

Indirect Influences on Directed Manifolds


Leonardo Cano and Rafael Díaz

Abstract

We study the propagation of indirect influences on directed manifolds, extending known discrete construction to the continuous setting.

1 Introduction

Our aim in this work is to lay down the foundations for the study of indirect influences on directed manifolds. Our object of study can be approached from quite different viewpoints as indicated in the following, non-exhaustive, diagram:



Our departure point is the theory of indirect influences for weighted directed graphs which has gradually emerged thanks to the efforts of several authors, among them Brin, Chung, Godet, Katz, Page, Motwani, Winograd. Although the history of the subject is yet to be written, for our purposes we may consider the introduction of the Katz's index in [16] as an early modern approach to the problem of understanding indirect influences in complex networks. Fundamental developments in the field came with the introduction of the MICMAC [11], PageRank [3, 4], and Heat Kernel [6] methods. In 2009 the second author proposed a new method – the PWP method – for computing indirect influences on directed networks [7]. In a nutshell the method proceeds as follows. The given network is encoded by its adjacency matrix D , also called the matrix of direct influences. Then one defines the matrix $T = T(D)$ of indirect influences whose entry T_{ij} measures the weight of the indirect influences exerted by vertex j on vertex i . The matrix T is

computed as follows:

$$T = \frac{1}{e^\lambda - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D^n \frac{\lambda^n}{n!},$$

where λ is a positive real parameter. In words: indirect influences arise from the concatenation of direct influences, and the weight of a concatenation of length n comes from the product of n entries of D and the factor $\frac{\lambda^n}{n!}$ ensuring convergency by attaching a rapidly decreasing weight to longer chains of direct influences. The PWP method has been applied to analyse educational programs, and to study indirect influences in international trade [8]. Further applications to social networks are underway. The stability of the method with respect to changes in D and λ has been recently studied by Díaz and Vargas [9].

Our first proposal in this work is that one may regard a differential manifold provided with a tuple of vector fields on it – called a directed manifold – as being a smooth analogue of a directed graph with numbered outgoing edges attached to each vertex. Armed with this intuition we pose the question: Is there an extension of the theory of indirect influences from the discrete to the smooth settings? We argue that the answer is in the affirmative, and that such an extension both interplays with many notions already studied in the literature, e.g. control theory [1, 15, 21, 23, 26], Feynman integrals [22, 10, 27], and directed topological spaces [12], and also demands the introduction of new ideas.

The smooth extension of the construction of the PWP matrix of indirect influences, whose entries are given by sums over paths in a graph, leads directly to some kind of Feynman integrals, understood in the general sense of integrals over spaces of paths on manifolds. Although of great interest, we follow a different approach in order to avoid the usual difficulties that have prevented, so far, the development of a fully rigorous integration theory over infinite dimensional manifolds. Thus, in order to reduce our computations to finite dimensional integrals, we impose strong tangential conditions on the space of allowed paths for integration.

The background on which we build our construction is the category of directed manifolds, introduced in Section 2, a convenient category for the study of several aspects of geometric control theory as well. In a sense our constructions bring about a new set of problems to geometric control theory, – traditionally focus on the reachability and path optimization problems – namely, the problem of computing integrals over the space of directed paths. Again strong tangency conditions are imposed in order to insure that the spaces of directed paths – called the spaces of indirect influences – split naturally into infinitely many finite dimensional pieces, each coming with a natural measure. Thus we

have a notion of integration over each piece, which is additively extended to the whole space of paths, leaving the convergency of the sum to a case by case analysis. Fortunately, in our examples we actually do obtain convergent sums. These ideas are developed in Section 3, where we also introduce the wave of influences $u(p, t)$ which computes the total influence received by a point p in time t .

Although not fully explored in this work, our notion of directed manifolds is strongly related to the notion of directed spaces introduced by Grandis [12], and to some extent the former notion may be regarded as a smooth analogue of the latter. In Section 3 we make this relation more precise.

In Section 4 we discuss invariant properties for directed manifolds and for the spaces of indirect influences on them. We also study invariant properties with respect to re-labeling, or reordering, of our tuple of vectors fields. We propose a possible route for using our spaces of indirect influences to approach integrals with more general domains of integration, such as Feynman path integrals. Whether this approach can actually be implemented to work as a viable computational technique is left for future research. In Section 5 we study indirect influences on product and quotient manifolds.

In Section 6 we consider indirect influences arising from constant vector fields on affine spaces. We show that even in this – the simplest case – our theory yields results worth studying where explicit computations are available. Finally, in the closing Section 7 we indicate how the theory of indirect influences can be extended to the quantum context.

Notation. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $[0, n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$, and let $\mathcal{P}[n]$ be the set of subsets of $[n]$. The amalgamated sum of subintervals of the real line \mathbb{R} is given by

$$[a, b] \coprod_{b,c} [c, d] = [a, b + d - c].$$

We let δ_{ab} be the Kronecker's delta function.

2 Basic Definitions

We let diman be the category of directed manifolds. A directed manifold is a tuple (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) where M is a smooth manifold, and v_1, \dots, v_k are smooth vector fields on M , with $k \geq 1$. A morphism $(f, \alpha) : (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) \longrightarrow (N, w_1, \dots, w_l)$ in diman is a pair (f, α) where $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is a smooth map, $\alpha : [k] \longrightarrow [l]$ is a map, and we

have that

$$df(v_i) = w_{\alpha(i)}, \quad \text{for } i \in [k].$$

Let $(g, \beta) : (N, w_1, \dots, w_l) \longrightarrow (K, z_1, \dots, z_r)$ be another morphism. The composition morphism $(g, \beta) \circ (f, \alpha)$ is given by:

$$(g, \beta) \circ (f, \alpha) = (g \circ f, \beta \circ \alpha).$$

It satisfies the required property since

$$d(gf)(v_i) = dg(df(v_i)) = dg(w_{\alpha(i)}) = z_{\beta(\alpha(i))} = z_{\beta \circ \alpha(i)}.$$

One can think of a directed manifold (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) as being a smooth analogue of a "finite directed graph with up to k outgoing numbered edges at each vertex". Points in the manifold M are thought as vertices in the smooth graph. The tangent vectors $v_i(p) \in T_p M$ are thought as infinitesimal edges starting at p . The out-degree of a vertex $p \in M$ is the number of non-zero infinitesimal edges starting at p , i.e. the cardinality of the set $\{i \in [k] \mid v_i(p) \neq 0\}$.

An actual edge from p to q , points in M , is a smooth path $\varphi : [0, t] \longrightarrow M$ with $\varphi(0) = p$, $\varphi(t) = q$, and such that the tangent vector at each point of φ is an infinitesimal edge, i.e. $\dot{\varphi} = v_i(\varphi)$ for some $i \in [k]$, or more explicitly

$$\dot{\varphi}(s) = v_i(\varphi(s)) \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, t].$$

We say that p exerts a direct influence, in time $t > 0$, on the vertex q through the path φ . Note that φ is determined by the initial point p , and the index i of vector field v_i , thus we are entitled to use the notation $\varphi(t) = \varphi_i(p, t)$, where φ_i is the flow generated by the vector field v_i .

Definition 1. Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold and $p, q \in M$. The set $D_{p,q}(t)$ of direct influences from p to q in time $t > 0$ is given by:

$$D_{p,q}(t) = \{i \in [k] \mid \varphi_i(p, t) = q\}.$$

We also set

$$D_{p,q}(0) = \begin{cases} \{p\} & \text{if } p = q, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

i.e. each point of M exerts a direct influence over itself in time $t = 0$, and there are no $t = 0$ direct influences between different points of M . Thus $D_{p,q}$ defines a map $D_{p,q} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}[k]$.

There might also be direct influences from p to q taking an infinite long interval of time to be exerted, these influences occur through a path $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi(t) = p$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = q$. Semi-infinite direct influences can be similarly defined. One might also consider topological direct influences from p to q which are exerted through a path $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ such that $p \in \omega\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi(t)$ and $q \in \omega\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t)$. We will no further consider direct influences of these types in this work.

Next we introduce the notion of indirect influences which arise from the concatenation of direct influences. Our focus is on finding a convenient parametrization for the space of all such concatenations.

Definition 2. Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold and $p, q \in M$. An indirect influence from p to q exerted, or propagated, in time $t > 0$ through $n \geq 0$ changes of directions is a pair (c, s) with the following properties:

- $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ is a $(n + 1)$ -tuple with $c_i \in [k]$ and such that $c_i \neq c_{i+1}$. We say that c defines the pattern (of directions) of the indirect influence (c, s) . We let $D(n, k)$ be the set of all such tuples, and $l(c) = n + 1$ be the length of c . There are $k(k - 1)^n$ different patterns in $D(n, k)$. Note that we may regard a pattern c as a map $c : [0, n] \rightarrow [k]$.
- $s = (s_0, \dots, s_n)$ is a $(n + 1)$ -tuple with $s_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and such that $s_0 + \dots + s_n = t$. We say that s defines the time distribution of the indirect influence (c, s) , and let Δ_n^t be the n -simplex of all such tuples.
- The pair (c, s) determines a $(n + 2)$ -tuple of points $(p_0, \dots, p_{n+1}) \in M^{n+2}$ given by:

$$p_0 = p \quad \text{and} \quad p_i = \varphi_{c_{i-1}}(p_{i-1}, s_{i-1}) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n + 1,$$

where $\varphi_{c_{i-1}}$ is the flow generated by the vector field $v_{c_{i-1}}$. We denote the last point p_{n+1} by $\varphi_c(p, s)$.

- The pair (c, s) must be such that $\varphi_c(p, s) = q$.
- Indirect influences in time $t = 0$ are the same as direct influences in time $t = 0$.

Remark 3. By definition indirect influences include direct influences as well, even for the conventional case of self-influences exerted in time $t = 0$. Note that influences are transmitted forward in time.

