

RATIONAL MODEL OF THE CONFIGURATION SPACE OF TWO POINTS IN A SIMPLY CONNECTED CLOSED MANIFOLD

HECTOR CORDOVA BULENS

ABSTRACT. Let M be a simply connected closed manifold of dimension n . We study the rational homotopy type of the configuration space of 2 points in M , $F(M, 2)$. When M is even dimensional, we prove that the rational homotopy type of $F(M, 2)$ depends only on the rational homotopy type of M . When the dimension of M is odd, for every $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$, we construct a commutative differential graded algebra $C(x)$. We prove that for some $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$, $C(x)$ encodes completely the rational homotopy type of $F(M, 2)$. For some class of manifolds, we show that we can take $x = 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a simply connected closed manifold of dimension n . The configuration space of two points in M is the space

$$F(M, 2) = \{(x, y) \in M \times M : x \neq y\} = M \times M \setminus \Delta(M)$$

where $\Delta: M \hookrightarrow M \times M$ is the diagonal embedding. We have an obvious inclusion $F(M, 2) \hookrightarrow M \times M$.

Our goal in this paper is to study the rational homotopy type of $F(M, 2)$. Recall that by the theory of Sullivan, the rational homotopy type of a simply connected space is encoded by a commutative differential graded algebra (CDGA for short), which is called a *rational model* of the space. By Poincaré duality of the manifold, M admits a Poincaré duality CDGA model (A, d) (see Section 2). There exists an element called the *diagonal class* $\Delta \in (A \otimes A)^n$ generalizing the classical diagonal class in $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$.

In [4] it is shown that $A \otimes A/(\Delta)$, where (Δ) is the ideal generated by Δ in $A \otimes A$, is a CDGA model of $F(M, 2)$ when M is at least 2-connected. This result implies that the rational homotopy type of $F(M, 2)$ depends only on the rational homotopy type of M for a 2-connected closed manifold. On the other side, Longoni and Salvatore [7] have constructed an example of two connected (but not simply connected) closed manifolds that are homotopy equivalent but such that their configuration spaces of 2 points are not, even rationally.

The goal of this paper is to discuss the rational homotopy type of $F(M, 2)$ where M is 1-connected. When the dimension of M is *even* we show that (see Theorem 4.1), as in the 2-connected case, the CDGA $A \otimes A/(\Delta)$ is a rational model of $F(M, 2)$. Actually we show that $A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A/(\Delta)$ is a CDGA model of the inclusion $F(M, 2) \hookrightarrow M \times M$.

The *odd* dimension case is more complicated. For any element $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ we will construct a CDGA $C(x)$ and a map $A \otimes A \rightarrow C(x)$. One of the main results of this paper (see Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 7.6) is that for *some* $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$, this map is a rational model of $F(M, 2) \hookrightarrow M \times M$. Note that, for $x = 0$, $C(0)$ is equivalent to the CDGA $A \otimes A/(\Delta)$; when $x \neq 0$, $C(x)$ is a multiplicatively twisted version of such a quotient (see Definition 5.2).

In Section 6 we introduce the notion of an *untwisted manifold*. We will say that a manifold M is *untwisted* if $C(0)$ is a CDGA model of $F(M, 2)$ (see Definition 6.1). In [4] it is shown that all 2-connected closed manifolds are untwisted and in Section 4 we will show that every simply connected closed manifold of even dimension is untwisted. We prove in Section 6 that the product of two untwisted manifolds is untwisted. It is still an open question to know if all manifolds are untwisted.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Yves Felix for suggesting that Proposition 7.7 could be obtained from example $A = (H^*(S^2 \times S^3; \mathbb{Q}), 0)$. I also thank my advisor Pascal Lambrechts for making this work possible through his advice and encouragement.

2. BASIC NOTIONS

In this section we review the definition of a mapping cone of a module map and we describe a CGA structure on it. We also recall the definition and properties of a Poincaré Duality CDGA. In this paper we will use the usual tools of rational homotopy theory as developed for example in [2].

2.1. Mapping cones. Let R be a CDGA and let A be an R -dgmodule. We will denote by $s^k A$ the k -th suspension of A defined by $(s^k A)^n \cong A^{n+k}$ as a vector space and with an R -dgmodule structure defined by $r \cdot (s^k a) = (-1)^{k|r|} s^k(r \cdot a)$ and $d(s^k a) = (-1)^k s^k(da)$ for $a \in A$ and $r \in R$. If $f: B \rightarrow A$ is an R -dgmodule morphism, the *mapping cone* of f is the R -dgmodule

$$C(f) := (A \oplus_f sB, \delta)$$

defined by $A \oplus sB$ as an R -dgmodule and $\delta(a, sb) = (d_A(a) + f(b), -sd_B(b))$. This R -dgmodule can be equipped with a commutative graded algebra (CGA) structure, that respects the R -dgmodule structure, characterised by the fact that $(sb) \cdot (sb') = 0$, for $b, b' \in B$. Precisely, we have the multiplication

$$\mu: C(f) \otimes C(f) \rightarrow C(f), \quad c_1 \otimes c_2 \mapsto c_1 \cdot c_2,$$

such that, for homogeneous elements $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

- (i) $\mu(a \otimes a') = a \cdot a'$,
- (ii) $\mu(a \otimes sb') = (-1)^{|a|} s(a \cdot b')$,
- (iii) $\mu(sb \otimes a') = (-1)^{|b||a'|} s(a' \cdot b)$,
- (iv) $\mu(sb \otimes sb') = 0$.

We will call this structure the *semi-trivial structure* on the mapping cone.

2.2. Poincaré duality CDGA. A *Poincaré duality* CDGA of formal dimension n is a triple (A, d, ϵ) such that

- (A, d) is a CDGA;
- $\epsilon: A^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a linear map such that $\epsilon(dA^{n-1}) = 0$ (one can think of ϵ as an orientation of the Poincaré duality CDGA A);
- For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^k \otimes A^{n-k} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\ a \otimes b & \mapsto & \epsilon(a \cdot b) \end{array}$$

is non degenerate, i.e., if $a \in A^k$ and $a \neq 0$ then there exists $b \in A^{n-k}$ such that $\epsilon(a \cdot b) \neq 0$.

Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be an homogeneous basis of A . There exists a *Poincaré dual* basis $\{a_j^*\}_{j=1}^N$ characterised by the fact that $\epsilon(a_i \cdot a_j^*) = \delta_{ij}$.

One of the main results concerning this algebras is the following one.

Theorem 2.1. [5, Theorem 1.1] *Let (A, d) be a CDGA such that $H^*(A, d)$ is a simply-connected Poincaré duality algebra of formal dimension n . Then there exists (A', d') a Poincaré duality CDGA of formal dimension n weakly equivalent to (A, d) .*

As a direct consequence of this result we have that every simply connected closed manifold admits a Poincaré duality CDGA model.

