

# Mathematical Modeling of Dengue Epidemic: Control Methods and Vaccination Strategies

Sylvestre Aureliano Carvalho, Stella Olívia da Silva, Iraziet da Cunha Charret

## Abstract

Dengue is one of the most important infectious diseases in the world, in terms of death and economic cost. Hence, the modeling of dengue is of great importance to help us understand the dynamics disease, and interfering with its spreading mathematical by the proposition of control methods. In this work, control strategies in an attempt to eliminate the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito, as well as proposals for the vaccination campaign are evaluated. In our mathematical model, the mechanical control is accomplished through the environmental support capacity affected by a discrete function that represents removal of breeding. Chemical control is carried out from the use of insecticide and larvicidal. The efficacious of vaccination is studied through the removal of a fraction of individuals, proportional to the rate of vaccination, from the susceptible compartment and its transfer to the recovered compartment. Our major find is that the dengue fever epidemic is only eradicated with the use of an immunizing vaccine because control measures directed against the vector are not enough to halt disease spreading. Even where the infected mosquitoes are eliminated from the system, susceptible mosquitoes are still present, and infected humans cause dengue fever to reappear in the human population.

*Keywords:*

## 1. Introduction

In recent years the field of public health has been benefit from the use of mathematical models to the study of spread epidemic of infectious disease. One of the first mathematical model epidemiology has been proposed by W. H. Hamer in 1906, who postulated that the development of an epidemic is related to the rate of contact between infected and susceptible individuals [1] and currently this postulate is known as the principle of mass action [2].

In 1911 Ross has proposed one of the first models used for epidemiological study of malaria [3]. In 1957 Macdonald brought a greater biological realism to the Ross model, improving the accuracy and interpretation of the model parameters. The contributions of Macdonald resulted in the creation of the model known as the Ross-Macdonald model [4], in which two differential equations represent the dynamics of the disease regardless of the age structure of populations and the incubation period.

In the cases of infectious diseases, situations may exist in which infected hosts once recovered again become susceptible to new infections or may become immune. However, there may be situations where the host contract the disease and do not acquire the disease elicited by the same agent again. In the first case, these diseases are usually caused by bacterial or protozoan agents [5, 6], such as malaria for example. The second case is due to viral diseases such as dengue [7].

Currently, there is great interest in studying epidemic propagation, as in the case of dengue. This disease is considered the

most significant in terms of death and economic cost, since it is responsible for more deaths and infections in humans than any other arthropod-borne viruses [8]. This scenario can be even worse, since the exact number of cases of the disease is not known. Several cases go unreported because they may clinically manifest with few or no symptoms [9]. Due to the large number of people exposed, and absence of medicines able to cure infected people, the increase of dengue epidemics have motivated an intensive study of mechanical and chemical control mechanisms to used on eliminate the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*.

The mechanical control, relying on educational campaigns for the removal of containers that accumulate water, results in a significant decrease in population size of the vector when this type of control is performed on any of the seasons [10]. Moreover, the mechanical control is effective only upon the awareness of the human population to identify and eliminate outbreaks of dengue in their own homes [11]. Chemical control, based on the use of insecticide and larvicide, was tested for the reduction of vector population [11, 12]. More recently, in parallel, vaccination strategies have been proposed as vaccines. Studies have been done to develop a vaccine, evaluate and investigate its efficacy [13, 14, 15].

So, in this paper, we evaluate the set of these three strategies - mechanical control, chemical control, and vaccination - in order to assess the best way to eradicate dengue. Our study is based on the dengue epidemiological model proposed by Yang and Ferreira [16]. We will model the effects by the use of mechanical control mechanisms (parameters that decrease the rate of oviposition vector, known as carrying capacity) and chemical control (insecticide and larvicide). Besides the mortality rates of the vector we have also included in our study the spreading of the dengue virus in humans and suggest vaccination strategies

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de de Viçosa, 36570-000, Viçosa, MG, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Lavras, 37200-000, Lavras, MG, Brazil

arXiv:1508.00961v1 [q-bio.PE] 5 Aug 2015

in a human population.

The paper is organized as follows. Section (2) introduces the ordinary differential equations of the model. In Section (3), mechanical and chemical control strategies, as well as the factors that justify the use of information based strategies in climate and rainfall modelling are described. It is also highlighted the effects of vaccination campaign and reported a measure of the efficiency of these mechanisms. In section (4), the model solutions obtained by numerical integration are presented and the efficiency of each strategy is evaluate. Finally, in Section 5 some conclusions are drawn.

## 2. Mathematical model for dengue

In the study of some epidemics, it is useful to separate the vector and host populations in some classes according to their stage in the infection cycle. In the case of dengue, for instance, it is useful to consider the existence of three classes for the vector and four for the host. Such separation is suggested, because both the vector and the host contract the virus, go through a period of latency in which the individual has the virus but is not capable of transmitting it, became infective and, for humans, recovered from the disease [17].

The dengue mosquito, the *Aedes aegypti* female, can be infected with the virus when feeding infected human blood. Once it have acquired the virus the mosquito, remains infected throughout its life. So it is feasible to divide the population of adult midges into susceptible, exposed and infected. Classes in turn, the human population can recover from the illness, and for that reason, in addition to the existing classes for the vector, there is the class of recovered humans [18].

The model in which the individuals are organized in these different classes from which they can entry or exit is known as *SEIR*(susceptible - exposed - infectious - recovered) [19]. In this type of approach, a population is represented by state variables (subpopulation densities) associated to the different model compartments, which evolves on time according the rules of interaction. Differential equations can be used to model the rules, and give every subpopulation growth rates [20, 21].

