

More bounds for the Grundy number of graphs*

Zixing Tang, Baoyindureng Wu[†], Lin Hu

College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University

Urumqi, Xinjiang 830046, P.R.China

Manoucheher Zaker[‡]

Department of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences,
45137-66731 Zanjan, Iran

Abstract A coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a partition $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ of V into independent sets or color classes. A vertex $v \in V_i$ is a Grundy vertex if it is adjacent to at least one vertex in each color class V_j for every $j < i$. A coloring is a Grundy coloring if every vertex is a Grundy vertex, and the Grundy number $\Gamma(G)$ of a graph G is the maximum number of colors in a Grundy coloring. We provide two new upper bounds on Grundy number of a graph and a stronger version of the well-known Nordhaus-Gaddum theorem. In addition, we give a new characterization for a $\{P_4, C_4\}$ -free graph by supporting a conjecture of Zaker, which says that $\Gamma(G) \geq \delta(G) + 1$ for any C_4 -free graph G .

Keywords: Grundy number; Chromatic number; Clique number; Coloring number; Randić index

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite and simple. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite simple graph with vertex set V and edge set E , $|V|$ and $|E|$ are its *order* and *size*, respectively. As usual, $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ denote the minimum degree and the maximum degree of G , respectively. A set $S \subseteq V$ is called a *clique* of G if any two vertices of S are adjacent in G . Moreover, S is *maximal* if there exists no clique properly contain it. The clique number of G , denoted by $\omega(G)$, is the cardinality of a maximum clique of G . Conversely, S is called an *independent* set of G if no two vertices of S are adjacent in G . The independence number of G , denoted by $\alpha(G)$, is the cardinality of a maximum independent set of G . The *induced subgraph*

*Research supported by NSFC (No. 11161046) and by Xinjiang Talent Youth Project (No. 2013721012)

[†]Corresponding author. Email: wubaoyin@hotmail.com (B. Wu)

[‡]Email: mzaker@iasbs.ac.ir (M. Zaker)

of G induced by S , denoted by $G[S]$, is subgraph of G whose vertex set is S and whose edge set consists of all edges of G which have both ends in S .

Let C be a set of k colors. A k -coloring of G is a mapping $c : V \rightarrow C$, such that $c(u) \neq c(v)$ for any adjacent vertices u and v in G . The *chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the minimum integer k for which G has a k -coloring. Alternately, a k -coloring may be viewed as a partition $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ of V into independent sets, where V_i is the set of vertices assigned color i . The sets V_i are called the color classes of the coloring.

The *coloring number* $col(G)$ of a graph G is the least integer k such that G has a vertex ordering in which each vertex is preceded by fewer than k of its neighbors. The *degeneracy* of G , denoted by $deg(G)$, is defined as $deg(G) = \max\{\delta(H) : H \subseteq G\}$. It is well known (see Page 8 in [17]) that for any graph G ,

$$col(G) = deg(G) + 1. \quad (1)$$

It is clear that for a graph G ,

$$\omega(G) \leq \chi(G) \leq col(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1. \quad (2)$$

Let c be a k -coloring of G with the color classes $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$. A vertex $v \in V_i$ is called a *Grundy vertex* if it has a neighbor in each V_j with $j < i$. Moreover, c is called a *Grundy k -coloring* of G if each vertex v of G is a Grundy vertex. The *Grundy number* $\Gamma(G)$ is the largest integer k , for which there exists a Grundy k -coloring for G . It is clear that for any graph G ,

$$\chi(G) \leq \Gamma(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1. \quad (3)$$

A stronger upper bound for Grundy number in terms of vertex degree was obtained in [32] as follows. For any graph G and $u \in V(G)$ we denote $\{v \in V(G) : uv \in E(G), d(v) \leq d(u)\}$ by $N_{\leq}(u)$, where $d(v)$ is the degree of v . We define $\Delta_2(G) = \max_{u \in V(G)} \max_{v \in N_{\leq}(u)} d(v)$. It was proved in [32] that $\Gamma(G) \leq \Delta_2(G) + 1$. Since $\Delta_2(G) \leq \Delta(G)$, we have

$$\Gamma(G) \leq \Delta_2(G) + 1 \leq \Delta(G) + 1. \quad (4)$$

The study of Grundy number dates back to 1930s when Grundy [16] used it to study kernels of directed graphs. The Grundy number was first named and studied by Christen and Selkow [7] in 1979. Zaker [29] proved that determining the Grundy number of the complement of a bipartite graph is NP-hard.