Remark 4. The geometric meaning of the indirect influences is made clear through the following construction. An indirect influence (c, s) from p to q determines a piece-wise smooth path

$$\varphi_{c,s} : [0, s_0 + \dots + s_n] \simeq [0, s_0] \bigsqcup_{s_{0,0}} \dots \bigsqcup_{s_{n-1,0}} [0, s_n] \longrightarrow M$$

such that the restriction of $\varphi_{c,s}$ to the interval $[0, s_i]$, for $0 \leq i \leq n$, is given by

$$\varphi_{c,s}|_{[0,s_i]}(r) = \varphi_{c_i}(p_i, r) \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, s_i].$$

We say that $\varphi_{c,s}$ is the directed path determined by the indirect influence (c, s) . Whenever necessary we write $\varphi_{v,c,s}$ instead of $\varphi_{c,s}$ to make explicit that these paths do depend on the vector fields $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$. Figure 1 shows the directed path associated to an indirect influence.




Figure 1. Indirect influences are exerted through directed paths.

Note that directed paths in the sense above are examples of horizontal paths as defined in geometric control theory [1].

Remark 5. The notion of indirect influences on directed manifolds may be regarded as a limit case of the propagation of disturbances in geometric optics, see Arnold [2]. In geometric optics one works with a Riemannian manifold M , and is given a map $v : SM \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ from the unit sphere bundle of M to the non-negative real numbers. The number $v(l)$ gives the speed allowed for the propagation of a disturbance along the direction l . Indirect influences on a directed manifold (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) correspond to the propagation of disturbances in geometric optics, if one lets v be the singular map that is zero everywhere except at the directions defined by the vector fields v_j , and on this directions it assumes the values $|v_j|$. Note that the notion of indirect influences does not

demand a Riemannian structure on M . Figure 2 illustrates the relation between indirect influences and geometric optics, by displaying the deformation of the indicatrix surface (the image of v) from a smooth ellipses to a curve concentrate on three vectors.




Figure 2. Indirect influences as a limit case of propagations in geometric optics.

Remark 6. Although not really necessary, for simplicity we usually assume that the flows generated by the vector fields v_j are globally defined by smooth maps

$$\varphi_j(\cdot, s) : M \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M$$

yielding a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of M :

- The map $\varphi_j(\cdot, s) : M \longrightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
- The group condition $\varphi_j(\varphi_j(p, s_1), s_2) = \varphi_j(p, s_1 + s_2)$ holds for $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

A pattern $c \in D(n, k)$ defines an iterated flow given by the smooth map

$$\varphi_c : M \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \longrightarrow M$$

defined by recursion on the length of c as follows:

$$\varphi_c(p, s_0, \dots, s_n) = \varphi_{c_n}(\varphi_{c|_{[0, n-1]}}(p, s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}), s_n).$$

Fixing a time distribution (s_0, \dots, s_n) we obtain the diffeomorphism

$$\varphi_c(\cdot, s_0, \dots, s_n) : M \longrightarrow M.$$

These construction justify the notation $\varphi_c(p, s)$ for the point $p_{n+1}(c, s)$ introduced in Definition 8.

We regard the n -simplex Δ_n^t introduced in Definition 8 as a smooth manifold with corners. There are at least three different approaches to differential geometry on manifolds with corners. First we can apply differential geometric notions for the interior of Δ_n^t . Also it is possible to introduce differential geometric objects on Δ_n^t by considering objects that are smooth on an open neighborhood of Δ_n^t in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . A third and more intrinsic approach for doing differential geometry on Δ_n^t relies on deeper results in the theory of manifolds with corners. For a fresh approach the reader may consult [14]. Although this more comprehensive approach is certainly desirable, for simplicity, we will not further consider it.

Proposition 7. For a pattern $c \in D(n, k)$, the map

$$\varphi_c : M \times \Delta_n^t \longrightarrow M$$

sending a pair $(p, s) \in M \times \Delta_n^t$ to the point $\varphi_c(p, s) \in M$ is a smooth map and a diffeomorphism for a fixed time distribution $s \in \Delta_n^t$.

Next we introduce the main objects of study in this work, namely, the spaces of indirect influences on directed manifolds. These spaces parametrize directed paths from a given point to another.

Definition 8. Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold and $p, q \in M$. The space $\Gamma_{p,q}(t)$ of indirect influences from p to q exerted, or propagated, in time $t > 0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{p,q}(t) &= \left\{ (c, s) \mid \varphi_c(p, s) = q \right\} = \\ &= \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} \coprod_{c \in D(n,k)} \{s \in \Delta_n^t \mid \varphi_c(p, s) = q\} = \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} \coprod_{c \in D(n,k)} \Gamma_{p,q}^c(t). \end{aligned}$$

In addition we set

$$\Gamma_{p,q}(0) = \Gamma_{p,q}^{\emptyset}(0) = \begin{cases} \{p\} & \text{if } p = q, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Figure 3 shows a schematic picture of a component $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ of the space of indirect influences.




Figure 3. Space of indirect influences $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$.

Remark 9. For a fixed pattern c the continuity of the iterated flow $\varphi_c(p, \cdot)$ implies that the space of indirect influences $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ is compact, as it is a closed subspace of Δ_n^t .

The spaces of indirect influences come equipped with the structure of a category. Indeed indirect influences are pretty close of being the free category generated by direct influences, but not quite since we have ruled out repeated directions.

Theorem 10. Indirect influences on a directed manifold form a topological category.

Proof. Given a directed manifold (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) we let $\Gamma = \Gamma(M, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ be the category of indirect influences on M . Objects in Γ are points in M . Given $p, q \in M$, the space of morphisms in Γ from p to q is given by

$$\Gamma_{p,q} = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \coprod_{c \in D(n,k)} \Gamma_{p,q}^c \quad \text{where} \quad \Gamma_{p,q}^c = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+2} \mid s \in \Delta_n^t, \varphi_c(p, s) = q\}.$$

In order to define continuous composition maps $\circ : \Gamma_{p,q} \times \Gamma_{q,r} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{p,r}$, it is enough to define componentwise composition maps

$$\circ : \Gamma_{p,q}^c \times \Gamma_{q,r}^d \longrightarrow \Gamma_{p,r}^{c*d}$$

for given patterns c and d with $n = l(c)$ and $m = l(d)$. We consider two cases:

- If $c_n \neq d_0$, then $c * d = (c, d)$ and

$$(s_0, \dots, s_n) \circ (u_0, \dots, u_m) = (s_0, \dots, s_n, u_0, \dots, u_m).$$

- If $c_n = d_0$, then $c * d = (c_0, \dots, c_n) * (d_0, \dots, d_m) = (c_0, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_m)$ and

$$(s_0, \dots, s_n) \circ (u_0, \dots, u_m) = (s_0, \dots, s_n + u_0, \dots, u_m).$$

These compositions are well-defined continuous maps satisfying the associative property. The unique $t = 0$ influence from $p \in M$ to itself gives the identity morphism for each object $p \in \Gamma$. \square

Remark 11. The spaces of indirect influences $\Gamma_{p,q}(t)$ can be extended from points to arbitrary subsets of M as follows. Given $A, B \subseteq M$ we define the space of indirect influences from A to B as

$$\Gamma_{A,B}(t) = \left\{ (c, s) \mid p \in A, \varphi_c(p, s) \in B \right\} =$$

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{c \in D(n,k)} \{s \in \Delta_n^t \mid p \in A, \varphi_c(p, s) \in B\} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{c \in D(n,k)} \Gamma_{A,B}^c(t).$$

Restricting attention to embedded oriented submanifolds of M , and following techniques from Chas and Sullivan's string topology [5], this construction gives rise to some kind of transversal category.

We close this section introducing a few subsets of M useful for understanding the propagation of influences on M . These sets are usually called the reachable sets in geometric control theory, and are natural generalizations of the corresponding graph theoretical notions. They also play a prominent role in general relativity [20]. For $A \subseteq M$ we set:

- $\Gamma_A(t) = \{q \in M \mid \Gamma_{A,q}(t) \neq \emptyset\}$ is the set of points in M influenced by A in time t .
- $\Gamma_{A,\leq}(t) = \{q \in M \mid \text{there is } 0 \leq s \leq t, \text{ such that } \Gamma_{A,q}(s) \neq \emptyset\}$ is the set of points in M influenced by A in time less or equal to t .
- $\Gamma_A = \{q \in M \mid \Gamma_{A,q}(t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \geq 0\}$ is the set of points in M that are influenced by A .
- $\Gamma_A^-(t) = \{q \in M \mid \Gamma_{q,A}(t) \neq \emptyset\}$ is the set of points in M that influence A in time t , i.e. the set of points on which A depends on time t .
- $\Gamma_{A,\leq}^- = \{q \in M \mid \text{there is } 0 \leq s \leq t, \text{ such that } \Gamma_{q,A}(s) \neq \emptyset\}$ is the set of points in M that influence A in time less or equal to t .
- $\Gamma_A^- = \{q \in M \mid \Gamma_{q,A}(t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \geq 0\}$ is the set of points in M that influence A .
- $F_A(t) = \partial\Gamma_{A,\leq}(t)$ and $F_A^-(t) = \partial\Gamma_{A,\leq}^-(t)$ are called, respectively, the front of influence and the front of dependence of A in time t .

Note that a directed manifold M is naturally a pre-poset by setting

$$p \leq q \quad \text{if and only if} \quad q \in \Gamma_p.$$

The associated poset is the quotient space M_{\sim} , where the equivalence relation \sim on M is given by

$$p \sim q \quad \text{if and only if} \quad q \in \Gamma_p \quad \text{and} \quad p \in \Gamma_q.$$

The space M_{\sim} tell us how M splits into components of co-influences, i.e. the path connected components of M through directed paths.

Note that a directed manifold (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) comes equipped with a natural distribution, indeed for each point $p \in M$ we have the subspace

$$\langle v_1(p), \dots, v_k(p) \rangle \subseteq T_p M$$

generated by the vectors $v_1(p), \dots, v_k(p)$. If this distribution is integrable, then directed paths are confined to live on the leaves. Thus to study indirect influences in the integrable case, we may as well forget about the manifold M and work leaf by leaf. So the interesting cases of study are:

- $\langle v_1(p), \dots, v_k(p) \rangle = T_p M$, i.e. M itself is the unique leaf.
- The distribution $\langle v_1(p), \dots, v_k(p) \rangle \subseteq T_p M$ is not integrable.

3 Measuring Indirect Influences

Fix a directed manifold (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) . In order to measure indirect influences on M we assume from now on that an orientation on M has been chosen. To gauge the amount of indirect influences exerted, in time t , by a point $p \in M$ on a point $q \in M$ we need to define measures on the spaces $\Gamma_{p,q}(t)$ of indirect influences. From Definition 8 we see that $\Gamma_{p,q}(t)$ is a disjoint union of pieces, one for each pattern $c \in D(n, k)$, of the form

$$\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) = \{s \in \Delta_n^t \mid \varphi_c(p, s) = q\}.$$

So, our problem reduces to imposing measures on the pieces $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$.