Our mathematical model, based on the model developed by Yang and Ferreira [16], comprises 10 nonlinear first order ordinary differential equations. These equations include variables describing two classes of individuals: humans and mosquitoes. The phase of the mosquito in life cycle are represented in the system (1) as follows: egg ( $E$ ), larva ( $L$ ), pupa ( $P$ ) and adult ( $W$ ), the latter being composed of three subpopulations differing in its stage of infection, namely, susceptible ( $W_1$ ), exposed ( $W_2$ ) and infected ( $W_3$ ).

The parameters of the model are related to biotic and abiotic factors. They represent averages of values that takes into account all stages of vector in development, effective rates of natural mortality, and contact rates between the stages of infection of the human population and the mosquitoes.

The transition rates between the phases of egg, larva, pupa and adult are represented by the parameters  $\sigma_j$ , ( $j = e, l, p, w$ ). In addition, the system (1) contains other rates: oviposition

( $\phi$ ), mortality of eggs, larvae, pupae and adult midges  $\mu_i$  ( $i = l, p, w$ ); which are functions of temperature, humidity, and rain precipitation. Thus these rates reflect abiotic factors. However, in the present analysis, these functions will be replaced by, constant average values, listed in table (1).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E(t) &= \phi \left[ 1 - \frac{E(t)}{C'} \right] W(t) - (\sigma_E + \mu_E) E(t) \quad (1) \\ \frac{d}{dt}L(t) &= \sigma_e E(t) - (\sigma_l + \mu_l + \mu'_l) L(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}P(t) &= \sigma_l L(t) - (\sigma_P + \mu_P + \mu'_P) P(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}W_1(t) &= \sigma_P P(t) - \left[ \beta_w \frac{I(t)}{N} + \mu_w + \mu'_w \right] W_1(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}W_2(t) &= \beta_w \frac{I(t)}{N} W_1(t) - (\gamma_w + \mu_w + \mu'_w) W_2(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}W_3(t) &= \gamma_w W_2(t) - (\mu_w + \mu'_w) W_3(t) \end{aligned}$$

Other parameters correspond to biotic factors, i.e. those that have direct interference of the human activity: carrying capacity, represented by the letter  $C'$  and the chemical control represented by the function  $\mu'_j$ . The carrying capacity can be modulated through the elimination of breeding grounds as a result of educational campaigns to increase the population awareness, concerning the necessities to eliminate the aquatic population reservoirs. The chemical control is another parameter which takes into account the work of health agents responsible for preventing vector proliferation in every stage of its life cycle, from vector aquatic phase to adulthood. The population adult mosquitoes is composed only by females feeding blood.

The equations for the humans population are coupled and separated in susceptible ( $s$ ), exposed ( $e$ ), infected ( $i$ ) and recovered ( $r$ ) compartments. In our model we take into consideration the presence of only one virus serotype. System (2) introduces a set of four first order, nonlinear ordinary differential equations for the human population densities.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}s(t) &= \mu_h - \left[ \beta_h \frac{M_i(t)}{M(t)} + \mu_h \right] s(t) \quad (2) \\ \frac{d}{dt}e(t) &= \beta_h \frac{M_i(t)}{M(t)} s(t) - (\gamma_h + \mu_h) e(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}i(t) &= \gamma_h e(t) - (\sigma_h + \mu_h) i(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}r(t) &= \sigma_h i(t) - \mu_h r(t) \end{aligned}$$

## 3. Control strategies

### 3.1. The influence of climate and temperature

The climate is one of the factors that affects the environment where the *Aedes aegypti* is present [22]. The *Aedes* is found

primarily in tropical and subtropical areas in zones having relatively constant temperatures around  $24^\circ C$  [23]. The temperature has direct and indirect influences on insect's development and feeding [24]. Hence, the effects of temperature on the life cycle of insects read be modeled to understand the population dynamics of dengue vectors and can be exploited to develop appropriate vector control strategies.

In Brazil, the fall season begins in March, winter in June, the spring starts in September, and the summer in December. However, these four seasons are neatly marked only in the southern region, São Paulo, Mato Grosso do Sul, and in the mountain regions of Minas Gerais and Rio de Janeiro, occupying approximately 15% of the brazilian territory. In such regions summer and winter temperatures are well-defined. In contrast, in the Amazonia, for example, does not exist significant variation in temperature and rainfall during the annual period, so basically there are no seasons. In other regions, there are only two regimes: the rainy and the dry seasons.

Taking into consideration the climatic variability in Brazil, we have divided a year into three periods, defined in terms of the maximum and minimum rainfall a major determinant of the mosquito population namely, favorable, unfavorable and intermediate. Indeed, the *Aedes aegypti* has a weak resistant at to the low temperature. Temperatures between  $22^\circ C$  and  $30^\circ C$  are favorable in its life cycle, and the extremes, below  $18^\circ C$  and above  $34^\circ C$  have negative effects on their development [25].

The most favorable period for the development of the vector are the months of December, January, February and March, due to high temperatures and high rainfall. The colder months (June, July, August and September), are unfavourable for the development of *Aedes aegypti*. The intermediate period includes the months of April, May, October and November [26]. The values that make up the template have daily values according to table (1) and were taken from studies by Yang and Luz [16, 11].

