

CHARACTERIZING CONGRUENCE PRESERVING FUNCTIONS
 $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ VIA RATIONAL POLYNOMIALS

Patrick CÉGIELSKI¹

LACL, EA 4219, Université Paris-Est Créteil, France
 cegielski@u-pec.fr

Serge GRIGORIEFF¹

LIAFA, CNRS and Université Paris-Diderot, France
 seg@liafa.univ-paris-diderot.fr

Irène GUESSARIAN^{1 2}

LIAFA, CNRS and Université Paris-Diderot, France
 ig@liafa.univ-paris-diderot.fr

Abstract

We introduce a basis of rational polynomial-like functions P_0, \dots, P_{n-1} for the free module of functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. We then characterize the subfamily of congruence preserving functions as the set of linear combinations of the functions $lcm(k) P_k$ where $lcm(k)$ is the least common multiple of $2, \dots, k$ (viewed in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$). As a consequence, when $n \geq m$, the number of such functions is independent of n .

1. Introduction

The notion of congruence preserving function $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ was introduced in Chen [3] and studied in Bhargava [1].

Definition 1.1. *Let $m, n \geq 1$. A function $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is said to be congruence preserving if for all d dividing m*

$$\forall a, b \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \quad a \equiv b \pmod{d} \implies f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{d} \quad (1)$$

Remark 1.2. 1. If $n \in \{1, 2\}$ or $m = 1$ then every function $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is trivially congruence preserving.

2. Observe that since d is assumed to divide m , equivalence modulo d is a congruence on $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, +, \times)$. However, since d is not supposed to divide n , equivalence modulo d may not be a congruence on $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +, \times)$.

¹Partially supported by TARMAC ANR agreement 12 BS02 007 01.

²Emeritus at UPMC Université Paris 6. Corresponding author

Example 1.3. 1. For functions $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, condition (1) reduces to the conditions $f(3) \equiv f(0) \pmod{3}$, $f(4) \equiv f(1) \pmod{3}$, $f(5) \equiv f(2) \pmod{3}$.
2. For functions $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$, condition (1) reduces to $f(2) \equiv f(0) \pmod{2}$, $f(3) \equiv f(1) \pmod{2}$, $f(4) \equiv f(0) \pmod{4}$, $f(5) \equiv f(1) \pmod{4}$.

A formal polynomial $F(X) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})[X]$ has no canonical interpretation as a function $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ when m does not divide n : indeed $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ does not imply $F(a) \equiv F(b) \pmod{m}$.

According to Chen [3, 4] a function $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is said to be *polynomial* if there exists some polynomial $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that, for all $a \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $f(a) \equiv F(a) \pmod{m}$. Chen also shows that there can be congruence preserving functions which are not polynomial. Using counting arguments, Bhargava [1] characterizes the ordered pairs (n, m) such that every congruence preserving function $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is polynomial.

In Section 2 we introduce a notion of rational polynomial function $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ based on polynomials with rational coefficients which map integers to integers. We observe that the free $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -module of functions $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ admits a basis of such rational polynomials P_0, \dots, P_{n-1} where P_k has degree k . Indeed, every function $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is rational polynomial of degree at most $n-1$.

In Section 3 we prove the main theorem of this paper: congruence preserving functions $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ are the $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of the functions $lcm(k)P_k$ where $lcm(k)$ is the least common multiple of $2, \dots, k$ (viewed in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$). The proof adapts the techniques of our paper [2], exploiting similarities between Definition 1.1 and the condition studied in [2] for functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (namely, $x-y$ divides $f(x)-f(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$).

In Section 4 we get a by-product of our characterization: every congruence preserving function $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is rational polynomial for a polynomial of degree less than the minimum between n and $\mu(m)$ (the largest prime power dividing m). We also use our main theorem to count the congruence preserving functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. We thus get an expression equivalent to that obtained by Bhargava in [1] and which makes apparent the fact that, for $n \geq \mu(m)$ (hence for $n \geq m$), this number depends only on m and is independent of n .

2. Representing functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ by rational polynomials

Some polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ (i.e. polynomials with rational coefficients) happen to map \mathbb{N} into \mathbb{N} , i.e. they take integer values for all arguments in \mathbb{N} .

