

THE CREPANT TRANSFORMATION CONJECTURE IMPLIES THE MONODROMY CONJECTURE

YUNFENG JIANG AND HSIAN-HUA TSENG

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that the crepant transformation conjecture for a crepant birational transformation of Lawrence toric DM stacks studied in [9] implies the monodromy conjecture for the associated wall crossing of the symplectic resolutions of hypertoric stacks, due to Braverman, Maulik and Okounkov.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X_1, X_2 be two birationally equivalent smooth symplectic Deligne-Mumford (DM) stacks, which are symplectic resolutions of a singular symplectic stack. Suppose by mirror symmetry they correspond to the two large radius points $0, \infty$ in the compactified Kähler moduli space \mathcal{M} . The derived categories of X_1 and X_2 are expected to be equivalent:

$$D^b(X_1) \cong D^b(X_2).$$

This equivalence can be given by a choice of path from 0 to ∞ and thus gives a map

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(D^b(X_i)).$$

Also this map ρ is considered as a map in the level of K-theory

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K^0(X_i)),$$

where $K^0(X_i)$ are the Grothendieck K -group of X_i . The monodromy conjecture for symplectic resolutions was formulated by Braverman-Maulik-Okounkov in [4], and it can be stated as follows: the monodromy of the quantum connection ∇ for X_i is the same as the above monodromy given by the equivalence in the K-theory. In the case of Hilbert scheme of points on the plane, in [2] Bezrukavnikov and Okounkov have proved that the monodromy of the quantum differential equation is isomorphic to ρ in the level of K-theory.

There are several candidates for the birationally equivalent smooth symplectic DM stacks. For example, stratified Mukai flops in [12], [5], [18]; and Mukai type flops of Nakajima quiver varieties [20]. In this paper we prove the conjecture for the crepant birational transformation of hypertoric DM stacks given by the wall crossing induced by a single wall crossing of the associated Lawrence toric DM stacks.

From [9], a single wall crossing of Lawrence toric DM stacks is given by varying stability conditions in the GIT construction of the Lawrence toric DM stacks. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the GIT data of Lawrence toric DM stacks and the extended Lawrence stacky fans in [14]. The wall crossing of hypertoric DM stacks is also given by varying the stability conditions in the GIT construction. Generalizing the construction in [9], we introduce the *extended stacky hyperplane arrangements* and

Date: April 29, 2019.

define the hypertoric DM stacks associated with them. It turns out that the hypertoric DM stack associated with the extended stacky hyperplane arrangement is isomorphic to the hypertoric DM stack associated with the underlying stacky hyperplane arrangement, see §2.2, and there is a one-to-one correspondence between the GIT data and the extended stacky hyperplane arrangements. Hence the wall crossing of hypertoric DM stacks gives the Mukai type flops.

Let $X_+ \dashrightarrow X_-$ be a crepant birational map between two smooth Lawrence toric DM stacks given by a single wall crossing in [9]. They are derived equivalent, and the equivalence is given by the Fourier-Mukai transformation, see [18] and [8]. In [9], the authors prove that the equivariant Fourier-Mukai transformation on the K-theory matches the analytic continuation of the I -function, hence matches the analytic continuation of the quantum connections which are determined by the I -function. Thus the genus zero crepant transformation conjecture due to Y. Ruan [21] is proved. The wall crossing $X_+ \dashrightarrow X_-$ implies that the associated birational transformation $Y_+ \dashrightarrow Y_-$ for the hypertoric DM stacks is crepant. The derived categories of Y_+ and Y_- are equivalent, and the Fourier-Mukai functor gives such an equivalence. We prove that the Fourier-Mukai transformation matches the analytic continuation of quantum connections of the hypertoric DM stacks, which is induced by the analytic continuation of the associated Lawrence toric DM stacks, see Theorem 4.2.

Crepant birational transformation of hypertoric DM stacks is the local model of stratified Mukai type flops for general symplectic DM stacks. The authors in [5], [6], [18] have proved that their derived categories are equivalent, and the kernel is also given by the Fourier-Mukai transformation. The construction and calculation in this paper will play a role in the study for general Mukai type flops by degeneration method to the local models.

The paper is outlined as follows. In §2 we study the GIT data of hypertoric DM stacks and construct the wall crossing. In §3 we calculate the Fourier-Mukai transformation for the wall crossing of the hypertoric DM stacks; and in §4 we talk about the analytic continuation and prove the monodromy conjecture.

Conventions. In this paper we work entirely algebraically over the field of complex numbers. (Quantum) cohomology and K -theory groups are taken with complex coefficients. We refer to [3] for the construction of Gale dual $\beta^\vee : \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow DG(\beta)$ from $\beta : \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow N$. We denote by $N \rightarrow \overline{N}$ the natural map modulo torsion. For a positive integer m , we use $[m]$ to represent the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank D. Edidin, N. Proudfoot and M. MacBreen for the correspondence of symplectic resolution and K-theory of hypertoric DM stacks. Y. J. especially thanks Gufang Zhao to draw his attention to the MIT-Northeastern seminar series on quantum cohomology, geometric representation theory and the monodromy conjecture. Both authors are partially supported by Simons Foundation.

2. WALL CROSSING OF HYPERTORIC DELIGNE-MUMFORD STACKS

In this section we prove that the single wall crossing of Lawrence toric DM stacks in sense of [9, §5] gives rise to a wall crossing of hypertoric DM stacks.

2.1. Lawrence toric DM stacks and the GIT construction.

Definition 2.1. An S -extended Lawrence stacky fan is a quadruple $\Sigma_L = (\mathbf{N}_L, \Sigma_L, \beta_L, S)$, where:

- \mathbf{N}_L is a finitely generated abelian group (torsions allowed);
- Σ_L is a rational Lawrence simplicial fan in $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ in sense of [13, §4];
- $\beta: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is a homomorphism; we write $b_i = \beta(e_i) \in \mathbf{N}$ for the image of the i th standard basis vector $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, and write \bar{b}_i for the image of b_i in $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}$;
- $S \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ is a subset, such that $N = 2n + |S|$ for a nonnegative integer n .

such that:

- each one-dimensional cone of Σ_L is spanned by \bar{b}_i for a unique $i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \setminus S$, and each \bar{b}_i with $i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \setminus S$ spans a one-dimensional cone of Σ_L ;
- for $i \in S$, \bar{b}_i lies in the support $|\Sigma_L|$ of the fan.

The vectors b_i for $i \in S$ are called the *extended vectors*. The *Lawrence toric DM stack* associated to an extended Lawrence stacky fan Σ_L depends only on the underlying Lawrence stacky fan and is defined as the quotient stack

$$X_{\Sigma_L} := [U/K], \quad \text{with } U = \mathbb{C}^{2n} \setminus \mathbb{V}(I_{\Sigma_L}) \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{|S|},$$

where I_{Σ_L} is the irrelevant ideal of the Lawrence fan Σ_L and K is a finitely generated abelian group, which acts on \mathbb{C}^N through the data of extended Lawrence stacky fan.

We require that the extended Lawrence stacky fan Σ_L satisfies the following condition:

- (C1) the map $\beta: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is surjective.

This condition can be always achieved by adding enough extended vectors.