The n -simplex Δ_n^t is a smooth manifold with corners, and comes equipped with a Riemannian metric and its associated volume form. Indeed using Cartesian coordinates

$$l_1 = s_0, \quad l_2 = s_0 + s_1, \quad \dots, \quad l_n = s_0 + \dots + s_{n-1}$$

the n -simplex can be identified with the following subset of \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\Delta_n^t = \left\{ (l_1, \dots, l_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 \leq l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_n \leq t \right\}.$$

Thus Δ_n^t inherits a Riemannian metric, an orientation, and the corresponding volume form $dl_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dl_n$. With this measure we have that

$$\text{vol}(\Delta_n^t) = \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0.$$

Definition 12. A directed manifold (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) has smooth indirect influences if for any pattern $c \in D(n, k)$ and points $p, q \in M$ the space of indirect influences $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ is a smooth embedded sub-manifold of Δ_n^t .

For our next result we use the implicit function theorem for manifolds [13, 24]. Let $f : N \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map between differential manifolds and fix $q \in M$. Then $f^{-1}(q)$ is a smooth sub-manifold of N if for each $p \in f^{-1}(q)$ the linear map

$$d_p f : T_p N \rightarrow T_q M$$

has maximal rank, that is

$$\text{rank}(d_p f) = \min\{\dim(N), \dim(M)\}.$$

If $\text{rank}(d_p f) = \dim(N)$, then $d_p f$ is injective, f is an immersion, and $f^{-1}(q)$ is a set of isolated points. If $\text{rank}(d_p f) = \dim(M)$, then $d_p f$ is surjective, f is a submersion, and $f^{-1}(q)$ is a sub-manifold of N of dimension $\dim(M) - \dim(N)$.

Next we apply this result to the open part of manifolds with corners.

Theorem 13. Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold. Fix a pattern $c \in D(n, k)$ with $n \geq 1$, and a point $p \in M$. If for any (s_0, \dots, s_n) in the open part of $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ there are $\min(n, \dim(M))$ linearly independent vectors among the vectors given for $i \in [0, n-1]$ by

$$d^M \varphi_{(c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n)} \Big|_{(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n)} [v_{c_i}(\varphi_{c_0, \dots, c_i}(s_0, \dots, s_i))] - v_{c_n}(\varphi_c(s_0, \dots, s_n)) \in T_{\varphi_c(p, s_0, \dots, s_n)},$$

then $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ is a smooth sub-manifold of Δ_n^t .

Proof. Fix $c \in D(n, k)$ with $n \geq 1$. Recall from Remark 6 that $\varphi_c : M \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow M$ is the iterated flow associated to c . The differential of φ_c naturally split as:

$$d\varphi_c = d^M \varphi_c + d^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \varphi_c.$$

Consider the map $\phi : \Delta_n^t \rightarrow M$ given by

$$\phi(s) = \phi(s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}) = \varphi_c(p, s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}, t - s_0 - \dots - s_{n-1}),$$

where we are using the identification

$$\Delta_n^t = \{ s = (s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid |s| = s_0 + \dots + s_{n-1} \leq t \}.$$

In order to guarantee that $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) = \phi^{-1}(p)$ is a smooth sub-manifold of Δ_n^t we impose the condition that $d_s\phi$ has maximal rank for $s \in \phi^{-1}(p)$. Next we compute for $i \in [0, n-1]$ the vectors

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s_i}(s) = d_s\phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_i}\right) \in T_{\phi(s)}M.$$

Using the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s_n}(\varphi_{c_0, \dots, c_n})(p, s_0, \dots, s_n) = v_{c_n}(\varphi_{c_1, \dots, c_n}(p, s_0, \dots, s_n)),$$

one can show that $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s_i}(s)$ is given by

$$d^M\varphi_{c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n} \Big|_{(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{n-1}, s_n)} [v_{c_i}(\varphi_{c_0, \dots, c_i}(s_0, \dots, s_i))] - v_{c_n}(\varphi_{c_0, \dots, c_n}(s_0, \dots, s_n)),$$

where we recall that $s_n = t - |s|$,

$$d^M\varphi_{c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n} = d\varphi_{c_n}(\cdot, t - |s|) \circ \dots \circ d^M\varphi_{c_{i+1}}(\cdot, s_{i+1}), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\varphi_{c_0, \dots, c_i}(s_0, \dots, s_i) = \varphi_{c_i}[\varphi_{c_0, \dots, c_{i-1}}(s_0, \dots, s_{i-1}), s_i] \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

Thus the rank of $d_s\phi$ is maximal at each point $s \in \phi^{-1}(q)$ if and only if there are exactly $\min(n, \dim(M))$ linearly independent vectors among the vectors $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s_i}(s)$ given by the expression above. We have shown the desired result. \square

Corollary 14. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 13, the interior of the space $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ is an oriented Riemannian sub-manifold of Δ_n^t .

Proof. We use oriented differential intersection theory as developed by Guillemin [13]. Since $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ is a smooth sub-manifold of Δ_n^t it acquires by restriction a Riemannian metric. The orientation on $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ arises as follows. For $s \in \Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ write

$$T_s\Delta_n^t \simeq N_s\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) \oplus T_s\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t),$$

where $N_s\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) \simeq T_s\Delta_n^t/T_s\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ is the normal bundle of $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$. Note that

$$d_s\phi(T_s\Delta_n^t) = T_{\phi(s)}M \quad \text{and thus } d_s\phi: N_s\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) \longrightarrow T_{\phi(s)}M \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

Since $T_s\Delta_n^t$ is oriented, and $N_s\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ acquires an orientation from the isomorphism above, then $T_s\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ naturally acquires an orientation. \square

For a directed manifold with smooth indirect influences each piece $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) \subseteq \Delta_n^t$ of the space of indirect influences acquires from Δ_n^t a Riemannian metric. If in addition we assume that each piece $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ is given an orientation, then $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ acquires a volume form denoted by dl_c . As we have just shown this is the situation arising from the conditions of Theorem 13.

We are ready to highlight a few functions on the spaces of indirect influences, for a fix a time $t > 0$, that one would like to integrate against these measures.

1. Volume of Influences.

Each component $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ of the space of indirect influences is compact and thus of bounded volume. We define the volume or total measure of $\Gamma_{p,q}(t)$, leaving convergency issues to be discussed on a case by case basis, as follows:

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{p,q}(t)) = \int_{\Gamma_{p,q}(t)} 1 dl = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in D(n,k)} \int_{\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)} 1 dl_c = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in C(n,k)} \text{vol}(\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)).$$

2. Functions on influences from differential 1-forms on M .

Let A be a differential 1-form on M . We formally write

$$\int_{\Gamma_{p,q}(t)} \hat{A} dl = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in D(n,k)} \int_{\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)} \hat{A} dl_c,$$

where the map $\hat{A} : \Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$A(c, s) = \int_0^t \varphi_{c,s}^* A = \sum_{i=0}^{l(c)} \int_0^{s_i} \varphi_{c,s}|_{[0,s_i]}^* A,$$

with $\varphi_{c,s} : [0, s_0 + \dots + s_n] \rightarrow M$ the directed path associated to the indirect influence (c, s) .

3. Functions on influences from Riemannian metrics on M .

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M . We formally write

$$\int_{\Gamma_{p,q}(t)} e^{-l_g} dl = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in D(n,k)} \int_{\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)} e^{-l_g} dl_c,$$

where $e^{-l_g} : \Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the map given by

$$e^{-l_g}(c, s) = e^{-l_g(\varphi_{c,s})}$$

and $l_g(\varphi_{c,s})$ is the length of the curve $\varphi_{c,s}$:

$$l_g(\varphi_{c,s}) = \sum_{i=0}^{l(c)} l_g(\varphi_{c,s}|_{[0,s_i]}) = \sum_{i=0}^{l(c)} \int_0^{s_i} g\left(v_{c_i}(\varphi_{c_i}(p_i, u)), v_{c_i}(\varphi_{c_i}(p_i, u))\right) du.$$

4. Functions on influences from functions on M .

Given a smooth map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we formally write

$$\int_{\Gamma_{p,q}(t)} \hat{f} dl = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in D(n,k)} \int_{\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)} f(p_0) \cdots f(p_n) dl_c$$

with $p_0 = p$ and $p_{i+1} = \varphi_{c_i}(p_i, s_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

5. Functions on influences from Lagrangian functions on TM .

Let $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lagrangian map. In the applications L is usually built from a Riemannian metric g on M and a potential map $U : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$L(p, v) = g(v, v) - U(p).$$

Given a Lagrangian L we consider the following analogue of the Feynman integrals:

$$\int_{\Gamma_{p,q}(t)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} dl = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in D(n,k)} \int_{\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} dl_c,$$

where we set $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}(c, s) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S(c,s)}$, and the action map S is given by

$$S(c, s) = \int_0^t L(\varphi_{c,s}(u), \dot{\varphi}_{c,s}(u)) du = \sum_{i=0}^{l(c)} \int_0^{s_i} L(\varphi_{c,s}|_{[0,s_i]}(u), \dot{\varphi}_{c,s}|_{[0,s_i]}(u)) du.$$

This example both relates and reveals the difference between our constructions and Feynman integrals. Whereas in the latter arbitrary paths are taken into account, with our methods only paths with speeds and directions prescribed by the vector fields v_1, \dots, v_k are allowed. Also instead of looking for a measure on the space of all paths, we first decompose our space of paths into several pieces, and then impose a measure on each piece. Fortunately, each piece is finite dimensional and thus we have at our disposal the usual techniques coming from Riemannian geometry. Convergence of the sum of the integrals over each piece is to be studied in a case by case fashion.

Remark 15. In our examples we have found that the infinite sums defining the integrals above are actually convergent. Nevertheless, convergency is not a built-in property and should not be expected in general. To improve convergency properties one may look at the exponential generating series instead. For example, the vol function defined above can be replaced by the function vol_λ , with λ a positive real parameter, defined as follows:

$$\text{vol}_\lambda(\Gamma_{p,q}(t)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{c \in D(n,k)} \text{vol}(\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)) \right) \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}.$$

Clearly, this technique can be applied as well to the other quantities defined above. Moreover, if necessary, we may regard λ as a formal parameter.

