

Dynamics of a polymer in an active and crowded environment

Hans Vandebroek⁽¹⁾ and Carlo Vanderzande^(1,2)

⁽¹⁾ Faculty of Sciences, Hasselt University, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

⁽²⁾ Instituut Theoretische Fysica, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium

We study the dynamics of an ideal polymer chain in a crowded, viscoelastic medium and in the presence of active forces. The motion of the centre of mass and of individual monomers is calculated. On time scales that are comparable to the persistence time of the active forces, monomers can move superdiffusively while on larger time scales subdiffusive behaviour occurs. The difference between this subdiffusion and that in absence of active forces is quantified. We show that the polymer swells in response to active processes and determine how this swelling depends on the viscoelastic properties of the environment. Our results are compared to recent experiments on the motion of chromosomal loci in bacteria.

Introduction - The dynamics of a polymer in a viscous solvent in equilibrium is well understood [1, 2]. Within the exactly solvable Rouse model [1], which neglects excluded volume and hydrodynamic interactions, it is found that the center of mass of the polymer diffuses with a diffusion constant that is inversely proportional to the number of monomers N . The end-to-end vector correlation function decays exponentially on the Rouse time scale $\tau_R \sim N^2$. Individual monomers subdiffuse for times smaller than τ_R , but follow the diffusion of the center of mass after τ_R [2]. The effects of excluded volume and hydrodynamic interactions can be incorporated using scaling theories [3] and exactly solvable models [4].

Much less is known about the dynamics of a polymer in the crowded [5] and nonequilibrium cellular environment. The crowdedness introduces viscoelastic behaviour with a long term memory while the action of molecular motors and other ATP-driven, active, processes puts the cell out of equilibrium. From this point of view, the cell belongs to a large class of complex systems in biology, economy and sociology that are out of equilibrium and have long term memory [6].

Recently, several experimental studies have investigated the role of active processes on biopolymer dynamics [7]. Activity is an extra source of randomness in addition to that due to thermal motion and leads to a random motion of tracer particles that is much enhanced in comparison with thermal Brownian motion [8–10]. Similar effects can be seen on a larger length scale in mixtures of colloidal (passive) particles and (active) bacteria [11–13].

In other experiments, the internal dynamics of a polymer, not just its diffusive properties, was found to be influenced by the presence of active forces. We mention the effect on the bending dynamics of microtubuli [14]. More relevant for the present paper are studies in which it was found that the motion of chromosomal loci in bacteria and in yeast are sensitive to active forces [15, 16]. In these experiments, it is common to switch off (or on) the active processes by the addition of appropriate chemicals. Thus besides measuring the dynamical properties of microtubuli or bacterial chromosomes in a cellular nonequilibrium environment, it also becomes possible to determine the response of biopolymers to the turning on of active processes. In this way biophysical experiments can

be helpful in formulating a far from equilibrium statistical mechanics [17, 18].

In the theoretical description of the motion of chromosomal loci, it came as somewhat of a surprise that again the simple Rouse model is highly relevant [19]. Indeed, it was recently found to give a very good description of this motion, both between [20] and during chromosomal segregation [21]. The reason for this may be the action of topoisomerases and related enzymes which cross chromosome strands and thus make the bacterial chromosome a phantom chain [19].

Moreover, some experiments on the more complicated dynamics of eukaryotic chromatin [22, 23] have also been described in terms of a Rouse chain [24]. In [25] the dynamics of a semiflexible polymer in a viscous and active medium is approximated leading to a model very similar to the Rouse one, and is used to interpret correlations in the dynamics of interphase chromatin [26]. Rouse's model is therefore turning out to be more and more relevant for the study of chromosomes and chromatin.

In the present Letter we extend recent work on the Rouse chain in a viscoelastic medium [21, 27, 28] by including active forces. The model we study is a nonequilibrium version of the Rouse model. We compare our results with experiments on chromosomal loci.

Model - We denote by $\vec{R}_n(t)$ the position of the n -th monomer ($n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$) at time t . Let us discuss the various forces acting on this monomer (for a schematic representation of our model, see Fig. 1).

