

# Storage of orbital angular momenta of light via coherent population oscillation

A. J. F. de Almeida<sup>1</sup>, S. Barreiro<sup>1,†</sup>, W. S. Martins<sup>1</sup>, R. A. de Oliveira<sup>1,‡</sup>, D. Felinto<sup>1</sup>, L. Pruvost<sup>2</sup>,  
and J. W. R. Tabosa<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50670-901 Recife, PE, Brazil*

<sup>2</sup>*Laboratoire Aimé Cotton, CNRS, Université Paris Sud, ENS Cachan, 91405 Orsay, France*

\*Corresponding author: tabosa@df.ufpe.br

Compiled October 4, 2018

We report on the storage of Orbital Angular Momentum (OAM) of light via the phenomenon of Coherent Population Oscillation (CPO) in cold cesium atoms. The experiment is performed using a delayed four wave mixing configuration where the transverse optical information of a probe field carrying OAM associated with its azimuthal phase dependence is stored in the CPO of Zeeman sublevels of the hyperfine transition  $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2$  of cesium  $D_2$  line. We also demonstrate experimentally the simultaneous storage and retrieval of different OAM states propagating along different directions in space, leading to algebraic operations with OAM and therefore opening the possibility of multiplexing OAM states. © 2018 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: 270.1670, 190.4223, 020.1670

An optical memory, i.e., the possibility of storing information carried by a light beam into an atomic medium for later on demand retrieval, is in the heart of any classical or quantum protocol for processing and manipulating light information [1,2]. Many optical memories are based on the reversible transfer of coherence between light and matter achieved through different physical phenomena such as Electromagnetically Induced Transparency (EIT) [3,4], Gradient Echo Memories (GEM) [5,6], and Atomic Frequency Comb (AFC) [7], which use long-lived ground-state coherence to store the optical information.

Recently, a new type of optical memory based on the CPO phenomenon [8–10] has been suggested [11] and then demonstrated [12,13], using intense light fields. The probe absorption spectrum of a driven-two-level atomic system presents CPO resonances around the zero beating frequency, which are associated with the dynamics of atomic level populations. Not based on ground-state coherence, as an EIT-based memory, the CPO memory uses the long relaxation time of the ground state of an open two-level system to store information carried by a light field. In the demonstrated CPO memory, the population oscillation occurs into two open two-level systems coupled by spontaneous emission, where each open two-level system is excited simultaneously by the different field polarization components. Unlike the EIT memory, the insensibility to magnetic field inhomogeneities makes the CPO-based memory ideal to store spatial information and finds new insights for storing and manipulating orbital angular momentum (OAM) of light.

Light modes with topological charge, Laguerre-Gauss (LG) modes for example, have a spatial dependence through an azimuthal phase given by  $e^{i\ell\phi}$  where  $\ell$  is an integer, usually called the topological charge. They carry a quantized OAM  $\ell\hbar$  per photon [14] and are usable for information processing based on the multidimensional state space spanned by these modes [15]. Op-

tical storage of OAM has been demonstrated previously, both in the classical [16,17] and in the quantum single-photon [18–20] regimes employing the EIT based memories. Recently the storage and retrieval of photonic qubits carrying OAM was also demonstrated [21].

In this Letter we demonstrate the storage and retrieval of OAM of light using the CPO mechanism in an ensemble of cold cesium atoms. This result is the first demonstration of storage and retrieval of the transverse spatial-phase structure of an optical field using this new type of memory. Moreover, we demonstrate the co-storage of two OAM states carried by two optical beams and the retrieval of the sum of the associated topological charges.

The experiment has been performed in cold cesium atoms provided by a magneto-optical trap (MOT) in which two incident beams  $W, W'$  write the information (storage) and another one  $R$  reads it (retrieval) according to the backward four-wave mixing (FWM) geometry shown in Fig. 1 (a). The retrieved information is delivered by the diffracted beam denoted by  $D$ . The optical memory uses the degenerate two-level system associated with the cesium hyperfine transition  $6S_{1/2}(F = 3) \leftrightarrow 6P_{3/2}(F' = 2)$ , as shown in Fig. 1(b). To prepare the atoms in the lower hyperfine ground state  $F = 3$ , we switch off the MOT repumping beam 1  $ms$  before switching off the MOT trapping beams. The atomic cloud optical depth is of the order of 4. All the laser beams involved in the experiment are provided by two amplified extended-cavity diode lasers, and are time and frequency controlled using acousto-optics modulators (AOM) in double passage configuration. The MOT magnetic quadrupole field is also turned off during the storing and reading procedures.