We have shown how to construct and integrate functions on the spaces of indirect influences on directed manifolds. So let us pick one such a function and call it g . Integrating over the spaces of indirect influences we obtain the kernel of influences map $k : M \times M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$k(p, q, t) = \int_{\Gamma_{p,q}(t)} g \, dl.$$

Definition 16. Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold with smooth indirect influences. M is given a Riemannian metric, and thus it acquires a volume form. Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a map representing the density of influences originated at time $t = 0$. Let g be a map on indirect influences, and consider its associated kernel of influences $k = k_g$. The wave of influences $u : M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the map given by

$$u(q, t) = \int_{p \in \Gamma_q^-(t)} k(p, q, t) f(p) \, dp,$$

where we assume that $\Gamma_q^-(t)$ is a compact oriented smooth sub-manifold of M ; thus it acquires by restriction a Riemannian metric, and comes with a volume form dp .

Let us consider a couple of examples.

- Let g be the map constantly equal to 1, we have that

$$u(q, t) = \int_{p \in \Gamma_q^-(t)} \text{vol}(\Gamma_{p,q}(t)) f(p) \, dp.$$

- For $g = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}$ where S is the action defined by a Lagrangian map, we have that

$$u(q, t) = \int_{p \in \Gamma_q^-(t)} \int_{\Gamma_{p,q}(t)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S} f(p) \, dl dp.$$

4 Invariance, Involution, and Limit Properties

Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism. Then we obtain the directed manifold

$$(N, f_*v_1, \dots, f_*v_k)$$

where the push-forward vector fields f_*v_i are given for $q \in N$ by

$$f_*v_i(q) = d_p f(v_i(p)), \quad \text{with} \quad p = f^{-1}(q).$$

With this notation we have the following result.

Theorem 17. Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism. For $p, q \in M$ the identity map gives a natural homeomorphism

$$\Gamma_{p,q}^M(t) \simeq \Gamma_{f(p),f(q)}^N(t).$$

Moreover, if (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) has smooth indirect influences and f is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism, then the identification above is an identity between Riemannian manifolds, and in particular we obtain that

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{p,q}^M(t)) = \text{vol}(\Gamma_{f(p),f(q)}^N(t)).$$

Proof. We show that $s \in \Gamma_{p,q}^{M,c}(t)$ if and only if $s \in \Gamma_{f(p),f(q)}^{N,c}(t)$. By construction we have that

$$f(\varphi_{v_i}(p, t)) = \varphi_{f_*(v_i)}(f(p), t),$$

and thus by induction on the length of c we have that

$$f(\varphi_{v,c,s}(p, t)) = \varphi_{f_*v,c,s}(f(p), t),$$

and therefore the equations

$$\varphi_{v,c}(p, s) = q \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{f_*v,c}(f(p), s) = f(q) \quad \text{are equivalent.}$$

For the second part we show that the identity map $\Gamma_{p,q}^{M,c}(t) \rightarrow \Gamma_{f(p),f(q)}^{N,c}(t)$ preserves orientation. Since the identity map preserves the splittings

$$T_s \Delta_n^t \simeq N_s \Gamma_{p,q}^{M,c}(t) \oplus T_s \Gamma_{p,q}^{M,c}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad T_s \Delta_n^t \simeq N_s \Gamma_{f(p),f(q)}^{N,c}(t) \oplus T_s \Gamma_{f(p),f(q)}^{N,c}(t),$$

we just have to show that $N_s \Gamma_{p,q}^{M,c}(t)$ and $N_s \Gamma_{f(p),f(q)}^{N,c}(t)$ are given compatible orientations. This follows by construction, see the proof of Theorem 13, as the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_s \Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) & \xrightarrow{d_s \phi_{v,c}} & T_{\phi_{v,c}(s)} M \\ \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow df \\ N_s \Gamma_{f(p),f(q)}^{N,c}(t) & \xrightarrow{d_s \phi_{f_*v,c}} & T_{\phi_{f_*v,c}(s)} N \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram of orientation preserving isomorphisms, see Corollary 14. \square

Next result tell us how the spaces of indirect influences depend on the order of the vector fields.

Proposition 18. Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold and $\alpha : [k] \longrightarrow [k]$ be a permutation. For the directed manifold $(M, v_{\alpha 1}, \dots, v_{\alpha k})$ we have that

$$\Gamma_{p,q}^v(t) \simeq \Gamma_{p,q}^{v\alpha}(t).$$

Moreover, if (M, v) has smooth indirect influences, then so does $(M, v\alpha)$ and we obtain that

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{p,q}^v(t)) = \text{vol}(\Gamma_{p,q}^{v\alpha}(t)).$$

Proof. We regard the permutation α as a map

$$\alpha_* : \Gamma_{p,q}^v(t) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{p,q}^{v\alpha}(t) \quad \text{given by} \quad \alpha_*(c, s) = (\alpha^{-1}c, s).$$

It follows that α is an homeomorphism as its restriction map

$$\alpha_* : \Gamma_{p,q}^{v,c}(t) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{p,q}^{v\alpha, \alpha^{-1}c}(t)$$

is just the identity map and is a well-defined homeomorphism since

$$\varphi_{v\alpha, \alpha^{-1}c}(p, s) = \varphi_{v, \alpha\alpha^{-1}c}(p, s) = \varphi_{v,c}(p, s) = q.$$

In the case of smooth indirect influences, the map above is clearly orientation preserving, since it is just the identity map, and we have a commutative diagram of orientation-preserving isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_s \Gamma_{p,q}^{v,c}(t) & \xrightarrow{d_s \phi_{v,c}} & T_{\phi_{v,c}(s)} M \\ \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\ N_s \Gamma_{p,q}^{v\alpha, \alpha^{-1}c}(t) & \xrightarrow{d_s \phi_{v\alpha, \alpha^{-1}c}} & T_{\phi_{v\alpha, \alpha^{-1}c}(s)} M \end{array}$$

\square

From the Theorem 17 and Proposition 18 we see that the invariant study of indirect influences on a directed oriented manifold M relies on the study, for $k \geq 1$, of the quotient spaces

$$\chi(M)^k / \text{Diff}_+(M) \times S_k,$$

where $\chi(M)$ is the space of vector fields on M , S_k the group of permutations of $[k]$, and $\text{Diff}(M)_+$ is the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphism of M , i.e. the study of equivalence classes of tuples of vector fields under diffeomorphisms and permutations.

Next we define the direction reversion functor $- : \text{diman} \rightarrow \text{diman}$. It sends a directed manifold (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) to its reversed directed manifold

$$(M, -v_1, \dots, -v_k).$$

Proposition 19. Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold and $(M, -v_1, \dots, -v_k)$ its reversed directed manifold. We have canonical homeomorphisms

$$\Gamma_{v,A,B}(t) \simeq \Gamma_{-v,B,A}(t).$$

And therefore the respective reachable sets are related by:

$$\Gamma_{-v,A}(t) \simeq \Gamma_{v,A}^-(t), \quad \Gamma_{-v,A,\leq}(t) \simeq \Gamma_{v,A,\leq}^-(t), \quad \Gamma_{-v,A} \simeq \Gamma_{v,A}^-, \quad F_{-v,A}(t) \simeq \partial\Gamma_{A,\leq}^-(t).$$

If (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) has smooth indirected influences, then so does $(M, -v_1, \dots, -v_k)$ and the maps above are actually diffeomorphisms. These diffeomorphisms may or may not preserve orientation.

Proof. We define a map

$$\overline{(\cdot)} : \Gamma_{v,A,B}(t) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{-v,B,A}(t)$$

as follows:

$$\overline{(c, s)} = \overline{(c_0, \dots, c_n, s_0, \dots, s_n)} = (\overline{c}, \overline{s}) = (c_n, \dots, c_0, s_n, \dots, s_0).$$

This map is an homeomorphism since the map

$$\overline{(\cdot)} : D(n, k) \longrightarrow D(n, k)$$

is bijective, and the map

$$\overline{(\cdot)} : \Gamma_{v,A,B}^c(t) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{-v,B,A}^{\overline{c}}(t)$$

is an homeomorphism as the equations

$$\varphi_{v,c}(p, s) = q \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{-v,\overline{c}}(q, \overline{s}) = p \quad \text{are equivalent.}$$

□

In quantum mechanics the integration domain of a Feynman integral is usually the space of paths, with fixed endpoints, on a manifold. We think of the spaces of indirect influences $\Gamma_{p,q}(t)$ as being analogues of the integration domains for Feynman path integrals, where in addition to boundary restrictions, we impose tangential restrictions on the allowed paths; these restrictions induce a partition of path-space into finite dimensional pieces. The question arises: Can we somehow approach the full Feynman domains of integration from the spaces of indirect influences? In other words, is it possible to relax our definition of indirect influences, or perform some kind of limit procedure that might allow us to approach Feynman integrals from the viewpoint of indirect influences? We left this problem open for future research, and limit ourselves to make a couple of remarks along this line of thinking.

Clearly what one should do is to allow more paths into our spaces of indirect influences. One way to go is to replace the vector fields v_j by sections of the projective tangent bundle $\mathbb{P}TM$, so that one fixes the directions along which our curves can move, but leave the speeds unconstrained. Although this approach may be of interest, finite dimensionality is lost. Incidentally, this approach establishes the connection with directed topological spaces [12].

Instead we propose another approach. Given a directed manifold

$$(M, v) = (M, v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

we consider the tuple $v(a, b)$ of vector fields on M , for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_+$, given by the lexicographically ordered set:

$$v(a, b) = \left\{ \frac{i}{b} v_j \mid -ab \leq i \leq ab, \quad j \in [k] \right\}.$$

Indirect influences on the directed manifold $(M, v(a, b))$ are exerted through curves along the directions defined by the vector fields v_j with rather arbitrary speeds, if a and b are large numbers. Piecewise finite dimensionality is preserved for a and b fix.