Definition 2.1. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_k \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ be the following polynomial:

$$P_k(x) = \binom{x}{k} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x-i)}{k!}.$$

The P_k are also called binomial polynomials. We will use in later examples
 $P_0(x) = 1$, $P_1(x) = x$, $P_2(x) = x(x-1)/2$, $P_3(x) = x(x-1)(x-2)/6$,
 $P_4(x) = x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)/24$, $P_5(x) = x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)/120$.

In 1915, Pólya [5] used the P_k to give the following very elegant and elementary characterization of polynomials which take integer values on the integers.

Theorem 2.2 (Pólya). *A polynomial is integer-valued on \mathbb{Z} iff it can be written as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of the polynomials P_k .*

It turns out that the representation of functions $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the P_k 's used in [2] also fits in the case of functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$: every such function is a $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ -linear combination of the P_k 's.

Definition 2.3. *A function $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is rat-polynomial if there exists a polynomial with rational coefficients $R \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $\forall a \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $f(a) \equiv R(a) \pmod{m}$. The degree of f is the smallest among the degrees of such polynomials R .*

We denote by $P_k^{n,m}$ the rat-polynomial function $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ associated with the polynomial P_k of Definition 2.1. When there is no ambiguity, i.e. when n, m are fixed, $P_k^{n,m}$ will be denoted simply as P_k .

Remark 2.4. In Definition 2.3, the polynomial R depends on the choice of representatives of elements of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$: e.g. for $n = m = 6$, $0 \equiv 6 \pmod{6}$ but $0 = P_2(0) \not\equiv P_2(6) = 3 \pmod{6}$. The chosen representatives for elements of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ will always be $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$.

We now prove the representation result by the P_k 's.

Theorem 2.5. *For any function $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique sequence a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} of elements in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k \quad \text{with} \quad P_k(x) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x-i)}{k!} = \binom{x}{k} \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let us begin by unicity. We have $f(0) = a_0$ hence $a_0 = f(0)$. We have $f(1) = a_0 + a_1$, hence $a_1 = f(1) - f(0)$. By induction, and noting that $P_k(k) = 1$, we have $f(k) = Q(k) + a_k \cdot P_k(k) = Q(k) + a_k$, hence we are able determine a_k .

For existence, argue backwards to see that this sequence suits. \square

Remark 2.6. The evaluation order of $a_k P_k(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is defined as follows: for x an element of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, we consider it as an element of $\{0, \dots, n-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and we evaluate $P_k(x) = \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x-i)$ as an element of \mathbb{N} , then we consider the remainder modulo m , and finally we multiply the result by a_k in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. For instance, for $n = m = 8$, $4 P_2(3) = 4 \cdot \frac{3 \times 2}{2} = 4.3 = 4$, but we might be tempted to evaluate it as $4 P_2(3) = \frac{4 \times 3 \times 2}{2} = \frac{0}{2} = 0$, which does **not** correspond to our definition. However, dividing a_k by a factor of the denominator is allowed.

Corollary 2.7. (1) Every function $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is rat-polynomial with degree less than n .

(2) The family of rat-polynomial functions $(P_k)_{k=0,\dots,n-1}$ is a basis of the $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ -module of functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.8. The function $f : \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$0 \mapsto 0 \quad 1 \mapsto 3 \quad 2 \mapsto 4 \quad 3 \mapsto 3 \quad 4 \mapsto 0 \quad 5 \mapsto 1$$

is represented by the rational polynomial $P_f(x) = 3x + 4\frac{x(x-1)}{2}$ which can be simplified into $P_f(x) = 3x - x(x-1)$ on $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.9. The function $f : \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ given by Chen [3] as a non polynomial congruence preserving function, namely the function defined by $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 3$, $f(2) = 4$, $f(3) = 1$, $f(4) = 4$, $f(5) = 7$, is represented by the rational polynomial with coefficients $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 3$, $a_2 = 6$, $a_3 = 2$, $a_4 = 4$, $a_5 = 4$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= 3x + 6\frac{x(x-1)}{2} + 2\frac{x(x-1)(x-2)}{2} + 4\frac{x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)}{8} \\ &\quad + 4\frac{x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)}{8} \\ &= 3x + 3x(x-1) + x(x-1)(x-2) + \frac{x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

3. Characterizing congruence preserving functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

Congruence preserving functions $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ can be characterized by a simple condition on the coefficients of the rat-polynomial representation of f given in Theorem 2.5.

