37	1459.91	1495.11	
Bhtf1/Thi-Spa	Trichilio hirtae-Schizolobietum parahibi	33.48	82.83	113.93		204.09	1393.32	1636.67	
Bhtf2/Tac-Hcr	Trichillio acuminatae-Huretum crepitantis	63.32	5.27	131.74		172.21	1345.60	1535.06	
Bttf3/Asp-Gul	<i>Acalypha sp. y Guazuma ulmifolia</i>	53.61	14.16	142.63		90.36	1302.50	1476.55	
Map simbol	Pattern of vegetation	Slope (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model	Direction (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model
BHtf2/Jco-Pmu	Possible variant, Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae	4.56	6.79	19.92		68.34	3.31	225.79	
BHtf2/Jco-Pmu	Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae	4.58	6.79	19.92		69.93	15.47	225.79	Excluded
BHtf2/Jco-Pmu/tr	Possible transitional variant, Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae	4.18	15.70	17.57		26.06	3.31	16.03	
BHmhtf2/Mla-Pma	Marilo laxiflorae-Pentaclethretum macrolobae	4.24	5.75	14.34	Excluded	40.55	22.07	144.38	
BHmhtf1/Par-Vel	Protio aracouchini-Viroletum elongatae	4.92	7.47	20.85		51.67	104.12	226.18	Included
BHtf1/Ean-Cca	Eschweilero antioquensis-Copaiferetum camibar	2.60	0.71	4.33		30.28	0.00	54.44	
BHtf1/Twe-Qhu	Tovomito weddellianae-Quercetum humboldtii	2.41	12.75	14.00		25.89	156.67	170.23	
BHin1/Pde-Tpo	Prestoeco decurrentis-Trichillietum poeppigi	2.89	0.00	7.59	Included	33.21	0.00	103.73	
BHri1/Mis-Ppu	Macrolobio ischnocalycis-Peltogyneum purpurea	3.27	0.00	3.56		45.16	0.00	167.49	Included
Bmhtf2/Cpy-Pdo	Cariniano pyriformis-Pentaplarium doroteae	3.81	5.56	7.67	Excluded	30.71	70.75	122.43	
Bmhtf2/Mgr-Ama	Mayno grandifoliae-Astrocarvetum malybo	3.47	5.22	7.16		31.06	57.93	64.75	Excluded
Bhtf2/Cpr-Cpa	Cordietum proctato-panamensis	2.06	1.92	5.45	Included	33.90	44.37	164.81	Included
Bhtf2/Cod-Cpl	Cappari odoratissimatis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae	1.91	3.78	5.16	Excluded	45.86	66.16	108.84	
Bhtf1/Thi-Spa	Trichilio hirtae-Schizolobietum parahibi	3.25	3.87	10.71	Included	59.98	29.87	262.63	Excluded
Bhtf2/Tac-Hcr	Trichillio acuminatae-Huretum crepitantis	4.83	11.62	15.38	Excluded	41.79	95.18	188.68	Included
Bttf3/Asp-Gul	<i>Acalypha sp. y Guazuma ulmifolia</i>	3.76	0.72	15.59	Included	57.10	0.00	268.12	Excluded
Map simbol	Pattern of vegetation	TCI (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model				
BHtf2/Jco-Pmu	Possible variant, Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae	1.21	2.93	5.08	Excluded				

BHtf2/Jco-Pmu	Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae	1.09	2.93	4.30	
BHtf2/Jco-Pmu/tr	Possible transitional variant, Jacarando copaiae-Pouterietum multiflorae	1.31	4.59	5.08	
BHmhtf2/Mla-Pma	Marilo laxiflorae-Pentaclethretum macrolobae	1.43	2.12	5.40	
BHmhtf1/Par-Vel	Protio aracouchini-Viroletum elongatae	1.42	3.81	7.23	
BHtf1/Ean-Cca	Eschweilero antioquensis-Copaiferetum camibar	2.05	2.69	10.52	Included
BHtf1/Twe-Qhu	Tovomito weddellianae-Quercetum humboldtii	0.93	4.92	6.01	Excluded
BHin1/Pde-Tpo	Prestoeco decurrentis-Trichillietum poeppigi	2.01	6.91	13.73	Included
BHri1/Mis-Ppu	Macrolobio ischnocalycis-Peltogynetum purpurea	1.69	3.04	8.29	
Bmhtf2/Cpy-Pdo	Cariniano pyriformis-Pentaplarietum doroteae	1.29	3.94	5.53	Excluded
Bmhtf2/Mgr-Ama	Mayno grandifoliae-Astrocarietum malybo	0.97	2.71	4.90	
Bhtf2/Cpr-Cpa	Cordietum proctato-panamensis	1.10	3.47	8.01	Included
Bhtf2/Cod-Cpl	Cappari odoratissimis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae	1.57	5.66	6.61	
Bhtf1/Thi-Spa	Trichillio hirtae-Schizolobietum parahibi	1.02	3.94	5.90	Excluded
Bhtf2/Tac-Hcr	Trichillio acuminatae-Huretum crepitantis	1.18	5.04	7.50	
Bttf3/Asp-Gul	<i>Acalypha sp. y Guazuma ulmifolia</i>	1.11	3.26	7.26	Included