To relax even further the restrictions on the path of indirect influences we consider directed manifolds of the form $(M, \langle v(a, b) \rangle)$ where in $\langle v(a, b) \rangle$ we include all vector fields that are finite sums of vector fields in $v(a, b)$. Indirect influences in $(M, \langle v(a, b) \rangle)$ are exerted through paths with rather arbitrary speeds and directions; for example, if the vector fields in $v(a, b)$ at some point contain a basis of the tangent space, then essentially all directions and speeds are allowed, for a and b large, at that point. Piecewise finite dimensionality is preserved for a and b fix.

The fundamental question is whether it is possible to make any sense of the limit of the spaces of indirect influences for the spaces $(M, \langle v(a, b) \rangle)$ as a and b grow to infinity, a question however beyond the scope of this work.

5 Indirect Influences on Product/Quotient Manifolds

Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) and (N, u_1, \dots, u_l) be directed manifolds. The natural isomorphism

$$T(M \times N) \simeq \pi_M^* TM \oplus \pi_N^* TN,$$

allows us to consider

$$(M \times N, v_1, \dots, v_k, u_1, \dots, u_l) \text{ as a directed manifold,}$$

where one should more formally write $(v_i, 0)$ instead of v_i , and $(0, u_j)$ instead of u_j .

Let diman be the category of directed manifolds. We allow in diman manifolds with connected components of different dimensions, and assume by convention that the set with one element is a directed manifold.

Proposition 20. The product defined above gives diman the structure of a monoidal category with unit the set $[1]$.

Fix $A \subseteq [n]$. We say that a map $c : A \rightarrow [k]$ is a pattern if $c(i) \neq c(i+1)$ for all contiguous elements $i, i+1 \in A$. Thus a pattern for the product manifold $M \times N$ is given by a map $c : [n] \rightarrow [k] \sqcup [l]$ such that its restrictions

$$c|_{c^{-1}[k]} : c^{-1}[k] \rightarrow [k] \quad \text{and} \quad c|_{c^{-1}[l]} : c^{-1}[l] \rightarrow [l]$$

are patterns on $c^{-1}[k]$ and $c^{-1}[l]$, respectively.

Proposition 21. Let $(p_1, p_2), (q_1, q_2) \in M \times N$, and let $c : [n] \rightarrow [k] \sqcup [l]$ be a pattern. We have a canonical homeomorphism:

$$\Gamma_{(p_1, p_2), (q_1, q_2)}^{M \times N, c} \simeq \Gamma_{p_1, q_1}^{N, c|_{c^{-1}[k]}} \times \Gamma_{p_2, q_2}^{N, c|_{c^{-1}[l]}}.$$

Proof. The desired homeomorphism sends

$$s \in \Gamma_{(p_1, p_2), (q_1, q_2)}^{M \times N, c}(t) \subseteq \Gamma_{(p_1, p_2), (q_1, q_2)}^{M \times N, c}$$

to the pair

$$(s|_{c^{-1}[k]}, s|_{c^{-1}[l]}) \in \Gamma_{p_1, q_1}^{N, c|_{c^{-1}[k]}}(a) \times \Gamma_{p_2, q_2}^{N, c|_{c^{-1}[l]}}(t - a),$$

where

$$a = \sum_{i \in c^{-1}[k]} s_i.$$

□

Next we consider indirect influences on quotient manifolds. Let M be a smooth manifold, G a compact Lie group acting freely on M , and assume that the directed manifold (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) is invariant under the action of G , i.e. the following identities hold:

$$d_p g(v_i) = v_i(gp) \quad \text{for all } p \in M, g \in G.$$

Then M/G is a smooth manifold and it comes with a smooth quotient map

$$\pi : M \longrightarrow M/G,$$

which induces a surjective map $d\pi : TM \longrightarrow T(M/G)$, and canonical isomorphisms

$$\overline{d_p \pi} : T_p M / T_p(Gp) \longrightarrow T_{\bar{p}}(M/G).$$

Note also that we have isomorphisms

$$T_{\bar{p}}(M/G) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{g \in G} T_{gp} M \right) / G.$$

Thus we obtain the directed manifold $(M/G, \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_k)$ with $\bar{v}_i = d\pi(v_i)$.

Theorem 22. Let (M, v_1, \dots, v_k) be a directed manifold, invariant under the action of the compact Lie group G , and let $p, q \in M$. Then $(M/G, \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_k)$ with $\bar{v}_i = d\pi(v_i)$ is a directed manifold, G acts naturally on $\Gamma_{Gp, Gq}^M(t)$, and we have that

$$\Gamma_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{M/G}(t) \simeq \left(\Gamma_{Gp, Gq}^M(t) \right) / G.$$

Proof. The result follows from the fact that there are G -equivariant homeomorphisms

$$\Gamma_{p, Gq}^M(t) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{M/G}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{p, Gq}^M(t) \longrightarrow \left(\Gamma_{Gp, Gq}^M(t) \right) / G.$$

As the vector fields v_i are G -invariant, the corresponding flows φ_i are also G -invariant:

$$\varphi_i(gp, t) = g\varphi_i(p, t), \quad \text{and therefore} \quad \varphi_{c,s}(gp, t) = g\varphi_{c,s}(p, t)$$

for any pattern and time distribution (c, s) . This shows that G acts on $\Gamma_{Gp, Gq}^M(t)$, and that $\Gamma_{p,q}^M(t) \simeq \Gamma_{gp, gq}^M(t)$ for $p, q \in M$.

A pair (c, s) defines an indirect influence from \bar{p} to \bar{q} in M/G if and only if $\overline{\varphi}_c(\bar{p}, s) = \bar{q}$. If the latter equation holds we have that

$$\pi\varphi_c(p, s) = \overline{\varphi}_c(\bar{p}, s) = \bar{q} \quad \text{and thus} \quad \varphi_c(p, s) \in Gq.$$

Therefore (c, s) defines an indirect influence from \bar{p} to \bar{q} if and only if (c, s) defines an indirect influence from p to Gq . So we have shown that the map $\Gamma_{p, Gq}^M(t) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{M/G}(t)$ is a G -equivariant homeomorphism.

Similarly, if $a \in Gp$, then $\bar{\varphi}_c(\bar{p}, s) = \bar{q}$ if and only if

$$\varphi_c(a, s) = \varphi_c(gp, s) = g\varphi_c(p, s) \quad \text{belongs to } Gq.$$

Thus the map $\Gamma_{p, Gq}^M(t) \longrightarrow \left(\Gamma_{Gp, Gq}^M(t)\right)/G$ is a G -equivariant homeomorphism.

□

6 Indirect Influences from Constant Vector Fields

As a first and pretty workable example, linking the theory of indirect influences on directed manifolds with linear programming techniques. For our next couples of results we consider a directed manifold $(\mathbb{R}^d, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ where the vector fields

$$v_j = \sum_{i=1}^d a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

have constant coefficients $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in [d]$, $j \in [k]$.

Theorem 23. Consider the directed manifold $(\mathbb{R}^d, v_1, \dots, v_k)$. Fix a pattern $c \in D(n, k)$ and points $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The space of indirect influences $\Gamma_{p, q}^c(t)$ is the convex polytope given on the variables $s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ by the system of equations:

$$a_{ic(0)}s_0 + \dots + a_{ic(n)}s_n = q_i - p_i, \quad \text{for } i \in [d], \quad \text{and} \quad s_0 + \dots + s_n = 1,$$

or equivalently in matrix notation

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_c \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} s = \begin{pmatrix} q - p \\ t \end{pmatrix},$$

where A_c is the matrix of format $d \times (n+1)$ given by:

$$(A_c)_{ij} = a_{ic(j)}, \quad \mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

$$s = (s_0, \dots, s_n), \quad p = (p_1, \dots, p_d), \quad \text{and} \quad q = (q_1, \dots, q_d).$$

Proof. The result follows from the fact that the solutions of the differential equation $\dot{p} = v$, where v is constant and with initial condition a , are of the form $p(t) = a + tv$. □

Theorem 24. Consider the directed manifold $(\mathbb{R}^d, v_1, \dots, v_k)$. For $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the volume of the space of indirect influences $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)$ is given by

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t)) = \text{vol}(\text{Conv}(u_I)), \quad \text{where:}$$

$\text{Conv}(u_I)$ is the convex hull of the vector u_I defined by the following conditions:

- $I \subseteq [d]$ is a subset of cardinality n .
- The entries of the vector $u_I \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ vanish for indexes not in I .
- For a matrix A we let A_I be its restriction to the columns with indexes in I . The set I must be such that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A_c \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_I \neq 0.$$

- u_I is the unique solution of the linear system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_c \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_I u_I = \begin{pmatrix} q - p \\ t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Theorem 23 and standard results of linear programming [19, 25] one can show that $\Gamma_{p,q}^c(t) = \text{Conv}(u_I)$. \square

6.1 Dimension One

Consider the directed manifold $(\mathbb{R}, a_1 \frac{d}{dx}, \dots, a_k \frac{d}{dx})$ where for simplicity we assume that $a_i \neq a_j$. Fix a pattern $c \in D(n, k)$ and consider the space $\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t)$ of indirect influences from 0 to x exerted in time t . The space $\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is the convex polytope defined by the equations

$$a_{c(0)}s_0 + \dots + a_{c(n)}s_n = x \quad \text{and} \quad s_0 + \dots + s_n = t.$$

Consider the set

$$D = \{ (i, j) \in [n] \mid c(i) \neq c(j) \}.$$

By Theorem $\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t)$ is the convex polytope $\text{Conv}(u_{ij})$ generated by the vectors u_{ij} , given for $(i, j) \in D$ by

$$u_{ij} = (0, \dots, \underset{i \uparrow}{l_i}, \dots, 0, \dots, \underset{j \uparrow}{l_j}, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{c_i} & a_{c_j} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_i \\ l_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 25. Consider the directed manifold $(\mathbb{R}, \frac{d}{dx}, -\frac{d}{dx})$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $\text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,x}(t))$ is given by:

$$\delta_{|x|,t} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(t+x)^n(t-x)^n}{n!^2} + \frac{(t+x)^{n+1}(t-x)^n}{(n+1)!n!} + \frac{(t+x)^n(t-x)^{n+1}}{n!(n+1)!} \right] 2^{1-2n}.$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{x,0}(t)) = \text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,x}(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{vol}(\Gamma_{x,y}(t)) = \text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,y-x}(t)).$$

The wave of influences

$$u(x, t) = \int_{x-t}^{x+t} \text{vol}(\Gamma_{y,x}(t)) dy \quad \text{is constant in } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Setting

$$E_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \binom{n}{k} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

the wave of influences is given for $t > 0$ by

$$u(0, t) = 8 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \binom{2n+1}{n, n, 1} \frac{(t/2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} + 32 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \binom{2n+2}{n+1, n, 1} \frac{(t/2)^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!}.$$

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and a pattern $c \in D(n, k)$. The space of indirect influences $\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t)$ is the polytope given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{c_i} s_i = x \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^n s_i = t.$$

Since we have just two vector fields, a pattern (c_0, \dots, c_n) is determined by its initial value c_0 . Figure 4 shows the directed path associated to an indirect influence.




