

SPRINGER FIBRES IN THE FLAG VARIETY AND THE WEYL GROUP ACTION ON EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY

JIM CARRELL AND KIUMARS KAVEH

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a semisimple linear algebraic group over \mathbb{C} , and fix a maximal torus T and a Borel subgroup B of G such that $T \subset B$. The flag variety $\mathcal{B} = G/B$ of G parameterizes the variety of all Borel subgroups of G and, equivalently, the variety of all Borel subalgebras of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . A famous result of T. A. Springer [Spr1, Spr2] says that if n is a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} and \mathcal{B}_n is the closed subvariety of \mathcal{B} , called the *Springer fibre associated to n* , which consists of all Borel subgroups of G whose Lie algebra contains n , then there is a natural linear action of the Weyl group $W = N_G(T)/T$ of the pair (G, T) on the cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$ over \mathbb{C} so that the cohomology restriction map $H^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$ is W -equivariant (see [HS] for the proof of W -equivariance). Recently, it was shown in [GMc] and [KP] that, in what we call the parabolic-surjective case, Springer's W -action lifts to the equivariant cohomology algebra $H_S^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$ so that the natural map $H_S^*(\mathcal{B}_n) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$ is W -equivariant. Here, S is a subtorus of G acting with isolated fixed points on \mathcal{B}_n . A nilpotent $n \in \mathfrak{g}$ is *parabolic* if there exists an $s \in \mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie}(T)$ such that $s+n$ is regular in \mathfrak{g} and $[s, n] = 0$, and n is *surjective* when the cohomology restriction map $i_n^* : H^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$ is surjective. The purpose of this note is to give a slightly more direct way of obtaining the W -lift to $H_S^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$ which doesn't require that n is parabolic (hence $(\mathcal{B}_n)^S$ may be infinite) and which avoids introducing the scheme $\text{Spec}(H_S^*(\mathcal{B}_n))$ used in both [GMc] and [KP].

Springer's W -action is remarkable because W doesn't act on \mathcal{B}_n itself, although it does act in the absolute case $n = 0$ where $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}$. The action of W on $H^*(\mathcal{B})$ can most easily be seen from the natural action of W on \mathfrak{t} and the Borel isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{B}) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}]/I_+^W$, where I_+^W is the ideal generated by non-constant homogeneous W -invariants. The variety \mathcal{B}_n can also be described as the zero set of the algebraic vector field on \mathcal{B} associated to n , and in the parabolic-surjective case, the relationship between zeros of vector fields and cohomology explained in [JC1, JC2] yields the fact that the cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$ and its W -action are explicitly determined by the Weyl group orbit $W \cdot s$, where $s \in \mathfrak{t}$ satisfies $[s, n] = 0$ and $s+n$ is regular. Namely, $H^*(\mathcal{B}_n) \cong \text{Gr } \mathbb{C}[W \cdot s]$, where $\text{Gr } \mathbb{C}[W \cdot s]$ is the graded \mathbb{C} -algebra associated to the natural filtration by degree of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[W \cdot s]$ of regular functions on $W \cdot s$ with the obvious W -action. It is well known that when $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, every nilpotent satisfies is both parabolic and surjective, the latter being a consequence of a result of Spaltenstein [Spa]. Unfortunately, surjectivity does not always hold outside of type A . For example, if n is subregular, then \mathcal{B}_n is a Dynkin curve ([Ste]), and, in that case, $i_n^* : H^2(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{B}_n)$ is surjective if and only if G is simply laced.

Our plan for demonstrating that W lifts to equivariant cohomology in the surjective case uses only that \mathcal{B}_n has vanishing odd cohomology [DLP]. This implies that any torus action (S, \mathcal{B}_n) is equivariantly formal. We then establish the lifting by using the Localization Theorem. The requirement that n is parabolic is replaced by the assumption that W