The Lawrence toric DM stack X_{Σ_L} is semi-projective and has a torus fixed point, see [16]. We explain the GIT construction of X_{Σ_L} from the extended Lawrence stacky fan $\Sigma_L = (\mathbf{N}, \Sigma_L, \beta_L, S)$ satisfying (C1). We define a free \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{L} by the exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbf{N} \longrightarrow 0$$

and define $K := \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$. The dual of (2.1) is an exact sequence:

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}^\vee \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^N)^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta^\vee} \mathbb{L}^\vee$$

and we define the character $D_i \in \mathbb{L}^\vee$ of K to be the image of the i th standard basis vector in $(\mathbb{Z}^N)^\vee$ under β^\vee . We have

$$(\mathbb{Z}^N)^\vee \cong (\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{|S|}$$

and

$$\{D_1, \dots, D_N\} = \{D_1, \dots, D_n, -D_1, \dots, -D_n, D_1, \dots, D_{|S|}\}.$$

For $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, let σ_I denote the cone in $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ generated by \bar{b}_i for $i \in I$. Let \bar{I} be the complement of $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Set

$$\mathcal{A} := \{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \mid S \subset I, \sigma_{\bar{I}} \text{ is a cone of } \Sigma_L\}.$$

to be the collection of *anticones*. The *stability condition* $\theta \in \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is taken to lie in $\bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{A}} \angle_I$, where

$$\angle_I = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i D_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}, a_i > 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

The property of Lawrence toric fan Σ_L ensures that this intersection is non-empty. We understand $\angle_\emptyset = \{0\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta = \{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\} : \theta \in \angle_I\}.$$

Then we check that $\mathcal{A}_\theta = \mathcal{A}$. Let

$$U_\theta = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{A}_\theta} (\mathbb{C}^\times)^I \times \mathbb{C}^{\bar{I}} := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^I \times \mathbb{C}^{\bar{I}} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N \mid z_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i \in I\}.$$

The stability condition θ satisfies the following assumptions:

- (A1) $\{1, 2, \dots, N\} \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$;
- (A2) for each $I \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$, the set $\{D_i : i \in I\}$ spans $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$ over \mathbb{R} .

(A1) ensures that X_θ is non-empty; (A2) ensures that X_θ is a DM stack. Under these assumptions, \mathcal{A}_θ is closed under enlargement of sets; i.e., if $I \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$ and $I \subset J$ then $J \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$. The Lawrence toric DM stack is the quotient stack $X_{\Sigma_L} = X_\theta = [U_\theta/K]$. The *GIT data* for X_{Σ_L} consists of

- $K \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$, a connected torus of rank r ;
- $\mathbb{L} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, K)$, the cocharacter lattice of K ;
- $D_1, \dots, D_n, -D_1, \dots, -D_n, D_1, \dots, D_{|S|} \in \mathbb{L}^\vee = \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, the characters of K ;
- stability condition $\theta \in \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Conversely, to obtain an extended Lawrence stacky fan from GIT data, consider the exact sequence (2.1). Let $b_i = \beta(e_i) \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\bar{b}_i \in \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ be as above. The extended Lawrence stacky fan $\Sigma_\theta = (\mathbf{N}, \Sigma_\theta, \beta_L, S)$ corresponding to our data consists of the group \mathbf{N} and the map β_L defined above, together with a fan Σ_θ in $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and S given by

$$\Sigma_\theta = \{\sigma_I : \bar{I} \in \mathcal{A}_\theta\}, \quad S = \{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : \overline{\{i\}} \notin \mathcal{A}_\theta\}.$$

The quotient construction in [14, §2] coincides with the GIT quotient construction, and therefore X_θ is the Lawrence toric DM stack corresponding to Σ_θ .

2.2. Hypertoric DM stacks and the GIT data. We give the GIT construction of hypertoric DM stacks. The GIT data of the hypertoric DM stack is useful for the construction of the wall crossing. We introduce the notion of *extended stacky hyperplane arrangements* and define the corresponding hypertoric DM stacks. We prove that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the GIT data of the hypertoric DM stacks and the extended stacky hyperplane arrangements, generalizing the idea in §2.1.

Definition 2.2. An *extended stacky hyperplane arrangement* $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathbf{N}, \beta, \theta, S)$ consists of the following data:

- \mathbf{N} is a finitely generated abelian group;
- $\beta : \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is a map. Also we write $b_i = \beta(e_i)$, the image of the standard generator e_i of \mathbb{Z}^m ;

- $S \subset [m]$ and let $n := m - |S|$, then $\beta_{\text{red}} : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ determined by $\{b_i | i \in [m] \setminus S\}$ is a map. Let

$$\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee : (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\text{red}}^\vee$$

be the Gale dual of β_{red} . The element $\theta \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{red}}^\vee$ is a generic element in sense of [15, §2], and $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{red}} = (\mathbf{N}, \beta_{\text{red}}, \theta)$ is a stacky hyperplane arrangement in sense of [15, Definition 2.1].

- $\{b_i | i \in S\}$ are called the extended vectors.

We prove that an extended stacky hyperplane arrangement \mathfrak{A} determines an extended Lawrence stacky fan, hence a Lawrence toric DM stack X_θ . The hypertoric DM stack $Y_\theta := Y_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a closed substack of X_θ . First we write down some diagrams of exact sequences from the extended stacky hyperplane arrangements:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^m & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{m-n} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_{\text{red}} & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{N} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{N} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Taking Gale dual yields:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee & \longleftarrow & (\mathbb{Z}^m)^\vee & \longleftarrow & (\mathbb{Z}^{m-n})^\vee & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_{\text{red}}^\vee & & \downarrow \beta^\vee = (\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee, \beta_S^\vee) & & \downarrow = & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{L}_{\text{red}}^\vee & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{L}^\vee & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{m-n} & \longleftarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Considering the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee & \longleftarrow & (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^{|S|})^\vee & \longleftarrow & (\mathbb{Z}^{m-n})^\vee & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow (\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee, -\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee) & & \downarrow (\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee, -\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee, \beta_S^\vee) & & \downarrow = & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{L}_{\text{red}}^\vee & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{L}^\vee & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{m-n} & \longleftarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

and taking Gale dual again:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{2n} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{2n+|S|} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{m-n} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_{L,\text{red}} & & \downarrow \beta_L & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{N}_L & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{N}_L & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

For the map $\beta_{L,\text{red}} : \mathbb{Z}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_L$, and the generic element $\theta \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{red}}^\vee$, there is a Lawrence simplicial fan Σ_θ constructed in [15, §2]. Hence we have an extended Lawrence stacky fan

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{L}} = (\mathbf{N}_L, \Sigma_\theta, \beta_L, S)$$

in Definition 2.1. The Lawrence toric DM stack

$$X_\theta := X_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{L}}} = [U_\theta/K]$$

is defined in §2.1. Let $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n, w_1, \dots, w_n, u_1, \dots, u_{|S|}]$ be the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{C}^N = \mathbb{C}^{2n+|S|}$. Let I_{β^\vee} be the ideal

$$(2.3) \quad I_{\beta^\vee} := \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n (\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee)^*(x)_i z_i w_i \mid x \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{red}}^\vee \right\rangle,$$

where $(\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee)^*$ is the map $\mathbb{L}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $(\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee)^*(x)_i$ is the i -th component of the vector $(\beta_{\text{red}}^\vee)^*(x)$. Let $V_\theta \subset U_\theta$ be the closed subvariety determined by the ideal in (2.3). The hypertoric DM stack

$$Y_\theta = [V_\theta/K]$$

is a quotient stack.