### 3.2. Mechanical Control

It is widely known that a population can not grow indefinitely because the environment has a limited capacity of resources to sustain their individuals. This ability is known as environmental supportability [27]. There population size of the dengue mosquito increases considerably rainy season due to the increase in the number of containers with standing water, ideal places for mosquito oviposition [28]. Thus, the environmental support capacity of the dengue vector is primarily related to the amount of available sites for laying eggs, since the resources necessary for the maintenance of adults vectors such, as food and shelter, are virtually unlimited.

In the model, described by equations (1), the environmental support capacity is given by  $C'$  for the population of eggs, and is interpreted as the physical space available for mosquitoes oviposition. A method to control the growth of mosquito population is to decrease  $C'$  through the elimination of breeding grounds. This is usually done mechanically, at different times of the year, accordingly the climatic conditions.

In order to take into account this control strategy, our model assumes that the support capacity  $C'$  is a function of a random

variable  $C_i$ . The effectiveness reate of the control performed at given moment. Specifically,

$$C' = C_i C_{fixo} \quad (3)$$

where  $C_{fixo}$  is the supportability of the containers in the absence of control mesures and

$$0 < C_i \leq 1 \quad (4)$$

varies according to the time of year.

When  $C_i$  receives values close to 0, leading to a very small  $C'$ , there are few locations for oviposition. In contrast, when  $C_i \sim 1$ , the support capacity remains virtually unchanged, and there is no reduction of mosquito breeding sites. In turn, the value of  $C_{fixo}$  varies with the annual seasons.

Within the favorable period, characterized by high temperatures and humidity [29], a value  $C_{fixo} = 700$  was fixed. Within (cold and arid) the unfavorable period [30] we set  $C_{fixo} = 300$ . For the months that comprise the mild period, a supportability  $C_{fixo} = 500$  is assumed.

### 3.3. Chemical Control

There are two types of chemical that hill the dengue vector: insecticides, that affect adult mosquitoes, and larvicides, that kill vector larvae. We assume that the chemical control does not act on the population of pupae ( $\mu'_p = 0$ ). The insecticides is the most used instruments for vector control. In turn, larvicides are complementary employed to this control. Hence, in our model, these chemical methods are represented by the variable  $\mu'_w$ , an  $\mu'_l$  that affect winged mosquitoes and larvae, respectively. These mortality rates are exponential decay functions. They change with the period of the year as shown in table (2). It is assumed that the chemicals are released during the first weeks of every month, and persists for 15 days in the environment. Also, at the first day the chemical release is the maximum, and at most 10% of the total amount of released chemicals remain in the environment.

### 3.4. Vaccination Campaign

Currently the fight against dengue is restricted to eradication methods of its vector. The development of new tools to combat dengue, as for example, the discovery of a vaccine requires more knowledge about the biological characteristics of the virus and its interaction with the hosts.

Our model, described by equations 1 and 2, does not take into account both people who could die by the disease and does not describe the existence of a vaccine that makes humans immune to dengue virus.

The development of a tetravalent vaccine against the dengue virus is a promise of the World Health Organization (WHO), but efforts to develop vaccines have been hampered by the lack of an appropriate animal test subject [31].

In order to take into account these two features of the epidemics, we extended our model as follows. The death of infected human introduced through the  $\mu_d = 0.04$  addition of a mortality rate in the infected compartment:

$$\frac{d}{dt}i(t) = \gamma_h e(t) - (\sigma_h + \mu_h + \mu_d) i(t) \quad (5)$$

Meaning of the Parameters	Symbol	Value (day <sup>-1</sup> )
Oviposition	$\phi$	1
Transformation from egg to larva (F)	$\sigma_e$	0.330
Transformation from egg to larva (U)	$\sigma_e$	0.300
Transformation from egg to larva (I)	$\sigma_e$	0.200
Transformation from larva to pupa (F)	$\sigma_l$	0.140
Transformation from larva to pupa (U)	$\sigma_l$	0.125
Transformation from larva to pupa (I)	$\sigma_l$	0.066
Transformation from pupa to mosquito (F)	$\sigma_p$	0.346
Transformation from pupa to mosquito (U)	$\sigma_p$	0.323
Transformation from pupa to mosquito (I)	$\sigma_p$	0.091
Mortality rates of egg	$\mu_e$	0.050
Mortality rates of larva	$\mu_l$	0.050
Mortality rates of pupa	$\mu_p$	0.0167
Mortality rates of mosquito (F)	$\mu_w$	0.042
Mortality rates of mosquito (U)	$\mu_w$	0.040
Mortality rates of mosquito (I)	$\mu_w$	0.059
Force of infection human infectious→mosquito susceptible	$\beta_w$	0.750
Force of infection human susceptible→mosquito infectious	$\beta_h$	0.375
Incubation period of virus (mosquito)	$\gamma_w$	0.200
Incubation period of virus (in humans)	$\gamma_h$	0.100
Infectious (or recovery) period (in humans)	$\sigma_h$	0.143
Rates per-capita mortality human	$\mu_h$	0.000042
Rates per-capita natality human	$\mu_n$	0.00042

Table 1: The parameters and their values used in the model. The transformation rates in the aquatic phase as well as rate mosquitoes mortality are subdivided into periods: Favorable (F), Unfavorable (U) and intermediate (I). The values have units of day<sup>-1</sup>.

