

Dissipation in noisy chemical networks: The role of deficiency

M. Polettini,^{*} A. Wachtel,[†] and M. Esposito[‡]

*Complex Systems and Statistical Mechanics, Physics and Materials Science Research Unit,
University of Luxembourg, 162a avenue de la Faiencerie, L-1511 Luxembourg (G. D. Luxembourg)*

We study the effect of intrinsic noise on the thermodynamic balance of complex chemical networks subtending cellular metabolism and gene regulation. A topological network property called *deficiency*, known to determine the possibility of complex behavior such as multistability and oscillations, is shown to also characterize the entropic balance. In particular, only when deficiency is zero does the average stochastic dissipation rate equal that of the corresponding deterministic model, where correlations are disregarded. In fact, dissipation can be reduced by the effect of noise, as occurs in a simplified core model of metabolism that we employ to illustrate our findings.

INTRODUCTION

Today, advanced methods in genomics allow to resolve a large number of the biochemical reactions occurring within cells of complex organisms [1], from bacteria to *Homo sapiens* [2]. Genome-scale reconstructions of metabolism, respiration, gene regulation and signal transduction are coded in large chemical networks (CN), which are graphical repositories of thousands of pathways, metabolites, and their stoichiometry. Much like steam machines, all such processes operate thermodynamic cycles far from equilibrium that transform environmental resources into valuable products, at the expense of low free-enthalpy waste. Unlike the working substance in steam machines, some metabolites as well as enzymes might reach very low concentrations [3, 4]. At this level intrinsic noise, due to discreteness and randomness of molecular collisions, enters into play. Suppression of noise and control of correlations in the abundance of regulatory molecules is crucial for the correct functioning of metabolic networks [3, 5]. A stochastic description of dynamics and thermodynamics based on jump processes in molecules' populations is then required.

In this direction, the growing field of Stochastic Thermodynamics created the basis for a complete and consistent characterization of irreversibility in small nonequilibrium systems subject to fluctuations. Dissipation is quantified by the rate at which entropy is produced (EPR) and eventually delivered to the environment [6]. The theory has been applied to general reactions [7] and CNs [8, 9] such as those involved in gene regulation [10], cellular computation [11], copolymerization [12], kinetic proofreading [13], chemical switches [14] etc. On the other hand, there is a growing body of mathematical literature linking a CN's topology to its dynamics, and still bearing no thermodynamic interpretation. In particular, it has been understood that a topological number called *deficiency* subtends the onset of complex behavior such as multistability and oscillations [15–17], which are the mechanisms of chemical switches and clocks [18]. When intrinsic noise is important, a crucial result by Anderson, Craciun and Kurtz [19] relates the deficiency of the CN

to stationary statistical properties of the mixture.

In this paper we merge stochastic thermodynamics and deficiency theory, via the Anderson-Craciun-Kurtz theorem. We compare the behavior of an arbitrary CN subject to intrinsic noise and that of the corresponding deterministic model without noise, which follows a “mean-field” kinetics where correlations between species are neglected, yielding the rate equations usually employed in chemical kinetics. In the limit of large volumes the deterministic dynamics describes the mode, i.e. the most typical behavior of the system. The difference between the stochastic and the deterministic EPR in the two cases, here named *correlation EPR* (previously known as fluctuating EPR, today ambiguous), is known to vanish at steady states for linear CNs where only conformational changes of a molecule are allowed and reaction velocities are linear in the molecules' population [20].

The crucial result in this paper is to extend this observation to CNs with null deficiency at steady states, and to linear networks at all times. We rely on a formula for the stationary correlation EPR as the weighted difference between the mean and the mode of the reaction velocity v ,

$$\text{correlation EPR} = (\text{mean } v - \text{most probable } v) G, \quad (1)$$


where G is the free-enthalpy increase. Hence the correlation EPR might be taken as a measure of a system's “propensity to complexity”.