Figure 4: Indirect influence $(7, 5, 3, 7) \in \Gamma_{(0,-2)}^{(1,2,1,2)}$.

We distinguish four cases taking into account the initial value c_0 and the parity of n .

Consider the pattern $(1, 2, \dots, 1, 2)$ of length $2n$, for $n \geq 1$. Then $\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t)$ is the polytope given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^i s_i = x \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} s_i = t.$$

Setting

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_{2i} = a \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_{2i+1} = b,$$

the previous equations become $a - b = x$ and $a + b = t$, with solutions $a = \frac{t+x}{2}$ and $b = \frac{t-x}{2}$. By definition $a, b \geq 0$, thus we must have $t \geq |x|$ in order that $\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t) \neq \emptyset$. For $t \geq |x|$, we have that

$$\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t) = \Delta_{n-1}\left(\frac{t+x}{2}\right) \times \Delta_{n-1}\left(\frac{t-x}{2}\right),$$

and therefore

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t)) = \frac{(t+x)^{n-1}(t-x)^{n-1}}{2^{2n-2}(n-1)!^2}.$$

For the pattern $(1, 2, \dots, 1, 2, 1)$ of length $2n+1$, with $n \geq 1$, setting

$$\sum_{i=0}^n s_{2i} = a \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_{2i+1} = b \quad \text{we get that}$$

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t)) = \text{vol}\left[\Delta_n\left(\frac{t+x}{2}\right) \times \Delta_{n-1}\left(\frac{t-x}{2}\right)\right] = \frac{(t+x)^n(t-x)^{n-1}}{2^{2n-1}n!(n-1)!}.$$

The pattern $c = (2, 1, \dots, 2, 1)$ of length $2n$, with $n \geq 1$, leads to

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t)) = \text{vol}\left[\Delta_{n-1}\left(\frac{t-x}{2}\right) \times \Delta_{n-1}\left(\frac{t+x}{2}\right)\right] = \frac{(t+x)^{n-1}(t-x)^{n-1}}{2^{2n-2}(n-1)!^2}.$$

For the pattern $c = (2, 1, \dots, 2, 1, 2)$ of length $2n+1$, with $n \geq 1$, we get that

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,x}^c(t)) = \text{vol}\left[\Delta_n\left(\frac{t-x}{2}\right) \times \Delta_{n-1}\left(\frac{t+x}{2}\right)\right] = \frac{(t+x)^{n-1}(t-x)^n}{2^{2n-1}(n-1)!n!}.$$

Therefore $\text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,x}(t))$ is given by:

$$\delta_{x,t} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(t+x)^{n-1}(t-x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!^2} + \frac{(t+x)^n(t-x)^{n-1}}{n!(n-1)!} + \frac{(t+x)^{n-1}(t-x)^n}{n!(n-1)!} \right] 2^{1-2n}$$

yielding the desired result.

Applying Theorem 17 to translations on \mathbb{R} we obtain that:

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma_{x,y}(t)) = \text{vol}(\Gamma_{x-x,y-x}(t)) = \text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,y-x}(t)).$$

In particular we get that $\text{vol}(\Gamma_{x,0}(t)) = \text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,-x}(t))$. A direct inspection of the explicit formula for $\text{vol}(\Gamma_{x,y}(t))$ given above yields $\text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,-x}(t)) = \text{vol}(\Gamma_{0,x}(t))$.

Next we show that the wave of influences is constant in the variable x . Making the change of variables $y - x \rightarrow y$ we get that:

$$u(x, t) = \int_{x-t}^{x+t} \text{vol}(\Gamma_{0, x-y}(t)) dy = \int_{-t}^t \text{vol}(\Gamma_{0, -y}(t)) dy = \int_{-t}^t \text{vol}(\Gamma_{0, y}(t)) dy = u(0, t).$$

We have that $u(0, t)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-t}^t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(t+y)^n (t-y)^n}{n!^2} + \frac{(t+y)^{n+1} (t-y)^n}{(n+1)!n!} + \frac{(t+y)^n (t-y)^{n+1}}{n!(n+1)!} \right] 2^{1-2n} dy = \\ & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{1-2n} \left[\int_{-t}^t \frac{(t+y)^n (t-y)^n}{n!^2} dy + \int_{-t}^t \frac{(t+y)^{n+1} (t-y)^n}{(n+1)!n!} dy + \int_{-t}^t \frac{(t+y)^n (t-y)^{n+1}}{n!(n+1)!} dy \right] = \\ & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{1-2n} \left[2E_n \frac{t^{2n+1}}{n!^2} + 2E_n \frac{t^{2n+2}}{n!(n+1)!} + 2E_n \frac{t^{2n+2}}{n!(n+1)!} \right] = \\ & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{1-2n} \left[2E_n \frac{t^{2n+1}}{n!^2} + 4E_n \frac{t^{2n+2}}{n!(n+1)!} \right] = \\ & 8 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \binom{2n+1}{n, n, 1} \frac{(t/2)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} + 32 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \binom{2n+2}{n+1, n, 1} \frac{(t/2)^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!}. \end{aligned}$$

□

6.2 Dimension Two

Consider the direct manifold $(\mathbb{R}^2, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$, and let $\Gamma(x, y) = \Gamma_{(0,0),(x,y)}$ be the space of indirect influences from $(0,0)$ to (x,y) . Note that such influences can only happen at time $t = x + y$, and thus there is no need to include the time variable in the notation. Figure 4 shows the directed path associated to an indirect influence.




Figure 4. Directed path of the indirect influence $(1, 3, 2, 1) \in \Gamma^{(2,1,2,1)}(4, 3)$.

Theorem 26. Consider the direct manifold $(\mathbb{R}^2, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$.

1. There are no influences from $(0, 0)$ to a point $(x, y) \notin \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2$.
2. $\text{vol}(\Gamma(x, 0)) = \text{vol}(\Gamma(0, x)) = 1$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$.
3. For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}^2$, the space $\Gamma(x, y)$ of indirect influences from $(0, 0)$ to (x, y) has volume

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma(x, y)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(2 \frac{x^n y^n}{n!^2} + \frac{x^{n+1} y^n}{(n+1)!n!} + \frac{x^n y^{n+1}}{n!(n+1)!} \right).$$

4. $\text{vol}(\Gamma(x, y))$ is a symmetric function in x and y .
5. The function $\text{vol}(\Gamma) = \text{vol}(\Gamma(x, y))$ satisfies the system of partial differential of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \text{vol}(\Gamma)}{\partial x} &= \text{vol}(\Gamma) + e^y, \\ \frac{\partial \text{vol}(\Gamma)}{\partial y} &= \text{vol}(\Gamma) + e^x. \end{aligned}$$

6. Only points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2$ on the segment $x + y = t$ receive an influence from $(0, 0)$ at time $t \geq 0$. Among the points on this segment, the highest influence from $(0, 0)$ is exerted on the point $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2})$; the volume of indirect influences from $(0, 0)$ along the line of maximal influences is given by

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma(t, t)) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

7. The wave of influences $u(x, y, t)$ is given by

$$u(x, y, t) = \int_0^t \text{vol}(\Gamma_{(x-s, y+s-t), (x, y)}(t)) ds$$

and is constant in the variables x, y . Setting

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{n+k+1} \binom{n}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad H_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{n+k+2} \binom{n}{k}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

the wave of influences $u(x, y, t)$ can be written as:

$$u(x, y, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2F_n \binom{2n+1}{n, n, 1} \frac{t^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2H_n \binom{2n+2}{n+1, n, 1} \frac{t^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!}.$$

Proof. Item 1 is clear, and item 2 simply counts the influences that arise, respectively, from the patterns (1) and (2). Let us show 3. Since $k = 2$, a pattern (c_0, \dots, c_n) is determined by its initial value c_0 . For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^2$ we distinguish three cases taking into account the initial value c_0 and the parity of n .

- Patterns $(1, 2, \dots, 1, 2)$ and $(2, 1, \dots, 2, 1)$ of length $2n$, for $n \geq 1$, have a contribution of

$$\text{vol}(\Delta_{n-1}^x) \text{vol}(\Delta_{n-1}^y) = \frac{x^{n-1}y^{n-1}}{(n-1)!^2}$$

to the volume of the space the indirect influences.

- The pattern $(1, 2, \dots, 1, 2, 1)$ of length $2n + 1$, for $n \geq 1$, have a contribution of

$$\text{vol}(\Delta_n^x) \text{vol}(\Delta_{n-1}^y) = \frac{x^n y^{n-1}}{n!(n-1)!}$$

to the volume of the space indirect influences.

- The pattern $(2, 1, \dots, 2, 1, 2)$ of length $2n + 1$, for $n \geq 1$, have a contribution of

$$\text{vol}(\Delta_{n-1}^x) \text{vol}(\Delta_n^y) = \frac{x^{n-1}y^n}{(n-1)!n!}$$

to the volume of the indirect influences.

Putting together the three summands we obtain that

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma(x, y)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(2 \frac{x^{n-1}y^{n-1}}{(n-1)!^2} + \frac{x^n y^{n-1}}{n!(n-1)!} + \frac{x^{n-1}y^n}{(n-1)!n!} \right),$$

an expression equivalent to our desired result after a change of variables. Clearly, $\text{vol}(\Gamma(x, y))$ is symmetric in x and y . Thus item 4 follows.

