

Analytic solution of the Boltzmann equation in the early universe

D. Bazow,¹ G. S. Denicol,² U. Heinz,¹ M. Martinez,¹ and J. Noronha^{3,4}

¹*Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA*

²*Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 University Street, Montreal, QC, H3A 2T8, Canada*

³*Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, C.P. 66318, 05315-970 São Paulo, SP, Brazil*

⁴*Department of Physics, Columbia University, 538 West 120th Street, New York, NY 10027, USA*

(Dated: September 5, 2018)

A general method for exactly computing the nonlinear collision term of the Boltzmann equation for a massless relativistic gas in a homogeneous and isotropic spacetime is presented. This approach is used to find an exact analytical solution of the nonlinear relativistic Boltzmann equation in a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker spacetime. This solution can be used to investigate analytically the interplay between global expansion and local thermalization in rapidly evolving systems.

PACS numbers: 25.75-q,51.10.+y,52.27.Ny,98.80.Es

1. Introduction. Since the introduction of the Boltzmann equation nearly 150 years ago, the complex non-equilibrium dynamics displayed by dilute gases and their approach to equilibrium have been active research topics in both physics and mathematics. Over the years, much progress has been made in understanding its non-relativistic limit (see, for instance, [1, 2]). Still, in situations where special [3] or general relativistic effects [4, 5] become important, the general behavior of its physical solutions remains to be fully unraveled.

The relativistic Boltzmann equation plays a prominent role in many areas of modern physics. Applications include the physics of the quark-gluon plasma [6–11], neutrino transport in supernovae [12, 13], and structure formation in cosmology [14–16]. Recently, significant progress [17–24] has been made in understanding the relaxation time approximation [25] of the relativistic Boltzmann equation [26] in the context of ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions. In these studies, the nonlinear collision kernel of the Boltzmann equation is replaced by a simplified version that qualitatively describes the relaxation of the system to equilibrium on a microscopic time scale. These analyses have improved our understanding of the domain of applicability of a number of extended hydrodynamic theories used in the description of rapidly expanding plasmas [17–22]. However, to fully determine how a relativistic kinetic system evolves towards equilibrium the effects of nonlinearities intrinsic to the contribution of particle collisions on its dynamics should be explored in greater detail.

In this Letter we take a significant step in this direction. We introduce a general method for computing the nonlinear collision term of the relativistic Boltzmann equation for a massless gas in a homogeneous and isotropic spacetime. This approach is used to find an analytical solution of the Boltzmann equation for an expanding Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe [27]. The derived solution describes the expansion-driven deviations of the particle’s momentum distributions from local thermal equilibrium, as a function of the time-

dependent Hubble parameter. It is applicable to the radiation-dominated phase of the early universe when all particle masses are negligibly small compared to the temperature.

2. Boltzmann equation in FRW spacetime. We consider a homogeneous and isotropic expanding system of massless particles described by the FRW metric [28]

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) . \quad (1)$$

Since we are considering a gas of massless particles, the energy density and the pressure are related as $\varepsilon = 3p$ and the cosmological scale factor $a(t) \sim t^{1/2}$ [28]. Nevertheless, since our solution will be valid for any $a(t) > 0$, we shall leave the scale factor unspecified, leaving open the possibility for other future applications. For the FRW metric the nonzero Christoffel symbols are $\Gamma_{ij}^0 = a(t)\dot{a}(t)\delta_{ij}$ and $\Gamma_{0j}^i = \delta_j^i H(t)$, where i, j denote spatial indices, $H(t) \equiv \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ is the Hubble parameter, and $\sqrt{-g} = a^3(t)$, with g being the determinant of the metric in (1). Even though the fluid flow of this system is locally static, $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, the expanding FRW geometry induces a nonzero fluid expansion rate $\theta(t) \equiv \partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}u^\mu)/\sqrt{-g} = 3H(t)$.