The plan of the paper is as follows. We will provide a simple definition of deficiency with the aid of a toy model of metabolism. More generally, under the assumption that the law of mass-action holds and that the mixture is well-stirred, i.e. diffusion occurs in time scales much faster than reactions, we will illustrate the dynamics and thermodynamics of CNs first in the stochastic and then in the deterministic setting, derive the above formula and comment on it. Our toy model will finally serve as a testing ground for our results. Incidentally, it displays a non-positive correlation EPR, somehow contrary to intuition that “large variability is likely to [...] increase metabolic burden” [5].

SETUP

From metabolism to deficiency

The deficiency of a CN is the number of “hidden” cycles. Let us make this more precise with a simple model inspired by metabolism. Emphasis is on the cycle structure, relevant to thermodynamics (see e.g. [21]). The model reads



where \emptyset signifies the “environment” as a whole. The first reaction introduces nutrients N . The second processes the nutrients with the aid of m tokens of energy E to produce more tokens of energy and waste W , and the third delivers waste and excess energy to the environment.


When all three reactions in the above network are performed a thermodynamic *cycle* is completed, restoring all concentrations in the system to their initial value. Correspondingly, the stoichiometric matrix

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 & -1 \\ 0 & +n & -n \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

admits $\mathbf{c} = (1, 1, 1)^T$ as a right-null vector, $\nabla \mathbf{c} = 0$ [9].

The crucial step to understand deficiency is to introduce a symbolic representation of the network in terms of *complexes*, which are aggregates of species appearing as either substrates or products in a reaction. In our case, the complexes are $Y_1 = \emptyset, Y_2 = N, Y_3 = N + mE, Y_4 = (m+n)E + W, Y_5 = W + nE$. We then obtain a representation of the CN as a graph by drawing each reaction as an edge connecting vertices given by the complexes.

For $m = 0$, we notice that $Y_2 = Y_3$ and $Y_4 = Y_5$ and that a representation of the above network in terms of complexes is a graph consisting of one cycle:




Its topology is fully described by its *incidence matrix* [21]

$$\partial = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & +1 \\ +1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

which admits one right null vector.

For $m > 0$ we obtain the representation



with incidence matrix

$$\partial = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & +1 \\ +1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

This graph has no cycles; in fact its incidence matrix admits no right-null vectors.

The *deficiency* δ of a chemical network is the number of independent closed reaction pathways that cannot be visualized as independent cycles in the graphical representation in terms of complexes, and thus in some sense are “hidden”. In our example when $m = 0$ then $\delta = 0$, otherwise the system is deficient, $\delta = 1$. Notice that null deficiency occurs when the autocatalytic mechanism of reaction 2 is not present.


The recipe to calculate the deficiency is: (i) write down the stoichiometric matrix ∇ of the network; (ii) write down the incidence matrix ∂ of the graph where the reactions are arrows and complexes of reactants distinct vertices of the graph; (iii) then the deficiency is

$$\delta = \dim \ker \nabla - \dim \ker \partial \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

where $\dim \ker$ calculates the dimension of the null space. The deficiency can be proven to be non-negative [21].

Notation

As customary in CN studies, we employ a rather compressed notation. Letting \mathbf{X} be the vector of chemical species, a CN is depicted by a set of stoichiometric equations



where vectors $\nu_{+\rho}$ and $\nu_{-\rho}$ contain, respectively, the numbers of particles of each species being consumed and produced by reaction ρ , and $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ is the scalar product. The vector of stoichiometric coefficients is defined as $\nabla_{\rho} := \nu_{\rho}^{-} - \nu_{\rho}^{+}$, and it describes the net increase of species’ numbers. We assume that all reactions are reversible, that is, $k_{\pm\rho} > 0$. In sums \sum_{ρ} , index ρ spans over reactions in both directions. Analytic operations between vectors are performed component-wise and imply the scalar product, e.g. $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{b}} := \prod_i a_i^{b_i}$, $\mathbf{a}! := \prod_i a_i!$, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \ln \mathbf{b} := \sum_i a_i \ln b_i$. Boltzmann’s constant k_B is set to unity.