3.1. Main theorem

As in [2] we need the unary least common multiple.

Definition 3.1. For $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $\text{lcm}(k)$ is the least common multiple of all positive integers less than or equal to k . By convention, $\text{lcm}(0) = 1$.

Theorem 3.2. Consider a function $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, $n, m \geq 1$, and let $f = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P_k$ be its representation given by equation (2) of Theorem 2.5. Then f is congruence preserving if and only if $\text{lcm}(k)$, considered in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, divides a_k for all $k = 0, \dots, n-1$.

For proving Theorem 3.2 we will need some relations involving binomial coefficients and the unary lcm function; these relations are stated in the next three lemmata (proven in [2]).

Lemma 3.3. *If $0 \leq n - k < p \leq n < m$ then p divides $\text{lcm}(k) \binom{n}{k}$.*

Lemma 3.4. *If $n, k, b \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ and $k \leq b$ then n divides $A_{k,b}^n = \text{lcm}(k) \left(\binom{b+n}{k} - \binom{b}{k} \right)$.*

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.4 (set $a = b + n$).

Lemma 3.5. *If $m > a \geq b$ then $a - b$ divides $\text{lcm}(k) \left(\binom{a}{k} - \binom{b}{k} \right)$ for all $k \leq b$.*

Besides these lemmata, we shall use a classical result in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 1$ and c be their least common multiple. If a_1, \dots, a_k all divide x in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ then so does c .*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $k = 2$ since the passage to any k is done via a straightforward induction. Let $c = a_1 b_1 = a_2 b_2$ with b_1, b_2 coprime. Let t, u be such that $x = a_1 t = a_2 u$ in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Then $x \equiv a_1 t \equiv a_2 u \pmod{m}$. Using Bézout identity, let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\alpha b_1 + \beta b_2 = 1$. Then

$$c(\alpha t + \beta u) = a_1 b_1 \alpha t + a_2 b_2 \beta u \stackrel{\text{mod } k}{\equiv} x \alpha b_1 + x \beta b_2 = x$$

hence $c(\alpha t + \beta u) = x$, proving that c divides x in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. “Only if” part. Assume $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is congruence preserving and consider its decomposition $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k P(x)$ given by Theorem 2.5. We show that $\text{lcm}(k)$ divides a_k for all $k < n$.

Claim 1. *For all $m > k \geq 1$, k divides a_k .*

Proof. By induction on k . Recall that $f(k) = a_i \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{k}{i} = a_i \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i}$ by noting that $\binom{k}{i} = 0$ for $i > k$.

Induction Basis: The case $k = 1$ is trivial. For $k = 2$, if 2 does not divide m then 2 is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, hence 2 divides a_2 . Otherwise, observe that, as 2 divides $2 - 0$, and f is congruence preserving, 2 divides $f(2) - f(0) = 2a_1 + a_2$ hence 2 divides a_2 .

Induction: assuming that ℓ divides a_ℓ for every $\ell \leq k$, we prove that $k + 1$ divides a_{k+1} . Assume first that $k + 1$ divides m , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(k+1) - f(0) &= (k+1)a_1 + \left(\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{k+1}{i} a_i \right) + a_{k+1} \\ &= (k+1)a_1 + \left(\sum_{i=2}^k (k+1) \frac{a_i}{i} \binom{k}{i-1} \right) + a_{k+1} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\frac{a_i}{i}$ is an integer for $i \leq k$. Since f is congruence preserving, $k+1$ divides $f(k+1) - f(0)$ hence $k+1$ divides the last term a_{k+1} of the sum.

Assume now that $k+1$ does not divide m , then $k+1 = a \times b$ with b dividing m and a coprime with m . Hence a is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and, by the congruence preservation property of f , b divides $f(k+1) - f(0)$; as b divides $k+1$, equation (3) implies that b divides a_{k+1} , and $a \times b$ also divides a_{k+1} (by invertibility of a and Lemma 3.6). \square

Claim 2. For all $1 \leq p \leq k$, p divides a_k . Thus, $\text{lcm}(k)$ divides a_k .