acts on $H^*((\mathcal{B}_n)^S)$ so that the cohomology restriction map $H^*(\mathcal{B}^S) \rightarrow H^*((\mathcal{B}_n)^S)$ is W -equivariant. This assumption always holds in the parabolic case. Note that the Jacobson-Morosov Lemma guarantees that all Springer fibres admit torus actions. However, whether or not W acts on $H^*((\mathcal{B}_n)^S)$ is an open question. The fixed point set $(\mathcal{B}_n)^S$ is employed in [DLP].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this note, all cohomology is assumed to be over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Let X be a projective variety over \mathbb{C} admitting an algebraic torus action by $S \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^\ell$, and let $H_S^*(X)$ be the S -equivariant cohomology algebra of X over \mathbb{C} . Recall that $H_S^*(X) = H^*((X \times E)/S, \mathbb{C})$, where E is a contractible space admitting a free S -action. The inclusion $\nu_S : X \rightarrow (X \times E)/S$ along a fibre induces an $R = H^*(E/S)$ -module structure on $H_S^*(X)$. Moreover, there is a natural identification $R \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$, where $\mathfrak{s} = \text{Lie}(S)$. If $H_S^*(X)$ is a free R -module, then the action (S, X) is said to be *equivariantly formal*. This is the case, for example, if X has vanishing odd cohomology. If (S, X) is equivariantly formal, then the Localization Theorem implies that the inclusion mapping $j_X : X^S \rightarrow X$ induces an injection $j_S^* : H_S^*(X) \rightarrow H_S^*(X^S)$.

Recall that W acts as a group of homeomorphisms of $\mathcal{B} = G/B$ which commutes with T . For if K is a maximal compact subgroup in G and $H = K \cap T$, then the natural mapping $K/H \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homeomorphism, while $W = N_K(H)/H$ acts on K/H by $w \cdot kH = kwH$. This action commutes with the action of H on K/H , so W acts on both $H_T^*(\mathcal{B}) = H_H^*(K/H)$ and $H^*(\mathcal{B})$ and the natural mapping $H_T^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B})$ is W -equivariant.

3. INDUCING AN ACTION OF W ON THE COHOMOLOGY OF A SUBVARIETY OF \mathcal{B}

Let X be a subvariety of \mathcal{B} which is stable under a subtorus S of T . In this section, we will consider the question of when there exists an action of W on $H^*(X)$ and $H_S^*(X)$ which is compatible with the actions of W on $H_S^*(\mathcal{B})$ and $H^*(\mathcal{B})$. Here is our result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let S be a subtorus of T , and suppose X is an S -stable subvariety of \mathcal{B} . Assume*

- (i) *W acts on $H^*(X^S)$ so that $i_S^* : H^*(\mathcal{B}^S) \rightarrow H^*(X^S)$ is W -equivariant, and*
- (ii) *the cohomology restriction map $i^* : H^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is surjective.*

Then W acts on $H_S^(X)$ and $H^*(X)$ and the natural map $H_S^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ induced by inclusion of the fibre is W -equivariant, and we have a commutative diagram where all the maps are W -equivariant:*

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_S^*(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_S^*(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^*(X) \end{array}$$

More generally, if we omit assumption (ii) but assume (S, X) is equivariantly formal, then W acts on the images of i_S^ and i .*

Proof. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$. Then $H_S^*(X^S) = R \otimes H^*(X^S)$, and since W acts on $H^*(X^S)$, W also acts on $H_S^*(X^S)$ as a group of R -module isomorphisms. Thus the natural cohomology restriction map $i_S^* : H_S^*(\mathcal{B}^S) \rightarrow H_S^*(X^S)$ is W -equivariant. By the surjectivity assumption

(ii), the cohomology restriction map $i^* : H_S^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H_S^*(X)$ is surjective. Letting $j_B : \mathcal{B}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $j_X : X^S \rightarrow X$ denote the inclusions, we have the diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_S^*(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_S^*(X) \\ \downarrow j_B^* & & \downarrow j_X^* \\ H_S^*(\mathcal{B}^S) & \xrightarrow{i_S^*} & H_S^*(X^S) \end{array}$$

We define the action of W on $H_S^*(X)$ by imposing the requirement that i^* is a W -module homomorphism. To show this action is well defined, it suffices to show that the kernel of i^* is a W -submodule. Suppose then that $i^*(a) = 0$. Since \mathcal{B} has vanishing odd cohomology, (ii) implies X does also, so (S, X) is equivariantly formal. Hence j_X^* is injective, so it suffices to show that for any $w \in W$, $j_X^* i^*(w \cdot a) = 0$. But

$$j_X^* i^*(w \cdot a) = i_S^* j_B^*(w \cdot a) = w \cdot i_S^* j_B^*(a) = m j_X^* i^*(a) = 0$$

Since $i_S^* j_B^*$ is a W -module homomorphism. Thus, W acts on $H_S^*(X)$ so that $i^* : H_S^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H_S^*(X)$ is W -equivariant. Since (S, X) is equivariantly formal, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^+ H_S^*(X) \rightarrow H_S^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

But W acts by R -module homomorphisms, so it also acts on $H^*(X)$. The final assertion is proved in the same way. \square

Remark 3.2. Suppose that i^* is not surjective but (S, X) is equivariantly formal. We notice that if W acts on $H_S^*(X)$ extending the W -action on the image of i^* and such that $j_X^* : H_S^*(X) \rightarrow H_S^*(X^S)$ is W -equivariant then this action is unique.