3. A DGMODULE MODEL OF $F(M, 2)$

The following result is an evident reformulation of the results of [6, Theorem 10.1] and [4].

Let (A, d, ϵ) be a Poincaré duality CDGA of formal dimension n . Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a homogeneous basis of A and denote by $\{a_i^*\}_{i=1}^N$ its Poincaré dual basis. Denote by

$$\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^N (-1)^{|a_i|} a_i \otimes a_i^* \in (A \otimes A)^n$$

the diagonal class in $A \otimes A$. There is an obvious $A \otimes A$ -module structure on $s^{-n}A$ defined, for homogeneous elements $a, x, y \in A$, by $(x \otimes y) \cdot s^{-n}a = (-1)^{(n|x|+n|y|+|a||y|)} s^{-n}x \cdot a \cdot y$

The map

$$\Delta^!: s^{-n}A \rightarrow A \otimes A$$

defined by $\Delta^!(s^{-n}a) = \Delta \cdot (1 \otimes a)$ is an $A \otimes A$ -dgm module map (see [4, Proposition 5.1]). Therefore the mapping cone $C(\Delta^!) = A \otimes A \oplus_{\Delta^!} ss^{-n}A$ is an $A \otimes A$ -dgm module.

As a direct consequence of [6, Theorem 10.1] we obtain the following result

Proposition 3.1. *Let (A, d, ϵ) be a Poincaré duality model of M of formal dimension n . Let \hat{A} be a CDGA such that we have*

$$(A, d) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\simeq} A_{PL}(M)$$

a zig-zag of CDGA quasi-isomorphisms. The CGDAs $A \otimes A$, $A_{PL}(M \times M)$ and $A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$ inherit an $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgm module structure. Denote by Δ the diagonal class in $A \otimes A$ and let $\Delta^!: s^{-n}A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be the morphism defined by $\Delta^!(s^{-n}a) = \Delta(1 \otimes a)$ for all $a \in A$. Then the mapping cone

$$C(\Delta^!) = A \otimes A \oplus_{\Delta^!} ss^{-n}A$$

is an $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgm module weakly equivalent to $A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$.

We will often use the following result.

Lemma 3.2. [4, Lemma 5.2] *Let (A, d, ϵ) be a Poincaré duality CDGA. The mapping cone $C(\Delta^!) = A \otimes A \oplus_{\Delta^!} ss^{-n}A$ equipped with the semi-trivial structure is a CDGA.*

This suggests that $C(\Delta^!)$ equipped with the semi-trivial structure (see Section 2.1) is a natural candidate to be a CDGA model of $F(M, 2)$. The main result of [4] proves that it is when the manifold is 2-connected. In the following section we show that it also is when the manifold is 1-connected and of even dimension.

4. RATIONAL MODEL OF $F(M, 2)$ FOR A SIMPLY CONNECTED MANIFOLD OF EVEN DIMENSION

Let M be a simply connected closed manifold of even dimension n . We will prove the following result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a simply connected closed manifold of even dimension n . Let A be a 1-connected Poincaré duality model of M of formal dimension n and denote by Δ the diagonal class in $A \otimes A$. Let $\Delta^!: s^{-n}A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be the morphism such that $\Delta^!(s^{-n}a) = \Delta(1 \otimes a)$ for $a \in A$. The mapping cone of $\Delta^!$*

$$C(\Delta^!) = A \otimes A \oplus_{\Delta^!} ss^{-n}A$$

equipped with the semi-trivial structure is a CDGA and the map

$$\text{id} \oplus 0: A \otimes A \rightarrow C(\Delta^!)$$

defined by $\text{id} \oplus 0(a \otimes b) = (a \otimes b, 0)$ is a CDGA model of the inclusion $F(M, 2) \hookrightarrow M \times M$.

A direct corollary of the theorem is the rational homotopy invariance of $F(M, 2)$. Specifically:

Corollary 4.2. *Let M be a simply connected closed manifold of even dimension. The rational homotopy type of $F(M, 2)$ is completely determined by the rational homotopy type of M .*

Proof. Let $n = \dim M$. If $n = 2$ then $M \cong S^2$ and the result is obvious. If $n \geq 4$, a transversality argument shows that, if M is simply connected, the configuration space $F(M, 2)$ is simply connected. Hence the result is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.1. For this we, use the following obvious result.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\rho: R \rightarrow Q$ be a CDGA morphism and $R \otimes \Lambda Z$ be a relative Sullivan algebra. Let $\varphi: R \otimes \Lambda Z \rightarrow Q$ be an R -dgm modules morphism such that $\varphi|_R = \rho$. The morphism φ is a CDGA morphism if for all $z, z' \in \Lambda^+ Z$, $\varphi(z \cdot z') = \varphi(z) \cdot \varphi(z')$.*

Let us prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of theorem 4.1. Let A be a 1-connected (i.e. $A^0 = \mathbb{Q}$, $A^1 = 0$) Poincaré Duality model of M of formal dimension n . Take \hat{A} a CDGA such that we have a zig-zag

$$(A, d) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\simeq} A_{PL}(M)$$

of CDGA quasi-isomorphisms.

The CDGAs A , $A \otimes A$, $A_{PL}(M \times M)$ and $A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$ inherit an $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgm module structure. Taking a relative Sullivan model of $f: \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \rightarrow A_{PL}(M \times M) \rightarrow A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} & \longrightarrow & A_{PL}(M \times M) & \longrightarrow & A_{PL}(F(M, 2)) \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow m & \\ & & (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) & & \end{array}$$

Since $H^{<n}(M \times M, F(M, 2)) = 0$ and $H^n(M \times M, F(M, 2); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ the vector space Z can be taken such that $Z^{<n-1} = 0$ and $Z^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{Q}$. Let u denote a generator of Z^{n-1} . By Proposition 3.1, $A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$ and $C(\Delta^!)$ are weakly equivalent as $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgm modules. Since $(\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D)$ is a cofibrant $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgm module we have a direct quasi-isomorphism of $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgm modules

$$\theta: (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C(\Delta^!).$$

Denote by $\omega \in A^n$ a generator of the vector space $A^n \cong \mathbb{Q}$. The differential ideal $I = \langle \omega \otimes \omega, ss^{-n}\omega \rangle \subset C(\Delta^!)$ is acyclic and the projection $\pi: C(\Delta^!) \rightarrow C(\Delta^!)/I$ is a CDGA quasi-isomorphism. Therefore the composition

$$\varphi := \pi \circ \theta: (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) \rightarrow C(\Delta^!)/I$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgm modules. To show that it is a quasi-isomorphism of CDGA it suffices to show, by Lemma 4.3, that for all $z, z' \in \Lambda^+ Z$:

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi(z \cdot z') = \varphi(z)\varphi(z').$$

Recall that $Z^{<n-1} = 0$,

- If $|z| > n - 1$ or $|z'| > n - 1$ then the degree of expression (4.1) is $\geq 2n - 1$, hence both terms are zero since $(C(\Delta^!)/I)^{\geq 2n-1} = 0$.
- If $|z| = n - 1$ and $|z'| = n - 1$, by linearity and since $Z^{n-1} = \mathbb{Q} \cdot u$ it suffices to look at the case $z = z' = u$. Since $n - 1$ is odd, by graded commutativity, $u^2 = 0$ and $\varphi(u^2) = \varphi(0) = \varphi(u) \cdot \varphi(u) = 0$.