Table 3. Registration of the optimal of the analysed physico-environmental variables of the vegetation types developed in dry climates in the study region and standard deviation of their species.

Map simbol	Pattern of vegetation	Altitude (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model	Precipitation (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model
Bhtf2/Sma-Cpl	Sabali mauritiiformis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae	25.31378	39.01875	104.61859		140.33765	1354.38137	1389.03046	
Bhtf2/Ctr-Aas	Cinnamomo triplinervis-Apeibetum asperae	29.45018	32.18314	83.16916		251.93008	1069.52031	2094.59435	
Bttf2/Cvi-Abu	Cochlospermo vitifoli-Attaleetum butyraceae	32.60334	35.23321	51.45752		143.45696	1198.84014	1268.35283	
Bmhtf2/Oma-Cpy	Oenocarpus mapora y Cariniana pyriformis	3.15989	49.82548	49.82548		68.47183	1881.18488	1881.18488	
Bmhtf2/Vca-Aac	Vitiscis capitatae-Acrocomietum aculeatae	18.19858	21.28044	57.34496		239.35544	1838.90362	2342.75950	
Bmhtf2/Xar-Tgu	Xylopio aromaticae-Tapiriretum guianensis	10.28761	15.72607	37.10931		394.70845	2596.17261	2778.57854	
Bttf2/Apu-Pla	Annono puniceifoliae-Pithecellobietum lanceolati	34.57615	1.94081	20.99449	Included	324.95623	1318.25906	1570.80687	Included
Bmhtf2/Spa-Tro	Symmerio paniculatae-Tabebuietum roseae	8.06323	11.13861	22.59927		271.74251	1472.70910	2047.82426	
Bttf2/Call-Abu	Cordio alliodorae-Attaleetum butyraceae	75.64094	0.44673	213.12866		78.34502	1034.15603	1167.80043	
Bttf2/Ain-Agr	Adenocalymno inundati-Astronietum graveolentis	7.59708	19.89434	40.55149		161.84819	1191.41445	1280.72983	
Bmhtf2/Cvi-Abu	Cochlospermo vitifoli-Mataybetum camptoneurae	16.41811	20.53430	40.73143		61.57849	1904.15057	2159.75485	
Bmhin2/Cco-Ahu	Coccolobo costatae-Acacieta huilanae	2.76948	13.08916	21.89450		154.65301	2065.66284	2075.43686	
Btin2/Ctr-Ssa	Caseario tremulae-Samaneetum saman	8.60484	6.51304	7.29634		516.42871	1356.64033	1381.04986	
Btin2/Mar	Comunidad de Montrichardia arborescens	0.10000	0.00000	50.00000					

Map simbol	Pattern of vegetation	Direction (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model	TCI (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model
Bhtf2/Sma-Cpl	Sabali mauritiiformis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae	87.80497705	0	188.8708257		4.216926578	4.659214025	18.08620112	Included
Bhtf2/Ctr-Aas	Cinnamomo triplinervis-Apeibetum asperae	49.66476426	0	145.2842469	Included	1.352684825	4.354538258	5.670216673	
Bttf2/Cvi-Abu	Cochlospermo vitifoli-Attaleetum butyraceae	88.72860381	75.24230907	198.6299808		2.057999666	5.096231386	7.254689188	Excluded
Bmhtf2/Oma-Cpy	Oenocarpus mapora y Cariniana pyriformis	21.40594864	0	0	Excluded	0.504310949	7.043783137	7.043783137	
Bmhtf2/Vca-Aac	Vitiscis capitatae-Acrocomietum aculeatae	46.40748347	0	133.2691668	Included	2.019222744	3.581700528	8.429050201	Included
Bmhtf2/Xar-Tgu	Xylopio aromaticae-Tapiriretum guianensis	44.66268452	4.529738943	45.44794723		1.268333548	3.767990969	5.127140782	Excluded