In the Rouse model, the monomers are connected by springs with spring constant k . In equilibrium, the average squared distance b^2 between two monomers then follows from the equipartition theorem and equals $b^2 = 3k_B T/k$ where k_B is Boltzmann's constant and T temperature. A Rouse chain gives a coarse grained description of a semiflexible polymer when b corresponds to the Kuhn length [29]. We will apply our model to the bacterial chromosome, for which the Kuhn length is of the order of 100 nm or 300 base pairs (bp) [21]. Since the chromosome of *E. Coli* has approximately 4.5×10^6 bp, the relevant value of N is of the order 10^4 .

The cellular environment is a crowded and viscoelastic material [30, 31]. The friction force $\vec{F}_n(t)$ on a monomer




FIG. 1. Cartoon of our model : a large polymer (black spheres connected with springs) in a dense crowded cellular environment (orange spheres represent other smaller biopolymers like proteins, RNA, ...) that is brought out of equilibrium by active processes (blue spheres on tracks represent molecular motors).

in such a medium has memory and is commonly described in terms of a power law kernel $K(t) = (2 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha)t^{-\alpha}$

$$\vec{F}_n(t) = -\gamma \int_0^t K(t - \tau) \frac{d\vec{R}_n(\tau)}{d\tau} d\tau \quad (1)$$

Viscous behaviour is recovered for $\alpha = 1$ (for which $K(t) \rightarrow \delta(t)$) while for $\alpha = 0$ we get elastic behaviour. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have the viscoelastic situation, intermediate between elastic and viscous response. Estimates of α range from $\alpha \approx 0.7$ for *E. Coli* [20] to $\alpha \approx 0.2$ in the cytoplasm [10] of eukaryotes.

The random thermal force $\vec{\xi}_{T,n}(t)$ acting on the n -th monomer is on average zero and its correlation is coupled to the kernel $K(t)$ by the (second) fluctuation-dissipation theorem [32]

$$\langle \vec{\xi}_{T,n}(t) \cdot \vec{\xi}_{T,m}(t') \rangle = 3k_B T K(|t - t'|) \delta_{n,m} \quad (2)$$

Much less is known about the precise form of the active forces $\vec{\xi}_{A,n}(t)$ [9, 33]. For the cytoplasm, a two-fluid model of a network of semiflexible polymers driven by molecular motors was developed which predicts an exponential correlation [34] of active fluctuations, a form which is indeed consistent with recent experiments [10]. The timescale of the correlations was found to be of the order of seconds. More generally, one can expect that there is a typical time scale τ_A on which the motion of active particles is persistent [11], whether they are molecular motors or whether, on a larger scale, they are bacteria driving colloidal beads [11–13]. For these reasons, we take the active forces to be Gaussian random variables with average zero and exponential correlation

$$\langle \vec{\xi}_{A,n}(t) \cdot \vec{\xi}_{A,m}(t') \rangle = 3C \exp(-|t - t'|/\tau_A) \delta_{n,m} \quad (3)$$

Here C characterises the strength of the active noise.

Putting everything together and neglecting inertial terms, the equation of motion of the n -th monomer is the overdamped generalised Langevin equation

$$\vec{F}_n(t) - k \left(2\vec{R}_n(t) - \vec{R}_{n+1}(t) - \vec{R}_{n-1}(t) \right) + \vec{\xi}_{T,n}(t) + \vec{\xi}_{A,n}(t)H(t) = 0 \quad (4)$$

where $H(t)$ is a Heaviside function. The solution to equation (4) gives the response of the polymer to, for example, the addition of ATP at $t = 0$ which turns on active processes.

Results - The techniques to solve the set of equations (4) with appropriate boundary conditions are standard [2]. The details will be published elsewhere [35]. Here, we discuss only the results.