Average stochastic EPR

The setup of Markovian population dynamics of chemical species is as follows. The number of molecules in

the reactor performs a jump process on the discrete lattice $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{X}_0}$ of populations that, starting from the initial state \mathbf{X}_0 , are reachable by a finite number of reactions¹. According to the law of mass-action, transition $\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbf{X} + \nabla_{\rho}$ is performed at rate

$$v_{\rho}(\mathbf{X}) = k_{\rho} \frac{\mathbf{X}!}{(\mathbf{X} - \nu_{\rho})!}. \quad (10)$$

The probability (or *ensemble*) $p_t(\mathbf{X})$ that \mathbf{X} molecules are present in the reactor at time t obeys the Chemical Master Equation $\dot{p}_t = Lp_t$ with generator

$$Lp_t = - \sum_{\rho} \left[v_{+\rho}(\mathbf{X})p_t(\mathbf{X}) - v_{-\rho}(\mathbf{X} + \nabla_{\rho})p_t(\mathbf{X} + \nabla_{\rho}) \right]. \quad (11)$$

Multiplying by, and summing over \mathbf{X} , one obtains for the mean populations

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathbf{X} \rangle_t = - \sum_{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} \langle v_{\rho}(\mathbf{X}) \rangle_t \quad (12)$$

where the average $\langle \cdot \rangle_t$ is taken with respect to $p_t(\mathbf{X})$. The equation is not closed, as it involves higher moments on the right-hand side.

For finite $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{X}_0}$, it can be proven that any ensemble supported on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{X}_0}$ evolves towards a unique steady ensemble p_{∞} such that $Lp_{\infty} = 0$. We assume that for unbounded $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{X}_0}$ conditions are met by which at all times $p_t(\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \infty)$ decays fast enough (e.g. exponentially) so that no probability leak to infinity occurs, and a steady ensemble exists.

In this framework, the average EPR characterizing the CN's dissipation is defined as [22]

$$\sigma_t := \sum_{\rho} \left\langle v_{\rho}(\mathbf{X}) \ln \frac{v_{+\rho}(\mathbf{X})p_t(\mathbf{X})}{v_{-\rho}(\mathbf{X} + \nabla_{\rho})p_t(\mathbf{X} + \nabla_{\rho})} \right\rangle_t \geq 0 \quad (13)$$

It can easily be proven that the EPR is non-negative, embodying the second law of thermodynamics. The logarithmic term measures the thermodynamic cost of reaction ρ for a given \mathbf{X} , and it quantifies the degree by which detailed balance is broken.

Deterministic EPR

The corresponding deterministic model is obtained by neglecting correlations and higher cumulants, i.e. by

replacing $\langle \mathbf{X}^{\nu_{\rho}} \rangle_t \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_t^{\nu_{\rho}}$ and approximating $v_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \approx k_{\rho} \mathbf{x}^{\nu_{\rho}}$. Then Eq. (12) yields the rate equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_t}{dt} = - \sum_{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} v_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}_t) \quad (14)$$

Notice that \mathbf{x} cannot be interpreted as the mean, as for bistable systems the mean might be far from both stable fixed points. Rather, in a scaling limit with the system size, random jump processes can be shown to typically behave deterministically, as rigorously detailed in Ref. [23].

Again, we are interested in stationary behavior. By *steady state* we mean a locally stable fixed point \mathbf{x}_{∞} at which the right-hand side of Eq. (14) vanishes. Importantly, while the Chemical Master Equation admits one unique steady ensemble, the corresponding deterministic dynamics might admit none or several locally stable fixed points and more complicated phenomenology such as limit cycles, or fractal attractors [24]. Deterministic multistability corresponds to the steady ensemble being multimodal [14].

In this setting, the deterministic EPR is defined as

$$\bar{\sigma}_t := \sum_{\rho} v_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}_t) \ln \frac{v_{+\rho}(\mathbf{x}_t)}{v_{-\rho}(\mathbf{x}_t)} \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

The connection to free-energy differences and other thermodynamic potentials in a nonequilibrium setting is detailed in Ref. [9].

