

Functional calculus and martingale representation formula for integer-valued random measures

Pierre Blacque-Florentin & Rama Cont

February 28, 2020

Abstract

We construct a pathwise calculus for functionals of integer-valued measures and use it to derive an martingale representation formula with respect to a large class of integer-valued random measures. Using these results, we extend the Functional Itô Calculus to functionals of integer-valued random measures. We construct a 'stochastic derivative' operator with respect to an integer-valued random measure, and show it to be the inverse of the stochastic integral with respect to the compensated measure. This stochastic derivative yields an explicit martingale representation formula for square-integrable martingales. Our results extend beyond the class of Poisson random measures and allow for random and time-dependent compensators.

Keywords: Martingale representation, Functional Itô calculus, Integer-valued random measures, Jump processes, Malliavin calculus, Poisson random measures, martingales.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Functionals of integer-valued measures	3
2.1	Integer-valued measures and non-anticipative functionals	3
2.2	Simple predictable functionals and compensated integrals	6
2.3	A pathwise martingale representation formula for multivariate point processes	6
3	Stochastic derivative and martingale representation formula	7
3.1	Stochastic derivative with respect to an integer-valued random measure	8
3.2	Martingale representation formula	11
3.3	Relation with Malliavin calculus on Poisson space	12
4	Examples	13
4.1	Kunita-Watanabe decomposition	13
4.2	Stochastic exponential for pure-jump Lévy processes	14
4.3	Application to the Kella-Whitt martingale	15
4.4	Supremum of a Lévy process	17
A	A density result	20

arXiv:1508.00048v2 [math.PR] 27 Feb 2020

1 Introduction

Consider a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$ equipped with a filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ generated by a continuous \mathbb{R}^d -valued martingale X and an integer-valued random measure J on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with compensator μ . We say that the filtration (X, J, \mathbb{F}) has the *predictable representation property* if for any square integrable \mathbb{F} -martingale M , there exists predictable processes $\phi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \times \Omega \rightarrow$ predictable such that:

$$M(t) = M(0) + \int_0^t \phi(s) \cdot dX(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \psi(s, z)(J - \mu)(ds dz). \quad (1)$$

where the first integral is an Itô stochastic integral and the second integral is a stochastic integral with respect to the compensated random measure $\tilde{J} = J - \mu$. This property holds for instance if the filtration is generated by a multivariate point process [19, 13] or by a Brownian motion and an independent Poisson random measure [21, 14]. The computation of such representations is of interest in many applications, such as the optimal control of processes with jumps, mathematical finance and more generally for the solution of backward stochastic differential equations with jumps [7].

When $X = W$ is a Wiener process and J is a Poisson random measure, a well-known approach for obtaining such representations is to use the Malliavin calculus on the Wiener-Poisson space [4, 3, 18, 33, 41, 43, 44]. This leads to a ‘Clark-Ocone’ type representation of the integrands ϕ, ψ as predictable projections of a (possibly anticipative) process defined as a Malliavin derivative of M on the Wiener-Poisson space:

$$\phi(s) = {}^p E[\mathbb{D}_t M(T) | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad \psi(t, z) = {}^p E[\mathbb{D}_{(t,z)} M(T) | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbb{D}_t M$ represents the Malliavin derivative of M on the Wiener space and $\mathbb{D}_{(t,z)} M$ a Malliavin derivative on the Poisson space for which various constructions have been proposed [4, 3, 28, 30, 18, 42, 43].

Jacod, Méleard and Protter [20] use Markov semigroup theory. León et al [28] introduce a quotient operator. While fundamentally different from the ones mentioned above, we point out the fact that there exists an approach where the perturbation consists in shifting the jump-times rather than the jump-sizes. Originally introduced on the Poisson space by Carlen and Pardoux [6], this approach presents the advantage of providing a derivative that satisfies the chain rule and has its uses for studying the absolute continuity of solutions of stochastic differential equations. Using the method of León-Tudor [29], this approach is generalised to Lévy processes in León et al [27] (see also [37]). In most of the approaches mentioned above, the jump component are driven by a Lévy process or a Poisson random measure.

An alternative *pathwise* approach for obtaining martingale representation formulae based on the Functional Itô calculus was introduced in [8, 10] in the continuous case: this approach provides a pathwise expression for the integrand and bypasses the predictable projection and the use of anticipative calculi. This approach was developed in [10] in the setting of a Brownian filtration. Our goal in the present work is to extend these results to the case of functionals of cadlag processes and integer-valued random measures.

We first develop a pathwise calculus for functionals of integer-valued measures. We then show that, for a large class of integer-valued random measures, smooth functionals in the sense of this pathwise calculus are dense in the space of square-integrable integrals with respect to the (compensated) random measure. This property allows to construct a closure of the pathwise operators and extend the framework of Functional Itô Calculus [17, 9, 8] to functionals of integer-valued random measures. In particular, we construct a ‘stochastic derivative’ operator with respect to such integer-valued random measures and obtain an explicit martingale representation formula for square-integrable martingales with respect to the filtration of the integer-valued random measure. These results hold well beyond the class of Poisson random measures and allow for random and time-dependent compensators.

Outline Section 2 introduces the space of integer-valued measures and develops a pathwise calculus for functionals of such measures. We present in particular an inversion formula for (compensated) integrals with respect to an integer-valued measure (Proposition 2.10).

We use this framework in section 3 to construct a ‘stochastic derivative’ operator with respect to a class of integer-valued random measure. This operator is defined as the closure of the pathwise derivative. As a consequence, we obtain a martingale representation formula with respect to a large class of integer-valued random measures. Our assumptions extend beyond Poisson random measures and allow for random and time-dependent compensators.

In Section 3.3 we compare our approach with Malliavin calculi for jump processes. Section 4 provides some examples of applications.

Some technical proofs are given in the Appendix.

2 Functionals of integer-valued measures

2.1 Integer-valued measures and non-anticipative functionals

Let $\mathcal{B}(A)$ denote the Borel σ -algebra of some set A . Also \mathbb{R}_0^d denotes the space \mathbb{R}^d without the origin.

Definition 2.1. (Space of σ -finite integer-valued measures) Denote by $\mathcal{M}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d)$ the space of σ -finite simple integer-valued measures on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$. For a measure $j : \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$, we say that

$$j \in \mathcal{M}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d) \text{ IFF } j(\cdot) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_{(t_i, z_i)}(\cdot) \text{ and is finite on compacts,}$$

with $(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in [0, T]^{\mathbb{N}}$ not necessarily distinct nor ordered, and $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in (\mathbb{R}_0^d)^{\mathbb{N}}$. For convenience, we denote $\mathcal{M}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d)$ by \mathcal{M}_T throughout this article. We equip this space with a σ -algebra \mathcal{F} such that the mapping $j \mapsto j(A)$ is measurable for all $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d)$, the Borel σ -algebra on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$.

For $(t, j) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{M}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d)$, we denote

$$j_t(\cdot) := j(\cdot \cap ([0, t] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d)) \quad (\text{resp. } j_{t-}(\cdot) := j(\cdot \cap ([0, t) \times \mathbb{R}_0^d))),$$

the restriction of j to $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$ (resp. $[0, t) \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$).

In this section, we write $\mathcal{D}_T := D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ for the space of càdlàg functions from $[0, T]$ to \mathbb{R}^d .

Definition 2.2 (Stopped trajectory). For $x \in \mathcal{D}_T$, we write

$$x_t(u) = \begin{cases} x(u) & \text{if } u \leq t, \\ x(t) & \text{if } u > t. \end{cases}$$

Note that x_t is an element of \mathcal{D}_T .

Definition 2.3. (Space Ω of processes and canonical process) We identify the *space of processes*

$$\Omega := \mathcal{D}_T \times \mathcal{M}_T$$

equipped with the σ -algebra \mathcal{F} defined as the σ -algebra on the product space such that for every $j \in \mathcal{M}_T$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$, $j(A)$ is measurable.

We define the *canonical process* (X, J) as follows: for any $\omega := (x, j) \in \Omega$,

$$(X, J) : [0, T] \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, t, A, \omega \mapsto \omega(t) := (x(t), j_t(A)).$$

The filtration generated by the canonical process is given by $\mathbb{F}^0 := (\mathcal{F}_t^0)_{t \in [0, T]}$ on \mathcal{M}_T as the increasing sequence of σ -algebras

$$\mathcal{F}_t^0 := \sigma((X(s))_{s \in [0, t]}, (T_k, Z_k)_{T_k \leq t}),$$

where the (T_k, Z_k) denote the jump times and size of the measure J .

Now, we define non-anticipative functionals on the space:

Definition 2.4 (Non-anticipative functional). A non-anticipative functional F is a map

$$F : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that

1. $F(t, x, j) = F(t, x_t, j_t)$,
2. F is measurable with respect to the product σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \times \mathcal{F}$.
3. For all $t \in [0, T]$, $F(t, \cdot)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

We denote \mathcal{O} the space of such functionals.

Definition 2.5 (Predictable functional). A predictable functional F is a non-anticipative functional such that

$$F(t, x, j) = F(t, x_t, j_t) = F(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-}),$$

and so $F(t, \cdot)$ is \mathcal{F}_{t-} -measurable. We write \mathcal{P} the space of predictable functional processes, and we have $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}$.

Definition 2.6 (Functional fields). A *non-anticipative functional field* Ψ is a map

$$\Psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that $\Psi(t, z, x, j) = \Psi(t, z, x_t, j_t)$, such that Ψ is measurable with respect to the product σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d) \times \mathcal{F}$, and for all $(t, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$, $\Psi(t, z, \cdot)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable. We denote \mathcal{O}_f the space of such functionals.

Similarly, we call *predictable functional field* any $\Psi \in \mathcal{O}_f$ such that

$$\Psi(t, z, x, j) = \Psi(t, z, x_{t-}, j_{t-}),$$

and we denote by \mathcal{P}_f the space of such predictable functional fields.

Example 1 (Integral functionals). Given a measurable function $g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support in $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$, the integral functional

$$(t, x, j) \mapsto F_g(t, x, j) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} g(s, z) j(ds dz),$$

defines a non-anticipative functional.

Definition 2.7 (Vertical perturbation in x). The vertical perturbation of a càdlàg function $x \in \mathcal{D}_t$, $t < T$ is given by

$$x_t^h(\cdot) = x_t(\cdot) + h \mathbb{1}_{[t, \infty)}(\cdot).$$

Definition 2.8 (Vertical derivative). A non-anticipative functional process is said to be vertically differentiable if, for $e \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the map

$$e \mapsto F(t, x_t^e, j_t)$$

is differentiable. For $(e_i)_{i=1..d}$ the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^d , the resulting gradient vector

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t, x_t^{he_i}, j_t) - F(t, x_t, j_t)}{h}$$

is well defined for all t and all i . It is called the vertical derivative of F at t with respect to x , and is noted $\nabla_x F(t, x_t, j_t)$.

A key object in our study is the following functional derivative with respect to the jump component J :

Definition 2.9. For a non-anticipative functional F and $(t, z) \in (0, T \times \mathbb{R}_0^d)$, the following difference operator:

$$\nabla_{(t,z)} F(t, x, j) = F(t, x_t, j_{t-} + \delta_{(t,z)}) - F(t, x_t, j_{t-}). \quad (3)$$

Then the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_J : \mathcal{O} &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f, \\ F &\mapsto \nabla F \end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$(\nabla_J F)(t, z, x, j) = \nabla_{(t,z)} F(t, x, j) = F(\cdot, x, j_{t-} + \delta_{(t,z)}) - F(\cdot, x, j_{t-})$$

maps a non-anticipative functional F to a predictable functional field denoted $\nabla_J F$.