A *complete k -coloring* c of a graph G is a k -coloring of the graph such that for each pair of different colors there are adjacent vertices with these colors. The *achromatic number* of G , denoted by $\psi(G)$, is the maximum number k for which the graph has a complete k -coloring. It is trivial to see that for any graph G ,

$$\Gamma(G) \leq \psi(G). \quad (5)$$

Note that $col(G)$ and $\Gamma(G)$ (or $\psi(G)$) is not comparable for a general graph G . For instance, $col(C_4) = 3$, $\Gamma(C_4) = 2 = \psi(C_4)$, while $col(P_4) = 2$, $\Gamma(P_4) = 3 = \psi(P_4)$. Grundy number was also studied under the name of first-fit chromatic number, see [18] for instance.

2 New bounds on Grundy number

In this section, we give two upper bounds on the Grundy number of a graph in terms of its Randić index, and the order and clique number, respectively.

2.1 Randić index

The Randić index $R(G)$ of a (molecular) graph G was introduced by Randić [26] in 1975 as the sum of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d(u)d(v)}}$ over all edges uv of G , where $d(u)$ denotes the degree of a vertex u in G , i.e, $R(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 1/\sqrt{d(u)d(v)}$. This index is quite useful in mathematical chemistry and has been extensively studied, see [19]. For some recent results on Randić index, we refer to [10, 21, 22, 23].

Theorem 2.1. (Bollobás and Erdős [4]) For a graph G of size m ,

$$R(G) \geq \frac{\sqrt{8m+1}+1}{4},$$

with equality if and only if G consists of a complete graph and some isolated vertices.

Theorem 2.2. For a connected graph G of order $n \geq 2$, $\psi(G) \leq 2R(G)$, with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Proof. Let $\psi(G) = k$. Then $m = e(G) \geq \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$. By Theorem 2.1,

$$R(G) \geq \frac{\sqrt{8m+1}+1}{4} \geq \frac{\sqrt{4k(k-1)+1}+1}{4} = \frac{\sqrt{(2k-1)^2+1}+1}{4} = \frac{2k-1+1}{4} = \frac{k}{2}.$$

This shows that $\psi(G) \leq 2R(G)$. If $k = 2R(G)$, then $m = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ and the equality is satisfied. Since G is connected, by Theorem 2.1, $G = K_k = K_n$.

If $G = K_n$, then $\psi(G) = n = 2R(G)$. □

Corollary 2.3. For a connected graph G of order n , $\Gamma(G) \leq 2R(G)$, with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Theorem 2.4. (Wu et al. [28]) If G is a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$, then $col(G) \leq 2R(G)$, with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$

So, combining the above two results, we have

Corollary 2.5. For a connected graph G of order $n \geq 2$, $\max\{\psi(G), col(G)\} \leq 2R(G)$, with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

2.2 Clique number

Zaker [30] showed that for a graph G , $\Gamma(G) = 2$ if and only if G is a complete bipartite (see also the page 351 in [6]). Zaker and Soltani [34] showed that for any integer $k \geq 2$, the smallest triangle-free graph of Grundy number k has $2k - 2$ vertices. Let B_k be the graph obtained from $K_{k-1, k-1}$ by deleting a matching of cardinality $k - 2$, see B_k for an illustration in Fig. 1. The authors showed that $\Gamma(B_k) = k$.




Fig. 1. The graph B_k for $k \geq 2$

One may formulate the above result of Zaker and Soltani: $\Gamma(G) \leq \frac{n+2}{2}$ for any triangle-free graph G of order n .

Theorem 2.6. (i) For a graph G of order $n \geq 1$, $\Gamma(G) \leq \frac{n+\omega(G)}{2}$.