In a first experiment, we turn on simultaneously the writing  $W, W'$  and the reading  $R$  beams. All the incident beams have approximately the same diameter of the order of 1.2  $mm$  which is smaller than the trapped cloud

size ( $\approx 2$  mm). The intensities of the beams W, W' and R are respectively equal to  $10$  mW/cm<sup>2</sup>,  $15$  mW/cm<sup>2</sup> and  $5$  mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. The writing beams W and W' make a small angle of  $\theta \approx 2^\circ$  between them. The reading beam R counter-propagates W, so the diffracted conjugate beam, D, counter-propagates W', as imposed by phase matching condition. D is detected by a fast photodiode. A dc longitudinal magnetic field of  $B \approx 3.7$  G is applied along the direction of propagation of the beams, which defines the quantization axis and Zeeman shifts consecutive ground sublevels by  $\approx 1.3$  MHz. To get the spectra shown in Fig.1(c), the W laser is locked to the  $F = 3 \leftrightarrow F' = 2$  transition, while W' and R are frequency-scanned through the frequency detuning  $\delta$  defined in Fig. 1(b). To satisfy the CPO configuration, W and W' are set linearly polarized, with orthogonal polarization, denoted by  $lin \perp lin$ . The FWM spectrum (black line of Fig. 1(c)) exhibits a narrow CPO resonance at  $\delta = 0$  and two weaker and broader ones located at  $\delta \approx \pm 2.5$  MHz corresponding to EIT resonances. In order to check and compare, the FWM spectrum under the same experimental conditions, but with orthogonal circularly polarized writing beams has also been recorded. As shown by the red curve in Fig 1(c), which is ten-fold magnified, just one single peak is present around the two-photon Raman resonance (EIT) and no CPO resonance at  $\delta = 0$  is observed in such case. The FWM reflectivity for the CPO and EIT peaks are of the order of 0.3% and 0.01%, respectively.

In a second experiment, we have checked the robustness of the CPO memory against magnetic field inhomogeneities by performing a light storage measurement using the time sequence of Fig. 2 (a) where the R beam is switched on with a delay  $t_s$  after the switching off of W, W' beams and in presence of a magnetic field gradient. For that, we keep a reduced MOT quadrupole magnetic field in order to create a magnetic gradient of  $\approx 0.2$  G/cm during the storage/retrieval sequence. To distinguish CPO from EIT we use the polarization configurations and the dc longitudinal magnetic field at a detuning  $\delta = 0$ . For the CPO memory we use the  $lin \perp lin$  configuration in the presence of the applied longitudinal dc magnetic field, while for the EIT memory the dc magnetic field is turned off and the polarizations of W, W' beams are made orthogonal circularly polarized. The retrieved pulse is then observed for different storage times  $t_s$ . The retrieved pulse amplitude as a function of the storage time  $t_s$  is shown in Fig. 2 (b) for the CPO and EIT memories and gives a storage decay time, respectively, equal to  $9.2$   $\mu$ s and  $5.1$   $\mu$ s. In the inset in Fig.2 (b) we show a typical retrieved pulse for the CPO memory. The estimated storage efficiency (at  $t_s \approx 1\mu$ s) for the CPO and EIT memories, evaluated by the ratio between the retrieved pulse peak intensity and the W' intensity, are 1% and 2%, respectively.