We show 5. Let $\text{vol}_n(\Gamma(x, y))$ be the n -th coefficient in the series expansion of $\text{vol}(\Gamma(x, y))$ from item 3. The points influenced by $(0, 0)$ at time t are of the form $(s, t - s)$ with $0 < s < t$. Thus:

$$\text{vol}_n(\Gamma(s, t - s)) = (st - s^2)^n \left(\frac{2}{(n+1)!^2} + \frac{t}{(n+1)!n!} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d}{ds} \text{vol}_n(\Gamma(s, t - s)) = n(st - s^2)^{n-1} (t - 2s) \left(\frac{2}{(n+1)!^2} + \frac{t}{(n+1)!n!} \right).$$

Note that the sign of the expression above is determined by the sign of $(t - 2s)$, as the other factors are positive. Thus the volume of the space of influences from $(0, 0)$ exerted on time t achieves a global maximum at the point $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2})$, and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(\Gamma(t, t)) &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t^{2n}}{n!^2} + \frac{t^{2n+1}}{(n+1)!n!} \right) = \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\binom{2n}{n} \frac{t^{2n}}{(2n)!} + \binom{2n+1}{n} \frac{t^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \right) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

6. By translation invariance the wave of influence is independent of x, y . Thus have that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y, t) &= u(0, 0, t) = \int_0^t \text{vol}(\Gamma_{(-s, s-t), (0, 0)}(t)) ds = \int_0^t \text{vol}(\Gamma_{(0, 0), (s, t-s)}(t)) ds = \\ &= \int_0^t \Gamma(s, t-s) ds = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left(2 \frac{s^n (t-s)^n}{n!^2} + \frac{s^{n+1} (t-s)^n}{(n+1)!n!} + \frac{s^n (t-s)^{n+1}}{n!(n+1)!} \right) ds = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left(2 \frac{s^n (t-s)^n}{n!^2} + 2 \frac{s^{n+1} (t-s)^n}{(n+1)!n!} \right) ds = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2F_n \binom{2n+1}{n, n, 1} \frac{t^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2H_n \binom{2n+2}{n+1, n, 1} \frac{t^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we consider indirect influences on the torus $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$. We use coordinates $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ representing the point $(e^{2\pi i x}, e^{2\pi i y}) \in T^2$. Consider the vector fields on T^2 given in local coordinates by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

The space of indirect influences on the torus T^2 from $(1, 1)$ to $(e^{2\pi i x}, e^{2\pi i y})$ exerted in time $t > 0$ is denoted by $\Gamma(e^{2\pi i x}, e^{2\pi i y}, t)$. Recall that $D(e^{2\pi i x}, e^{2\pi i y}, t)$ is the set of direct influences.

Theorem 27. Consider the directed manifold $(T^2, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$.

1. For $x, y \in (0, 1)$ we have that: $\text{vol}(D(e^{2\pi i x}, e^{2\pi i y}, t)) = 0$.
2. For $x \in (0, 1]$ we have that:

$$\text{vol}(D(e^{2\pi i x}, 1, t)) = \text{vol}(D(1, e^{2\pi i x}, t)) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \delta(t, x + m).$$

3. For $(x, y) \in (0, 1]^2$, the space $\Gamma(e^{2\pi ix}, e^{2\pi iy}, t)$ of indirect influences from $(1, 1)$ to $(e^{2\pi ix}, e^{2\pi iy})$ is empty unless $t = x + y + m$ for some $m \geq 0$, and in the latter case we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(\Gamma(e^{2\pi ix}, e^{2\pi iy}, x + y + m)) &= \text{vol}(D(e^{2\pi ix}, e^{2\pi iy}, x + y + m)) + \\ &\sum_{k+l=m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(2 \frac{(x+k)^n (y+l)^n}{n!^2} + \frac{(x+k)^{n+1} (y+l)^n}{(n+1)!n!} + \frac{(x+k)^n (y+l)^{n+1}}{n!(n+1)!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

4. $\text{vol}(\Gamma(e^{2\pi ix}, e^{2\pi iy}, x + y + m))$ is a symmetric function in x and y .

Proof. We can compute indirect influences on the torus as certain sum of indirect influences on the plane, indeed we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(\Gamma(e^{2\pi ix}, e^{2\pi iy}, x + y + m)) &= \sum_{k+l=m} \text{vol}(\Gamma(x+k, y+l, x+y+m)) = \\ &\text{vol}(D(e^{2\pi ix}, e^{2\pi iy}, x + y + m)) + \\ &\sum_{k+l=m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(2 \frac{(x+k)^n (y+l)^n}{n!^2} + \frac{(x+k)^{n+1} (y+l)^n}{(n+1)!n!} + \frac{(x+k)^n (y+l)^{n+1}}{n!(n+1)!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

6.3 Higher Dimensions

Let us first introduce a few combinatorial notions. Given integers $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ we let $Sh_k(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ be the set of shuffles of $n_1 + \dots + n_k$ cards divided into k blocks of cardinalities n_1, \dots, n_k . Recall that a shuffle is a bijection α from the set

$$[1, n_1 + \dots + n_k] \simeq [1, n_1] \sqcup \dots \sqcup [1, n_k]$$

to itself such that if $i < j \in [1, n_s]$, then $\alpha(i) < \alpha(j) \in [1, n_1 + \dots + n_k]$. When we shuffle a deck of cards the idea is to intertwine the cards in the various blocks, without distorting the order in each block. We say that a shuffle is perfect if no contiguous cards within a block remain contiguous after shuffling, i.e. a shuffle α is called perfect if for $i, i+1 \in [1, n_s]$ we have that

$$\alpha(i) + 1 < \alpha(i+1) \in [1, n_1 + \dots + n_k].$$

Let $PSh_k(n_1, \dots, n_k) \subseteq Sh_k(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ be the set of perfect shuffles, and psh_k be the corresponding exponential generating series given by

$$psh_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} |PSh_k(n_1, \dots, n_k)| \frac{x_1^{n_1} \dots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! \dots n_k!}.$$

A subset $A \subseteq [m]$ is called sparse if it does not contain consecutive elements. Let $S_k[m]$ be the set of all sparse subsets of $[m]$ of cardinality k . Let $p(m, k)$ count the numerical partitions of m in k positive summands.

We have the following result.

Lemma 28. For $1 \leq k < m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that:

$$|S_k[m]| = p(m - k, k - 1) + 2p(m - k, k) + p(m - k, k + 1).$$

Proof. If $A \in S_k[m]$, then $|A^c| = m - k$, and A^c comes with a naturally ordered partition with exactly $k - 1$ blocks if $1, m \in A$, k blocks if 1 or m (but not both) belong to A , and $k + 1$ blocks if $1, m \notin A$. The cardinalities of the blocks of A^c provides the various kinds of numerical partitions needed to complete our result. \square

Lemma 29. For $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, then $|PSh_k(n_1, \dots, n_k)|$ counts number of ordered partitions of $n_1 + \dots + n_k$ with sparse blocks of cardinalities n_1, \dots, n_k .

Proof. A perfect shuffle in $PSh_k(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ is determined by its image on each of the blocks $[1, n_s]$, which must be a sparse subsets. \square

Let us point out the relation between patterns and perfect shuffles. Consider the map

$$|\cdot| : C(n, k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^k,$$

sending a pattern $c \in C(n, k)$ to its content multi-set given by the sequence $|c| \in \mathbb{N}^k$ such that $|c|_i = |c^{-1}(i)|$. The support of a pattern c is the set $s(c) \subseteq [k]$ with $i \in s(c)$ if and only if $|c|_i \neq 0$.

Lemma 30. Fix a vector $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}^k$. We have that:

$$|\{c \in C(n, k) \mid |c| = (n_1, \dots, n_k)\}| = |PSh_k(n_1, \dots, n_k)|.$$

Proof. The vector (n_1, \dots, n_k) gives us the content multi-set of c , a shuffle on it gives us in addition the order of the vector c . The perfect condition on shuffles is equivalent to the conditions $c(i) \neq c(i + 1)$ on patterns. \square

Consider the directed manifold $(\mathbb{R}^k, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k})$. The space of indirect influences from $(0, \dots, 0)$ to (x_1, \dots, x_k) is denoted by $\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. Such influences can only happen at time $t = x_1 + \dots + x_k$.

Theorem 31. Consider the directed manifold $(\mathbb{R}^k, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k})$.

1. There are no influences from $(0, \dots, 0)$ to any point $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \notin \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k$.

2. $\text{vol}(D(0, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots, 0)) = 1$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $i \in [k]$.
3. For $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}^k$, the space $\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ of indirect influences from $(0, \dots, 0)$ to (x_1, \dots, x_k) has volume

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k)) = \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq [k] \\ |A| \geq 2}} \frac{\partial^{|A|}}{\partial x_A} \text{psh}_{|A|}(x_A).$$

4. $\text{vol}(\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k))$ is a symmetric function in the variables x_1, \dots, x_k .

Proof. Properties 1 and 2 are clear, let us prove 3. Recall that

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{c \in C(n, k)} \text{vol}(\Gamma^c(x_1, \dots, x_k)),$$

where the volume of the space of indirect influences with a fix pattern $c \in C(n, k)$ is given by

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma^c(x_1, \dots, x_k)) = \prod_{j \in s(c)} \frac{x_j^{|c|_j - 1}}{(|c|_j - 1)!}.$$

Thus a pattern $c \in C(n, k)$ with support $s(c) = A \subseteq [k]$, with $|A| \geq 2$, contributes to the monomial

$$\frac{x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! \cdots n_k!},$$

if and only if $|c|_i = n_i + 1$ for $i \in A$, and $n_i = 0$ for $i \notin A$. Therefore the total contribution of the patterns with support A to this monomial is given by

$$|\text{PSh}_{|A|}(n_A + 1)| \prod_{j \in A} \frac{x_j^{n_j}}{n_j!},$$

where n_A is the vector obtained from the tuple (n_1, \dots, n_k) by erasing the zero entries, and $n_A + 1$ is the vector obtain from n_A by adding 1 to each entry.

Summing over the n_j , and setting $x_A = (x_j)_{j \in A}$, we obtain that the total contribution of the patterns with support A to the volume of the space of indirect influences is given by

$$\sum_{n_j \in \mathbb{N}; j \in A} |\text{PSh}_{|A|}(n_A + 1)| \prod_{j \in A} \frac{x_j^{n_j}}{n_j!} = \frac{\partial^{|A|}}{\partial x_A} \text{psh}_{|A|}(x_A).$$

Adding over all possible supports $A \subseteq [k]$, with $|A| \geq 2$, we obtain the desired result.