So, we have the following zig-zag of CDGA quasi-isomorphisms,

$$A_{PL}(F(M, 2)) \xleftarrow{\simeq m} (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) \xrightarrow{\simeq \pi \circ \theta} C(\Delta^!)/I \xleftarrow{\simeq \pi} C(\Delta^!),$$

which proves that $C(\Delta^!)$ is a rational model of $F(M, 2)$.

Multiplying by a non zero rational number we can suppose that $\theta(1) = 1$ and since θ is an $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgm modules map we have that for $a, b \in \hat{A}$

$$\theta(a \otimes b) = (a \otimes b) \cdot \theta(1) = \rho(a \otimes b) \cdot 1 = ((\text{id} \oplus 0) \circ \rho)(a \otimes b).$$

In other words, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A \otimes A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \oplus 0 \\ (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & C(\Delta^!) \end{array}$$

and proves that the morphism $\text{id} \oplus 0: A \otimes A \rightarrow C(\Delta^!)$ is a CDGA model morphism of $A_{PL}(M \times M) \rightarrow A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$. \square

In [4, Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5] it is shown that, if (Δ) denotes the ideal generated by $\Delta \in A \otimes A$, $\frac{A \otimes A}{(\Delta)}$ is a CDGA quasi-isomorphic to the CDGA $C(\Delta^!)$ endowed with the semi-trivial structure.

Corollary 4.4. *Let M be a 1-connected closed manifold of even dimension. Let A be a 1-connected Poincaré duality CDGA model of M . Then*

$$A \otimes A \rightarrow \frac{A \otimes A}{(\Delta)}$$

is a CDGA model of $F(M, 2) \hookrightarrow M \times M$.

5. RATIONAL MODEL OF $F(M, 2)$ FOR A SIMPLY CONNECTED MANIFOLD OF ODD DIMENSION

Let M be a closed, simply connected manifold of odd dimension n . Let A be a Poincaré duality model of M . The construction of a rational model for $F(M, 2)$ in this case is more complicated. To construct such a model we will introduce dgm modules weakly equivalent to $C(\Delta^!)$ that admit multiplicative structures other than the semi-trivial structure used above. Explicitly, for every $\xi \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$ we will construct a CDGA $C(\xi)$ where the multiplicative structure depends on ξ (see Definition 5.2). We will show that one of these CDGAs $C(\xi)$ is the rational model of the configuration space $F(M, 2)$. Later on we will discuss the fact that the choice of such a multiplicative structure is determined by the choice of an element in $H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$.

5.1. The algebra $C(\xi)$. Let A be a 1-connected Poincaré duality CDGA of formal dimension n odd. Denote by Δ the diagonal class in $A \otimes A$. Recall that the morphism

$$\Delta^!: s^{-n}A \rightarrow A \otimes A; s^{-n}a \mapsto \Delta(1 \otimes a)$$

is an $A \otimes A$ -dgm module morphism. Hence, the mapping cone

$$C(\Delta^!) = A \otimes A \oplus_{\Delta^!} s s^{-n}A$$

is an $A \otimes A$ -dgm module.

We will show subsequently that a quotient of the mapping cone $C(\Delta^!)$ by an acyclic $A \otimes A$ -subdgm module admits other CDGA structures than the semi-trivial one. It is easy to see that the $A \otimes A$ -subdgm module $(C(\Delta^!))^{\geq 2n-1} = \langle \omega \otimes \omega, s s^{-n} \omega \rangle \subset C(\Delta^!)$ is acyclic. Hence, the quotient

$$C := C(\Delta^!)/C(\Delta^!)^{\geq 2n-1}$$

is an $A \otimes A$ -dgm module and the canonical projection $\pi: C(\Delta^!) \rightarrow C$ is a quasi-isomorphism of $A \otimes A$ -dgm modules.

Let us define a multiplication over C such that it becomes a CDGA. We want this multiplication to be compatible with the $A \otimes A$ -dgm module structure, namely that the composition

$$A \otimes A^c \longrightarrow C(\Delta^!) \xrightarrow{\pi} C$$

is a CDGA morphism.

Since C is the quotient of $A \otimes A \oplus_{\Delta!} ss^{-n}A$ by the vector space $\langle \omega \otimes \omega, ss^{-n}\omega \rangle$, we have an obvious isomorphism

$$C \cong (A \otimes A)^{<2n} \oplus_{\Delta!} ss^{-n}(A^{<n}).$$

To define a multiplication over C :

$$\mu : C \otimes C \rightarrow C, \quad c_1 \otimes c_2 \mapsto c_1 \cdot c_2,$$

it suffices to define the products

- (i) $(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b')$,
- (ii) $(a \otimes b) \cdot ss^{-n}x'$ and $ss^{-n}x \cdot (a' \otimes b')$,
- (iii) $(ss^{-n}x) \cdot (ss^{-n}x')$,

for $a \otimes b, a' \otimes b' \in (A \otimes A)^{<2n}$ and $x, x' \in A^{<n}$ homogeneous elements.

The products (i) and (ii) are completely determined by the compatibility with the $A \otimes A$ -module structure and the graded commutativity. Specifically :

- (i) $(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{|a'| |b|} a \cdot a' \otimes b \cdot b'$
- (ii) $(a \otimes b) \cdot ss^{-n}x' = (-1)^{(n-1)(|a|+|b|)+|b||x'|} ss^{-n}a \cdot x' \cdot b$
and $(ss^{-n}x)(a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{|a'| |x|} ss^{-n}a' \cdot x \cdot b'$.

For (iii), note that, if $|x| + |x'| \geq 1$, then for degree reasons

$$(iii) \quad (ss^{-n}x) \cdot (ss^{-n}x') = 0.$$

Hence, the only still undefined product is

$$(iv) \quad (ss^{-n}1) \cdot (ss^{-n}1) \in C^{2n-2} = (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}.$$

The following result shows that if n is odd, the product $ss^{-n}1 \cdot ss^{-n}1$ can be arbitrarily chosen in $(A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\xi \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$. If n is odd there exists a CDGA structure on C compatible with the $A \otimes A$ -dgm module structure such that*

$$ss^{-n}1 \cdot ss^{-n}1 = \xi.$$

Proof. Endow C with the multiplicative structure described above setting that $(ss^{-n}1)^2 = \xi$. Let us show that this multiplication endows C with a CDGA structure.

