

**Statistics of time delay and scattering correlation functions in chaotic systems I.
Random Matrix Theory**

Marcel Novaes¹

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia

*Av. João Naves de Ávila 2121, Uberlândia, MG, 38408-100,
Brazil*

We consider the statistics of time delay in a chaotic cavity having M open channels, in the absence of time-reversal invariance. In the random matrix theory approach, we compute the average value of polynomial functions of the time delay matrix $Q = -i\hbar S^\dagger dS/dE$, where S is the scattering matrix. Our results do not assume M to be large. In a companion paper, we develop a semiclassical approximation to S -matrix correlation functions, from which the statistics of Q can also be derived. Together, these papers contribute to establishing the conjectured equivalence between the random matrix and the semiclassical approaches.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum scattering processes at energy E can be described by the scattering matrix $S(E)$, which transforms incoming wavefunctions into outgoing wavefunctions. This matrix is necessarily unitary, in order to enforce conservation of probability and, consequently, conservation of charge. Another important operator is the Wigner-Smith time delay matrix Q ,^{1,2} a hermitian matrix related to the energy derivative of S as $Q = -i\hbar S^\dagger dS/dE$. Its eigenvalues are the delay times of the system, and its normalized trace is the Wigner time delay, $\tau_W = \frac{1}{M} \text{Tr}Q$. These quantities contain information about the time a particle spends inside a scattering region. A thorough discussion can be found in the review³.

We consider a scattering region ('cavity') inside of which the classical dynamics is strongly chaotic, connected to the outside world by small, perfectly transparent, openings. This can be realized in experiments with microwave cavities⁴⁻⁷, quantum dots⁸⁻¹¹ and compound nuclei¹². We assume M open channels, so that S and Q are M -dimensional. We also assume there is a well defined classical decay rate Γ , such that the total probability of a particle to be found inside the cavity decays exponentially in time as $e^{-\Gamma t}$. The quantity $\tau_D = 1/\Gamma$ is called the classical 'dwell time'.

When the wavelength is much smaller than the cavity size, the S and Q matrices are strongly oscillating functions of the energy, and a statistical approach is advantageous. One such approach is based on random matrix theory (RMT). Its main hypothesis is that S behaves like a random unitary matrix, distributed in the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(M)$ according to some probability measure (in the presence of time-reversal invariance, S must also be symmetric; we do not consider that situation in this work). For ideal openings, this distribution is the normalized Haar measure of the group. We denote the averages with respect to this distribution by the symbol $\langle \cdot \rangle$. In particular, the average of the Wigner time delay is equal to the classical dwell time^{13,14}, $\langle \tau_W \rangle = \tau_D$.

This RMT approach has had much success in describing transport statistics¹⁵⁻¹⁸, such as conductance, shot-noise, etc. It can also be applied to time delay statistics, but usually not starting from the S matrix, but rather from the Hamiltonian of the system. This allows better control of the energy dependence and calculation of correlation functions, but requires mapping the problem to a nonlinear supersymmetric σ -model¹⁹⁻²².

On the other hand, Brouwer, Frahm and Beenakker²³ have found the joint probability

distribution for the eigenvalues of Q , let us denote them by τ_1, \dots, τ_M . This allowed the calculation of marginal distributions²⁴, distribution of Wigner time delay (for $M = 2$,²⁵ and in the limit $M \gg 1$,²⁶) and the average value of moments,^{27,28}

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \frac{1}{M} \text{Tr}[Q^n] = \sum_{i=1}^M \tau_i^n. \quad (1)$$

A few other polynomial functions have also been computed^{29,30}. A recent review, also considering extension to non-ideal openings and other symmetry classes, can be found in³¹.