RESULTS

Theoretical

First, we re-work the above expressions for the deterministic and stochastic EPRs to make them closer one to another. Introducing the *thermodynamic forces*

$$G_{\rho} := \ln \frac{k_{+\rho}}{k_{-\rho}}, \quad (16)$$

that measure the kinetic imbalance of reactions, with a few manipulations we can bring the deterministic EPR to

$$\bar{\sigma}_t = \sum_{\rho} v_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}_t) G_{\rho} - \ln \mathbf{x}_t \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{x}_t}{dt}. \quad (17)$$

As regards its stochastic counterpart, plugging the mass-action rates, Eq. (10), into Eq. (13) and using the adjoint identity $\sum_{\rho} \langle v_{\rho} g \rangle_t = - \sum_{\mathbf{X}} g(\mathbf{X}) Lp_t(\mathbf{X})$, holding for any function g , we obtain

$$\sigma_t = \sum_{\rho} \langle v_{\rho} \rangle_t G_{\rho} - \sum_{\mathbf{X}} \ln [p_t(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{X}!] Lp_t(\mathbf{X}). \quad (18)$$

¹ That is, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{X}_0} := \{\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_0 + \nabla \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^R, \mathbf{X} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$, sometimes called the *stoichiometric class* compatible with \mathbf{X}_0 .

This is the first main result in our paper. Its most remarkable feature is that in the first term, describing the entropy flow to the environment, only the “macroscopic” average reaction velocity appears, and that “microscopic” dependencies on \mathbf{X} are within the second term, which describes the system’s entropy change. At the trajectory level, this grants the validity of so-called Fluctuation Theorem [25], hence σ_t is a proper notion of EPR. Furthermore, notice that the thermodynamic force G_ρ is the same in the stochastic and in the deterministic setting.

Second, we define the correlation EPR as $\delta\sigma_t := \sigma_t - \bar{\sigma}_t$ and notice that, in the steady regime, it can be expressed as a weighted difference between the average and the deterministic reaction velocity, as was anticipated in Eq. (1). Explicitly, we obtain a formula for the steady correlation EPR as a weighted sum of population moments:

$$\delta\sigma_\infty = \sum_\rho \left[\langle v_\rho \rangle_\infty - v_\rho(\mathbf{x}_\infty) \right] G_\rho \quad (19)$$

$$= \sum_\rho G_\rho k_\rho \left(\langle \mathbf{X} \dots (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_\rho) \rangle_\infty - \mathbf{x}_\infty^{\boldsymbol{\nu}_\rho} \right). \quad (20)$$

Third, we evaluate the stochastic EPR when the system is in a product-form Poisson² ensemble with parameter \mathbf{x}_t ,

$$\text{Pois}_{\mathbf{x}_t}(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{Z_{\mathbf{X}_0}} \frac{\mathbf{x}_t^{\mathbf{X}}}{\mathbf{X}!}, \quad (21)$$

with $Z_{\mathbf{X}_0}$ the normalization factor over $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{X}_0}$. In this case it can be shown with few manipulations that $\langle v_\rho \rangle_{\text{Pois}_{\mathbf{x}_t}} = v_\rho(\mathbf{x}_t)$, and consequently

$$\sigma_{\text{Pois}_{\mathbf{x}_t}} - \bar{\sigma}_t = \sum_\rho \ln \mathbf{x}_t \cdot \left[\frac{d\mathbf{x}_t}{dt} - \nabla_\rho v_\rho(\mathbf{x}_t) \right]. \quad (22)$$

Notice that this expression vanishes either at $t \rightarrow \infty$ if the system admits a steady product-form Poissonian, and at all times if the system admits a product-form Poissonian with time-dependent parameter solving the deterministic rate equations.

Fourth, we investigate under which conditions such hypothesis are met. The combined theorems by Feinberg [15] and by Anderson, Craciun and Kurtz [19] show that if the network has null deficiency, $\delta = 0$ (and is weakly reversible), then the deterministic system has a unique steady state \mathbf{x}_∞ and the stochastic system admits a product-form Poissonian with parameter \mathbf{x}_∞ (analogous




FIG. 1: At volume $\Omega = 10^{-21} N_A \approx 602$, deterministic and stochastic time course of nutrients and energies for the case $m = 3$ with deficiency $\delta = 1$ (on the left), and $m = 0$ with deficiency $\delta = 0$ (on the right). The deficient case displays sustained oscillations in the stochastic dynamics, which are damped in the deterministic dynamics.