Period	Insecticide function	Larvicide function
Unfavorable	$\mu'_w(t) = 0.941 e^{-0.00149t}$	$\mu'_l(t) = 0.884 e^{-0.00145t}$
Intermediate	$\mu'_w(t) = 0.960 e^{-0.00151t}$	$\mu'_l(t) = 0.825 e^{-0.00141t}$
Favorable	$\mu'_w(t) = 0.958 e^{-0.00151t}$	$\mu'_l(t) = 0.810 e^{-0.00139t}$

Table 2: Continuous functions representing the control by insecticide and larvicidal, at the favorable, unfavorable and intermediate periods.

To represent a possible vaccination campaign, a fraction proportional to a vaccination rate  $\nu = 0.2$  of susceptible individuals is removed from and added directly to the recovered compartment. Also, the efficiency of the vaccine is taken into account through a variable  $\xi$ . If  $\xi = 0$ , the vaccine is not effective and does not change the system, whereas, if  $\xi = 1$ , the vaccine is fully effective, and all the vaccinated individuals became immunized. So, the effect of a vaccination campaign on the susceptible and recovered populations are given by:

$$\frac{d}{dt}s(t) = \mu_h - \left[ \beta_h \frac{M_I(t)}{M(t)} + \mu_h \right] s(t) - \xi \nu s(t) \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}r(t) = \sigma_h i(t) - \mu_h r(t) + \nu \xi s(t) \quad (7)$$

The duration of the campaign is varied to find out the time needed to eliminate the virus using a vaccination campaign.

### 3.5. Efficiency

For each control methods, its percentual efficiency  $\Sigma$  can be defined as

$$\Sigma = \left( 1 - \frac{A_c}{A_s} \right) 100\% \quad (8)$$

where  $A_c$  represents the area under the affected mosquito curve population as a function of time when the control method is used and  $A_s$  is the area under the affected total population curve without the use of the method.

## 4. Results

The results refer to the numerical simulation for a time period of approximately 20 to 50 years. The parameter values used by the table (1) were adopted in order to describe coexistence between populations of humans and mosquitoes (aquatic and adult). Initially, we consider that the human population grows exponentially, as suggested by the United Nations (UN). Finally, simulation for a stationary human population is considered.

### 4.1. Human population with exponential growth

Dengue is a disease recognized in more than 100 countries around the world [32]. According to the UN, over 70% of the world's population live in underdeveloped countries. Asia, with a population of 4.1 billion inhabitants, and Africa, with 1.031 billion inhabitants exhibit, both a high population growth rate,

which can be characterized as exponential [33]. Since in those continents there is the presence of dengue viruses, there is much current interest in analyse the dengue epidemics in such exponentially growing populations.

#### 4.1.1. Mechanical control

The *Aedes aegypti* female lays its eggs in places containing stagnant water which nurtures the development of immature stages of the vector. Consequently, if breeding grounds are eliminated, a decrease in the population size of the vector and, consequently, in cases of dengue fever will expected. In order to test the impact of the mechanical control on the population of adult vectors, a periodical decrease has been made in the carrying capacity, following the rule described in section (3.2).

Figure (1) compares the results when the mechanical control was accomplished distinct over annual periods (favorable, unfavorable, intermediary and throughout the year) (dashed line) with those in which no control was performed (full line). The greatest efficiency was obtained when the mechanical control was carried out throughout the year, as shown (1 – d). Figure (2) describes the evolution of the infected mosquito and human populations, when no control is performed (full line) and when the mechanical control is performed only in the intermediate period (dashed line). In the time interval between 240 and 360 days, there was an increase in the number of disease case when compared to simulations in which there were no mechanical control. However, in the next interval (between 360 and 480 days) this number was smaller. This variation in the number of cases is due to the interference of mechanical control. Reflexes are observed in the period after the intervention, since this type of control eliminates the aquatic stages of the mosquito.




Figure 1: Comparison between the population sizes of the dengue vector with and without mechanical control. The control is held only in (a) the most favorable period, (b) unfavorable period, (c) intermediate period and (d) throughout the year. The case when mechanical control is performed (dashed line) is compared to the case in which no control type was performed (full line).

When the control is performed only in one of the annual periods, an increase in the number of humans infected in period, even with a reduction in the number of infected vectors as

shown in figure 2.

We can realize greater efficiencies through the use of mechanical control throughout the year. However, the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito is not eradicated only using the mechanical control and, consequently, the virus remains in the human population. Hence, the use of insecticide and larvicidal was tested in the following section in an attempt to exterminate the disease. The corresponding results are discussed below.




Figure 2: (a) Population size of mosquitoes infected with and without the mechanical control in the intermediate period. (b) Population of humans infected when no control is performed and when the control is performed in the intermediate period.

#### 4.1.2. Chemical Control

Christophers found that the mosquito in larval and adult stages are killed by chemicals applied during their development [34]. We use the functions in the table (2), in order to evaluate the impact of insecticides and larvicides on the control of dengue epidemic. In addition, to discriminate the impact of different types of chemical control, simulations were performed considering only one type of control at a time.

Figure (3) presents the population size of the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito when an insecticide was applied. As can be seen, the use of the insecticide was not effective in eliminating the mosquito population, and when the application was made throughout the year (Figure 3-d), the impact on the total population was greater. However this control had only 21% efficiency, as calculated according to the equation 8.

In (4) are shown the results for the application of larvicidal. The approximate efficiency is 5%, and the population practically evolves as if no control mechanism was used. This indicates that such control is not feasible in an attempt to eradicate the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito.

