

Global strong solution to the two-dimensional density-dependent nematic liquid crystal flows with vacuum

Lin Li* Qiao Liu† Xin Zhong‡

Abstract

We are concerned with the Cauchy problem of the two-dimensional (2D) nonhomogeneous incompressible nematic liquid crystal flows on the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 with vacuum as far field density. It is proved that the 2D nonhomogeneous incompressible nematic liquid crystal flows admits a unique global strong solution provided the initial data density and the gradient of orientation decay not too slow at infinity, and the basic energy $\|\sqrt{\rho_0}\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{d}_0\|_{L^2}^2$ is small. In particular, the initial density may contain vacuum states and even have compact support. Moreover, the large time behavior of the solution is also investigated.

Keywords: nonhomogeneous incompressible nematic liquid crystal flow; strong solution; vacuum.
Math Subject Classification: 76A15; 35B65; 35Q35

1 Introduction

The motion of a two-dimensional nonhomogeneous incompressible nematic liquid crystal flow is governed by the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = -\lambda \operatorname{div}(\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{d} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{d} = \gamma(\Delta \mathbf{d} + |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad |\mathbf{d}| = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here, the unknown functions $\rho = \rho(x, t)$, $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)(x, t)$, and $P = P(x, t)$ denote the density, velocity, and pressure of the fluid, respectively. $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, d_3)(x, t)$ is the unknown (averaged) macroscopic/continuum molecule orientation of the nematic liquid crystal flow. The positive constants μ , λ and γ represent viscosity, the competition between kinetic energy and potential energy, and γ is the microscopic elastic relaxation time for the molecular orientation field, respectively. The notation $\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}$ denotes the 2×2 matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is given by $\partial_i \mathbf{d} \cdot \partial_j \mathbf{d}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq 2$).

We consider the Cauchy problem for (1.1) with (ρ, \mathbf{u}) vanishing at infinity (in some weak sense). For given initial data ρ_0 , \mathbf{u}_0 , and \mathbf{d}_0 , we require that

$$\rho(x, 0) = \rho_0(x), \quad \rho \mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0(x), \quad \mathbf{d}(x, 0) = \mathbf{d}_0(x), \quad |\mathbf{d}_0(x)| = 1, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1.2)$$

The above system (1.1)–(1.2) is a macroscopic continuum description of the evolution for the nematic liquid crystals. It is a simplified version of the Ericksen-Leslie model [4, 9], but it still retains most important mathematical structures as well as most of the essential difficulties of the

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Technology and Business University, Chongqing 400067, People's Republic of China (lilin420@gmail.com)

†Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, People's Republic of China (liuqiao2005@163.com).

‡Corresponding author. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, People's Republic of China (xzhong1014@amss.ac.cn).

original Ericksen-Leslie model. A brief account of the Ericksen-Leslie theory on nematic liquid crystal flows and the derivations of several approximate systems can be found in the appendix of [17]. For more details on the hydrodynamic continuum theory of liquid crystals, we refer the readers to [28]. Mathematically, the system (1.1)–(1.2) is a coupling between the nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (see e.g., [15, 20, 25, 30]) and the transported heat flows of harmonic map (see e.g., [8, 31]), and thus, its mathematical analysis is full of challenges.

There is a huge literature on the homogeneous incompressible nematic liquid crystal flows, where namely ρ is constant in (1.1), refer to [6, 16–19, 22, 32] and references therein. The important progress on the global existence of strong or weak solutions of nonhomogeneous incompressible nematic liquid crystal flows in two dimension has been made recently by some authors. For the initial density away from vacuum, Li [12] established the global existence of strong and weak solutions to the system (1.1)–(1.2) provided that the initial orientation $\mathbf{d}_0 = (d_{01}, d_{02}, d_{03})$ satisfies a geometric condition

$$d_{03} \geq \delta_0 \text{ for some positive } \delta_0 > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

In the presence of vacuum, if the initial data is small (in some sense) and satisfies the following compatibility conditions

$$-\mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_0 + \nabla P_0 + \lambda \operatorname{div}(\nabla \mathbf{d}_0 \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}_0) = \rho_0^{\frac{1}{2}} g_0 \quad (1.4)$$

in a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, and $(P_0, g_0) \in H^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, Wen-Ding [32] obtained the global existence and uniqueness of the strong solutions to the system (1.1)–(1.2), see also [5, 13] for related works. It should be emphasized that the possible appearance of vacuum is one of the main difficulties, which indeed leads to the singular behaviors of solutions in the presence of vacuum, such as the finite time blow-up of smooth solutions [7].

It is not known in general about the existence of global strong solutions to the problem (1.1)–(1.2) in two-dimension without the geometric condition (1.3) or the compatibility condition (1.4) imposed on the initial data. This problem is rather interesting and hard to investigate. Indeed, it should be noted that the previous studies on the heat flow of a harmonic map [1] indicate that the strong solution of a harmonic map can be blow-up in finite time. In our case, since the system (1.1) contains the heat flow of a harmonic map as a subsystem, we cannot expect that (1.1) have a global strong solution with general initial data. This makes the analysis rather delicate and difficult.

It should be noticed that when \mathbf{d} is a constant vector and $|\mathbf{d}| = 1$, the system (1.1) reduces to the nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, which have been discussed in numerous studies [20, 24] and so on. It is worth mentioning that Lü-Shi-Zhong [24] recently established the global existence of strong solutions to the 2D Cauchy problem of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 with vacuum as far field density. However, since the system (1.1) contains the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations as a subsystem, one cannot expect, in general, any better results than those for the Navier-Stokes equations. It is a natural and interesting problem to investigate the global existence of strong solutions to the 2D Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) with vacuum as far field density. In fact, this is the main aim of the present paper.

Before stating the main results, we first explain the notations and conventions used throughout this paper. For $R > 0$, set

$$B_R \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x| < R\}, \quad \int \cdot dx \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \cdot dx.$$

Moreover, for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $k \geq 1$, the standard Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces are defined as follows:

$$L^r = L^r(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad W^{k,r} = W^{k,r}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad H^k = W^{k,2}.$$

Now we define precisely what we mean by strong solutions.

Definition 1.1 *If all derivatives involved in (1.1) for $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ are regular distributions, and equations (1.1) hold almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)$, then $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ is called a strong solution to (1.1).*

Without loss of generality, we assume that the initial density ρ_0 satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_0 dx = 1, \quad (1.5)$$

which implies that there exists a positive constant N_0 such that

$$\int_{B_{N_0}} \rho_0 dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_0 dx = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $B_R \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x| < R\}$ for all $R > 0$. Furthermore, since the concrete values of μ , λ and γ do not play a special role in our discussion, in what follows, we assume

$$\mu = \lambda = \gamma = 1$$

throughout this paper.