In the dynamics of the polymer two relevant time scales occur. The first is τ_A , the second is the Rouse time τ_R , which in the viscoelastic medium equals $[\Gamma(3 - \alpha)\gamma b^2 N^2 / 3k_B T \pi^2]^{1/\alpha}$ [27, 28]. Notice that for the bacterial chromosome, using the values of b , N and α quoted above, τ_R can become quite large, indeed larger than the duration of the cell cycle. The persistence time of active processes should be much smaller than the cellular lifetime, so that when applying our model to the bacterial chromosome, the two timescales are separated, $\tau_A \ll \tau_R$. Experiments on chromosomal loci are done on timescales of 0.1 seconds to minutes, i.e. in the regime $\tau_A \sim t \ll \tau_R$.

We first discuss the motion of the centre of mass $\vec{R}_{cm}(t)$. It was found earlier that in a viscoelastic medium but in absence of active forces [20], the centre of mass $\vec{R}_{cm}(t)$ performs a subdiffusion

$$\sigma_{cm}^2(t) = \left\langle \left(\vec{R}_{cm}(t) - \vec{R}_{cm}(0) \right)^2 \right\rangle = \frac{6k_B T}{\gamma N c_\alpha} t^\alpha \quad (5)$$

where $c_\alpha = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(3 - \alpha)$. To this subdiffusion an extra term is added when the active forces are turned on. It equals

$$\frac{6C\tau_A^{2\alpha}}{N\gamma^2 c_\alpha^2} \int_0^{t/\tau_A} e^y y^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha; y, t/\tau_A) dy \quad (6)$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha; y, x)$ is a difference of two incomplete gamma-functions. Firstly, observe that as in the standard Rouse chain, the (generalised) diffusion constant remains inversely proportional to N . More interestingly, it can be shown that for $t \ll \tau_A$, (6) goes as $t^{2\alpha}$, i.e. is superdiffusive if $\alpha > 1/2$. On the other hand, for $t \gg \tau_A$, (6) evolves as $t^{2\alpha-1}$, i.e. slower than (5), so that asymptotically in time, $\sigma_{cm}^2(t) \sim t^\alpha$. The resulting behaviour is shown in Fig. 2 for $\alpha = 0.7$, $C = 100$ and various values of τ_A [36]. As the figure shows (boxed region), if $C\tau_A$ is large compared to $k_B T$, over several orders of magnitude in time, the centre of mass subdiffuses with an exponent $2\alpha - 1$, whereas without active forces, the exponent would be α . If this time regime corresponds to the experimental one, not taking into account active

forces could lead to a wrong estimate of the exponent α , and hence to a wrong characterisation of the rheological properties of the environment.

For the viscous case, $2\alpha - 1 = \alpha$, so that after an initial regime of ballistic motion $\sigma_{cm}^2(t) \sim t^2$, the polymer performs ordinary diffusion but with a diffusion constant that is enhanced by a factor $1 + C\tau_A/\gamma k_B T$ (see inset of Fig. 2).

$$\frac{24C}{N\gamma^2\Gamma^2(3-\alpha)} \sum_{p=1, \text{odd}}^{N-1} \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{-\frac{|\tau-\tau'|}{\tau_A}} \tau^{\alpha-1} \tau'^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_p}\right)^\alpha \right) E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\left(\frac{\tau'}{\tau_p}\right)^\alpha \right) d\tau d\tau' \quad (7)$$




FIG. 2. Mean squared distance travelled by the centre of mass as a function of t/τ_R for a Rouse chain in a viscoelastic medium ($\alpha = 0.7, k_B T = 1$) in the presence of active forces with $C = 100$ and $\tau_A/\tau_R = 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}$ (full lines, top to bottom) compared to that without active forces (dashed line). The inset shows the same for the viscous case, $\alpha = 1$. Results are for $N = 256$.

Here $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is the generalised Mittag-Leffler function [37], and $\tau_p = \tau_R/p^{2/\alpha}$. Since (7) is positive, we conclude that active forces swell the polymer. It can be easily shown that initially this swelling grows proportional to $t^{2\alpha}$ after which $R^2(N, t)$ saturates. In Fig. 3, we show the results of a numerical evaluation of (7) for a polymer with $N = 256$ in a medium with $\alpha = 0.7$.