It is worth noticing that in the absence of the magnetic field gradient the two memories present the same storage decay time. In any case, the storage decay time




Fig. 1. (Color online) (a): Experimental scheme for the observation of CPO/EIT FWM in cold cesium atoms. (b) Degenerate hyperfine two-level transition of cesium  $D_2$  line involved in the interaction with W, W' and R beams. (c) CPO/EIT FWM spectral responses recorded on the diffracted D beam. Black curve: FWM spectrum for orthogonal linear polarization of the writing beams; the peak at  $\delta = 0$  is associated with the CPO resonance, while the lateral ones are associated with EIT resonances. Red curve: FWM spectrum ( $\times 10$ ) for orthogonal circular polarizations of the writing beams, exhibiting only one peak associated with EIT resonance.

of the CPO memory is, however, much shorter than the expected decay time of  $120$   $\mu$ s given by the transit time of the atoms through the  $\Lambda = \frac{\lambda}{2\sin(\theta/2)} \approx 25$   $\mu$ m spatial structure created by W, W' beams. We attribute this discrepancy to the existence of spurious transversal magnetic field components which can induce transitions between the Zeeman sublevels, therefore decreasing the effective ground state lifetime of the Zeeman sublevels.

In a third experiment, the beams W, W' have been phase-shaped into LG modes carrying OAM with the respective topological charges  $\ell_W$  and  $\ell_{W'}$  in order to analyze the CPO memory for OAM beams (Fig. 3 (a)). So, W and W' are frequency-locked on the  $F = 3 \leftrightarrow F' = 2$  transition ( $\delta = 0$ ) in a  $lin \perp lin$  polarization configuration. The shaping uses the holographic method where an helical phase is imprinted on a Gaussian beam. The hologram is provided by a Spatial Light Modulators (SLM) as described in a previous paper [23] except that we use now two identical SLMs. The diameter of the LG ring has been adjusted to be slightly smaller than the MOT size. In order to check the topological charge of the W and W' writing beams or to measure the charge of the retrieved D beam, we used the tilted lens method described in [22]. According to this method, the self-interference pattern of a LG beam with topological charge  $\ell$ , observed after the astigmatic optics, exhibits  $|\ell| + 1$  bright fringes




Fig. 2. (Color online) (a) Time sequence for the writing and reading beams. (b) Decay of the retrieved pulse amplitude for CPO (blue squares) and EIT (red circles) memories in the presence of a magnetic field gradient of  $\approx 0.2 \text{ G/cm}$ . The solid lines correspond to the respective gaussian fittings. The inset shows a typical retrieved pulse for the CPO memory.

turned by an angle whose sign is the sign of  $\ell$ . The left column of Fig. 3 (b) shows the self-interference pattern for  $W'$  with the charge  $\ell_{W'} = -2, -1, 0, +1, +2$ . According to FWM phase-matching, for  $\ell_W = 0$ , the diffracted beam D acquires the topological charge  $\ell_{out} = \ell_{W'}$ , that is illustrated by the right column of Fig. 3(b).

In order to understand these patterns, we note that in the non saturating regime the third-order nonlinear term of the optical polarization, responsible for the generation of the retrieved field, propagating in opposite direction to the beam  $W'$ , has an amplitude given by:

$$\mathcal{E}_D \propto \chi^{(3)} \mathcal{E}_W \mathcal{E}_{W'}^* \mathcal{E}_R, \quad (1)$$

where,  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , for  $i=W, W', R$  are the amplitude of the incident fields, and  $\chi^{(3)}$  the effective third-order susceptibility of the nonlinear medium. The phase matching implies both the wavevector conservation and the azimuthal phase conservation. The writing beams  $W, W'$  are LG modes with an azimuthal phase given by  $\ell_W \phi$  and  $\ell_{W'} \phi$ , respectively and  $R$  is a gaussian mode. Thus, for the considered FWM geometry, the azimuthal phase of  $\mathcal{E}_D$  is  $(\ell_W - \ell_{W'}) \phi$ . However, due to wavevector conservation, the D beam counter-propagates  $W'$  and thus its topological charge defined relatively to its propagation direction is  $\ell_{out} = \ell_{W'} - \ell_W$ . Fig. 3 (b) illustrates this conservation law.