(ii) Let k and n be any two integers such that $k+n$ is even and $k \leq n$. Then there exists a graph $G_{k,n}$ on n vertices such that $\omega(G_{k,n}) = k$ and $\Gamma(G_{k,n}) = \frac{n+k}{2}$.

(iii) In particular, if G is a connected triangle-free graph of order $n \geq 2$, then $\Gamma(G) = \frac{n+2}{2}$ if and only if $G \cong B_{\frac{n+2}{2}}$.

Proof. We prove part (i) of the assertion by induction on $\Gamma(G)$. Let $k = \Gamma(G)$. If $k = 1$, then $G = \overline{K_n}$. The result is trivially true. Next we assume that $k \geq 2$.

Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k be the color classes of a Grundy coloring of G . Set $H = G \setminus V_1$. Then $\Gamma(H) = k - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma(H) \leq \frac{n-|V_1|+\omega(H)}{2}$. Hence,

$$\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H) + 1 \leq \frac{n - |V_1| + \omega(H)}{2} + 1 = \frac{n + \omega(H) + 2 - |V_1|}{2}.$$

We consider two cases. If $|V_1| \geq 2$, then

$$\Gamma(G) \leq \frac{n + \omega(H) + 2 - |V_1|}{2} \leq \frac{n + \omega(G) + 2 - 2}{2} = \frac{n + \omega(G)}{2}.$$

Now assume that $|V_1| = 1$. Since V_1 is a maximal independent set of G , every vertex in H is adjacent to the vertex in V_1 , and thus $\omega(H) = \omega(G) - 1$. So,

$$\Gamma(G) = \frac{n + \omega(G) + 1 - |V_1|}{2} = \frac{n + \omega(G)}{2}.$$

To prove part (ii), we construct $G_{k,n}$ as follows. First consider a complete graph on k vertices and partition its vertex set into two subsets A and B such that $||A| - |B|| \leq 1$. Let t be an integer such that $t = \frac{n-k}{2}$. Let also H_t be the graph obtained from $K_{t,t}$ by deleting a perfect matching of size t . It is easily observed that $\Gamma(H_t) = t$, where any Grundy coloring with t colors consists of t color classes C_1, \dots, C_t such that for each i , $|C_i| = 2$. Let $C_i = \{a_i, b_i\}$. Now for each $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, join all vertices of A to a_i and join all vertices of B to b_i . We denote the resulting graph by $G_{k,n}$. We note by our construction that $\omega(G_{k,n}) = k$ and $\Gamma(G_{k,n}) \geq k + t$. Also, clearly $\Delta(G_{k,n}) = t + k - 1$. Then $\Gamma(G_{k,n}) = k + t = (n + k)/2$. This completes the proof of part (ii).

Now we show part (iii) of the statement. It is straightforward to check that $\Gamma(B_k) = k$. Next, we assume that G is connected triangle-free graph of order $n \geq 2$ with $\Gamma(G) = \frac{n+2}{2}$. Let $k = \frac{n+2}{2}$. To show $G \cong B_k$, let V_1, \dots, V_k be a Grundy coloring of G .

Claim 1. (a) $|V_k| = 1$; (b) $|V_{k-1}| = 1$; (c) $|V_i| = 2$ for each $i \leq k - 2$.

Since G is triangle-free, there are at most two color classes with cardinality 1 among V_1, \dots, V_k . Since

$$2k - 2 = |V_1| + \dots + |V_k| \geq 1 + 1 + 2 + \dots + 2 = 2(k - 1),$$

there are exactly two color classes with cardinality 1, and all others have cardinality two. Let u and v the two vertices lying the color classes of cardinality 1. Observe that u and v are adjacent.

We show (a) by contradiction. Suppose that $|V_k| = 2$, and let $V_k = \{u_k, v_k\}$. Since u is adjacent to v and both u_k and v_k are adjacent to u and v , we have a contradiction with the fact that G is triangle-free. This shows $|V_k| = 1$.