The value at which $R^2(N, t)$ saturates, i.e. the squared size of the polymer in the new, nonequilibrium steady state, has an interesting N -dependence. For the viscous case, $\alpha = 1$, the integrals in (7) can be easily calculated. In this way, it is found that the swelling of the polymer

A second global quantity is the end-to-end vector $\vec{P}(t) = \vec{R}_0(t) - \vec{R}_{N-1}(t)$. Its averaged squared length, $R^2(N, t) = \langle \vec{P}(t) \cdot \vec{P}(t) \rangle$, measures the size squared of the polymer and equals in equilibrium, both in viscous and viscoelastic media, $b^2 N$. In response to active forces, $R^2(N, t)$ gets an additional term which equals




FIG. 3. Squared end-to-end distance of the polymer as a function of time. In the main figure (inset), the equilibrium length is (not) subtracted. The straight line has slope 1.4. ($\tau_A/\tau_R = 10^{-4}, C = 100, \alpha = 0.7, N = 256$)

is proportional to N so that

$$R_{ne}^2(N) \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R^2(N, t) = \left(1 + \frac{C\tau_A}{k_B T \gamma}\right) b^2 N \quad (8)$$

In fact this result is exactly what can be expected from a recent extension of the equipartition theorem to harmonic oscillators in viscous, active media [13]. In that reference, the average potential energy of a harmonic oscillator in a viscous, active bath is calculated and compared with experiments. Using the results of that paper, and the fact that the Rouse chain consists of N independent harmonic oscillators one can also deduce (8).

In the viscoelastic case, the situation is more complicated. It is possible to deduce the leading behaviour of the integrals in (7) for $N \gg 1$ (and $t \rightarrow \infty$). In this way it is found that for $2/3 < \alpha < 1$

$$R_{ne}^2(N) = b^2 N + \frac{48C\tau_A(4\pi^2 k)^{1/\alpha-2}}{(\gamma\Gamma(3-\alpha))^{1/\alpha}} f(\alpha) N^{3-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \quad (9)$$

where

$$f(\alpha) = \zeta\left(4 - \frac{2}{\alpha}, 1/2\right) \int_0^\infty x^{2\alpha-2} E_{\alpha,\alpha}^2(-x^\alpha) dx$$

and $\zeta(x, y)$ is the Hurwitz zeta function. In Fig. 4 we show the results of a numerical evaluation of the integrals appearing in (7) for $\alpha = 0.8$ together with the asymptotic behaviour (9) (dashed line). For $\alpha < 2/3$, numerical integration of (7) indicates that the swelling of the polymer approaches a constant as N increases (Fig 4). From our calculations, we see that in an active environment, large polymers are orders of magnitude more compact in a highly viscoelastic medium. This observation could be of relevance for storing a large chromosome in a small cell.




FIG. 4. Difference between squared end-to-end distance of the polymer in the nonequilibrium steady state and in equilibrium as a function of N for $\alpha = 1, 0.8$ and 0.4 (top to bottom). The symbols are the result of a numerical evaluation of (7) for $t \rightarrow \infty$. The full line is a plot of (8), the dashed line of (9).

Finally, we turn to the motion of the monomers. From an experimental point of view, this quantity is the most interesting one, since it can be determined using fluorescence techniques [15, 16, 38, 39]. We present results for the middle monomer, but the behaviour of other monomers is qualitatively the same. In absence of active forces, one has [20]

$$\sigma_m^2(t) = \left\langle \left(\vec{R}_{N/2}(t) - \vec{R}_{N/2}(0) \right)^2 \right\rangle = \frac{6k_B T}{\gamma N c_\alpha} t^\alpha + \frac{12k_B T}{\gamma N} \sum_{p=2, \text{even}}^{N-1} \left[1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left(- \left(\frac{t}{\tau_{p,\alpha}} \right)^\alpha \right) \right] \tau_p \quad (10)$$

For $t < \tau_R$, the monomer is found to subdiffusive with an exponent $\alpha/2$ whereas on time scales larger than τ_R ,




