

ON NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS CLOSED WITH RESPECT TO THE ACTION OF CERTAIN AFFINE MAPS

S. UGOLINI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study numerical semigroups containing a positive integer and closed with respect to the action of an affine map of the form $x \mapsto ax + 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any $a \in \mathbf{N}^*$ and $b \in \mathbf{N}$ we define the affine map

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{a,b} : \mathbf{N} &\rightarrow \mathbf{N} \\ x &\mapsto ax + b. \end{aligned}$$

We say that a numerical semigroup G is a $\vartheta_{a,b}$ -semigroup if $G^* = G \setminus \{0\}$ is closed with respect to the action of the map $\vartheta_{a,b}$, namely if $\vartheta_{a,b}(y) \in G^*$ for any $y \in G^*$.

The problem we will deal with along the paper consists in finding the smallest $\vartheta_{a,1}$ -semigroup containing a positive integer x , where a is a positive integer.

We notice in passing that Thabit numerical semigroups [2], namely semigroups defined for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ as

$$T(n) = \langle \{3 \cdot 2^{n+i} - 1 : i \in \mathbf{N}\} \rangle,$$

are $\vartheta_{2,1}$ -semigroups.

Also Mersenne numerical semigroups [1], namely semigroups defined for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ as

$$\langle \{2^{n+i} - 1 : i \in \mathbf{N}\} \rangle,$$

are $\vartheta_{2,1}$ -semigroups.

The paper is organized as follows: after a preliminary section, where some notations are introduced, in Section 3 we deal with the aforementioned problem and show how to construct $\vartheta_{a,1}$ -semigroups.

2. NOTATIONS

We introduce some notations, we will use repeatedly along the paper.

Definition 2.1. If y and z are two non-negative integers such that $y < z$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [y, z]_{\mathbf{N}} &:= \{x \in \mathbf{R} : y \leq x < z\} \cap \mathbf{N}; \\ [y, z]_{\mathbf{N}} &:= \{x \in \mathbf{R} : y \leq x \leq z\} \cap \mathbf{N}; \\ [y, +\infty]_{\mathbf{N}} &:= \{x \in \mathbf{R} : y \leq x < +\infty\} \cap \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A05, 11A63, 11D04, 11D07, 20M14.

Key words and phrases. Numerical semigroups, Diophantine equations, Frobenius problem.

If $\{a, x\} \subseteq \mathbf{N}^*$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$, then we define the sum

$$s_k(a) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and accordingly

$$t_k(a, x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } k = 0, \\ a^k x + s_k(a) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we define the set

$$S(a, x) := \{t_k(a, x) : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$$

and, for any non-negative integer \tilde{k} ,

$$S_{\tilde{k}}(a, x) := \{t_k(a, x) : 0 \leq k \leq \tilde{k} \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Finally, we define

$$H(a, x) := \langle S(a, x) \rangle$$

and denote by $G_{a,1}(x)$ the smallest $\vartheta_{a,1}$ -semigroup containing x .

3. STRUCTURE AND CONSTRUCTION OF $\vartheta_{a,1}$ -SEMIGROUPS

We fix once for all this section a subset $\{a, x\} \subseteq \mathbf{N}^*$.

In the first part of this section we prove some technical lemmas which let us prove in Theorem 3.5 that

$$G_{a,1}(x) = H(a, x).$$

Lemma 3.1. *We have that $S(a, x) \subseteq G_{a,1}(x)$.*

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

If $k = 0$, then $t_0(a, x) = x \in G_{a,1}(x)$.

Suppose now that $t_k(a, x) \in G_{a,1}(x)$ for some non-negative integer k . Then,

$$t_{k+1}(a, x) = a \cdot t_k(a, x) + 1 = \vartheta_{a,1}(t_k(a, x)) \in G_{a,1}(x).$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *$H(a, x)$ is a subsemigroup of $(\mathbf{N}, +)$ closed with respect to the action of the map $\vartheta_{a,1}$.*

Proof. By definition, $H(a, x)$ is a subsemigroup of $(\mathbf{N}, +)$.

We prove that $H(a, x)$ is closed with respect to the action of the map $\vartheta_{a,1}$.