- **Associativity:** For $x, y, z \in A$, for degree reasons

$$(ss^{-n}x \cdot ss^{-n}y) \cdot ss^{-n}z = 0 = ss^{-n}x \cdot (ss^{-n}y \cdot ss^{-n}z).$$

For $x, y \in A$ and $a \otimes b \in A \otimes A$ such that $|a \otimes b| > 0$ then $|a \otimes b| + |ss^{-n}x| + |ss^{-n}y| \geq 2n - 1$. Therefore, for degree reasons

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (ss^{-n}x \cdot ss^{-n}y) = (a \otimes b \cdot ss^{-n}x) \cdot ss^{-n}y = 0.$$

In the other cases the associativity is granted by the $A \otimes A$ -dgm module structure of C .

- **Commutativity:** The multiplicative structure is defined in such a way that the multiplication is commutative.
- **The Leibniz rule for the differential:** Denote by $\bar{\delta}$ the differential in C . Let us show that for c, c' homogeneous elements in C ,

$$(5.1) \quad \bar{\delta}(c \cdot c') - (\bar{\delta}(c) \cdot c') - (-1)^{|c|} (c \cdot \bar{\delta}(c')) = 0.$$

- If $c, c' \in A \otimes A$ then (5.1) is true since $A \otimes A$ is a CDGA and $\bar{\delta}|_{A \otimes A} = d|_{A \otimes A}$.
- If $c \in A \otimes A$ and $c' \in ss^{-n}A$ then the left term of equation (5.1) is zero since $\bar{\delta}$ is a differential of $A \otimes A$ -dgm modules.
- If $c \in ss^{-n}A$ and $c' \in A \otimes A$, (5.1) is established by the commutativity of the multiplication.
- If $c, c' \in ss^{-n}A$, then the degree of the expression is at least $2n - 2 + 1 = 2n - 1$. Therefore, for degree reasons, the left term of the equation is zero.

□

Definition 5.2. Let $\xi \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$. The CDGA $C(\xi)$ is the $A \otimes A$ -dgm module $C(\Delta^!)/C(\Delta^!)^{\geq 2n-1}$ equipped with the only structure of an algebra compatible with the $A \otimes A$ -dgm module structure and such that $ss^{-n}1 \cdot ss^{-n}1 = \xi$. We will denote by

$$\bar{\text{id}} \oplus 0: A \otimes A \rightarrow C(\xi)$$

the composition of the inclusion $\text{id} \oplus 0: A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A \oplus_{\Delta^!} ss^{-n}A$ and the canonical projection $C(\Delta^!) \rightarrow C(\xi)$.

5.2. CDGA model of $F(M, 2)$ for a simply connected manifold of odd dimension. Let M be a simply connected closed manifold of odd dimension n . Let A be a 1-connected Poincaré duality model of M . In the previous sections we have showed that for all $\xi \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$ we can construct a CDGA $C(\xi)$ characterised by $(ss^{-n}1)^2 = \xi$. The objective of this section is to show that there exists $\xi \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$ such that $C(\xi)$ is a rational model of $F(M, 2)$. Specifically we will prove the following:

Theorem 5.3. Let M be a simply connected closed manifold of odd dimension n and let A be a 1-connected Poincaré duality model of M of formal dimension n . There exists $\xi \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$, such that the map

$$\bar{\text{id}} \oplus 0: A \otimes A \rightarrow C(\xi)$$

is a CDGA model of the inclusion $F(M, 2) \hookrightarrow M \times M$.

Remark 5.4. The model $A \otimes A$ of $M \times M$ that is mentioned in the theorem is the one naturally induced by the CDGA model A of M , i.e. the zig-zag of CDGA quasi-isomorphism linking $A \otimes A$ and $A_{PL}(M \times M)$ is naturally induced by the zig-zag of quasi-isomorphisms between A and $A_{PL}(M)$. We will use this fact in Section 7 to introduce Definition 7.1.

Remark 5.5. We will see in Corollary 7.6 that actually the model $C(\xi)$ is determined by a cohomology class $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Take \hat{A} a CDGA such that we have

$$A \xleftarrow{\simeq} \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\simeq} A_{PL}(M)$$

a zig-zag of surjective CDGA quasi-isomorphisms. This can be done easily using standard techniques of model categories. The CDGAs A , $A \otimes A$, $A_{PL}(M \times M)$ and $A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$ inherit a $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgm module structure.

Taking a relative Sullivan model of the map $f: \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\simeq} A_{PL}(M \times M) \rightarrow A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$ we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & A_{PL}(M \times M) & \longrightarrow & A_{PL}(F(M, 2)) \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) & & \end{array}$$

We can take Z such that $Z^{<n-1} = 0$ and $Z^{n-1} = u \cdot \mathbb{Q}$. By construction, $Du = \tilde{\Delta}$ where $\tilde{\Delta} \in \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ is such that $[\tilde{\Delta}]$ is a generator of $\ker H^*(f)$. The surjectivity of $\rho: \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\simeq} A \otimes A$ allows us to take $\tilde{\Delta}$ such that $\rho(\tilde{\Delta}) = \Delta$. Indeed, let $\hat{\Delta} \in A \otimes A \cap \ker d$ a generator of $\ker H^*(f)$. Since, $\hat{\Delta}$ represents the diagonal class in $H^*(\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}) \cong H^*(M \times M)$,

$$H^*(\rho)([\hat{\Delta}]) = [\Delta] \in H^*(A \otimes A).$$

Hence, $\rho(\hat{\Delta}) = \Delta + d\epsilon$, where $\epsilon \in (A \otimes A)^{n-1}$. Since ρ is surjective, there exists $\gamma \in \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ such that $\rho(\gamma) = \epsilon$. Set $\tilde{\Delta} = (\hat{\Delta} - d\gamma)$. We have that $d\tilde{\Delta} = 0$ and $\rho(\tilde{\Delta}) = \Delta + d\epsilon - d\epsilon = \Delta$.

By Proposition 3.1, $C(\Delta^!) = A \otimes A \oplus_{\Delta^!} ss^{-n}A$ and $A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$ are weakly equivalent as $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgmodules. As $(\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D)$ is a cofibrant $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgmodule we have an $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgmodules quasi-isomorphism

$$\theta: (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C(\Delta^!).$$

Multiplying θ by a non zero rational number we can assume that $\theta(1) = 1$ and as in the end of proof of theorem 4.1 we show that the following diagram commutes

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & A \otimes A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \oplus 0 \\ (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & C(\Delta^!) \end{array}$$

Let us show that $\theta(u) = ss^{-n}1 + \tau$ with $\tau \in (A \otimes A)^{n-1} \cap \ker d$. Indeed, we remark that for degree reasons

$$\theta(u) = qss^{-n}1 + \tau$$

with $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\tau \in (A \otimes A)^{n-1}$. Let us denote by δ the differential in $C(\Delta^!)$, since θ commutes with the differentials we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\theta(u)) &= \theta(Du), \\ \delta(qss^{-n}1 + \tau) &= \theta(\tilde{\Delta}), \\ q\Delta + d\tau &= \rho(\tilde{\Delta}) = \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(5.3) \quad d\tau = (1 - q)\Delta.$$

Since $[\Delta] \neq 0$ in $H^*(A \otimes A; \mathbb{Q})$, the equation (5.3) is satisfied if and only if $q = 1$ and thus $\theta(u) = ss^{-n}1 + \tau$ with $d\tau = 0$.