In Fig. 3 (c) we have examined the off-axis OAM retrieval of the CPO memory, by imposing  $\ell_{W'} = 0$  and  $\ell_W = -2, -1, 0, +1, +2$ . We verify that  $\ell_{out} = -\ell_W$ , as it is expected for small OAM values and small angles be-




Fig. 3. (Color online) (a): Experimental scheme for the writing and reading process with OAM carrying writing beams. (b) and (c) Topological charges of the input writing and retrieved beams recorded under CPO conditions ( $\delta = 0$ ) after a storage time of  $1 \mu\text{s}$  for  $\ell_{W'} = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$  and  $\ell_W = 0$  and for  $\ell_W = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ , and  $\ell_{W'} = 0$ , respectively. At the left up corner of each image we indicate the detected topological charge, either for the input and for the output beams.

tween  $W$  and  $W'$  [23]. In both cases, on-axis and off-axis retrievals, we have observed that the CPO storage decay time does not depend on the OAM values of the writing beam. It is well known that the fork pattern associated with beams of  $W$  and  $W'$  present a small region, near the center of the LG beam, where the fringes spacing changes as  $\approx \lambda/(\ell + 1)\theta$ . With  $\ell = 2$ , the fringes spacing is reduced by a factor 3, reducing the transit time of the atom in this structure by the same factor, so changing the previous expected time to  $40 \mu\text{s}$ . This value still rests long compared to the observed CPO storage time. Larger OAM values could, however, affect the storage time.

In another series of measurements we have also performed more complex operations by putting OAM in both writing beams. The results are shown in Fig. 4 for a subset of values of the topological charge, and clearly demonstrates that one can store two OAM values using the CPO-based memory and then retrieve the sum of them. So, for example, starting from OAMs values  $\ell_W = -2$  and  $\ell_{W'} = 2$  we have generated  $\ell_{out} = 4$ . The diagonal of Fig. 4 illustrate the case of  $\ell_W = \ell_{W'}$ , retrieving a FWM output  $\ell_{out} = 0$ . The non perfect reconstruction of




Fig. 4. (Color online) Retrieved topological charge for different combinations of non null values of  $\ell_W, \ell_{W'}$ . The value of the measured topological charge,  $\ell_{out}$  is indicated at the left up corner of each frame.

the topological charge, specifically for the  $\ell_{out} = 0$  case, come from the zero field value at the LG center inducing no FWM at this region. These results, however, are consistent with the conservation law of OAM within the field modes in this delayed FWM process where photons are absorbed from the W and R modes and emitted into the W' and D modes.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the storage and retrieval of OAM of light through a CPO based memory in cold cesium atoms. A time delayed FWM configuration was employed and the retrieved OAM was shown to be governed by the conservation law of OAM into the incident field modes. We have demonstrated that this memory can be used to perform logical operations involving the stored information encoded in OAM. Our results can be used, for example, to implement a CNOT gate, [24], with memory, using the CPO mechanism. Finally, one may think to extend the CPO memory to the single-photon level, where the single-photon information is transferred to the external degree of freedom of an atom (momentum) and indistinguishably distributed among all the atoms in the ensemble.

We acknowledge D. Bloch for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the Brazilian agencies CNPq and FACEPE. We also thank CAPES-COFECUB (Ph 740-12) for the support of Brazil-France cooperation.

*Permanent addresses*

(†) *Instituto de Física, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de la República, J. Herrera y Reissig 565, 11300 Montevideo, Uruguay*

(‡) *Unidade Acadêmica do Cabo de Santo Agostinho,*

*Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, BR 101 SUL, Km 97 - S/N, Cabo de Santo Agostinho, PE, Brazil.*