Consider an element

$$y = \sum_{k \in K} j_k \cdot t_k(a, x) \in H(a, x),$$

where K is a non-empty finite subset of \mathbf{N} and $\{j_k\}_{k \in K}$ is a set of positive integers. Let \tilde{k} be a chosen element of K . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
ay + 1 &= \sum_{k \in K} aj_k \cdot t_k(a, x) + 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k \in K \\ k \neq \bar{k}}} aj_k \cdot t_k(a, x) + a(j_{\bar{k}} - 1) \cdot t_{\bar{k}}(a, x) + a \cdot t_{\bar{k}}(a, x) + 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k \in K \\ k \neq \bar{k}}} aj_k \cdot t_k(a, x) + a(j_{\bar{k}} - 1) \cdot t_{\bar{k}}(a, x) + (t_{\bar{k}+1}(a, x) - 1) + 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k \in K \\ k \neq \bar{k}}} aj_k \cdot t_k(a, x) + a(j_{\bar{k}} - 1) \cdot t_{\bar{k}}(a, x) + t_{\bar{k}+1}(a, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since this latter is a linear combination of elements in $S(a, x)$ with coefficients in \mathbf{N} , we conclude that $ay + 1 \in H(a, x)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If $\{m, n\} \subseteq \mathbf{N}$, where $n \geq x - 1$, and*

$$[mx, mx + n]_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x),$$

then

$$[mx, +\infty[_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x).$$

Proof. According to Lemma 3.2, $H(a, x)$ is a subsemigroup of $(\mathbf{N}, +)$ closed with respect to the action of the map $\vartheta_{a,1}$.

If y is an integer such that $y \geq mx$, then

$$\begin{cases} y - mx = xq + r \\ 0 \leq r < x \end{cases}$$

for some non-negative integers q and r , where $r \leq n$.

Since

$$mx + r \in [mx, mx + n]_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x)$$

and $qx \in H(a, x)$, we conclude that $y \in H(a, x)$. Therefore,

$$[mx, +\infty[_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x).$$

\square

Lemma 3.4. *For any $k \in \mathbf{N}$ we have that $[a^k x, t_k(a, x)]_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x)$.*

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on k .

Proving the base step is trivial, since $x \in H(a, x)$ and

$$[a^0 x, t_0(a, x)]_{\mathbf{N}} = [x, x]_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x).$$

Suppose now that $[a^k x, t_k(a, x)]_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x)$ for some $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then,

$$a \cdot (a^k x + r) = a^{k+1} x + ar \in H(a, x)$$

for any $r \in [0, s_k(a)]_{\mathbf{N}}$. Moreover,

$$a^{k+1} x + ar + j \in H(a, x)$$

for any $r \in [0, s_k(a)]_{\mathbf{N}}$ and any $j \in [0, a]_{\mathbf{N}}$, since

$$a^{k+1} x + ar + j = (a - j) \cdot (a^k x + r) + j \cdot (a^k x + r + 1).$$

Therefore,

$$[a^{k+1} x, a^{k+1} x + s_k(a) \cdot a]_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} a^{k+1}x + s_k(a) \cdot a &= a^{k+1}x + \sum_{i=1}^k a^i = a \cdot t_k(a, x) \in H(a, x), \\ t_{k+1}(a, x) &= \vartheta_{a,1}(t_k(a, x)) \in H(a, x). \end{aligned}$$

All considered, we get that $[a^{k+1}x, t_{k+1}(a, x)]_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x)$ and the inductive step is proved. \square

Theorem 3.5. *If $\{a, x\} \subseteq \mathbf{N}^*$, then $G_{a,1}(x) = H(a, x)$.*

Proof. First, we notice that $H(a, x) \subseteq G_{a,1}(x)$ according to Lemma 3.1.

According to Lemma 3.2, $H(a, x)$ is a subsemigroup of $(\mathbf{N}, +)$ closed with respect to the action of the map $\vartheta_{a,1}$.

Let k be a positive integer such that $s_k(a) \geq x - 1$. Then,

$$[a^k x, t_k(a, x)]_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq H(a, x)$$

according to Lemma 3.4. Moreover, according to Lemma 3.3, $\mathbf{N} \setminus H(a, x)$ is finite too.

All considered, $H(a, x)$ is a numerical semigroup and $G_{a,1}(x) = H(a, x)$. \square

The following technical lemma let us prove Theorem 3.7. As a consequence of such a theorem we deduce Corollary 3.8, where the embedding dimension $e(G_{a,1}(x))$ of $G_{a,1}(x)$, namely the cardinality of the minimal set of generators of $G_{a,1}(x)$, is given.