Let $\xi = \theta(u^2) - \tau^2$ so $|\xi| = 2n - 2$. Since A is 1-connected $(ss^{-n}A)^{2n-2} \cong A^{n-1} = 0$, thus $\xi \in C(\Delta^!)$ can be identified with an element of $(A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$. Take $C(\xi)$ the CDGA of Definition 5.2. The composition

$$\varphi: (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) \xrightarrow{\theta} C(\Delta^!) \xrightarrow{\pi} C(\xi)$$

is an $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgmodules quasi-isomorphism. Let us show that φ is an algebra morphism. By Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that for $z, z' \in \Lambda^+ Z$

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi(z \cdot z') = \varphi(z)\varphi(z').$$

We study the following cases

- If $|z| > n - 1$ or $|z'| > n - 1$ then the degree of the expression is $\geq 2n - 1$, and so both terms of the equation are zero.
- If $|z| = n - 1$ and $|z'| = n - 1$, by linearity we can suppose that $z = z' = u$. Note that, since A is a 1-connected Poincaré duality CDGA, $\tau \cdot ss^{-n}1 \in ss^{-n}(A^{n-1}) = 0$. We compute that

$$(\varphi(u))^2 = (ss^{-n}1 + \tau)^2 = (ss^{-n}1)^2 + \tau^2 = \xi + \tau^2 = \pi(\theta(u^2))$$

and

$$\varphi(u^2) = \pi(\theta(u^2)).$$

This proves that φ is an algebra morphism.

Since φ is an $\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A}$ -dgmodules quasi-isomorphism and is a CDGA map, it is a CDGA quasi-isomorphism. The following zig-zag of CDGA quasi-isomorphisms

$$A_{PL}(F(M, 2)) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{m} (\hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \otimes \Lambda Z, D) \xrightarrow[\varphi]{\simeq} C(\xi),$$

proves that $C(\xi)$ is a CDGA model of $F(M, 2)$. Since the diagram (5.2) commutes, $A \otimes A \rightarrow C(\xi)$ is a CDGA model morphism of $A_{PL}(M \times M) \rightarrow A_{PL}(F(M, 2))$. \square

6. UNTWISTED MANIFOLDS

It is well known that for M a simply connected closed manifold

$$H^*(F(M, 2)) \cong \frac{H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M)}{([\Delta]} ,$$

where $[\Delta]$ is the diagonal class in $H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M)$. It is easy to see that for $\xi = 0$ the CDGA $C(\xi)$ (see Definition 5.2) is quasi-isomorphic to $\frac{A \otimes A}{(\Delta)}$ as a CDGA. This suggests "naively" that $C(0)$ is the good candidate to be the rational model of $F(M, 2)$ and therefore it suggests the following definition:

Definition 6.1. *A closed manifold M is untwisted if $A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A/(\Delta)$ is a CDGA model of $F(M, 2) \hookrightarrow M \times M$, where A is a 1-connected Poincaré Duality CDGA model of M .*

In [4] it is shown that all 2-connected closed manifolds are untwisted and in Section 4 we showed that every simply connected closed manifold of even dimension is untwisted. We also have the following result:

Proposition 6.2. *The product of two untwisted manifolds is untwisted.*

Proof. Notice that if X and Y are topological spaces, the configuration space $F(X \times Y, 2)$ can be viewed as the pushout of the diagram

$$(6.1) \quad X^2 \times F(Y, 2) \longleftarrow F(X, 2) \times F(Y, 2) \longrightarrow F(X, 2) \times Y^2 .$$

Replacing $\Delta(X) \subset X \times X$ by an open tubular neighborhood in $F(X, 2) = X \times X \setminus \Delta(X)$ and similarly replacing $\Delta(Y) \subset Y \times Y$ by an open tubular neighborhood in $F(Y, 2)$ we can look at pushout (6.1) as a homotopy pushout.

Suppose that X and Y are untwisted manifolds, let C be a Poincaré duality CDGA model of X and B a Poincaré duality CDGA model of Y . By definition of untwisted manifolds $\frac{C \otimes C}{(\Delta_C)}$ is a CDGA model of $F(X, 2)$ and $\frac{B \otimes B}{(\Delta_B)}$ is a CDGA model of $F(Y, 2)$. Therefore a CDGA model of diagram (6.1) is given by

$$(6.2) \quad B \otimes B \otimes \frac{C \otimes C}{(\Delta_C)} \longrightarrow \frac{B \otimes B}{(\Delta_B)} \otimes \frac{C \otimes C}{(\Delta_C)} \longleftarrow \frac{B \otimes B}{(\Delta_B)} \otimes C \otimes C .$$

As both maps are surjective the homotopy pullback of diagram (6.2) is the actual pullback of the diagram and hence this pullback is a CDGA model of $F(X \times Y, 2)$.

Let us denote by PB this pullback. The universal property guarantees the existence of a unique map

$$\alpha: C \otimes C \otimes B \otimes B \rightarrow PB .$$

It is easy to show that α is surjective and that $\ker \alpha = (\Delta_C \otimes \Delta_B)$. Thus,

$$PB \cong C \otimes C \otimes B \otimes B / (\Delta_C \otimes \Delta_B) .$$

The CDGA $A = C \otimes B$ is a CDGA model of $X \times Y$ and denote by Δ_A the diagonal class in A . There is a natural isomorphism $C \otimes C \otimes B \otimes B \cong A \otimes A$ which, by an adequate choice of basis, sends $\Delta_C \otimes \Delta_B$ to Δ_A . So $A \otimes A / (\Delta_A)$ is a CDGA model of $F(X \times Y, 2)$. \square

As a consequence we have that, if N is a 2-connected odd dimensional closed manifold then $N \times \underbrace{S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2}_{k \text{ times}}$ is untwisted. We conjecture the following:

Conjecture 6.3. *Every simply connected closed manifold is untwisted.*

7. MODELS $A \otimes A \rightarrow C(\xi)$ DEPEND ONLY ON A CLASS $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$

A natural question is whether two different $\xi, \xi' \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$ induce isomorphic CDGAs $C(\xi) \cong C(\xi')$ (at the end of this section we show that this is not always the case). More precisely, in view of Theorem 9 where $C(\xi)$ is seen as a CDGA under $A \otimes A$, the right question is whether $C(\xi)$ and $C(\xi')$ are equivalent in the following sense:

Definition 7.1. *Let A be a Poincaré duality CDGA of formal dimension n odd and $\xi, \xi' \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$. We say that the CDGAs $C(\xi)$ and $C(\xi')$ are weakly equivalent under $A \otimes A$ and we write $C(\xi) \simeq_{A \otimes A} C(\xi')$, if there exists a commutative CDGA diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & C(\xi) \\
 & \nearrow \text{id}_{\oplus 0} & \uparrow \simeq \\
 A \otimes A & \longrightarrow & (A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z, D) \\
 & \searrow \text{id}_{\oplus 0} & \downarrow \simeq \\
 & & C(\xi'),
 \end{array}$$

where $(A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z, D)$ is a relative Sullivan algebra.