Bttf2/Apu-Pla	Annono punicifoliae-Pithecellobium lanceolati	56.22392638	0	155.2388487		1.2190642	2.371510924	4.974075101
Bmhtf2/Spa-Tro	Symmerio paniculatae-Tabebuietum roseae	40.20035398	0	41.71400602	Included	1.266863778	3.331689934	5.281047818
Bttf2/Call-Abu	Cordio alliodorae-Attaleetum butyraceae	24.56141734	0	70.33163907		1.179821565	1.583222018	3.192748814
Bttf2/Ain-Agr	Adenocalymno inundati-Astronietum graveolentis	77.3667419	0	345.5145942		0.877645485	3.158879385	5.987375506
Bmhtf2/Cvi-Abu	Cochlospermo vitifoli-Mataybetum camptoneurae	101.0665036	0	259.2546849		0.830529182	1.575607389	4.34522097
Bmhin2/Cco-Ahu	Coccolobo costatae-Acacietaum huilanae	81.11679202	33.48201377	242.1972637	Excluded	0.947940351	6.164561006	8.468127189
Btin2/Ctr-Ssa	Caseario tremulae-Samaneetum samanisis	37.63032025	26.03764694	32.27110109		0.884049774	5.0900064	5.118788206
Btin2/Mar	Comunidad de Monrighardia arborescens	0	0	0		4.216926578	4.659214025	18.08620112
Map simbol	Pattern of vegetation	Slope (σ)	c1	c2	Incorporation in fuzzy model			
Btin2/Mar	Comunidad de Monrighardia arborescens	0.1	0	3.563000658	Included			

Table 4. Consolidated gross values.

Year 1987, general coverage	Year 2010, general coverage	Phenomenon related to change	Area in ha	Percentage	Change in hectares year-1 (1987-2010)	Change in hectares year-1 (2000-2010), Hansen et al.(2013)	Change in hectares year-1 (2010-2013), Hansen et al.(2013)
Herblands	Herblands		214398.68	6.60			
Forest	Forest	Constant	1075587.52	33.12			
Shrubs	Shrubs		240720.44	7.41			
Deforestation areas	Deforestation areas		668885.52	20.60			
Subtotal for constant coverages in the period evaluated			2199592.16	67.74			
Deforestation Buff	Herblands	Herblands buffer	466.08	0.01			
Herbazales Buff	Deforestation		312.24	0.01			
Total for constant and relatively constant coverages during the period evaluated			2200370.48	67.76			
Forest	Deforestation	Gross deforestation	147384.64	4.54			
Shrubs	Deforestation		111709.84	3.44			
Subtotal for the phenomenon of gross deforestation itself			259094.48	7.98	11264.98		
Forest	Herblands	Gross deforestation 2	99994.20	3.08			
Shrubs	Herblands		63122.52	1.94			
Subtotal for the phenomenon of gross deforestation type two			163116.72	5.02	7092.03		
Total for the phenomenon of gross deforestation			422211.20	13.00	18357.01		
Forest	Shrubs	Gross degradation	189945.84	5.85	8258.51		
Total for the phenomenon of transformation (gross loss)			612157.04	18.85	26615.52	9405.13	1671.41
Shrubs	Secondary forest, poor structure	Gross recuperation	198137.52	6.10			
Herblands	Secondary forest, poor structure		23797.84	0.73			
Herblands	Shrubs		46980.04	1.45			
Deforestation	Shrubs		110765.76	3.41			
Deforestation	Secondary forest, very poor structure		54984.44	1.69			
Total for the recovery process with poor vegetation structure (gross gain)			434665.60	13.39	18898.50		

Table 5. Records of net gains and losses for certain vegetation types in the study area.