Proof. The last assertion of Claim 2 is a direct application of Lemma 3.6 to the first assertion which we now prove. The case $p = 1$ is trivial. We prove the $p \geq 2$ by induction on p .

• *Basic case $p = 2$: 2 divides a_k for all $k \geq 2$.* If 2 does not divide m , then 2 is invertible and divides all numbers in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$; assume that 2 divides m . We argue by induction on $k \geq 2$.

- *Basic case (of the basic case).* Apply Claim 1: 2 divides a_2 .

- *Induction step (of the basic case).* Assuming that 2 divides a_i for all $2 \leq i \leq k$ we prove that 2 divides a_{k+1} . Two cases can occur.

Subcase 1: $k+1$ is odd. Then, k is even, 2 divides k and, by congruence preservation, 2 divides $f(k+1) - f(1)$. We have

$$f(k+1) - f(1) = ka_1 + \left(\sum_{i=2}^k a_i \binom{k+1}{i} \right) + a_{k+1},$$

2 divides the a_i for $2 \leq i \leq k$ by the induction hypothesis, 2 also divides k , hence, 2 divides a_{k+1} .

Subcase 2: $k+1$ is even. Then 2 divides $f(k+1) - f(0)$. Now,

$$f(k+1) - f(0) = (k+1)a_1 + \left(\sum_{i=2}^k a_i \binom{k+1}{i} \right) + a_{k+1},$$

$k+1$ is even and 2 divides the a_i for $2 \leq i \leq k$ by the induction hypothesis, thus, 2 divides a_{k+1} .

• *Induction step: $p \geq 2$ and $p+1 < n$. Assume that*

$$\text{for all } q \leq p, q \text{ divides } a_\ell \text{ for all } \ell \text{ such that } q \leq \ell < n \quad (4)$$

and prove that $p+1$ divides a_k for all k such that $p+1 \leq k < n$. Again, we use induction on $k \geq p+1$ and we assume that k divides m in order to use congruence preservation. When k does not divide m we factorize $k = a \times b$ with b dividing m and a coprime with m and a similar proof will show that b divides a_k and k divides a_k (cf. the proof of Induction in Claim 1).

- *Basic case (of the induction step) $k = p+1$.* Follows from Claim 1: $p+1$ divides a_{p+1} .

- *Induction step (of the induction step).* Assuming that $p+1$ divides a_i for all i such that $p+1 \leq i \leq k$, we prove that $p+1$ divides a_{k+1} . As $p+1$ divides $k+1 - (k-p)$

and f is congruence preserving, $p+1$ divides $f(k+1) - f(k-p)$ which is given by

$$f(k+1) - f(k-p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-p} a_i \left(\binom{k+1}{i} - \binom{k-p}{i} \right) + \left(\sum_{i=k+1-p}^k a_i \binom{k+1}{i} \right) + a_{k+1} \quad (5)$$

First look at the terms of the first sum corresponding to $1 \leq i \leq p$. The induction hypothesis (4) on p insures that q divides a_k for all $q \leq p$ and $k \geq q$. In particular, letting $k = i$ and using Lemma 3.6, we see that $lcm(i)$ divides a_i in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Since $(k+1) - (k-p) = p+1$, Lemma 3.4 insures that $p+1$ divides $lcm(i) \left(\binom{k+1}{i} - \binom{k-p}{i} \right)$.

A fortiori, $p+1$ divides $a_i \left(\binom{k+1}{i} - \binom{k-p}{i} \right)$.

Now turn to the terms of the first sum corresponding to $p+1 \leq i \leq k-p$ (if there are any). The induction hypothesis (on k) insures that $p+1$ divides a_i for all $p+1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus, each term of the first sum is divisible by $p+1$.

Consider now the terms of the second sum. By the induction hypothesis (on k), $p+1$ divides a_i for all $p+1 \leq i \leq k$. It remains to look at the terms associated with the i 's such that $k+1-p \leq i \leq p$ (there are such i 's in case $k+1-p < p+1$). For such i 's we have $0 \leq (k+1) - i \leq (k+1) - p < p+1 \leq k+1$ and Lemma 3.3 (used with $k+1, i$ and $p+1$ in place of n, k and p) insures that $p+1$ divides $lcm(i) \binom{k+1}{i}$. Now, for such i 's, the induction hypothesis (4) on p insures that $lcm(i)$ divides a_i . Thus, $p+1$ divides each $a_i \binom{k+1}{i}$.

Since $p+1$ divides the k first terms of the right-hand side of (5) and also divides the left-hand side, it must divide the last term a_{k+1} of the right-hand side. This finishes the proof of the inductive step of the inductive step hence also the proof of Claim 2, and of the ‘‘only if’’ part of the Theorem. \square

‘‘If’’ part of Theorem 3.2 Assume all the a_k s in equation (2) are divisible by $lcm(k)$ and prove that f is congruence preserving, i.e. that, for all $a, b \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, if $a - b$ divides m then $a - b$ divides $f(a) - f(b)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

If all the a_k s in equation (2) are divisible by $lcm(k)$ then f can be written in the form $f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k lcm(k) \binom{n}{k}$. Consequently,

$$f(a) - f(b) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^b b_k lcm(k) \left(\binom{a}{k} - \binom{b}{k} \right) \right) + \sum_{k=b+1}^a b_k lcm(k) \binom{a}{k}$$

By Lemma 3.5, $a - b$ divides each term of the first sum.

Consider the terms of the second sum. For $b+1 \leq k \leq a$, we have $0 \leq a - k < a - b \leq a$ and Lemma 3.3 (used with a, k and $a - b$ in place of n, k and p) insures that $a - b$ divides $lcm(k) \binom{a}{k}$. Hence, $a - b$ divides each term of the second sum. \square

3.2. On a family of generators

We now sharpen the degree of the rat-polynomial representing a congruence preserving function $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. We need first some properties of the lcm function and a definition.

Lemma 3.7. *In $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ we have $lcm(k) = u \times \prod p_i^{\alpha_i^k}$ with u invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, p_i prime and dividing m , and $\alpha_i^k = \max\{\beta_i | p_i^{\beta_i} \leq k\}$.*

Definition 3.8. *For $m \geq 1$, with prime factorization $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_\ell^{\alpha_\ell}$, let $\mu(m) = \max_{i=1, \dots, \ell} p_i^{\alpha_i}$ be the largest power of prime dividing m .*

Example 3.9. In $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ the element $lcm(k)$ is null for k large enough.

In $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	$\mu(8) = 8$
	$lcm(k) \cong$	1	2	2	4	4	4	4	0	

In $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$	k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	$\mu(12) = 4$
	$lcm(k) \cong$	1	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Lemma 3.10. *In $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, $\mu(m)$ is the least integer such that $lcm(k)$ is null and $\forall k \geq \mu(m)$, $lcm(k)$ is null.*

Remark 3.11. (1) Either $\mu(m) = m$ or $\mu(m) \leq m/2$.
(2) In general, $\mu(m)$ is greater than $\mu'(m)$, the least k such that m divides $k!$ considered in [3]: for $m = 8$, $\mu(m) = 8$ whilst $\mu'(m) = 4$.

Using Lemma 3.10, we can get a better version of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.12. *Function $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is congruence preserving iff it can be represented by a rational polynomial $P = \sum_{k=0}^p a_k \binom{x}{k}$ with degree $p < \min(n, \mu(m))$ and such that $lcm(k)$ divides a_k for all $k \leq p$.*

Proof. For $k \geq \mu(m)$, m divides $lcm(k)$ hence the coefficient a_k is 0. □

Theorem 3.13. (1) *Every congruence preserving function $f: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is rat-polynomial with degree less than $\mu(m)$.*

(2) *The family of rat-polynomial functions $\mathcal{F} = \{lcm(k)(P_k) | 0 \leq k < \min(n, \mu(m))\}$ generates the set of congruence preserving functions.*

(3) *\mathcal{F} is a basis of the set of congruence preserving functions if and only if m has no prime divisor $p < \min(n, m)$ (in case $n \geq m$ this means that m is prime).*

Proof. (1) and (2) are restatements of Theorem 3.12. We prove (3).

“Only If” part. Assuming m has a prime divisor $p < \min(n, m)$, let p be the least one. Then \mathcal{F} is not linearly independent. In $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, $lcm(p) \neq 0$ hence $lcm(p)P_p$ is not the null function since $P_p(p) = 1$. However $(m/p)lcm(p) = 0$ hence $(m/p)lcm(p)P_p$ is the null function. As $(m/p) \neq 0$, we see that \mathcal{F} cannot be a basis.