4. For a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(\Gamma(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)})) &= \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq [k] \\ |A| \geq 2}} \frac{\partial^{|\sigma A|}}{\partial x_{\sigma A}} \text{psh}_{|\sigma A|}(x_{\sigma A}) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq [k] \\ |A| \geq 2}} \frac{\partial^{|A|}}{\partial x_A} \text{psh}_{|A|}(x_A) = \text{vol}(\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we consider indirect influences on the torus $T^k = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$. We use coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ representing the point $(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k}) \in T^k$. Consider the constant vector fields on T^k given in local coordinates by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}.$$

The space of indirect influences on T^k from $(1, \dots, 1)$ to $(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k})$ exerted in time $t > 0$ is denoted by $\Gamma(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k}, t)$. Recall that the set of direct influences is denoted by $D(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k}, t)$.

Theorem 32. Consider the directed manifold $(T^k, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k})$.

1. For $x_1, \dots, x_k \in (0, 1)$ we have that: $\text{vol}(D(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k}, t)) = 0$.
2. For $x_1, \dots, x_k \in (0, 1]$ we have that:

$$\text{vol}(D(1, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_i}, \dots, 1, t)) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \delta(t, x_i + m).$$

3. For $x_1, \dots, x_k \in (0, 1]$ the space $\Gamma(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k}, t)$ of indirect influences from $(1, \dots, 1)$ to $(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k})$ is empty unless $t = x_1 + \dots + x_k + m$ for some $m \geq 0$, and in the latter case we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{vol}(\Gamma(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k}, x_1 + \dots + x_k + m)) = \\ &\text{vol}(D(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k}, x_1 + \dots + x_k + m)) + \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_k = m} \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq [d] \\ |A| \geq 2}} \frac{\partial^{|A|}}{\partial x_A} \text{psh}_{|A|}(x_A + m_A). \end{aligned}$$

4. $\text{vol}(\Gamma(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_k}, x_1 + \dots + x_k + m))$ is a symmetric function on x_1, \dots, x_k .

7 Quantum Indirect Influences

In this closing section we briefly describe how to extend the theory of indirect influences to the quantum settings. We first consider indirect influences on Poisson manifolds [2] from two different viewpoints.

Let $(M, \{ \cdot, \cdot \})$ be a Poisson manifold and $f_1, \dots, f_k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be k smooth functions on M . We obtain the directed manifold

$$(M, \{f_1, \cdot\}, \dots, \{f_k, \cdot\}),$$

where $\{f_j, \cdot\}$, the Hamiltonian vector field on M generated by f_j , is given in local coordinates by

$$\{f_j, \cdot\} = \sum_{kl} \{x_k, x_l\} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l}.$$

Next let $C^\infty(M)$ be the infinite dimensional vector space of smooth functions on M . We obtain the infinite dimensional directed manifold

$$(C^\infty(M), \{f_1, \cdot\}, \dots, \{f_k, \cdot\})$$

where now we regard $\{f_j, \cdot\}$ as the vector field on $C^\infty(M)$ assigning to $f \in C^\infty(M)$ the vector

$$\{f_j, f\} \in T_f C^\infty(M) = C^\infty(M).$$

Given functions $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ and a pattern $c \in D(n, k)$ the space of indirect influences from f to g exerted in time $t > 0$ is given by

$$\Gamma_{f,g}^c(t) = \{ s \in \Delta_n^t \mid \varphi_c(f, s) = g \}.$$

The flow generated by $\{f_j, \cdot\}$ on M , and the flow generated by $\{f_j, \cdot\}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ (allow us to use the same notation for vector fields in different spaces) are related by the identity

$$\varphi_j(f, s)(x) = f(\varphi_j(x, s)).$$

Since the vector fields $\{f_j, \cdot\}$ are linear operators on $C^\infty(M)$, the flows generated by them – assuming suitable convergency properties – can be written as

$$\varphi_j(f, s) = e^{\{f_j, \cdot\}s} f.$$

Expanding the exponential functions the iterated flow $\varphi_c(f, s)$ can be written as

$$\varphi_c(f, s) = \sum_{k_0, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f_{c(n)}, \dots, f_{c(0)}, f\}_{k_0, \dots, k_n} \frac{s_0^{k_0} \dots s_n^{k_n}}{k_0! \dots k_n!},$$

where the symbol $\{g_n, \dots, g_1, f\}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ is defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{g_1, f\}_0 &= f, & \{g, f\}_{k+1} &= \{g, \{g, f\}_k\}, \\ \{g_n, \dots, g_1, f\}_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, k_n} &= \{g_n, \{g_{n-1} \dots, g_1, f\}_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}}\}_{k_n}. \end{aligned}$$

From this viewpoint it is clear how to extend the theory of indirect influences to the quantum context [10, 22]. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and A_1, \dots, A_k be bounded Hermitian operators on \mathcal{H} .

In the Heisenberg picture we consider the (possibly infinite dimensional) directed manifold

$$(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \frac{i}{\hbar}[A_1, \cdot], \dots, \frac{i}{\hbar}[A_k, \cdot])$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} , $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the commutator of bounded operators, and $\frac{i}{\hbar}[A_1, \cdot]$ is regarded as the vector field on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ assigning to $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the vector

$$\frac{i}{\hbar}[A_1, B] \in T_B \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Given operators $B, C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and a pattern $c \in D(n, k)$, the space of indirect influences from B to C exerted in time $t > 0$ is given by

$$\Gamma_{B,C}^c(t) = \{s \in \Delta_n^t \mid \varphi_c(B, s) = C\},$$

where the iterated flow $\varphi_c(B, s)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_c(B, s) &= e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}A_{c(n)}s_n} \dots e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}A_{c(1)}s_1} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}A_{c(0)}s_0} B e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}A_{c(0)}s_0} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}A_{c(1)}s_1} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}A_{c(n)}s_n} = \\ &\sum_{k_0, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^{k_0 + \dots + k_n} [A_{c(n)}, \dots, A_{c(0)}, B]_{k_0, \dots, k_n} \frac{s_0^{k_0} \dots s_n^{k_n}}{k_0! \dots k_n!} \end{aligned}$$

where the symbols

$$[A_{c(n)}, \dots, A_{c(0)}, B]_{k_0, \dots, k_n}$$

are defined as in the Poisson case replacing brackets $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ by commutators $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Clearly, we can apply this constructions in the context of deformation quantization as well [17].

In the Schrödinger picture we consider the (possibly infinite dimensional) directed manifold

$$(\mathcal{H}, -\frac{i}{\hbar}A_1, \dots, -\frac{i}{\hbar}A_k),$$

where $-\frac{i}{\hbar}A_j$ is regarded as the vector field assigning to $v \in \mathcal{H}$ the vector

$$-\frac{i}{\hbar}A_j(v) \in T_v\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}.$$

Given $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$ and a pattern $c \in D(n, k)$, the space of indirect influences from v to w exerted in time $t > 0$ is given by

$$\Gamma_{v,w}^c(t) = \{ s \in \Delta_n^t \mid \varphi_c(v, s) = w \}.$$

The iterated flow $\varphi_c(v, s)$ is given by

$$\varphi_c(v, s) = \sum_{k_0, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^{k_0 + \dots + k_n} \left(A_{c(n)}^{k_n}, \dots, A_{c(0)}^{k_0}\right) v \frac{s_0^{k_0} \dots s_n^{k_n}}{k_0! \dots k_n!}.$$

References

- [1] A. Agrachev, Y. Sachkov, Control theory from the geometric viewpoint, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2004.
- [2] V. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, New York 1989.
- [3] S. Brin, L. Page, R. Motwani, T. Winograd, The Anatomy of a Large-Scale Hypertextual Web Search Engine, Comp. Netw. ISDN Sys. 30 (1998) 107-117.
- [4] S. Brin, L. Page, R. Motwani, T. Winograd, The PageRank citation ranking: Bringing order to the Web, Technical Report, Stanford Digital Library Technologies Project 1998.
- [5] M. Chas, D. Sullivan, String Topology, preprint, arXiv:math/9911159.
- [6] F. Chung, The heat kernel as the pagerank of a graph, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104 (2007) 19735-19740.
- [7] R. Díaz, Indirect Influences, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 23 (2013) 29-41.
- [8] R. Díaz, L. Gómez, Indirect Influences in International Trade, Netw. Heterog. Media 10 (2015) 149-165.
- [9] R. Díaz, A. Vargas, On the Stability of the PWP method, preprint, arXiv:1504.03033.
- [10] J. Glim, A. Jaffe, Quantum Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York 1981.

- [11] M. Godet, *De l'Anticipation à l'Action*, Dunod, Paris 1992.
- [12] M. Grandis, *Directed Algebraic Topology, Models of non-reversible worlds*, Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge 2009.
- [13] V. Guillemin, A. Pollack, *Differential topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1983.
- [14] D. Joyce, On manifolds with corners, in S. Janeczko, J. Li, D. Phong (Eds.), *Advances in Geometric Analysis*, International Press, Boston (2012) 225-258.
- [15] V. Jurdjevic, *Geometric control theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997.
- [16] L. Katz, A new status index derived from sociometric analysis, *Psychmetrika* 18 (1953) 39-43.
- [17] M. Kontsevich, Deformation Quantization of Poisson Manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 66 (2003) 157-216.
- [18] A. Langville, C. Meyer, Deeper Inside PageRank, *Internet Math.* 1 (2004) 335-400.
- [19] J. Matoušek, B. Gaertner, *Understanding and Using Linear Programming*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2007.
- [20] R. Penrose, *Techniques of Differential Topology in Relativity*, Soc. Industrial Appl. Math., Bristol 2014.
- [21] L. Rifford, *Sub-Riemannian geometry and optimal transport*, Springer 2014.
- [22] B. Simon, *Functional integration and quantum physics*, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence 2005.
- [23] E. Sontag, *Mathematical control theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1998.
- [24] F. Warner, *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1983.
- [25] X. Yang, *Introduction to mathematical optimization*, Cambridge Int. Science Publishing, Cambridge 2008.
- [26] J. Zabczyk, *Mathematical control theory: an introduction*, Birkhäuser, Boston 1992.
- [27] J. Zinn-Justin, *Path integrals in quantum mechanics*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford 2010.

lnrdcano@gmail.com

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Sergio Arboleda, Bogotá, Colombia

ragadiaz@gmail.com

Departamento de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia