

SUSLIN HOMOLOGY OF RELATIVE CURVES WITH MODULUS

KAY RÜLLING AND TAKAO YAMAZAKI

ABSTRACT. We compute the Suslin homology of relative curves with modulus. This result may be regarded as a modulus version of the computation of motives for curves, due to Suslin and Voevodsky.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	2
2. Relative finite correspondences	2
3. Relative Suslin homology with modulus	8
4. The main theorem	10
5. An auxiliary construction	12
6. Proof of the main theorem	14
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

Let B be a variety over a field k . The relative Suslin homology $H_i^S(X/B)$ of a B -scheme X is introduced in [SV96, §3] and is computed when $X \rightarrow B$ is a relative curve that admits a good compactification ([SV96, Thm. 3.1]; see also [Lic93]). This result is later interpreted as a computation of motives of curves in Voevodsky's triangulated category of motives [Voe00, Thm. 3.4.2].

In the present note we introduce Suslin homology $H_i^S(X/B, D)$ with modulus D (see Def. 3.1). Here B is a smooth k -scheme, $X \rightarrow B$ is a proper k -morphism, and D is an effective Cartier divisor on X such that $U := X \setminus |D|$ is smooth and equidimensional over k . When $B = \text{Spec } k$, the definition of $H_i^S(X/B, D)$ is due to S. Saito and is studied in [KSYa]. There is a canonical homomorphism $H_i^S(X/B, D) \rightarrow H_i^S(U/B)$ (see 3.4 (6)), but it is usually far from being bijective. Our main result describes $H_i^S(X/B, D)$ when $X \rightarrow B$ is a relative curve (see Remark 4.5):

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be a smooth connected k -scheme, \mathcal{C} an integral normal k -scheme, and $p : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ a proper k -morphism. Suppose that the generic fiber of p is*

Date: July 30, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14F42 (19E15).

Key words and phrases. Suslin homology, non-homotopy invariant motive.

The first author is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant 226257 and the DFG Heisenberg Grant RU 1412/2-1, the second author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant (15K04773).

1-dimensional, that $U := \mathcal{C} \setminus |D|$ is smooth over k , that $p|_U : U \rightarrow S$ is affine, and that D is contained in an affine open neighborhood in X . Then we have

$$H_i^S(\mathcal{C}/S, D) = \begin{cases} \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C}, D), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Here $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{C}, D)$ denotes the relative Picard group (see 4.1).

By comparison with [SV96], we find that the canonical map $H_i^S(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \rightarrow H_i^S(U/S)$ is bijective if D is reduced. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is, however, totally different from [SV96] (or from [Lic93]). Indeed, in loc. cit. the starting point of the proof (for reduced D) is the homotopy invariance of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{C}, D)$, which is no longer valid if D is not reduced. Our proof is rather reminiscent of [BS, Thm. 4.3].

Actually we shall show a slightly more general result, see Theorem 4.4. We expect that our result will be interpreted as a computation of “motives with modulus” for curves, just like the case for “motives without modulus”.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Florian Ivorra for interesting discussions around Theorem 1.1 and H el ene Esnault for inviting the second author to the FU Berlin with her ERC Advanced Grant 226257. As noted above, the definition of the Suslin homology with modulus is originally due to Shuji Saito. We thank him for his permission to use his idea.

Convention 1.2. By convention a k -scheme is a scheme which is separated and of finite type over a field k . We denote by $\text{CDiv}(X)$ the group of Cartier divisors on X . If D is an effective Cartier divisor we denote by $|D|$ its support. If X and Y are k -schemes we write $X \times Y = X \times_k Y$.

2. RELATIVE FINITE CORRESPONDENCES

2.1. We recall a criterion for the pullback of an Cartier divisor to exist. Let X be a k -scheme and D a Cartier divisor on X . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of k -schemes and assume that $f(\text{Ass}(\mathcal{O}_X)) \subset X \setminus |D|$, where $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ denotes the set of associated points of X , (e.g. Y is integral and $f(Y)$ is not contained in $|D|$). Then the pullback f^*D exists as a Cartier divisor. (Indeed, we may assume that D is effective and then it suffices to show that the pullback of a local equation of D to Y is a regular element. This is a local question so let $y \in Y$ be a point, $x = f(y)$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ be a local equation of D . By assumption the image of d under $f^* : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is not contained in an associated prime of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$, hence is regular, see [Mat89, 6].)

The following definition is reminiscent of the modulus condition introduced in [BE03] and in its more general form in [BS].

Definition 2.2. Let X be a k -scheme and D, E effective Cartier divisors on X . Then we write

$$D \prec E : \iff \nu^*D \leq \nu^*E,$$

where $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the normalization and ν^*D, ν^*E is the pullback of Cartier divisors. (Recall that ν is the composition $\sqcup_i \tilde{X}_i \xrightarrow{\sqcup \nu_i} \sqcup_i X_i \rightarrow X_{\text{red}} \xrightarrow{\iota} X$, where ι is the closed immersion of the reduced scheme underlying X , the X_i are the irreducible components (with reduced scheme structure) of X_{red} and $\nu_i : \tilde{X}_i \rightarrow X_i$ is the normalization of X_i in its function field.)

Versions of the following proposition can be found e.g. in [KP12, 2.].

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a k -scheme and D, E effective Cartier divisors on X .*

- (1) $D \leq E \implies D \prec E$.
- (2) *If F is another effective Cartier divisor on X , then*

$$D \prec E \text{ and } E \prec F \implies D \prec F.$$

- (3) *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$nD \prec nE \iff D \prec E.$$

- (4) *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of k -schemes and assume that $f(\text{Ass}(\mathcal{O}_X)) \subset X \setminus (|E| \cup |D|)$. Then the pullbacks f^*D and f^*E exist as effective Cartier divisors (see 2.1) and*

$$D \prec E \implies f^*D \prec f^*E.$$

Furthermore if X is irreducible and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is proper and surjective then this ' \Leftarrow ' implication also holds.

- (5) *Let Z be a normal k -scheme and $g : X \rightarrow Z$ be a finite and surjective k -morphism. Then the pushforwards g_*D and g_*E exist as effective Cartier Divisors and we have*

$$D \prec E \implies g_*D \prec g_*E.$$

Remark 2.4. Warning: $D \prec E$ and $E \prec D$ does not imply $D = E$. If X is reduced, the kernel of $\nu^* : \text{CDiv}(X) \rightarrow \text{CDiv}(\tilde{X})$ is given by $H^0(X, \nu_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^\times/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)$, see [Gro67, Prop (21.8.5)].

Proof. Let $X_{\text{red}} = \cup_i X_i$ be the decomposition into irreducible components (with reduced scheme structure). Then by definition of the normalization we have $D \prec E$ iff $D|_{X_i} \prec E|_{X_i}$, for all i . Hence we can assume that the schemes X, Y and Z in the statements are integral k -schemes. The statements (1) and (2) are immediate and (3) follows from the fact that on a normal scheme the natural map from the group of Cartier divisors to the group of Weil divisors is injective. We prove ' \implies ' of (4). The question is local on Y . We may therefore assume that $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is induced by a homomorphism between integral k -algebras $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ and $D = \text{div}(d)$, $E = \text{div}(e)$, with $d, e \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Then $D \prec E$ means that the element $e/d \in k(X)^\times$ is integral over A , i.e. there exists an $n \geq 1$ and $a_i \in A$ with

$$\begin{aligned} (e/d)^n + a_{n-1}(e/d)^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 &= 0 \quad \text{in } k(X) \\ \iff e^n + a_{n-1}de^{n-1} + \dots + a_0d^n &= 0 \quad \text{in } A. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption $\varphi(e), \varphi(d) \in B \setminus \{0\}$. Applying φ to the equation above yields that $\varphi(e)/\varphi(d) \in k(Y)^\times$ is integral over B . Hence $f^*D \prec f^*E$. For the other implication notice that $f : Y \rightarrow X$ also induces a proper, and surjective map between the normalizations of X and Y . Therefore we can assume that X and Y are normal and integral. Furthermore the question is local on X . Hence we can assume $X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine and $D = \text{div}(d)$, $E = \text{div}(e)$ as above. Since Y is normal, $f^*D \prec f^*E$ is equivalent to $f^*\mathcal{O}_X(D - E) \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$. Applying $H^0(Y, -)$ we obtain $e/d \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. Since f is proper, $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a finite A -module. It follows that e/d is integral over A . The normality of A gives $e/d \in A$, i.e. $D \leq E$.

Finally for (5) we first notice that the Cartier divisors g_*D and g_*E exist by [Gro67, Def (21.5.5)]. If $U \subset Z$ is open and on $g^{-1}(U)$ we have $D = \text{div}(d)$ then

$g_*D = \text{div}(\text{Nm}(d))$, where $\text{Nm}_{k(X)/k(Z)} : k(X) \rightarrow k(Z)$ is the norm map. It follows from this formula that we have $(g \circ \nu)_* \nu^*D = g_*D$, where $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the normalization. Since the pushforward $(g \circ \nu)_*$ preserves the ordering on $\text{CDiv}(X)$ the statement follows. \square

Definition 2.5. Let X and Y be k -schemes and D and E effective Cartier divisors on X and Y , respectively. Assume that $U = X \setminus |D|$ and $V = Y \setminus |E|$ are smooth and equidimensional over k . Denote by $\text{Cor}(U, V)$ the group of finite correspondences from U to V , i.e. the free abelian group generated on prime correspondences from U to V , i.e integral closed subschemes $S \subset U \times V$ which are finite and surjective over a connected component of U (see e.g. [MVW06, Def 1.1]). The group of finite correspondences from (X, D) to (Y, E) is by definition the subgroup

$$\text{Cor}((X, D), (Y, E)) \subset \text{Cor}(U, V)$$

generated by those prime correspondences $S \subset U \times V$ which satisfy

$$(2.5.1) \quad E_{\bar{S}} \prec D_{\bar{S}},$$

where $\bar{S} \subset X \times Y$ is the closure of S and $D_{\bar{S}}$ and $E_{\bar{S}}$ denote the pullback of D and E along the projections $\bar{S} \hookrightarrow X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\bar{S} \hookrightarrow X \times Y \rightarrow Y$, respectively, see 2.1.

Remark 2.6. There is a choice in (2.5.1), one could also ask for the other inequality \succ . Our choice is made in such a way that in case X is *smooth* and connected a finite correspondence $\alpha \in \text{Cor}((X, D), (Y, E))$ induces maps

$$\alpha^* : H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$$

and

$$\alpha_* : H^i(X, \pi_X^!k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) \rightarrow H^i(Y, \pi_Y^!k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)),$$

where $\pi_X : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ and $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ are the structure maps. (Notice that since X is smooth we have $\pi_X^!k = \Omega_X^{\dim X}[\dim X]$.) Indeed if $\alpha = S \subset U \times V$ is a prime correspondence these maps are defined as follows: Let $\bar{S} \subset X \times Y$ be the closure of S and $\nu : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ its normalization. Since the natural map induced by projection $p_X : \tilde{S} \rightarrow X$ is surjective and generically finite we have a natural map $c_{p_X} : \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} \rightarrow p_X^! \mathcal{O}_X$ at our disposal, see e.g. [CR, Prop 2.6]; by adjointness we obtain a map $p_{X*} : Rp_{X*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. Then we define α^* as the composition

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E)) &\xrightarrow{p_Y^*} H^i(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(E_{\tilde{S}})) \\ &\xrightarrow{(2.5.1)} H^i(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(D_{\tilde{S}})) \cong H^i(X, (Rp_{X*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \\ &\xrightarrow{p_{X*}} H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define α_* as the composition

$$\begin{aligned}
H^i(X, \pi_X^!(k) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) &\xrightarrow{\text{id} \rightarrow R p_{X*} L p_X^*} H^i(\tilde{S}, p_X^*(\pi_X^! k) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-D_{\tilde{S}})) \\
&\xrightarrow{c_{p_X}} H^i(\tilde{S}, p_X^!(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}} p_X^*(\pi_X^! k) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-D_{\tilde{S}})) \\
&\cong H^i(\tilde{S}, \pi_{\tilde{S}}^!(k) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-D_{\tilde{S}})) \\
&\xrightarrow{(2.5.1)} H^i(\tilde{S}, \pi_{\tilde{S}}^!(k) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(-E_{\tilde{S}})) \\
&\cong H^i(Y, R p_{Y*} p_Y^!(\pi_Y^! k) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)) \\
&\xrightarrow{R p_{Y*} p_Y^! \rightarrow \text{id}} H^i(Y, \pi_Y^!(k) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here for the isomorphism in the third row we use $\pi_{\tilde{S}}^! \cong p_X^! \pi_X^! \cong p_X^!(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L L p_X^*$. If π_X and π_Y are projective, then α_* and α^* are dual to each other. If we chose in (2.5.1) this ' \succ ' relation, then we have to switch the signs in front of D and E for the maps above.

Definition 2.7. Let B be a k -scheme, X, Y B -schemes and D, E effective Cartier divisors on X, Y , respectively. Assume that $U = X \setminus |D|$, $V = Y \setminus |E|$ are smooth and equidimensional over k . Then we denote by

$$\text{Cor}_B((X, D), (Y, E))$$

the subgroup of $\text{Cor}((X, D), (Y, E))$ which consists of all cycles whose support is contained in the closed subscheme $X \times_B Y \subset X \times Y$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let B be a k -scheme, X, Y, Z B -schemes and D, E, F effective Cartier divisors on X, Y, Z , respectively. Assume that $U = X \setminus |D|$, $V = Y \setminus |E|$ and $W = Z \setminus |F|$ are smooth and equidimensional over k and that Y is proper over B . Then the usual composition of finite correspondences $\text{Cor}(U, V) \times \text{Cor}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Cor}(U, W)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \beta \circ \alpha$ (see [MVW06, 1.]) restricts to a morphism*

$$(2.8.1) \quad \text{Cor}_B((X, D), (Y, E)) \times \text{Cor}_B((Y, E), (Z, F)) \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{Cor}_B((X, D), (Z, F)).$$

Proof. Let $S \subset U \times_B V$ and $T \subset V \times_B W$ be prime correspondence from (X, D) to (Y, E) and from (Y, E) to (Z, F) , respectively. Let $R \subset S \times_V T$ be an irreducible component and denote by $p : S \times_V T \subset U \times_B V \times_B W \rightarrow U \times_B W$ the natural map induced by projection. (It is finite.) Then the prime correspondences appearing in $T \circ S$ are $\{p(R)\}_R$, where R runs through all irreducible components of $S \times_V T$. Hence we have to show that $p(R)$ lies in $\text{Cor}_B((X, D), (Z, F))$. Denote by $\overline{S} \subset X \times_B Y \subset X \times Y$ and $\overline{T} \subset Y \times_B Z \subset Y \times Z$ the closure of S and T , respectively, and by $\overline{R} \subset \overline{S} \times_Y \overline{T}$ the closure of R . By definition we have $E_{\overline{S}} \prec D_{\overline{S}}$ and $F_{\overline{T}} \prec E_{\overline{T}}$. By the first part of (4) of Proposition 2.3 we have

$$F_{\overline{R}} \prec E_{\overline{R}} \prec D_{\overline{R}}.$$

Denote by $\overline{p} : \overline{S} \times_Y \overline{T} \subset X \times_B Y \times_B Z \rightarrow X \times_B Z$ the natural map induced by projection. Since Y is proper over B so is \overline{p} . Hence $\overline{p}|_{\overline{R}} : \overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{p(R)}$ is proper, surjective and generically finite. By the second part of (4) of Proposition 2.3 we get

$$F_{\overline{p(R)}} \prec D_{\overline{p(R)}},$$

i.e. $p(R) \in \text{Cor}_B((X, D), (Z, F))$. \square

Corollary 2.9. *The composition defined in (2.8.1) is associative in the obvious sense. Furthermore, if X is a B -scheme and D is an effective Cartier divisor on X such that $X \setminus |D|$ is smooth and equidimensional over k , then the graph of the diagonal $\Delta_X \subset X \times_B X$ naturally defines an element in $\text{Cor}_B((X, D), (X, D))$. If X is proper over B , then*

$$\text{Cor}_B((X, D), (Y, E)) \xrightarrow{\Delta_X \circ} \text{Cor}_B((X, D), (Y, E))$$

is the identity, where Y is a B -scheme and E is an effective Cartier divisor E on Y such that $Y \setminus |E|$ is smooth and equidimensional over k . If Y is proper over B , then similarly $\circ \Delta_Y$ is the identity.

Proof. This follows immediately from the corresponding properties of the composition for the usual finite correspondences between smooth k -schemes. \square

Remark 2.10. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an alteration between integral B -schemes and assume that there is an effective Cartier divisor E on Y such that $U := X \setminus |f^*E|$ and $V := Y \setminus |E|$ are smooth and f restricts to a finite map $f|_U : U \rightarrow V$. Denote by $\Gamma \subset U \times V$ the graph of $f|_U$ and by $\Gamma^t \subset V \times U$ its transpose. Then we have

$$\Gamma \in \text{Cor}_B((X, D), (Y, E)), \quad \text{for all } D \succ f^*E$$

and

$${}^t\Gamma \in \text{Cor}_B((Y, E'), (X, f^*E)), \quad \text{for all } E' \succ E.$$

If we take $E' = E$ and $D = f^*E$ we get

$${}^t\Gamma \circ \Gamma = d \cdot \Delta_X \quad \text{in } \text{Cor}_B((X, f^*E), (X, f^*E)),$$

where d is the generic degree of f . If f is birational, i.e. $d = 1$ we furthermore get

$$\Gamma \circ {}^t\Gamma = \Delta_Y \quad \text{in } \text{Cor}_B((Y, E), (Y, E)).$$

This all follows immediately from the definitions and the corresponding properties for the usual finite correspondences.

Definition 2.11. Let B be a k -scheme. We define $\text{Sm}_B^* \text{Cor}$ to be the category with objects the pairs $(X \rightarrow B, D)$, where $X \rightarrow B$ is a proper k -morphism, D is an effective Cartier divisor on X and $X \setminus |D|$ is smooth and equidimensional over k ; the morphisms are by definition

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sm}_B^* \text{Cor}}((X \rightarrow B, D), (Y \rightarrow B, E)) := \text{Cor}_B((X, D), (Y, E)).$$

It follows from Proposition 2.8 and Corollary 2.9 that this defines a category. We set $\text{Sm}^* \text{Cor} := \text{Sm}_{\text{Spec } k}^* \text{Cor}$.

For $(X \rightarrow B, D), (Y \rightarrow B, E) \in \text{Sm}_B^* \text{Cor}$ with $X \setminus |D|$ and $Y \setminus |E|$ smooth and equidimensional over B we set

$$(X, D) \times_B (Y, E) = (X \times_B Y, p_X^* D + p_Y^* E),$$

where $p_X : X \times_B Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_Y : X \times_B Y \rightarrow Y$ are the projections. It is clear that with this definition the full subcategory of $\text{Sm}_B^* \text{Cor}$ consisting of those pairs $(X \rightarrow B, D)$ with $X \setminus |D|$ smooth and equidimensional over B has the structure of a symmetric monoidal category with the unit element given by $(B, 0)$. In particular, $\text{Sm}^* \text{Cor}$ has the structure of a symmetric monoidal category.

2.12. Recall from [Lev09, 1.1] that **Cube** is the category with objects given by the sets $\underline{n} := \{0, 1\}^n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and the morphisms are generated by:

- (1) Inclusions $\eta_{n,i,\epsilon} : \underline{n} \hookrightarrow \underline{n+1}$, $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \mapsto (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{i-1}, \epsilon, \epsilon_i, \dots, \epsilon_n)$, $n \geq 0$, $i \in [0, n+1]$, $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$.
- (2) Projections $p_{n,i} : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{n-1}$, $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \mapsto (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{i-1}, \epsilon_{i+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n)$, $n \geq 1$, $i \in [1, n]$
- (3) Permutation of factors $\underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{n}$, $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \mapsto (\epsilon_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \epsilon_{\sigma(n)})$, for σ a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $n \geq 1$.
- (4) Involutions $\tau_{n,i} : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{n}$ switching 0 and 1 in the i -th spot, $n \geq 1$, $i \in [1, n]$.

The product of sets induces on **Cube** the structure of a symmetric monoidal category. The category **ECube** is by definition (see [Lev09, 1.5]) the smallest symmetric monoidal subcategory of the category of sets which has the same objects as **Cube** and contains all morphisms in **Cube** and

$$\mu : \underline{2} \rightarrow \underline{1}, \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = (1, 1), \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We set $\square^1 := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\} := \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{1\}$ and $\square^n := (\square^1)^{\times n} = (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\})^n$. In the following we fix coordinates $(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\})^n = \text{Spec } k[y_1, \dots, y_n]$. In $\text{Sm}^* \text{Cor}$ we define

$$\overline{\square}^1 := (\mathbb{P}^1, \{1\}), \quad \overline{\square}^n := (\overline{\square}^1)^{\times n}.$$

Denote by $\square(\eta_{n,i,\epsilon}) : \square^n \hookrightarrow \square^{n+1}$ the inclusion given by $y_i = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1} \in \{0, \infty\}$, for $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Denote by $\square(p_{n,i}) : \square^n \rightarrow \square^{n-1}$ the projection omitting the i -th factor and by $\square(\sigma) : \square^n \rightarrow \square^n$ the permutation of the factors given by $\square(\sigma)^*(y_i) = y_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$. Finally denote by $\square(\tau_{n,i}) : \square^n \rightarrow \square^n$ the morphism which is the identity on all factors except the i -th factor, where it is induced by the unique isomorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 which fixes 1 and switches 0 and ∞ . It is straightforward to check that the graphs of these maps define elements

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\square}(\eta_{n,i,\epsilon}) &\in \text{Cor}(\overline{\square}^n, \overline{\square}^{n+1}), & \overline{\square}(p_{n,i}) &\in \text{Cor}(\overline{\square}^n, \overline{\square}^{n-1}), \\ \overline{\square}(\sigma) &\in \text{Cor}(\overline{\square}^n, \overline{\square}^n), & \overline{\square}(\tau_{n,i}) &\in \text{Cor}(\overline{\square}^n, \overline{\square}^n) \end{aligned}$$

and that we obtain in this way a strict monoidal functor, in particular a co-cubical object,

$$\overline{\square} : \mathbf{Cube} \rightarrow \text{Sm}^* \text{Cor}, \quad \underline{n} \mapsto \overline{\square}^n.$$

Finally using the k -isomorphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{A}^1$, which sends 0 to 0 and ∞ to 1 we define $\square(\mu)$ as the composition

$$\square(\mu) : \square^2 \cong \mathbb{A}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{multiplication}} \mathbb{A}^1 \cong \square^1.$$

Let $\overline{\square}(\mu) \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the closure of the graph of $\square(\mu)$. If we write $(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\})^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}) = \text{Spec } k[y_1, y_2, z]$, then

$$\overline{\square}(\mu) \cap (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\})^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}) = \text{Spec } k[y_1, y_2, z] / ((z-1)y_1y_2 - (y_1-1)(y_2-1)z).$$

Hence if we denote by $p_i : \overline{\square}(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ the three projections we obtain the following inequality of Cartier divisors on the smooth scheme $\overline{\square}(\mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^*(\{1\}) + p_2^*(\{1\}) &= \\ (\{1\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{1\}) + (\{1\} \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^1) + (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{1\} \times \{1\}) + (\{0\} \times \{1\} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \\ &\geq (\{1\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{1\}) + (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{1\} \times \{1\}) = p_3^*(\{1\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\overline{\square}(\mu) \in \text{Cor}(\overline{\square}^2, \overline{\square}^1).$$

It follows that the functor $\overline{\square}$ extends to a strict monoidal functor

$$(2.12.1) \quad \overline{\square} : \mathbf{ECube} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sm}^* \mathbf{Cor}.$$

2.13. Let S be a smooth equidimensional k -scheme. We set

$$\overline{\square}_S^n := \overline{\square}^n \times S := \left((\mathbb{P}^1)^n \times S, \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{P}^1)^{i-1} \times \{1\} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-i} \right) \times S \right).$$

This is an object in $\mathbf{Sm}_S^* \mathbf{Cor}$, where the structure map $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n \times S \rightarrow S$ is given by the projection. If we take the cartesian product of the correspondences $\overline{\square}(\eta_{n,i,\epsilon})$, $\overline{\square}(p_{n,i})$, $\overline{\square}(\sigma)$, $\overline{\square}(\tau_{n,i})$, $\overline{\square}(\mu)$ in 2.12 with the diagonal $\Delta_S \subset S \times S$ we obtain correspondences

$$\overline{\square}_S(\eta_{n,i,\epsilon}) \in \mathbf{Cor}_S(\overline{\square}_S^n, \overline{\square}_S^{n+1}), \quad \overline{\square}_S(p_{n,i}) \in \mathbf{Cor}_S(\overline{\square}_S^n, \overline{\square}_S^{n-1}),$$

$$\overline{\square}_S(\sigma) \in \mathbf{Cor}_S(\overline{\square}_S^n, \overline{\square}_S^n), \quad \overline{\square}_S(\tau_{n,i}) \in \mathbf{Cor}_S(\overline{\square}_S^n, \overline{\square}_S^n), \quad \overline{\square}_S(\mu) \in \mathbf{Cor}_S(\overline{\square}_S^2, \overline{\square}_S^1).$$

This clearly induces a functor

$$\overline{\square}_S : \mathbf{ECube} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sm}_S^* \mathbf{Cor}.$$

3. RELATIVE SUSLIN HOMOLOGY WITH MODULUS

The following definition is a modulus version of relative Suslin homology defined in [SV00, §3].

Definition 3.1. Let S be a smooth equidimensional k -scheme and $(X/S, D) \in \mathbf{Sm}_S^* \mathbf{Cor}$. For $n \geq 0$ set

$$\tilde{C}_n(X/S, D) := \mathbf{Cor}_S(\overline{\square}_S^n, (X, D)).$$

By 2.13 we obtain an extended cubical object in the sense of [Lev09, 1.5]

$$\mathbf{ECube}^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{abelian\ groups}), \quad \underline{n} \mapsto \tilde{C}_n(X/S, D).$$

We set

$$C_n(X/S, D)^{\text{degn}} := \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Im}(\overline{\square}_S(p_{n,i}) \circ : C_{n-1}(X/S, D) \rightarrow C_n(X/S, D)),$$

$$C_n(X/S, D) := \tilde{C}_n(X/S, D) / C_n(X/S, D)^{\text{degn}}$$

and

$$\partial_{n,i,\epsilon} := \overline{\square}_S(\eta_{n-1,i,\epsilon}) \circ : C_n(X/S, D) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X/S, D).$$

We denote by $(C_\bullet(X/S, D), d)$ the complex with differential given by

$$d_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (\partial_{n,i,\infty} - \partial_{n,i,0}) : C_n(X/S, D) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X/S, D).$$

Finally for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by

$$H_i^S(X/S, D) := H_i(C_\bullet(X/S, D), d),$$

the i -th Suslin homology of $(X/S, D)$. If $S = \text{Spec } k$ we simply write

$$H_i^S(X, D) := H_i^S(X/\text{Spec } k, D).$$

Remark 3.2. Notice that by definition an integral correspondence $Z \in C_n(X/S, D)$ can be identified with an integral closed subscheme $Z \subset (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\})^n \times (X \setminus |D|)$ such that the map $Z \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\})^n \times S$ induced by $X \rightarrow S$ is finite and surjective and if $\overline{Z} \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \times X$ denotes the closure of Z then

$$(3.2.1) \quad D_{\overline{Z}} \prec \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{P}^1)^{i-1} \times \{1\} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-i} \right)_{\overline{Z}}.$$

Also notice that because of this last condition Z is actually closed in $(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\})^n \times X$.

Furthermore by definition (or convention) the group $C_0(X/S, D)$ is equal to the closed integral subschemes $Z \subset X$ which are finite and surjective over a connected component of S and are contained in $X \setminus |D|$.

Lemma 3.3 (Levine). *Let S be a smooth k -scheme and $(X/S, D) \in \text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor}$. For $n \geq 0$ set*

$$NC_n(X/S, D) := \bigcap_{i=2}^n \text{Ker}(\partial_{n,i,0}) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}(\partial_{n,i,\infty}).$$

Then the differential $d_n : C_n(X/S, D) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X/S, D)$ restricts to

$$\partial_{n,1,0} : NC_n(X/S, D) \rightarrow NC_{n-1}(X/S, D)$$

and the natural inclusion of complexes

$$(NC_{\bullet}(X/S, D), \partial_{\bullet,1,0}) \hookrightarrow (C_{\bullet}(X/S, D), d)$$

is a homotopy equivalence. In particular

$$H_i^S(X/S, D) = H_i(NC_{\bullet}(X/S, D)).$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\underline{n} \mapsto \tilde{C}_n(X/S, D)$ is an extended cubical object and [Lev09, Lem 1.6]. \square

3.4. We give some further properties of the groups $H_i^S(X/S, D)$.

- (1) $\text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor} \rightarrow D^-(\mathbf{abelian\ groups}), (X/S, D) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}(X/S, D)$ is a covariant functor. In particular, $\text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor} \rightarrow (\mathbf{abelian\ groups}), (X/S, D) \rightarrow H_i^S(X/S, D)$ is a covariant functor for all i .
- (2) Let $\text{CH}^r(X|D, n)$ be the higher Chow groups with modulus from [BS] which are the n -th homology groups of the cycle complex $z^r(X|D, \bullet)$ defined in [BS, Def 2.5]. If $d = \dim X$, then there is a natural map $C_{\bullet}(X, D) \rightarrow z^d(X|D, \bullet)$ inducing maps

$$(3.4.1) \quad H_i^S(X, D) \rightarrow \text{CH}^d(X|D, i),$$

cf. [SV00, Conj 1.2].

- (3) For $i = 0$, the map $H_0^S(X, D) \xrightarrow{(3.4.1)} \text{CH}^d(X|D) = \text{CH}_0(X|D)$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, this follows directly from the definition and the fact that a 1-dimensional closed subscheme in $(X \setminus |D|) \times \square^1$, which intersects the faces of \square^1 properly, has either trivial boundary or is finite and surjective over \square^1 . (Here we use that X is proper over k .)
- (4) For $(X/S, D) \in \text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor}$ and D' an effective Cartier divisor on X with $|D'| = |D|$ and $D' \geq D$ the identity on X induces a map $(X/S, D') \rightarrow (X/S, D)$ in $\text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor}$. Now let U be an S -scheme which is smooth and equidimensional over k . Using Nagata compactification we find a pair

$(X/S, D) \in \text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor}$ with an S -isomorphism $U \cong X \setminus |D|$. By the comment above we obtain a projective system $(H_i^S(X/S, m \cdot D))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. We set

$$H_{c,i}^S(U/S) := \varprojlim_m H_i^S(X/S, m \cdot D).$$

Notice that this definition is independent of the choice $(X/S, D)$. Indeed as usual this follows from the two observations: 1. Any two choices of $(X/S, D)$ and $(X'/S, D')$ can be dominated by a third object $(X''/S, D'') \in \text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor}$ with $X'' \setminus |D''| \cong U$. 2. If $(X'/S, D') \rightarrow (X/S, D)$ is a morphism in $\text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor}$ inducing an S -isomorphism on $X' \setminus |D'| \xrightarrow{\cong} X \setminus |D|$, then it also induces an isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_m H_i^S(X'/S, m \cdot D') \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_m H_i^S(X/S, m \cdot D).$$

The latter fact follows directly from Remark 2.10 (the case of a birational map).

- (5) Assume k is a finite field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and U a smooth and integral k -scheme. Then using (3) above and [BS, Thm 3.3] the Theorem of Kerz and Saito [KS14, Thm III] can be reformulated as an isomorphism

$$H_{c,0}^S(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} W^{\text{ab}}(U),$$

where $W^{\text{ab}}(U) \subset \pi_1^{\text{ab}}(U)$ is the abelianized Weil group of U .

- (6) Let $(X/S, D) \in \text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor}$ and put $U = X \setminus |D|$. The relative Suslin homology $H_i^S(U/S)$ is defined in [SV96, §3] as the homology group of a simplicial complex $C_\bullet^S(U/S)$. As usual it can be rewritten as a homology group of a cubical complex. Namely, by forgetting modulus in the construction of $C_\bullet(X/S, D)$, one gets a complex $C_\bullet(U/S)$ which is quasi-isomorphic to $C_\bullet^S(U/S)$. Then $C_\bullet(X/S, D)$ is a subcomplex of $C_\bullet(U/S)$, hence there is a canonical homomorphism $H_i^S(X/S, D) \rightarrow H_i^S(U/S)$. It is not clear if this is bijective when D is reduced (compare [KSYb, Remark 3.5]).

4. THE MAIN THEOREM

We state the main result of this note in Theorem 4.4 below.

- 4.1. We recall some notations from [SV96] and [KSYb]. For X an integral k -scheme and D an effective Cartier divisor on X we denote

$$\mathcal{O}_{X|D}^\times := \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D^\times).$$

We set $\text{Pic}(X, D) := H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_{X|D}^\times)$. Recall that this group can be identified with the group of isomorphism classes of pairs (\mathcal{L}, α) , where \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on X and α is an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}|_D \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_D$. We denote by $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}(X, D) \subset \text{Pic}(X, D)$ the subgroup of isomorphism classes of pairs (\mathcal{L}, α) , for which there exists an open subset $V \subset X$ with $|D| \subset V$ and such that α is the restriction of an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}|_V \cong \mathcal{O}_V$. If D has an open affine neighborhood, then $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}(X, D) = \text{Pic}(X, D)$, see [SV96, 2.]. Further, we set

$$G(X, D) := \bigcap_{x \in |D|} \text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D,x}^\times).$$

We denote by $X^{(1)}$ the set points $x \in X$ whose closure $\overline{\{x\}} \subset X$ has codimension one. Notice that if X is normal and we write $D = \sum_i n_i D_i$, where the D_i are the

irreducible components of D , and if we denote by $v_x : k(X)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the normalized discrete valuation defined by a 1-codimensional point $x \in X^{(1)}$, then

$$G(X, D) = \{f \in k(X)^\times \mid v_x(f) = 0, x \in X^{(1)} \text{ with } \overline{\{x\}} \cap D \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } v_x(f-1) \geq n_i, \text{ if } \overline{\{x\}} = D_i\}.$$

Indeed, since X is normal the condition $v_x(f) = 0$, for all $x \in X^{(1)}$ with $\overline{\{x\}} \cap D \neq \emptyset$, implies that f is regular on a neighborhood containing D (e.g. the complement of the pole divisor of f). Further since D is a Cartier divisor it has no embedded components; hence if a function is regular in a neighborhood of D and its image in \mathcal{O}_D is equal to 1 in all generic points of D , then it is equal to 1 on all of D . Finally we denote by

$$\text{Div}(X, D)$$

the group of Cartier divisors on X with support in $X \setminus |D|$. By [KSYb, Lem 3.2] there is an exact sequence

$$(4.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X|D}^\times) \rightarrow G(X, D) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(X, D) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Pic}}(X, D) \rightarrow 0.$$

4.2. For the rest of this note, we fix a smooth connected k -scheme S and $(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \in \text{Sm}_S^* \text{Cor}$ such that:

- (1) \mathcal{C} is integral and normal.
- (2) $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ is proper and the generic relative dimension is equal to 1, i.e. $\text{trdeg}(k(\mathcal{C})/k(S)) = 1$.
- (3) $U = \mathcal{C} \setminus D$ is smooth over k and $U \rightarrow S$ is affine.
- (4) There is an open affine subscheme of \mathcal{C} which contains all the generic points of D .

Lemma 4.3. *Under the above assumptions, we have $C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) = \text{Div}(\mathcal{C}, D)$.*

Proof. First recall that $C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ is the free abelian group generated by integral closed subschemes $Z \subset U$ which are finite and surjective over S . Since U is smooth and $U \rightarrow S$ has generic relative dimension 1, Z has to be a Cartier divisor on U which is actually closed in \mathcal{C} . Therefore $C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Div}(\mathcal{C}, D)$. On the other hand if $Z \subset \mathcal{C}$ is a prime Cartier divisor whose support is contained in U , then by the assumptions in 4.2 it has to be affine and proper over S and hence finite. Therefore its image is a reduced closed subscheme $Z_0 \subset S$. If Z_0 is strictly contained in S , then the dimension formula yields $\text{trdeg}(k(Z)/k(Z_0)) \geq 1$. But since Z is finite over S this is impossible. Therefore Z is surjective over S and hence an element in $C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$. \square

The following theorem is a modulus version of [SV96, Thm. 3.1] (see also [Lic93]).

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S, D)$ be as in 4.2. Then*

$$C_\bullet(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \cong [G(\mathcal{C}, D) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(\mathcal{C}, D)] \quad \text{in } D^-(\mathbf{abelian\ groups}),$$

where the complex on the right sits in homological degree 1 and 0. In particular, by (4.1.1) we have

$$H_i^S(\mathcal{C}/S, D) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{C}, D), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}|D}^\times), & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.5. If D is contained in an affine open neighborhood, then $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X|D}^\times)$ vanishes (see [SV96, Proof of Thm 3.1]) and $\widetilde{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{C}, D) = \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C}, D)$. This shows Theorem 1.1.

The proof of Theorem 4.4 will occupy the rest of this note. We start with some preliminary observations.

5. AN AUXILIARY CONSTRUCTION

5.1. We fix the following notation for this section: Let A be a semilocal Dedekind domain (thus a PID) with field of fractions $K = \text{Frac}(A)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_r$ the maximal ideals of A and by $v_1, \dots, v_r : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the corresponding normalized discrete valuations. We fix an element $s \in \mathfrak{m}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_r$ and a set of normalized discrete valuations \mathcal{V} on K , which does not contain v_1, \dots, v_r .

Given a discrete valuation v on K there exists a *canonical extension* of v to the field of rational functions $K(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, which we denote again by v , such that for all $0 \neq f = \sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n} \in K[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ we have

$$(5.1.1) \quad v(f) = \min\{v(a_{i_1, \dots, i_n}), \text{ all } i_1, \dots, i_n\},$$

see e.g. [Bou72, VI, §10.1, Prop 2].

Definition 5.2. For $n \geq 1$ we define $Q_n(A, \mathcal{V}, s) := Q_n$ to be the set of all polynomials

$$(5.2.1) \quad f = \sum_{i_1=0}^{N_1} \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^{N_n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \cdot t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n} \in A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$$

such that

- (1) $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in s^{\max_{j=1}^n (N_j - i_j)} \cdot A$, all i_1, \dots, i_n .
- (2) $a_{N_1, \dots, N_n} \in A^\times$.
- (3) $v(f/a_{N_1, \dots, N_n}) = 0$, for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$.
- (4) For all $j \in [1, n]$ we have $f(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 0, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n) \neq 0$.

Notice that $A^\times \subset Q_n$.

Definition 5.3. Let $f \in A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be a polynomial as in (5.2.1). Then we say that f has a leading coefficient if $a_{N_1, \dots, N_n} \neq 0$, in which case we call a_{N_1, \dots, N_n} the leading coefficient of f .

Definition 5.4. Let $f \in A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be a polynomial and assume it satisfies the conditions (1),(2) and (3) of Definition 5.2. Then we denote by $\rho(f)$ the unique polynomial which satisfies

- (1) $\rho(f) \in Q_n$.
- (2) $f = t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_n^{m_n} \cdot \rho(f)$, for non-negative integers m_1, \dots, m_n .

Lemma 5.5. Assume $n \geq 2$. For $j \in [1, n]$ denote by $A^{(j)}$ the localization of $A[t_j]$ with respect to $\cup_{i=1}^r (\mathfrak{m}_i \cdot A[t_j])$. If v is a valuation on K denote by $v^{(j)}$ its canonical extension to $K(t_j)$ and set $\mathcal{V}^{(j)} := \{v^{(j)} \mid v \in \mathcal{V}\}$. Denote by

$$\iota_j : A[t_1, \dots, t_n] \hookrightarrow A^{(j)}[t_1, \dots, \widehat{t_j}, \dots, t_n]$$

the natural inclusion, where the hat $\widehat{(\quad)}$ means omission. In particular, $A^{(j)}$ is a semilocal Dedekind domain with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_i^{(j)} = \mathfrak{m}_i A^{(j)}$, and $s \in$

$\mathfrak{m}_1^{(j)} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_r^{(j)}$. We denote by $(1)^{(j)}$, $(2)^{(j)}$ the properties (1), (2) from Definition 5.2 with A, \mathcal{V} replaced by $A^{(j)}, \mathcal{V}^{(j)}$. Then, for $f \in A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ we have

f satisfies (1) and (2) $\iff \iota_j(f)$ satisfies $(1)^{(j)}$ and $(2)^{(j)}$, for all $j \in [1, n]$.

Proof. For $j \in [1, n]$ write

$$f = \sum_{i_1=0}^{N_1} \cdots \sum_{i_j=0}^{\widehat{N_j}} \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^{N_n} a_{i_1, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n}^{(j)} t_1^{i_1} \cdots \widehat{t_j^{i_j}} \cdots t_n^{i_n},$$

where

$$a_{i_1, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n}^{(j)} = \sum_{i_j=0}^{N_j} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} t_j^{i_j} \in A[t_j] \subset A^{(j)}.$$