“If” part. Assume that m has no prime divisor $p < \min(n, m)$. We prove that \mathcal{F} is $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly independent. Suppose that the $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination $L =$

$\sum_{k=0}^{\min(n, \mu(m))-1} a_k \text{lcm}(k) P_k$ is the null function $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. By induction on $k = 0, \dots, \min(n, \mu(m)) - 1$ we prove that $a_k = 0$.

• *Basic cases* $k = 0, 1$. Since $L(0) = a_0$ we get $a_0 = 0$. Since $L(1) = a_0 + a_1 \cdot 1$ we get $a_1 = 0$.

• *Induction step.* Assuming that $k \geq 2$ and $a_i = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, we prove that $a_k = 0$. Note that $P_\ell(k) = \binom{k}{\ell}$ for $k < \ell < n$. Since $a_i = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, and $P_k(k) = 1$ we get $L(k) = a_k \text{lcm}(k)$. Since $k < \min(n, \mu(m))$ and m has no prime divisor $p < \min(n, m)$, the numbers $\text{lcm}(k)$ and m are coprime hence $\text{lcm}(k)$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and equality $L(k) = a_k \text{lcm}(k) = 0$ implies $a_k = 0$. \square

4. Counting congruence preserving functions

We are now interested in the number of congruence preserving functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. As two different rational polynomials correspond to different functions by Theorem 2.5 (unicity of the representation by a rational polynomial), the number of congruence preserving functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to the number of polynomials representing them.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $CP(n, m)$ be the number of congruence preserving functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. For $m = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_\ell^{e_\ell}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} CP(n, m) &= p_1^{p_1+p_1^2+\dots+p_1^{e_1}} \times \dots \times p_\ell^{p_\ell+p_\ell^2+\dots+p_\ell^{e_\ell}} \text{ if } n \geq \mu(m) \\ CP(n, m) &= \prod_{\{i|p_i^{e_i} < \mu(m)\}} p_i^{p_i+p_i^2+\dots+p_i^{e_i}} \times \prod_{\{i|p_i^{e_i} \geq \mu(m)\}} p_i^{p_i+p_i^2+\dots+p_i^{\lfloor \log_p n \rfloor + n(e - \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor)}} \\ &\text{if } n < \mu(m) \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, using an à la Vinogradov's notation for better readability and writing $E(p, \alpha)$ in place of p^α we have

$$\begin{aligned} CP(n, m) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} E(p_i, \sum_{k=1}^{e_i} p_i^k) \text{ if } n \geq \mu(m) \\ CP(n, m) &= \prod_{\{i|p_i^{e_i} < \mu(m)\}} E(p_i, \sum_{k=1}^{e_i} p_i^k) \times \prod_{\{i|p_i^{e_i} \geq \mu(m)\}} E(p_i, \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \log_p n \rfloor} p_i^k + n(e - \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor)) \\ &\text{if } n < \mu(m) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.2. *For $n \geq \mu(m)$, $CP(n, m)$ does not depend on n .*

Proof of Proposition 4.1. By Theorem 3.12, we must count the number of n -tuples of coefficients (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) , with a_k a multiple of $\text{lcm}(k)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Claim 1. *For $m = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_\ell^{e_\ell}$, for all n , $CP(n, m) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} CP(n, p_i^{e_i})$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda(m, k)$ be the number of multiples of $\text{lcm}(k)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. order of the subgroup generated by $\text{lcm}(k)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^{i=\ell} \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\lambda(m, k) = \prod_{i=1}^{i=\ell} \lambda(p_i^{e_i}, k)$ for each k . Thus, the number of n -tuples (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) such that $\text{lcm}(k)$ divides a_k is equal to

$$\prod_{k < n} \lambda(m, k) = \prod_{k < n} \prod_{i=1}^{i=\ell} \lambda(p_i^{e_i}, k) = \prod_{i=1}^{i=\ell} \prod_{k < n} \lambda(p_i^{e_i}, k)$$

The trick in the proof is the permutation of the two products; hence the Claim by using Theorem 3.2. \square

Claim 1 reduces the problem to counting the congruence preserving functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}$. We will now use Proposition 3.12 for this counting.