In fact, the above proof also gives a more general result. Note that in the following formulation, we do not need to assume (S, Y) is equivariantly formal.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose Y is a projective variety admitting an action of $\mathfrak{M} \times S$, where \mathfrak{M} is a finite group and S is an algebraic torus. Assume X is an S -stable subvariety of Y with vanishing odd cohomology so that the following assumptions hold:*

(i) \mathfrak{M} acts on $H^*(X^S)$ and the cohomology restriction map $H^*(Y^S) \rightarrow H^*(X^S)$ is \mathfrak{M} -equivariant;

(ii) the cohomology restriction map $i^* : H^*(Y) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is surjective.

Then \mathfrak{M} acts on both $H_S^*(X)$ and $H^*(X)$ so that the natural map $H_S^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is \mathfrak{M} -equivariant and all the maps in the following commutative diagram are also equivariant:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_S^*(Y) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_S^*(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(Y) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^*(X) \end{array}$$

More generally, if we omit assumption (ii) then \mathfrak{M} acts on both $i^*(H_S^*(Y))$ and $i^*(H^*(Y))$.

4. LIFTING SPRINGER'S W -ACTION

Suppose now that n is a parabolic nilpotent in \mathfrak{g} . We will now prove:

Proposition 4.1. *The Springer variety \mathcal{B}_n admits a torus action (S, \mathcal{B}_n) such that $(\mathcal{B}_n)^S$ is finite, W acts on $H^*((\mathcal{B}_n)^S)$ and the cohomology restriction map $i_n^* : H^*(\mathcal{B}^S) \rightarrow H^*((\mathcal{B}_n)^S)$ is W -equivariant. In particular, if $i_n^* : H^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$ is surjective, then W acts on $H_S^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$ and the diagram (1) holds.*

Proof. By the remarks in the Introduction, there exists a subtorus S of T acting on \mathcal{B}_n with isolated fixed points. In fact, the algebraic vector field on \mathcal{B} associated to n has a unique zero on each component of \mathcal{B}^S since $s + n$ is regular (cf. [JC1, JC2]). Now W permutes the components of \mathcal{B}^S , so therefore it acts on $H^0((\mathcal{B}_n)^S)$ since each component of \mathcal{B}^S contains a unique element of $(\mathcal{B}_n)^S$. Moreover, under this action, $i_n^* : H^*(\mathcal{B}^S) \rightarrow H^*((\mathcal{B}_n)^S)$ is W -equivariant. \square

REFERENCES

- [JC1] Carrell, J. B.: *Orbits of the Weyl group and a theorem of DeConcini and Procesi*. Compositio Math. 60 (1986), no. 1, 45–52.
- [JC2] Carrell, J. B.: *Torus actions and cohomology*. The adjoint representation and the adjoint action, 83–158, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 131, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [DLP] De Concini, C.; Lusztig, G.; Procesi, C.: *Homology of the zero-set of a nilpotent vector field on a flag manifold*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1988), no. 1, 15–34.
- [DP] De Concini, C.; Procesi, C.: *Symmetric functions, conjugacy classes, and the flag variety*. Invent. Math., 64 (1981) 203–219.
- [GMc] Goresky, M.; MacPherson, R.: *On the spectrum of the equivariant cohomology ring*. Canad. J. Math. 62 (2010), no. 2, 262–283.
- [HS] Hotta, R.; Springer, T.A.: *A specialization theorem for certain Weyl group representations and an application to the Green polynomials of unitary groups*, Invent. Math. 41 (1977) 113–127.
- [KP] Kumar, S. and Procesi, C.: *An algebro-geometric realization of equivariant cohomology of some Springer fibers*. Journal of Algebra 368 (2012), 70–74.
- [Spa] Spaltenstein, N.: *The fixed point set of a unipotent transformation on the flag manifold*. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 79=Indag. Math. 38 (1976), no. 5, 452–456.
- [Spr1] Springer, T. A.: *Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups*. Invent. Math. 36 (1976), 173–207.
- [Spr2] Springer, T. A.: *A construction of representations of Weyl groups*. Invent. Math. 44 (1978), no. 3, 279–293.
- [Ste] Steinberg, R.: *Conjugacy classes in algebraic groups*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 366. Springer, Berlin (1974)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA
E-mail address: `carrell@math.ubc.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PA, USA.
E-mail address: `kaveh@pitt.edu`