Proposition 2.3. *The hypertoric DM stack $Y_\theta = Y_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is isomorphic to the hypertoric DM stack $Y_{\mathfrak{A}_{\text{red}}}$ associated to its underlying stacky hyperplane arrangement $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{red}}$ defined in [15, §2].*

Proof. Any extended stacky hyperplane arrangement \mathfrak{A} has an underlying stacky hyperplane arrangement $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{red}}$ by forgetting the extra data S . The hypertoric DM stack is defined by a stacky hyperplane arrangement in [15, §2]. Since for extended stacky fans, the associated toric DM stack is isomorphic to the toric DM stack associated to its underlying stacky fan, see [14], the hypertoric DM stack $Y_\theta = Y_{\mathfrak{A}}$ associated an extended hyperplane arrangement is also isomorphic to the hypertoric DM stack $Y_{\mathfrak{A}_{\text{red}}}$ associated to its underlying stacky hyperplane arrangement. The difference is that there are more extra power of \mathbb{C}^\times 's modulo by the same rank of power of \mathbb{C}^\times 's. We omit the details. \square

Hence the GIT data for hypertoric DM stack Y_θ consists of the following:

- $K \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$, a connected torus of rank r ;
- $\mathbb{L} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, K)$, the cocharacter lattice of K ;
- $D_1, \dots, D_n, -D_1, \dots, -D_n, D_1, \dots, D_{|S|} \in \mathbb{L}^\vee = \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, characters of K ;
- stability condition $\theta \in \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.4. From a similar argument as in §2.1, given the GIT data of the hypertoric DM stack Y_θ , we can construct an extended stacky hyperplane arrangement $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathbf{N}, \beta, \theta, S)$, and vice versa.

2.3. The wall crossing of hypertoric DM stacks. We prove that the single wall crossing of Lawrence toric DM stacks gives rise to the wall crossing of hypertoric DM stacks.

Recall that the space $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$ of stability conditions is divided into chambers by the closures of the sets \angle_I , $|I| = r - 1$, and the Lawrence toric DM stack X_θ depends on θ only via the chamber containing θ . For any stability condition θ , the set U_θ contains the big torus $T := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$. Thus for any two such stability conditions θ_1, θ_2 there is a canonical birational map $X_{\theta_1} \dashrightarrow X_{\theta_2}$, induced by the identity transformation between $T/K \subset X_{\theta_1}$ and $T/K \subset X_{\theta_2}$.

Let C_+, C_- be chambers in $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$ that are separated by a hyperplane wall W , so that $W \cap \overline{C_+}$ is a facet of $\overline{C_+}$, $W \cap \overline{C_-}$ a facet of $\overline{C_-}$, and $W \cap \overline{C_+} = W \cap \overline{C_-}$. Choose stability conditions $\theta_+ \in C_+, \theta_- \in C_-$ satisfying (A1-A2) and set $U_+ := U_{\theta_+}, U_- := U_{\theta_-}, X_+ := X_{\theta_+}, X_- := X_{\theta_-}$, and

$$\mathcal{A}_\pm := \mathcal{A}_{\theta_\pm} = \{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\} : \theta_\pm \in \angle_I\}.$$

Then $C_\pm = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{A}_\pm} \angle_I$. Let $\varphi: X_+ \dashrightarrow X_-$ be the birational transformation induced by the toric wall-crossing from C_+ to C_- . Let $e \in \mathbb{L}$ denote the *primitive lattice vector* in W^\perp such that e is positive on C_+ and negative on C_- . We fix the notations

- $M_+ := \{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \mid D_i \cdot e > 0\}$,
- $M_- := \{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \mid D_i \cdot e < 0\}$,
- $M_0 := \{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \mid D_i \cdot e = 0\}$.

From our construction of Lawrence toric DM stacks, $|M_+| = |M_-|$.

Choose θ_0 from the relative interior of $W \cap \overline{C_+} = W \cap \overline{C_-}$. The stability condition θ_0 does not satisfy (A1-A2) on the GIT data, but consider

$$\mathcal{A}_0 := \mathcal{A}_{\theta_0} = \{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\} : \theta_0 \in \angle_I\}$$

and the corresponding toric Artin stack $X_0 := X_{\theta_0} = [U_{\theta_0}/K]$. Here X_0 is not Deligne–Mumford, as the \mathbb{C}^\times -subgroup of K corresponding to $e \in \mathbb{L}$ (the defining equation of the wall W) has a fixed point in $U_0 := U_{\theta_0}$. The stack X_0 contains both X_+ and X_- as open substacks.

The canonical line bundles of X_+ and X_- are given by the character $-\sum_{i=1}^{2n} D_i = 0$ of K . This means that Lawrence toric DM stacks are Calabi-Yau. There are canonical blow-down maps $g_\pm: X_\pm \rightarrow \overline{X}_0$, and $K_{X_\pm} = g_\pm^* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_0}$. We have a commutative diagram:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ f_+ \swarrow & & \searrow f_- \\ X_+ & \overset{\varphi}{\dashrightarrow} & X_- \\ g_+ \searrow & & \swarrow g_- \\ & \overline{X}_0 & \end{array}$$

So the birational map φ is *crepant*, since $f_+^*(K_{X_+}) = f_-^*(K_{X_-})$ are trivial.

To construct \tilde{X} , consider the action of $K \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ on \mathbb{C}^{N+1} defined by the characters $\tilde{D}_1, \dots, \tilde{D}_{N+1}$ of $K \times \mathbb{C}^\times$, where:

$$\tilde{D}_j = \begin{cases} D_j \oplus 0 & \text{if } j < N+1 \text{ and } D_j \cdot e \leq 0 \\ D_j \oplus (-D_j \cdot e) & \text{if } j < N+1 \text{ and } D_j \cdot e > 0 \\ 0 \oplus 1 & \text{if } j = N+1 \end{cases}$$

Consider the chambers \tilde{C}_+ , \tilde{C}_- , and \tilde{C} in $(\mathbb{L} \oplus \mathbb{Z})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$ that contain, respectively, the stability conditions

$$\tilde{\theta}_+ = (\theta_+, 1) \quad \tilde{\theta}_- = (\theta_-, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\theta} = (\theta_0, -\varepsilon)$$

where ε is a very small positive real number. Let \tilde{X} denote the toric DM stack defined by the stability condition $\tilde{\theta}$. We have, by [9, Lemma 6.16], that the toric DM stack corresponding to the chamber \tilde{C}_\pm is X_\pm . Furthermore, there is a commutative diagram as in (2.4), where: $f_\pm: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X_\pm$ are toric blow-ups, arising from the wall-crossing from \tilde{C} to \tilde{C}_\pm .

Now we take into account the ideal (2.3). We have the corresponding hypertoric DM stacks

$$Y_\pm := Y_{\theta_\pm} \subset X_\pm$$

and the hypertoric stack $Y_0 \subset X_0$ determined by the ideal I_{β^\vee} in (2.3). Let \overline{Y}_0 be the coarse moduli space of Y_0 and let $\tilde{Y} \subset \tilde{X}$ be the substack determined by the ideal I_{β^\vee} in (2.3).

Proposition 2.5. *We have the following diagram:*

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{Y} & \\ F_+ \swarrow & & \searrow F_- \\ Y_+ & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & Y_- \\ G_+ \searrow & & \swarrow G_- \\ & \bar{Y}_0 & \end{array}$$

which gives the crepant transformation morphism of hypertoric DM stacks.