Figure (5) shows the effect of chemical control on the population of infected humans. The result is independent on the chemical applications. Even with a decrease in the number of individuals at a given time, this number increases again later leading to a control efficiency of less than 1%. This may indicate that the density of infected mosquitoes does not interfere




Figure 3: Mosquito population sizes after applying the insecticide only in (a) the most favorable, (b) unfavorable, (c) intermediate period, and (d) throughout the year.




Figure 4: Comparison of the population size of mosquitoes after application of larvicidal when the control is held (a) only in the most favorable period, (b) the unfavorable period, (c) the intermediate period and (d) throughout the year.

with the virus permanence into the system, but simply there is a critical population size that guarantees virus support. Accordingly, in countries in an endemic state, most of their districts presented a vector index below the value required for the risk of dengue fever epidemics [29]. The incidence of infection was high even in areas with low numbers of positive dengue mosquito breeding sites [35]. Furthermore, small breeding areas as with mosquito outbreaks in their neighborhoods can ensure the presence of dengue virus in all other districts of a city [37].

The long-term control done by chemical, such as those considered here, should be analysed with caution because its continued use can generate resistant vectors populations [37]. In addition, according to the technical note  $n^{\circ}109/2010$  [38], it is important to consider that there are no insecticides suitable for use in public health, since all these products are used specifi-




Figure 5: Comparison of the population size of humans infected when no control is carried out and when the chemical and mechanical control are performed together throughout the year.

cally for agriculture.

#### 4.1.3. Mechanical and chemical control

Since the use of insecticide and larvicidal separately was inefficient to eliminate the population of dengue adult vectors, we considered a scenario in which the two chemicals were applied simultaneously with the mechanical control throughout the year.

The results are shown in fig. (6) for different control strategies. When chemical control was combined with the mechanical control, the efficiency is 86% (blue curve). In comparison, if only the mechanical control (green curve) was used the efficiency fell to 26%. However, even if both types of control are used, it has not been possible to eliminate dengue from the system, still leaving the human population at risk of contracting the disease.




Figure 6: (Color online) Comparison between the different applications of chemical and mechanical controls. The combined use of chemical mechanical controls resulted in an 86% efficiency (blue curve). In turn, the efficiency fell to 26% if only the mechanical control (green curve) is used.

#### 4.1.4. Death by disease and vaccination campaign

In previous simulations the fact that people can die due disease complications was not considered. Dengue fever, especially in its most severe form, is hemorrhagic, and may have a lethality greater than 20% [32]. Hence, in order to increase the model's realism, we add to the human infected compartment,

eq. (5), the rate of death by illness  $\mu_d$ . Since, the Epidemiological Bulletin of the Ministry of health in the year of 2005 reported a 4% lethality level for dengue, we adapt  $\mu_d = 0.04$  [?].

This new factor has a quantitative impact on disease outbreaks, leading to outbreaks delays at long-term, as can be seen in figure (7).




Figure 7: Evolution in time of the human infected population with and without the disease mortality rate parameter  $\mu_d$ .

Because, the chemical and mechanical controls were unable to eliminate the dengue virus circulation in the human population, we tested the effects of an eventual vaccine against dengue fever on its spreading dynamics. In order, to simulate a vaccination, a fraction, proportional to the vaccination rate,  $\nu = 0.2$ , is withdrawn from the compartment of susceptibles, equation (6), and directly added into the recovered compartment, equation (7).

It is widely known that vaccines licensed by the health agencies are not 100% effective. Due to this fact, the efficiency of the vaccine was also considered and represented by a variable  $\xi$ .  $\xi = 0$  corresponds to an ineffective vaccine that does not develop immunity, whereas  $\xi = 1$  means that the vaccine is fully effective, immunizing all the individuals received the vaccine.

With a vaccination rate fixed at 0.2, and an efficiency maintained at  $\xi = 1$  the time during which the campaign should be maintained in order to eliminate the virus was estimated. Figure (8) shows that a campaign active for three consecutive months eradicated the virus from the population. Furthermore, figure (9) shows the evolution in time of the population infected humans for different values of  $\xi$  for a campaign lasting three months. A vaccine that is 60% efficient does not eliminate the virus and with 70% there is a significant decrease in the number of cases over time. In order to eliminate the dengue fever of the human population, at least 80% efficiency is required. Larger  $\xi$  values, such as 90%, only differ in the time spent to eradicate the virus.

#### 4.2. Human population without exponential growth

As variously mentioned, dengue is present in many countries, including Brazil and other South American countries, where the rate of population growth is not enough to reach levels as




Figure 8: Evolution in time of dengue epidemic for different durations of the vaccination campaign.




Figure 9: Comparison of different rates of efficiency for the vaccine against the dengue virus: (a) describes the efficiency of 90%, (b) 80% efficiency, (c) 70% efficiency, and (d) 60% efficiency.

high as that of the African countries. According to a study by the Brazilian Institute of geography and statistics (IBGE<sup>3</sup>), the Brazilian population is decreasing its growth rate since the 60's, when this rate was 3.04%. The IBGE estimates that this reduction in the growth rate still should last for many years reaching the so-called "zero growth" in 2039 [40]. Hence, we also adjusted the birth rate of the human population to a value closer to the mortality rate. So, in these simulation the birth rate is now fixed in 0.000045.

Considering this new birth rate for the human population and the use of mechanical control throughout the year, an efficiency of 80% was reached. However, as shown in figure (10), the reduction of mosquitoes population does not decrease the infected human population. The behavior remains the same when the control was not used. We note that even with a low number of infected mosquitoes, the dengue virus still remains in the human population. This is possible because, as proven through histological studies, the dengue mosquito feeds on blood several times during a single cycle consequently, a single female

<sup>3</sup>IBGE: Brazilian population ageing in rhythm accelerated

can contaminate several humans in the same cycle [41].