to quantum coherent states [26]). Hence the steady correlation EPR vanishes for zero-deficiency networks. Furthermore, it is known that in linear networks where no more than one molecule is consumed or produced at a time (i.e. $\sum_i \nu_{\rho,i} = 0, 1$), provided the system is prepared in a product-form Poissonian, it maintains such form at all times, with its parameter subjected to the corresponding rate equations [27]. Hence for linear chemical networks prepared in a product-form Poissonian ensemble, the correlation EPR vanishes at all times. These results generalize in two directions those by Mou et al. [20], who observed that the correlation EPR vanishes at steady states in linear networks.

Numerical

We will now illustrate our findings with the aid of the above class of toy models. In fact we will further simplify the scenario by eliminating the waste W , which does not play any substantial kinetic role.

Let Ω be a scaling parameter regulating the system’s size and let $x = N/\Omega$ be the concentration of N and $y = E/\Omega$ that of E . A convenient choice of parameters is $k_\rho = K_\pm \Omega^{1 - \sum_i \nu_{i\rho}}$, where K_\pm are independent of the reaction, in their respective units (which depend on ρ). Then for given Ω all models turn out to have the same steady state concentrations and steady EPR, making them easily comparable. Concentrations obey the system of rate equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= K_+ - K_- x - K_+ x y^m + K_- y^{n+m} \\ \dot{y} &= n (K_+ x y^m - K_- y^{n+m} + K_- - K_+ y^n). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

A fixed point is found at $x_\infty = y_\infty = 1$, for all values of

² Notice that, because the range of summation is the lattice $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{X}_0}$ and not $\mathbb{Z}^{|\mathbf{X}|}$, $|\mathbf{X}|$ being the number of species, a “product form Poissonian” is Poissonian in form but not in fact.




FIG. 2: For volume $\Omega = 10^{-23} N_A = 6.02$, color-plots of the histograms of the steady ensemble, for $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. Zebra lines (present, but not displayed for $m > 1$) indicate that the stochastic dynamics preserves the parity of the energy molecules. Owing to the outer smudge, the deficient models $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$ clearly have a non-product form distribution.

m, n . Its stability depends on m, n, K_+, K_- . The deterministic EPR at the fixed point is given by

$$\bar{\sigma}_\infty = 3\Omega(K_+ - K_-) \ln \frac{K_+}{K_-} \quad (24)$$

(notice that parameter Ω cancels within the logarithms) and again it is independent of m, n .

We will consider the cases $n = 2$, for values $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, $m = 0$ being the zero-deficiency case, all others having $\delta = 1$. Notice that for $m = 2$ one could see this as a minimal model of glycolysis, employing 2 ATP's to produce 4. We take $K_+ = 10, K_- = 1$, which signifies that the system is very far from a detailed balanced thermodynamic equilibrium. We start from an empty reactor, $x_0 = y_0 = 0$. For these values the above fixed point is stable for all $m < 4$. For $m = 0$ the dynamics converges uniformly to the steady state, as shown in the r.h.s. of Fig. 1. A more interesting behavior appears for higher m : for $m = 3$ the deterministic system displays damped oscillations towards the steady state (as shown by the innermost smoother lines in the l.h.s. of Fig. 1), while for $m = 4$ the fixed point is unstable and the system displays stationary oscillations.

As regards the stochastic setting, so far our framework was that of ensemble thermodynamics, describing a large sample of processes at a given time. From now on we consider one given process in a large time. Indeed, Stochastic Thermodynamics has two complementary formulations: one along ensembles, and one along individual




FIG. 3: For the zero-deficiency case $m = 0$, volume $\Omega = 6.02$, histograms for the probability of the number of nutrient and energy molecules. Predicted values from the product-form Poissonian perfectly agree, according to the theorem by Anderson, Craciun and Kurtz.

processes [6]. The two frameworks are compatible, since the ergodic principle ensures that long-time averages almost surely (a.s.) equal sample averages at the steady state. In particular it can be proven that for the reaction velocity

$$\langle v_\rho \rangle_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \#_t(\rho), \quad a.s. \quad (25)$$

where $\#_t(\rho)$ is the number of times reaction ρ has been performed along the stochastic trajectory up to time t .

The steady ensemble can be obtained by a similar procedure. Let us then illustrate the Anderson-Craciun-Kurtz theorem. In Fig. 2 we provide color-plots of the joint steady ensemble $p_\infty(N, E)$. For $m = 0$, the color plot renders the distribution's product-form. Zebra-lines are due to the fact that the stochastic dynamics preserves the parity of the number of energy tokens, hence starting from $x_0 = y_0 = 0$ only even numbers of energy molecules can be populated. In this zero-deficiency case, Fig. 3 compares the histograms of the marginals for the energy and the nutrient, showing that they perfectly agree with the prediction from the product-form Poissonian. The same zebra-structure occurs for higher $m > 0$, but for sake of better visualization we drew pixels twice the width, covering the whole area. The smudge in the color plots in Fig. 2 for $m > 0$ reveals that the steady ensemble does not have product form.

Finally, in Fig. 4 we plot the average stochastic EPR as a function of volume Ω . The perfect overlap between the deterministic EPR (upper line) and the dots corresponding to the $m = 0$ case confirms our result that for deficiency-zero systems the correlation EPR vanishes. For $m > 0$ this particular class of models has negative




FIG. 4: Average EPR as a function of volume Ω , in log-log scale. The upper straight line represents the deterministic value, Eq. (24). The dots on top of it are the values of the corresponding stochastic zero-deficiency system, $m = 0$. Models $m \geq 1$ with deficiency $\delta = 1$ have lower EPR than the deterministic model. The relative difference between stochastic and deterministic values decreases with volume.

correlation EPR, and the effect vanishes at large system sizes where fluctuations become negligible. Also, notice that for the $m = 4$ case the correlation EPR vanishes at large volumes despite the fact that y_∞, x_∞ is not a stable fixed point of the system, rather the system oscillates around it.

DISCUSSION

Understanding thermodynamic constraints on the regulation of metabolic networks is a crucial problem in CN reconstruction [28, 29]. In this work we displayed a close connection between the topological notion of deficiency of a CN and nonequilibrium thermodynamics, proving that at steady states only in zero-deficiency CNs the EPR evaluated by the mean-field deterministic theory coincides with that of the corresponding stochastic model, accounting for stochastic variability in molecules' number at low concentrations. For deficient CNs a nonvanishing correlation EPR quantifies the disagreement between deterministic and stochastic modeling.

We illustrated our results with a simplified model of metabolism. This model is not realistic; still, its inbuilt deficient cycle could be seen as the core structure of any metabolic model. The network should be enriched by resolving individual metabolites with nutrients and waste, adding intermediate reactants such as cofactors and enzymes, resolving the environment and outer thermodynamic cycles, separating time-scales and resorting to ef-

fective rate laws when applicable. All these operations will in general maintain the core cycle and hence the deficient character of the network. Hence, although not rigorously, it can be argued that, because of its autocatalytic character, metabolism is deficient.

While it could have been expected that fluctuations would worsen dissipation, already our simple model displays the opposite behavior. This can be explained as follows. Notice that for $m = 3$ in Fig. 1 the stochastic dynamics has amplified oscillations, such as those characterized in Ref. [30], yielding a purely stochastic mechanism for biochemical oscillations. Such oscillations are forcedly stabilized in the deterministic setting, hence the stochastic model is more flexible and capable of exploring modes that the deterministic system abandons. Lower EPR then occurs when such modes are entropically convenient.

A more detailed study of the conditions for and phenomenology of positive vs. negative correlation EPR is demanded to future inquiry. Immediate perspectives also include the study of non-well-stirred mixtures, where reaction-diffusion processes allow for pattern formation, and of systems with separation of time scales and effective enzymatic reactions. On the computational side, the more demanding stochastic techniques can be blended with deterministic algorithms to provide efficient tools for the systematic computation of the entropic balance of a CN, e.g. in softwares like COPASI [31]. More work has to be done to delineate future application of deficiency theory and stochastic thermodynamics to realistic metabolic networks.

Materials and methods. We employed the CN simulation software COPASI [31] to simulate the Chemical Master Equation via Gillespie's algorithm, and the LSODA algorithm implemented in the scientific python stack (SciPy) [32] to solve deterministic rate equations.

Histograms in Fig. 3 and Fig. 2 were sampled from stochastic trajectories for random-time change Markov jump processes spanning over 10^5 s with a time resolution of 10^{-1} s, for a total of 10^6 binned particle number pairs, while the stochastic time-courses in Fig. 1 employ trajectories of 5 s with a resolution of 10^{-5} s. Each value for the average stochastic EPR in Fig. 4 was calculated along single simulations of 10^5 s. Notice that Gillespie's algorithm keeps track of all reaction events, hence the final result for the stochastic average EPR is independent of time resolution.

The deterministic transients used the same time span and resolution as the stochastic ones. The deterministic EPR was calculated via equation 24 and *not* from the simulation data. Thus it is only valid at the fixed point, which for $m = 4$ becomes repelling.

Acknowledgments. The research was supported by the National Research Fund Luxembourg in the frame of project FNR/A11/02, of the AFR Postdoc Grant 5856127 and of the AFR Ph.D. Grant 7865466.

- * Electronic address: matteo.poletti@uni.lu
 † Electronic address: artur.wachtel@uni.lu
 ‡ Electronic address: massimilano.esposito@uni.lu
- [1] G.J.E. Baart and D.E. Martens, *Genome-scale metabolic models: reconstruction and analysis*, in *Neisseria meningitidis* (Humana Press, 2012), pp. 107–126.
 - [2] I. Thiele, N. Swainston, R.M. Fleming, A. Hoppe, S. Sahoo, M.K. Aurich, H. Haraldsdottir, M.L. Mo, O. Rolfsson, M.D. Stobbe, S.G. Thorleifsson, R. Agren, C. Bölling, S. Bordel, A.K. Chavali, P. Dobson, W.B. Dunn, L. Endler, D. Hala, M. Hucka, D. Hull, D. Jameson, N. Jamshidi, J.J. Jonsson, N. Juty, S. Keating, I. Nookaew, N. Le Novère, N. Malys, A. Mazein, J.A. Papin, N.D. Price, E.S. Selkov, M.I. Sigurdsson, E. Simeonidis, N. Sonnenschein, K. Smallbone, A. Sorokin, J.H. van Beek, D. Weichart, I. Goryanin, J. Nielsen, H.V. Westerhoff, D.B. Kell, P. Mendes, B.Ø. Palsson, *A community-driven global reconstruction of human metabolism*, *Nat Biotechnol.*, **31** (2013), pp. 419–425.
 - [3] E. Levine and T. Hwa, *Stochastic fluctuations in metabolic pathways*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **104** (2007), pp. 9224–9229.
 - [4] D.J. Kiviet, P. Nghe, N. Walker, S. Boulineau, V. Sunderlikova, and S.J. Tans, *Stochasticity of metabolism and growth at the single-cell level*, *Nature*, **514** (2014), pp. 376–379.
 - [5] I. Lestas, J. Paulsson, N.E. Ross, and G. Vinnicombe, *Noise in gene regulatory networks*, *IEEE*, **53** (2008), pp. 189–200.
 - [6] C. Van den Broeck and M. Esposito, *Ensemble and trajectory thermodynamics: A brief introduction*, *Physica A*, **418** (2014), pp. 6–16.
 - [7] J. Ross, *Thermodynamics and Fluctuations far from Equilibrium*, (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2008).
 - [8] T. Schmiedl and U. Seifert, *Stochastic thermodynamics of chemical reaction networks*, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **126** (2007), pp. 044101–044112.
 - [9] M. Poletti and M. Esposito, *Irreversible thermodynamics of open chemical networks I: Emergent cycles and broken conservation laws*, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **141** (2014), pp. 024117–024134.
 - [10] A. Ghosh, *Non-equilibrium dynamics of stochastic gene regulation*, *J. Biol. Phys.*, **41** (2015), pp. 49–58.
 - [11] P. Mehta and D.J. Schwab, *Energetic costs of cellular computation*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **109** (2012), pp. 17978–17982.