Proof. The contractions G_+, G_- are constructed in [17, §4]. The maps F_\pm are induced from the maps f_\pm in (2.4). The birational map ϕ is crepant since Y_\pm are Calabi-Yau stacks. \square

Example 2.6. We consider the following GIT data:

- $K \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$;
- $D_1 = (1), D_2 = (2), D_3 = (-1), D_4 = (-2) \in \mathbb{L}^\vee = \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \mathbb{Z}$;
- stability conditions $\theta_+ = (1), \theta_- = (-1) \in \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

We can easily construct the Lawrence toric DM stacks

$$X_\pm = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,2)}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,2)}(-2).$$

The crepant transformation $\varphi : X_+ \dashrightarrow X_-$ is an Atiyah type flop.

We have the stacky hyperplane arrangements $\mathfrak{A}_\pm = (\mathbf{N}, \beta_\pm, \theta_\pm)$, where $\beta_\pm : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is the Gale dual of $\beta_\pm^\vee : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee$ determined by $\{D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4\}$. The corresponding hypertoric DM stacks

$$Y_\pm = T^*\mathbb{P}(1, 2).$$

The crepant birational map $\phi : Y_+ \dashrightarrow Y_-$ is a Mukai type flop.

3. THE FOURIER-MUKAI TRANSFORMATION

3.1. The $\mathbb{T} := T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on X_\pm and Y_\pm . From the construction of Lawrence toric DM stacks X_\pm and hypertoric DM stacks Y_\pm in §2, there is a torus $\mathbb{T} := T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ action, where $T = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$. Since $U_\pm \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{|S|}$, the action T acts on U_\pm by the standard action which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}, \dots, \lambda_m)(z_1, \dots, z_n, w_1, \dots, w_n, u_1, \dots, u_{|S|}) \\ & = (\lambda_1 z_1, \dots, \lambda_n z_n, \lambda_1^{-1} w_1, \dots, \lambda_n^{-1} w_n, \lambda_{n+1} u_1, \dots, \lambda_m u_{|S|}); \end{aligned}$$

the extra \mathbb{C}^\times acts by scaling the fibre of $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$. We consider the \mathbb{T} -action on \tilde{X} induced from the inclusion $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{m+1} \times \{1\} \subset \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ and the $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ action on \tilde{X} .

The \mathbb{T} -fixed points on X_\pm and Y_\pm are isolated, and in one-to-one correspondence with the minimal anticones $\delta_\pm \in \mathcal{A}_\pm$. Using the correspondence between anticones and cones in the Lawrence toric fan Σ_\pm , the torus fixed points are given by top dimensional cones in the fan. The torus fixed points all lie in the core of X_\pm and Y_\pm , which are the closed projective substacks inside X_\pm and Y_\pm .

3.2. The \mathbb{T} -equivariant K-theory of X_{\pm} , Y_{\pm} and the Fourier-Mukai transformation.

3.2.1. *Equivariant K-theory.* Let $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_{\pm})$, $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_{\pm})$ be the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Grothendieck K-groups of coherent sheaves. They are modules over $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(pt)$, the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}]$ of regular functions on the torus \mathbb{T} .

For the Lawrence toric DM stack X_{θ} for a stability condition $\theta \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{R}$, the \mathbb{T} -equivariant divisor $\{z_i = 0\}$, $\{w_i = 0\}$ or $\{u_j\} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq |S|$ on X_{θ} determine \mathbb{T} -equivariant line bundles R_i over X_{θ} for $1 \leq i \leq N$. We denote by R_i the equivalent classes of such line bundles. Similar construction works for the toric DM stack \tilde{X} . We fix notation for \mathbb{T} -equivariant line bundles for X_{\pm} and \tilde{X} .

$$R_1^{\pm}, \dots, R_N^{\pm} \in K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_{\pm}),$$

and

$$\tilde{R}_1, \dots, \tilde{R}_N, \tilde{R}_{N+1} \in K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(\tilde{X}).$$

Fix notations of their inverses:

$$S_j^+ := (R_j^+)^{-1}, \quad S_j^- := (R_j^-)^{-1}, \quad \tilde{S}_j = \tilde{R}_j^{-1}.$$

Let \hbar be the \mathbb{T} -equivariant line bundle over X_{\pm} defined by the extra \mathbb{C}^{\times} in $\mathbb{T} = T \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

Let X_{θ} be a Lawrence toric DM stack corresponding to a stability condition θ . Each character $p \in \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{C}^{\times}) = \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$ defines a line bundle $L(p) \rightarrow X_{\theta}$:

$$L(p) = U_{\theta} \times \mathbb{C}/(z, v) \simeq (g \cdot z, p(g) \cdot v), g \in K.$$

The line bundle $L(p)$ is equipped with the \mathbb{T} -action $[z, v] \mapsto [t \cdot z, v]$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$ as in [9, §6.3.2]. So it defines an element in $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_{\theta})$. The line bundles R_i^{\pm} is:

$$\begin{aligned} R_i^{\pm} &= L_{\pm}(D_i) \otimes e^{\lambda_i}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ R_i^{\pm} &= L_{\pm}(D_i) \otimes e^{\lambda - \lambda_i}, n+1 \leq i \leq 2n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_i^{\pm} = L_{\pm}(D_i) \otimes e^{\lambda_i}, 2n+1 \leq i \leq N.$$

where $e^{\lambda_i} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}]$ stands for the irreducible \mathbb{T} -representation given by the i -th component $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Since $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^m \times \mathbb{C}^{\times} = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{m+1}$, we use λ to represent the $m+1$ -equivariant parameter λ_{m+1} .

For $(p, n) \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, the \mathbb{T} -equivariant line bundle $L(p, n) \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is similarly defined, and we have:

$$\tilde{R}_i = L(\tilde{D}_i) \otimes e^{\lambda_i}, 1 \leq i \leq N$$

as above, and

$$\tilde{R}_{m+1} = L(\tilde{D}_{N+1}) = L(0, 1).$$

As in [9, §6.3.2], the classes $L_{\pm}(p)$, $(p \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee})$ generate the equivariant K-group $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_{\pm})$, and the classes $\{L(p, n) | (p, n) \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\}$ generate the equivariant K-group $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(\tilde{X})$.

3.2.2. *Localized K-theory basis.* Let $\delta_- \in \mathcal{A}_-$ be a minimal anticone, x_{δ_-} be the \mathbb{T} -fixed point on X_- , and

$$i_{\delta_-} : x_{\delta_-} \rightarrow X_-$$

be the inclusion. Denote by G_{δ_-} the isotropy group of x_{δ_-} . Then $x_{\delta_-} = BG_{\delta_-}$. From [9, §6.3.2],

$$i_{\delta_-}^* R_i = 1, \text{ for } i \in \delta_-.$$

A localized basis of $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_-)$, after inverting the non-zero elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}]$, is given by:

$$(3.1) \quad \{(i_{\delta_-})_* \varrho : \varrho \text{ an irreducible representation of } G_{\delta_-}, \delta_- \in \mathcal{A}_- \text{ a minimal anticone}\}.$$

By Koszul complex the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{x_{\delta_-}}$ is given by

$$e_{\delta_-} := \prod_{i \notin \delta_-} (1 - S_i^-).$$

Since the irreducible representation of G_{δ_-} is given by the \mathbb{T} -linearization on $(i_{\delta_-})_* \varrho$. Choosing a lift $\hat{\varrho} \in \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{C}^\times) = \mathbb{L}^\vee$ for each G_{δ_-} -representation $\varrho : G_{\delta_-} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, then

$$e_{\delta_-, \varrho} := L_-(\hat{\varrho}) \cdot \prod_{i \notin \delta_-} (1 - S_i^-).$$

Similarly, $\{e_{\delta_+, \varrho}\}$ is a basis for the localized \mathbb{T} -equivariant K-theory $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_+)$.