Figure 10: Comparison of mosquito (total and infected) and infected human population when no control is in effect and when it is done using the mechanical control throughout the year with birth rate  $\mu_d = 0.000045$ . (a) The total mosquitoes population, (b) the population of infected mosquitoes, (c) population of infected humans.

Since only the mechanical control was enable to eliminate the dengue fever epidemic, a chemical control was applied in order to check its efficiency taking in to account this new birth rate. The system response to the use of insecticide and larvicial applied in different periods of the year is shown in figure (11).




Figure 11: Evolution in time of mosquito and humans population with the use of chemical control and birth rate  $\mu_d = 0.000045$  for the favorable, unfavorable and intermediate periods: (a) is the total population of mosquitoes, (b) is the population of infected mosquitoes, (c) is population of infected humans.

Now we can notice that the chemical control is not efficient in eradicate the dengue viruses regardless of the period in which it is being applied, eliminating all infected mosquito in the system, but this does not reduce the levels significantly below the susceptible vector's population, as Luz [11]. In the work done by Burattini and et. al, it was possible to evaluate the use of

chemical control, which had a considerable impact to prevent the spread of dengue fever epidemic [12].

But the persistence of *Aedes aegypti* in the system, even if it is not infected, the dengue fever may reappear at any time if any infected person is introduced, and so the dengue epidemic can re-emerge in the human population, since the vector of the virus is still present.

It is known that the vector elimination campaigns by means of chemical agents often occurs in the favorable period of the year. Accordingly, we permed the chemical control in this period to see how the system respond to the emergence of infected people.

Figure (12) shows the result of simulations in which 10 individuals infected with the dengue virus appear at two different periods of the year. If these infected individuals come when chemical control is applied in the favorable period (continuous line), dengue does not reappear and the system remains free of the disease. On the contrary, if they are introduced in the unfavorable period, when there was no chemical control (dashed line), we have periodic outbreaks of the disease are observed. This suggests that a control campaign performed only in a period of the year may not be effective in eliminating dengue totally, since the virus can reappear through the emergence of infected people.




Figure 12: Evolution in time of populations of infected (a) mosquitoes (b) humans for a scenary in with 10 infected humans appear in a system free of viruses. The analyses were made in the favorable and unfavorable periods.

With the rate  $\mu_d = 0.000045$  the human population does not grow exponentially, probably because the elimination of infected persons through the use of chemical control becomes possible. But even with the complete elimination of the population of infected vectors, it has not been possible to eliminate all the susceptible mosquito population in the system as shown in figure (12). The dengue fever may reappear at any time, unless there is a vaccine to protect the population against the virus.

#### 4.2.1. Vaccination campaign

In this simulation the population does not exhibit a high growth rate and the vaccination campaign will be represented

by the withdrawal of a fixed number of susceptible individuals ( $\psi = 200$ ) by adding them into the recovered population. Thus, the vaccination rate is no longer proportional to the number of susceptible individuals, and is described by equations (9) and (10). In this case, a deemed efficiency  $\xi = 80\%$  for the vaccine is considered since it is the immunization threshold acceptable by health agencies.

$$\frac{ds(t)}{dt} = \dots - \psi \xi \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{dr(t)}{dt} = \dots + \psi \xi \quad (10)$$

Figure (13) shows how the system behaves under immunization of 200 people per day for 1 month, with different times of duration of the campaign. It can be observed that, if the campaign remains for only 5 consecutive years, dengue virus re-emerge in populations of mosquitoes and humans and the epidemic reappears. However, if the duration of the campaign is 10 years, it is possible to eradicate the dengue virus from the human population for at least 30 years, in a total simulations time fixed at 50 years. To find out the time required for the permanent eradication of the virus from the system, more simulations considering longer simulation times, as well as the possibility of the emergence of other virus serotypes must be carried out.




Figure 13: The vaccination campaign to immunize 200 individuals per day with different periods of duration.

Several studies show that a vaccine that protects the population from the dengue virus is about to be created. An attenuated tetravalent vaccine virus has been tested in order to characterize the interactions between the different serotypes of dengue virus, concluding that it is possible to create an effective vaccine against all four serotypes of the dengue virus [42]. However, an important point to be considered is whether live, attenuated virus vaccines do not offer risks to human health, since it is not clear that the presence of four types of strains into a single vaccine might increase the risk of developing severe forms of the disease [43].

## 5. Conclusions

Mathematical models are quite useful for observing the dynamics of infectious diseases such as the dengue fever. The creation of mathematical models that simulate the interaction between host-vector generates momentum in the system. Through the compartmental model proposed by Yang and Ferreira was used, it was possible to introduce small changes in order to test new control strategies. Testing the mechanical and chemical control verified the vaccination strategies for the human population.

The mechanical and chemical control were effective in reducing the population of *Aedes aegypti* but assured that the disease has been eliminated from the system. The control that used only the larvicidal had a very low contribution in this reduction, probably because the time interval between the implementation and its impact on the population of adults is very high, making this control to be less appropriate in controlling an epidemic of dengue fever. The mechanical control is much more efficient, which indicates that awareness campaigns are more useful than the use of controls through insecticide and larvicidal.

