Simple and large equivalence relations

Lewis Bowen[∗]

August 23, 2021

Abstract

We construct ergodic discrete probability measure preserving equivalence relations R that has no proper ergodic normal subequivalence relations and no proper ergodic finite-index subequivalence relations. We show that every treeable equivalence relation satisfying a mild ergodicity condition and $cost > 1$ surjects onto every countable group with ergodic kernel. Lastly, we provide a simple characterization of normality for subequivalence relations and an algebraic description of the quotient.

Keywords: ergodic equivalence relations MSC: 37A20, 37A15

Contents

∗ supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1500389, NSF CAREER Award DMS-0954606

1 Introduction

Let (X, μ) denote a standard Borel probability space and $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$ a Borel equivalence relation. We say that R is **discrete** if for all $x \in X$, the R-class of x, denoted $[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$, is countable. All equivalence relations considered in this note are discrete regardless of whether this is mentioned explicitly. We endow R with two measures μ_L and μ_R satisfying:

$$
\mu_L(S) = \int |S_x| \ d\mu(x), \quad \mu_R(S) = \int |S^y| \ d\mu(y)
$$

where

 $S_x = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in S\}, \quad S^y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in S\}.$

We say that μ is R-quasi-invariant if μ_L and μ_R are in the same measure class. We say μ is R-invariant or R is measure-preserving if $\mu_L = \mu_R$. A subset $A \subset X$ is R-invariant or **R-saturated** if it is a union of R-classes. We say R is **ergodic** if for every measurable R-invariant subset $A \subset X$, $\mu(A) \in \{0,1\}$. In the sequel we will always assume μ is R-quasiinvariant.

A Borel subset $S \subset \mathcal{R}$ is a **subequivalence relation** if it is a Borel equivalence relation in its own right. If $S, S' \subset \mathcal{R}$ are two subequivalence relations whose symmetric difference is null with respect to μ_L (or equivalently μ_R) then we say S and S' agree almost everywhere (a.e.). From here on we will not distinguish between relations that agree almost everywhere. We say S is **proper** if it does not equal R a.e. We usually write $S \leq R$ to mean $S \subset R$ (a.e.) when S is a subequivalence relation.

The concept of a normal subequivalence relation was introduced in [\[FSZ88,](#page-10-0) [FSZ89\]](#page-10-1) where it was shown that if $S \subset \mathcal{R}$ is normal then there is a natural quotient object, denoted \mathcal{R}/S , which is a discrete measured groupoid. Moreover, if S is ergodic then \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S} is a countable group and in this case we say R surjects onto R/S and R/S is a quotient of R.

Unfortunately, the definition of normality given in [\[FSZ88,](#page-10-0) [FSZ89\]](#page-10-1) is rather complicated. In §[2](#page-3-0) we provide a simple characterization: S is normal if and only if it is the kernel of a Borel morphism $c : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}$ where \mathbb{S} is a discrete Borel groupoid. We also show in §?? that when S is normal and ergodic in R then R/S is isomorphic with the full group \mathcal{R} modulo the normalizer of \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{R} . This fact was probably known to the authors of [\[FSZ88,](#page-10-0) [FSZ89\]](#page-10-1) but it is not explicit stated.

If $S \subset \mathcal{R}$ is an arbitrary subequivalence relation and \mathcal{R} is ergodic then, as shown in [\[FSZ89\]](#page-10-1), there exists a number $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that for a.e. $x \in X$, $[x]_x$ contains exactly N S-classes. This number N is called the **index** of S in R and is denoted $N = [\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S}]$. Our first main result:

Theorem 1.1. *There exists an ergodic discrete probability-measure-preserving equivalence relation* R *such that* R *does not contain any proper ergodic normal subequivalence relations. Moreover,* R *does not contain any proper finite-index ergodic subequivalence relations.*

The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) is based on Popa's Cocycle Superrigidity Theorem [\[Po07\]](#page-10-2). The ergodicity condition is necessary because if $\mathcal P$ is any finite measurable partition of X then the subequivalence relation S defined by: $(x, y) \in S$ if and only $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ and x, y are in the same part of P , has finite-index and is normal in \mathcal{R} . Of course, \mathcal{S} is not ergodic if P is nontrivial.