To complete the proof of the claim, it suffices to show (b). Toward a contradiction, suppose $|V_{k-1}| = 2$, and let $V_{k-1} = \{u_{k-1}, v_{k-1}\}$. By (a), let $|V_i| = 1$ for an integer $i < k - 1$. Without loss of generality, let $V_i = \{u\}$ and $V_k = \{v\}$. Since $u_{k-1}u \in E(G)$, $v_{k-1}u \in E(G)$, and at least one of u_{k-1} and v_{k-1} is adjacent to v , it follows that there must be a triangle in G , a contradiction.

So, the proof of the claim is completed.

Note that $uv \in E(G)$. Let $V_i = \{u_i, v_i\}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k - 2\}$. Since G is triangle-free, exactly one of u_i and v_i is adjacent to u and the other one is adjacent to v . Without loss of generality, let $u_i v \in E(G)$ and $v_i u \in E(G)$ for each i . Since G is triangle-free, both $\{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u\}$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v\}$ are independent sets of G , implying that G is a bipartite graph.

To complete the proof for $G \cong B_k$, it remains to show that $u_i v_j \in E(G)$ for any i and j with $i \neq j$. Without loss of generality, let $i < j$. Since $v_i v_j \notin E(G)$, $u_i v_j \in E(G)$.

So, the proof is completed. \square

Since for any graph G of order n , $\chi(\overline{G})\omega(G) = \chi(\overline{G})\alpha(\overline{G}) \geq n$, by Theorem 2.6, the following result is immediate.

Corollary 2.7. (Zaker [31]) For any graph G of order n , $\Gamma(G) \leq \frac{\chi(\overline{G})+1}{2}\omega(G)$.

Corollary 2.8. (Zaker [29]) Let G be the complement of a bipartite graph. Then $\Gamma(G) \leq \frac{3\omega(G)}{2}$.

Proof. Let n be the order of G and (X, Y) be the bipartition of $V(\overline{G})$. Since X and Y are cliques of G , $\max\{|X|, |Y|\} \leq \omega(G)$. By Theorem 2.6,

$$\Gamma(G) \leq \frac{n + \omega(G)}{2} = \frac{|X| + |Y| + \omega(G)}{2} \leq \frac{3\omega(G)}{2}.$$

□

The following result is immediate from by the inequality (2) and Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.9. For any graph G of order n , $\Gamma(G) \leq \frac{n+\chi(G)}{2} \leq \frac{n+\text{col}(G)}{2}$.

Chang and Hsu [5] proved that $\Gamma(G) \leq \log_{\frac{\text{col}(G)}{\text{col}(G)-1}} n + 2$ for a nonempty graph G of order n . Note that this bound is not comparable to that given in the above corollary.

3 Nordhaus-Gaddum type inequality

In 1956, Nordhaus and Gaddum [25] proved that for any graph G of order n ,

$$\chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1.$$

Since then, relations of a similar type have been proposed for many other graph invariants, in several hundred papers, see the survey paper of Aouchiche and Hansen [1]. In 1982 Cockayne and Thomason [8] proved that

$$\Gamma(G) + \Gamma(\overline{G}) \leq \lfloor \frac{5n+2}{4} \rfloor$$

for a graph G of order $n \geq 10$, and this is sharp. In 2008 Füredi et al. [13] rediscovered the above theorem. Harary and Hedetniemi [20] established that $\psi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1$ for any graph G of order n extending the Nordhaus-Gaddum theorem.

Next, we give a theorem, which is stronger than the Nordhaus-Gaddum theorem, but is weaker than Harary-Hedetniemi's theorem. Our proof is turned out to be much simpler than that of Harary-Hedetniemi's theorem.

It is well known that $\chi(G - S) \geq \chi(G) - |S|$ for a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of a graph G . The following result assures that a stronger assertion holds when S is a maximal clique of a graph G .