When considering a human population birth rate equal to  $0.00042/day$ , it was possible to simulate an exponential growth dynamics of this population. However, this consideration does not affect the effectiveness of mechanical control. In this case the application of mechanical control must be constant throughout the year. Once this control is active only in time after his application, it generates an increase in the number of cases in the other two periods, as there is no control being carried out.

With respect to the use of chemical control, there was an interference mosquito population in a yield of 21% for the insecticide and larvicide only 5%. When the chemical and mechanical control were used together, there was an efficiency of 26%. In the latter case, even with greater efficiency, the use of these controls did not influence the dynamics of dengue in the human population, who remained infected. Importantly, the chemicals used in the simulations is fictitious and quite specific. Simulations with insecticide and larvicide with different duration should be conducted so that we can guarantee these results.

When the use of both controls was compared to situation where no control was accomplished, it resulted in an efficiency of 86%, compared to the situation where only the mechanical control was carried out in which the efficiency value fell to 26%, thus showing that the mechanical control is more efficient in the elimination of mosquito population than the chemical control even when applied throughout the year.

When the birth rate was changed to  $0.000045/day$ , it was no longer possible to observe an exponential growth for the human population. In this case the efficiency of mechanical control remained at 80%. In the same way for the previous simulations, there was no significant reflection on human population infected. The chemical control can eliminate the infected population but the outbreaks do not end with a susceptible population. With 10 individuals in the system infected with the dengue virus in the period in which the control is not being applied in the unfavorable time, periodic outbreaks of the disease occur.

The only way to contain the dengue epidemic, regardless of

the form of population growth, is to create a vaccine to immunize the population against the virus. The population grew exponentially during the immunization vaccination campaign for a fraction proportional to a vaccination rate of individuals,  $\nu = 0.2$ . For this campaign to become really effective it is necessary to have a duration of three months and an efficiency rate of at least 80%.

It was possible to note the existence of annual cycles and a strong seasonality of epidemic cycles [22]. A major concern for the safety of live attenuated virus vaccines is the genetic stability. The use of molecular tools is required to ensure quality, safety and authenticity of genetic vaccine [44].

Already when the exponential growth is removed, the campaign is based on daily immunization  $\psi = 200$ , of people, with an efficiency of 80%. In this case it is necessary to maintain the campaign for at least 10 years to ensure at least 30 years of a system free of the disease.

Variable  $\mu_d$  was introduced representing the portion of infected who die from complications related to dengue fever. The addition of this new factor caused the outbreaks of the disease might arise later, but as the simulation time is fixed at 50 years this variable can have a major stake, because it may delay the outbreak so that it will not reappear during the time examined. However, field surveys are still necessary in order to better understand the mechanisms responsible for generating these dynamics. In addition, more simulations must be carried out in order to consider a longer time of observation, while factoring in the possibility of the emergence of other serotypes of the disease and biological ways of eliminating the vector.

Further studies are needed to understand the mechanisms responsible for generating the dynamics of dengue in order to learn how to fight it. The need for a vaccine is essential for the elimination of dengue viruses in human populations, thus it is expected that studies about immunization are satisfactory and achieve a positive result as soon as possible.