The following proposition summarises key properties of the pathwise operators ∇_X and ∇_J :

Proposition 2.10 (Properties of the pathwise derivative). The following hold true:

1. If F is a *predictable* functional (in the sense of Definition 2.5) then

$$\nabla_X F = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_J F = 0.$$

2. Compensated integrals: Let F be a non-anticipative functional of the form

$$F(t, x_t, j_t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y, x_{s-}, j_{s-})(j - \mu)(ds \, dy)$$

where $\psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is an optional random function with compact support in $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$ and μ is a predictable measure satisfying Def. 2.11. Then

$$\nabla_J F(t, z, x_t, j_t) = \psi(t, z, x_{t-}, j_{t-}). \quad (4)$$

and $\nabla_X F = 0$.

Proof. 1. If F is a vertically differentiable predictable functional then, using Definition 2.5, for all $(t, x, j) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$, we have that there exists a predictable functional ϕ such that

$$G(t, j) = \phi(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-}).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x G(t, x, j) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (G(t, x_{t-}^h, j_{t-}) - G(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-})), \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\phi(t, (x + h\mathbb{1}_{[t, \infty)}(\cdot))_{t-}, j_{t-}) - \phi(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-})), \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\phi(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-}) - \phi(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

2. If F is a predictable functional then, using Definition 2.5, for all $(t, x, j) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$, we have that there exists a predictable functional ϕ such that

$$G(t, j) = \psi(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-}).$$

So for all $z \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{(t,z)} G(t, x, j) &= G(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-} + \delta_{t,z}(\cdot)) - G(t, j_{t-}), \\ &= \psi(t, x_{t-}, (j_{t-} + \delta_{t,z}(\cdot))_{t-}) - \psi(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-}), \\ &= \psi(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-}) - \psi(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. Since ψ has compact support in \mathbb{R}_0^d , $0 \notin \overline{\text{supp}(\psi)}$ and so j can only have a finite number of jumps on the support of ψ . Moreover, since μ is σ -finite, the integral of ψ with respect to $j - \mu$ is finite. Using the pathwise predictability of $\psi(s, y, x_{s-}, j_{s-})$ and μ , we have

$$F(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-} + \delta_{(t,z)}) = F(t, x_{t-}, j_{t-}) + \psi(t, z, x_{t-}, j_{t-}), \quad (5)$$

So for all t and z ,

$$\nabla_{(t,z)} F(t, j_t) = \psi(t, z, x_{t-}, j_{t-}).$$

Furthermore, F is predictable in x , and so $\nabla_x F = 0$ by part 1 of the current proposition. \square

So ∇ is an operator that maps a non-anticipative functional to a predictable functional, and if F is the functional in Proposition 2.10, then ∇F recovers the predictable version of integrand. In particular, if ψ belongs to the set of predictable fields having compact support, in the sense that for all x and j , $z \mapsto \psi(t, z, x, j)$ equals zero on a neighbourhood of zero – we denote this space \mathcal{P}_{fc} – then for all (t, j, z) , $\psi(t, z, j_t) = \psi(t, z, j_{t-})$, ∇ is the inverse of the integral operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{fc} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}, \\ \psi &\mapsto \int_0^\cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, z, j_{s-})(j - \mu)(ds \, dy), \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Simple predictable functionals and compensated integrals

Definition 2.11. (σ -finite predictable measure)

We call σ -finite predictable measure any σ -finite measure

$$\mu : \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

which satisfies for $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, t] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d)$:

$$\mu(A, x, j) = \mu(A, x_{t-}, j_{t-}).$$

Definition 2.12. (Stopping time) A stopping time τ is a non-anticipative mapping

$$\tau : \Omega \rightarrow [0, T]$$

such that for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\mathbb{1}_{\tau(x, j) \leq t} = \mathbb{1}_{\tau(x_{t-}, j_{t-}) \leq t}.$$

Moreover, τ is a predictable stopping-time if

$$\mathbb{1}_{\tau(x, j) \leq t} = \mathbb{1}_{\tau(x_{t-}, j_{t-}) \leq t}.$$

Example 2. for all $\epsilon > 0$, $Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$, $0 \notin \bar{Z}$ (the closure of Z), and a σ -finite predictable measure μ ,

$$\tau^\epsilon(x, j, Z) = \inf\{t \in [0, T] \mid \mu([0, t] \times Z, x, j) \geq \epsilon\} \wedge T$$

is a stopping time. If μ has no atom with respect to time, τ^ϵ is also predictable.

For convenience later on, we write

$$C_t^\alpha(j) = j(\{s\} \times \{\frac{1}{\alpha} < |z| \leq \alpha\}). \quad (6)$$

for $\alpha \geq 1$.

2.3 A pathwise martingale representation formula for multivariate point processes

We are now able to state the martingale representation formula for the case of multivariate point processes, i.e. when the jump measure has finite activity.

Consider now a probability measure \mathbb{P} on the canonical space under which J is a multivariate point process on \mathbb{R}_0^d i.e. an integer valued measure such that $J([0, t] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d) < \infty$ for all t, ω . Moreover let

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_0 \vee \sigma((T_k, Z_k) \mid T_k \leq t)$$

be the (completed) natural filtration of J : where the (T_k, Z_k) denote respectively the jump times and jump sizes of J .

In such a setting, the martingale representation theorem holds: as shown by Jacod [19, Prop 54]), a right continuous process M is an $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ local martingale if and only if there exists V predictable such that

$$M(t) = M(0) + \int_0^t \int_E \Psi(s, z) \tilde{J}(ds dz)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \int_E |\Psi(s, z)| \tilde{J}(ds dz) < \infty \text{ a.s. on } \{t < T_\infty\},$$

where $T_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$. Notice that in this context, the integral with respect to \tilde{J} is defined pathwise.

The following result shows that this martingale representation is precisely given by the pathwise operator ∇_J defined in the previous section and justifies a posteriori the definition of ∇_J :

Proposition 2.13 (Martingale representation for multivariate point processes).

$$\forall(t, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \nabla_J M(t, z) = \Psi(t, z) \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

3 Stochastic derivative and martingale representation formula

In the previous subsection, we have been able to provide a pathwise martingale representation formula in the special case of multivariate point processes. We now aim at obtaining a martingale representation formula for the more general case, where the filtration is generated by a measure with infinite activity and a continuous martingale.

We now equip the space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) with a probability measure \mathbb{P} such that X defines a continuous martingale and J a jump-measure such that $\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} |z|^2 J(ds dz) < \infty$ a.s., with (predictable) compensator μ atomless in time, i.e. $\mu(\{s\} dy, \omega) = 0$ for any $s \in [0, T]$. Moreover, we complete the filtration \mathbb{F}^0 by the \mathbb{P} -null sets and write $\mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, t]}$ for the completed filtration.

We denote by $\tilde{J} = J - \mu$ the compensated random measure and introduce the following spaces:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu) = \left\{ (\phi, \psi) \mid \phi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \text{ both predictable and} \right. \\ \left. \|\phi, \psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)}^2 := E \left[\int_0^t \phi^2(s) d[X](s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi^2(s, y) \mu(ds dy) \right] < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu) = \left\{ Y = \int_0^\cdot \phi(s, \cdot) dX(s) + \int_0^\cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y) \tilde{J}(ds dy) \mid (\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, \mu) \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)}^2 = E[|Y(T)|^2].$$

Then we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X]) \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu) = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X]) \oplus \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu),$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X]) := \left\{ \phi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ predictable} \mid \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X])} := E \left[\int_0^T \phi^2(t) d[X](t) \right] < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X]) := \left\{ Y : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid Y(t) = \int_0^t \phi(s) dX(s), \phi \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X]) \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X])} := E[|Y|_T^2],$$

as well as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu) := \left\{ \psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \text{ predictable} \mid E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y)^2 \mu(ds dy) \right] < \infty \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)}^2 := E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(t, z)^2 \mu(dt dz) \right],$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu) := \left\{ Y : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid Y(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y) \tilde{J}(ds dy), \psi \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu) \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)}^2 := E[|Y(T)|^2].$$

The (Itô) stochastic integral operator with respect to (X, \tilde{J}) is defined as

$$I_{X, \tilde{J}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu), \\ (\phi, \psi) \mapsto \int_0^\cdot \phi(s) dX(s) + \int_0^\cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y) \tilde{J}(ds dy).$$

We will now construct a **stochastic derivative**, the ‘adjoint’ operator of this stochastic integral operator, as the closure of the pathwise operator (∇_X, ∇_J) defined above.

3.1 Stochastic derivative with respect to an integer-valued random measure

Consider the following space of simple predictable functionals:

Definition 3.1 (Couples of simple functionals on the product space). We consider the space \mathcal{S} of couples (ϕ, ψ) such that

$$\phi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (7)$$

$$\psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \quad (8)$$

and

1. ϕ has the following form

$$\phi(t, x_t, j_t) = \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ k=1}}^I \phi_i(x_{\tau_i}, j_{\tau_i}) \mathbf{1}_{(\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]}(t)$$

with the τ_i predictable stopping times.

2. Moreover, there exist I grids $0 \leq t_1^i \leq t_2^i \leq \dots \leq t_{n(i)}^i = T$ such that for any $i \in 1..I$

$$\phi_i(j_{t_i}) = f_i((x(t_u^i), C_{t_u^i}^{\epsilon_v}(j))_{u \in 1..U, v \in 1..V}),$$

where $f_i : \mathbb{R}^U \times \mathbb{R}^U \times \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel-measurable, $0 \leq t_1^i \leq \dots \leq t_U^i \leq \tau_i$ and $1 \leq \epsilon_1 \leq \dots \leq \epsilon_V$. ($C_{t_i^m}^{\epsilon_m}$ is defined as in Equation (6).)

3. ψ has the following form

$$\psi(t, z, j_t) = \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ k=1}}^{M, K} \psi_{mk}(x_{\kappa_m}, j_{\kappa_m}) \mathbf{1}_{(\kappa_m, \kappa_{m+1}]}(t) \mathbf{1}_{A_k}(z)$$

with $A_k \in \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 \notin \overline{A_k}$ (the closure of the A_k), the κ_m are predictable stopping times (allowed to depend on the Z_k).

4. Moreover, there exist M grids $0 \leq t_1^m \leq t_2^m \leq \dots \leq t_{n(m)}^m = T$ such that for any $m \in 1..M$:

$$\psi_{mk}(x_{\kappa_m}, j_{\kappa_m}) = g_{mk}((x(t_p^m), C_{t_p^m}^{\epsilon_q}(j))_{p \in 1..P, q \in 1..Q}),$$

where $g_{mk} : \mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}^P \times \mathbb{R}^Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel-measurable, $0 \leq t_1^m \leq \dots \leq t_P^m \leq \kappa_m$ and $1 \leq \epsilon_1 \leq \dots \leq \epsilon_Q$.

We denote $I_{X, \tilde{J}}(\mathcal{S})$ for the set of processes that are stochastic integrals of processes in \mathcal{S} .