The dynamics of the single-particle distribution function, $f_{\mathbf{k}}(x)$, is given by the relativistic Boltzmann equation in curved space [20, 21, 29]

$$k^\mu \partial_\mu f_{\mathbf{k}} + \Gamma_{\mu i}^\lambda k_\lambda k^\mu \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial k_i} = \mathcal{C}[f] . \quad (2)$$

Since the FRW universe is based on the assumptions of spatial homogeneity and isotropy, $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ cannot depend on spatial position \mathbf{x} and must be locally isotropic in momentum, depending only on $u \cdot k = k^0$ where for massless particles $k^0 = k/a(t)$ with $k = |\mathbf{k}|$. We therefore write $f_{\mathbf{k}}(x) = f_k(t)$ from here on.

The symmetries of the FRW metric strongly constrain the form of the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ and particle 4-current N^μ of the matter. Due to local momentum isotropy the viscous shear-stress tensor and particle diffusion current vanish exactly. Further, the bulk viscous

pressure is zero for massless particles. Therefore, the conserved currents always take their equilibrium form, and the time evolution of the energy and particle densities, ε and n , is fully determined by the conservation laws

$$\partial_t n + 3nH(t) = 0, \quad \partial_t \varepsilon + 4\varepsilon H(t) = 0. \quad (3)$$

With initial condition $a(t_0) = 1$, they are solved by $n(t) = n(t_0)/a^3(t)$ and $\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon(t_0)/a^4(t)$.

Even though the conserved currents $T^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon u^\mu u^\nu - p g^{\mu\nu}$ and $N^\mu = n u^\mu$ take the same form as in local thermal equilibrium, the system itself does not have to be in equilibrium. In fact, the local momentum distribution $f_k(t)$ is driven away from its local equilibrium form by an amount proportional to the expansion rate $\theta(t) = 3H(t)$ of the FRW universe. However, this process happens without disturbing the spatial homogeneity and isotropy of the system and, consequently, there are no out-of-equilibrium corrections to the conserved currents. A similar behavior was found in [23, 24] in the context of the relaxation time approximation.

As in the case of Milne coordinates [21], one finds that in Eq. (2) the contribution from the Christoffel symbols cancels exactly, and the Boltzmann equation reduces to

$$k^0 \partial_t f_k = \mathcal{C}[f]. \quad (4)$$

Ignoring quantum statistics, the collision term is given by

$$\mathcal{C}[f] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{k'pp'} W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'} (f_p f_{p'} - f_k f_{k'}), \quad (5)$$

where $\int_k \equiv \int d^3k / [(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{-g} k^0]$ and $W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}$ is the transition rate. To make progress on evaluating (5) we make the simplifying assumption of isotropic scattering with energy-independent total cross section σ . Then $W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}$ takes the form [4, 5, 29]

$$W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'} = (2\pi)^5 \sqrt{-g} \sigma s \delta^4(k+k'-p-p'), \quad (6)$$

where $s = (k^\mu + k'^\mu)(k_\mu + k'_\mu)$. Thus, the Boltzmann equation becomes

$$k^0 \partial_t f_k = \frac{(2\pi)^5}{2} \sqrt{-g} \sigma \int_{k'pp'} s \delta^4(k+k'-p-p') (f_p f_{p'} - f_k f_{k'}). \quad (7)$$

Note that even for the highly symmetric case considered here the relativistic Boltzmann equation is still a non-linear integro-differential equation for f_k .

3. Moment equations. We proceed to solve the Boltzmann equation using the method of moments [11]. Due to local momentum isotropy, the distribution function f_k can be fully described by scalar moments only [30]:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_m(t) &= \int_{\mathbf{k}} (u \cdot \mathbf{k})^{m+1} f_k(t) = \int_{\mathbf{k}} (k^0)^{m+1} f_k(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{a^{m+3}(t)} \int_0^\infty dk k^{m+2} f_k(t) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}_0). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The time dependence of the two lowest moments, the number density $n(t) \equiv \rho_0(t)$ and the energy density $\varepsilon(t) \equiv \rho_1(t)$, is given by the conservation laws already discussed. For a classical gas of massless particles, they provide the time-dependence of the temperature T and fugacity $\lambda = \exp(\mu/T)$ (where μ is the chemical potential) via the matching conditions [11] $T = \varepsilon/(3n)$ and $\nu_d \lambda = n\pi^2/T^3$ where ν_d is the number of massless degrees of freedom in the universe (including statistical degeneracy factors). In the following we set $\nu_d = 1$ for simplicity.