We have the following result:

Proposition 7.2. *Let A be a 1-connected Poincaré duality CDGA of formal dimension n odd, and Δ be the diagonal class in $A \otimes A$. If $\xi, \xi' \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$ are such that $[\xi] = [\xi']$ in $\frac{H^{2n-2}(A \otimes A)}{([\Delta])}$, then $C(\xi) \simeq_{A \otimes A} C(\xi')$.*

Proof. We have a canonical $A \otimes A$ -dgm module isomorphism $C(\xi) \cong C(\xi')$. Abusing of notation, we can look at this as an identification since these two CDGA differ simply by the value of the square $(ss^{-n}1)^2$.

As $A \otimes A$ -dgm modules we have

$$C(\xi) = ((A \otimes A)^{<2n-1} \oplus ss^{-n}(A^{<n-1}), \delta)$$

with $\delta(ss^{-n}a) = \delta(a \cdot ss^{-n}1) = da \cdot ss^{-n}1 + (-1)^{|a|}(a \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta$. Set

$$(\Delta)^{>n} = \{(a \otimes b) \cdot \Delta \mid a \otimes b \in (A \otimes A)^+\}.$$

Since the map $a \mapsto (a \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta$ is injective (see [4, Lemma 5.4]), it is clear that

$$(\Delta)^{>n} \oplus ss^{-n}1 \cdot A^+$$

is an acyclic differential ideal in $C(\Delta^!)$ and then, the natural projection of this ideal is also a differential ideal in $C(\xi)$ and $C(\xi')$. Consider S a supplementary of cocycles in $(A \otimes A)^{2n-3}$. The ideal

$$I = S + d(S) + (\Delta)^{>n} + ss^{-n} \cdot A^+,$$

is an acyclic differential ideal in $C(\Delta^!)$ and so the natural projection of this ideal is also an acyclic ideal in $C(\xi)$ and $C(\xi')$. We have two CDGA quasi-isomorphisms $C(\xi) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C(\xi)/I$ and $C(\xi') \xrightarrow{\simeq} C(\xi')/I$. Since $\xi - \xi' \in (\Delta) + d((A \otimes A)^{2n-3})$, $\xi = \xi' \text{ mod } I$. Therefore, the identification of $A \otimes A$ -dgm modules $C(\xi) = C(\xi')$ induces a CDGA isomorphism $C(\xi)/I \cong C(\xi')/I$. We have then the following CDGA diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & C(\xi) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & C(\xi)/I \\
 & \nearrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
 A \otimes A & & & \\
 & \searrow & & \\
 & C(\xi') & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & C(\xi')/I.
 \end{array}$$

Thereafter, taking a relative Sullivan model of $A \otimes A \rightarrow C(\xi)$ and using the lifting lemma we obtain the following CDGA diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & C(\xi') \\
\downarrow & \nearrow \lambda & \downarrow \simeq \\
(A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z, D) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} C(\xi) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C(\xi)/I \cong C(\xi')/I. &
\end{array}$$

Hence,

$$C(\xi) \simeq_{A \otimes A} C(\xi').$$

□

As a corollary we have:

Corollary 7.3. *For A a 1-connected Poincaré duality CDGA of formal dimension n odd, we have a natural surjection*

$$\psi: H^{2n-2}(A \otimes A) / ([\Delta]) \twoheadrightarrow \{C(\xi): \xi \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}\} / \simeq_{A \otimes A},$$

where the codomain of the map ψ is the set of equivalence classes under $A \otimes A$ of the CDGA $C(\xi)$.

We conjecture the following:

Conjecture 7.4. *The map ψ of the previous corollary is a bijection.*

The interest of this conjecture is to link it to the next proposition and then obtain a more intrinsic characterisation of the CDGA $C(\xi)$.

Proposition 7.5. *For A a 1-connected Poincaré duality CDGA of formal dimension n odd, we have a natural linear isomorphism*

$$\Phi: H^{n-2}(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{H^{2n-2}(A \otimes A)}{(\Delta)} ; [a] \mapsto [a \otimes \omega] \text{ mod } ([\Delta]).$$

Proof. The morphism Φ is well defined since it is induced by the linear map, $A^{n-2} \rightarrow (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$ defined by $a \mapsto a \otimes \omega$, that sends coboundaries to coboundaries. To prove the surjectivity of Φ , first of all, since A is a 1-connected CDGA, we suppose, without loss of generality, that $A^0 \cong \mathbb{Q}$, $A^1 = 0$ and $A^2 \cong H^2(A)$. Henceforth, by Poincaré duality we have that $A^{n-2} \cong H^{n-2}(A)$. Then we notice that

$$H^{2n-2}(A \otimes A) \cong (A \otimes A)^{2n-2} = (A^{n-2} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cdot \omega) \oplus (\mathbb{Q} \cdot \omega \otimes A^{n-2}).$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that every element of the form $[\omega \otimes a] \text{ mod } ([\Delta])$, with $a \in A^{n-2}$, is in the image of Φ . A simple computation shows that $(a \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta = a \otimes \omega + \omega \otimes a$, hence $[\omega \otimes a] = \Phi([a]) \text{ mod } ([\Delta])$.

To prove injectivity suppose that $\Phi([a]) = 0$, in other words $a \otimes \omega$ is cohomologous to a multiple of Δ . The multiples of Δ in degree $2n-2$ are of the form $u \otimes \omega + \omega \otimes u$ with $u \in A^{n-2}$. For degree reasons and since $A^{n-1} = 0$ (because A is 1-connected),

$$(A \otimes A)^{2n-3} = (\mathbb{Q} \cdot \omega \otimes A^{n-3}) \oplus (A^{n-3} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cdot \omega).$$

Therefore, there exists $x, y \in A^{n-3}$ such that

$$a \otimes \omega = u \otimes \omega + \omega \otimes u + dx \otimes \omega + \omega \otimes dy.$$

Hence, $a = u + dx$ and $0 = u + dy$, whence $a = d(x - y)$, namely $[a] = 0$ in $H^{n-2}(A)$. □

Let $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ and assume that A is a 1-connected Poincaré duality CDGA model of M . We can choose $\xi \in (A \otimes A)^{n-2}$ such that $\Phi(x) = \xi \text{ (mod } \Delta)$. Moreover, Proposition 7.2 and Proposition 7.5 show that the CDGA map

$$A \otimes A \rightarrow C(\xi)$$

is independent of the choice of $\xi \in A \otimes A$. We define then the CDGA

$$C(x) := C(\xi).$$

Theorem 5.3 can be reformulated as follows:

Corollary 7.6. *Let M be a simply connected closed manifold of odd dimension n and let A be a 1-connected Poincaré duality model of M of formal dimension n . There exists $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$, such that the map*

$$A \otimes A \rightarrow C(x)$$

is a CDGA model of the inclusion $F(M, 2) \hookrightarrow M \times M$.