Lemma 3.2. The set of random variables

$$f((X(t_i), C_{t_i}^{k_j}(J))_{i \in 1..n, j \in 1..p}) \quad (9)$$

with $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded, and the C as in equation (6), is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$ (the space of random variables with finite second moment).

Proof. Let $(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a dense subset of $[0, T]$, and $(k_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ a dense subset of $[1, \infty)$. Letting (T_k, Z_k) denote the jump times and sizes of the jump measure J , define

$$\mathcal{F}^{0,n,m} = \sigma(X(t_i), (T_k, Z_k) | t_i \leq t_n, T_k \leq t_n, \frac{1}{k_m} < Z_k \leq k_m),$$

the (non-completed) filtration generated by the $(X(t_i), C_{t_i}^{k_l}(J))_{i \in 1, \dots, n, l \in 1, \dots, m}$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}^{n,m}$ the completion of $\mathcal{F}^{0,n,m}$. One has

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^{n,m} & \subset & \mathcal{F}^{n,m+1} \\ \cap & & \cap \\ \mathcal{F}^{n+1,m} & \subset & \mathcal{F}^{n+1,m+1} \end{array}$$

Moreover, \mathcal{F}_T is the smallest σ -algebra containing all the $\mathcal{F}^{n,m}$. For $g \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T^0, \mathbb{P})$,

$$g = E[g|\mathcal{F}_T^0].$$

The martingale convergence theorem yields

$$g = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[g|\mathcal{F}^{n,m}],$$

Moreover, for each n and m , there exists a $\mathcal{F}^{0,m,n}$ -measurable random variable h_{nm} (i.e. a random variable measurable with respect to the non-completed filtration) such that, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$E[g|\mathcal{F}^{n,m}] = h_{nm}.$$

(see e.g. Lemma 1.2. in Crauel [12].)

Finally, the Doob-Dynkin lemma ([22], p. 7) applied to h_{nm} yields that for each couple (n, m) , there exists a Borel-measurable $g_{nm} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$E[g|\mathcal{F}^{n,m}] = g_{n,m}((X(t_i), C_{t_i}^{k_j}(j))_{i \in 1..n, j \in 1..m}), \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

□

Lemma 3.3. $\{(\nabla_X Y, \nabla_J Y), Y \in I_{X, \tilde{J}}(\mathcal{S})\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X] \otimes \mu)$.

Proof. Let (ϕ, ψ) be some element of \mathcal{S} , and consider a continuous process Y of the form

$$Y^c(t) = \int_0^t \phi(s) dX(s).$$

Notice that the integral is well defined in a pathwise sense, as this is just a Riemann sum:

$$Y^c(t, \omega) = F(t, X_t, J_t),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, x_t, j_t) &= \int_0^t \phi(s, x_{s-}, j_{s-}) dx(s) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^I \phi_i(x_{\tau_i}, j_{\tau_i}) \mathbf{1}_{(\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]}(t) (x(t) - x(\tau_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\nabla_X F(t, x_t, j_t) = \phi(t, x_t, j_t).$$

So these processes have the form

$$\nabla_X Y^c(t) = \phi((X(t_i), C_{t_i}^{k_j}(j))_{i \in 1..n, j \in 1..m}) \mathbf{1}_{t > t_n},$$

so such $\nabla_X Y^c$ define a total set in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X])$ (see Cont-Fournié [10] and Lemma 3.2). Similarly, the processes of the form

$$Y^d = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y, J_{s-}) \tilde{J}(ds dy),$$

have the form

$$(\nabla^{\mathbb{P}} Y^d)(t, z) = \nabla G(t, X_t, J_t) = \psi(t, z, J_{t-}),$$

with G the following regular functional representation of Y^d :

$$G(t, x_t, j_t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y, j_{s-}) (j(ds dy) - \mu(ds dy, j_{s-})).$$

Moreover, such ψ are dense in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$ (by Section A and Lemma 3.2). □

Remark 3.4. Notice that $\nabla_X Y^d \equiv 0$. Similarly, $\nabla Y^c \equiv 0$.

Corollary 3.5. The space $I(\mathcal{S})$ is dense in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)$.

Proof. This follows by the previous lemma, as the stochastic integral

$$I_{X,\tilde{J}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)$$

$$(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \int_0^\cdot \phi(s) dX(s) + \int_0^\cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y) \tilde{J}(ds, dy)$$

defines a bijective isometry between $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)$. \square

Theorem 3.6. (Extension of (∇_X, ∇_J) to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)$) The operator $(\nabla_X, \nabla_J) : I_{X,\tilde{J}}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)$ is closable in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(X, \mu)$ and its closure $\nabla_{X,J}^{\mathbb{P}}$ defines a bijective isometry between these two spaces:

$$\nabla_{X,J}^{\mathbb{P}} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu),$$

$$F(t, X_t, J_t) := \int_0^t \phi(s) dX(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y) \tilde{J}_X(ds, dy) \mapsto (\nabla_X F, (\nabla F)) = (\phi, \psi).$$

In particular, $\nabla_{X,J}^{\mathbb{P}}$ is the adjoint of the stochastic integral

$$I_{X,\tilde{J}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(X, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(X, \mu),$$

$$(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \int_0^t \phi(s) dX(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(s) \tilde{J}(ds, dy)$$

in the sense that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(X, \mu)$ and for all $Y \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(X, \mu)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y, I_{X,\tilde{J}}(\phi, \psi) \rangle_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)} &:= E \left[Y(T) \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(s) dX(s) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y) \tilde{J}(ds, dy) \right) \right] \\ &= E \left[\int_0^T \nabla_X Y(s) \phi(s) d[X](s) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s, y) \psi(s, y) \mu(ds, dy) \right] \\ &=: \langle \nabla_{X,J}^{\mathbb{P}} Y, (\phi, \psi) \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X] \otimes \mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(X, \mu)$, we know that there exists $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)$ such that

$$Y(t) = \int_0^t \phi(s) dX(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y) \tilde{J}_X(ds, dy).$$

Then, by the Itô isometry on $\mathcal{I}^2([X], \mu)$, with $Z \in I(\mathcal{S})$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y(T)Z(T)] &= E \left[\int_0^T \phi(s) \nabla_X Z(s) d[X]_s + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, y) \nabla Z(s, y) \mu(ds, dy) \right], \quad (10) \\ &= \langle (\phi, \psi), (\nabla_X Z, \nabla Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (10) uniquely characterises ϕ $d\mathbb{P} \times d[X]$ -a.e., and ψ $d\mathbb{P} \times d\mu$ -a.e. For if (η, ρ) is any other solution of (10). Then

$$\langle Y - I_{X,\tilde{J}}(\eta, \rho), Z \rangle_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(X, \mu)} = E \left[(Y - I_{X,\tilde{J}}(\eta)) Z(t) \right] = 0.$$

for all $Z \in I(\mathcal{S})$. Hence, $Y - I_{X,\tilde{J}}(\eta, \rho) = 0$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. on $\mathcal{I}^2(X, \mu)$ by density of $I(\mathcal{S})$ in $\mathcal{I}^2(X, \mu)$. So $\psi = \eta$ $d[X] \times d\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. and $\psi = \rho$ $d\mu \times d\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. and so (ϕ, ψ) is essentially unique.

Now, for any $Y \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(X, \mu)$ and let $(Y^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of $I(\mathcal{S})$ that converges to Y in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(X, \mu)$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[|Y^n(T) - Y(T)|^2], \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\int_0^T \nabla_X Y^n(t) - \phi(t) dX(t) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \nabla Y^n(t, z) - \psi(t, z) \tilde{J}(dtdz) \right]^2, \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} |\nabla Y^n(t, z) - \psi(t, z)|^2 \mu(ds, dz) \right] \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T |\nabla_X Y^n(t) - \phi(t)|^2 d[X](s) \right] \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2E \left[\left(\int_0^T \nabla_X Y^n(t) - \phi(t) dX(t) \right) \cdot \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \nabla Y^n(t, z) - \psi(t, z) \tilde{J}(ds, dz) \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and the last term is zero by the Itô isometry. Consequently, for any approximating sequence Y^n converging to Y , $(\nabla_X Y^n, \nabla Y^n)$ converges to (ϕ, ψ) . So $\nabla_{X,J}$ is closable and we can write $(\phi, \psi) = (\nabla_X^{\mathbb{P}} Y, \nabla^{\mathbb{P}} Y)$ \square

3.2 Martingale representation formula

It is known (Jacod-Shiryaev [21], Lemma 4.24 p. 185) that every local martingale (and so any square-integrable martingale) can be written as

$$Y(t) = Y(0) + \int_0^t \phi dX(s) + \int_{[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d} \psi(s, z) \tilde{J}(dsdz) + N(t), \quad (11)$$

with N a local martingale orthogonal to X and μ .

Theorem 3.7. Assume that the square-integrable martingale Y is such that N is zero in its above decomposition; Then

$$Y(t) = Y(0) + \int_0^t \nabla_X^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s) dX(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla^{\mathbb{P}} Y)(s, z) \tilde{J}(dsdz),$$

where $\nabla^{\mathbb{P}}$ is the closure in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$ of the pathwise operator ∇ introduced in Proposition 2.10 for functionals with a regular functional representation.

Proof. We have that the martingale $Y(t) - Y(0)$ is the sum of two stochastic integrals. So \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$Y(t) - Y(0) = \int_0^t \nabla_X^{\mathbb{P}}(Y(s) - Y(0)) dX(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \nabla^{\mathbb{P}}(Y(s, z) - Y(0)) \tilde{J}(dsdz)$$

Moreover, $Y(0)$ is a constant everywhere and so $\nabla_X^{\mathbb{P}} Y(0) = 0$ and $\nabla^{\mathbb{P}} Y(0) = 0$. The linearity of $\nabla^{\mathbb{P}}$ allows us to write

$$Y(t) = Y(0) + \int_0^t \nabla_X^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s) dX(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \nabla^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s, z) \tilde{J}(dsdz)$$

\square

If the filtration \mathbb{F} has the predictable representation property, i.e. every martingale has $N \equiv 0$ in its decomposition, then we trivially obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8 (Martingale representation formula). Assume that \mathbb{F} has the predictable representation property. Then, for any square-integrable \mathbb{F} -martingale Y ,

$$Y(t) = Y(0) + \int_0^t \nabla_X^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s) dX(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} Y)(s, z) \tilde{J}(dsdz),$$

where $\nabla^{\mathbb{P}}$ is the closure in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$ of the pathwise operator ∇ introduced in Definition 2.10 for functionals with a regular functional representation.

Remark 3.9. When taking the closure in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$ of ∇ , one loses the pathwise interpretation.

Note that this approach treats the continuous and jump parts in a similar fashion. If the filtration is generated by a continuous martingale X and jump measure J (with compensator μ), then one can construct the following martingale-generating measure dS on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$:

$$dS(t, z) = \mathbf{1}_{z=0} \cdot dX(s) + z \tilde{J}(dsdz),$$

and the martingale representation formula can then be rewritten for any square-integrable martingale as

$$Y(t) = Y(0) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} DY(s, z) dS(s, z),$$

where $D = \nabla_X \mathbf{1}_{z=0} + \frac{1}{z} \nabla_{(t,z)} \in \mathbb{R}$.

So D is the limiting quotient operator when $z \rightarrow 0$ of the operator $\frac{1}{z} \nabla_{(t,z)}$, and the continuous and jump integrands are treated in a similar way.

3.3 Relation with Malliavin calculus on Poisson space

We now compare our functional approach with previous approaches based on Malliavin calculus on Poisson space [4, 15, 26, 30, 34, 35].

As mentioned in the introduction, another approach to Malliavin calculus with jumps is chaos expansions on the Poisson space (see e.g. Øksendal et al. [15]). Here one decomposes a random variable satisfying some integrability conditions as a series of iterated integrals:

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_n),$$

where the I_n are iterated integrals of a (symmetric) function f_n with respect to a Poisson process.

The Malliavin derivative is then defined as the operator that bring this decomposition down by one level:

$$D : \mathbb{D}^{1,2} \rightarrow L^2(\lambda \times \nu \times P),$$

$$F \mapsto D_{t,z} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n I_{n-1}(f_n(\cdot, t, z)),$$

with $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ the classical Malliavin-Sobolev appearing in the Malliavin literature.

It was in fact already noted that in the finite-activity case, a pathwise interpretation of the chaos expansion operator is possible, as mentioned in Last-Penrose [26]. Løkka [30] extends the results of Nualart-Vives [34] from the Poisson to the Lévy case (see also Petrou [35] for financial applications), showing that this chaos expansion approach expands to the pure-jump Lévy setting, and is equivalent to Picard operator, which consists in putting an extra weight locally on the jump measure; define the annihilation and creation operators ϵ^- and ϵ^+ on measures by

$$\epsilon_{t,z}^- m(A) = m(A \cap \{(t, z)\}^c),$$

$$\epsilon_{t,z}^+ m(A) = \epsilon_{t,z}^- m(A) + \mathbb{1}_A(t, z).$$

Then, for functionals defined on Ω^g , the space of general measures from $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ to \mathbb{R} , the “Malliavin-type” operator is defined as

$$\tilde{D}_{t,z} : \Omega^g \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$(F, t, z) \mapsto F \circ \epsilon_{t,z}^+ - F.$$

\tilde{D} is closable to $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$, and $\tilde{D} = D$ on $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. This “addition of mass” approach through a creation and annihilation operator appears in other approaches such as the “lent-particle method” [5].

Alternatively, the chaos expansion operator can be associated to an equivalent perturbation operator that takes the form of a quotient operator rather than a finite-difference one. This is typically the case in the work of Léon et al [28] or Solé, Utzet and Vives [41], who work in the framework of Lévy processes: for a

$$\omega = ((t_1, z_1), \dots, (t_k, z_k), \dots),$$

the operator \hat{D} is defined on the space of square-integrable F such that $E[\int (DF)^2 \nu(dz)] < \infty$ as

$$\hat{D}_{t,z} F(\omega) = \frac{F(\omega_{(t,z)}) - F(\omega)}{z},$$

with $\omega_{(t,z)} = ((t_1, z_1), \dots, (t_k, z_k), (t, z), \dots)$. It is shown that if $\hat{D}F$ is square-integrable, then DF is also well defined and coincides with \hat{D} .

These approaches consisting in adding mass to the measure look in some aspects similar to the one that we have taken here. There is, however, a fundamental difference: in our *pathwise* approach, we directly perturb the predictable projection of the process, rather than taking the predictable projection of the perturbed process. Moreover, there is no need to restrict the setting to a Poisson or Lévy space.

The relationship between $\nabla^{\mathbb{P}}$ and the different operators D , \tilde{D} or \hat{D} can be summarised as follows, which is a jump counterpart to the Cont-Fournié lifting theorem [10].

Since all the operators defined above give rise to the following type of martingale representation:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= Y(0) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} {}^p E[D_{s,y} Y_s | \mathcal{F}_s] (J - \mu)(ds dy), \\ &= Y(0) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} {}^p E[\tilde{D}_{s,y} Y_s | \mathcal{F}_s] (J - \mu)(ds dy), \\ &= Y(0) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} {}^p E[\hat{D}_{s,y} Y_s | \mathcal{F}_s] (J - \mu)(ds dy), \end{aligned}$$

the above rewrites as

Proposition 3.10.

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s) = {}^p E[D_{s,y} Y(t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = {}^p E[\tilde{D}_{s,y} Y(t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = {}^p E[\hat{D}_{s,y} Y(t) | \mathcal{F}_s] \quad d\mathbb{P} \times d\mu - a.e.$$

In other words, $\nabla_{\mathbb{P}}$ is the predictable projection of any of the aforementioned Malliavin operators that yield a Clark-Ocone formula.

This translates into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2 & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu) \\ \uparrow ({}^p E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_s])_{s \in [0, T]} & & \uparrow ({}^p E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_s])_{s \in [0, T]} \\ \mathbb{D}^{1,2} & \xrightarrow{(D_{t,z})_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{R}_0^d \\ t \in [0, T]}}} & L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega). \end{array}$$

We of course have a similar diagram for \tilde{D} and \hat{D} , with $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ replaced by their corresponding domains on the bottom-left.

4 Examples

4.1 Kunita-Watanabe decomposition

We consider a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, on which a Brownian motion W and a jump measure J –with compensator μ , such that $\mu(dt dz) = \nu(dz)dt$ – generate the filtration \mathbb{F} . We write

$$X(t) := \sigma W(t) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z \tilde{J}(ds dz),$$

with \tilde{J} the compensated jump measure.

The Kunita-Watanabe decomposition (see e.g. [11]) states that for such a martingale X and $Y \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)$, there exists a unique \tilde{Y} with

1. $\tilde{Y}(\cdot) = E[Y] + \int_0^\cdot \psi(s) dX(s)$,
2. $E[(Y - \tilde{Y})M] = 0$ for all $M = \int_0^\cdot \xi(s) dX(s)$.

One can then compute the Kunita-Watanabe decomposition, such as in [2], extending it from the Malliavin space $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ to the whole $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2([X], \mu)$. We have

$$Y(t) = E[Y] + \int_0^t \nabla_W^{\mathbb{P}} Y \sigma dW(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s, z) \tilde{J}(ds dz).$$

and

$$\tilde{Y}(t) = E[Y] + \int_0^t \psi(s) \sigma dW(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \psi(s) z \tilde{J}(ds dz).$$

Hence

$$Y^\circ := Y - \tilde{Y} = \int_0^t (\nabla_W^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s) - \psi(s)) \sigma dW(s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s, z) - z \psi(s)) \tilde{J}(ds dz),$$

The orthogonality condition entails that for all square-integrable $M(\cdot) := \int_0^\cdot \xi(s) dW(s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y^\circ M] &= E\left[\int_0^t (\nabla_W^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s) - \psi(s)) \xi(s) \sigma^2 ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (\nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s, z) - z\psi(s)) \cdot z \xi(s) \mu(ds dz)\right] \\ &= E\left[\int_0^t \xi(s) \left[(\nabla_W^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s) - \psi(s)) \sigma^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z (\nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} Y - z\psi(s)) \right] ds\right], \end{aligned}$$

using that $\int_0^t \xi(s) dX(s)$ is a martingale, the Itô isometries and the orthogonality relations between continuous and pure jump parts. This implies that

$$(\nabla_W^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s) - \psi(s)) \sigma^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z (\nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s, z) - z\psi(s)) \nu(dz) = 0.$$

and so that

$$\psi(s) = \left(\sigma^2 \nabla_W^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z \nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} Y(s, z) \nu(dz) \right) \cdot \left(\sigma^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} |z|^2 \nu(dz) \right)^{-1}.$$

4.2 Stochastic exponential for pure-jump Lévy processes

In this example, we show how one can recover the SDE satisfied by a stochastic exponential that is a martingale. Consider a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where a jump measure J such that $\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} |z|^2 J(ds dz) < \infty$ a.s., and with absolutely-continuous compensator μ generates the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. As in the previous sections, we write \tilde{J} for the compensated jump measure $J - \mu$. Then, we can write the stochastic exponential:

$$\mathcal{E}_t = e^{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} z (J - \mu)(ds dz)} \prod_{s \in [0, t]} \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} z J(\{s\} \times dz) \right) e^{-\int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} z J(\{s\} \times dz)}.$$

Let us introduce the *truncated Doléans-Dade process*:

$$\mathcal{E}_t^n = e^{\int_0^t \int_{(\frac{1}{n}, \infty)^d} z (J - \mu)(ds dz)} \prod_{s \in [0, t]} \left(1 + \int_{(\frac{1}{n}, \infty)^d} z J(\{s\} \times dz) \right) e^{-\int_{(\frac{1}{n}, \infty)^d} z J(\{s\} \times dz)}.$$

Notice that the functional

$$F^n(t, j_t) = e^{\int_0^t \int_{(\frac{1}{n}, \infty)^d} z (j(ds dz) - \mu(ds dz, j_{s-}))} \prod_{s \in [0, t]} \left(1 + \int_{(\frac{1}{n}, \infty)^d} z j(\{s\} \times dz) \right) e^{-\int_{(\frac{1}{n}, \infty)^d} z j(\{s\} \times dz)},$$

is well defined, since the compensated integral is simply a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral. It is straightforward to compute that $(\nabla_J F^n)(t, z, j_t) = z F^n(t, j_{t-})$. Now, since \mathcal{E}_t^n tends to \mathcal{E}_t in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$, we also have that

$$\nabla_J \mathcal{E}_t^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{E}_t$$

in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$. So

$$\nabla_J \mathcal{E}_t^n = z F^n(t, j_{t-}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} z \mathcal{E}_{t-}$$

in the $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$ sense. So by uniqueness of the integrand in the martingale representation formula,

$$\mathcal{E}_t = 1 + \int_0^T \mathcal{E}_{t-} dX(t)$$

with $X(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} z \tilde{J}(ds, dz)$ a purely discontinuous Lévy martingale. We recover the classical SDE satisfied by E , the stochastic exponential of the martingale X .

4.3 Application to the Kella-Whitt martingale

Consider, on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a Lévy process X with positive jumps

$$X(t) := \gamma t + \int_0^t \int_{(-\infty, 0)} z \tilde{J}(ds dz),$$

where J is a Poisson random measures with compensator

$$\mu(dt dy) = \nu(dy) dt$$

and $\tilde{J} = J - \mu$. We assume the Lévy measure satisfies $\int_{(-\infty, 0)} e^{2\alpha x} \nu(dx) < \infty$ and denote by

$$\overline{X}(t) = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} X(s).$$

The *Kella-Whitt martingale* M associated with X , introduced in [24], appears in queuing theory and modelling of storage processes (see Kella-Boxma [23] and Kyprianou [25]), is defined as:

$$M(t) = \psi(\alpha) \int_0^t e^{-\alpha Z(s)} ds + 1 - e^{-\alpha Z(t)} - \alpha \overline{X}(t),$$

where $Z(t) := \overline{X}(t) - X(t)$, $\alpha > 0$ and

$$\psi(\alpha) = \gamma t + \int_{(-\infty, 0)} (e^{-\alpha x} - 1 - \alpha x \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) \nu(dx).$$

Then M is a martingale (see e.g. [25, Ch. 3, Sec. 5]). Moreover,

$$[M, M]_t = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t \\ |\Delta X(s)| \neq 0}} e^{-\alpha \overline{X}(t)^2} \cdot e^{|\Delta X(s)|^2}.$$

By hypotheses on ν , this quantity is finite, and using Protter ([36], Cor. 3 p. 73) M is a square-integrable martingale.

An application of Theorem 3.8 yields an integral representation formula for this martingale:

Theorem 4.1 (Poisson integral representation of Kella-Whitt martingale). The Kella-Whitt martingale M has the representation:

$$M(t) = E[M(t)] + \int_0^t \int_{(-\infty, 0)} e^{-\alpha(\overline{X}(s) - X(s))} (1 - e^{\alpha y}) \tilde{J}(ds dy).$$

Proof. Denote

$$\psi^n(\alpha) = \gamma t + \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{1}{n})} (e^{-\alpha x} - 1 - \alpha x \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) \nu(dx),$$

and

$$X^n(t) = \gamma t + \int_0^t \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{1}{n})} z \tilde{J}(ds dz),$$

and write

$$M^n(t) := \psi^n(\alpha) \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(\overline{X}^n(s) - X^n(s))} ds + 1 - e^{-\alpha(\overline{X}^n(t) - X^n(t))} - \alpha \overline{X}^n(t).$$

So M^n is M without the small jumps.

Then M^n is a square-integrable martingale, and M^n converges to M in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E} [|M^n(t) - M(t)|^2] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Noticing that M^n has finite variation and is therefore well defined as a pathwise integral, and that since X being spectrally negative, it never reaches its maximum when it jumps, we compute

$$(\nabla M^n)(t, z) = e^{-\alpha(\overline{X}^n(t-) - X^n(t-))} (1 - e^{\alpha z}),$$

which – by using the martingale representation formula – yields:

$$M^n(t) = \int_0^t \int_{(-\infty, 0)} e^{-\alpha(\overline{X^n(s^-)} - X^n(s^-))} (1 - e^{\alpha z}) \tilde{J}(ds dz).$$

To continue: when using functional Itô calculus, pathwise computations – when available – are fairly straightforward. But the price one has to pay for that is to be able to justify the convergence in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$ of an approximating martingale sequence to the desired one. This is the focus of the rest of this example. We have:

$$\mathbb{E}[|M(T) - M^n(T)|^2] \leq CE[(\psi(\alpha) - \psi^n(\alpha))^2 (\int_0^T e^{-\alpha(\overline{X(s)} - X(s))} ds)^2] \quad (12)$$

$$+ CE[(\psi^n(\alpha))^2 (\int_0^T e^{-\alpha\overline{X(s)}} (e^{\alpha X(s)} - e^{\alpha X^n(s)}) ds)^2] \quad (13)$$

$$+ CE[(\psi^n(\alpha))^2 (\int_0^T e^{\alpha X(s)} (e^{-\alpha\overline{X(s)}} - e^{-\alpha\overline{X^n(s)}}) ds)^2] \quad (14)$$

$$+ CE[e^{-2\alpha\overline{X(t)}} (e^{\alpha X(t)} - e^{\alpha X^n(t)})^2] \quad (15)$$

$$+ CE[e^{2\alpha X^n(t)} (e^{-\alpha\overline{X(t)}} - e^{-\alpha\overline{X^n(t)}})^2] \quad (16)$$

$$+ C\alpha^2 E[(\overline{X(t)} - \overline{X^n(t)})^2]. \quad (17)$$

The constant C comes from expanding the square and using the inequality $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$ on the cross-terms. We shall now show that all terms on the left-hand side tend to zero. Results in Dia [16] on small-jump truncations approximations prove useful here, and we use several of them. Term (17) tends to zero: the proof relies on noticing the residual $X(t) - X^n(t)$ is a martingale and using Doob's martingale inequality for the sup (see Dia [16], proof of proposition 2.10). In term (12), notice that the integrand is always less than 1. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\psi(\alpha) - \psi^n(\alpha))^2 (\int_0^T e^{-\alpha(\overline{X(s)} - X(s))} ds)^2] &\leq T^2 E[(\psi(\alpha) - \psi^n(\alpha))^2] \\ &= E[(\int_{(-\frac{1}{n}, 0)} e^{\alpha x} - 1 - \alpha x \mathbf{1}_{|x| < 1} \nu(dx))^2]. \end{aligned}$$

and the integral is deterministic, so the expectation vanishes, and this term tends to zero. Taking term (15),

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{-2\alpha\overline{X(t)}} (e^{\alpha X(t)} - e^{\alpha X^n(t)})^2] &\leq e^{-\alpha X(0)} E[(e^{\alpha X(t)} - e^{\alpha X^n(t)})^2] \\ &= e^{-\alpha X(0)} E[e^{2\alpha X(t)} + e^{2\alpha X^n(t)} - 2e^{\alpha X(t)} e^{\alpha X^n(t)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Proposition 2.2 in Dia [16], $e^{2\alpha X^n(t)}$ converges to $e^{2\alpha X(t)}$ in the following norm:

$$\|e^{2\alpha X(t)} - e^{2\alpha X^n(t)}\|_{L^1} := E[|e^{2\alpha X(t)} - e^{2\alpha X^n(t)}|] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

Also

$$-E[e^{\alpha X^n(t)} e^{\alpha X(t)}] \leq -E[e^{\alpha X^n(t)}] E[e^{\alpha X(t)}]$$

and by the same proposition again, $e^{\alpha X^n(t)} \rightarrow e^{\alpha X(t)}$ in L^1 . Hence, the nonnegative term (15) is bounded from above by a quantity tending to zero.

Concerning term (16),

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{2\alpha X^n(t)} (e^{-\alpha\overline{X(t)}} - e^{-\alpha\overline{X^n(t)}})^2] &\leq E[e^{4\alpha X^n(t)}]^{\frac{1}{2}} E[(e^{\alpha\overline{X(t)}} - e^{\alpha\overline{X^n(t)}})^4]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= e^{2\psi^n(\alpha)t} E[(e^{\alpha\overline{X(t)}} - e^{\alpha\overline{X^n(t)}})^4]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz and the definition of the characteristic exponent. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} &E[(e^{\alpha\overline{X(t)}} - e^{\alpha\overline{X^n(t)}})^4] \\ &= E[e^{4\alpha\overline{X(t)}} - 4e^{-3\alpha\overline{X(t)} - \alpha\overline{X^n(t)}} + 6e^{-2\alpha\overline{X(t)} - 2\alpha\overline{X^n(t)}} - 4e^{-\alpha\overline{X(t)} - 3\alpha\overline{X^n(t)}} + e^{-4\alpha\overline{X^n(t)}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$-4E[e^{3\alpha\overline{X}(t)+\alpha\overline{X}^n(t)}] \text{ and } -4E[e^{-\alpha\overline{X}(t)-3\alpha\overline{X}^n(t)}]$$

both tend to $-4E[e^{-4\alpha\overline{X}(t)}]$ using Proposition 2.2 in Dia [16] once more. Finally

$$6E[e^{-2\alpha\overline{X}(t)-2\alpha\overline{X}^n(t)}] \leq 6\frac{E[e^{-4\alpha\overline{X}(t)}] + E[e^{-4\alpha\overline{X}^n(t)}]}{2}$$

which tends to $3E[e^{-4\alpha\overline{X}(t)}]$. Summing up, term (16) tends to zero.

Regarding (13), by the mean value theorem :

$$E[(\psi^n(\alpha))^2(\int_0^T e^{-\alpha(\overline{X}(s))} (e^{\alpha X(s)} - e^{\alpha X^n(s)}) ds)^2] = (\psi^n(\alpha))^2 E[T^2 (e^{-\alpha(\overline{X}(t_0))} (e^{\alpha X(t_0)} - e^{\alpha X^n(t_0)})^2)]$$

for some t_0 in $[0, T]$, and we conclude by the same argument as in term (15).

Using the mean-value theorem on term (14) in a similar fashion and proceeding as in (16), we conclude that $M^n \rightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$.

Notice in passing that ∇M^n converges in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$ to

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{P}} M(t, z) := e^{-\alpha(\overline{X}(t)-X(t))} (1 - e^{\alpha z});$$

the proof follows exactly the same lines as terms (13) and (14). This yields the following martingale representation formula for the Kella-Whitt martingale:

$$M(t) = E[M(t)] + \int_0^t \int_{(-\infty, 0)} e^{-\alpha(\overline{X}(s)-X(s))} (1 - e^{\alpha y}) \tilde{J}(ds dy).$$

□

4.4 Supremum of a Lévy process

We illustrate the above results by deriving a representation formula for the supremum of a Lévy process. Such representations were by Shiryaev and Yor [40]; the proof relies on the Itô formula. Recently, Rémillard and Renaud [38] provide a derivatiuon of Shiryaev and Yor's result using Malliavin calculus.

Let us introduce the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where the filtration is generated by a Brownian motion W and a Poisson measure J with compensator μ . Let us then consider X , the square-integrable Lévy process defined by,

$$X(t) = X(0) + \mu t + \sigma W(t) + \int_0^t \int_{(-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)} z \tilde{J}(ds dz) + \int_0^t \int_{|z| \geq 1} z J(ds dz)$$

For $T > 0$, we are interested in finding a martingale representation for its supremum at T , denoted by $\overline{X}(T) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} X_s$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $F_t(u) = \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}(t) \leq u)$ denote the distribution of $\overline{X}(T)$. Then

$$\overline{X}(T) = E[\overline{X}(T)] + \int_0^T \nabla_W^{\mathbb{P}} P(t) dW(t) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} P(t, z) \tilde{J}(dt dz).$$

with

$$\nabla_J^{\mathbb{P}} P(t, z) = \int_{\overline{X}-X(t)-z}^{\overline{X}-X(t)} F_{T-t}(u) du \quad \nabla_W^{\mathbb{P}} P(t) = \sigma F_{T-t}(\overline{X}(T) - X(t))$$

To prove the above theorem, we consider the process

$$P(t) = E[\overline{X}(T) | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

We start from the same point as Shiryaev-Yor [40] and Rémillard-Renaud [38]. Our starting point is the following identity:

$$P(t) = \overline{X}(t) + \int_{\overline{X}(t)-X(t)}^{\infty} F_{T-t}(u) du,$$

where $F_t(u) = \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}(t) \leq u)$.

As in the previous example, we focus first on the computations with a process X^n that corresponds to X with all the jumps of size less than $1/n$ truncated:

$$X^n(t) = X^n(0) + \mu t + \sigma W(t) + \int_0^t \int_{z \in (\frac{1}{n}, 1)} z \tilde{J}(dsdz) + \int_0^t \int_{|z| \geq 1} z J(ds dz),$$

and introduce

$$P^n(t) := E[\overline{X^n}(T) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \overline{X^n}(t) + \int_{\overline{X^n}(t) - X^n(t)}^{\infty} F_{T-t}(u) du,$$

where $F_{T-t}(u) = \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}(T-t) > u)$.

One sees that P^n has a functional representation that is not vertically differentiable at the points where X^n reaches its supremum, because the supremum itself is not vertically differentiable at these points. To remedy this, we introduce the following Laplace softsup approximation defined below.

