

THE TOTAL THURSTON-BENNEQUIN NUMBER OF COMPLETE AND COMPLETE BIPARTITE LEGENDRIAN GRAPHS

DANIELLE O'DONNOL[†] AND ELENA PAVELESCU

ABSTRACT. We study the Thurston-Bennequin number of complete and complete bipartite Legendrian graphs. We define a new invariant called the total Thurston-Bennequin number of the graph. We show that this invariant is determined by the Thurston-Bennequin numbers of 3-cycles for complete graphs and by the Thurston-Bennequin number of 4-cycles for complete bipartite graphs. We discuss the consequences of these results for K_4 , K_5 and $K_{3,3}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by the appearance of Legendrian graphs in important results, the authors began studying them [6]. Two nice examples of such results are Giroux's proof of existence of open book decompositions compatible with a given contact structure [5], and Eliashberg and Fraser's proof of the Legendrian simplicity of the unknot [2]. We anticipate that with a better understanding of Legendrian graphs, they will become an even more robust tool.

In [6], the authors extended the classical invariants Thurston-Bennequin number, tb , and rotation number, rot , from Legendrian knots to Legendrian graphs. Throughout this paper we work in \mathbb{R}^3 with the standard contact structure, ξ_{std} . The Thurston-Bennequin number measures the number of times the contact planes twist around the knot as the knot is traversed once, and can be computed from the front projection as $tb(K) = w(K) - \frac{1}{2}c(K)$, where $w(K)$ is the writhe and $c(K)$ is the number of cusps. For a Legendrian graph, G , with a fixed order on its cycles, the *Thurston-Bennequin number*, $tb(G)$, is the ordered list of the Thurston-Bennequin numbers of its cycles. Similarly, the *rotation number*, $rot(G)$, is the ordered list of the rotation numbers of its cycles. It is known [3] that if K is a Legendrian knot in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$ and Σ is a Seifert surface for K , then

$$tb(K) + |rot(K)| \leq -\chi(\Sigma).$$

This inequality puts an upper bound on the $tb(K)$. In particular, if K is the unknot, then $tb(K) \leq -1$. There is a unique Legendrian unknot with maximal $tb(K) = -1$, it is called the *trivial unknot*. For a topological knot type \mathcal{K} , we denote by $tb_{max}(\mathcal{K})$ the maximum tb among all Legendrian knots of topological type \mathcal{K} .

Date: September 24, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57M25, 57M50; Secondary 05C10.

Key words and phrases. Legendrian graph, Thurston-Bennequin number, complete graph, complete bipartite graph.

[†] This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-1406481.

In this paper, we introduce a new invariant of Legendrian graphs, called the total Thurston-Bennequin number. The *total Thurston-Bennequin number*, $TB(G)$, is the sum of tbs over all cycles of G . We derive a simplified diagrammatic means of computing $TB(G)$ for complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs, and show that it depends only on the tbs of the smallest cycles. In particular cases, we show that the Thurston-Bennequin number tb of a graph is determined by the total Thurston-Bennequin number TB .

The main theorems relate the total Thurston-Bennequin number of complete and complete bipartite graphs with the sum of the tbs of their smallest cycles. For a Legendrian embedding of the complete graph on n vertices, K_n , in (\mathbb{R}, ξ_{std}) , we show:

$$\begin{aligned} TB(K_n) &= TB_3(K_n) \cdot \sum_{r=3}^n \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!} \\ &= [(n-2)w_e(K_n) + w_{ae}(K_n) - \frac{1}{2}[(n-2)c_e(K_n) + c_v(K_n)]] \cdot \sum_{r=3}^n \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!}, \end{aligned}$$

where $TB_3(K_n)$ is the sum of the tbs over all 3-cycles of K_n , and w_* and c_* indicate different writhes and cusp counts described in Section 2. For a Legendrian embedding of the complete bipartite graph, $K_{n,m}$ with $m \leq n$ in (\mathbb{R}, ξ_{std}) , we show:

$$TB(K_{n,m}) = TB_4(K_{n,m}) \cdot \sum_{r=2}^m \frac{(m-2)!(n-2)!}{(m-r)!(n-r)!},$$

where $TB_4(K_{n,m})$ is the sum of the tbs over all 4-cycles of $K_{n,m}$, and

$$TB_4(K_{n,m}) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(n-1)(m-1)w_e(K_{n,m}) + (n-1)w_{ae[P]}(K_{n,m}) + (m-1)w_{ae[Q]}(K_{n,m}) + w_{ne}(K_{n,m}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}[(n-1)(m-1)c_e(K_{n,m}) + (n-1)c_{v[P]}(K_{n,m}) + (m-1)c_{v[Q]}(K_{n,m})]. \end{aligned}$$

Here w_* and c_* indicate different writhes and cusp counts described in Section 3.

Let a *minimal embedding* of G be one where all minimal length cycles are unknots with $tb = -1$. An *unknotted minimal embedding* of G is a minimal embedding where all the cycles are unknots. We give some examples of minimal embeddings of K_4 , K_5 and $K_{3,3}$. For unknotted minimal embeddings of K_4 and $K_{3,3}$, using our understanding of TB and the graphs structure, we show they have unique tb and $|rot|$ up to relabelling of the cycles. We give a lower bound for the tb of an unknotted r -cycle in an embedding of K_n with all 3-cycles trivial unknots.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Youngjin Bae, Byung Hee An and Gabriel C. Drummond-Cole for useful conversation, and Tim Cochran and John Etnyre for their interest and support.