## References

- [1] W. H. Hamer, Epidemic disease in England - the evidence of variability and of persistency of type, *Lancet*, i, (1906), 733-739.
- [2] E. B. Wilson, J. Woecester, The law of mass action in epidemiology, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, 31 (1945) 24-34 (part I) 109-116 (part II).
- [3] N. Bacaër, The prevention of malaria - R. Ross, *A short History of Mathematical Population Dynamics*, Springer London, London, 2011.
- [4] G. Macdonald, *The Epidemiology and Control of Malaria*, London: Oxford University Press, 1957.
- [5] M. Ghosh, p. Chandra, P. Sinha, J. B. Shukla, Modelling the spread of bacterial disease: effect of service providers from an environmentally degraded region, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 160 (2005) 615647.
- [6] S. Singh, J. B. Shukla, P. Chandra, Modelling and analysis of the spread of malaria: Environmental and ecological effects, *J. Bio. Syst.* 13(1) (2005) 111.
- [7] N. A. Maida, H. M. Yang, Spatial spreading of West Nile Virus described by traveling waves, *Jour. of Theor. Biol.*, 258 (2009) 403417.
- [8] D. J. Gubler, The global emergence/resurgence of arboviral disease as public health problems, *Arc. Med.* 33 (4) (2002), 330-342.
- [9] I. Kurane, T. Takasaki, Dengue fever and dengue hemorrhagic fever: challenges of controlling an enemy still at large, *Rev. Med. Virol.*, 11 (5) (2001) 301-311.
- [10] M. B. Arduino, Assessment of *Aedes aegypti* pupal productivity during the dengue vector control program in a coastal urban centre of São Paulo state, Brazil, *Jour. of Insec.*, 2014 (2014) 1-9.
- [11] P. M. Luz, C. T. Codeço, J. Medlock, C. J. Struchiner, D. Valle, A. P. Galvani, Profile of insecticide interventions on the abundance and resistance profile of *Aedes aegypti*, *Epidemiol. Infect.*, 137 (8) (2009) 1203-1215.
- [12] M. N. Burattini, M. Chen, A. Chow, F. A. Coutinho, K. T. Goh, L. F. Lopez, S. Ma, E. Massad, Modelling the control strategies against dengue in Singapore, *Epidemiol. Infect.*, 136 (3) (2008) 309-319.
- [13] A. J. Johnson, J. T. Roehring, New mouse model for dengue virus vaccine testing, *The Jour. of Virol.*, 73 (1) (1999) 783-786.
- [14] M. A. Johansson, J. Hombach, D. A. Cummings, Models of the impact of dengue vaccines: a review of current research and potential approaches, *Vaccine*, 29 (35) (2011) 5860-5868.
- [15] J. G. Julander, S. T. Perry, S. Shresta, Important advances in the field of anti-dengue virus research, *Antivir. Chem. Chemother*, 21 (3) (2011) 105-116.
- [16] H. M. Yang, C. P. Ferreira, Assessing the effects of the vector control on dengue transmission, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 198 (2008) 401-413.
- [17] E. A. Newton, P. Reiter, A model of the transmission of dengue fever with an evolution of the impact of ultra-low volume (ULV) insecticide applications on dengue epidemics. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 47 (6) (1992) 709-720.
- [18] M. Chan, M. A. Johansson, The incubation periods of dengue viruses, *Plos One*, 7 (11) (2012) pp. E30972.
- [19] A. Korobeinikov, Global properties of SIR and SEIR epidemic models with multiple parallel interactions stages, *Bull. Math. Bio.*, 71 (2009) 75-83.
- [20] L. Esteva, C. Vargas, Analysis of a dengue disease transmission model, *Math. Biosci.*, 150 (1998) 131-151.
- [21] M. Andraud, N. Hens, C. Marais, P. Beutels, Dynamic epidemiological models for dengue transmission: a systematic review of structural approaches, *Plos One*, 7 (11) (2012) E49085.
- [22] K. Nakhapakorn, N. K. Tripathi, An information value-based analysis of physical and climatic factors affecting dengue fever and dengue hemorrhagic fever incidence, *Inter. Jour. of Hea. Geo.*, 4 (2005) 1-13.
- [23] J. Setzer, *Climate and Ecological Atlas of the State of São Paulo, Comissão Interestadual da Bacia do Paraná-Uruguai em Colaboração com as Centrais Elétricas de SP (CESP), São Paulo, Brazil, 1966.*
- [24] D. J. Gubler, Dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever: its history and resurgence as a global health problem. In: D. J. Gubler, G. Kuno (Ed.) *Dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever*. New York: CAB International, 1997.
- [25] W. Köppen, *Climatology con un studio de los climas de la tierra*. Mexico: Fondo de Cultura Econmica, 1948.
- [26] E. B. Beserra et al., *Biologia e exigências térmicas de Aedes aegypti (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae) provenientes de quatro regiões bioclimáticas da Paraíba, Neotropical Entomology*, 35 (6) (2006) 853-860.
- [27] N. J. Gotelli, *Ecologia*. 4. ed. Londrina: Editora Planta, 2009.
- [28] World Health Organization (WHO), *Global strategy for dengue prevention and control*, 2012,
- [29] F. P. Câmara et al., Regional and dynamics characteristics of dengue in Brazil: a retrospective study. *Rev. da Soc. Bras. de Med. Trop.* 40(2) (2007) 192-196.
- [30] J. A. Koopman et al. Determinants and predictors of dengue infection in Mexico. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 133 (11) (1999) 1168-1178.
- [31] World Health Organization (WHO), *Dengue hemorrhagic fever: diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control*, 2nd ed. Geneva 1997.
- [32] L. F. Bricks, *Vacinas para a dengue: perspectivas*. *Pediatria*, 26 (4) (2004) 268-281.
- [33] Population Reference Bureau, *World Population Data Sheet: The World at 7 Billion*, 2011,
- [34] S. R. Christophers, *Aedes aegypti (L.) the yellow fever mosquito*. New York: Cambridge University Press. 1960.
- [35] M. G. Teixeira et al., Dynamics of dengue virus circulation: a silent epidemic in a complex urban area, *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, 7 (9) (2002) 757-762.
- [36] L. C. de C. Medeiros et al. Modeling the Dynamic Transmission of Dengue Fever: Investigating Disease Persistence. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 5 (1) (2011) E942.
- [37] I. A. Braga, D. Valle, *Aedes aegypti*: inseticidas, mecanismos de ação e resistência. *Epidemiologia e Serviços de Saúde*, 16 (4) (2007) 279-293.
- [38] Ministério da Saúde. O uso racional de inseticidas no controle do *Aedes aegypti* e sua utilização oportuna em áreas com transmissão de dengue.

Nota Técnica Nº 109/2010 CGPNCD/DEVEP/SVS/MS Brasília, 2010.

- [39] Ministério da Saúde. Guia de Vigilância Epidemiológica. 6nd ed. Brasília, 2005.
- [40] Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística IBGE. Dados sobre População do Brasil, PNAD (Pesquisa Nacional por Amostra de Domicílios), 2011.
- [41] A. C. Morrison, A. Getis, M. Santiago, Exploratory space-time analysis of reported dengue cases during an outbreak in Florida, Puerto Rico, 1991-1992. *Amer. Jour. of Trop. Med. and Hyg.*, 57 (1997) 119-125.
- [42] K. B. Anderson et al. Interference and facilitation between dengue serotypes in a tetravalent live dengue virus vaccine candidate. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 204 (3) (2011) 442-450.
- [43] L. J. da Silva, R. Richtmann, Vaccines under development: group B streptococcus, herpes-zoster, HIV, malaria and dengue. *J. Pediatr.* 82 (3) (2006) 115-124.
- [44] H. S. Rodrigues, M. T. Monteiro, D. F. Torres, Vaccination models and optimal control strategies to dengue, *Math. Biosci.*, 247 (2014) 1-12.