Lemma 4.3. For a càdlàg function f , the associated *Laplace softsup*

$$L(f, t) := \frac{1}{a} \log\left(\int_0^t e^{af(s)} ds\right),$$

satisfies

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} L^a(f, t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(s)$$

Proof. This result can be found for continuous functions in [31, Lemma 7.30]. The proof is similar in the càdlàg case.

$$\frac{1}{a} \log\left(\int_0^t e^{af(s)} ds\right) \leq \frac{1}{a} \log(t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{af(s)}) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(s) + \frac{\log(t)}{a}, \quad (18)$$

using continuities of the exponential and logarithm functions. Having $a \rightarrow \infty$ yields the “ \leq ” inequality. For the converse inequality, let us consider two cases.

In the first case, let us assume that the supremum is attained at a certain point, i.e. there exists t_0 such that $f(t_0) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(s)$. Then, by right-continuity of f , for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for $r \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta)$, $f(r) \geq f(t_0) - \epsilon$. So

$$\frac{1}{a} \log\left(\int_0^t e^{af(s)} ds\right) \geq \frac{1}{a} \log\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\delta} e^{af(s)} ds\right) \geq \frac{1}{a} \log\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\delta} e^{a(f(t_0)-\epsilon)} ds\right) = f(t_0) - \epsilon + \frac{1}{a} \log(\delta).$$

Taking the limit $a \rightarrow \infty$ yields the result, as ϵ is arbitrary.

In the second case where the sup is not reached, the càdlàg property of f entails that there exists t_1 such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(s) = \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow t_1 \\ u < t_1}} f(u) =: f(t_1-).$$

Then, for any ϵ , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(r) \geq f(t_1) - \epsilon$ for $r \in (t_1 - \delta, t_1)$, since f is làg. Using the same computations as in the first case, this yields the result. \square

Lemma 4.4. For a Lévy process X , and any $t > 0$, one has

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E[|L^a(X, t) - \overline{X}(t)|^2] = 0.$$

Proof.

$$E[|L^a(X, t) - \overline{X}(t)|^2] = E[|L^a(X, t)|^2] + E[|\overline{X}(t)|^2] - 2E[L^a(X, t)\overline{X}(t)].$$

Moreover, for $a > 1$, we have that

$$L^a(X, T) \leq \overline{X}(T) + \frac{\log(T)}{a} \leq \overline{X}(T) + (\log(T))^+,$$

by Equation 18, entailing, by dominated convergence

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E[L^a(X, T)] = \overline{X}(T).$$

and

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E[|L^a(X, T)|^2] = E[|\overline{X}(T)|^2],$$

thus yielding convergence. \square

Going back to P^n , we introduce the process $Y^{a,n}(t)$:

$$Y^{a,n}(t) := L^a(X^n, t) + \int_{L^a(X^n, t) - X^n(t)}^{\infty} F_{T-t}(u) du.$$

Lemma 4.5. For any t , $Y^{a,n}(t)$ is square integrable, and

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E[|Y^{a,n}(t) - P^n(t)|^2] = 0$$

Proof. The square-integrability of $Y^{a,n}$ stems from the previous lemma, using that $L^a(X^n, t) \leq \overline{X^n}(t) + (\log(T))^+$ for $a > 1$. Now,

$$E[|Y^{a,n}(t) - P^n(t)|^2] \leq 2E[|L^a(X^n, t) - \overline{X^n}(t)|^2] + 2E\left[\left|\int_{L^a(X^n, t) - X^n(t)}^{\overline{X^n}(t) - X^n(t)} F_{T-t}(u) du\right|^2\right]$$

We get the following inequality

$$\left[\int_{L^a(X^n, t) - X^n(t)}^{\overline{X^n}(t) - X^n(t)} F_{T-t}(u) du\right]^2 \leq E[|L^a(X^n, t) - \overline{X^n}(t)|^2]$$

by bounding the integrand by 1 in the left-hand side. So

$$E[|Y^{a,n}(t) - P^n(t)|^2] \leq 4E[|L^a(X^n, t) - \overline{X^n}(t)|^2]$$

Taking the limit $a \rightarrow \infty$ and using Lemma 4.4 yields

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E[|Y^{a,n}(t) - P^n(t)|^2] = 0.$$

□

For fixed $t \in [0, T]$ let us now introduce the following family of square-integrable martingales

$$(Z^{a,n}(s, t))_{s \in [0, t]} = E[Y^{a,n}(t) | \mathcal{F}_s].$$

Lemma 4.6.

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E[|Z^{a,n}(s, t) - E[P_t^n | \mathcal{F}_s]|^2] = 0$$

Proof.

$$E[|Z^{a,n}(s, t) - E[P_t^n | \mathcal{F}_s]|^2] = E[|E[Y^{a,n}(t) - P^n(t) | \mathcal{F}_s]|^2],$$

which by Jensen's inequality,

$$\leq E[E[|Y^{a,n}(t) - P^n(t)|^2 | \mathcal{F}_s]] = E[|Y^{a,n}(t) - P^n(t)|^2],$$

which converges to zero by Lemma 4.5. □

In particular, this entails that for every t , $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} Z(t, t, a) = P_t^n$. Let us now compute the functional Itô operators of $Z^n(t, t, a)$. At time $s = t$, $Z^n(t, t, a) = Y^{a,n}(t)$, and $Y^{a,n}$ has a pathwise functional representation, allowing to compute explicitly the operators.

$$\nabla_J(Z^{a,n})(t, t, z) = \int_{L^a(X^n, t) - X^n(t) - z}^{L^a(X^n, t) - X^n(t)} F_{T-t}(u) du,$$

and

$$\nabla_W(Z^{a,n})(t, t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{L^a(X^n, t) - X^n(t) - \sigma h}^{L^a(X^n, t) - X^n(t)} F_{T-t}(u) du = F_{T-t}(L^a(X^n, t) - X^n(t)).$$

In a way similar to Lemma 4.5, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \nabla(Z^{a,n})(t, t, z) &= \int_{\overline{X^n} - X^n(t) - z}^{\overline{X^n} - X^n(t)} F_{T-t}(u) du =: \nabla P^n(t, z) \\ \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_X(Z^{a,n})(t, t) &= \sigma F_{T-t}(\overline{X^n}(t) - X^n(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and these quantities must equate to ∇P^n and $\nabla_W P^n$ respectively.

We can conclude that at time T

$$P^n(T) = \overline{X^n}(T) = E[P^n(T)] + \int_0^T \nabla_W P(t) dW(t) + \int_0^T \int_{(-\infty, -\frac{1}{n}) \cup (\frac{1}{n}, \infty)} \nabla P(t, z) \tilde{J}(dt dz)$$

with the integrands defined as above.

In case the Lévy process has finite activity, we are done, as for a n large enough, $P^n = P$. Otherwise, all that remains to do is to remove the truncation of the small jumps. In this case, however, this is straightforward, as

$$E[|P^n(T) - P(T)|^2] = E[|\overline{X^n}(T) - \overline{X}(T)|^2],$$

which tends to zero, using the result of Dia [16], p11. This yields the convergence of $\nabla_W P^n$ and ∇P^n to $\nabla_W P$, which we need to compute. As $X^n \rightarrow X$ a.s., we also have that $\overline{X^n} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ a.s. and $\nabla^{\mathbb{P}} P$ is computable in a straightforward way:

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{P}} P(t, z) = \int_{\overline{X} - X(t) - z}^{\overline{X} - X(t)} F_{T-t}(u) du.$$

Regarding $\nabla_X^{\mathbb{P}} P$, if $\sigma = 0$ then $\nabla_X^{\mathbb{P}} P \equiv 0$. Assuming $\sigma \neq 0$ from now on, then a good candidate is $\sigma F_{T-t}(\overline{X}(t) - X(t))$. Let us investigate the convergence:

$$\begin{aligned} & |F_{T-t}(\overline{X}(T) - X(t)) - F_{T-t}(\overline{X^n}(T) - X^n(t))|^2 \\ & \leq 2|F_{T-t}(\overline{X}(t) - X(t)) - F_{T-t}(\overline{X^n}(t) - X(t))|^2 \\ & \quad + 2|F_{T-t}(\overline{X^n}(t) - X(t)) - F_{T-t}(\overline{X}(T) - X^n(t))|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the first term of the right-hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T |F_{T-t}(\overline{X}(t) - X(t)) - F_{T-t}(\overline{X^n}(t) - X(t))|^2 dt \\ & = \int_0^T |P(X(t) > \overline{X}(t) - \overline{X}(T-t)) - P(X(t) > \overline{X^n}(t) - \overline{X}(T-t))|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero by dominated convergence since $\overline{X^n} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ a.s. As for the second term:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T |F_{T-t}(\overline{X}(t) - X(t)) - F_{T-t}(\overline{X}(T) - X^n(t))|^2 dt \\ & = \int_0^T |P(X(t) > \overline{X^n}(t) - \overline{X}(T-t)) - P(X^n(t) > \overline{X^n}(t) - \overline{X}(T-t))|^2 dt \\ & \leq \int_0^T \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(X(t) > x) - P(X^n(t) > x)|^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

and this quantity tends to zero by dominated convergence and using Proposition 2.10 in Dia [16]. We thus obtain the following representation of the supremum of a Lévy process:

$$P(T) = \overline{X}(T) = E[P(T)] + \int_0^T \nabla_X P(t) dW(t) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} \nabla_J P(t, z) \tilde{J}(dt dz)$$

with

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{P}} P(t, z) = \int_{\overline{X} - X(t) - z}^{\overline{X} - X(t)} F_{T-t}(u) du \quad \nabla_W P(t) = \sigma F_{T-t}(\overline{X}(T) - X(t)).$$

A A density result

In this subsection, we prove that the simple random fields are dense in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$ for a fairly general measure μ . This is a common result in the continuous case [39] that extends to Lévy compensators using some isometry properties between Hilbert spaces [1]. We extend this result to the case of t random and/or time-inhomogeneous compensators. We make the following assumption on the compensator:

Assumption 1. The compensator

$$\mu : \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

has the form $\mu(dt, dz, \omega) = \nu(s, dz, \omega)dt$ where, for $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, T])$, ν is finite on every Borel set $A \times B \in \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d)$ such that $0 \notin \overline{B}$ (\overline{B} denoting the closure of B).

Under these assumptions, one has the following theorem.

Theorem A.1. Let \mathfrak{R} be the vector space of random fields of the form

$$\psi(t, z, \omega) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{n,m} \psi_{ik}(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{(t_i^n(Z_k, \omega), t_{i+1}^n(Z_k, \omega))}(t) \mathbb{1}_{Z_k}(z),$$

where

- $K \subset \mathbb{R}_0^d$ is a Borel set such that $0 \notin \overline{K}$,
- $Z_k \subset \mathbb{R}_0^d$ disjoint Borel sets such that $0 \notin \overline{Z_k}$,
- ψ_{ij} \mathcal{F}_{t_i} -measurable, and
- $(t_i^n)_{i=0}^{2^{2^n}}$ are finite stopping times given by

$$t_i^n(K, \omega) = \inf\{t \in [0, T] \mid \mu([0, t] \times K, \omega) \geq i2^{-n}\} \wedge T \wedge \inf\{t \in [0, T] \mid \mu([0, t] \times K, \omega) \geq 2^n\}$$

Then \mathfrak{R} is dense in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$.

We shall write t_i^n for $t_i^n(\omega, Z)$ to alleviate the notation when there is no risk of confusion.

Proof: Consider a predictable random field $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$. Let us first assume that f is bounded. Let $(Z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of sets of \mathbb{R}_0^d , $0 \notin \overline{Z_j}$, such that for all j and all $\omega \in \Omega$, $\mu([0, T] \times Z_j) < \infty$. This is possible because of the σ -finiteness of μ stemming from Assumption 1.

Remark A.2. Notice that if μ is a predictable measure, then the stopping times defined above are also predictable.

For $m, n \geq 1$, define

$$\begin{aligned} A_{nm}(f)(t, z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(t_i^n(Z_k, \omega), t_{i+1}^n(Z_k, \omega))}(t) \mathbb{1}_{z \in Z_j}}{\mu((t_{i-1}^n(Z_k, \omega), t_i^n(Z_k, \omega)) \times Z_k, \omega)} \left(\int_{(t_{i-1}^n(Z_k, \omega), t_i^n(Z_k, \omega)) \times Z_k} f(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dz, \omega) \right), \end{aligned}$$

with the convention that $0/0 = 0$, which occurs when $t_{i-1}^n = t_i^n$.

Lemma A.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$, $n, m \geq 1$. Then $A_{nm}(f) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and

1. $\|A_{mn}(f)\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$;
2. $\|A_{mn}(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)}$.

Proof. 1. For all ω , there are three cases to be considered:

- (a) for all $z \notin \cup_{k=1}^m Z_k$, then $A_{mn}(f) = f = 0$;
- (b) for all $t \in (T \wedge 2^{2^n}, T]$, $A_{mn}(f) = 0 \leq |f|$;
- (c) otherwise, for all ω ,

$$A_{mn}(f)(t, z, \omega) = \frac{1}{\mu((t_{i^*-1}, t_{i^*}] \times Z_{k^*}, \omega)} \int_{(t_{i^*-1}, t_{i^*}] \times Z_{k^*}} f(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dy, \omega),$$

for a unique couple (i^*, k^*) with $i^* \in 0..2^{2^n}-1$ and $k^* \in 1..m$ such that $(t, z) \in (t_{i^*}, t_{i^*+1}] \times Z_{k^*}$. That is, $A_{mn}(f)$ is the average of f over $(t_{i^*-1}, t_{i^*}] \times Z_{k^*}$. So by the very definition of the average, there exists a point (t_0, z_0) , with $t_0 \in (t_{i^*-1}, t_{i^*}] \times Z_{k^*}$, such that the value of $A_{mn}(f)$ is lower than the value of $|f|$ at that point.

This implies that $\|A_{mn}(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$.

2. To alleviate the notation, let us write

$$c_{ik} = \frac{1}{\mu((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k, \omega)} \left(\int_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k} f(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dz, \omega) \right).$$

Thus, for all ω

$$c_{ik}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\mu((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k, \omega)} \int_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k} f^2(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dy, \omega)$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz.

Since all the $[t_{i-1}^n(Z_k, \omega), t_i^n(Z_k, \omega)] \times Z_k$ are disjoint,

$$A_{mn}^2(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}-1} \sum_{k=1}^m c_{ik}^2 \mathbb{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t) \mathbb{1}_{Z_k}(z).$$

Integrating,

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_0^d} A_{mn}(f)(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dy, \omega) \right] \\ = E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\mu((t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n] \times Z_j)}{\mu((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_j)} \left(\int_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_j} f(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dz, \omega) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have two cases to consider:

1. for a given k , if $t_{2^{2^n}}^n(Z_k, \omega) < T$, then by construction, for all i ,

$$\mu((t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n] \times Z_k) = \mu((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k) = 2^{-n};$$

2. otherwise, there exists an index $i^*(k)$ such that $t_{i^*(k)}^n < T$ and $t_i^n = T$ for all $i \geq i^*(k)$. Hence for a given k , all the terms of time index greater than $i^*(k)$ are null. Besides, this implies two things:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i < i^*(k), \mu((t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n] \times Z_k) &= \mu((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k) = 2^{-n}, \\ \mu((t_{i^*(k)}^n, t_{i^*(k)+1}^n] \times Z_k) &\leq \mu((t_{i^*(k)-1}^n, t_{i^*(k)}^n] \times Z_k) = 2^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

In any case, we obtain

$$\|A_{mn}^2(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)} \leq E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\int_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_j} f^2(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dz, \omega) \right) \right] \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)}.$$

Remark A.4. We can see why we needed to define the t_i^n as a random partition: it is so that the operator $A_{mn}(f)$ defines a contraction in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$. In fact, this is actually the only reason; in the case where μ is a Lévy compensator for example, the fact that μ is time-homogeneous and independent of ω would allow taking the partition deterministic and Lebesgue-equidistant in time. If μ is deterministic but time-inhomogeneous, then t_i^n may be chosen deterministic and μ -equidistant. □

We are now ready to prove:

Lemma A.5. For a bounded function f ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{mn}(f) - f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)} = 0.$$

Proof: We start by introducing the operator

$$B_{mn}(f)(t, z) := \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n]}(t) \mathbb{1}_{Z_k}(z)}{\mu((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k, \omega)} \left(\int_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k} f(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dz, \omega) \right).$$

Notice that $B_{mn}(f)$ is not a simple predictable process: it is actually anticipative. However,

$$(B_{mn}(f)(\cdot, *, \omega))_{n \geq 0}$$

is a martingale.

Lemma A.6. Fix ω and define a probability space $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}'_{\omega, m}, \mathbb{Q}_{\omega, m})$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega'_{\omega, m} &= \{\omega\} \times [0, T] \times \cup_{k=1}^m Z_k, \\ \mathcal{F}'_{\omega, m} &= \{(\omega, A \times B), A \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]), B \in \mathcal{B}(\cup_{j=1}^m Z_k)\}, \\ \mathbb{Q}_{\omega, m}(A \times B) &= \frac{\mu(A \times B, \omega)}{\mu([0, T] \times \cup_{k=1}^m Z_k, \omega)},\end{aligned}$$

which we equip with the smallest filtration $(\mathcal{F}'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that makes the functions

$$(t, z) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}} c_i \mathbb{1}_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n]}(t) \mathbb{1}_{Z_k}(z)$$

measurable for all k in $1..m$. Then

$$(B_{mn}(f))(\cdot, *, \omega)_{n \geq 0}$$

is a $(\mathcal{F}'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\omega, m}$ -martingale.

Proof. Notice that in general f is not $\mathcal{F}_{\omega, m}$ -measurable. However, $f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k}(z)$ is. Then, since conditional expectation is just orthogonal projection,

$$\begin{aligned}E[f(t, z) \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k}(z) | \mathcal{F}'_n](t, z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n]}(t) \mathbb{1}_{Z_k}(z)}{\mu((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k, \omega)} \int_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k} f(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dy, \omega) \\ &= B_{mn}(f)(t, z).\end{aligned}$$

So $(B_{mn}(f))_{n \geq 0}$ is indeed a \mathcal{F}'_n -martingale. \square

Lemma A.7.

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{L}^2_{\mathbb{P}}(\mu), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_{mn}(f) - f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathbb{P}}(\mu)} = 0.$$

Proof. For fixed ω , $\{B_{mn}(f)(\cdot, *, \omega), n \geq 0\}$ being a martingale (by Lemma A.6) allows us to apply the $L^2(Q)$ -bounded martingale convergence theorem to conclude that $B_{mn}(f)(\cdot, *, \omega)$ converges in $L^2(Q)$ except perhaps on a μ -null set. We note this limit $B_{m\infty}(f)$.

Moreover, since f is bounded, dominated convergence gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A \times B} B_{mn}(f)(s, y, \omega) \mu(dtdz, \omega) = \int_{A \times B} B_{m\infty}(f)(s, y, \omega) \mu(dtdz, \omega)$$

for all $A \times B \in \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \cup_{k=1}^m Z_k)$. By definition of the operator $B_{mn}(f)$, we know that

$$\int_{A \times B} B_{mn}(f)(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dy, \omega) = \int_{A \times B} f(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dy, \omega)$$

for all $A \times B$ such that $(\omega, A \times B) \in \mathcal{F}'_a$ ($a \in \mathbb{N}$) and all $n \geq a$. Lévy's zero-one law gives $B_{m\infty} = f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k} d\mu(\cdot, \omega)$ -a.e.

Finally, by dominated convergence:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, T] \times \cup_{k=1}^m Z_k} |B_{mn}(f)(s, y, \omega) - f(s, y, \omega) \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k}(z)|^2 \mu(ds dy, \omega).$$

The result follows by applying a dominated convergence criterion. \square

Lemma A.8. Let f be bounded and $\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathbb{P}}(\mu)$ -integrable. For any m and any fixed l ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f))(t, z, \omega) = B_{ml}(f)(t, z, \omega), d\mathbb{P} \times d\mu - a.e.$$

Proof. For that purpose, we expand $A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f))$, with $n \geq l$:

$$\begin{aligned}A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f))(t, z) & \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{p=1}^{2^{2^l}} \sum_{q=1}^m \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n]}(t) \mathbb{1}_{Z_k}(z)}{\mu((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k) \cdot \mu((t_{p-1}^l, t_p^l] \times Z_q)} \cdot \mu(((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k) \cap ((t_{p-1}^l, t_p^l] \times Z_q))) \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{(t_{p-1}^l, t_p^l] \times Z_q} f(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dy, \omega).\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We now note two things: first, for $q \neq k$,

$$\mu(((t_{i1}^n, t_{i+1}^n] \times Z_k) \cap ((t_{p-1}^l, t_p^l] \times Z_q))) = 0.$$

Moreover, recall that the time grid is refining. This means that since $n \geq l$, the $(t_i^l)_{1 \leq i \leq 2^l}$ are a subset of $(t_i^n)_{1 \leq i \leq 2^n}$. So

$$\mu(((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k) \cap ((t_{p-1}^l, t_p^l] \times Z_k)))$$

is either equal to $\mu((t_{i-1}^n, t_i^n] \times Z_k)$ or zero. So (19) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{p=1}^{2^{2^l}} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n]}(t) \mathbb{1}_{Z_k}(z)}{\mu((t_{p-1}^l, t_p^l] \times Z_k)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{(t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n] \subset (t_{p-1}^l, t_p^l]\}} \cdot \int_{(t_{p-1}^l, t_p^l] \times Z_k} f(s, y, \omega) \mu(ds dy, \omega).$$

By the above, this is almost $B_{ml}(f)$. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f))(t, z, \omega) \\ &= B_{ml}(f)(t, z, \omega) \mathbb{1}_{t \notin \cup_{i=1}^l [t_i^l, t_i^l + t_{2^{i(m-l)+1}}^n]} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2^n}} B_{ml}(f)(t - t_{2^{i(m-l)+1}}^n, z, \omega) \mathbb{1}_{t \in [t_i^l, t_i^l + t_{2^{i(m-l)+1}}^n]} \end{aligned}$$

We note two things. First,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f))(t, z, \omega) = B_{ml}(f)(t, z, \omega) \quad (20)$$

for all (t, z, ω) such that $t \neq t_i^l$, $i \in [0..2^{2^n} - 1]$. Second, for $n \geq l$,

$$|A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f))(t, z, \omega)| \leq |B_{ml}(f)(t, z, \omega)| + |B_{ml}(f)(t - 2^{-n}T, z, \omega)|. \quad (21)$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$|A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f))(t, z, \omega) - B_{ml}(f)(t, z, \omega)| \leq |A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f))(t, z, \omega)| + |B_{ml}(f)(t, z, \omega)|.$$

Squaring both sides, integrating with respect to $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$, and using Equation 21, we see that the left-hand side is dominated by a fixed integrable function. By dominated convergence once more, we finally obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{mn}(B_{ml})(f) - B_{ml}(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} = 0. \quad (22)$$

□

Proof. (Proof of Lemma A.5) For f bounded, we are now ready to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{mn}(f) - f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{mn}(f) - f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} &\leq \|A_{mn}(f - f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k})\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} + \|A_{mn}(f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k} - B_{ml}(f))\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} \\ &\quad + \|A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f)) - f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} \\ &\leq \|f - f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} + \|f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k} - B_{ml}(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} \\ &\quad + \|A_{mn}(B_{ml}(f)) - f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

as A_{mn} is a contraction. So by Lemmas A.7 and A.8, for all l ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{mn}(B_{ml})(f) - B_{ml}(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} \\ & \leq \|f - f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} + 2\|f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k} - B_{ml}(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since l is arbitrary, the previous expression yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{mn}(f) - f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} \leq \|f - f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)}$$

since we know $B_{ml}(f) \rightarrow f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k}$ when $l \rightarrow \infty$. This holds for any m . Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, dominated convergence gives

$$\|f - f \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=1}^m Z_k}\|_{\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{F}^2(\mu)} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

which concludes the proof for f bounded. □

Lemma A.5 shows that any bounded $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\mu)$ may be approximated by elements of \mathfrak{R} . To finish the proof of Theorem A.1, we consider an unbounded f . We can approximate f by bounded f^n as follows. Write

$$f^n(s, y, \omega) = f(s, y, \omega) \mathbb{1}_{|f(s, y, \omega)| \leq n}.$$

We now prove that f^n converges to f pointwise everywhere.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \circ \mu \left(\bigcup_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cap \mathbb{Q}} \bigcap_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*} \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} \{(t, z, \omega) : |f_n(t, z, \omega) - f(t, z, \omega)| > \epsilon\} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \circ \mu \left(\bigcap_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} \{(t, z, \omega) : |f(t, z, \omega)| > n\} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \circ \mu \left(\bigcap_{n_0 \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} \{(t, z, \omega) : |f(t, z, \omega)| > n\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{P} \circ \mu)(|f(t, z, \omega)| > n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|f(t, z, \omega)\|^2}{n^2},$$

using the Chebyshev-Markov inequality. In particular, the series converges. This implies that

$$\inf_{N \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq N} (\mathbb{P} \circ \mu)(|f(t, z, \omega)| > n) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(\bigcap_{n_0 \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} \{(t, z, \omega) : |f(t, z, \omega)| > n\} \right) &\leq \inf_{N \geq 1} (\mathbb{P} \circ \mu)(|f(t, z, \omega)| > n) \\ &\leq \inf_{N \geq 1} (\mathbb{P} \circ \mu)(\cup_{n \geq 1} |f(t, z, \omega)| > n) \\ &\leq \inf_{N \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq N} (\mathbb{P} \circ \mu)(|f(t, z, \omega)| > n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remark A.9. The proof also applies to the case where μ is a point mass at zero i.e.

$$m(ds \, dy, \omega) = \mathbb{1}_0(dy) n(\{s\}) ds,$$

which then enables to show the density of processes of the form

$$\phi(s, \omega) = \sum_{i=0}^I \phi_i(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{(t_i(\omega), t_{i+1}(\omega)]}(t),$$

in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(\text{Leb}([0, T]))$, with ϕ_i \mathcal{F}_{t_i} measurable and the t_i some stopping times.

References

- [1] D. APPLEBAUM, *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [2] F. BENTH, G. D. NUNNO, A. LØKKA, B. ØKSENDAL, AND F. PROSKE, *Explicit Representation of the Minimal Variance Portfolio in Markets Driven by Lévy Processes*, Mathematical Finance, 13 (2003), pp. 55–72.
- [3] K. BICHTLER, J. GRAVEREAUX, AND J. JACOD, *Malliavin calculus for processes with jumps*, Stochastics Monographs, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1987.
- [4] J.-M. BISMUT, *Calcul des variations stochastique et processus de sauts*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, 63 (1983), pp. 147–235.
- [5] N. BOULEAU AND L. DENIS, *Dirichlet Forms for Poisson Measures and Lévy Processes: The Lent Particle Method*, in Stochastic Analysis with Financial Applications, A. Kohatsu-Higa, N. Privault, and S.-J. Sheu, eds., vol. 65 of Progress in Probability, Springer Basel, 2011, pp. 3–20.

- [6] E. CARLEN AND E. PARDOUX, *Differential Calculus and Integration by Parts on Poisson Space*, in Stochastics, Algebra and Analysis in Classical and Quantum Dynamics, S. Albeverio, P. Blanchard, and D. Testard, eds., vol. 59 of Mathematics and Its Applications, Springer Netherlands, 1990, pp. 63–73.
- [7] F. CONFORTOLA, M. FUHRMAN, J. JACOD, ET AL., *Backward stochastic differential equation driven by a marked point process: an elementary approach with an application to optimal control*, Annals of Applied Probability, 26 (2016), pp. 1743–1773.
- [8] R. CONT, *Functional Ito calculus and functional Kolmogorov equations*, in Stochastic integration by parts and Functional Ito Calculus (Barcelona Summer School in Stochastic Analysis, 2012)), Advanced Courses in Mathematics - CRM Barcelona, Birkhauser, 2012, pp. 115–204.
- [9] R. CONT AND D. FOURNIÉ, *A functional extension of the Itô formula*, Comptes-rendus mathématiques de l'Académie des Sciences, 348 (2010), pp. 57–61.
- [10] R. CONT AND D. FOURNIÉ, *Functional Itô calculus and stochastic integral representation of martingales*, Annals of Probability, 41 (2013), pp. 109–133.
- [11] R. CONT AND P. TANKOV, *Financial Modelling with Jump Processes*, Chapman & Hall/Crc Financial Mathematics Series, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2004.
- [12] H. CRAUEL, *Random Probability Measures on Polish Spaces*, Stochastics monographs, CRC Press, 2003.
- [13] M. H. DAVIS, *The representation of martingales of jump processes*, SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization, 14 (1976), pp. 623–638.
- [14] M. H. A. DAVIS, *Martingale representation and all that*, in Advances in control, communication networks, and transportation systems, Systems Control Found. Appl., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 57–68.
- [15] G. DI NUNNO, B. ØKSENDAL, AND F. PROSKE, *Malliavin Calculus for Lévy Processes with Applications to Finance*, Springer, 2009.
- [16] E. DIA, *Error bounds for small jumps of Lévy processes*, Advances in Applied Probability, 45 (2013), pp. 86–105.
- [17] B. DUPIRE, *Functional Itô Calculus*, technical report, Bloomberg L.P., 2009.
- [18] Y. ISHIKAWA, *Stochastic Calculus of Variations for Jump Processes*, vol. 54 of De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, De Gruyter, 2013.
- [19] J. JACOD, *Multivariate Point Process:predictable projection, Radon-Nikodym derivatives, Representation of Martingales*, Z. Wahr. verw. Gebiete, 31 (2005), pp. 235–253.
- [20] J. JACOD, S. MÉLÉARD, AND P. PROTTER, *Explicit form and robustness of martingale representations*, Annals of Probability, 28 (2000), pp. 1747–1780.
- [21] J. JACOD AND A. SHIRYAEV, *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*, vol. 288, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [22] O. KALLENBERG, *Foundations of Modern Probability*, Applied probability, Springer, 2002.
- [23] O. KELLA AND O. BOXMA, *Useful martingales for stochastic storage processes with Lévy-type input*, Journal of Applied Probability, 50 (2013), pp. 439–449.
- [24] O. KELLA AND W. WHITT, *Useful martingales for stochastic storage processes with Lévy input*, Journal of Applied Probability, (1992), pp. 396–403.
- [25] A. KYPRIANOU, *Fluctuations of Lévy Processes with Applications: Introductory Lectures*, Universitext, Springer, 2014.
- [26] G. LAST AND M. PENROSE, *Martingale representation for Poisson processes with applications to minimal variance hedging*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 121 (2011), pp. 1588–1606.

- [27] J. LEÓN, J. SOLÉ, F. UTZET, AND J. VIVES, *Local Malliavin calculus for Lévy processes and applications*, Stochastics An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes, 86 (2014), pp. 551–572.
- [28] J. A. LEÓN, J. L. SOLÉ, F. UTZET, AND J. VIVES, *On Lévy processes, Malliavin calculus and market models with jumps*, Finance and Stochastics, 6 (2002), pp. 197–225.
- [29] J. A. LEÓN AND C. TUDOR, *A chaos approach to the anticipating calculus for the Poisson process*, Stochastics and Stochastic Reports, 62 (1998), pp. 217–250.
- [30] A. LØKKA, *Martingale Representation of Functionals of Lévy Processes*, Stochastic Analysis and Applications, 22 (2005), pp. 867–892.
- [31] P. MÖRTERS AND Y. PERES, *Brownian motion*, vol. 30, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [32] R. NAVARRO AND F. VIENS, *White noise analysis for the canonical Lévy process*, Communications on Stochastic Analysis, 9 (2013), pp. 553–577.
- [33] D. NUALART, *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics, 2nd. ed.*, Probability and Its Applications, Springer, 2006.
- [34] D. NUALART AND J. VIVES, *Anticipative calculus for the Poisson process based on the Fock space*, in Séminaire de Probabilités XXIV 1988/89, J. Azéma, M. Yor, and P.-A. Meyer, eds., vol. 1426 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1990, pp. 155–165.
- [35] E. PETROU, *Malliavin Calculus in Lévy spaces and Applications to Finance*, Electronic Journal of Probability, 13 (2008), pp. 852–879.
- [36] P. PROTTER, *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations, 2nd. ed.*, Applications of mathematics, Springer, 2004.
- [37] B. RAJEEV, *Martingale representation for functionals of Lévy processes*, Sankhya, 77 (2015), pp. 277–299.
- [38] B. RÉMILLARD AND J.-F. RENAUD, *A martingale representation for the maximum of a Lévy process*, Communications on Stochastic Analysis, 5 (2011), pp. 683–688.
- [39] D. REVUZ AND M. YOR, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften A series of comprehensive studies in mathematics, Springer, 1999.
- [40] A. SHIRYAEV AND M. YOR, *On the problem of stochastic integral representations of functionals of the brownian motion. i*, Theory of Probability & Its Applications, 48 (2004), pp. 304–313.
- [41] J. SOLÉ, F. UTZET, AND J. VIVES, *Canonical Lévy process and Malliavin calculus*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 117 (2007), pp. 165 – 187.
- [42] R. SUZUKI, *A Clark-Ocone type formula under change of measure for Lévy processes with L^2 Lévy measure*, Communications on Stochastic Analysis, 7 (2013), pp. 383–407.
- [43] L. WU, *Construction de l'opérateur de Malliavin sur l'espace de Poisson. (Construction of the Malliavin operator on the Poisson space).*, in Sémin. probabilités XXI, Lect. Notes Math. 1247., 1987, pp. 100–113.
- [44] X. ZHANG, *Clark-Ocone formula and variational representation for Poisson functionals*, Ann. Probab., 37 (2009), pp. 506–529.