Remark 1. Stefaan Vaes constructed the first explicit examples of type II_1 von Neumann algebras having only trivial finite index subfactors in [\[Va08\]](#page-10-3) by a twisted group-measure space construction. Moreover it follows from [\[Va08,](#page-10-3) Theorem 6.4] that the orbit-equivalence relation of the generalized Bernoulli shift action $SL(2,\mathbb{Q})\ltimes\mathbb{Q}^2\curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)^{\mathbb{Q}^2}$ has no finite index ergodic subequivalence relations and no nontrivial finite extensions. Here (X_0, μ_0) is any nontrivial atomic probability space with unequal weights (so that it has trivial automorphism group). More generally, the proof of [\[Va08,](#page-10-3) Theorem 6.4] shows how to describe all finite index subequivalence relations, extensions and bimodules whenever cocycle super-rigidity applies.

We say that a measured equivalence relation R is large if for every countable group G there exists an ergodic normal subequivalence relation $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N} \cong G$.

Next we prove that some treeable equivalence relations are large:

Theorem 1.2. Suppose R is a treeable ergodic equivalence relation on (X,μ) of cost > 1 *and there exists an ergodic primitive proper subequivalence relation* $S \leq \mathcal{R}$ *. Then* \mathcal{R} *is large.*

The terms treeable and primitive are explained in §[6](#page-8-0) below. (Primitive means the same as free factor; this notion was studied by Damien Gaboriau [\[Ga00,](#page-10-4) [Ga05\]](#page-10-5)). For example, the orbit-equivalence relation of any Bernoulli shift over a rank ≥ 2 free group satisfies the hypothesis above and hence is large. It is an open question whether every ergodic treeable equivalence relation with $\cos t > 1$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0) In particular it is unknown whether every such equivalence relation surjects every countable group. In unpublished work, Clinton Conley, Damien Gaboriau, Andrew Marks and Robin Tucker-Drob have proven that any treeable *strongly ergodic* pmp equivalence relation satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) and therefore surjects onto every countable group.

Organization: In §[2](#page-3-0) we provide a simple characterization for normality of a subequiv-alence relation. §[3](#page-4-0) provides an algebraic description for the quotient \mathcal{R}/N . §[4](#page-5-0) reviews generalized Bernoulli shifts and Popa's Cocycle Superrigidity Theorem [\[Po07\]](#page-10-2). The latter is used in §[5](#page-6-0) to prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1) The last section §[6](#page-8-0) proves Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0) The proof is mostly independent of the rest of the paper.

Acknowledgements. Thanks to Vadim Alekseev for suggesting the use of Popa's Cocycle Superrigidity Theorem to prove Theorem [1.1;](#page-1-1) to Alekos Kechris for some inspiring questions, many helpful conversations and many corrections; to Robin Tucker-Drob for helpful conversations; to Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace for conversation about Kac-Moody groups that, with super-rigidity results, yield more examples of equivalence relations without finite extensions.

2 A simple criterion for normality

Definition 1 (Choice functions). Let \mathcal{R} be an ergodic discrete Borel equivalence relation on (X, μ) . Let $S \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a Borel subequivalence relation and let $N = [\mathcal{R} : S]$. A family of choice functions is a set $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ of Borel functions $\phi_j: X \to X$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\{\phi_j(x)_{s}\}_{j=1}^N$ is a partition of $[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$. [\[FSZ89,](#page-10-1) Lemma 1.1] shows that a family of choice functions exists.

Theorem 2.1. Let R be an ergodic discrete Borel equivalence relation on (X, μ) . Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ *be a subequivalence relation. The following are equivalent.*

- *1.* S *is normal in* R *in the sense of [\[FSZ89,](#page-10-1) Definition 2.1].*
- *2. There are choice functions* $\{\phi_j\}$ *for* $S \subset \mathcal{R}$ *with* $\phi_j \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{S})$ *for all j. This means that if* $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}$ *then* $(\phi_i(x), \phi_i(y)) \in \mathcal{S}$ *.*
- *3. The extension* $\rho : \mathbb{R} = \mathcal{S} \times_{\sigma} J \to \mathcal{S}$ *is normal in the sense of Zimmer* [\[Zi76\]](#page-10-6);
- *4. There is a discrete, ergodic measured groupoid* (\mathcal{H}, ν) *and a homomorphism* $\theta : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{H}$ *such that*
	- (a) ker $(\theta) = \mathcal{S}$ *;*
	- *(b)* θ *is class-surjective in the following sense: for any* $h \in \mathcal{H}$ *and* $x \in X$ *with* $\theta(x)$ *equal to the source of h, there exists* $y \in [x]_R$ *with* $\theta(y, x) = h$;
	- *(c)* for any discrete ergodic measured groupoid (\mathcal{H}', ν') and homomorphism $\theta' : \mathbb{R} \to$ \mathcal{H}' with ker $(\theta') \supset \mathcal{S}$ there is a homomorphism $\kappa : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$ with $\kappa \theta = \theta'$;
- *5. there is a discrete Borel groupoid* G *and a Borel homomorphism* $c : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}$ with $\mathcal{S} = \text{Ker}(c)$.

Proof. The equivalence of the first four statements is [\[FSZ89,](#page-10-1) Theorem 2.2]. Clearly (4) implies (5). So we need only show that (5) implies (2). So let G be a discrete Borel groupoid with unit space \mathcal{G}^0 , source and range maps $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r} : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^0$. As in the proof of the Feldman-Moore Theorem [\[FM77,](#page-10-7) Theorem 1], there exists a countable family of Borel functions ${f_j}_{j=1}^{\infty}, f_j : \mathcal{G}^0 \to \mathcal{G}$ such that for every $x \in \mathcal{G}^0$,

$$
\{f_j(x)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} = \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x).
$$

Let $c : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{G}$ be a Borel homomorphism. Because \mathcal{R} is ergodic there exists $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be such that $\{c(x,y): y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ has cardinality n for a.e. x. Let $\psi_j: X \to X$ be a Borel map such that if $\psi_j(x) = y$ then $y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $c(x, y) = f_j(c(x, y))$.

For each $x \in X$, define $\phi_1(x) = \psi_1(x)$. For $i > 1$ inductively define $\phi_i(x) = \psi_i(x)$ where j is the smallest number such that there does not exist $k < i$ with $\phi_k(x) = \psi_j(x)$. Then $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is family of choice functions satisfying (2).

3 The quotient group

The purpose of this section is to provide an algebraic description of the quotient \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N} when $\mathcal{N} \triangleleft \mathcal{R}$ is normal.

To be precise, let R denote an ergodic probability-measure-preserving discrete equivalence relation on a probability space (X, μ) . Let $Aut(X, \mu)$ be the group of all measure-preserving automorphisms $\phi: X \to X$. We implicitly identify two automorphisms that agree almost everywhere. Let Aut(\mathcal{R}) be the subgroup of all $\phi \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ such that $x\mathcal{R}y \Rightarrow \phi(x)\mathcal{R}\phi(y)$ (for μ_L -a.e. (x, y)). Also let $[\mathcal{R}] = \text{Inn}(\mathcal{R})$ be the subgroup of all $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $x\mathcal{R}\phi(x)$ for a.e. x. Then $[\mathcal{R}]$ is normal in Aut (\mathcal{R}) , so we may consider the quotient $Out(\mathcal{R}) :=$ $Aut(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}].$

In the sequel we use the word 'countable' to mean 'countable or finite'.

Let Γ be a countable subgroup of $Aut(\mathcal{R})$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma} := \langle \mathcal{R}, \Gamma \rangle$ denote the smallest equivalence relation on X such that $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}$ and $(x, \gamma x) \in \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}$ for all $x \in X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Observe that this is a discrete probability-measure-preserving ergodic equivalence relation and R is normal in \mathcal{R}_{Γ} .

Lemma 3.1. *If* $\Gamma, \Lambda \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ *are countable subgroups and* $\Gamma[\mathcal{R}] = \Lambda[\mathcal{R}]$ *then* $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma} = \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda}$ *.*

Proof. This is straightforward.

So if $\Gamma \leq$ Out(\mathcal{R}) is any countable subgroup then we may define $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma} := \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma'}$ where $\Gamma' \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ is any countable subgroup such that $\Gamma = \Gamma'[\mathcal{R}]$. The next lemma follows immediately.

Lemma 3.2. *If* $\Gamma \leq \Lambda \leq$ Out(\mathcal{R}) *are countable subgroups then* $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma} \leq \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda}$ *.*

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathcal{R} \leq \mathcal{U}$ be ergodic discrete probability-measure-preserving equivalence *relations on* (X, μ) *. Suppose* \Re *is normal in* \mathcal{U} *. Then there exists a countable subgroup* Γ < Out(\mathcal{R}) *such that* $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}$ *. Moreover,* $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}/\mathcal{R}$ *is isomorphic to* Γ *. In particular,* $[\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma} : \mathcal{R}] = |\Gamma|$ *.*

Proof. This follows from [\[FSZ89,](#page-10-1) Theorems 2.12 and 2.13].

Corollary 3.4. *Let* R ≤ U *be ergodic discrete probability-measure-preserving equivalence relations on* (X, μ) *. Suppose* $\mathcal R$ *is normal in* $\mathcal U$ *. Then*

$$
\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{R} \cong N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}]
$$

where

$$
N_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathfrak{R}) := \{ g \in [\mathfrak{U}] : g[\mathfrak{R}]g^{-1} = [\mathfrak{R}]\}.
$$

Moreover $N_u(\mathcal{R}) = [\mathcal{U}] \cap Aut(\mathcal{R}).$

 \Box

Proof. We prove the last claim first. So suppose $g \in N_u(\mathcal{R})$ and $x \in X$. Then for any $\phi \in [\mathcal{R}]$ we must have $g\phi g^{-1} \in [\mathcal{R}]$. This implies $(x, g\phi g^{-1}x) \in \mathcal{R}$ which implies, by replacing x with qx, that $(qx, q\phi x) \in \mathcal{R}$. Since ϕ is arbitrary and $[\mathcal{R}]$ acts transitively on each \mathcal{R} -class, this implies $q \in Aut(\mathcal{R})$. Thus $N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R}) \subset [\mathcal{U}] \cap Aut(\mathcal{R})$.

Now suppose $g \in [\mathcal{U}] \cap \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$. If $\phi \in [\mathcal{R}]$ then $(x, \phi x) \in \mathcal{R}$. This implies $(gx, g\phi x) \in \mathcal{R}$. By replacing x with $g^{-1}x$ we obtain $(x, g\phi g^{-1}x) \in \mathcal{R}$ which implies $g\phi g^{-1} \in [\mathcal{R}]$ (since x is arbitrary). Thus $q \in N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})$. This proves $N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R}) = [\mathcal{U}] \cap \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$.

By Theorem [3.3](#page-4-1) there exists a countable subgroup $\Gamma \leq Out(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma} = \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{R} \cong \Gamma$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ be the inverse image of Γ under the quotient map $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{R}) \to$ $Aut(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}] = Out(\mathcal{R})$. Since $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}$ we must have $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq [\mathcal{U}]$ and therefore $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})$ which implies $\Gamma \leq N_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathfrak{R})/|\mathfrak{R}|$.

On the other hand, we clearly have $\mathcal{R}_{N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})} \leq \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}$. So Lemma [3.2](#page-4-2) implies $N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}] \leq$ Γ. Theorem [3.3](#page-4-1) now implies $N_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathfrak{R})/[\mathfrak{R}] = Γ ≅ \mathfrak{U}/\mathfrak{R}$.

 \Box

4 Generalized Bernoulli shifts and cocycle superrigidity

Let G be a countable group, I a countable set on which G acts and (X_0, μ_0) a standard probability space. We let X_0^I be the set of all functions $x: I \to X_0$ and μ_0^I the product measure on X_0^I . Then $G \cap X_0^I$ by $(gx)(i) = x(g^{-1}i)$. This action preserves the measure μ_0^I . The action $G \cap (X_0, \mu_0)^I$ is a **generalized Bernoulli shift**.

Our interest in these actions stems from Popa's Cocycle Super-rigidity Theorem. To explain, let $G\cap (X, \mu)$ be a probability-measure-preserving action. A **cocycle** into a countable group H is a Borel map $c: G \times X \to H$ such that

$$
c(g_1g_2, x) = c(g_1, g_2x)c(g_2, x).
$$

Alternatively, if $G \cap (X, \mu)$ is essentially free then we can identify $G \times X$ with the orbitequivalence relation, denoted R, via $(g, x) \mapsto (gx, x)$. In this way, we can think of the cocycle as map from $\mathcal R$ to H . We say the action is **cocycle superrigid** if for every such cocycle there is a homomorphism $\rho: G \to H$ and a Borel map $\phi: X \to H$ such that

$$
c(g, x) = \phi(gx)^{-1} \rho(g)\phi(x).
$$

The next result is a special case of celebrated theorem due to S. Popa [\[Po07\]](#page-10-2) (see also [\[PV08,](#page-10-8) Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 2.3]).

Theorem 4.1. Suppose every orbit of $G \cap I$ is infinite. If G has property (T) then the *generalized Bernoulli shift* $G \sim (X_0, \mu_0)^I$ *is cocycle superrigid.*

5 A simple equivalence relation

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose* $G \cap (X, \mu)$ *is an essentially free measure-preserving ergodic action of a countably infinite group* G *on a standard probability space* (X, μ) *. Let* $\mathcal{R} = \{(x, gx) : x \in$ $X, q \in G$ *be the orbit equivalence relation. Suppose* $G \setminus (X, \mu)$ *is cocycle superrigid. If* G *is simple then* R *has no proper ergodic normal subequivalence relations. If* G *has no nontrivial finite quotients then* R *has no proper ergodic normal finite-index subequivalence relations.*

Proof. Let R be the orbit-equivalence relation of the action $G \sim (X, \mu)$. Let N \vartriangleleft R be an ergodic normal subequivalence relation and $c : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}/N$ the canonical cocycle. Since the action is cocycle superrigid, there exists a Borel map $\phi : X \to H := \mathcal{R}/N$ and a homomorphism $\rho: G \to H$ such that

$$
c(gx, x) = \phi(gx)^{-1} \rho(g)\phi(x).
$$

Claim 1. If ρ is trivial then $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}$.

Proof of Claim 1. For every $g \in \mathbb{R}/N$, $\phi^{-1}(g) \subset X$ is N-invariant. Because N is ergodic, this implies ϕ is essentially constant which implies $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}$. \Box

Claim 2. ρ is non-injective.

Proof of Claim 2. To obtain a contradiction, suppose ρ is injective. We claim that N is finite. To see this, let

$$
X_g = \{ x \in X : (gx, x) \in \mathcal{N} \} = \{ x \in X : \phi(gx)\phi(x)^{-1} = \rho(g) \}.
$$

Also, for $g \in G, h \in \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$ let

$$
X_{g,h} = \{x \in X_g: \ \phi(x) = h\} = \{x \in X: \ \phi(x) = h, \phi(gx) = \rho(g)h\}.
$$

Because ρ is injective, for any fixed h, the sets $\{gX_{g,h} : g \in G\}$ are pairwise disjoint. Therefore $\sum_{g \in G} \mu(X_{g,h}) \leq 1$. By the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, almost every x is contained in at most finitely many of the $X_{g,h}$'s (for fixed h). However for each $x \in X$ there is at exactly one h such that x is contained in some $X_{g,h}$. So, in fact, x is contained in at most finitely many $X_{g,h}$'s (allowing g and h to vary). Since $X_g = \bigcup_h X_{g,h}$ this implies that a.e. x is contained in at most finitely many X_g 's which implies that for a.e. x, the N-equivalence class $[x]_N$ is finite.

Because G is infinite and $G\cap (X,\mu)$ is essentially free and ergodic, μ is nonatomic. Because N is finite and μ is nonatomic, N is not ergodic. This contradiction proves that ρ 口 is non-injective.

If G is simple, either ρ is trivial or injective and so the claims above finish the proof. If G does not have any nontrivial finite quotients and \mathcal{R}/N is finite then $\rho: G \to \mathcal{R}/N$ must be trivial. So Claim 1 finishes the proof.

Definition 2 (Compressions). Let $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$ be a probability-measure-preserving Borel equivalence relation on a probability space (X, μ) . If $Y \subset X$ has positive measure then we let $\mathcal{R}_Y := \mathcal{R} \cap (Y \times Y)$ denote the **compression of** \mathcal{R} by Y. It is an equivalence relation on Y .

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $Y ⊂ X$ *be a Borel set with positive measure. Let* $S ⊆ R_Y$ *be a subequivalence relation. Then there exists a subequivalence relation* $\mathcal{T} \leq \mathcal{R}$ *such that* $\mathcal{T}_Y = \mathcal{S}$ *. Moreover, if* S *is ergodic then* T *is ergodic and if* S *is normal in* \mathcal{R}_Y *then* T *is normal in* R.

Remark 2*.* T is not unique. Moreover, even if S is ergodic and normal there may exist subequivalence relations \mathfrak{T}' such that $\mathfrak{T}'_Y = \mathfrak{S}$ but \mathfrak{T}'_Y γ is neither ergodic nor normal.

Proof. Because R is ergodic there exists a Borel map $\phi: X \to Y$ with graph contained in R such that $\phi(y) = y$ for every $y \in Y$. Define the subequivalence relation T by $x \mathcal{T} y$ iff $\phi(x)\delta\phi(y)$. In other words, if $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}_Y$ is the map $\Phi(x, y) = (\phi(x), \phi(y))$ then $\mathcal{T} = \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. This implies that \mathcal{T} is Borel. It is easy to check that \mathcal{T} is a subequivalence relation and $\mathcal{T}_Y = \mathcal{S}$.

Suppose that S is ergodic. Let $A \subset X$ be an T-saturated set of positive measure. Observe that $A = \phi^{-1}(\phi(A))$ by definition of T. Also $\phi(A)$ is S-saturated. Therefore $\phi(A) = Y$ since S is ergodic. So $A = \phi^{-1}\phi(A) = X$. Because A is arbitrary, T is ergodic.

Suppose that S is normal. Then there exists a groupoid morphism $c : \mathcal{R}_Y \to \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{S} = \text{Ker}(c)$. Define $c' : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{G}$ by $c'(x, y) = c(\phi(x), \phi(y))$. Observe that

$$
c'(x, y)c'(y, z) = c(\phi(x), \phi(y))c(\phi(y), \phi(z)) = c(\phi(x), \phi(z)) = c'(x, z).
$$

So c' is a cocycle. If $(x, y) \in \text{Ker}(c')$ then $c(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in \mathcal{G}^0$ which implies $(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in$ $Ker(c) = S$ which implies $(x, y) \in T$. So $Ker(c') \subset T$. On the other hand, if $(x, y) \in T$ then $(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in \mathcal{S} = \text{Ker}(c)$ which implies $(x, y) \in \text{Ker}(c')$. So $\mathcal{T} = \text{Ker}(c')$ is normal by Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-1)

 \Box

Proposition 5.3. *Let* R *be an ergodic probability-measure-preserving equivalence relation with a finite-index ergodic subequivalence relation* S ≤ R*. Then* R *has a finite-index ergodic normal subequivalence relation* \mathcal{N} *with* $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{S}$ *.*

Proof. Let $n = [\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S}]$ denote the index of S in R. Let $\phi : \mathcal{R} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be any Borel function satisfying

- for a.e. $x \in X$, $\phi(x, x) = 1$
- for a.e. $(x, y), (x, z) \in \mathcal{R}$ with $(y, z) \in \mathcal{S}, \phi(x, y) = \phi(x, z)$
- for a.e. $x \in X$, the map $y \mapsto \phi(x, y)$ surjects onto $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. So this map is a bijection from the set of S-classes in $[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$ to $\{1, \ldots, n\}.$

Define a cocycle $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \to \text{Sym}(n)$ (the symmetric group of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$) by

$$
\alpha(x, y)(k) = \phi(x, z)
$$

where $z \in [x]_R$ is any element satisfying $\phi(y, z) = k$. Let K be the kernel of this cocycle. This is a finite-index normal subequivalence relation and $\mathcal{K} \leq \mathcal{S}$ but \mathcal{K} might not be ergodic. However, it can have at most finitely many ergodic components (this is true for any finiteindex subequivalence relation). Let $Y \subset X$ be an ergodic component of \mathcal{K} . So \mathcal{K}_Y is an ergodic finite-index normal subequivalence relation of \mathcal{R}_Y . By Lemma [5.2](#page-7-0) there exists an ergodic normal finite index subequivalence relation $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_Y = \mathcal{K}_Y$. Since N and S are ergodic and $\mathcal{N}_Y \leq \mathcal{S}_Y$ we must have that $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{S}$.

Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1) Let G be a simple property (T) group. Quoting from [\[Th10\]](#page-10-9): there are two sources of simple groups with Kazhdan's property (T). Such groups appear for example as lattices in certain Kac-Moody groups, see [\[CR06\]](#page-10-10). Much earlier, it was also shown by Gromov([\[Gr87\]](#page-10-11)) that every hyperbolic group surjects onto a Tarski monster, i.e. every proper subgroup of this quotient is finite cyclic; in particular: this quotient group is simple and is a Kazhdan group if the hyperbolic group was a Kazhdan group.

Let (X_0, μ_0) be a nontrivial Borel probability space and $G \cap (X, \mu) := (X_0, \mu_0)^G$ the Bernoulli shift action. By Popa's Cocycle Superrigidity Theorem [4.1,](#page-5-1) $G \sim (X, \mu)$ is cocycle superrigid. So Theorem [5.1](#page-6-1) implies \Re has no ergodic proper normal subequivalence relations. Proposition [5.3](#page-7-1) implies R has no ergodic proper finite-index subequivalence relations

 \Box

 \Box

6 Treeable equivalence relations

Definition 3. A graphing of an equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$ is a Borel subset $G \subset$ $X \times X$ such that R is the smallest equivalence relation containing G and G is symmetric: $(x, y) \in G \Rightarrow (y, x) \in G$. The **local graph** of G at x is denoted by G_x . It has vertex set $[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$ and edges $\{y, z\}$ where $y, z \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $(y, z) \in G$. So G is a graphing if and only if it is symmetric and all local graphs are connected. A graphing is a treeing if all of its local graphs are trees.

Definition 4. Let R be an ergodic treeable equivalence relation. A subequivalence relation $S \leq \mathcal{R}$ is **primitive** if there exist treeings $G_{\mathcal{S}}, G_{\mathcal{R}}$ of S and R such that $G_{\mathcal{S}} \subset G_{\mathcal{R}}$. This means the same as free factor as used in [\[Ga00,](#page-10-4) [Ga05\]](#page-10-5).

Example 1. If $F = \langle S \rangle$ is a free group with free generating set $S \subset F$ and $F \cap (X, \mu)$ is an essentially free action then $G_F = \{(x, sx), (sx, x) : x \in X, s \in S\}$ is a treeing of the orbitequivalence relation R. Moreover if $g \in S$ and S is the orbit-equivalence relation generated by ${g^n}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ then S is primitive in R since $G_s = {(x, gx), (gx, x) : x \in X}$ is a treeing of S and $G_8 \subset G_F$. More generally, if g is primitive in F (this means that it is contained in some free generating set of F) and S is the orbit-equivalence relation of $\{g^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, then S is primitive in R.