2. THE GRAPH THURSTON-BENNEQUIN NUMBER FOR COMPLETE GRAPHS

In this section we introduce much of the notation and definitions that are used throughout this article. This section is focused on the total Thurston-Bennequin number of a complete graph. Here we prove the main theorem for the TB of complete graphs and look at the consequences for embeddings of K_4 and K_5 .

We first introduce notation and definitions.

Definition 2.1. For a Legendrian graph G , we define the *total Thurston-Bennequin number of G* , $TB(G)$, as the sum of Thurston-Bennequin numbers over all cycles of G . For a Legendrian graph G , we define $TB_r(G)$ as the sum of Thurston-Bennequin numbers over all r -cycles of G .

A *minimal embedding* of G is a Legendrian embedding where all minimal length cycles are trivial unknots. We denote by $G[\mathcal{K}]$ an embedding of the graph G for which all cycles have the knot type \mathcal{K} . We denote the unknot with \mathcal{U} . An embedding $G[\mathcal{U}]$ with all minimal length cycles trivial unknots is called an *unknotted minimal embedding*. The set of all r -cycles of a graph G is denoted by $\Gamma_r(G)$, or simply Γ_r when G is understood. For a cycle γ of G , we denote by $w(\gamma)$ and $c(\gamma)$ the *writhe of γ* (signed sum of crossings of γ) and the *number of cusps of γ* , respectively. For edges e, f and vertex v , we denote by $w(e)$ the writhe of edge e with itself, by $w(e, f)$ the signed sum of crossings between edges e and f , by $c(f)$ the number of cusps along edge f , and by $c(v)$ the number of cusps at vertex v , looking at each pair of edges going through v . For a front diagram of a Legendrian graph G , we define

- the *edge writhe of G* as the sum of writhes over all edges of G , $w_e(G) = \sum_{f \in E(G)} w(f)$.
- the *adjacent edge writhe of G* as the sum of writhes over all pairs of adjacent edges of G , $w_{ae}(G) = \sum_{e, f \in E(G)_{\text{adj}}} w(e, f)$.
- the *non-adjacent edge writhe of G* as the sum of writhes over all pairs of non-adjacent edges of G , $w_{ne}(G) = \sum_{e, f \in E(G)_{\text{non-adj}}} w(e, f)$.
- the *edge cusps of G* as the number of cusps along all edges of G , $c_e(G) = \sum_{f \in E(G)} c(f)$.
- the *vertex cusps of G* as the count of cusps at all vertices of G , $c_v(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} c(v)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let K_n be a Legendrian embedding of the complete graph on n vertices in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{\text{std}})$. Then $TB_r(K_n)$ is a multiple of $TB_3(K_n)$. As a consequence, $TB(K_n)$ is a multiple of $TB_3(K_n)$. The quantities $TB_i(K_n)$, $3 \leq i \leq n$, can be computed from writhe and cusp counts of vertices and edges in the front projection rather than summing the tbs of the cycles.*

Proof. When computing the sum of writhes over the 3-cycles or the r -cycles of K_n , we consider crossings of an edge with itself, crossings between adjacent edges and crossings between non-adjacent edges.

- (1) Each edge of K_n appears in $n - 2$ of the 3-cycles and in $\binom{n-2}{r-2}(r - 2)! = \frac{(n-2)!}{(n-r)!}$ r -cycles.
- (2) Each pair of adjacent edges of K_n appears in one 3-cycle and in $\frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!}$ r -cycles.

- (3) Non-adjacent edges do not contribute to the total writhe of the graph. The cycles containing both edges ab and cd can be paired as $\dots ab\dots cd\dots$ and $\dots ab\dots dc\dots$. The signed intersection of the two edges in one of the cycles is the negative of their signed intersection in the other cycle. This means that the crossings between the two edges do not contribute to the sum of the writhes.

Items (1)–(3) above give

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_r} w(\gamma) = \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_3} w(\gamma) = \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!} [(n-2)w_e(K_n) + w_{ae}(K_n)].$$

Cusps occur either at a vertex, that is, at each pair of adjacent edges, or along one edge. Using (1) and (2) above, we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_r} c(\gamma) = \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_3} c(\gamma) = \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!} [(n-2)c_e(K_n) + c_v(K_n)].$$

For every cycle γ , $tb(\gamma) = w(\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}c(\gamma)$. Then the two identities above give

$$\begin{aligned} TB_r(K_n) &= \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!} TB_3(K_n) \\ &= \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!} [(n-2)w_e(K_n) + w_{ae}(K_n) - \frac{1}{2}[(n-2)c_e(K_n) + c_v(K_n)]]. \end{aligned}$$

Adding over r gives

$$\begin{aligned} TB(K_n) &= TB_3(K_n) \cdot \sum_{r=3}^n \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!} \\ &= [(n-2)w_e(K_n) + w_{ae}(K_n) - \frac{1}{2}[(n-2)c_e(K_n) + c_v(K_n)]] \cdot \sum_{r=3}^n \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-r)!}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.2 has many consequences. We focus on minimal embeddings. For the front project of such an embedding, place all vertices of K_n on the same horizontal line in the front projection. Then place the edges adjacent to a given vertex as nested arcs, with no intersections between adjacent edges. See the left image of Figure 1 for such an embedding of K_6 . All 3–cycles in this embedding have a front projection like that of the unknot on the right in the picture and therefore are trivial unknots.