3.2.3. *Fourier-Mukai transformation.* In [9, §6.3.3], the authors calculate the Fourier-Mukai transformation for the localized \mathbb{T} -equivariant K-theory $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_{\pm})$.

Proposition 3.1 ([9], Theorem 6.19).

(1) If $\delta_- \in \mathcal{A}_-$ is a minimal anticone such that $\delta_- \in \mathcal{A}_+$, then

$$\text{FM}(e_{\delta_-, \rho}) = e_{\delta_+, \rho},$$

where $\delta_+ = \delta_-$ is the same anticone, but taken as in \mathcal{A}_+ ;

(2) If $\delta_- \in \mathcal{A}_-$, but $\delta_- \notin \mathcal{A}_+$, then $\text{FM}(e_{\delta_-, \varrho})$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{l} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \left(\frac{1 - S_{j_-}^+}{1 - t^{-1}} \cdot L_+(\hat{\varrho}) t^{\hat{\varrho} \cdot e} \cdot \prod_{\substack{j \notin \delta_- \\ D_j \cdot e < 0}} (1 - S_j^+) \cdot \prod_{\substack{i \notin \delta_- \\ D_i \cdot e \geq 0}} (1 - t^{-D_i \cdot e} S_i^+) \right)$$

where j_- is the unique element of δ_- such that $D_{j_-} \cdot e < 0$, $l = -D_{j_-} \cdot e$ and

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ \zeta \cdot (R_{j_-}^+)^{1/l} : \zeta \in \mu_l \right\}.$$

3.3. **The \mathbb{T} -equivariant K-theory $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_{\pm})$ of hypertoric DM stacks.** Let

$$\iota_{\pm} : Y_{\pm} \hookrightarrow X_{\pm}$$

be the inclusion of hypertoric DM stacks Y_{\pm} to their associated Lawrence toric DM stacks X_{\pm} .

Lemma 3.2. *The pullback*

$$\iota_{\pm}^* : K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_{\pm}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_{\pm})$$

is an isomorphism on the \mathbb{T} -equivariant K-theory.

Proof. This is from [11, Theorem 5.4]. On the other hand, one can directly calculate that the equivariant K-theory groups $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_{\pm})$ are also generated by line bundles $R_1^{\pm}, \dots, R_N^{\pm}$ modulo the same relations as in the Lawrence toric DM stack case. \square

Remark 3.3. Let \hbar be the \mathbb{T} -equivariant line bundle over X_{\pm} given by the extra factor \mathbb{C}^{\times} in $\mathbb{T} = T \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. For the Lawrence toric DM stacks X_{\pm} ,

$$K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_{\pm}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[(R_1^{\pm})^{\pm 1}, \dots, (R_N^{\pm})^{\pm 1}, \hbar^{\pm 1}]}{I + J},$$

where

$$I = \{R_{i_1}^{\pm} \cdots R_{i_k}^{\pm} | \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \notin \mathcal{A}_{\pm}\}$$

is the ideal generated by the products for subsets $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ not lying in the set of anticones; and

$$J = \{R_i^{\pm} - (\hbar \cdot (R_{n+i}^{\pm})^{-1}) | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is the ideal generated by the relation of the line bundles R_i^{\pm} and R_{n+i}^{\pm} .

To study the Fourier-Mukai transformation of the crepant birational map $\phi : Y_+ \dashrightarrow Y_-$, we set up the following diagram:

(3.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{Y} & & \\ & F_+ \swarrow & \downarrow \tilde{\iota} & \searrow F_- & \\ Y_+ & & \tilde{X} & & Y_- \\ \downarrow \iota_+ & \swarrow f_+ & & \searrow f_- & \downarrow \iota_- \\ X_+ & & & & X_- \end{array}$$

We denote by $\Phi := \mathbb{F}\mathbb{M}$ the equivariant Fourier-Mukai transformation for X_{\pm} . The Fourier-Mukai transformation

$$\Psi : K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_-) \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_+)$$

is given by:

$$E \mapsto \Psi(F) = (F_+)_{\star} F_{-}^{\star}(E).$$

Proposition 3.4. *There is a commutative diagram of \mathbb{T} -equivariant K-theory groups:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_-) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_+) \\ \iota_-^{\star} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_+^{\star} \\ K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_-) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_+) \end{array}$$

which implies that Ψ is an isomorphism on K-theory groups.

Proof. This is similar to [9, Lemma 7.7]. The difference here is that by Proposition 3.2, the pullbacks ι_{\pm}^{\star} are isomorphisms. Then we directly check the commutative diagram using (3.2): for any element $E \in K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_-)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_+^{\star} \circ \Phi(E) &= \iota_+^{\star} \circ ((f_+)_{\star} f_{-}^{\star}(E)) \\ &= (F_+)_{\star} \circ \tilde{\iota}^{\star} \circ f_{-}^{\star}(E) \\ &= (F_+)_{\star} \circ F_{-}^{\star} \circ \iota_-^{\star}(E) \\ &= \Psi \circ \iota_-^{\star}(E). \end{aligned}$$

□

The torus \mathbb{T} -fixed points of Y_{\pm} are the same as the torus \mathbb{T} -fixed points of X_{\pm} , which all lie in the core. The localized K-theory basis of $K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_{\pm})$ are also generated by the minimal anticones $\delta_{\pm} \in \mathcal{A}_{\pm}$. Let $\delta_- \in \mathcal{A}_-$ be a minimal anticone. Then

$$i_{\delta_-} : x_{\delta_-} \hookrightarrow Y_- \subset X_-$$

is the inclusion of the fixed point x_{δ_-} . For each $p \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$, it also defines a line bundle

$$L_-^{Y_-}(p) = V_- \times \mathbb{C}/K,$$

which is the pullback $\iota_-^* L_-(p)$ of $L_-(p)$ on X_- . From now on we denote by $L_-(p)$ the line bundle on Y_- determined by $p \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$.

Set

$$e_{\delta_-, \varrho}^{Y_-} := L_-(\hat{\varrho}) \cdot \prod_{i \notin \delta_-} (1 - S_i),$$

where $\hat{\varrho} \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$ is the lift of ϱ . Then $\{e_{\delta_-, \varrho}^{Y_-}\}$ is a basis for the localized \mathbb{T} -equivariant K-theory of Y_- . Similarly we have a localized \mathbb{T} -equivariant K-theory basis $\{e_{\delta_+, \varrho}^{Y_+}\}$ of Y_+ .

Proposition 3.5 (Fourier-Mukai transformation for hypertoric DM stacks).