We hereby advance the RMT approach to statistics of time delay, obtaining an explicit formula for the average value of general polynomial quantities of the kind

$$\mathcal{M}_{n_1, n_2, \dots} = \frac{1}{M} \text{Tr}[Q^{n_1}] \frac{1}{M} \text{Tr}[Q^{n_2}] \dots, \quad (2)$$

for any finite set of positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots . Our method starts from the result of²³ and is based on Schur function expansions and determinant evaluations. Importantly, our results are not perturbative in the number of channels, being valid at finite values of M .

In the next Section we briefly present our results. Section 3 contains some preliminary material, and in Section 4 we present our calculations.

II. RESULTS

The average value of the moments \mathcal{M}_n have been found for general number of channels M ,²⁷ but expressed as a sum with M terms. Our results imply the following simple general formula, which contains a more efficient sum, with only n terms:

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_n \rangle = \tau_D^n \frac{M^{n-1}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{[M-k]_n^n}{[M+k]_n}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$[x]^n = x(x+1)\dots(x+n-1), \quad [x]_n = x(x-1)\dots(x-n+1), \quad (4)$$

are the raising and falling factorials.

The first four cumulants of the Wigner time delay have been computed using some non-linear differential equation for their generating function.²⁹ This amounts to finding the value of $\langle \tau_W^j \rangle$ for j up to 4. Our results imply the explicit general formula

$$\langle \tau_W^n \rangle = \frac{\tau_D^n}{n!} \sum_{\lambda=n} d_\lambda^2 \frac{[M]_\lambda^\lambda}{[M]_\lambda}, \quad (5)$$

where the sum is over all partitions of n , the length of a partition λ is denoted $\ell(\lambda)$ and

$$[M]^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} [M - i + 1]^{\lambda_i}, \quad [M]_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} [M + i - 1]_{\lambda_i} \quad (6)$$

are generalizations of the rising and falling factorials. The quantity d_λ is the dimension of the irreducible representation of the permutation group labeled by λ , and it is given by

$$d_\lambda = n! \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - i + \ell(\lambda))!} \prod_{j=i+1}^{\ell(\lambda)} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i + j). \quad (7)$$

The above examples are derived from particular cases of our most general result, which is the average value of a general Schur function of Q :

$$\langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle = (M_{\tau_D})^n \frac{d_\lambda [M]^\lambda}{n! [M]_\lambda}. \quad (8)$$

These functions are homogeneous symmetric polynomials in the eigenvalues of Q . Since any symmetric polynomial in these variables can be expressed as a linear combination of Schur functions, this can be seen as a complete solution to the problem of computing the average value of polynomials (or analytic functions, if we allow infinite series) in Q , such as the quantities $\mathcal{M}_{n_1, n_2, \dots}$ defined in (2). For instance, the first of these which are neither of the form (3) nor of the form (5) are

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_{2,1} \rangle = \frac{2M^2(M^2 + 2)}{(M^2 - 1)(M^2 - 4)}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_{2,2} \rangle = \frac{4M^2(M^4 + 8M^2 - 3)}{(M^2 - 1)(M^2 - 4)(M^2 - 9)}, \quad \langle \mathcal{M}_{3,1} \rangle = \frac{6M^2(M^2 + 1)^2}{(M^2 - 1)(M^2 - 4)(M^2 - 9)}. \quad (10)$$

III. PRELIMINARIES

A. Partitions and permutations

A weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ is called a partition of n , denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$ or by $|\lambda| = n$, if $\sum_i \lambda_i = n$. Each of the integers is a part, and the total number of parts is the length $\ell(\lambda)$.

Partitions of n label the conjugacy classes of the permutation group S_n : the cycle type of a permutation π is a partition whose parts are the lengths of the cycles of π , and two

permutations π, σ have the same cycle type if and only if they are conjugated, i.e. if there exists τ such that $\pi = \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$. Let \mathcal{C}_λ denote the set of permutations with cycle type λ , and $|\mathcal{C}_\lambda|$ the number of elements in \mathcal{C}_λ .

For any finite group, there are as many irreducible representations as there are conjugacy classes. Therefore, partitions of n also label the irreducible representations of S_n . The trace of permutation π , in the representation labeled by λ , is denoted as $\chi_\lambda(\pi)$ and called its character. The character of the identity, $\chi_\lambda(1) = d_\lambda$, is the dimension of the representation, for which there is the explicit formula (7). Characters of S_n are class functions, i.e. $\chi_\lambda(\pi)$ depends only on the cycle type of π and we may write $\chi_\lambda(\mu)$ if $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_\mu$. Characters satisfy orthogonality relations,

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_n} \chi_\mu(\tau) \chi_\lambda(\tau\sigma) = \frac{n!}{d_\lambda} \chi_\lambda(\sigma) \delta_{\mu,\lambda}. \quad (11)$$

B. Symmetric functions

Let X be a matrix of dimension N , with eigenvalues x_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$. Power sum symmetric functions of matrix argument are defined as

$$p_\lambda(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} p_{\lambda_i}(X), \quad p_n(X) = \text{Tr}[X^n] = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^n. \quad (12)$$

They are clearly symmetric functions of the eigenvalues.

Another important family of symmetric functions are Schur functions, related to power sums by³²

$$s_\lambda(X) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} |\mathcal{C}_\mu| \chi_\lambda(\mu) p_\mu(X), \quad p_\lambda(X) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \chi_\mu(\lambda) s_\mu(X). \quad (13)$$

These functions can also be written as a ratio of determinants,

$$s_\lambda(X) = \frac{\det(x_i^{\lambda_j - j + N})}{\Delta(X)}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\Delta(X) = \det(x_i^{j-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=i+1}^N (x_j - x_i), \quad (15)$$

is the Vandermonde determinant.

The value of the Schur function when all arguments are equal to 1 is

$$s_\lambda(1^N) = \frac{d_\lambda}{n!} [N]^\lambda, \quad (16)$$

where $[N]^\lambda$ is the generalization of the rising factorial defined in (6). Noticing that in the formula for d_λ there appears the Vandermonde for $x_i = \lambda_i - i$, it is also possible to show that

$$\Delta(\{\lambda_i - i\}) = s_\lambda(1^N) \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} j!. \quad (17)$$

Let $d\vec{x} = dx_1 \cdots dx_N$. In view of the identity

$$\int d\vec{x} \det(f_i(x_j)) \det(g_i(x_j)) = N! \det\left(\int dx f_i(x) g_j(x)\right), \quad (18)$$

easily proved using the Leibniz formula for the determinant, the representation (14) of Schur functions is useful for performing multidimensional integrals involving these functions.

IV. STATISTICS OF THE TIME DELAY MATRIX

A. Average of Schur functions

We wish to compute the average value of a Schur function of the time delay matrix, $s_\lambda(Q)$. This will be done using the following result obtained in²³: the probability distribution of the matrix $\gamma = Q^{-1}$ is

$$P(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} |\Delta(\gamma)|^2 \det(\gamma)^M e^{-M\tau_D \text{Tr}\gamma}. \quad (19)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_0^\infty |\Delta(\gamma)|^2 \det(\gamma)^M e^{-M\tau_D \text{Tr}\gamma} d\gamma \quad (20)$$

is a normalization constant.

Let τ_i , $1 \leq i \leq M$, be the eigenvalues of Q and $\gamma_i = 1/\tau_i$ be the eigenvalues of γ . The normalization constant is computed using Eq.(18):

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_0^\infty d\vec{\gamma} \det(\gamma_j^{M+i-1} e^{-M\tau_D \gamma_j}) \det(\gamma_i^{j-1}) = \frac{M!}{(M\tau_D)^{2M^2}} \det((M+j+i-2)!). \quad (21)$$

Standard determinant manipulations yield

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{(M\tau_D)^{2M^2}} \prod_{j=1}^M j!(M+j-1)!. \quad (22)$$

The quantity we are after is

$$\langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int_0^\infty d\vec{\gamma} |\Delta(\gamma)|^2 \det(\gamma)^M e^{-M\tau_D \text{Tr}\gamma} s_\lambda(\gamma^{-1}). \quad (23)$$

Writing the Schur function as a determinant, as in Eq.(14), and using the following identity for the Vandermonde,

$$\Delta(\gamma^{-1}) = \frac{(-1)^{M(M-1)/2} \Delta(\gamma)}{\det \gamma^{M-1}}, \quad (24)$$

we arrive at

$$\langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle = \frac{(-1)^{M(M-1)/2}}{\mathcal{Z}} \int_0^\infty d\vec{\gamma} \det(\gamma_j^{2M+i-2} e^{-M\tau_D \gamma_j}) \det(\gamma_i^{-\lambda_j+j-M}). \quad (25)$$

Using Eq.(18) again we have

$$\langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle = \frac{(-1)^{M(M-1)/2}}{\mathcal{Z} (M\tau_D)^{2M^2-n}} M! \det((M - \lambda_j + j + i - 2)!). \quad (26)$$

Consider the determinant $\det((x_j + i)!)$. Suppose we factor out a term $(x_j + 1)!$ from each row. The remaining determinant has the following structure: its ij element is a monic polynomial in x_j of degree $i - 1$. It is well known³³ that it therefore must be equal to the Vandermonde $\Delta(x)$.

Applying the above argument to (26), we get

$$\langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z} (M\tau_D)^{2M^2-n}} s_\lambda(1^M) \prod_{j=1}^M j! (M - \lambda_j + j - 1)!, \quad (27)$$

where we used $\Delta(\{M - \lambda_i + i - 2\}) = (-1)^{M(M-1)/2} \Delta(\{\lambda_i - i\})$ and the special value of the Vandermonde, Eq. (17). Plugging in the values of $s_\lambda(1^M)$ and \mathcal{Z} , we arrive at

$$\langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle = (M\tau_D)^n \frac{d_\lambda}{n!} [M]^\lambda \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{(M - \lambda_j + j - 1)!}{(M + j - 1)!}, \quad (28)$$

or, in terms of the generalized falling factorial defined in (6), our claimed result,

$$\langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle = (M\tau_D)^n \frac{d_\lambda [M]^\lambda}{n! [M]_\lambda}. \quad (29)$$

B. Particular cases

The relation between power sums and Schur functions, Eq. (13), allows the calculation of more familiar quantities, such as

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_n \rangle = \frac{1}{M} \langle p_n(Q) \rangle = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_\lambda(n) \langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle. \quad (30)$$

The character $\chi_\lambda(n)$ is different from zero only if $\lambda = (n - k, 1^k)$ (so-called hook partitions), and is equal to $(-1)^k$ in this case. On the other hand, the dimension d_λ becomes $\binom{n-1}{k}$ for hooks, and with this we arrive at our example (3).

The other example we mentioned in Section 2 was

$$\langle \tau_W^n \rangle = \frac{1}{M^n} \langle p_{(1,1,\dots,1)}(Q) \rangle = \frac{1}{M^n} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} d_\lambda \langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle. \quad (31)$$

Finally, consider the general moments $\mathcal{M}_{n_1, n_2, \dots}$. We may assume that $\mu = (n_1, n_2, \dots)$ is a partition of some integer, $|\mu|$. Then, we have $\mathcal{M}_{n_1, n_2, \dots} = \frac{1}{M^{|\mu|}} p_\mu(Q)$, and

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_{n_1, n_2, \dots} \rangle = \frac{1}{M^{|\mu|}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash |\mu|} \chi_\lambda(\mu) \langle s_\lambda(Q) \rangle. \quad (32)$$

Using this expression, we recover our examples (9) and (10).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- ¹E.P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **98**, 145 (1955).
- ²F.T. Smith, Phys. Rev. **118**, 349 (1960).
- ³C.A.A. de Carvalho and H.M. Nussenzveig, Phys. Rep. **364**, 83 (2002).
- ⁴C. Dembowski, B. Dietz, T. Friedrich, H.-D. Gräf, A. Heine, C. Mejía-Monasterio, M. Miski-Oglu, A. Richter and T. H. Seligman. Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 134102 (2004).
- ⁵B. Dietz, T. Friedrich, H. L. Harney, M. Miski-Oglu, A. Richter, F. Schäfer, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 074103 (2007).
- ⁶A. Backer, R. Ketzmerick, S. Löck, M. Robnik, G. Vidmar, R. Höhmann, U. Kuhl, and H.-J. Stöckmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 174103 (2008).
- ⁷S. Hemmady, J. Hart, X. Zheng, T. M. Antonsen Jr., E. Ott and S. M. Anlage, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 195326 (2006).
- ⁸Y. Alhassid, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 895 (2000).
- ⁹S. Oberholzer, E.V. Sukhorukov e C. Schonenberger, Nature **415**, 765 (2002).
- ¹⁰J. Bylander, T. Duty e P. Delsing, Nature **434**, 361 (2005);
- ¹¹S. Gustavsson, R. Leturcq, B. Simonič, R. Schleser, T. Ihn, P. Studerus, K. Ensslin, D. C. Driscoll, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 076605 (2006).

- ¹²G.E. Mitchell, A. Richter and H.A. Weidenmüller, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 2845 (2010).
- ¹³C. H. Lewenkopf and H. A. Weidenmüller, *Ann. Phys.* **212**, 53 (1991).
- ¹⁴C.H. Lewenkopf and R. O. Vallejos, *Phys. Rev. E* **70**, 036214 (2004).
- ¹⁵C.W.J. Beenakker, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **69**, 731 (1997).
- ¹⁶M. Novaes, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 035337 (2008).
- ¹⁷B.A. Khoruzhenko, D.V. Savin, and H.J. Sommers, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 125301 (2009).
- ¹⁸F.A.G. Almeida, S. Rodríguez-Pérez and A.M.S. Macêdo, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 125320 (2009).
- ¹⁹J.J.M. Verbaarschot, H.A. Weidenmüller and M.R. Zirnbauer, *Phys. Rep.* **129**, 367 (1985).
- ²⁰N. Lehmann, D. V. Savin, V. V. Sokolov and H.-J. Sommers, *Physica D* **86**, 572 (1995).
- ²¹Y. V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers, *J. Math. Phys.* **38**, 1918 (1997).
- ²²S. Kumar, A. Nock, H.-J. Sommers, T. Guhr, B. Dietz, M. Miski-Oglu, A. Richter, and F. Schäfer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 030403 (2013).
- ²³P.W. Brouwer, K.M. Frahm and C.W.J. Beenakker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 4737 (1997).
- ²⁴P.W. Brouwer, K.M. Frahm and C.W.J. Beenakker, *Waves Rand. Media* **9**, 91 (1999).
- ²⁵D.V. Savin, Y.V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers, *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 035202 (2001).
- ²⁶C. Texier and S.N. Majumdar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 250602 (2013).
- ²⁷F. Mezzadri and N. Simm, *J. Math. Phys.* **52**, 103511 (2011).
- ²⁸F. Mezzadri and N. Simm, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 053504 (2012).
- ²⁹F. Mezzadri and N. Simm, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **324**, 465 (2013).
- ³⁰A.M. Martínez-Argüello, M. Martínez-Mares and J.C. García, *J. Math. Phys.* **55**, 081901 (2014).
- ³¹Y.V. Fyodorov and D. V. Savin, Chapter 34 in *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory* (Oxford, 2011), G. Akemann, J. Baik and P. Di Francesco (Editors).
- ³²*The Symmetric Group: Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions*, B. Sagan (Springer, 2nd edition, 2001).
- ³³*Random Matrices*, M.L. Mehta (Academic Press, 3rd edition, 2004)