## References

1. M. D. Lukin, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 457 (2003).
2. A. I. Lvovsky, B. C. Sanders, and W. Tittel, *Nature Photonics* **3** (12), 706 (2009).
3. C. Liu, Z. Dutton, C. H. Behroozi, and L. V. Hau, *Nature* **409**, 490 (2001).
4. D. F. Phillips, A. Fleischhauer, A. Mair, R. L. Walsworth, and M. D. Lukin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 783 (2001).
5. M. Hosseini, B. Sparkes, G. Hetet, J. J. Longdell, P. K. Lam, and B. C. Buchler, *Nature* **461**, 241 (2009).
6. D. B. Higginbottom, B. M. Sparkes, M. Rancic, O. Pinel, M. Hosseini, P. K. Lam, and B. C. Buchler, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 023801 (2012).
7. M. Afzelius, C. Simon, H. de Riedmatten, and N. Gisin, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 052329 (2009).
8. R. W. Boyd, M. G. Raymer, P. Narum, and D. J. Harter, *Phys. Rev. A* **24**, 411 (1981).
9. P. R. Berman, D. G. Steel G. Khitrova, and J. Liu, *Phys. Rev. A* **38**, 252 (1988).
10. T. Lauprêtre, S. Kumar, P. Berger, R. Faoro, R. Ghosh, F. Bretenaker, and F. Goldfarb, *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 051805(R) (2012).
11. A. Eilam, I. Azuri, A. V. Sharypov, and A. D. Wilson-Gordon, and H. Friedmann *Opt. Lett.* **35**, 772 (2010).
12. A. J. F. de Almeida, J. Sales, M.-A. Maynard, T. Lauprêtre, F. Bretenaker, D. Felinto, F. Goldfarb, and J. W. R. Tabosa, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 043803 (2014).
13. M.-A. Maynard, F. Bretenaker, and F. Goldfarb, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 061801(R) (2014).
14. L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, J. P. Woerdman, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 8185 (1992); J. P. Torres and L. Torner, (Wiley-VCH, 2011).
15. H. Bechmann-Pasquucci, and A. Peres, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3313 (2000).
16. R. Pugatch, M. Shuker, O. Firstenberg, A. Ron, and N. Davidson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 203601 (2007).
17. D. Moretti, D. Felinto, and J. W. R. Tabosa, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 023825, (2009).
18. L. Veissier, A. Nicolas, L. Giner, D. Maxein, A. S. Sheremet, E. Giacobino, and J. Laurat, *Opt. Lett.* **38**, 712 (2013).
19. D.-S. Ding, Z.-Y. Zhou, B.-S. Shi, and G.-C. Guo, *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2527 (2013).
20. D.-S. Ding, W. Zhang, Z.-Y. Zhou, S. Shi, J.-S. Pan, G.-Y. Xiang, X.-S. Wang, Y.-K. Jiang, B.-S. Shi, and G.-C. Guo, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 042301 (2014).
21. A. Nicolas, L. Veissier, L. Giner, E. Giacobino, D. Maxein, and J. Laurat, *Nat. Photonics* **8**, 234-238 (2014).
22. Pravin Vaity, J. Banerji, and R. P. Singh, *Phys. Lett. A* **377**, 1154 (2013).
23. R. A. de Oliveira, L. Pruvost, P. S. Barbosa, W. S. Martins, S. Barreiro, D. Felinto, D. Bloch, and J. W. R. Tabosa, *Appl. Phys. B* **117**, 1123 (2014)
24. M. Cao, Y. Yu, L. Zhang, F. Ye, Y. Wang, D. Wei, P. Zhang, W. Guo, S. Zhang, H. Gao, and F. Li, *Opt. Express* **22**, 20177 (2014).

References

1. M. D. Lukin, "Colloquium: Trapping and manipulating photon states in atomic ensembles," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 457 (2003).
2. Alexander I. Lvovsky, Barry C. Sanders, and Wolfgang Tittel, "Optical quantum memory," *Nature Photonics* **3** (12), 706 (2009).
3. C. Liu, Z. Dutton, C. H. Behroozi, and L. V. Hau, "Observation of coherent optical information storage in an atomic medium using halted light pulses," *Nature* **409**, 490 (2001).
4. D. F. Phillips, A. Fleischhauer, A. Mair, R. L. Walsworth, and M. D. Lukin, "Storage of Light in Atomic Vapor," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 783 (2001).
5. M. Hosseini, B. Sparkes, G. Hetet, J. J. Longdell, P. K. Lam, B. C. Buchler, "Coherent optical pulse sequencer for quantum applications," *Nature* **461**, 241 (2009).
6. D. B. Higginbottom, B. M. Sparkes, M. Rancic, O. Pinel, M. Hosseini, P. K. Lam, and B. C. Buchler, "Spatial-mode storage in a gradient-echo memory," *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 023801 (2012).
7. M. Afzelius, C. Simon, H. de Riedmatten, and N. Gisin, "Multimode quantum memory based on atomic frequency combs," *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 052329 (2009).
8. R. W. Boyd, M. G. Raymer, P. Narum, and D. J. Harter, "Four-wave parametric interactions in a strongly driven two-level system," *Phys. Rev. A* **24**, 411 (1981).
9. P. R. Berman, D. G. Steel, G. Khitrova, and J. Liu, "Effects of radiative decay in four-wave-mixing spectroscopy: Narrow resonances produced by nonconservation of population, alignment, and orientation," *Phys. Rev. A* **38**, 252 (1988).
10. T. Lauprêtre, S. Kumar, P. Berger, R. Faoro, R. Ghosh, F. Bretenaker, and F. Goldfarb, "Ultrannarrow resonance due to coherent population oscillations in a  $\Lambda$ -type atomic system," *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 051805(R) (2012).
11. A. Eilam, I. Azuri, A. V. Sharypov, and A. D. Wilson-Gordon, and H. Friedmann "Spatial optical memory based on coherent population oscillations," *Opt. Lett.* **35**, 772 (2010).
12. A. J. F. de Almeida, J. Sales, M.-A. Maynard, T. Lauprêtre, F. Bretenaker, D. Felinto, F. Goldfarb, and J. W. R. Tabosa, "Light storage via coherent population oscillation in a thermal cesium vapor," *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 043803 (2014).
13. M.-A. Maynard, F. Bretenaker, and F. Goldfarb, "Light storage in a room-temperature atomic vapor based on coherent population oscillations," *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 061801(R) (2014).
14. L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, J. P. Woerdman, "Orbital angular momentum of light and the transformation of Laguerre-Gaussian laser modes," *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 8185 (1992); Juan P. Torres and Lluís Torner, *Twisted Photons: Application of Light with Orbital Angular Momentum* (Wiley-VCH, 2011).
15. H. Bechmann-Pasquinucci, A. Peres, "Quantum Cryptography with 3-State Systems," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3313 (2000).
16. R. Pugatch, M. Shuker, O. Firstenberg, A. Ron, and N. Davidson, "Topological Stability of Stored Optical Vortices," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 203601 (2007).
17. D. Moretti, D. Felinto, and J. W. R. Tabosa, "Collapses and revivals of stored orbital angular momentum of light in a cold-atom ensemble," *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 023825, (2009).
18. L. Veissier, A. Nicolas, L. Giner, D. Maxein, A. S. Sheremet, E. Giacobino, and J. Laurat, "Reversible optical memory for twisted photons," *Optics Letters*, *Opt. Lett.* **38**, 712-714 (2013).
19. Dong-Sheng Ding, Zhi-Yuan Zhou, Bao-Sen Shi, and Guang-Can Guo, "Single-photon-level quantum image memory based on cold atomic ensembles," *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2527, (2013).
20. Dong-Sheng Ding, Wei Zhang, Zhi-Yuan Zhou, Shuai Shi, Jian-song Pan, Guo-Yong Xiang, Xi-Shi Wang, Yun-Kun Jiang, Bao-Sen Shi, and Guang-Can Guo, "Toward high-dimensional-state quantum memory in a cold atomic ensemble," *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 042301, (2014).
21. A. Nicolas, L. Veissier, L. Giner, E. Giacobino, D. Maxein, and J. Laurat, "A quantum memory for orbital angular momentum photonic qubits," *Nat. Photonics* **8**, 234 (2014).
22. Pravin Vaity, J. Banerji, and R. P. Singh, "Measuring the topological charge of an optical vortex by using a tilted convex lens," *Phys. Lett. A* **377**, 1154 (2013).
23. R. A. de Oliveira, L. Pruvost, P. S. Barbosa, W. S. Martins, S. Barreiro, D. Felinto, D. Bloch, and J. W. R. Tabosa, "Off-axis retrieval of orbital angular momentum of light stored in cold atoms," *Appl. Phys. B* **117**, 1123 (2014).
24. Mingtao Cao, Ya Yu, Liyun Zhang, Fengjuan Ye, Yunlong Wang, Dong Wei, Pei Zhang, Wenge Guo, Shougang Zhang, Hong Gao, and Fuli Li, "Demonstration of CNOT gate with Laguerre Gaussian beams via four-wave mixing in atom vapor," *Opt. Express* **22**, 20177 (2014).