(1) If $\delta_- \in \mathcal{A}_-$ is a minimal anticone such that $\delta_- \in \mathcal{A}_+$, then

$$\Psi(e_{\delta_-, \rho}) = e_{\delta_+, \rho},$$

where $\delta_+ = \delta_-$ is the same anticone, but taken as in \mathcal{A}_+ ;

(2) If $\delta_- \in \mathcal{A}_-$, but $\delta_- \notin \mathcal{A}_+$, then $\Psi(e_{\delta_-, \varrho})$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{l} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \left(\frac{1 - S_{j_-}^+}{1 - t^{-1}} \cdot L_+(\hat{\varrho}) t^{\hat{\varrho} \cdot e} \cdot \prod_{\substack{j \notin \delta_- \\ D_j \cdot e < 0}} (1 - S_j^+) \cdot \prod_{\substack{i \notin \delta_- \\ D_i \cdot e \geq 0}} (1 - t^{-D_i \cdot e} S_i^+) \right)$$

where j_- is the unique element of δ_- such that $D_{j_-} \cdot e < 0$, $l = -D_{j_-} \cdot e$ and

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ \zeta \cdot (R_{j_-}^+)^{1/l} : \zeta \in \mu_l \right\}.$$

Proof. This result is from Proposition 3.4, and the Fourier-Mukai transformation formula Φ in Proposition 3.1. □

4. ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF THE QUANTUM CONNECTION

In this section we prove that the Fourier-Mukai transformation $\Psi : K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_-) \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_+)$ matches the analytic continuation of quantum connections for Y_{\pm} , hence the monodromy conjecture.

4.1. Equivariant quantum cohomology. This section serves as a general introduction to equivariant quantum cohomology. We fix a smooth DM stack X with the torus \mathbb{T} -action.

4.1.1. *The \mathbb{T} -equivariant quantum cohomology.* The moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d)$ of degree $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ twisted stable maps to X carries a \mathbb{T} -action, and a virtual fundamental cycle $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d)]^{\text{virt}} \in H_{*,\mathbb{T}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d))$. There are \mathbb{T} -equivariant evaluation maps¹:

$$\text{ev}_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d) \rightarrow IX$$

to the inertia stack IX of X for $1 \leq i \leq n$, see [7], [1].

Given $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in H_{\text{CR},\mathbb{T}}^*(X)$, we consider the following genus 0 \mathbb{T} -equivariant Gromov-Witten invariant:

$$\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{0,n,d}^X = \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X,d)]^{\text{virt}}} \prod_i \text{ev}_i^* \gamma_i.$$

The moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d)$ has components indexed by the components of the inertia stack IX . We write

$$IX = \bigsqcup_{f \in \mathbf{B}} X_f$$

for the decomposition of IX into connected components, where \mathbf{B} is the index set. Then the component $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d)^{f_1, \dots, f_n}$ is the one which under evaluation maps ev_i , the images lie in the component X_{f_i} . The virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d)^{f_1, \dots, f_n}$ is:

$$(4.1) \quad -K_X \cdot d + \dim(X) + n - 3 - \sum_i \text{age}(X_{f_i}).$$

If X is not compact (like our Lawrence and hypertoric DM stacks), then the moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d)$ is non-compact. There is a \mathbb{T} -action on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d)$. Assume that the \mathbb{T} -fixed locus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X, d)^{\mathbb{T}}$ is compact, then \mathbb{T} -equivariant GW invariants can be defined in the same way, replacing equivariant integration by equivariant residues.

Let $\text{NE}(X) \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the cone generated by classes of effective curves and set

$$\text{NE}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} := \{d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) : d \in \text{NE}(X)\}.$$

Let $R_{\mathbb{T}} := H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(pt)$ and $R_{\mathbb{T}}[[Q]]$ the formal power series ring

$$R_{\mathbb{T}}[[Q]] = \left\{ \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}} a_d Q^d : a_d \in R \right\}$$

so that Q is a so-called *Novikov variable* (see e.g. [19, III 5.2.1]). For $\gamma_i, \gamma_j, t \in H_{\text{CR},\mathbb{T}}^*(X)$, the big \mathbb{T} -equivariant quantum product is defined by:

$$(4.2) \quad (\gamma_i \star_t \gamma_j, \gamma_k) = \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}} \sum_{n \geq 0} Q^d \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j, \underbrace{t, \dots, t}_n, \gamma_k \rangle_{0,n+3,d}^X$$

The small \mathbb{T} -equivariant quantum product is defined by putting $n = 0$:

$$(4.3) \quad (\gamma_i \star_{\text{sm}} \gamma_j, \gamma_k) = \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}} Q^d \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle_{0,3,d}^X$$

or

$$\gamma_i \star_{\text{sm}} \gamma_j = \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}} Q^d \cdot \text{inv}^* \cdot \text{ev}_{3,*}(\text{ev}_1^*(\gamma_i) \text{ev}_2^*(\gamma_j) \cap [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, d)]^{\text{virt}})$$

¹We ignore the issue of trivializing the marked gerbes in our moduli problem. A detailed discussion on this can be found in [1].

where $\text{inv} : IX \rightarrow IX$ denotes the involution sending $(x, g) \mapsto (x, g^{-1})$, for $x \in X, g \in \text{Aut}(x)$. The big quantum product satisfies the associativity property and makes $H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X) \otimes R_{\mathbb{T}}[[Q]]$ a ring, which is called the equivariant quantum cohomology ring.

We briefly review the Givental's formalism about the orbifold Gromov-Witten invariants in terms of the Lagrangian cone in certain symplectic vector space, see [9]. Let

$$\mathcal{H}(X) := H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{R_{\mathbb{T}}} S_{\mathbb{T}}((z^{-1}))[[Q]],$$

equipped the non-degenerate $S_{\mathbb{T}}[[Q]]$ -bilinear symplectic form

$$\Omega(f, g) := \text{Res}_{z=0}(f(-z), g(z))_{\text{CR}} dz,$$

where $(-, -)_{\text{CR}}$ is the orbifold Poincaré pairing. Here $S_{\mathbb{T}}$ is the localization ring of $R_{\mathbb{T}}$ with respect to the multiplicative set of nonzero homogeneous elements in $R_{\mathbb{T}}$. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_+ := H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X) \otimes_{R_{\mathbb{T}}} S_{\mathbb{T}}[z][[Q]]; \quad \mathcal{H}_- := z^{-1} H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X) \otimes_{R_{\mathbb{T}}} S_{\mathbb{T}}[z^{-1}][[Q]].$$

Then $\mathcal{H}(X) = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ and one can think of $\mathcal{H}(X) = T^*(\mathcal{H}_+)$. The genus zero descendant Gromov-Witten potential is a formal function $\mathcal{F}_X^0 : (\mathcal{H}_+, -z) \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{T}}[[Q]]$ defined on the formal neighbourhood of $-z$ in \mathcal{H}_+ and taking values in $S_{\mathbb{T}}[[Q]]$:

$$\mathcal{F}_X^0(-z1 + \mathbf{t}(z)) = \sum_{d \in \text{NE}(X)_z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \langle \mathbf{t}(\psi), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) \rangle_{0, n, d}^X.$$

Here $\mathbf{t}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n z^n$ with $t_n \in H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X) \otimes_{R_{\mathbb{T}}} S_{\mathbb{T}}[[Q]]$.

Givental's Lagrangian cone \mathcal{L}_X is the graph of the differential \mathcal{F}_X^0 , more explicitly,

$$\mathcal{L}_X := \{(p, q) \in \mathcal{H}_- \oplus \mathcal{H}_+ \mid p = d_q \mathcal{F}_X^0\} \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

Tautological equations for genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants imply that \mathcal{L}_X is a cone ruled by a *finite dimensional* family of affine subspaces. A particularly important finite-dimensional slice of \mathcal{L}_X is the *J-function*:

$$J_X(t, z) = 1z + t + \sum_{n, d} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \langle t, \dots, t, \frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{z - \psi} \rangle_{0, n+1, d} \phi^{\alpha},$$

where $\{\phi_{\alpha}\}, \{\phi^{\alpha}\} \subset H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X)$ are additive bases dual to each other under $(-, -)_{\text{CR}}$.