FIG. 5. Motion of the middle polymer, $\sigma_m^2(t)$ as a function of time in presence (full lines) and absence of active forces (dashed line) for $\alpha = 0.7, k_B T = 1, C = 10^4, N = 256$ for $\tau_A/\tau_R = 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}$ (top to bottom).

the monomer follows the motion of the centre of mass, hence subdiffuses with an exponent α . Since for the cytoplasm of *E. Coli*, $\alpha \approx 0.7$ the observed exponent of the subdiffusion of the chromosomal loci, 0.39 ± 0.04 [16, 20], is consistent with $\alpha/2$ within the experimental error.

In the presence of active forces two terms have to be added to (10). The first one is the same as for the centre of mass (6), the second equals $1/4$ of (7) (but with now the sum over the even modes).

Analysing the resulting behaviour, we find that as was the case for the center of mass, the short time response of the monomers to active forces is superdiffusive (at least when $\alpha > 1/2$). This behaviour where $\sigma_m^2(t) \sim t^{2\alpha}$ holds for $t \leq \tau_A$. Very recently superdiffusive motion of chromosomal loci has indeed been observed [38]. It is not clear whether these *rapid chromosomal movements* are due to active processes or to stress relaxation. Our results however quantify better the response to active forces and could therefore help in discriminating the real origin of the observed motion.

For $t \gg \tau_R$ the monomers follow the t^α subdiffusion of the centre of mass. The behaviour in the intermediate time regime, $\tau_A \ll t \ll \tau_R$, is more complicated and contains terms proportional to $t^{\alpha/2}$ (coming from (10)), $t^{2\alpha-1}$ (from the centre of mass), $t^{3\alpha/2}$ and $t^{3\alpha/2-1}$. No simple power law behaviour emerges. A plot of the full expression for $\sigma_m^2(t)$ (Fig. 5) shows that there is a large time window in which the $t^{2\alpha-1}$ term dominates. Notice that for the experimental value of α , $2\alpha - 1$ is close to $\alpha/2$, so that the exponent of the subdiffusion in the presence and absence of active forces is almost the same. However the amplitude of the subdiffusion is larger in presence of active forces. This scenario resembles at least

qualitatively the experimental one, where after inhibition of ATP synthesis with sodium azide and 2-deoxyglucose, the exponent of the loci's subdiffusion hardly changed but the diffusion coefficient decreased 49% [15].

The fact that the exponent of the loci's subdiffusion does not change in presence or absence of active forces can also easily be described by assuming that the active forces obey the same correlations as the thermal ones (2) but with a different, effective, temperature T^* . This could be the case if many active processes with different persistence times are present and conspire to mimic thermal forces with a power law correlation. To us, a model of active processes involving one (or a few) time scales seems however more realistic and as our calculations have shown, is also well able to describe the experimental results.

In summary, we have studied the behaviour of a long ideal polymer chain in a viscoelastic and active bath. We have obtained results that are interesting for nonequilibrium statistical mechanics (power law response to active forces, equipartition theorem out of equilibrium) and polymer physics (swelling of polymer in an active bath).

Most interestingly, our results show a qualitative similarity with experimental results on bacterial chromosomes (superdiffusive motion, approximate independence of subdiffusive exponent on presence of ATP).

The dynamics of the bacterial chromosome during cell division was measured recently [39] and modelled by a Rouse chain in a viscoelastic environment subject to an additional constant force on the first monomer. While the exact mechanism that describes chromosome segregation is a matter of debate such a model gave good agreement with experimental results [21]. We are currently studying the effect of active forces on chromosome segregation [35].

It would also be interesting to go beyond average quantities and develop computational techniques to calculate individual histories of the polymer dynamics. These would, for example, allow to calculate inertia ellipses for the motion during periods of superdiffusive motion which could be compared to those determined experimentally for rapid chromosomal motions [38].

Acknowledgement We thank M. Baiesi and A.L. Stella for a critical reading of the manuscript.