Now, we state our main result as follows:

Theorem 1.1 *For constants $q > 2$, $a > 1$, assume that the initial data $(\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{d}_0)$ satisfies (1.5), (1.6), and*

$$\begin{cases} \rho_0 \geq 0, \rho_0 \bar{x}^a \in L^1 \cap H^1 \cap W^{1,q}, \sqrt{\rho_0} \mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2, \nabla \mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_0 = 0, \mathbf{d}_0 \in L^2, \nabla \mathbf{d}_0 \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}} \in L^2, \nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_0 \in L^2, |\mathbf{d}_0| = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\bar{x} \triangleq (e + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2(e + |x|^2).$$

Then there is a positive constant ε_0 depending only on $\|\rho_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}, \mu, \lambda, \gamma$ such that if

$$C_0 \triangleq \int \rho_0 |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 dx + \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}_0|^2 dx < \varepsilon_0, \quad (1.8)$$

then the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) has a unique global strong solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ satisfying that for any $0 < T < \infty$,

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \rho \in C([0, T]; L^1 \cap H^1 \cap W^{1,q}), \\ \rho \bar{x}^a \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1 \cap H^1 \cap W^{1,q}), \\ \sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{u}, \sqrt{t} \nabla \mathbf{u}, \sqrt{t} \sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}, \sqrt{t} \nabla P, t \nabla P, \sqrt{t} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, t \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2), \\ \nabla \mathbf{d}, \nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}, \nabla^2 \mathbf{d}, \sqrt{t} \nabla^2 \mathbf{d}, \sqrt{t} \nabla \mathbf{d}_t, \sqrt{t} \nabla^3 \mathbf{d}, t \nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2), \\ \nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^2(0, T; H^1) \cap L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(0, T; W^{1,q}), \\ \nabla P \in L^2(0, T; L^2) \cap L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(0, T; L^q), \\ \nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \in L^2(0, T; H^1), \quad \nabla \mathbf{d}_t, \nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)), \\ \sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t, \sqrt{t} \nabla \mathbf{u}_t, \sqrt{t} \nabla \mathbf{d}_t, \sqrt{t} \nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)), \\ \sqrt{t} \nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^2(0, T; W^{1,q}), \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{B_{N_1}} \rho(x, t) dx \geq \frac{1}{4} \quad (1.10)$$

for some positive constant N_1 depending only on $\|\sqrt{\rho_0} \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2}, N_0$, and T . Moreover, the solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ has the following temporal decay rates, i.e., for all $t \geq 1$,

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla P(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}(\cdot, t) + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq Ct^{-1}, \quad (1.11)$$

where C depends only on $C_0, \|\rho_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$, and $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2}$.

A few remarks are in order:

Remark 1.1 *As stated above, it seems more involved to show the global existence of strong solutions with general initial data. This is the main reason for us to add an additional smallness condition (1.8). Although it has small energy, its oscillations can be arbitrarily large.*

Remark 1.2 *Compared with [5, 13, 32], there is no need to impose the additional compatibility condition (1.4) to obtain the global existence of strong solutions.*

Remark 1.3 *Our Theorem 1.1 holds for the initial density being allowed to have vacuum which is in sharp contrast to [12] where the initial density is absence of vacuum. Moreover, the geometric condition (1.3) on the initial orientation is also needed in [12] (see also [21]).*

Remark 1.4 *It should be noted that our large time decay rates of the velocity and the pressure in (1.11) are the same as those of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations [24], hence the orientation has no influence on the large time behaviors of the velocity and the pressure.*

Remark 1.5 *It follows from (1.9) and Aubin-Lions lemma, we see that the velocity \mathbf{u} is continuous with respect to t as long as $t > 0$. However, we can not obtain the continuity of the velocity at the initial time due to the presence of vacuum ($\mathbf{u}_0(x) = \mathbf{0}$ if $\rho_0(x) = 0$). Nevertheless, we can get the continuity of $\rho\mathbf{u}$ at the initial time.*

Indeed, by (1.9), we immediately have

$$\rho\mathbf{u} = \sqrt{\rho} \cdot \sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u} \in L_t^\infty L_x^2, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\rho\nabla\mathbf{u} \in L_t^\infty L_x^2, \quad (1.13)$$

$$\nabla\rho\bar{x}^a \in L_t^\infty L_x^q \text{ for } q > 2. \quad (1.14)$$

By (2.1) in the next section and (1.9), we derive that

$$\mathbf{u}\bar{x}^{-a} \in L_t^\infty L_x^p \text{ for any } p > 2,$$

which combined with (1.14) and Hölder's inequality leads to

$$\nabla\rho \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla\rho\bar{x}^a \cdot \mathbf{u}\bar{x}^{-a} \in L_t^\infty L_x^2. \quad (1.15)$$

Thus, we infer from (1.13) and (1.15) that

$$\nabla(\rho\mathbf{u}) = \rho\nabla\mathbf{u} + \nabla\rho \cdot \mathbf{u} \in L_t^\infty L_x^2,$$

which along with (1.12) yields

$$\rho\mathbf{u} \in L_t^\infty H_x^1. \quad (1.16)$$

On the other hand, we deduce from (2.1), (1.9), and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho\mathbf{u})_t &= \rho_t\mathbf{u} + \rho\mathbf{u}_t \\ &= \nabla\rho|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \rho\mathbf{u}_t \\ &= \nabla\rho\bar{x}^a \cdot |\mathbf{u}|^2\bar{x}^{-a} + \sqrt{\rho} \cdot \sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t \\ &= \nabla\rho\bar{x}^a \cdot (|\mathbf{u}|\bar{x}^{-\frac{a}{2}})^2 + \sqrt{\rho} \cdot \sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t \in L_{t,x}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (1.16) and Aubin-Lions lemma gives the continuity of $\rho\mathbf{u}$ at the initial time.

We now make some comments on the analysis of the present paper. Note that for initial data satisfying (1.7), Liu-Liu-Tan-Zhong [21] established the local existence and uniqueness of strong solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) (see Lemma 2.1). Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.1 lies in some global a priori estimates on the strong solutions to the system (1.1)–(1.2) in suitable higher norms. It should be pointed out that the crucial techniques of proofs in [3, 14] cannot be adapted to

the situation treated here, since the standard Sobolev embedding inequality is critical in \mathbb{R}^2 . Moreover, it seems difficult to bound the $L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -norm of \mathbf{u} just in terms of $\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ and $\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$. To this end, we try to adapt some basic ideas used in [24, 25], where the authors investigated the global existence of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of the 2D nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier–Stokes and MHD equations, respectively. However, compared with [24, 25], for the incompressible nematic liquid crystal flows treated here, the strong coupling terms and strong nonlinear terms, such as $\operatorname{div}(\nabla\mathbf{d} \odot \nabla\mathbf{d})$, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d}$ and $|\nabla\mathbf{d}|^2\mathbf{d}$, will bring out some new difficulties.

To overcome these difficulties mentioned above, some new ideas are needed. To deal with the difficulty caused by the lack of the Sobolev inequality, we observe that, in the momentum equations (1.2), the velocity \mathbf{u} is always accompanied by ρ . Motivated by [11, 24], by introducing a weighted function to the density, as well as a Hardy-type inequality (see Lemma 2.3), the $\|\rho^\eta\mathbf{u}\|_{L^r}$ ($r \geq 2, \eta > 0$) is controlled in terms of $\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}$ (see Lemma 2.5). Then we try to obtain the estimates on the $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$ -norm of $\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}$. On the one hand, motivated by [24], we use material derivatives $\dot{\mathbf{u}} \triangleq \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u}$ instead of the usual \mathbf{u}_t , and use some facts on Hardy and BMO spaces (see Lemma 2.6) to bound the key term $\int |P| |\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2 dx$ (see the estimates of I_2 of (3.6)). On the other hand, the usual $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T))$ -norm of $\nabla\mathbf{d}_t$ cannot be directly estimated due to the strong coupled term $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d}$ and the strong nonlinear term $|\nabla\mathbf{d}|^2\mathbf{d}$. Motivated by [26], multiplying (3.7) by $\Delta\nabla\mathbf{d}$ instead of the usual $\nabla\mathbf{d}_t$, and the nonlinear terms $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d}$ and $|\nabla\mathbf{d}|^2\mathbf{d}$ can be controlled in terms of $\nabla^2\mathbf{d}$ and $\nabla\mathbf{u}$ (see (3.13)), and we find that the key point to obtain the estimate on the $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ -norm of $\nabla\mathbf{u}$ and $\nabla^2\mathbf{d}$ is to bound $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ -norm of $\nabla^2\mathbf{d}$ (see (3.15)). Combining the basic energy inequalities (see (3.4) and (3.5)) with Ladyzhenskaya’s inequality, we can successfully obtain the a priori bound on the L^2 -norm of $\nabla^2\mathbf{d}$ in space and time provided that ε_0 is small (see (3.16) and (3.17)). Next, using the structure of the 2D heat flows of harmonic maps, we multiply (3.7) by $\nabla\mathbf{d}\Delta|\nabla\mathbf{d}|^2$ and thus obtain some useful a priori estimates on $\|\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|\|\Delta\nabla\mathbf{d}\|\|_{L^2}$ (see (3.23)), which are crucial in deriving the time-independent estimates on both the $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$ -norm of $t^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ and the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T))$ -norm of $t^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ (see (3.19)). By the similar arguments as [24], we get the bounds of $L^\infty(0, T; L^1)$ -norm of spatial weighted estimates of the density (see (3.30)). This together with Lemma 2.5 and some careful analysis indicates the desired $L^1(0, T; L^\infty)$ bound of the gradient of the velocity $\nabla\mathbf{u}$ (see (3.36)), which in particular implies the bound on the $L^\infty(0, T; L^q)$ -norm ($q > 2$) of the gradient of the density. Moreover, some useful spatial weighted estimates on $\rho, \nabla\mathbf{d}, \nabla^2\mathbf{d}$ are derived (see Lemma 3.5). With the a priori estimates stated above in hand, we can estimate the higher order derivatives of the solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ (see (3.42)) by using the same arguments as those in [24, 26] to obtain the desired results.

The remaining parts of the present paper are organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall give some elementary facts and inequalities which will be needed in later analysis. In Section 3, we give some a priori estimates which are needed to obtain the global existence of strong solutions. Section 4 is devoted to proving Theorem 1.1.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall give some known results and elementary inequalities which will be used frequently later.

We start with the local existence of strong solutions whose proof can be found in [21, Theorem 3.1].

Lemma 2.1 *Assume that $(\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{d}_0)$ satisfies (1.5)–(1.7). Then there exist a small positive time $T > 0$ and a unique strong solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ to the Cauchy problem of system (1.1)–(1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)$ satisfying (1.9) and (1.10).*

Next, the following Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (see [27]) will be used later.

Lemma 2.2 (Gagliardo–Nirenberg) *For $q \in [2, \infty), r \in (2, \infty)$, and $s \in (1, \infty)$, there exists some generic constant $C > 0$ which may depend on q, r , and s such that for $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and*

$g \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap D^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)}^q &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{q-2}, \\ \|g\|_{C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})} &\leq C \|g\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{s(r-2)/(2r+s(r-2))} \|\nabla g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{2r/(2r+s(r-2))}. \end{aligned}$$

The following weighted L^p -bounds for elements of the Hilbert space $\tilde{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \triangleq \{v \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \nabla v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\}$ can be found in Theorem B.1 in [20].

Lemma 2.3 *For $m \in [2, \infty)$ and $\theta \in (\frac{1+m}{2}, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant C such that for any $v \in \tilde{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|v|^m}{e + |x|^2} (\ln(e + |x|^2))^{-\theta} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq C \|v\|_{L^2(B_1)} + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

A useful consequence of Lemma 2.3 is the following weighted bounds for elements of $\tilde{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, which have been proved in [10, 11, 15]. It will play a crucial role in our following analysis.

Lemma 2.4 *Let \bar{x} be as in Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a non-negative function such that*

$$\int_{B_{N_1}} \rho dx \geq M_1, \quad \|\rho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq M_2,$$

for positive constants M_1, M_2 , and $N_1 \geq 1$ with $B_{N_1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$, there is a positive constant C depending only on $\varepsilon, \eta, M_1, M_2, N_1$, and η_0 such that every $v \in \tilde{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies

$$\|v \bar{x}^{-\eta}\|_{L^{\frac{2+\varepsilon}{\tilde{\eta}}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad (2.1)$$

with $\tilde{\eta} = \min\{1, \eta\}$.

Lemma 2.5 *Let the assumptions in Lemma 2.4 hold. Suppose in addition that $\rho \bar{x}^a \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $a > 1$. Then for any $\eta \in (0, 1]$ and any $s \geq 2$, there is a constant C depending only on $M_1, N_1, a, \eta, s, \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$, and $\|\rho \bar{x}^a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ such that*

$$\|\rho^\eta v\|_{L^{\frac{s}{\tilde{\eta}}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. It follows from Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho^\eta v\|_{L^{\frac{s}{\tilde{\eta}}}} &\leq C \|\rho^\eta \bar{x}^{\frac{3\eta a}{4s}}\|_{L^{\frac{4s}{3\tilde{\eta}}}} \|v \bar{x}^{-\frac{3\eta a}{4s}}\|_{L^{\frac{4s}{\tilde{\eta}}}} \\ &\leq C \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{(4s-3)\eta}{4s}} \|\rho \bar{x}^a\|_{L^1}^{\frac{3\eta}{4s}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} v\|_{L^2} + \|\rho v\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.2). \square

Finally, let $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ stand for the usual Hardy and BMO spaces (see [29, Chapter IV]). Then the following well-known facts play a key role in the proof of Lemma 3.1 in the next section.

Lemma 2.6 (a) *There is a positive constant C such that*

$$\|\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq C \|\mathbf{E}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{L^2}$$

for all $\mathbf{E} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\mathbf{B} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying

$$\text{div } \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad \nabla^\perp \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

(b) *There is a positive constant C such that*

$$\|v\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $v \in \tilde{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. (a) For the detailed proof, see [2, Theorem II.1].

(b) It follows from the Poincaré inequality that for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| v(x) - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B v(y) dy \right| dx \leq C \left(\int_B |\nabla v|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

which directly gives (2.3). \square

3 A priori estimates

In this section, we shall establish some necessary a priori estimates for strong solutions $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ to the Cauchy problem of system (1.1)–(1.2) to extend the local strong solutions guaranteed by Lemma 2.1. Thus, let $T > 0$ be a fixed time and $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ be the strong solution to system (1.1)–(1.2) on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T]$ with initial data $(\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{d}_0)$ satisfying (1.5)–(1.8). In what follows, the convention of summation over repeated indices is used.