4.1.2. *Quantum connection.* We fix a homogeneous basis

$$\phi_0, \dots, \phi_R$$

for the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Chen-Ruan cohomology $H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X_{\pm}) \cong H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(Y_{\pm})$. Let

$$\tau^0, \dots, \tau^R$$

be the corresponding dual co-ordinates. The equivariant quantum connection is the operator

$$\nabla_i : H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X)[z] \otimes R_{\mathbb{T}}[[Q]][[\tau^0, \dots, \tau^R]] \rightarrow H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X)[z] \otimes R_{\mathbb{T}}[[Q]][[\tau^0, \dots, \tau^R]]$$

defined by:

$$\nabla_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau^i} + \frac{1}{z}(\phi_i \star -),$$

where $\phi \star -$ stands for the big quantum product.

We return to the Lawrence toric DM stacks X_{\pm} , and the hypertoric DM stacks Y_{\pm} . By [17, Proposition 6.4], the pullback ι_{\pm}^* equate GW invariants of X_{\pm} and Y_{\pm} . It follows that for $\phi \in H_{\text{CR},\mathbb{T}}^*(X_{\pm})$, we have an equality of operators:

$$\phi \star_t = \iota_{\pm}^*(\phi) \star_{\iota_{\pm}^* t}.$$

So

$$\iota_{\pm}^* \nabla_i^{X_{\pm}} = \nabla_i^{Y_{\pm}}.$$

4.2. The Analytic continuation.

4.2.1. *I-functions of X_{\pm} and Y_{\pm} .* Recall that in [9, §5.3], the global extended Kähler moduli space is a universal cover of space \mathcal{M} , where \mathcal{M} is the toric variety of the GKZ-fan on $\mathbb{L}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. The GKZ-fan is given by the chamber structures on $\mathbb{L}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. The rank $\text{rk}(\mathbb{L}^{\vee}) = r$.

Our wall $W = \overline{C}_+ \cap \overline{C}_-$, where C_{\pm} are cones of $\mathbb{L}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. The two torus fixed points P_+ and P_- corresponding to C_+ and C_- are called the large radius limit points. The two toric DM stacks X_{\pm} are called the mirrors corresponding to these two points. The hypertoric DM stacks Y_{\pm} have the same LG-mirrors as X_{\pm} , and the toric variety \mathcal{M} is also the global extended Kähler moduli space corresponding to Y_{\pm} .

Let

$$\ell_{\pm} := \dim(H^2(X_{\pm}, \mathbb{R})) \cong \dim(H^2(Y_{\pm}, \mathbb{R})) = r - \#(S_{\pm}).$$

Recall that the wall W has rank $r - 1$. The lattice \mathbb{L}^{\vee} has the bases for both X_{\pm} and Y_{\pm} . We order the bases

$$\{p_1^+, \dots, p_{\ell_+}^+\} \cup \{D_j : j \in S_+\} = \{p_1^+, \dots, p_{r-1}^+, p_r^+\}$$

$$\{p_1^-, \dots, p_{\ell_-}^-\} \cup \{D_j : j \in S_-\} = \{p_1^-, \dots, p_{r-1}^-, p_r^-\}$$

in such a way that $p_i^+ = p_i^- \in W$ for $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$ and p_r^{\pm} be the unique vector that does not lie on the wall W . Let

$$\{y_i, x_j : 1 \leq i \leq \ell_+, j \in S_+\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$$

$$\{\tilde{y}_i, \tilde{x}_j : 1 \leq i \leq \ell_+, j \in S_-\} = \{\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_r\}$$

be the corresponding reordering coordinates of \mathcal{M} . Then

$$\tilde{y}_i = \begin{cases} y_i \cdot y_r^{c_i}, & 1 \leq i \leq r - 1; \\ y_r^{-c}, & i = r \end{cases}$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}, c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.

For $d \in \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we write

$$d = \bar{d} + \sum_{j \in S_{\pm}} (D_j \cdot d) \xi_j$$

where \bar{d} is the $H_2(X_{\pm}, \mathbb{R})$ -component of d . The $H_{\text{CR},\mathbb{T}}^*(X_{\pm})$ -valued hypergeometric series $I_{\pm}(y, z) \in H_{\text{CR},\mathbb{T}}^*(X_{\pm}) \otimes_{R_{\mathbb{T}}} R_{\mathbb{T}}((z^{-1}))[[Q, \sigma_{\pm}, x]]$ is:

$$(4.4) \quad I_{\pm}(y, z) := e^{\sigma_{\pm}/z} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{K}_{\pm}} y^d \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\prod_{a: \langle a \rangle = \langle D_j \cdot d \rangle, a \leq 0} (u_j + az)}{\prod_{a: \langle a \rangle = \langle D_j \cdot d \rangle, a \leq D_j \cdot d} (u_j + az)} \right) \mathbf{1}_{[-d]}$$

where

$$\bullet \mathbb{K}_{\pm} = \{f \in \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \{i \in [m] : D_i \cdot f \in \mathbb{Z}\} \in \mathcal{A}_{\pm}\};$$

- $\sigma_{\pm} = \theta_{\pm}(\sum_{i=1}^r p_i^{\pm} \log(y_i) + c_0(\lambda)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_{\pm}} \theta_{\pm}(p_i^{\pm}) \log y_i - \sum_{j \in S_{\pm}} \lambda_j \cdot \log x_j + c_0(\lambda)$, where

$$\theta_{\pm} : \mathbb{L}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(X_{\pm}, \mathbb{C}); \quad \theta_{\pm}(D_i) = u_i - \lambda_i,$$

and u_i is the cohomology class in $H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(X_{\pm}, \mathbb{C})$ Poincaré dual to the divisor classes $\{z_i = 0\}$, $\{w_i\} = 0$, or $\{u_j = 0\}$. Note that $u_j = 0$ if $j \in S$.

- $y^d = y_1^{p_1^{\pm} \cdot d} \cdots y_r^{p_r^{\pm} \cdot d} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell_{\pm}} y_i^{p_i^{\pm} \cdot d} \prod_{j \in S_{\pm}} x_j^{D_j \cdot d}$.

The I -functions $I_{\pm}(y, z)$ lie on the Givental's Lagrangian cone $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\pm}}$ determined by genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants. From [9], the I -functions $I_{\pm}(y, z)$ are analytic in the last variable y_r and we do analytic continuation in terms of y_r .

In view of [17, Proposition 6.4], we may identify² the cones $\mathcal{L}_{Y_{\pm}}$ with the cones $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\pm}}$. We simply define the I -functions $I^{Y_{\pm}}(y, z)$ for Y_{\pm} to be the I -functions $I_{\pm}(y, z)$. Certainly they determine the cones $\mathcal{L}_{Y_{\pm}}$.

4.2.2. *The analytic continuation.* Introduce the following modified Givental's spaces:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(X_{\pm}) = H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(X_{\pm}) \otimes_{R_{\mathbb{T}}} [\log z]((z^{-1/k}))$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(Y_{\pm}) = H_{\text{CR}, \mathbb{T}}^*(Y_{\pm}) \otimes_{R_{\mathbb{T}}} [\log z]((z^{-1/k}))$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is an integer such that $k\mu^{\pm}$ have integer eigenvalues for the grading operators μ^{\pm} in [9, §2].

Proposition 4.1 ([9], §6.2.4). *There is a symplectic transformation*

$$\mathbb{U}_X : \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(X_-) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(X_+)$$

such that $\mathbb{U}_X(I_-(y, z)) = I_+(y, z)$.