From the matching conditions it follows that $T(t) = T(t_0)/a(t)$ and $\mu(t) = \mu(t_0)/a(t)$ such that the fugacity λ is time-independent. $T(t)$ and λ define the local equilibrium distribution function $f_k^{\text{eq}}(t) = \lambda \exp(-u \cdot k/T(t))$ and the equilibrium scalar moments

$$\rho_m^{\text{eq}}(t) = \frac{(m+2)!}{2\pi^2} \lambda T^{m+3}(t). \quad (9)$$

To express the Boltzmann equation in terms of the scalar moments ρ_m we multiply (7) by $(u \cdot k)^m$ and integrate over k . This results in

$$\partial_t \rho_m(t) + (3+m)H(t)\rho_m(t) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{gain}}^{(m)}(t) - \mathcal{C}_{\text{loss}}^{(m)}(t), \quad (10)$$

where the gain and loss terms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\text{gain}}^{(m)}(t) &= \frac{(2\pi)^5}{2} \sqrt{-g} \sigma \int_{kk'pp'} s (u \cdot p)^m \delta^4(k+k'-p-p') f_k f_{k'}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{\text{loss}}^{(m)}(t) &= \frac{(2\pi)^5}{2} \sqrt{-g} \sigma \int_{kk'pp'} s (u \cdot k)^m \delta^4(k+k'-p-p') f_k f_{k'}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here the gain term was simplified by using the symmetry of the transition rate under interchange of the incoming and outgoing momenta. With

$$\sqrt{-g} \int_{pp'} \delta^4(k+k'-p-p') = 1/(2\pi)^5, \quad (12)$$

the loss term reduces straightforwardly to [11]

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{loss}}^{(m)}(t) = \sigma \rho_m(t) n(t). \quad (13)$$

However, the gain term is more involved. One first writes

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{gain}}^{(m)}(t) = \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{kk'} s f_k f_{k'} \mathcal{P}_m, \quad (14)$$

where the inner kernel

$$\mathcal{P}_m \equiv (2\pi)^5 \sqrt{-g} \int_{pp'} (u \cdot p)^m \delta^4(k+k'-p-p'), \quad (15)$$

can be expressed in the following form [31]:

$$\mathcal{P}_m = \frac{m!}{[2a(t)]^m} \sum_{j \text{ odd}}^{m+1} \frac{(k+k')^{m+1-j} |\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}'|^{j-1}}{(m+1-j)! j!}. \quad (16)$$

Plugging this back into (14) and performing some algebraic manipulations [31], one obtains,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{gain}}^{(m)}(t) = 2(m+2)m!\sigma \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{\rho_j(t)}{(j+2)!} \frac{\rho_{m-j}(t)}{(m-j+2)!}. \quad (17)$$

Using these results in Eq. (10) we derive the following exact evolution equation for the moments:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \rho_m(t) + [(3+m)H(t) + \sigma n(t)]\rho_m(t) \\ &= 2(m+2)m!\sigma \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{\rho_j(t)}{(j+2)!} \frac{\rho_{m-j}(t)}{(m-j+2)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This infinite set of coupled nonlinear differential equations for the scalar moments $\rho_m(t)$ is equivalent to the original relativistic integro-differential Boltzmann equation. For $m=0$ and $m=1$ Eq. (18) reduces to Eqs. (3) for the particle and energy densities. We can further simplify the moment equations by introducing the scaled moments $M_m(\hat{t}) \equiv \rho_m(t)/\rho_m^{\text{eq}}(t)$ [32] and the scaled time $\hat{t} = t/\ell_0$ where $\ell_0 = 1/(\sigma n(t_0))$ is the (constant) mean free path at time t_0 . This yields the surprisingly simple evolution equations

$$a^3(\hat{t}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}} M_m(\hat{t}) + M_m(\hat{t}) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m M_j(\hat{t}) M_{m-j}(\hat{t}). \quad (19)$$

Equation (19) is the main result of this Letter. While the nonlinear coupling between different moments was expected from the nonlinearity of the collision kernel, the same cannot be said about another key feature of Eq. (19): it can be solved recursively, i.e. the solution of the evolution equation for M_n requires only previously solved moments $M_k(t)$ of lower order $k < n$. This property depends on our choice of an energy-independent cross section; it is essential for being able to solve (19) analytically.

All information about the expansion of the universe appears in the factor $a^3(\hat{t})$ multiplying the time derivative in (19). This implies that the way the system evolves towards or away from equilibrium depends crucially on $a(\hat{t})$. Moreover, it is easy to see that if the initial $f_k(\hat{t}_0)$ is positive-definite, $M_n(\hat{t}_0) > 0$, and Eq. (19) then implies that all the moments will remain positive throughout the evolution, translating into positivity for f_k at all momenta for all times.

Equation (19) closely resembles the Bobylev-Krook-Wu (BKW) equation derived almost four decades ago [33, 34] in a famous study about homogeneous and isotropic solutions of the *non-relativistic* Boltzmann equation (for a review see [35]). To see that a very similar equation can be obtained for a relativistic fluid in an expanding universe is rather amazing. In fact, by defining the time variable $\tau = \int_{\hat{t}_0}^{\hat{t}} dt'/a^3(t')$ to take into account the expansion of the universe [36], our equation (19) for the

moments becomes identical to the BKW equations [34]:

$$\partial_\tau M_m(\tau) + M_m(\tau) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m M_j(\tau) M_{m-j}(\tau). \quad (20)$$

This indicates that even though the underlying symmetries of these physical systems are quite different (BKW's are based on Galilean invariance with static conditions while ours are embedded into an expanding universe described within general relativity), these systems are actually equivalent from a dynamical perspective and evolve towards equilibrium in a universal manner. In fact, we note that our equations also reduce to BKW's when $a(\hat{t}) \equiv 1$, i.e. for a non-expanding metric (though special relativistic effects are still fully taken into account).

4. Analytical solution of the moment equations. Given the close relation of our Eq. (19) with the BKW equation (20) it is not surprising that it admits an exact analytic solution of the Krook and Wu type:

$$M_m(\tau) = \mathcal{K}(\tau)^{m-1} [m - (m-1)\mathcal{K}(\tau)] \quad (m \geq 0), \quad (21)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{1}{4} \exp(-\tau/6)$. The time evolution of the moments is shown in Fig. 1 (a). One sees that low-order moments equilibrate more quickly than the higher-order ones that are needed to describe the high-momentum non-equilibrium tail of the distribution function.

Given the exact form (21) of the moments $M_m(\tau)$, the distribution function can be reconstructed as follows. One defines the function $\mathcal{F}(\tau, u \cdot k) = (u \cdot k)^2 \theta(u \cdot k) f_k$ where $u \cdot k = k/a(\tau)$, expands its Fourier transform with respect to k in terms of the moments $M_m(\tau)$, and then transforming it back. This yields the exact result [31]

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(\tau) &= \lambda \exp\left(-\frac{u \cdot k}{\mathcal{K}(\tau)T(\tau)}\right) \\ &\times \left[\frac{4\mathcal{K}(\tau)-3}{\mathcal{K}^4(\tau)} + \frac{u \cdot k}{T(\tau)} \left(\frac{1-\mathcal{K}(\tau)}{\mathcal{K}^5(\tau)} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first full analytic solution of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation for an expanding interacting gas. At the initial time \hat{t}_0 (corresponding to $\tau = 0$ and $T(\tau) = T_0$) one finds the initial condition $f_k(0) = \frac{256}{243} (k/T_0) \lambda \exp[-4k/(3T_0)] > 0$. From the above it follows that our solution (22) remains positive at all times $\tau > 0$. In Fig. 1 (b) we show how the shape of the non-equilibrium distribution function (22) as a function of $u \cdot k/T(\tau) = k/T_0$ evolves with τ . Initially, at $\tau = 0$, the high momentum tail is largely underpopulated whereas momentum modes in the range $k/T_0 \sim 1.6 - 5$ are over-occupied relative to local equilibrium. As time evolves, high momentum modes are populated at the expense of the over-populated moderate momentum region, in a process resembling an energy cascade.

Our analytic solution allows to analyze the properties of the non-equilibrium distribution function in real time t in great detail for a number of different cosmological




FIG. 1: (Color online) Time evolution of the moments M_n (a) and of the ratio between the out-of-equilibrium solution (22) and the equilibrium distribution (b).

scenarios [31]. Numerically, the moment equations (19) can additionally be studied for a variety of different initial conditions [31] for which no analytic solution exists. Here we briefly address the question whether the direct energy cascade seen in Fig. 1 proceeds via turbulence. While a detailed study of turbulent behavior in this system is beyond the scope of this paper, we note here that expressing the Boltzmann equation in terms of its moments may be quite useful in this context. For instance, it is easy to see that non-thermal fixed points corresponding to exact self-similar solutions of the kind [37]

$$f_k(\hat{t}) = a^\gamma(\hat{t}) f_S(a^\beta(\hat{t}) u \cdot k), \quad (23)$$

where γ and β are the scaling exponents and f_S is the fixed point distribution [37], are not compatible with Eq. (19). In fact, using Eq. (3) one can see that the scaling exponents are necessarily $\gamma=0$ and $\beta=1$, and that the Ansatz (23) for the distribution function (if assumed

to be valid for all momenta) leads to moments

$$\rho_m^S(\hat{t}) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{c_m^S}{a^{m+3}(\hat{t})}, \quad (24)$$

where $c_m^S = \int_0^\infty d\xi \xi^{m+2} f_S(\xi)$, which have the same time dependence as the equilibrium moments. This implies that the corresponding normalized moments $M_m^S = \rho_m^S / \rho_m^{eq}$ are time independent. Since the conservation laws require that $M_0^S = M_1^S = 1$, one can then use (19) to show that $M_m^S \rightarrow 1$ for all m . This shows that the only true fixed point of the dynamics is the local thermal equilibrium distribution.

6. Conclusions. We here outlined a general method for computing, in exact form, the nonlinear collision term of the relativistic Boltzmann equation for a massless relativistic gas in an expanding universe. For an energy-independent isotropic scattering cross section the nonlinear Boltzmann equation could be transformed into a simple set of non-linearly coupled moment equations which, for a particular initial condition, could be solved exactly. As far as we know, this is the first nontrivial analytic solution of the non-linear Boltzmann equation that includes general relativity effects. It can be used to study the non-equilibrium behavior of matter in the early universe. For other initial conditions the moment equations can be solved numerically in a simple recursive fashion.

The study performed here can be extended along several directions. One may consider different types of cross sections, or include nonzero particle masses to investigate bulk viscous effects. A comparison between the solution of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation presented here with a simple linear relaxation-time collision term could shed valuable light on the importance of non-linear effects for rate of thermalization. If possible, the generalization of the method presented here to expanding systems with different symmetries [17, 20] that are relevant for the study of the quark-gluon plasma formed in heavy ion collisions would be particularly desirable.

Acknowledgements. JN thanks the Physics Department at Columbia University for its hospitality and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) for financial support. UH expresses his gratitude to the Institute for Nuclear Theory for hospitality during the final stages of this work. GSD is supported by a Banting Fellowship from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. DB, UH and MM are supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Awards DE-SC0004286 and (within the framework of the JET Collaboration) DE-SC0004104.