3.1 Lower order estimates

Lemma 3.1 *If ε_0 in (1.8) depending only on $\|\rho_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$, μ, λ, γ is sufficiently small, then there exists a positive constant C depending only on $C_0, \|\rho_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$, $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2}$, and $\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_0\|_{L^2}$ such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\rho\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\dot{\mathbf{u}} \triangleq \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T t (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. First, since $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$, it is easy to obtain from the equation (1.1)₁ (see [20]) that

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{L^p} = \|\rho_0\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for all } p \in [1, \infty] \text{ and } t \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Applying standard energy estimate to (1.1) (see [13, lemma 3.1]) gives that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C_0, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C_0 + C \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 dt. \quad (3.5)$$

Now, motivated by [24], multiplying (1.1)₂ by $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ and then integrating the resulting equality over \mathbb{R}^2 lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \int \rho |\dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx &= \int \Delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx - \int \nabla P \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx - \int \operatorname{div}(\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx \\ &\triangleq I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

It follows from integration by parts and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int \Delta \mathbf{u} \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int \partial_i u_j \partial_i (u_k \partial_k u_j) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^3 \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from integration by parts and (1.1)₄ that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= - \int \nabla P \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) dx \\
&= \int P \partial_j u_i \partial_i u_j dx \\
&\leq C \|P\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\partial_j u_i \partial_i u_j\|_{\mathcal{H}^1},
\end{aligned}$$

where one has used the duality of \mathcal{H}^1 space and BMO one (see [29, Chapter IV]) in the last inequality. Since $\text{div}(\partial_j \mathbf{u}) = \partial_j \text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$ and $\nabla^\perp \cdot (\nabla u_j) = 0$, Lemma 2.6 yields

$$|I_2| = \left| \int P \partial_j u_i \partial_i u_j dx \right| \leq C \|\nabla P\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

To bound the term I_3 , we first apply ∇ on (1.1)₃ to get

$$\nabla \mathbf{d}_t - \Delta \nabla \mathbf{d} = -\nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}) + \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}), \quad (3.7)$$

which combined with Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_t dx - \int \text{div}(\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) dx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx - \int (\nabla \mathbf{d}_t \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx - \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}_t) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx \\
&\quad - \int \text{div}(\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) dx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx - \int [(\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d} - \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}) + \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d})) \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx \\
&\quad - \int [\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot (\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d} - \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}) + \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}))] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx - \int \text{div}(\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) dx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx - \int [(\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d} - \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d})) \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx \\
&\quad + \int u_k \partial_k \partial_i d_\ell \partial_j d_\ell \partial_j u_i dx - \int [\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot (\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d} - \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}))] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx \\
&\quad + \int \partial_i d_\ell u_k \partial_k \partial_j d_\ell \partial_j u_i dx + \int \partial_i d_\ell \partial_j d_\ell \partial_j (u_k \partial_k u_i) dx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx - \int [(\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d} - \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d})) \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx \\
&\quad - \int [\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot (\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d} - \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}))] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx + \int \partial_i d_\ell \partial_j d_\ell \partial_j u_k \partial_k u_i dx \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx + C \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^4 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^3 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^6 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^3}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^3 \quad (\text{with } \varepsilon > 0) \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^3 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^6 + C \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^{\frac{15}{4}} \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^3 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^6
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4 \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + CC_0 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (3.4). Inserting the above estimates of I_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) into (3.6), and then using (3.5), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx \right) + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + CC_0 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4 + C (\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla P\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, since $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ satisfies the following Stokes system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = -\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}} - \operatorname{div}(\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}), & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathbf{u}(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}, & |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

applying the standard L^p -estimate to the above system (see [30]) gives that for any $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla P\|_{L^p} \leq C(p) (\|\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^p} + \|\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\Delta \mathbf{d}\|_{L^p}) \leq C(p) (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^p} + \|\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^p}), \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used the identity $\operatorname{div}(\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) = \nabla \mathbf{d} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{d}$ and (3.3). In particular, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla P\|_{L^2} &\leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} + \|\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}) \\
&\leq C \left(\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

which combined with (3.8) and Young's inequality leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} B(t) + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4 + CC_0 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$B(t) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx$$

satisfies

$$\frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 - C_1 C_0 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq B(t) \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + CC_0 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \tag{3.12}$$

owing to the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx \right| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + CC_0 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, multiplying (3.7) by $-\nabla \Delta \mathbf{d}$ and then integrating by parts over \mathbb{R}^2 , it follows from Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, (3.4), and (3.9) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \Delta \mathbf{d} dx - \int \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}) \nabla \Delta \mathbf{d} dx \\
&= \int (\nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \Delta \mathbf{d} dx + \int u_i \partial_i \partial_j d_\ell \partial_i \partial_k d_\ell dx - \int \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}) \nabla \Delta \mathbf{d} dx \\
&= \int (\nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \Delta \mathbf{d} dx - \int \partial_k u_i \partial_i \partial_j d_\ell \partial_i \partial_k d_\ell dx - \int \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}) \cdot \nabla \Delta \mathbf{d} dx \\
&\leq C(\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^3}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^3 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}) \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^3 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^6 + C\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^3}^3 + C\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^3 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^6 + C\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + C\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^3 \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^3 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^6 \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4 \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + CC_0 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4 \\
&\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + CC_0 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (3.13) by $2(C_1 C_0 + 1)$, then adding the resulting inequality with (3.11) and choosing ε suitably small, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} (B(t) + (C_1 C_0 + 1) \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2). \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

This along with (3.4), (3.12), and Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C + C \exp \left[C \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right]. \tag{3.15}$$

It follows from (3.5), (3.4), and Ladyzhenskaya's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 dt &\leq CC_0 + C \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 dt \\
&\leq CC_0 + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
&\leq CC_0 + CC_0 \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 dt, \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C \tag{3.17}$$

provided ε_0 in (1.8) is small. In particular,

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 dt \leq CC_0 + CC_0 \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C,$$

which implies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \tag{3.18}$$

Combining (3.15) with (3.17), we derive (3.1).

Finally, multiplying (3.14) by t , and then applying Gronwall's inequality to the resulting inequality, it follows from (3.17) and (3.12) gives (3.2). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Next, motivated by [24, 26], we have the following estimates on the material derivatives of the velocity which are important for the higher order estimates of both the density and the velocity.

Lemma 3.2 *There exists a positive constant C depending only on C_0 , $\|\rho_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$, $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2}$, and $\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_0\|_{L^2}$ such that for $i = 1, 2$*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^i (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C, \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t^i (\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla P\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. First, operating $\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla$ to (1.1) $_2^j$ ($j = 1, 2$) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(\rho \dot{u}_j) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \dot{u}_j) - \Delta \dot{u}_j \\ &= \left(-\partial_i(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla u_j) - \operatorname{div}(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \partial_i u_j) - \partial_t \partial_i(\partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_i(\partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d}) \right) - \partial_t \partial_j P - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_j P. \end{aligned}$$

Now, multiplying the above equality by \dot{u}_j , and then integrating by parts over \mathbb{R}^2 , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |\dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx + \int |\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx &= - \int [\partial_i(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla u_j) + \operatorname{div}(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \partial_i u_j)] \dot{u}_j dx \\ &\quad - \int (\partial_t \partial_j P + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_j P) \dot{u}_j dx - \int \partial_t \partial_i(\partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d}) \dot{u}_j dx \\ &\quad - \int \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_i(\partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d}) \dot{u}_j dx \\ &\triangleq L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

By the same arguments as [24, Lemma 3.3], one has

$$L_1 + L_2 \leq \frac{d}{dt} \int P \partial_j u_i \partial_i u_j dx + C(\|P\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4) + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For terms L_3 and L_4 , we infer from (1.1) $_3$ and (1.1) $_4$ that

$$\begin{aligned} L_3 + L_4 &= \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d} dx + \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d} dx - \int u_k \partial_k \partial_i(\partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d}) \dot{u}_j dx \\ &= \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_j \mathbf{d} \partial_i(\Delta \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}) dx + \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j(\Delta \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}) dx \\ &\quad - \int u_k \partial_k \partial_i(\partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d}) \dot{u}_j dx \\ &= \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_j \mathbf{d} (\Delta \partial_i \mathbf{d} - \partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + \partial_i(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d})) dx - \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_j \mathbf{d} u_k \partial_k \partial_i \mathbf{d} dx \\ &\quad + \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_i \mathbf{d} (\Delta \partial_j \mathbf{d} - \partial_j \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + \partial_j(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d})) dx - \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_i \mathbf{d} u_k \partial_k \partial_j \mathbf{d} dx \\ &\quad + \int \partial_i u_k \partial_k(\partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d}) \dot{u}_j dx + \int u_k \partial_k(\partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d}) \partial_i \dot{u}_j dx \\ &= \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_j \mathbf{d} (\Delta \partial_i \mathbf{d} - \partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + \partial_i(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d})) dx \\ &\quad + \int \partial_i \dot{u}_j \partial_i \mathbf{d} (\Delta \partial_j \mathbf{d} - \partial_j \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d} + \partial_j(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d})) dx + \int \partial_i u_k \partial_i \mathbf{d} \partial_j \mathbf{d} \partial_k \dot{u}_j dx, \end{aligned}$$

which combined with $|\mathbf{d}| = 1$, Hölder's and Young's inequalities yields

$$\begin{aligned} L_3 + L_4 &\leq C\|\nabla\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|\Delta\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}^2\|_{L^4} \\ &\quad + C\|\nabla\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}^4\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}^2\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|\Delta\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}^2\|_{L^4}^4 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}^2\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above estimates of L_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) into (3.21), one deduces

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{d}{dt}\int P\partial_j u_i\partial_i u_j dx + C(\|P\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla\mathbf{d}^2\|_{L^4}^4) \\ &\quad + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|\Delta\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}^2\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Now, inspired by [23, 25, 26], for $a_1, a_2 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, let us denote

$$(\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d})(a_1, a_2) = a_1\partial_1\mathbf{d} + a_2\partial_2\mathbf{d}, \quad (\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{u})(a_1, a_2) = a_1\partial_1\mathbf{u} + a_2\partial_2\mathbf{u}, \quad \tilde{u}(a_1, a_2) = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2,$$

then it is easy to deduce from (3.7) that

$$\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}_t - \Delta\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d} = -\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d} + |\nabla\mathbf{d}|^2\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d} + 2(\nabla\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d})\nabla\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}.$$

Multiplying the above equality by $4\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2$, and then integrating by parts over \mathbb{R}^2 , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= -4\int(\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{d}) \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx - 4\int(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}) \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 4\int|\nabla\mathbf{d}|^2|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}^2\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx + 8\int(\nabla\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d})\nabla\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\int|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{u}|\nabla\mathbf{d}|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx - 2\int(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2)\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx \\ &\quad + C\int|\nabla\mathbf{d}|^2|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}^2|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx + C\int|\nabla\mathbf{d}|\nabla|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\int|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{u}|\nabla\mathbf{d}|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx + C\int|\nabla\mathbf{u}|\nabla|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx \\ &\quad + C\int|\nabla\mathbf{d}|^2|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}^2|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx + C\int|\nabla\mathbf{d}|\nabla|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|^2 dx \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}\|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^{\frac{8}{3}}}^2 \\ &\quad + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2\|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^2} + C\|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^2}\|\nabla|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^{\frac{8}{3}}}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^8} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4) + C\|\nabla|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^{\frac{8}{3}}}^{\frac{8}{3}} + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^8}^8 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4) + C\|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{4}{3}}\|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{3}} + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\leq \|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}|\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4) + C\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4, \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where we have used Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, and (3.18) in the above estimates. Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\nabla\mathbf{d}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}(1, 0)|\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}(0, 1)|\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}(1, 1)|\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\Delta|\tilde{\nabla}\mathbf{d}(1, -1)|\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq G(t) \leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (3.24)$$

with

$$G(t) \triangleq \|\nabla |\tilde{\nabla} \mathbf{d}(1, 0)|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla |\tilde{\nabla} \mathbf{d}(0, 1)|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla |\tilde{\nabla} \mathbf{d}(1, 1)|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla |\tilde{\nabla} \mathbf{d}(1, -1)|^2\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus, it follows from (3.23) multiplied by $(C_2 + 1)$ that

$$\frac{d}{dt} ((C_2 + 1)G(t)) + (C_2 + 1) \|\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4,$$

which combined with (3.22) ensures that

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(t) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|P\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4, \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$F(t) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + (C_2 + 1)G(t) - \int P \partial_j u_i \partial_i u_j dx$$

satisfies

$$\frac{1}{4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C_2 + 1}{2} G(t) - C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 \leq F(t) \leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + CG(t) + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 \quad (3.26)$$

owing to the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int P \partial_j u_i \partial_i u_j dx \right| &\leq C \|P\|_{BMO} \|\partial_i u_j \partial_j u_i\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq C \|\nabla P\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.6}) \\ &\leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (\text{by (3.9)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C_2 + 1}{2} G(t) + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (3.25). To bound the terms $\|P\|_{L^4}$ and $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}$, it follows from Sobolev embedding, (3.9), Hölder's inequality, (3.18), (3.3), and (3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 &\leq C (\|\nabla P\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}^4 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}^4) \leq C (\|\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}^4 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}^4) \\ &\leq C \|\rho\|_{L^2}^2 \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 (F(t) + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4) + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

By Ladyzhenskaya's inequality, (3.18), and (3.26), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^4 &\leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 (F(t) + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4) + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Then, substituting (3.27) and (3.28) into (3.25), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F(t) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) (F(t) + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4) + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Multiplying (3.29) by t^i ($i = 1, 2$), and then applying Gronwall's inequality, it follows from (3.24), (3.26), (3.1), (3.2), and (3.18) that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^i F(t)) + \int_0^T t^i (\|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \int_0^T t^{i-1} F(t) dt + C \int_0^T t^i \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) t^i \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T t^{i-1} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{i-1} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
&\quad + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{i-1} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T t \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^i \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4) \int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^T t^{i-1} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2) dt + C \\
&\leq C \int_0^T t^{i-1} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}) dt + C \\
&\leq C \int_0^T t^{i-1} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) dt + C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t^{i-1} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 dt + C \\
&\leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.24), (3.26), (3.1), (3.2), and (3.18) implies (3.19).

Finally, it is easy to see that the estimate (3.19) combined with (3.9) gives (3.20). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

3.2 Higher order estimates

The following spatial weighted estimate on the density plays an important role in deriving the bounds on the higher order derivatives of the solutions $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$, whose proof can be found in [24, Lemma 3.4].

Lemma 3.3 *There exists a positive constant C depending on T such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho \bar{x}^a\|_{L^1} \leq C(T). \quad (3.30)$$

Lemma 3.4 *There exists a positive constant C depending on T such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\rho\|_{H^1 \cap W^{1, q}} + \int_0^T \left(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q+1}{q}} + t \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2 \cap L^q}^2 \right) dt \\
+ \int_0^T \left(\|\nabla P\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla P\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q+1}{q}} + t \|\nabla P\|_{L^2 \cap L^q}^2 \right) dt \leq C(T). \quad (3.31)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, it follows from (1.1)₁ and (1.1)₄ that $\nabla \rho$ satisfies for any $r \geq 2$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^r} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^r}. \quad (3.32)$$

Next, by Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, (3.1), and (3.9), one gets for $q > 2$ as in Theorem 1.1,

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{q-2}{2(q-1)}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{2(q-1)}} \leq C \left(\|\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{2(q-1)}} + \|\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q}{2(q-1)}} \right). \quad (3.33)$$

By virtue of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Lemma 2.5, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^q} &\leq C \|\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2q^2-1}{q(q^2-1)}} \|\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^{2q^2}}^{\frac{q^2-2q}{q^2-1}} \\
&\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2q^2-1}{q(q^2-1)}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{q^2-2q}{q^2-1}} \\
&\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2q^2-1}{q(q^2-1)}} \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{q^2-2q}{q^2-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

which along with (3.1) and (3.19) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \left(\|\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q+1}{q}} + t \|\rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^q}^2 \right) dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^T t^{-\frac{q+1}{2q}} (t \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{2q-1}{2q(q-1)}} (t \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2q-2}} dt + C \int_0^T \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{q+1}{q}} dt \\
& \quad + C \int_0^T (t \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{2q-1}{q^2-1}} (t \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{q(q-2)}{q^2-1}} dt + C \int_0^T t \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (t \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{2q-1}{2q(q-1)}} \int_0^T \left(t \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + t^{-\frac{q^3+q^2-q-1}{q^3+q^2}} \right) dt \\
& \quad + C \int_0^T (t \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + t \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2) dt + C \int_0^T (1 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C(T). \tag{3.34}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \left(\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q+1}{q}} + t \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\| \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^q}^2 \right) dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^T \left[(\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^{2q}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^{2q}})^{\frac{q+1}{q}} + t (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^{2q}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^{2q}})^2 \right] dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^T \left[\left(\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q+1}{q}} + t \left(\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \right)^2 \right] dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^T (\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + t^q + 1) dt \\
& \leq C(T). \tag{3.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining (3.33), (3.34), and (3.35) together, it follows that

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} dt \leq C(T). \tag{3.36}$$

Thus, applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.32) ensures

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^2 \cap L^q} \leq C(T). \tag{3.37}$$

Finally, it is easy to deduce from (3.9), (3.34), (3.35), (3.1), and (3.5) that

$$\int_0^T \left(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla P\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q+1}{q}} + \|\nabla P\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q+1}{q}} + t (\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2 \cap L^q}^2 + \|\nabla P\|_{L^2 \cap L^q}^2) \right) dt \leq C(T).$$

This together with (3.3) and (3.37) yields (3.31), and finishes the proof of Lemma 3.4. \square

We shall now give some spatial estimates on $\nabla \rho$, $\nabla \mathbf{d}$ and $\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}$, which are crucial to derive the estimates on the gradients of both \mathbf{u}_t and $\nabla \mathbf{d}_t$.

Lemma 3.5 *There exists a positive constant C depending on T such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\rho \bar{x}^\alpha\|_{L^1 \cap H^1 \cap W^{1,q}} \leq C(T), \tag{3.38}$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C(T), \tag{3.39}$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T t \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C(T). \tag{3.40}$$

Proof. With (3.30) in hand, the proof of (3.38) is exactly the same as [24, Lemma 3.6], and we omit it for simplicity. To prove (3.39), by multiplying (3.7) with $\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^a$ and integrating by parts yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| |\nabla \bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 |\bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 |\nabla \bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| |\bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^3 |\nabla \bar{x}^a| dx \\ & \triangleq J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

By virtue of Hölder's and Ladyzhenskaya's inequalities, (3.1), (3.18), and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 & \leq C \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| |\bar{x}^a| dx \leq \frac{1}{10} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2; \\ J_2 & \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \left(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{10} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2; \\ J_3 & \leq C \int |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 |\bar{x}^{a-\frac{3}{4}}| dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{u}\bar{x}^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|_{L^4} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 + C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{10} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2; \\ J_4 & \leq \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{20} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{20} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \left(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{10} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2; \\ J_5 & \leq \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^3 |\bar{x}^a| dx \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 \leq \frac{1}{10} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, inserting the estimates of J_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) into (3.41), we obtain (3.39) after by using Gronwall's inequality.

It remains to show (3.40). Multiplying (3.7) by $\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^a$ and integrating by parts lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & = - \int \nabla \mathbf{d}_t \nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \nabla \bar{x}^a dx + \int \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d}) \nabla \Delta \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^a dx - \int \nabla (|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}) \nabla \Delta \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^a dx \\ & \quad - 2 \int \nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \nabla \bar{x}^a dx - \int |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}|^2 \nabla^2 \bar{x}^a dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int |\Delta \nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| |\nabla \bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}| |\nabla \bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^2 \bar{x}^a| dx \\ & \quad + \int |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}|^2 |\nabla \bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^3 |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| |\nabla \bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}|^2 |\nabla \bar{x}^a| dx \\ & \quad + \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 |\bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^6 |\bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}|^2 |\bar{x}^a| dx + \int |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}|^2 \nabla^2 \bar{x}^a dx \\ & \triangleq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_{10}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Using Hölder's and Ladyzhenskaya's inequalities, (2.1), (3.1), (3.18), and (3.39), we get

$$K_1 \leq C \int |\nabla \Delta \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| |\bar{x}^a| dx \leq \frac{1}{20} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 &\leq C \int |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}| \bar{x}^{a-\frac{3}{4}} dx \leq \frac{1}{40} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{u} \bar{x}^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{40} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \left(\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \nabla \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{20} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2; \\
K_3 &\leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{u} \bar{x}^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \left(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \nabla \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\leq C (1 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2); \\
K_4 &\leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{u} \bar{x}^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \left(\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \nabla \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{20} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2; \\
K_5 &\leq C \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^3 |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| \bar{x}^a dx \leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^8}^2 \\
&\leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \nabla \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^3 \\
&\leq C (1 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2); \\
K_6 &\leq C \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| \nabla \bar{x}^a dx \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 \\
&\leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{20} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2; \\
K_7 &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \left(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \nabla \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C; \\
K_8 + K_9 &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^8}^4 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^4}^2 \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \nabla \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{20} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2; \\
K_{10} &\leq C \int |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}|^2 \bar{x}^a \bar{x}^{-2} \log^4(e + |x|^2) dx \leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above estimates of K_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$) into (3.42), after by using (3.29), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C,$$

which multiplied by t implies (3.40) after using Gronwall's inequality, (3.31), and (3.39). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5. \square

Lemma 3.6 *There exists a positive constant C depending on T such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T t (\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{H^1}^2) dt \leq C(T). \quad (3.43)$$

Proof. First, we shall prove that

$$\int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C(T). \quad (3.44)$$

On the one hand, we derive from Hölder's inequality, (2.2), (3.1), and (3.18) that

$$\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}|\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^2 \\
&\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2)\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{3}}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
&\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (3.7), (2.1), (3.1), (3.18), and (3.39), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\|\nabla^3\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|^3_{L^2} + \|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C\left(\|\nabla^3\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\bar{x}^{-\frac{a}{4}}\|_{L^8}^2\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}\right) \\
&\quad + C(\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^6 + \|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C\left(\|\nabla^3\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\bar{x}^{-\frac{a}{4}}\|_{L^8}^4\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 + 1\right) \\
&\leq C\left(\|\nabla^3\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\bar{x}^{\frac{a}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2)^2\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 + 1\right) \\
&\leq C(\|\nabla^3\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

which combined with (3.45), (3.1), and (3.31) leads to (3.44).

Now, differentiating (1.1)₂ with respect to t gives

$$\rho\mathbf{u}_{tt} + \rho\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u}_t - \Delta\mathbf{u}_t + \nabla P_t = -\rho_t(\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u}) - \rho\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u} - \operatorname{div}(\nabla\mathbf{d} \odot \nabla\mathbf{d})_t.$$

Multiplying the above equality by \mathbf{u}_t and integrating the resulting equality by parts over \mathbb{R}^2 , we deduce after using (1.1)₁ and (1.1)₄ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\rho|\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx + \int|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx &\leq C\int\rho|\mathbf{u}||\mathbf{u}_t|(|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t| + |\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}|\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|)dx + C\int\rho|\mathbf{u}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{u}||\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|dx \\
&\quad + C\int\rho|\mathbf{u}_t|^2|\nabla\mathbf{u}|dx + C\int|\nabla\mathbf{d}||\nabla\mathbf{d}_t||\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|dx \\
&\triangleq M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

The terms on the right-hand side of (3.47) can be bounded as follows.

By (2.1), (2.2), (3.1), (3.18), Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2) \\
&\quad + C\|\rho^{\frac{1}{4}}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{12}}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, Hölder's inequality, (2.1), and (2.2) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 + M_3 &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^8}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4} + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

For the term M_4 , by Ladyzhenskaya's inequality, (3.1), and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_4 &\leq C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4(C_3 + 1)}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the positive constant C_3 is defined in the following (3.49) and (3.52). Substituting the estimates of M_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 4$) into (3.47), there holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2(C_3 + 1)} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \quad (3.48)$$

Next, differentiating (1.1)₃ with respect to t , and multiplying the resulting equality with \mathbf{d}_t and then integrating by parts over \mathbb{R}^2 , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\mathbf{d}_t|^2 dx + \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}_t|^2 dx &\leq C \int |\mathbf{u}_t| |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\mathbf{d}_t| dx + C \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}_t| |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\mathbf{d}_t| dx + C \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 |\mathbf{d}_t|^2 dx \\ &\triangleq M_5 + M_6 + M_7. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's and Ladyzhenskaya's inequalities, (2.1), (3.1), (3.18), and (3.39), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} M_5 &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_t \bar{x}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^4} \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2); \\ M_6 + M_7 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_3 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (3.49)$$

Differentiating (3.7) with respect to time variable t ensures

$$\nabla \mathbf{d}_{tt} - \Delta \nabla \mathbf{d}_t = -\nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{d})_t + \nabla(|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d})_t. \quad (3.50)$$

Multiplying (3.50) by $\nabla \mathbf{d}_t$, and integrating the resulting equality over \mathbb{R}^2 , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}_t| |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}_t| dx + C \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}_t|^2 dx + C \int |\mathbf{u}_t| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}_t| dx \\ &\quad + C \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 |\mathbf{d}_t| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t| dx + C \int |\nabla \mathbf{d}| |\nabla \mathbf{d}_t| |\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t| dx \\ &\triangleq M_8 + M_9 + M_{10} + M_{11} + M_{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

By Hölder's and Ladyzhenskaya's inequalities, (3.1), and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_8 &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_9 &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2; \\ M_{12} &\leq \frac{1}{32} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^4}^2 \leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's and Ladyzhenskaya's inequalities, (2.1), (3.1), (3.18), and (3.39), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{10} &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_t \bar{x}^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^4} \\
&\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_t \bar{x}^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{u}_t \bar{x}^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}}\|_{L^4}^2 + C_1(t) \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2) + C_1(t) \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_1(t) \geq 0$, $\int_0^T C_1(t) dt \leq C(T)$ (for all $T \in (0, \infty)$). By Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, (3.1), (3.18), and (3.39), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11} &\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^8}^2 \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^4}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the estimates of M_i ($i = 8, 9, \dots, 12$) into (3.51), it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_3 (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2) + C (\|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (3.52)$$

Now, multiplying (3.48) by $2(C_3 + 1)$ and adding the resulting inequality with (3.49) and (3.52), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} (2(C_3 + 1) \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{H^1}^2) + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{H^1}^2 \\
&\leq C (1 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{H^1}^2) + C (1 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which multiplied by t , together with Gronwall's inequality, (3.44), and (3.11) yields

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} t (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{d}_t\|_{H^1}^2) + \int_0^T t (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{H^1}^2) dt \leq C(T). \quad (3.53)$$

Finally, it follows from (3.7), Hölder's and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, (2.1), (3.1), (3.18), and $|\mathbf{d}| = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\mathbf{u} \bar{x}^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}}\|_{L^8}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}) \\
&\quad + C (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^6 + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u} \bar{x}^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}}\|_{L^8}^4 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^4}^2 \\
&\quad + \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{d}\|_{L^6}^2) \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2)^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \left(\|\nabla \mathbf{d}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \bar{x}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which combined with (3.2), (3.20), (3.40), and (3.53) implies (3.43). The proof of Lemma 3.6 is completed. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

With the a priori estimates in Section 3 in hand, we are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

By Lemma 2.1, we know that there exists a $T_* > 0$ such that the Cauchy problem of system (1.1)–(1.2) admits a unique strong solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T_*]$. In what follows, we shall extend the local solution to all the time.

Set

$$T^* = \sup \{T \mid (\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d}) \text{ is a strong solution to (1.1)–(1.2) on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T]\}. \quad (4.1)$$

First, for any $0 < \tau < T_* < T \leq T^*$ with T finite, one deduces from (3.1), (3.18), (3.20), and (3.43) that for all $q \geq 2$,

$$\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{d}, \nabla^2 \mathbf{d} \in C([\tau, T]; L^2 \cap L^q), \quad (4.2)$$

where one has used the standard embedding

$$L^\infty(\tau, T; H^1) \cap H^1(\tau, T; H^{-1}) \hookrightarrow C(\tau, T; L^q) \quad \text{for all } q \in [2, \infty).$$

Moreover, it follows from (3.31), (3.38), and [20, Lemma 2.3] that

$$\rho \in C([0, T]; L^1 \cap H^1 \cap W^{1,q}). \quad (4.3)$$

Now, we claim that

$$T^* = \infty. \quad (4.4)$$

Otherwise, if $T^* < \infty$, it follows from (4.2), (4.3), (3.1), (3.18), (3.38), and (3.39) that

$$(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})(x, T^*) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} (\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})(x, t)$$

satisfies the initial conditions (1.7) at $t = T^*$. Moreover, by using (1.5) and (3.3) with $p = 1$, it follows that

$$\int \rho(x, T^*) dx = \int \rho_0(x) dx = 1, \quad (4.5)$$

and notice that there exists $N_0 > 0$, it is easy to see that

$$\int \rho(x, T^*) dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{N_0}} \rho(x, T^*) dx \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, we can take $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, P, \mathbf{d})(x, T^*)$ as the initial data, Lemma 2.1 implies that one could extend the local solutions beyond T^* . This contradicts the assumption of T^* in (4.1). Hence, we prove (4.4). Furthermore, from (3.2), (3.19), and (3.20), one obtains that (1.11) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Jinkai Li for introducing this topic and for all the helpful discussions. Part of the work was done when Xin Zhong was visiting The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong. He thanks Professor Zhouping Xin for the invitation and constant help during the visit. Lin Li is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11601046), Chongqing Science and Technology Commission (cstc2016jcyjA0310), Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (KJ1600603) and Program for University Innovation Team of Chongqing (CXTDX201601026). Qiao Liu is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11401202) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2015M570053, 2016T90063). Xin Zhong is supported by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (XDJK2017C050), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2017M610579), and the Doctoral Fund of Southwest University (SWU116033). At the same time, the authors express their gratitude to the reviewers for careful reading and helpful suggestions which led to an improvement of the original manuscript.

References

- [1] K. C. Chang, W. Y. Ding and R. Ye, Finite-time blow-up of the heat flow of harmonic maps from surfaces, *J. Differential Geom.*, 36 (2) (1992), 507–515.
- [2] R. Coifman, P. Lions, Y. Meyer and S. Semmes, Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 72 (1993), 247–286.
- [3] S. Ding, J. Huang and F. Xia, Global existence of strong solutions for incompressible hydrodynamic flow of liquid crystals with vacuum, *Filomat*, 27 (7) (2013), 1247–1257.
- [4] J. L. Ericksen, Hydrostatic theory of liquid crystal, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 9(1962), 371–378.
- [5] J. Fan, F. Li and G. Nakamura, Global strong solution to the 2D density-dependent liquid crystal flows with vacuum, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 97 (2014), 185–190.
- [6] M. Hong, Global existence of solutions of the simplified Ericksen–Leslie system in dimension two, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 40 (2011), 15–36.
- [7] T. Huang and C. Wang, Blow up criterion for nematic liquid crystal flows, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 37 (2012), 875–884.
- [8] Z. Lei, D. Li and X. Zhang, Remarks of global wellposedness of liquid crystal flows and heat flows of harmonic maps in two dimensions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142 (2014), 3801–3810.
- [9] F. Leslie, Theory of flow phenomenon in liquid crystals. In: *The Theory of Liquid Crystals*, London-New York: Academic Press, 4 (1979), 1–81.
- [10] J. Li and Z. Liang, On local classical solutions to the Cauchy problem of the two-dimensional barotropic compressible Navier–Stokes equations with vacuum, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 102 (2014), 640–671.
- [11] J. Li and Z. Xin, Global well-posedness and large time asymptotic behavior of classical solutions to the compressible Navier–Stokes equations with vacuum, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.1673>.
- [12] J. Li, Global strong and weak solutions to inhomogeneous nematic liquid crystal flow in two dimensions, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 99 (2014), 80–94.
- [13] J. Li, Global strong solutions to the inhomogeneous incompressible nematic liquid crystal flow, *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 22 (2015), 201–220.
- [14] X. Li and D. Wang, Global strong solution to the density-dependent incompressible flow of liquid crystals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367 (4) (2015), 2301–2338.
- [15] Z. Liang, Local strong solution and blow-up criterion for the 2D nonhomogeneous incompressible fluids, *J. Differential Equations*, 7 (2015), 2633–2654.
- [16] F. Lin, J. Lin and C. Wang, Liquid crystal flow in two dimensions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 197 (2010), 297–336
- [17] F. Lin and C. Liu, Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 48 (1995), 501–537.
- [18] F. Lin and C. Liu, Partial regularities of the nonlinear dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 2 (1996), 1–23.
- [19] F. Lin and C. Wang, Global existence of weak solutions of the nematic liquid crystal flow in dimensions three, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 69 (2016), 1532–1571.

- [20] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, vol. 1. Incompressible Models*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.
- [21] Q. Liu, S. Liu, W. Tan and X. Zhong, Global well-posedness of the 2D nonhomogeneous incompressible nematic liquid crystal flows with vacuum, *J. Differential Equations*, 261 (2016), 6521–6569.
- [22] Q. Liu, T. Zhang and J. Zhao, Well-posedness for the 3D incompressible nematic liquid crystal system in the critical L^p framework, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 36 (2016), 371–402.
- [23] B. Lü and B. Huang, On strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of the two-dimensional compressible MHD equations with vacuum, *Nonlinearity*, 28 (2015), 509–530.
- [24] B. Lü, X. Shi and X. Zhong, Global existence and large time asymptotic behavior of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of 2D density-dependent Navier–Stokes equations with vacuum, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03143>.
- [25] B. Lü, Z. Xu and X. Zhong, On local strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of two-dimensional density-dependent magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.02156>.
- [26] B. Lü, Z. Xu and X. Zhong, Global existence and large time asymptotic behavior of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of 2D density-dependent magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 108 (2017), 41–62.
- [27] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 13 (1959), 115–162.
- [28] I. W. Stewart, *The Static and Dynamic Continuum Theory of Liquid Crystals*, Taylor & Francis, London and New York, 2004.
- [29] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [30] R. Tamam, *Navier–Stokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis*. Reprint of the 1984 edition, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [31] C. Wang, Well-posedness for the heat flow of harmonic maps and the liquid crystal flow with rough initial data, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 200 (2011), 1–19.
- [32] H. Wen and S. Ding, Solutions of incompressible hydrodynamic flow of liquid crystals, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 12 (2011), 1510–1531.