Proof. In [9, Theorem 6.13], the authors explicitly calculate the analytic continuation of the H -function, then the analytic continuation of the I -function in [9, §6.2.4]. \square

Our main result for Y_{\pm} is as follows:

Theorem 4.2. *There exists the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_-) & \xrightarrow{\text{FM}=\Phi} & K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_+) & & \\
 \downarrow \iota_-^* & \searrow \tilde{\Gamma}_- & \downarrow \iota_+^* & \searrow \tilde{\Gamma}_+ & \\
 & & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(X_-) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{U}_X} & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(X_+) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_-) & \xrightarrow{\text{FM}=\Psi} & K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_+) & & \\
 \downarrow \iota_-^{Y_-} & \searrow \tilde{\Gamma}_-^{Y_-} & \downarrow \iota_+^{Y_+} & \searrow \tilde{\Gamma}_+^{Y_+} & \\
 & & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(Y_-) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{U}_Y} & \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(Y_+)
 \end{array}$$

²Since $Y_{\pm} \subset X_{\pm}$ is a complete intersection and the normal bundle $N_{Y_{\pm}/X_{\pm}}$ is trivial, this is just a simple example of orbifold quantum Riemann-Roch in genus 0 [22].

where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\pm} : K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_{\pm}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(X_{\pm})$$

is defined by the $\Gamma_{\pm}(X_{\pm})$ -classes in Definition 3.1 of [9], and

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\pm}^{Y_{\pm}} : K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_{\pm}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(Y_{\pm})$$

is defined by replacing the $\Gamma_{\pm}(X_{\pm})$ -classes by the $\Gamma_{\pm}(Y_{\pm})$ -classes. The map

$$\mathbb{U}_Y : \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(Y_-) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(Y_+)$$

is a symplectic transformation on Givental's space for Y_{\pm} . Moreover,

- (1) $\mathbb{U}_Y(I^{Y_-}(y, z)) = I^{Y_+}(y, z)$;
- (2) The upper square is a commutative diagram, which implies that the Fourier-Mukai transformation Φ matches the analytic continuation \mathbb{U}_X via the Γ -integral structure;
- (3) The bottom square is also commutative, which implies that the Fourier-Mukai transformation Ψ matches the analytic continuation \mathbb{U}_Y via the Γ -integral structure.

Proof. The proof is from §4.2.1 and [9, §7.3, §7.4, §7.5]. The difference here is that we can work on \mathbb{T} -equivariant K-theory and Chen-Ruan cohomology, not like [9, §7] in the non-equivariant setting, but the calculation is the same. \square

Remark 4.3. On the Kähler moduli space \mathcal{M} , the Fourier-Mukai transformation Ψ is an equivalence

$$\Psi : D^b(Y_-) \rightarrow D^b(Y_+) \quad (K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_-) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_+)).$$

From [9, §6.5], the Fourier-Mukai transformation Ψ corresponds to a path γ from the large radius point of Y_- to the large radius point of Y_+ inside \mathcal{M} . Let

$$\Psi' := \mathbb{F}\mathbb{M}' = (F_-)_* F_+^* : K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_+) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_-)$$

be the Fourier-Mukai transformation on the other side. Then $\Psi' \circ \Psi$ yields a loop in $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$, which gives rise to an automorphism of the K-theory group by

$$(4.5) \quad \rho : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(Y_-)).$$

On the other hand, the analytic continuation

$$\mathbb{U}_Y : \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(Y_-) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(Y_+)$$

is given along a path γ in Figure 3 of [9], and hence also gives a loop of $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ in (4.5). Since the I -function $I_{\pm}(Y_{\pm})$ determines the quantum connection, the above monodromy is the monodromy of the quantum connections. Theorem 4.2 says that these two monodromies are the same, hence the monodromy conjecture in [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Abramovich, T. Graber and A. Vistoli, Algebraic orbifold quantum product, in *Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001)*, 1-24, *Contem. Math.* 310, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002. math.AG/0112004.
- [2] R. Bezrukavnikov and A. Okounkov, Monodromy of the quantum differential equation for the Hilbert scheme of points, preprint.
- [3] L. Borisov, L. Chen and G. Smith, The orbifold Chow ring of toric Deligne-Mumford stacks, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (2005), no.1, 193-215, math.AG/0309229.
- [4] A. Braverman, D. Maulik and A. Okounkov, Quantum cohomology of the Springer resolution, arXiv:1001.0056.
- [5] S. Cautis, Equivalences and stratified flops, *Compositio Math.*, 148 (2012) no. 1, 185–209.

- [6] S. Cautis, Flops and about: a guide, *EMS Congress Reports*, (2011), no. 8, 61–101.
- [7] W. Chen and Y. Ruan, Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory, in *Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001)*, 25–85, *Contem. Math.* 310, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002. math.AG/0103156.
- [8] T. Coates, H. Iritani, Y. Jiang and E. Segal, K-theoretical and categorical properties of toric Deligne-Mumford stacks, *Pure and Applied Math. Quarterly*, to appear, arXiv:1410.0027.
- [9] T. Coates, H. Iritani and Y. Jiang, The crepant transformation conjecture for toric complete intersections, preprint, arXiv:1410.0024.
- [10] T. Coates, A. Corti, H. Iritani and H.-H. Tseng, A mirror theorem for toric stacks, arXiv:1310.4163.
- [11] D. Edidin, Strong regular embeddings of Deligne-Mumford stacks and hypertoric geometry, preprint, arXiv:1503.04828.
- [12] B. Fu, Extremal contractions, stratified Mukai flops and Springer maps, *Adv. Math.*, Vol. 213, Iss. (2007), 165-182.
- [13] T. Hausel and B. Sturmfels, Toric hyperkähler varieties, *Documenta Mathematica*, 7 (2002), 495-534.
- [14] Y. Jiang, The orbifold cohomology ring of simplicial toric stack bundles, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 52, No.2 (2008), 493-514, math.AG/0504563.
- [15] Y. Jiang and H.- H. Tseng, The orbifold Chow ring of hypertoric Deligne-Mumford stacks, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, (Crelle's Journal)*, 619(2008), 175-202, math.AG/0512199.
- [16] Y. Jiang and H.- H. Tseng, Note on orbifold Chow ring of semi-projective toric DM stacks, *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, Vol. 16, No.1, (2008), 231-250, math.AG/0606322.
- [17] Y. Jiang and H.- H. Tseng, Hypertoric geometry and Gromov-Witten theory, arXiv:1506.02065.
- [18] Y. Kawamata, D-equivalence and K-equivalence, *J. Diff. Geometry*, 61 (2002) 147-171.
- [19] Y. Manin, *Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology, and moduli spaces*, volume 47 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [20] D. Maulik and A. Okounkov, Quantum groups and quantum cohomology, arXiv:1211.1287.
- [21] Y. Ruan, Cohomology ring of crepant resolutions of orbifolds, in *Gromov-Witten theory of spin curves and orbifolds*, 117–126, *Contem. Math.* 403, Amer. Math. Soc., 2006, math.AG/0108195.
- [22] H.-H. Tseng, Orbifold Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre, *Geom. Topol.* 14 (2010) 1–81.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, 405 SNOW HALL 1460 JAYHAWK BLVD,
LAWRENCE, KS 66045, USA

E-mail address: y.jiang@ku.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 100 MATH TOWER, 231 WEST 18TH
AVE., COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA

E-mail address: hhtseng@math.ohio-state.edu