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a graph of order at least two which is not a complete graph. For a maximal clique S of G , $\chi(G - S) \geq \chi(G) - |S| + 1$.*

Proof. Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k be the color classes of a k -coloring of $G - S$, where $k = \chi(G - S)$. Since S is a maximal clique of G , for each vertex $v \in V(G) \setminus S$, there exists a vertex v' which is not adjacent to v . Hence $G[S \cup V_k]$ is s -colorable, where $s = |S|$. Let U_1, \dots, U_s be the color classes of an s -coloring of $G[S \cup V_k]$. Thus, we can obtain a $(k + s - 1)$ -coloring of G with the color classes $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{k-1}, U_1, \dots, U_s$. So,

$$\chi(G) \leq k + s - 1 = \chi(G - S) + |S| - 1.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *For a graph G of order n , $\Gamma(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1$, and this is sharp.*

Proof. We prove by induction on $\Gamma(G)$. If $\Gamma(G) = 1$, then $G = \overline{K_n}$. The result is trivially holds, because $\Gamma(G) = 1$ and $\chi(\overline{G}) = n$. The result also clearly true when $G = K_n$.

Now assume that G is not a complete graph and $\Gamma(G) \geq 2$. Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k be a Grundy coloring of G . Set $H = G \setminus V_1$. Then $\Gamma(H) = \Gamma(G) - 1$. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\Gamma(H) + \chi(\overline{H}) \leq n - |V_1| + 1.$$

Since V_1 is a maximal independent set of G , it is a maximal clique of \overline{G} . By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\chi(\overline{H}) \geq \chi(\overline{G}) - |V_1| + 1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) &\leq (\Gamma(H) + 1) + (\chi(\overline{H}) + |V_1| - 1) \\ &\leq (\Gamma(H) + \chi(\overline{H})) + |V_1| \\ &\leq n - |V_1| + 1 + |V_1| \\ &= n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

To see the sharpness of the bound, let us consider $G_{n,k}$, which is the graph obtained from the joining each vertex of K_k to all vertices of $\overline{K_{n-k}}$, where $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. It can be checked that $\Gamma(G_{n,k}) = k + 1$ and $\chi(\overline{G_{n,k}}) = n - k$. So $\Gamma(G_{n,k}) + \chi(\overline{G_{n,k}}) = n + 1$. The proof is completed. □

Finck [12] characterized all graphs G of order n such that $\chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) = n + 1$. It is an interesting problem to characterize all graphs G attaining the bound in Theorem 3.2. Since $\alpha(G) = \omega(\overline{G}) \leq \chi(\overline{G})$ for any graph G , the following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. (*Effantin and Kheddouci [11]*) *For a graph G of order n ,*

$$\Gamma(G) + \alpha(G) \leq n + 1.$$

4 Perfectness

Let \mathcal{H} be a family of graphs. A graph G is called \mathcal{H} -free if no induced subgraph of G is isomorphic to any $H \in \mathcal{H}$. In particular, we simply write H -free instead of $\{H\}$ -free if $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$. A graph G is called *perfect*, if $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$ for each induced subgraph H of G . It is well known that every P_4 -free graph is perfect.

A *chordal* graph is a simple graph which contains no induced cycle of length four or more. Berge [3] showed that every chordal graph is perfect. A *simplicial* vertex of a graph is vertex whose neighbors induce a clique.

Theorem 4.1. (Dirac [9]) *Every chordal graph has a simplicial vertex.*

Corollary 4.2. *If G is a chordal graph, then $\delta(G) \leq \omega(G) - 1$.*

Proof. Let v be a simplicial vertex. By Theorem 4.1, $N(v)$ is a clique, and thus $d(v) \leq \omega(G) - 1$. \square

Markossian et al. [24] remarked that for a chordal graph G , $col(H) = \omega(H)$ for any induced subgraph H of G . Indeed, its converse is also true. For convenience, we give the proof here.

Theorem 4.3. *A graph G is chordal if and only if $col(H) = \omega(H)$ for any induced subgraph H of G .*

Proof. The sufficiency is immediate from the fact that any cycle C_k with $k \geq 4$, $col(C_k) = 3 \neq 2 = \omega(C_k)$.