Lemma 3.6. *If $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$ and $\{j_i\}_{i \in K}$ is a set of positive integers indexed on a non-empty set $K \subseteq [0, k-1]_{\mathbf{N}}$ such that $\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot a^i \leq a^k$, then*

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) < t_k(a, x).$$

Proof. We distinguish three different cases.

- If $K = \{0\}$, then $j_0 \leq a^k$ and

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) = j_0 \cdot x < a^k \cdot x + s_k(a) = t_k(a, x).$$

- If $K \neq \{0\}$ but the cardinality of K is still one, then

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) = c \cdot t_r(a, x)$$

for some $r \in [0, k-1]_{\mathbf{N}}$ and some $c \in [0, a^{k-r}]_{\mathbf{N}}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) &= c \cdot (a^r x + s_r(a)) \\ &\leq a^k x + a^{k-r} \cdot b \cdot s_r(a) = a^k x + \sum_{i=k-r}^{k-1} a^i \\ &< t_k(a, x). \end{aligned}$$

- If the cardinality of K is greater than one, then $a \neq 1$. Indeed,

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i 1^i \leq 1^k$$

if and only if $|K| = 1$ and the only integer j_i is equal to 1.

Therefore, assuming $a \neq 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) &= \sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot a^i x + \sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot s_i(a) \\ &\leq a^k \cdot x + \left(\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot \frac{a^i - 1}{a - 1} \right) \\ &= a^k \cdot x + \frac{1}{a - 1} \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot a^i - \sum_{i \in K} j_i \right) \\ &\leq a^k \cdot x + \frac{1}{a - 1} \cdot \left(a^k - \sum_{i \in K} j_i \right) \\ &\leq a^k \cdot x + \frac{1}{a - 1} \cdot (a^k - 2) \\ &< a^k \cdot x + \frac{a^k - 1}{a - 1} = t_k(a, x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.7. Let $\tilde{k} := \min\{k \in \mathbf{N} : s_k(a) \geq x - 1\}$.

Then, the following hold.

- (1) If $s_{\tilde{k}}(a) = x - 1$, then $S_{\tilde{k}}(a, x)$ is a minimal set of generators for $G_{a,1}(x)$.
- (2) If $s_{\tilde{k}}(a) > x - 1$, then $S_{\tilde{k}-1}(a, x)$ is a minimal set of generators for $G_{a,1}(x)$.

Proof. Let k be a positive integer such that $s_k(a) < x$. Then,

$$t_k(a, x) \in [a^k x, (a^k + 1)x[_{\mathbf{N}}.$$

Consider now an element

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) \in [0, (a^k + 1)x[_{\mathbf{N}},$$

where $\{j_i\}_{i \in K}$ is a set of positive integers indexed on a set $K \subseteq [0, k[_{\mathbf{N}}$.

We have that

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot a^i x \leq \sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) < (a^k + 1) \cdot x.$$

As a consequence,

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot a^i \leq a^k.$$

Hence, in accordance with Lemma 3.6, we have that

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) < t_k(a, x).$$

All considered, we conclude that

$$t_k(a, x) \notin \langle t_i(a, x) : 0 \leq i < k \rangle$$

for any positive integer k such that $s_k(a) < x$.

We prove now separately the 2 statements in the claim of the theorem.

(1) If $s_{\tilde{k}}(a) = x - 1 < x$, then

$$t_k(a, x) \notin \langle t_i(a, x) : 0 \leq i < k \rangle$$

for any positive integer $k \leq \tilde{k}$.

Nevertheless, $S_{\tilde{k}}(a, x)$ is a minimal set of generators for $G_{a,1}(x)$, since

$$[a^{\tilde{k}}x, +\infty[\mathbf{N} \subseteq G_{a,1}(x)$$

according to Lemma 3.3.

(2) If $s_{\tilde{k}}(a) > x - 1$, then

$$t_k(a, x) \notin \langle t_i(a, x) : 0 \leq i < k \rangle$$

for any positive integer $k < \tilde{k}$, while

$$t_{\tilde{k}}(a, x) \in \langle t_i(a, x) : 0 \leq i < \tilde{k} \rangle.$$

The latter assertion holds since

$$\begin{cases} t_{\tilde{k}}(a, x) - a^{\tilde{k}}x = qx + r \\ 0 \leq r < x \end{cases}$$

for some non-negative integers q and r . Since

$$a^{\tilde{k}}x \leq a^{\tilde{k}}x + r < t_{\tilde{k}}(a, x)$$

we have that

$$a^{\tilde{k}}x + r \in G_{a,1}(x)$$

according to Lemma 3.4. Indeed,

$$\{a^{\tilde{k}}x + r, qx\} \subseteq \langle S_{\tilde{k}-1}(a, x) \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$t_{\tilde{k}}(a, x) \in \langle S_{\tilde{k}-1}(a, x) \rangle,$$

namely $S_{\tilde{k}-1}(a, x)$ is a minimal set of generators for $G_{a,1}(x)$. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\tilde{k} := \min\{k \in \mathbf{N} : s_k(a) \geq x - 1\}$.*

Then, the following hold.

(1) *If $s_{\tilde{k}}(a) = x - 1$, then $e(G_{a,1}(x)) = \tilde{k} + 1$.*

(2) *If $s_{\tilde{k}}(a) > x - 1$, then $e(G_{a,1}(x)) = \tilde{k}$.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from Theorem 3.7 since $|S_k(a, x)| = k + 1$ for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$. □

Remark 3.9. In [2, Theorem 6] it is claimed that $e(T(n)) = n + 2$ for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Indeed, if we set

$$x := 3 \cdot 2^n - 1,$$

then

$$x - 1 = 3 \cdot 2^n - 2 = 2^{n+1} + 2^n - 2.$$

If $k \in \mathbf{N}^*$, then

$$s_k(2) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^i > x - 1$$

if and only if

$$k \geq n + 2.$$

Adopting the notations introduced in the current paper, $a = 2$ and $T(n) = G_{2,1}(x)$. Therefore, according to Corollary 3.8, $\tilde{k} = n + 2$ and $s_{\tilde{k}}(2) > x - 1$. All considered,

$$e(G_{2,1}(x)) = e(T(n)) = n + 2$$

in accordance with [2, Theorem 6].

In the following theorem we estimate the value for the Frobenius number $F(G_{a,1}(x))$, which is the greatest integer which does not belong to $G_{a,1}(x)$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\tilde{k} := \min\{k \in \mathbf{N} : s_k(a) \geq x - 1\}$.*

Then,

$$a^{\tilde{k}-1}x + ax - 1 \leq F(G_{a,1}(x)) \leq a^{\tilde{k}}x - 1.$$

Proof. According to Lemma 3.3, we have that

$$[a^{\tilde{k}}x, +\infty[_{\mathbf{N}} \subseteq G_{a,1}(x).$$

Hence,

$$F(G_{a,1}(x)) \leq a^{\tilde{k}}x - 1.$$

Consider now the integer

$$a^{\tilde{k}-1}x + ax - 1 = (a^{\tilde{k}-1} + 1)x - 1 + (a - 1)x.$$

We notice that

$$(a^{\tilde{k}-1} + 1)x - 1 \in [t_{\tilde{k}-1}(a, x) + 1, (a^{\tilde{k}} + 1)x[_{\mathbf{N}}.$$

Let

$$T := \sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x),$$

where $K \subseteq [0, \tilde{k} - 1]_{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\{j_i\}_{i \in K}$ is a set of positive integers.

If $\tilde{k} - 1 \in K$, then either $T = t_{\tilde{k}-1}(a, x)$ or $T \geq t_{\tilde{k}-1}(a, x) + x$. In both cases, $T \neq (a^{\tilde{k}-1} + 1)x - 1$.

Suppose now that $K \subseteq [0, \tilde{k} - 2]_{\mathbf{N}}$.

If $\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot a^i \leq a^{\tilde{k}-1}$, then

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) < t_{\tilde{k}-1}(a, x)$$

according to Lemma 3.6.

If $\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot a^i > a^{\tilde{k}-1}$, then

$$\sum_{i \in K} j_i \cdot t_i(a, x) \geq (a^{\tilde{k}} + 1)x.$$

All considered,

$$a^{\tilde{k}-1}x + ax - 1 = (a^{\tilde{k}-1} + 1)x - 1 + (a - 1)x \notin \langle S_{\tilde{k}-1}(a, x) \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$a^{\tilde{k}-1}x + ax - 1 \leq F(G_{a,1}(x)).$$

□

REFERENCES

1. J. C. Rosales, M.B. Branco, and D. Torrão, *The Frobenius problem for Mersenne numerical semigroups*, Preprint.
2. ———, *The Frobenius problem for Thabit numerical semigroups*, J. Number Theory **155** (2015), 85–99.
E-mail address: sugolini@gmail.com