-
- [1] P.E. Rouse, *J. Chem. Phys.* **21**, 1272 (1953).
 - [2] M. Doi and S.F. Edwards, *The theory of polymer dynamics* (Oxford University Press, 1986).
 - [3] P.G. de Gennes, *Scaling concepts in Polymer Physics* (Cornell University Press, 1979).
 - [4] C. Vanderzande, *Lattice models of polymers* (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
 - [5] S.R. McGuffee and A.H. Elcock, *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **6** e1000694.
 - [6] B.J. West, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86** 1169 (2014).
 - [7] C. P. Brangwynne, G. H. Koenderink, F. C. MacKintosh and D. A. Weitz, *J. Cell Biol.* **183**, 583 (2008).
 - [8] A. W. C. Lau, B. D. Hoffman, A. Davies, J. C. Crocker and T. C. Lubensky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 198101 (2003).
 - [9] D. Robert, T.-H. Nguyen, F. Gallet and C. Wilhelm, *PLoSone* **5** e10046 (2010).
 - [10] N. Fakhri *et al.*, *Science* **344**, 1031 (2014).
 - [11] M.E. Cates, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75** 042601 (2012).
 - [12] X. L. Wu and A. Libchaber, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 3017 (2000).
 - [13] C. Maggi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **113** 238303 (2014).
 - [14] C. P. Brangwynne, G. H. Koenderink, F. C. MacKintosh and D. A. Weitz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 118104 (2008).
 - [15] S. C. Weber, A. J. Spakowitz and J. A. Theriot, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109** 7338 (2012).
 - [16] A. Javier *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 3003 (2012).
 - [17] M. Baiesi, C. Maes and B. Wynants, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** 010602 (2009).
 - [18] U. Seifert, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75** 126001 (2012).
 - [19] J. Liu, *Biophys. J.* **108**, 20 (2015).
 - [20] S.C. Weber, A.J. Spakowitz and J. A. Theriot, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 238102 (2010).
 - [21] T. J. Lampo, N. J. Kuwada, P. A. Wiggins and A.J. Spakowitz, *Biophys. J.* **108** 146 (2015).
 - [22] E. Lieberman-Aiden *et al.*, *Science* **326** 289 (2009).
 - [23] Z. Duan *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **465**, 363 (2010).
 - [24] A. Amitai and D. Holcman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 248105 (2013).
 - [25] A. Ghosh and N. S. Gov, *Biophys. J.* **107** 1065 (2014).
 - [26] A. Zidovska, D.A. Weitz and T.J. Mitchinson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110** 15555 (2013).
 - [27] S.C. Weber, J. A. Theriot and A. J. Spakowitz, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 011913 (2010).
 - [28] H. Vandebroek and C. Vanderzande, *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 114910 (2014).
 - [29] M. Rubinstein and R. H. Colby, *Polymer physics* (Oxford University Press, 2003).
 - [30] K. Luby-Phelps, P. Castle, D.L. Taylor and F. Lanni, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci* **84** 4910 (1987).
 - [31] B. Fabry, G.N. Maksym, J.P. Butler, M. Glogauer, D. Navajas and J.J. Fredberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** 148102 (2001).
 - [32] R. Zwanzig, *Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics* (Oxford University Press, 2001).
 - [33] P. Bohec, F. Gallet, C. Maes, S. Safaverdi, P. Visco and F. Van Wijland, *Europhys. Lett.* **102**, 50005 (2013).
 - [34] A. J. Levine and F. C. MacKintosh, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **113** 3820 (2009).
 - [35] H. Vandebroek and C. Vanderzande, unpublished (2015).
 - [36] In this and other figures, integrals like that appearing in (6) are evaluated numerically using Mathematica.
 - [37] H.J. Haubold, A.M. Mathai, and R.K. Saxena, *J. Appl. Math* **2011**, 298628 (2011).
 - [38] A. Javier *et al.*, *Nat. Comm.* **5** 4854 (2014).
 - [39] N.J. Kuwada, K.C. Cheveralls, B. Traxler and P.A. Wiggins, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41** 7370 (2013).