Before proving Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) we need a lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose* Γ *is a countable group and* $c : \mathcal{R} \to \Gamma$ *is a cocycle such that* Ker(c) $\leq \mathcal{R}$ *is ergodic. Let*

$$
\Lambda = \{ g \in \Gamma : \ \mu_L(\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{R} : \ c(x, y) = g \}) > 0 \}.
$$

Then Λ *is a subgroup of* Γ *and* $\mathcal{R}/\text{Ker}(c)$ *is isomorphic to* Λ *.*

Proof. For $x \in X$, let $\Gamma_x = \{c(x, y) : y \in [x]_x\}$. If $(x, z) \in \text{Ker}(c)$ then $c(x, y) = c(z, y)$. So $\Gamma_x = \Gamma_z$. Since Ker(c) is ergodic, this implies the existence of a subset $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ such that $\Gamma' =$ Γ_x for a.e. x. Observe that since $c(x, y) \in \Gamma_x$, $c(y, x) = c(x, y)^{-1} \in \Gamma_y$. Thus Γ' is invariant under inverse. Also if $c(x, y) \in \Gamma_x$ and $c(y, z) \in \Gamma_y$ then $c(x, z) = c(x, y)c(y, z) \in \Gamma_x$. So Γ' is a subgroup. By ergodicity again, $\Lambda = \Gamma'$. So without loss of generality, we may assume $Λ = Γ$.

It follows from [\[FSZ89,](#page-10-1) Theorem 2.2] that there is a homomorphism $\theta' : \mathcal{R}/\text{Ker}(c) \to \Gamma$ such that if $\theta : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}/\text{Ker}(c)$ is the canonical morphism then

$$
\theta'\theta=c.
$$

Since c and θ have the same kernel, θ' must be injective. Since $\Lambda = \Gamma$, it is also surjective and so $\mathcal{R}/\text{Ker}(c)$ is isomorphic to Λ .

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose* R *is a treeable ergodic probability-measure-preserving equivalence relation on* (X, μ) *of cost* > 1 *and there exists a subequivalence relation* $S \leq \mathcal{R}$ *that is primitive, ergodic and proper. Then* R *surjects onto every countable group.*

Proof. Because $S \leq \mathcal{R}$ is primitive, there exist treeings $G_S \subset G_{\mathcal{R}}$ of S and R. Because S is proper, $\mu_L(\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{S}) > 0$ and therefore $\mu_L(G_{\mathcal{R}} \setminus G_{\mathcal{S}}) > 0$. Let $c : G_{\mathcal{R}} \setminus G_{\mathcal{S}} \to \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ be any measurably surjective map such that $c(x, y) = c(y, x)^{-1}$ wherever this is defined. Here \mathbb{F}_{∞} denotes the free group of countable rank. We extend c to G_8 by $c(x, y) = e$ for any $(x, y) \in S$. Now c is defined on all of $G_{\mathcal{R}}$. Because $G_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a treeing there is a unique extension of c to a cocycle $c : \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$.

By definition $\text{Ker}(c)$ contains S. Because S is ergodic, this implies $\text{Ker}(c)$ is ergodic. Lemma [6.1](#page-9-0) now implies $\mathcal{R}/\text{Ker}(c) \cong \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$.

Now let Λ be an arbitrary countable group and $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\infty} \to \Lambda$ a surjective homomorphism. Let $c' : \mathcal{R} \to \Lambda$ be the cocycle $c'(x, y) = \phi(c(x, y))$. Since Ker(c) is ergodic, Ker(c') is ergodic. So Lemma [6.1](#page-9-0) implies $\mathcal{R}/\text{Ker}(c') \cong \Lambda$. \Box

Example 2. If R is the orbit-equivalence relation of a Bernoulli shift action of \mathbb{F}_n ($n \geq 2$) then every generator of \mathbb{F}_n acts ergodically. Therefore, R satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem [6.2.](#page-9-1)

Remark 3*.* Clinton Conley, Damien Gaboriau, Andrew Marks and Robin Tucker-Drob have proven that any treeable *strongly ergodic* pmp equivalence relation satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem [6.2.](#page-9-1) This work has not yet been published.

Conjecture 1. *Let* R *be an ergodic treeable equivalence relation of cost* > 1*. Then there exists an ergodic element* $f \in [\mathcal{R}]$ *such that the subequivalence relation generated by* f *is primitive in* R*.*

It follows from Theorem [6.2](#page-9-1) that if the above conjecture is true then every treeable ergodic probability-measure-preserving equivalence relation surjects every countable group.

References