 - [12] D. Andrieux and P. Gaspard, *Nonequilibrium generation of information in copolymerization processes*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **105** (2008), pp. 9516–9521.
 - [13] R. Rao and L. Peliti, *Thermodynamics of accuracy in kinetic proofreading: Dissipation and efficiency trade-offs*, *J. Stat. Mech.* P06001 (2015).
 - [14] P. Gaspard, *Fluctuation theorem for nonequilibrium reactions*, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **120** (2004), pp. 8898–8905.
 - [15] M. Feinberg, *Chemical reaction network structure and the stability of complex isothermal reactors-I. The deficiency zero and deficiency one theorems*, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **42** (1987), pp. 2229–2268.
 - [16] G. Craciun, Y. Tang, and M. Feinberg, *Understanding bistability in complex enzyme-driven reaction networks*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **103** (2006), pp. 8697–8702.
 - [17] D.F. Anderson, G. Craciun, M. Gopalkrishnan, C. Wiuf, *Lyapunov functions, stationary distributions, and non-equilibrium potential for chemical reaction networks*, arXiv:1410.4820.
 - [18] J.J. Tyson, R. Albert, A. Goldbeter, P. Ruoff, and J. Sible, *Biological switches and clocks*, *J. R. Soc. Interface*, **5** (2008), pp. S1–S8.
 - [19] D.F. Anderson, G. Craciun, and T. Kurtz, *Product-form stationary distributions for deficiency zero chemical reaction networks*, *B. Math. Biol.* **72** (2010), pp. 1947–1970.
 - [20] C.Y. Mou, J.-L. Luo and G. Nicolis, *Stochastic thermodynamics of nonequilibrium steady states in chemical reaction systems*, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **84** (1986), pp. 7011–7017.
 - [21] A. van der Schaft, S. Rao, and B. Jayawardhana, *On the mathematical structure of balanced chemical reaction networks governed by mass action kinetics*, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **73** (2013), pp. 953–973.
 - [22] J. Schnakenberg, *Network theory of microscopic and macroscopic behavior of master equation systems*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48** (1976); pp. 571–585.
 - [23] D.F. Anderson and T.G. Kurtz, *Stochastic Analysis of Biochemical Systems* (Springer, 2015).
 - [24] O.E. Röessler, *Chaos and Strange Attractors in Chemical Kinetics*, Synergetics (Springer, Berlin, 1979), pp. 107–113.
 - [25] M. Poletti and M. Esposito, *Transient fluctuation theorem for the currents and initial equilibrium ensembles*, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2014), P10033.
 - [26] J.C. Baez, *Quantum Techniques for Reaction Networks*, arXiv:1306.3451
 - [27] W.J. Heuett and H. Qian, *Grand canonical Markov model: A stochastic theory for open nonequilibrium biochemical networks*, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **124** (2006), pp. 044110–044119.
 - [28] D. A. Beard, S.-D. Liang, and H. Qian, *Energy balance for analysis of complex metabolic networks*, *Biophys. J.*, **83** (2002), pp. 79–86.
 - [29] C.S. Henry, L.J. Broadbelt, and V. Hatzimanikatis, *Thermodynamics-Based Metabolic Flux Analysis*, *Biophys. J.*, **92** (2007), 1792–1805.
 - [30] A.J. McKane, J.D. Nagy, and M.O. Stefanini, *Amplified biochemical oscillations in cellular systems*, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **128** (2007), pp. 165–191.
 - [31] S. Hoops, S. Sahle, R. Gauges, C. Lee, J. Pahle, N. Simus, M. Singhal, L. Xu, P. Mendes and U. Kummer, *CO-PASI: a COmplex Pathway Simulator*, *Bioinformatics*, **22** (2006), pp. 3067–74.
 - [32] K.J. Millman, M. Aivazis, *Python for Scientists and Engineers*, *Computing in Science & Engineering*, **13** (2011), pp. 9–12.