Since every induced subgraph of a chordal graph is still a chordal graph, to prove the necessity of the theorem, it suffices to show that $col(G) = \omega(G)$. Recall that $col(G) = deg(G) + 1$ and $deg(G) = \max\{\delta(H) : H \subseteq G\}$. Observe that

$$\max\{\delta(H) : H \subseteq G\} = \max\{\delta(H) : H \text{ is an induced subgraph of } G\}.$$

Since $\omega(G) - 1 \leq \max\{\delta(H) : H \subseteq G\}$ and $\delta(H) \leq \omega(H) - 1$ for any induced subgraph H of G , $\max\{\delta(H) : H \text{ is an induced subgraph of } G\} \leq \omega(G) - 1$, we have $deg(G) = \max\{\delta(H) : H \subseteq G\} = \omega(G) - 1$. Thus, $col(G) = \omega(G)$. \square

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \{\omega, \chi, \Gamma, \psi\}$. A graph G is called $\alpha\beta$ -perfect if for each induced subgraph H of G , $\alpha(H) = \beta(H)$. Among other things, Christen and Selkow proved that

Theorem 4.4. (Christen and Selkow [7]) *For any graph G , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) G is $\Gamma\omega$ -perfect.
- (2) G is $\Gamma\chi$ -perfect.
- (3) G is P_4 -free.

For a graph G , $m(G)$ denotes the number of maximal cliques of G . Clearly, $\alpha(G) \leq m(G)$. A graph G is called *trivially perfect* if for every induced subgraph H of G , $\alpha(H) = m(H)$. A partially order set $(V, <)$ is an arborescence order if for all $x \in V$, $\{y : y < x\}$ is a totally ordered set.

Theorem 4.5. (Wolk [27], Golumbic [15]) *Let G be a graph. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) G is the comparability graph of an arborescence order.
- (ii) G is $\{P_4, C_4\}$ -free.
- (iii) G is trivially perfect.

Next we provide another characterization of $\{P_4, C_4\}$ -free graphs.

Theorem 4.6. *Let G be a graph. Then G is $\{P_4, C_4\}$ -free if and only if $\Gamma(H) = col(H)$ for any induced subgraph H of G .*

Proof. To show its sufficiency, we assume that $\Gamma(H) = col(H)$ for any induced subgraph H of G . Since $col(C_4) = 3$ while $\Gamma(C_4) = 2$, and $col(P_4) = 2$ while $\Gamma(P_4) = 3$, it follows that G is C_4 -free and P_4 -free.

To show its necessity, let G be a $\{P_4, C_4\}$ -free graph. Let H be an induced subgraph of G . Since G is P_4 -free, by Theorem 4.4, $\Gamma(H) = \omega(H)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.3, $col(H) = \omega(H)$. The result then follows. \square

Gastineau et al. [14] posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. (Gastineau, Kheddouci and Togni [14]). For any integer $r \geq 1$, every C_4 -free r -regular graph has Grundy number $r + 1$.

So, Theorem 4.6 asserts that the above conjecture is true for every regular $\{P_4, C_4\}$ -free graph. However, it is not hard to show that a regular graph is $\{P_4, C_4\}$ -free if and only if it is a complete graph. Indeed, in 2011 Zaker [33] made the following beautiful conjecture, which implies Conjecture 1.

Conjecture 2. (Zaker [33]) If G is C_4 -free graph, then $\Gamma(G) \geq \delta(G) + 1$.

Note that Theorem 4.6 shows that Conjecture 2 is valid for all $\{P_4, C_4\}$ -free graphs.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the referees for their careful readings and valuable comments.

References

- [1] M. Aouchiche and P. Hansen, A survey of Nordhaus-Gaddum type relations, Discrete Appl. Math. 161 (2013) 466-546.

- [2] M. Asté, F. Havet, and C. Linhares-Sales, Grundy number and products of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 310 (2010) 1482-1490.
- [3] C. Berge, Färbung von Graphen, deren Sämtliche bzw. deren ungerade Kreise starr sind, *Wiss. Zeitung, Martin Luther Univ. Halle-Wittenberg* 1961, 114.
- [4] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős, Graphs of extremal weights, *Ars Combin.* 50 (1998) 225-233.
- [5] G. Chang, H. Hsu, First-fit chromatic numbers of d -degenerate graphs, *Discrete Math.* 312 (2012) 2088-2090.
- [6] G. Chartrand, P. Zhang, *Chromatic Graph Theory*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2008
- [7] C.A. Christen and S.M. Selkow, Some perfect coloring properties of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 27 (1979) 49-59.
- [8] E.J. Cockayne and A.G. Thomason, Ordered colorings of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 27 (1982) 286-292.
- [9] G.A. Dirac, On rigid circuit graphs, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamurg*, 25 (1961) 71-76.
- [10] T.R. Divnić and L.R. Pavlović, Proof of the first part of the conjecture of Aouchiche and Hansen about the Randić index, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 161 (2013) 953-960.
- [11] B. Effantin and H. Kheddouci, Grundy number of graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 27 (2007) 5-18.
- [12] H.J. Finck, On the chromatic number of a graph and its complements, *Theory of Graphs, Proceedings of the Colloquium, Tihany, Hungary, 1966*, 99-113.
- [13] Z. Füredi, A. Gyárfás, G.N. Sárközy, S. Selkow, Inequalities for the First-fit chromatic number, *J. Graph Theory* 59 (2008) 75-88.
- [14] N. Gastineau, H. Kheddouci and O. Togni, On the family of r -regular graphs with Grundy number $r+1$, *Discrete Math.* 328 (2014) 5-15.
- [15] M.C. Golumbic, Trivially perfect graphs, *Discrete Math.* 24 (1978) 105-107.
- [16] P.M. Grundy, *Mathematics and games*, *Eureka* 2 (1939) 6-8.
- [17] T.R. Jensen and B. Toft, *Graph Coloring Problems*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, Jhon Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1995.

- [18] H.A. Kierstead, S.G. Penrice and W. T. Trotter, On-Line and first-fit coloring of graphs that do not induce P_5 , *SIAM J. Disc. Math.* 8 (1995) 485-498.
- [19] X. Li and I. Gutman, *Mathematical Aspects of Randić-Type Molecular Structure Descriptors*, Mathematical Chemistry Monographs No. 1, Kragujevac, 2006.
- [20] F. Harary, S. Hedetniemi, The achromatic number of a graph, *J. Combin. Theory* 8 (1970) 154-161.
- [21] X. Li and Y. Shi, On a relation between the Randić index and the chromatic number, *Discrete Math.* 310 (2010) 2448-2451.
- [22] J. Liu, M. Liang, B. Cheng and B. Liu, A proof for a conjecture on the Randić index of graphs with diameter, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011) 752-756.
- [23] B. Liu, L.R. Pavlović, T.R. Divnić, J. Liu and M.M. Stojanović, On the conjecture of Aouchiche and Hansen about the Randić index, *Discrete Math.* 313 (2013) 225-235.
- [24] S.E. Markossian, G.S. Gasparian and B.A. Reed, β -perfect graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 67 (1996) 1-11.
- [25] E.A. Nordhaus and J.W. Gaddum, On complementary graphs, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 63 (1956) 175-177.
- [26] M. Randić, On characterization of molecular branching, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* 97 (1975) 6609-6615.
- [27] E.S. Wolks, The comparability graph of a tree, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 (1962) 789-795.
- [28] B. Wu, J. Yan and X. Yang, Randić index and coloring number of a graph, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 178 (2014) 163-165.
- [29] M. Zaker, Grundy chromatic number of the complement of bipartite graphs, *Australas. J. Comb.* 31 (2005) 325-329.
- [30] M. Zaker, Results on the Grundy chromatic number of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 306 (2006) 3166-3173.
- [31] M. Zaker, Inequalities for the Grundy chromatic number of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 155 (2007) 2567-2572.
- [32] M. Zaker, New bounds for the chromatic number of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 58 (2008) 110-122.
- [33] M. Zaker, (δ, χ_{FF}) -bounded families of graphs, unpublished manuscript, 2011.

- [34] M. Zaker and H. Soltani, First-fit colorings of graphs with no cycles of a prescribed even length, *J. Comb. Optim.*, In press, 2015.