Map symbol	Vegetation pattern	Number symbol	Association	1987 Area (ha)	2010 Area (ha)	Net loss (1987-2010)	Net gain (1987-2010)
BHttf2/Jco-Pmu		1	Jacarando copaiaie-Pouterietum multiflorae	216552.12	215242.52	1309.6	--
BHmhtf2/Mla-Pma		2	Marilo laxiflorae-Pentaclethretum maculobae	7843.44	8466.4	--	622.96
BHmhtf1/Par-Vel		3	Protio aracouchini-Viroletum elongatae	39757.24	35246.2	4511.04	--
BHttf1/Ean-Cca	Primary forest	4	Eschweilero antioquensis-Copaiferetum camibar	90395.16	90421.16	--	26
BHttf1/Twe-Qhu		5	Tovomito weddellianae-Quercetum humboldtii	35652.36	36087.28	--	434.92
BHin1/Pde-Tpo		6	Prestoeo decurrens-Trichillietum poeppigi	78109	76987.72	1121.28	--
BHri1/Mis-Ppu		7	Macrolobio ischnocalycis-Peltogyneetum purpurea	70863.64	71897.96	--	1034.32
Total of change for vegetation types dominated by primary forests						6941.92	2118.2
Bmhtf2/Cpy-Pdo		8	Cariniano pyriformis-Pentaplarietum doroteae	9061.12	6681.04	2380.08	--
Bmhtf2/Mgr-Ama		9	Mayno grandifoliae-Astrocaryetum malybo	11626.04	872.2	3353.84	--
Bhtf2/Cpr-Cpa		10	Cordietum proctato-panamensis	1148.04	764.32	383.72	--
Bhtf2/Cod-Cpl	Forests with	11	Cappari odoratissimatis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae	170.68	134.96	35.72	--
Bhtf1/Thi-Spa	different levels of	12	Trichilio hirtae- Schizolobietum parahibi	10081.64	7998.16	2083.48	--
Bhtf2/Tac-Hcr	intervention	13	Trichillio acuminatae-Huretum crepitanis	36278.04	30635.8	5642.24	--
Bttf3/Asp-Gul		14	Acalypha sp. y Guazuma ulmifolia	43867.92	33599.72	10268.2	--
Bhtf2/Sma-Cpl		15	Sabali mauritiiiformis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae	35913.92	23021.4	12892.52	--
Bhtf2/Ctr-Aas		16	Cinnamomo triplinervis-Apeibetum asperae	255637.44	187514.6	68122.84	--

Bttf2/Cvi-Abu	17	Cochlospermo vitifoli-Attaleetum butyraceae	13072.6	12596.12	476.48	--	
Bmhtf2/Oma-Cpy	18	Oenocarpus mapora y Cariniana pyriformis	7986.24	7351.4	634.84	--	
Bmhtf2/Vca-Aac	19	Viticeo capitatae-Acrocomietum aculeatae	43204.28	37988.64	5215.64	--	
Bmhtf2/Xar-Tgu	20	Xylopia aromaticae-Tapiriretum guianensis	175259.2	182073.48	--	6814.28	
Bttf2/Apu-Pla	21	Annono puniceifoliae-Pithecellobium lanceolati	22531.8	17124.84	5406.96	--	
Bmhtf2/Spa-Tro	22	Symmerio paniculatae-Tabebuietum roseae	106722.32	99969	6753.32	--	
Bttf2/Call-Abu	23	Cordio alliodorae-Attaleetum butyraceae	115861.88	90287.04	25574.84	--	
Bttf2/Ain-Agr	24	Adenocalymno inundati-Astronietum graveolentis	24978.44	15841.8	9136.64	--	
Bmhtf2/Cvi-Abu	25	Cochlospermo vitifoli-Mataybetum camptoneurae	46100.88	41990	4110.88	--	
Bmhnt2/Cco-Ahu	26	Coccolobo costatae-Acacietum huilanae	14059.32	14147.84	--	88.52	
Btin2/Ctr-Ssa	27	Caseario tremulae-Samaneetum samanisis	177.48	165.76	11.72	--	
Total of change for vegetation types dominated by forests with different levels of intervention					162483.96	6902.8	
Total change for all types of vegetation dominated by forests					169425.88	9021	
MHttf2/Jco-Pmu	29	Jacarando copaiaie-Pouterietum multiflorae	26188.04	24555.12	1632.92	--	
MHmhtf2/Mla-Pma	30	Marilo laxiflorae-Pentaclethretum macrolobaee	1183.92	630	553.92	--	
MHmhtf1/Par-Vel	31	Protio aracouchini-Viroletum elongatae	9063.04	10153.76	--	1090.72	
MHtf1/Ean-Cca	32	Eschweilero antioquensis-Copaiferetum camibar	12.6	7.08	5.52	--	
MHtf1/Twe-Qhu	33	Tovomito weddellianae-Quercetum humboldtii	21.4	41.4	--	20	
MHin1/Pde-Tpo	34	Prestoeo decurrentis-Trichillietum poeppigi	3443.36	4731.04	--	1287.68	
MHri1/Mis-Ppu	35	Macrolobio ischnocalycis-Peltogyneum purpurea	5290.4	6313.24	--	1022.84	
Mmhtf2/Cpy-Pdo	36	Cariniano pyriformis-Pentaplarium doroteae	1534.2	2549.84	--	1015.64	
Mmhtf2/Mgr-Ama	37	Mayno grandifoliae-Astrocarium malybo	5072.92	5453.68	--	380.76	
Mhtf2/Cpr-Cpa	38	Cordietum proctato-panamensis	1399.64	1387.92	11.72	--	
Mhtf2/Cod-Cpl	39	Cappari odoratissimatis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae	250.84	225.92	24.92	--	
Mhtf1/Thi-Spa	40	Trichilio hirtae- Schizolobietum parahibi	12452.4	11679.64	772.76	--	
Mhtf2/Tac-Hcr	41	Trichillio acuminatae-Huretum crepitantis	32260.04	26895.6	5364.44	--	
Mttf3/Asp-Gul	42	Acalypha sp. y Guazuma ulmifolia	44392.2	35262.28	9129.92	--	
Mhtf2/Sma-Cpl	43	Sabali mauritiiformis-Cavanillesietum platanifoliae	36735.64	32971.32	3764.32	--	
Mhhtf2/Ctr-Aas	44	Cinnamomo triplinervis-Apeibetum asperae	150862.88	173626.16	--	22763.28	
Mttf2/Cvi-Abu	45	Cochlospermo vitifoli-Attaleetum butyraceae	12152.12	12884.44	--	732.32	
Mmhtf2/Oma-Cpy	46	Oenocarpus mapora y Cariniana pyriformis	865.96	1358.88	--	492.92	
Mmhtf2/Vca-Aac	47	Viticeo capitatae-Acrocomietum aculeatae	25719.68	24855.64	864.04	--	
Mmhtf2/Xar-Tgu	48	Xylopia aromaticae-Tapiriretum guianensis	63542.68	47805.92	15736.76	--	
Mttf2/Apu-Pla	49	Annono puniceifoliae-Pithecellobium lanceolati	19960.16	17485.84	2474.32	--	
Mmhtf2/Spa-Tro	50	Symmerio paniculatae-Tabebuietum roseae	54922.56	39228.36	15694.2	--	
Mttf2/Call-Abu	51	Cordio alliodorae-Attaleetum butyraceae	63918.52	73865.16	--	9946.64	
Mttf2/Ain-Agr	52	Adenocalymno inundati-Astronietum graveolentis	15755.8	13649.76	2106.04	--	
Mmhtf2/Cvi-Abu	53	Cochlospermo vitifoli-Mataybetum camptoneurae	19927.88	16062.12	3865.76	--	
Mmhnt2/Cco-Ahu	54	Coccolobo costatae-Acacietum huilanae	6668.48	4632.04	2036.44	--	
Mtin2/Ctr-Ssa	55	Caseario tremulae-Samaneetum samanisis	92.96	99.92	--	6.96	
Total of change for vegetation types dominated by tall and medium shrubs (degradation)					64038	38759.76	
Total change for all types of natural vegetation					233463.88	47780.76	
A	Intervention areas dominated by anthropogenic deforestation	56	A-Hmtin2/Mar, Cul, A-Bhtf2/Sma-Cpl, A-Btin2/Ctr-Ssa, A-BHmhtf2/Mla-Pma and A-Bmhtf2/Xar-Tgu are included	1120590.6	1306273.72	--	185683.12



Figure 1. Location of study area. Transverse Mercator Universal Projection of (UTM) with WGS 84 datum zone 18 N (78-72 W) was used in all cases.




Figure 2. Organization of vegetation types used in the CCA-Fuzzy Land Cover methodology and determined in accordance with the classification process.





Figure 3. (A) Canonical correspondences analysis for the species and plots (relevés) of the vegetation types that are established in climates from super humid to semi humid in the study region. Axes one (1) and two (2). (B) Axes one (1) and three (3). For the combination of regional physical variables precipitation, altitude and slope.

CCA relevés orthogonal project in raw precipitation (mm) gradient

A



B




Figure 4. Orthogonal projection of the records' plots of the vegetation types established in super humid to semi humid climates in the study region. (A) Axis one (1) precipitation gradient. (B) Orthogonal projection of the records of the calibrated plots to real precipitation values by linear multiple regression. Axis one, precipitation (1).




Figure 5. General structure of the fuzzy logic model (data within the membership function) conducted in MATLAB software for the analysed decades.




Figure 6. (A) Coverage map obtained by modelling with fuzzy logic that summarizes the anthropic intervention in the study region for the decade of 1980. (B). Coverage for the first decade of the XXI century.




Figure 7. The rates of false positives and true negatives regarding true positive rates, corroborate inferences analysed for each of the cases with the analysis of Cohen's Kappa. According to the area under the curve, the model can be related to a good quality, $AUC = 0.8827$.




Figure 8. Historical Records (1987-2010) gain and loss (net) in surface coverages determined in the study area.