FIGURE 1. A minimal embedding of K_6 and a 3–cycle in this embedding.

2.1. Remarks about K_4 . In [6], the authors showed there does not exist a Legendrian embedding of $K_4[\mathcal{U}]$ where all cycles are trivial unknots. Moreover, $TB(K_4[\mathcal{U}])$ is shown to be at most -8 . Theorem 2.2 gives a refinement of this result. For $n = 4$, we have

$$TB_4(K_4) = TB_3(K_4).$$

The graph K_4 has seven cycles, four cycles of length 3 and three of length 4. This means that for a Legendrian embedding of $K_4[\mathcal{U}]$ with all trivial 3-cycles we have

$$TB_4(K_4) = TB_3(K_4) = -4.$$

For such an embedding, exactly one of its three 4-cycles has $tb = -2$ and the other two have $tb = -1$. This is the only way to have $TB(K_4[\mathcal{U}]) = -8$. If all the 4-cycles have $tb = -1$, then $TB(K_4[\mathcal{U}]) = -6$, which is a contradiction.

The 4-cycles of K_4 are equivalent under graph automorphism, so up to a relabelling of the cycles there is a unique $tb(K_4)$ for an unknotted minimal embedding of K_4 . Since unknots with $tb = -2$ and $tb = -1$ are unique, there is only one possible $tb(K_4)$ and $|rot(K_4)|$ for an unknotted minimal embedding of K_4 . In Figure 2, we show two diagrams of an unknotted minimal embedding of K_4 . (See Figures 13 and 14 for the equivalence.) While there is a unique tb and $|rot|$, this does not mean there is only one unknotted minimal embedding of K_4 .




FIGURE 2. Two diagrams of an unknotted minimal embedding of K_4 .

Remark 2.3. In more generality, for K_n with all 3-cycles trivial unknots and 4-cycles unknots, one third of 4-cycles have $tb = -2$ and two thirds of 4-cycles have $tb = -1$. This is because for every K_4 subgraph of K_n , exactly one of three 4-cycles has $tb = -2$ and the other two have $tb = -1$.

Remark 2.4. The graph K_4 is adaptable [8], that is, given any set of seven knot types, there exists an embedding of K_4 with its seven cycles realizing the seven knot types. All topological embeddings of a graph have a Legendrian realization [6]. Since $TB_4(K_4) = TB_3(K_4)$, if all cycles of K_4 are of the same knot type, L , with $tb_{max}(L) > 0$, then at least one of the 3-cycles has non-maximal tb . On the other hand, if all cycles of K_4 are of the same knot type, L , with $tb_{max}(L) < 0$, then at least one of the 4-cycles has non-maximal tb .

2.2. Remarks about K_5 . We look at unknotted minimal Legendrian embeddings of K_5 . Since K_5 has ten 3-cycles, Theorem 2.2 for $n = 5$ and $r = 4$ says

$$TB_4(K_5) = 2TB_3(K_5) = -20.$$

Each of five K_4 subgraphs of K_5 contains exactly one 4-cycle with $tb = -2$. This means that among the fifteen 4-cycles of K_5 , ten have $tb = -1$ and five have $tb = -2$.

For $n = 5$ and $r = 5$, Theorem 2.2 says

$$TB_5(K_5) = 2TB_3(K_5) = -20.$$

In Proposition 2.5, we show that the minimum tb for an unknotted 5-cycle is -4 . There are ten possible ways to write -20 as a sum of twelve integers in the set $\{-4, -3, -2, -1\}$. These ten sequences are candidates for the tbs of the 5-cycles of K_5 :

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2) \\ s_2 &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -3) \\ s_3 &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -2, -2, -2, -2, -3, -3) \\ s_4 &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -2, -2, -3, -3, -3) \\ s_5 &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -3, -3, -3, -3) \\ s_6 &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -4) \\ s_7 &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -2, -2, -2, -3, -4) \\ s_8 &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -2, -3, -3, -4) \\ s_9 &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -2, -2, -4, -4) \\ s_{10} &= (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -3, -4, -4) \end{aligned}$$

Unlike unknotted minimal embeddings of K_4 , an unknotted minimal embedding of K_5 can have cycles with $tb < -2$. So it can have cycles where the Bennequin inequality given by $tb(\mathcal{U}) + |\text{rot}(\mathcal{U})| \leq -1$ (here \mathcal{U} denotes an unknotted cycle) is strict. We give examples realizing sequences s_2 and s_3 . See Figure 3. The leftmost K_5 in Figure 3, has one 5-cycle with $tb = -3$. This cycle has rotation number 0. The middle K_5 in Figure 3, has one 5-cycle with $tb = -3$. This cycle has rotation number ± 2 , depending on the chosen orientation. This middle K_5 is the only embedding we have found where all its cycles are unknots U such that $tb(U) + |\text{rot}(U)| = -1$. Thus the Bennequin bound is also sharp for $K_5(\mathcal{U})$. The rightmost K_5 in Figure 3, has two 5-cycles with $tb = -3$ (the highlighted cycle and its symmetric about the middle vertical) and both of these cycles have rotation number 0.




FIGURE 3. Unknotted minimal embeddings of K_5 realizing s_2 (left and middle) and s_3 (right). The highlighted cycles have $tb = -3$.

Proposition 2.5. Let γ_r be an unknotted r -cycle in K_n with all 3-cycles trivial unknots. Then

$$tb(\gamma_r) \geq c_r := \frac{2(s_r^2 - 1)}{3} - (r - 2)s_r,$$

where $s_r = 2^{\lfloor \log_2(r-2) \rfloor}$ and $\lfloor a \rfloor$ represents the largest integer not greater than a .

Proof. By hypothesis, $tb(\gamma_3) = -1$. Also $c_3 = -1 = \frac{2(s_3^2-1)}{3} - (3-2)s_3$, since $s_3 = 1$. Consider the K_4 subgraph determined by the four vertices in a 4-cycle. From our discussion in Subsection 2.1, we know that $tb(\gamma_4) \geq -2$. Note $c_4 = -2 = \frac{2(s_4^2-1)}{3} - 2s_4$.

Consider a 5-cycle, γ_5 . Think of γ_5 as obtained by adding a vertex along an edge of a 4-cycle of a K_4 subgraph. The cycles containing this edge gain one unit in length. We get a subdivision of K_4 where the identity $TB_3(K_4) = TB_4(K_4)$ gives

$$tb(\gamma_4) + tb(\gamma'_4) + tb(\gamma_3) + tb(\gamma'_3) = tb(\gamma''_4) + tb(\gamma_5) + tb(\gamma'_5).$$

Here γ_i, γ'_i and γ''_i are i -cycles. We want to minimize $tb(\gamma_5)$. Since $c_3 = -1$ and $c_4 = -2$, the lefthand side of the equality is at least -6 . The righthand side of the equality is at most $tb(\gamma_5) - 2$, when $tb(\gamma''_4) = tb(\gamma'_5) = -1$. We have $-6 \leq tb(\gamma_5) - 2$, and so $tb(\gamma_5) \geq -4$.

We do the same for a 6-cycle, γ_6 . Think of γ_6 as obtained by adding two vertices along edges of a 4-cycle of K_4 . These two vertices can be added (1) on the same edge, (2) on two adjacent edges or (3) on two non-adjacent edges. In each case, we get a subdivision of K_4 where we use the identity $TB_3(K_4) = TB_4(K_4)$. On the righthand side of the equality we have the 6-cycle γ_6 and two other cycles. Since we want to find a lower bound for $tb(\gamma_6)$, we assume that $tb = -1$ for the other two cycles. Then the righthand side of the identity is at most $tb(\gamma_6) - 2$. For each of the three cases the identity $TB_3(K_4) = TB_4(K_4)$ gives:

- (1) $tb(\gamma_3) + tb(\gamma'_3) + tb(\gamma_5) + tb(\gamma'_5) \leq tb(\gamma_6) - 2$
- (2) $tb(\gamma_3) + tb(\gamma_4) + tb(\gamma'_4) + tb(\gamma_5) \leq tb(\gamma_6) - 2$
- (3) $tb(\gamma_4) + tb(\gamma'_4) + tb(\gamma''_4) + tb(\gamma'''_4) \leq tb(\gamma_6) - 2$

Here the γ_i s represent i -cycles. Since we added two vertices to various edges of K_4 , and each edge of K_4 appears in two 3-cycles, the total length of the four cycles on the lefthand side of the identity is $4 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 2 = 16$. Using the lower bounds for the tbs of 3-cycles ($c_3 = -1$), 4-cycles ($c_4 = -2$) and 5-cycles ($c_5 = -4$) we get

- (1) $-1 - 1 - 4 - 4 \leq tb(\gamma_6) - 2 \Rightarrow tb(\gamma_6) \geq -8$
- (2) $-1 - 2 - 2 - 4 \leq tb(\gamma_6) - 2 \Rightarrow tb(\gamma_6) \geq -7$
- (3) $-2 - 2 - 2 - 2 \leq tb(\gamma_6) - 2 \Rightarrow tb(\gamma_6) \geq -6$

So $tb(\gamma_6) \geq -6$, and one can check that $c_6 = -6$.

We proceed in the same way in the general case. Think of a k -cycle γ_k as obtained by adding $k-4$ vertices to the edges of a 4-cycle of K_4 . There are many possible choices, and in each case we get a subdivision of K_4 where we use the identity $TB_3(K_4) = TB_4(K_4)$. On the righthand side of the equality we always have the k -cycle γ_k and two other cycles which we assume have $tb = -1$. Then the righthand side of the identity is at most $tb(\gamma_k) - 2$. Since we added $k-4$ vertices to various edges of K_4 , the total length of the four cycles on the lefthand side of the identity is $4 \cdot 3 + 2(k-4) = 2k + 4$.

The lefthand side of the identity can take on various forms

$$S_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4} = tb(\gamma_{n_1}) + tb(\gamma_{n_2}) + tb(\gamma_{n_3}) + tb(\gamma_{n_4}),$$

with $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 = 2k + 4$, $n_i \geq 3$. As in the $r = 6$ case above, each choice provides a lower bound for $tb(\gamma_k)$.

For k even: Let $k = 2k_1$. One lower bound for $tb(\gamma_k)$ is given by $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = k_1 + 1$. We obtain this configuration by placing $k_1 - 2$ vertices each, on two non-adjacent edges of the 4-cycle. Then $tb(\gamma_k) \geq 2 + 4tb(\gamma_{k_1+1})$. We use mathematical induction to prove that $tb(\gamma_k) \geq \frac{2(s_k^2 - 1)}{3} - (k - 2)s_k$. Assume

$$tb(\gamma_{k_1+1}) \geq \frac{2(s_{k_1+1}^2 - 1)}{3} - (k_1 - 1)s_{k_1+1}.$$

Then

$$tb(\gamma_k) \geq 2 + 4tb(\gamma_{k_1+1}) \geq 2 + \frac{8}{3}(s_{k_1+1}^2 - 1) - 4(k_1 - 1)s_{k_1+1}.$$

We show this last quantity is equal to $\frac{2}{3}(s_k^2 - 1) - (k - 2)s_k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{3}(s_k^2 - 1) - (k - 2)s_k &= \frac{2}{3}(2^{2\lfloor \log_2(2k_1-2) \rfloor} - 1) - (2k_1 - 2)2^{\lfloor \log_2(2k_1-2) \rfloor} \\ &= \frac{2}{3}(2^{2+2\lfloor \log_2(k_1-1) \rfloor} - 1) - 2(k_1 - 1)2^{1+\lfloor \log_2(k_1-1) \rfloor} \\ &= \frac{8}{3}2^{2\lfloor \log_2(k_1-1) \rfloor} - \frac{2}{3} - 4(k_1 - 1)2^{\lfloor \log_2(k_1-1) \rfloor} \\ &= \frac{8}{3}s_{k_1+1}^2 - \frac{2}{3} - 4(k_1 - 1)s_{k_1+1} \\ &= 2 + \frac{8}{3}(s_{k_1+1}^2 - 1) - 4(k_1 - 1)s_{k_1+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$tb(\gamma_k) \geq \frac{2}{3}(s_k^2 - 1) - (k - 2)s_k.$$

For k odd: Let $k = 2k_1 + 1$. One lower bound $tb(\gamma_k)$ is given by $n_1 = n_2 = k_1 + 1$ and $n_3 = n_4 = k_1 + 2$. We obtain this configuration by placing vertices on a pair of non-adjacent edges of the 4-cycle, $k_1 - 2$ vertices on one edges and $k_1 - 1$ vertices on the other edge. Then $tb(\gamma_k) \geq 2 + 2tb(\gamma_{k_1+1}) + 2tb(\gamma_{k_1+2})$. Assume

$$tb(\gamma_{k_1+1}) \geq \frac{2(s_{k_1+1}^2 - 1)}{3} - (k_1 + 1 - 2)s_{k_1+1} \text{ and}$$

$$tb(\gamma_{k_1+2}) \geq \frac{2(s_{k_1+2}^2 - 1)}{3} - (k_1 + 2 - 2)s_{k_1+2}.$$

Then

$$tb(\gamma_k) \geq 2 + \frac{4(s_{k_1+1}^2 - 1)}{3} - 2(k_1 - 1)s_{k_1+1} + \frac{4(s_{k_1+2}^2 - 1)}{3} - 2k_1 s_{k_1+2}.$$

We show this last quantity is equal to

$$\frac{2}{3}(s_k^2 - 1) - (k - 2)s_k.$$

- For $k_1 = 2^t$, $\lfloor \log_2(k_1 - 1) \rfloor = t - 1$ and $\lfloor \log_2(2k_1 - 1) \rfloor = t$. So we have $s_{k_1+1} = 2^{t-1}$, $s_{k_1+2} = 2^t$ and $s_k = 2^t$. One can check that the two quantities are both equal to $-\frac{2}{3} + 2^t - \frac{4}{3}2^{2t}$.

- For $2^t < k_1 < 2^{t+1}$ we have $2^{t+1} - 1 < 2k_1 - 1 < 2^{t+2} - 1$. Then $\lfloor \log_2 k_1 \rfloor = t$, $\lfloor \log_2(k_1 - 1) \rfloor = t$ and $\lfloor \log_2(2k_1 - 1) \rfloor = t + 1$. So $s_{k_1+1} = 2^t$, $s_{k_1+2} = 2^t$ and $s_k = 2^{t+1}$. One can check that the two quantities are both equal to $-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{8}{3}2^{2t} - (4k_1 - 2)2^t$.

□

3. THE GRAPH THURSTON-BENNEQUIN NUMBER FOR COMPLETE BIPARTITE GRAPHS

This section is concerned with the TB of complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,m}$. For such a graph, denote by P and Q the subsets of vertices in the n -partition and m -partition, respectively. Let $w_{ae[P]}(K_{n,m})$ denote the total signed sum of crossings over all pairs of edges adjacent to a vertex in P . Let $c_{v[P]}(K_{n,m})$ denote the total number of cusps at vertices in P , taken over all pairs of adjacent edges.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $K_{n,m}$ be a Legendrian embedding of a complete bipartite graph in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{std})$, with $n \geq m \geq 3$. Then $TB_{2r}(K_{n,m})$ is a multiple of $TB_4(K_{n,m})$. As a consequence, $TB(K_{n,m})$ is a multiple of $TB_4(K_{n,m})$. The quantities $TB_i(K_{n,m})$, $4 \leq i \leq m$, can be computed from writhe and cusp counts of vertices and edges in the front projection rather than summing the tbs of the cycles.*

Proof. We consider the writhe and number of cusps in a Legendrian front projection for $K_{n,m}$. For the writhe, we consider crossings of an edge with itself, crossings between adjacent edges and crossings between non-adjacent edges. To compute the number of cusps, we look at cusps along each edge and at cusps occurring at the vertices (between a pair of adjacent edges).

- (1) Each edge appears in $(n-1)(m-1)$ cycles of length 4 and in $\frac{(n-1)!(m-1)!}{(n-r)!(m-r)!}$ cycles of length $2r$.
- (2) Each pair of adjacent edges appears in $(n-1)$ cycles of length 4 if the two edges are adjacent at a vertex in P (the n -partition). Each pair of adjacent edges appears in $(m-1)$ cycles of length 4 if the two edges are adjacent at a vertex in Q (the m -partition). Each pair of adjacent edges appears in $\frac{(m-2)!(n-1)!}{(m-r)!(n-r)!}$ cycles of length $2r$ if the two edges are adjacent at a vertex in P . Each pair of adjacent edges appears in $\frac{(m-1)!(n-2)!}{(m-r)!(n-r)!}$ cycles of length $2r$ if the two edges are adjacent at a vertex in Q .
- (3) Each pair of non-adjacent edges appears in one cycle of length 4 and in $(2r-3)\frac{(m-2)!(n-2)!}{(m-r)!(n-r)!}$ cycles of length $2r$. We obtain this count as follows: The $r-2$ vertices in each partition that are in the cycle and not in one of the two non-adjacent edges can be chosen in $\binom{n-2}{r-2}\binom{m-2}{r-2}$ ways. Without loss of generality choose one of the edges of interest to start constructing the cycle. There are $2r-3$ positions where the second edge of interest can be placed in a $2r$ -cycle, since it cannot be adjacent. See the first row of in Figure 4. Each of the $r-2$ vertices in each partition can occupy $r-2$ remaining positions relative to the chosen two non-adjacent edges. There are $(r-2)!(r-2)!$ ways to place these remaining vertices.

Fix an arbitrary embedding of $K_{n,m}$. In $(r-1)\frac{(m-2)!(n-2)!}{(m-r)!(n-r)!}$ of these cycles, the non-adjacent edges intersect with one orientation, the same orientation as their intersection in the 4-cycle. In the other $(r-2)\frac{(m-2)!(n-2)!}{(m-r)!(n-r)!}$ cycles, they intersect with the opposite orientation. See the second row of Figure 4. This means that the contribution of the two edges to the writhe comes from $\frac{(m-2)!(n-2)!}{(m-r)!(n-r)!}$ of the $2r$ -cycles. For each of these cycles, the contribution is the same as the one from the 4-cycle containing the two non-adjacent edges.




FIGURE 4. Placement of two non-adjacent edges in a $2r$ -cycle.

Items (1)–(3) above give

$$TB_{2r}(K_{n,m}) = TB_4(K_{n,m}) \cdot \frac{(m-2)!(n-2)!}{(m-r)!(n-r)!}.$$

Adding over all cycles gives

$$TB(K_{n,m}) = TB_4(K_{n,m}) \cdot \sum_{r=2}^m \frac{(m-2)!(n-2)!}{(m-r)!(n-r)!}.$$

We can also compute $TB_4(K_{n,m})$ from the writhe and cusp count for edges and vertices as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} TB_4(K_{n,m}) = & (n-1)(m-1)w_e(K_{n,m}) + (n-1)w_{ae[P]}(K_{n,m}) + (m-1)w_{ae[Q]}(K_{n,m}) + w_{ne}(K_{n,m}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}[(n-1)(m-1)c_e(K_{n,m}) + (n-1)c_{v[P]}(K_{n,m}) + (m-1)c_{v[Q]}(K_{n,m})]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Here we describe a way to realize a minimal embedding of $K_{n,m}$. See Figure 5. Place all vertices of $K_{n,m}$ on the same horizontal line in the front projection, with the vertices in one partition first. Then place the edges adjacent to a given vertex as nested arcs, with no intersections between adjacent edges. See the left image of Figure 5 for such an embedding of $K_{5,3}$. All 4-cycles in this embedding have a front projection like that of the unknot on the right in the picture and therefore are trivial unknots.

Proposition 3.2. For an unknotted minimal Legendrian $K_{3,3}$, three of its cycles of length six have $tb = -2$ and the other three have $tb = -1$. Additionally, any pair of 6-cycles with the same tb share three non-adjacent edges, and any pair of 6-cycles with different tbs share two sets of adjacent edges.




FIGURE 5. Minimal embedding of $K_{5,3}$ and a 4-cycle in this embedding.

Proof. For $n = m = 3$ and $r = 3$, Theorem 3.1 says

$$TB_6(K_{3,3}) = TB_4(K_{3,3}).$$

The graph $K_{3,3}$ has nine cycles of length 4 and six cycles of length 6. If all nine 4-cycles are of maximal $tb = -1$, then the sum of tbs over all six cycles is -9 . So there are at most three 6-cycles with $tb = -2$. In the following we use our understanding of embeddings of K_4 to show that there are three 6-cycles with $tb = -2$. If we delete one of the edges of $K_{3,3}$, we obtain a subdivision of K_4 , call it K . We will describe K as a K_4 graph and ignore the valence 2 vertices, to simplify the explanation. The 3-cycles of K are 4-cycles of $K_{3,3}$. See Figure 6.




FIGURE 6. The 3-cycles of the K_4 subdivision correspond to 4-cycles of $K_{3,3}$.

One 4-cycle of K is a 4-cycle of $K_{3,3}$, while the other two 4-cycles of K are 6-cycles of $K_{3,3}$. See Figure 7.

By assumption, all 4-cycles of $K_{3,3}$ have maximal $tb = -1$, so all 3-cycles of K have maximal $tb = -1$. This means exactly one of the 4-cycles of K has $tb = -2$, with the other cycles having $tb = -1$. The 4-cycles of K are coming from a 4-cycle of $K_{3,3}$ and two 6-cycles of $K_{3,3}$. Since all 4-cycles of $K_{3,3}$ have maximal $tb = -1$, one of the two 6-cycles must have $tb = -2$ and the other must have $tb = -1$.

All edges of $K_{3,3}$ are equivalent up to graph automorphism. So all K_4 subdivisions obtained by deleting a single edge of $K_{3,3}$ have the same structure. The set of three K_4 subdivisions shown in Figure 8 contain all of the 6-cycles of $K_{3,3}$, with each cycle appearing once. So for each subdivision there is a different 6-cycle with $tb = -2$. Thus there are exactly three 6-cycles with $tb = -2$ and three 6-cycles with $tb = -1$.




FIGURE 7. The 4-cycles of the K_4 subdivision correspond to a 4-cycle of $K_{3,3}$ (left) and two 6-cycles of $K_{3,3}$ (middle, right).




FIGURE 8. By deleting the three edges adjacent to a vertex we see the six 6-cycles of $K_{3,3}$ as 4-cycles in the subdivision of K_4 .

Since all of the K_4 subdivisions obtained by deleting a single edge of $K_{3,3}$ have the same structure, any pair of 6-cycles with different tb s will have the same structure as those in Figure 7. Thus, any two 6-cycles with different tb s will share two pairs of adjacent edges. Let γ_6 be an arbitrary 6-cycle in an embedding of $K_{3,3}$. Consider the set of three 6-cycles that share two pairs of adjacent edges with γ_6 . See Figure 9. The cycles in this set will all have the same tb s. Any pair of these 6-cycles with same tb s share three non-adjacent edges.




FIGURE 9. The 6-cycle on the left shares two pairs of adjacent edges with each of three 6-cycles on the right.

□

From Proposition 3, we understand the structure of an unknotted minimal embedding of $K_{3,3}$ well. Up to relabelling the cycles, this gives one possible $tb(K_{3,3})$ for an unknotted minimal embedding. Since unknots with $tb = -2$ and $tb = -1$ are unique, there is a unique tb and $|rot|$ for an unknotted minimal embedding of $K_{3,3}$. In Figure 10, we give an unknotted minimal embedding of $K_{3,3}$. In Section 4, we show this embedding is equivalent to the one described after Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.3. In a $K_{3,n}$ the vertices of a 6–cycles define a unique $K_{3,3}$ subgraph. Thus Proposition 3 implies for unknotted minimal embeddings of $K_{3,n}$, half of the 6–cycles have $tb = -2$ and half of them have $tb = -1$.




FIGURE 10. An unknotted minimal embedding of $K_{3,3}$.

Here we take a moment to consider complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,m}$, with $n \geq m$ and $m < 3$. There are no cycles in the complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,1}$, so they are of little interest. The complete bipartite graphs with $m = 2$, i.e. $K_{n,2}$ are subdivisions of the θ_n –graphs. For the θ_n –graphs, the smallest cycles are 2–cycles. These are the only cycles. So there cannot be a nice relationship between the cycles, like that seen earlier. For completeness we give a formula for the TB of a θ_n –graph in terms of writhe and cusp counts of vertices and edges in the front projection. We consider the writhe and number of cusps in a diagram for a θ_n –graph, call the diagram θ_n . In a θ_n –graph there are no non-adjacent edges. Each edge appears in $(n - 1)$ cycles and each pair of adjacent edges makes up one of the cycles. This gives

$$TB(\theta_n) = (n - 1)w_e(\theta_n) + w_{ae}(\theta_n) - \frac{1}{2}[(n - 1)c_e(\theta_n) + c_v(\theta_n)].$$

4. QUESTIONS AND EXAMPLES OF EMBEDDINGS

In this section we consider minimal embeddings of K_4 , K_5 and $K_{3,3}$. We show the equivalence of diagrams of unknotted minimal embeddings discussed earlier. First we will recall the Reidemeister moves for Legendrian graphs. Two generic front projections of a Legendrian graph are related by Reidemeister moves I, II and III together with three moves given by the mutual position of vertices and edges [1]. See Figure 11.

In Figure 2, we show two diagrams for the one unknotted minimal embedding of K_4 that is known. In Figure 12, we show four diagrams of unknotted minimal embeddings of K_4 without crossings. To go between the top and bottom diagrams, in each column of Figure 12, it takes two Reidemeister IV moves (the vertices are numbered to make it easier to see how this is done). In Figure 13, we show how to go between the two diagrams in the top row of Figure 12. Thus all diagrams in Figure 12 are equivalent. Finally in Figure 14, we give the more complicated sequence showing that the left diagram from Figure 2 is equivalent with the final diagram in Figure 13. Thus the diagrams in Figure 2 are equivalent.

We do not know of a different unknotted minimal embedding of K_4 , which leads us to the following question:

Question 4.1. Is this the unique unknotted minimal embedding of K_4 ?




FIGURE 11. Legendrian isotopy moves for graphs: Reidemeister moves I, II, and III, a vertex passing through a cusp (IV), an edge passing under or over a vertex (V), an edge adjacent to a vertex rotates to the other side of the vertex (VI). Reflections of these moves that are Legendrian front projections are also allowed.




FIGURE 12. Four unknotted minimal embeddings of K_4 .




FIGURE 13. A sequence of Legendrian K_4 s related by Reidemeister moves.

If we consider minimal embeddings rather than unknotted minimal embeddings there are a number of other possibilities. In Figure 15, we give an infinite family of examples. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ odd, this is a minimal embedding of K_4 where:




FIGURE 14. A sequence of Legendrian K_4 s related by Reidemeister moves.

- one 4-cycle is an unknot with $tb = -1$,
- one 4-cycle is an unknot with $tb = -k - 1$ ($rot = \pm 1$), and
- one 4-cycle is a $(2, k)$ -torus knot with $tb = k - 2$ ($rot = 0$).




FIGURE 15. Legendrian K_4 with one 4-cycle a $(2, k)$ -torus knot with $tb = k - 2$.

Question 4.2. Is there an embedding of $K_4(L)$ where $tb_{max}(L) = 0$ and all cycles have maximal tb ?

For K_5 , we have shown three different unknotted minimal embeddings, two with the sequence s_2 for the tbs of the 5-cycles and one with s_3 for the tbs of the 5-cycles. However, based on our calculations there are many other possible minimal embeddings.

Question 4.3. Are there unknotted minimal embeddings of K_5 realizing the sequence s_3 different than the one in Figure 3? (One way this could occur is if one or both 5-cycles with $tb = -3$ had rotation number ± 2 .)

Question 4.4. Are there unknotted minimal embeddings of K_5 realizing any of the other sequences of tbs for the 5-cycles ($s_1, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_8, s_9, s_{10}$)?

Conjecture 4.5. Any unknotted minimal embedding of K_5 will contain at least one 5-cycle with $tb = -3$, and will not contain a 5-cycle with $tb = -4$.

Building on our example of minimal embeddings for K_4 in Figure 15, there are also infinitely many different possible minimal embeddings of K_5 .

In Figure 16, we show that the $K_{3,3}$ embedding described after Theorem 3.1 is the same as that shown in Figure 10. For the graph $K_{3,3}$, having an embedding with all its smallest cycles trivial unknots seems to be a more rigid constraint than it is for complete graphs. We have not found any other unknotted minimal embeddings or minimal embedding of $K_{3,3}$.




FIGURE 16. A sequence of Legendrian $K_{3,3}$ s related by Reidemeister moves and planar isotopy.

Question 4.6. Is the embedding shown in Figure 10 the unique unknotted minimal embedding of $K_{3,3}$?

Question 4.7. Is the embedding shown in Figure 10 the unique minimal embedding of $K_{3,3}$?

REFERENCES

1. Baader, Sebastian and Ishikawa, Masaharu. *Legendrian graphs and quasipositive diagrams*. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. **18** (2009), 285–305
2. Eliashberg, Yakov and Fraser, Maia. *Topologically trivial legendrian knots*. J. Symplectic Geom. **7** (2009), No. 2, 77–127
3. Eliashberg, Yakov. *Contact 3-manifolds twenty years since J. Martinet’s work*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **42** (1992), No. 1–2, 165–192
4. Geiges, Hansjörg. *An introduction to contact topology*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **109**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008
5. Giroux, Emmanuel. *Contact geometry: from dimension three to higher dimensions*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing (2002), 405–414
6. O’Donnol, Danielle and Pavelescu, Elena. *On Legendrian graphs*. Alg. Geom. Top. **12** (2012), no. 3, 1273–1299

7. O'Donnol, Danielle and Pavelescu, Elena. *Legendrian θ -graphs*. Pacific J. Math. **270** (2014), 191–210
8. Yamamoto, Makoto. *Knots in spatial embeddings of the complete graph on four vertices*. Topology Appl. 36 (1990), 291–298

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078
E-mail address: `odonnol@okstate.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078
E-mail address: `elena.pavelescu@okstate.edu`