We conclude with an example that illustrates the fact that not all the CDGA $C(\xi)$ are weakly equivalent under $A \otimes A$.

The example $A = H^*(S^2 \times S^3; \mathbb{Q})$. Let us take the CDGA

$$(A, d) = \left(H^*(S^2 \times S^3; \mathbb{Q}), 0 \right) = (\{1, x_2, y_3, xy\} \cdot \mathbb{Q}, 0).$$

It is easily verified that A is a Poincaré duality CDGA and that the diagonal class in $A \otimes A$ is given by $\Delta = 1 \otimes xy + x \otimes y - y \otimes x - xy \otimes 1$. Let us define the morphism $\Delta^! : s^{-n}A \rightarrow A \otimes A$; $s^{-n}a \mapsto \Delta(1 \otimes a)$. We will study the mapping cone $(C(\Delta^!), \delta)$ and for the sake of conciseness we will use the notation $S = ss^{-5}$.

We can compute that $\delta(S1) = \Delta$, $\delta(Sx) = x \otimes xy - xy \otimes x$, $\delta(Sy) = -y \otimes xy - xy \otimes y$, $\delta(Sxy) = -xy \otimes xy$.

Let us quotient the cone $C(\Delta^!)$ by the differential acyclic submodule $\langle Sxy, xy \otimes xy \rangle$ and endow $C(\Delta^!)/\langle Sxy, xy \otimes xy \rangle$ with one of the CDGA structures described in Section 5. For this, we have to define the product $S1 \cdot S1$ which for degree reasons have to be an element in $(A \otimes A)^8$. For $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}$, set

$$S1 \cdot S1 = q(y \otimes xy) + r(xy \otimes y) = \xi.$$

We denote by $C(q, r) = C(\xi)$ the obtained CDGA.

Proposition 7.7. *Set $A = (H^*(S^2 \times S^3; \mathbb{Q}), 0)$ which is a Poincaré Duality CDGA model of $M = S^2 \times S^3$ of formal dimension $n = 5$. Let $x, x' \in H^{n-2}(A) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, then*

$$C(x) \simeq_{A \otimes A} C(x') \iff x = x'.$$

To prove Proposition 7.7 let us construct the relative CDGA model of the morphism

$$A \otimes A \xrightarrow{id \oplus 0} C(\Delta^!) \xrightarrow{\pi} C(q, r)$$

where $\pi : C(\Delta^!) \rightarrow C(q, r)$ is the canonical projection. We will construct a graded vector space Z , a differential D and a CDGA quasi-isomorphism $m : (A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z, D) \rightarrow C(q, r)$, such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{id \oplus 0} & C(\Delta^!) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C(q, r) \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow m & \\ & & (A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z, D_{q,r}) & & \end{array}$$

Constructing Z degree by degree up to degree seven, we obtain the generators described below:

Degree	Z_0	D	m
4	u	$D(u) = \Delta := 1 \otimes xy + x \otimes y - y \otimes x - xy \otimes 1$	$m(u) = s1$
5	z_5	$D(z_5) = u \cdot (1 \otimes x) - u \cdot (x \otimes 1)$	$m(z_5) = 0$
6	z_{61}	$D(z_{61}) = u \cdot (1 \otimes y) - u \cdot (y \otimes 1)$	$m(z_{61}) = 0$
6	z_{62}	$D(z_{62}) = z_5 \cdot (1 \otimes x) + z_5 \cdot (x \otimes 1)$	$m(z_{62}) = 0$
7	z_{71}	$D(z_{71}) = z_{62}(1 \otimes x) - z_{62}(x \otimes 1)$	$m(z_{71}) = 0$
7	z_{72}	$D(z_{72}) = z_{61}(1 \otimes x) + z_5(y \otimes 1) - z_5(1 \otimes y) - z_{61}(x \otimes 1)$	$m(z_{72}) = 0$
7	h	$D(h) = u^2 - 2(z_{61}(1 \otimes x) + z_{61}(x \otimes 1)) + q(y \otimes xy) + r(xy \otimes y)$	$m(h) = 0$

Since for all $C(q, r)$ the relative CDGA model is the same excepting the differential, we will denote by $(A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z, D_{q,r})$ the relative CDGA model associated to $C(q, r)$.

The following result is useful to determine when two of these algebras are weakly equivalent under $A \otimes A$. The proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 7.8. *Let A be a Poincaré duality CDGA of formal dimension n odd and $\xi, \xi' \in (A \otimes A)^{2n-2}$. Let $A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\triangleright} (A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z_\xi, D_\xi)$ and $A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\triangleright} (A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z_{\xi'}, D_{\xi'})$ be relative CDGA models of $C(\xi)$ and $C(\xi')$ respectively. We have that $C(\xi) \simeq_{A \otimes A} C(\xi')$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\psi : (A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z_\xi, D_\xi) \xrightarrow{\cong} (A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z_{\xi'}, D_{\xi'})$, such that $\psi(a \otimes b) = a \otimes b$, for all $a, b \in A$.*

Let us prove Proposition 7.7.

Proof of Proposition 7.7. If $x = x' \in H^{n-2}(A) = H^3(A)$ it is clear by Proposition 7.2 and Proposition 7.5 that $C(x) \simeq_{A \otimes A} C(x')$.

For the other implication, first notice that $H^3(A) = \langle [y] \rangle$. By the isomorphism Φ defined in Proposition 7.5, for $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q[y] \in H^3(A)$ is sent to $[[qy \otimes xy]]$ in the quotient $H^8(A \otimes A)/(\Delta)$. A representative of the class $[[y \otimes xy]] \in H^8(A \otimes A)/(\Delta)$ is given by $[y \otimes xy]$ in $H^8(A \otimes A)$. Hence, by definition $C(q[y]) = C(qy \otimes xy) = C(q, 0)$.

Let $r, q \in \mathbb{Q}$. If $C(q, 0) \simeq_{A \otimes A} C(r, 0)$, by Lemma 7.8 we have an isomorphism of CDGA

$$\psi : (A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z, D_{q,0}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (A \otimes A \otimes \Lambda Z, D_{r,0})$$

such that $\psi(a \otimes b \otimes 1) = a \otimes b$.