Claim 2.

$$CP(n, p^e) = \begin{cases} p^{p+p^2+\dots+p^e} & \text{if } n \geq p^e \\ p^{p+p^2+\dots+p^l+(e-l)n} & \text{if } p^l \leq n < p^e \text{ with } l = \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.12, as $\mu(p^e) = p^e$, letting $\nu = \inf(n, p^e)$, $CP(n, p^e) = CP(\nu, p^e) = \prod_{k < \nu} \lambda(p^e, k)$. For $p^j \leq k < p^{j+1}$ the order $\lambda(p^e, k)$ of the subgroup generated by $\text{lcm}(k)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$ is p^{e-j} and there are $p^{j+1} - p^j$ such k 's.

• Assume first $n \geq p^e$, then $CP(n, p^e) = CP(p^e, p^e) = p^M$ with

$$\begin{aligned} M &= ep + (e-1)(p^2 - p) + \dots + (e-j)(p^{j+1} - p^j) + \dots + p^e - p^{e-1} \\ &= ep + \sum_{j=1}^{e-1} (e-j)(p^{j+1} - p^j) = p + p^2 + \dots + p^e \end{aligned}$$

• Assume then $p^l \leq n < p^e$, with $l = \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor$; then $CP(n, p^e) = p^M$ with

$$\begin{aligned} M &= ep + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (e-j)(p^{j+1} - p^j) + (e-l)(n - p^l) \\ &= p + p^2 + \dots + p^l + n(e-l). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.1. \square

Remark 4.3. In [1] the number of congruence preserving functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$ is shown to be equal to $p^{en - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \min\{e, \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor\}}$. Note that for $p^i \leq k < p^{i+1}$, $\lfloor \log_p k \rfloor = i$, hence: for $k \leq p^e$, $\min\{e, \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor\} = \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor$ and for $k \geq p^e$, $\min\{e, \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor\} = e$. We thus have

• if $n \geq p^e$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \min\{e, \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor\} &= \sum_{k=1}^{p^e-1} \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor + \sum_{k=p^e}^{n-1} e \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} j \times (p^{j+1} - p^j) + e \times (n - p^e) \\ &= 0 + (p^2 - p) + 2(p^3 - p^2) + \dots + \\ &\quad + (e-1)(p^e - p^{e-1}) + e(n - p^e) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hence} \quad en - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \min\{e, \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor\} &= p + \cdots + p^e \\ \text{and} \quad p^{en - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \min\{e, \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor\}} &= p^{p+p^2+\cdots+p^e} \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with our counting in Claim 2.

- if $n < p^e$, and $l = \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor &= \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor + \sum_{k=l}^{n-1} \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} j \times (p^{j+1} - p^j) + l \times (n - p^l) \\ &= 0 + (p^2 - p) + 2(p^3 - p^2) + \cdots + (l-1)(p^l - p^{l-1}) \\ &\quad + l(n - p^e) \\ &= -(p + \cdots + p^l) + nl \end{aligned}$$

and $en - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor = p + \cdots + p^l + (e-l)n$, which again coincides with our counting in Claim 2.

5. Conclusion

We proved that the rational polynomials $lcm(k) P_k$ generate the $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ -submodule of congruence preserving functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. When n is larger than the largest prime power dividing m , the number of functions in this submodule is independent of n . An open problem is the existence of a basis of this submodule.

References

- [1] M. BHARGAVA, *Congruence preservation and polynomial functions from \mathbb{Z}_n to \mathbb{Z}_m* , Discrete Mathematics 173 (1997), p. 15 – 21.
- [2] P. CÉGIELSKI, S. GRIGORIEFF, I. GUESSARIAN, *Newton expansion of functions over natural integers having integral difference ratios*, To be published in Int. J. Number Theory. Preliminary version on arXiv, 2013.
- [3] Z. CHEN, *On polynomial functions from \mathbb{Z}_n to \mathbb{Z}_m* , Discrete Math. 137 (1995), p. 137–145.
- [4] Z. CHEN, *On polynomial functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ to \mathbb{Z}_m* , Discrete Math. 162 (1996), p. 67–76.
- [5] G. PÓLYA, *Über ganzzwertige ganze Funktionen*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 40 (1915), p. 1–16.