-
- [1] C. Cercignani, *The Boltzmann equation and its applications* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988).
- [2] S. J. Friedlander, D. Serre, *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics* (North-Holland, 2002).
- [3] S. R. de Groot, W. A. van Leeuwen and Ch. G. van Weert, *Relativistic Kinetic Theory - Principles and Applications* (Elsevier North-Holland, 1980).
- [4] C. Cercignani and G. M. Kremer, *The Relativistic Boltzmann Equation: Theory and Applications* (Boston; Basel; Berlin: Birkhäuser, 2002).
- [5] J. Bernstein, *Kinetic Theory in the Expanding Universe* (Cambridge University Press, 1988).
- [6] U. Heinz, *Annals Phys.* **161**, 48 (1985); **168**, 148 (1986).
- [7] S. A. Bass *et al.*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **41**, 255 (1998).
- [8] P. B. Arnold, G. D. Moore and L. G. Yaffe, *JHEP* **0011**, 001 (2000).
- [9] D. Molnar and M. Gyulassy, *Nucl. Phys. A* **697**, 495 (2002) [Erratum: *Nucl. Phys. A* **703**, 893 (2002)].
- [10] Z. Xu and C. Greiner, *Phys. Rev. C* **71**, 064901 (2005).
- [11] G. S. Denicol, H. Niemi, E. Molnar and D. H. Rischke, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 114047 (2012) [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 039902 (2015)].
- [12] M. Liebendoerfer, M. Rampp, H.-T. Janka and A. Mezzacappa, *Astrophys. J.* **620**, 840 (2005).
- [13] H. T. Janka, K. Langanke, A. Marek, G. Martinez-Pinedo and B. Mueller, *Phys. Rept.* **442**, 38 (2007).
- [14] C. P. Ma and E. Bertschinger, *Astrophys. J.* **455**, 7 (1995).
- [15] S. Dodelson, *Modern Cosmology* (Academic Press, 2003).
- [16] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology*, (Oxford University Press, 2008).
- [17] W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski and M. Strickland, *Nucl. Phys. A* **916**, 249 (2013).
- [18] W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. C* **88**, 024903 (2013).
- [19] G. S. Denicol, W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 044905 (2014).
- [20] G. S. Denicol, U. Heinz, M. Martinez, J. Noronha and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 202301 (2014).
- [21] G. S. Denicol, U. Heinz, M. Martinez, J. Noronha and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 125026 (2014).
- [22] M. Nopoush, R. Ryblewski and M. Strickland, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 4, 045007 (2015).
- [23] J. Noronha and G. S. Denicol, arXiv:1502.05892 [hep-ph].
- [24] Y. Hatta, M. Martinez and B. W. Xiao, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 085024 (2015).
- [25] P. L. Bhatnagar, E. P. Gross and M. Krook, *Phys. Rev.* **94**, 511 (1954).
- [26] J. L. Anderson and H. R. Witting, *Physica* **74**, 466 and 489 (1974).
- [27] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity* (John Wiley & Sons, 1972).
- [28] D. Baumann, *Cosmology Part III: Mathematical Tripos*, available at <http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/db275/Cosmology/Lectures.pdf>
- [29] F. Debbasch and W. van Leeuwen, *Physica A* **388**, 1079 and 1818 (2009).
- [30] For anisotropic systems, this is no longer true and one must consider additional irreducible moments of f_k [11].
- [31] D. Bazow, G. S. Denicol, U. Heinz, M. Martinez, J. Noronha, in preparation.
- [32] Eqs. (3) and the matching conditions imply that $M_0(\hat{t}) = M_1(\hat{t}) = 1$ throughout the evolution.
- [33] A. V. Bobylev, *Sov. Phys. Dokl.* **20**, 820 (1976).
- [34] M. Krook and T. T. Wu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **36**, 1107 (1976); *Phys. Fluids* **20**, 1589 (1977).
- [35] M. H. Ernst, *J. Stat. Phys.* **34**, 5/6 (1984).
- [36] This is equivalent to scaling the time t by the time-dependent mean free path $\ell(t) = 1/(\sigma n(t))$ instead of the constant ℓ_0 used above Eq. (19).
- [37] J. Berges, arXiv:1503.02907 [hep-ph].