For the sake of conciseness, when there is no ambiguity, both differentials ($D_{q,0}$ and $D_{r,0}$) will be denoted by D . For degree reasons $\psi(u) = \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2(x \otimes x)$ for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$. We then have $D\psi(u) = D(\alpha_1 u + \alpha_2(x \otimes x)) = \alpha_1 \Delta$ and $\psi(Du) = \psi(\Delta) = \Delta$. Since ψ commutes with differentials, we deduce that $\alpha_1 = 1$. Hence, $\psi(u) = u + \alpha_2(x \otimes x)$. Analogously we have that since z_{61} is of degree 6,

$$\psi(z_{61}) = \beta z_{61} + \beta_1 y \otimes y + \beta_2 u(1 \otimes x) + \beta_3 u(x \otimes 1) + \beta_4 z_{62},$$

for some $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ and $\beta_5 \in \mathbb{Q}$. On one side

$$\begin{aligned} D\psi(z_{61}) &= D(\beta_1 z_{61} + \beta_2 z_{62} + \beta_3 y \otimes y + \beta_4 u(1 \otimes x) + \beta_5 u(x \otimes 1)) \\ &= \beta u(1 \otimes y - y \otimes 1) + (\beta_2 + \beta_3)(x \otimes xy - xy \otimes x) \\ &\quad + \beta_4 z_5(1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1), \end{aligned}$$

and on the other

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(Dz_{61}) &= \psi(u(1 \otimes y - y \otimes 1)) \\ &= u(1 \otimes y - y \otimes 1) + \alpha_2(x \otimes xy - xy \otimes x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for ψ to commute with the differentials, we have that $\beta = 1$, $\beta_4 = 0$ and therefore

$$\psi(z_{61}) = z_{61} + \beta_1(y \otimes y) + \beta_2 u(1 \otimes x) + \beta_3 u(x \otimes 1)$$

with $\beta_2 + \beta_3 = \alpha_2$.

Let's study what happens with the element $h \in Z^7$. For degree reasons

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(h) &= \gamma_1 h + \gamma_2(xy \otimes y) + \gamma_3(y \otimes xy) + \gamma_4 u(1 \otimes y) + \gamma_5 u(y \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + \gamma_6 z_5(1 \otimes x) + \gamma_7 z_5(x \otimes 1) + \gamma_8 z_{71} + \gamma_9 z_{72}.\end{aligned}$$

Simple calculations yield

$$\begin{aligned}D_{q,0}\psi(h) &= \gamma_1 u^2 - 2\gamma_1 z_{61}(1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1) + (\gamma_1 q - (\gamma_4 + \gamma_5))(y \otimes xy) \\ &\quad - (\gamma_4 + \gamma_5)(xy \otimes y) + (\gamma_6 + \gamma_7)u(x \otimes x) \\ &\quad + \gamma_8 z_{62}(1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + \gamma_9(z_{61}(1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1) + z_5(y \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y))\end{aligned}$$

and on the other side

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(D_{r,0}(h)) &= u^2 + 2(\alpha_2 - (\beta_2 + \beta_3))u(x \otimes x) - 2z_{61}(1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + (r - 2\beta_1)(y \otimes xy) - \beta_1(xy \otimes y).\end{aligned}$$

For ψ to commute with the differentials we must have that $\gamma_1 = 1$, $q - (\gamma_4 + \gamma_5) = r - 2\beta_1$ and $-(\gamma_4 + \gamma_5) = -2\beta_1$. From these last equations we deduce that $q - r = (\gamma_4 + \gamma_5) - 2\beta_1 = 0$, hence $q = r$. □

Remark 7.9. *As a consequence of this last proposition we see that there are as many different (under $A \otimes A$) CDGAs as elements in $H^3(A)$. An interesting fact to notice is that if we take out the condition "under $A \otimes A$ " we obtain, using the same techniques as in the proof of Proposition 7.7 (but this time without imposing that $\psi|_{A \otimes A} = id$), only two not weakly equivalent CDGAs: the CDGA $C(0)$ and $C(x)$ for $x \neq 0$. Indeed the CDGA $C(0)$ and the CDGA $C(x)$ are not weakly equivalent when $x \neq 0$. But, as CDGAs (not under $A \otimes A$), $C(x) \simeq C(x')$ if $x \neq 0 \neq x'$.*

8. FURTHER QUESTIONS

The next step to understand the rational model of $F(M, 2)$ for a simply connected manifold M of odd dimension would be to prove Conjecture 7.4. The fact of having a bijection between equivalence classes of CDGAs $C(\xi)$ and the cohomology group $H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ would suggest (inspired by N. Habegger's thesis [3]) that the different CDGA structures $C(\xi)$ would be in one-to-one correspondance with the isotopy classes of embeddings $M \hookrightarrow M \times M$ homotopic to the diagonal embedding. The interest of this would be to find a geometrical argument that will give us information about the choice of $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $C(x)$ is a CDGA model of $F(M, 2)$.

An example of another geometrical argument that could give information about the choice of $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is the fact that the inclusion

$$F(M, 2) \hookrightarrow M \times M$$

is Σ_2 -equivariant (by the action of the symmetric group of two letters which acts by permutation of the coordinates). In [1, section 6.4] it is shown that this fact doesn't allow us to restrict the choice of $x \in H^{n-2}(M; \mathbb{Q})$.

To conclude, recall that in Section 6 we have introduced the notion of an *untwisted* manifold for which $C(0)$ is a model of the configuration space of two points. We also conjectured in that section that every simply connected closed manifold is untwisted. Oppositely, a very interesting fact will be to construct a *twisted* closed simply connected manifold M of odd dimension (i.e. a manifold such that $C(0)$ is *not* a rational model of $F(M, 2)$).

REFERENCES

1. Hector Cordova Bulens, *Modèle rationnel du complémentaire d'un sous-polyèdre dans une variété à bord et applications aux espaces des configurations*, Ph.D. thesis, Université catholique de Louvain, 2013.
2. Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin, and Jean-Claude Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, vol. 205, Springer, 2001.
3. Nathan Habegger, *On the existence and classification of homotopy embeddings of a complex into a manifold*, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Genève, 1981.

4. Pascal Lambrechts and Don Stanley, *The rational homotopy type of configuration spaces of two points*, Annales de l'institut Fourier, vol. 54, Chartres: L'Institut, 1950-, 2004, pp. 1029–1052.
5. _____, *Poincaré duality and commutative differential graded algebras*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **41** (2008), no. 4, 495–509. MR 2489632 (2009k:55022)
6. _____, *A remarkable DGmodule model for configuration spaces*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **8** (2008), no. 2, 1191–1222.
7. Riccardo Longoni and Paolo Salvatore, *Configuration spaces are not homotopy invariant*, Topology **44** (2005), no. 2, 375–380.