We write $(1)^{(j)}(\iota_j(f))$ for $\iota_j(f)$ satisfies $(1)^{(j)}$, etc. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1)^{(j)}(\iota_j(f)) \\ \iff & v_e^{(j)}(a_{i_1, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n}^{(j)}) \geq \left(\max_{\substack{c \in [1, n] \\ c \neq j}} (N_c - i_c) \right) \cdot v_e^{(j)}(s), \quad \text{all } e \in [1, r], i_1, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n \\ \iff & \min_{i_j=0}^{N_j} (v_e(a_{i_1, \dots, i_n})) \geq \left(\max_{\substack{c \in [1, n] \\ c \neq j}} (N_c - i_c) \right) \cdot v_e(s), \quad \text{all } e \in [1, r], i_1, \dots, \widehat{i_j}, \dots, i_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(1)^{(j)}(\iota_j(f)), \quad \text{for all } j \in [1, n] \iff (1)(f).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} (2)^{(j)}(\iota_j(f)) & \iff v_e^{(j)}(a_{N_1, \dots, \widehat{N_j}, \dots, N_n}^{(j)}) = 0, \quad \text{all } e \in [1, r] \\ & \iff \min_{i_j=0}^{N_j} (v_e(a_{N_1, \dots, i_j, \dots, N_n})) = 0, \quad \text{all } e \in [1, r] \\ & \stackrel{(1)}{\iff} v_e(a_{N_1, \dots, N_n}) = 0, \quad \text{all } e \in [1, r] \\ & \iff (2)(f). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let $f \in A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be a polynomial. Then f satisfies properties (1) and (2) of Definition 5.2 if and only if f satisfies the following two properties:*

(1) *There exist $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n, h \in A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ such that*

$$f \cdot h = (t_1 \cdots t_n)^N + s \cdot (t_1 \cdots t_n)^{N-1} \cdot g_1 + \cdots + s^N \cdot g_N.$$

(2) *$f(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, \infty, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n) \notin \mathfrak{m}_i A[t_1, \dots, \widehat{t_j}, \dots, t_n]$, for all $j \in [1, n]$ and $i \in [1, r]$. (Here $f(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, \infty, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n)$ denotes the leading term of f viewed as a polynomial in t_j .)*

Proof. Assume f satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) from the statement. We have to show that f has the properties (1) and (2) of Definition 5.2. We prove this by induction over n . First assume $n = 1$ and write $t = t_1$. In this case clearly (2) of the statement implies (2) of Definition 5.2. Set $g(t) := t^N + s \cdot t^{N-1} \cdot g_1(t) + \cdots + s^N \cdot g_N(t) \in A[t]$. Let b be a root of f in some finite extension L of K . By (1) we have $g(b) = 0$ and hence the element b lies in the integral closure B of A in L . By the Krull-Akizuki theorem B is still a semi-local Dedekind domain. Using that B

is factorial and the special shape of g it is direct to check that $s|b$. Taking L large enough we can assume that all roots of f lie in B . Hence $f = u \prod_i (t - sb_i)$, with $u \in A^\times$ and $b_i \in B$. This implies f satisfies (1) of Definition 5.2. Now if $n \geq 2$ we observe that for all $j \in [1, n]$ the polynomials $\iota_j(f) \in A^{(j)}[t_1, \dots, \widehat{t_j}, \dots, t_n]$ satisfy the analogs of (1),(2) of the statement, where we use the notation from Lemma 5.5. (Notice that t_j^N is a unit in $A^{(j)}$.) Hence by induction $\iota_j(f)$ satisfies (1)^(j), (2)^(j) of Definition 5.2 for all $j \in [1, n]$. We conclude with Lemma 5.5.

Conversely, if f has the properties (1) and (2) of Definition 5.2. Then it clearly satisfies (2) of the statement. We show that it also satisfies (1). To this end let f be as in (5.2.1). Set $M := \max\{N_1, \dots, N_n\}$. Then by condition (1) of Definition 5.2 for all tuples $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \neq (N_1, \dots, N_n)$ there exist an $i \in [0, M-1]$ such that $\max_{j=0}^n (N_j - i_j) = M - i$ and $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = s^{M-i} b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in A$ with $b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in A$. We get

$$(5.6.1) \quad f \cdot (a_{N_1, \dots, N_n}^{-1} t_1^{M-N_1} \dots t_n^{M-N_n}) = (t_1 \dots t_n)^M + \sum_{i=1}^M s^{M-i} (t_1 \dots t_n)^i \cdot g_{M-i},$$

where

$$g_{M-i} = \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \\ \max_{j=1}^n (N_j - i_j) = M-i}} b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} t_1^{M-i-N_1+i_1} \dots t_n^{M-i-N_n+i_n} \in A[t_1, \dots, t_n].$$

Thus f satisfies (1). □

Lemma 5.7. *Let $f, g \in A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be polynomials. Then*

$$f \cdot g \in Q_n \iff f, g \in Q_n.$$

Proof. This ' \implies ' direction is easy. We prove the other direction. Clearly f and g satisfy condition (4) of Definition 5.2. By Lemma 5.6 $f \cdot g \in Q_n$ implies that $f \cdot g$ satisfies the conditions (1),(2) of Lemma 5.6 and then also each of f and g satisfies these conditions. Hence f and g satisfy (1), (2) of Definition 5.2. Furthermore if a, b are the leading coefficients of f, g , then ab is the leading coefficient of fg and we have by assumption $0 = v(fg/ab) = v(f/a) + v(g/b)$, for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Since $f/a, g/b$ have leading coefficient equal to 1 we have $v(f/a) \leq 0$ and $v(g/b) \leq 0$, by the formula in (5.1.1). Hence $v(f/a) = v(g/b) = 0$. Thus $f, g \in Q_n$. □

Corollary 5.8. *Q_n/A^\times is the free abelian monoid generated on polynomials $f \in Q_n$ which are irreducible and are normalized such that the leading coefficient is 1.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.7 and the fact that $A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is factorial. □

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

6.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ be as in 4.2. Let $D_{\text{red}} = \cup_i D_i$ be the decomposition into irreducible components. We denote by A the semilocalization of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ at the generic points of D . (By assumption 4.2 (4) there is an open affine subscheme $\text{Spec } B \subset \mathcal{C}$ containing all the generic points of D , then A is the localization of B at the union of the prime ideals corresponding to these points.) In particular, A is a semilocal Dedekind domain. Let $s \in A$ be an equation for D , i.e.

$$D|_{\text{Spec } A} = \text{Spec } A/(s).$$

Set $U := \mathcal{C} \setminus |D|$ and

$$\mathcal{V} := \{v_x \mid x \in U^{(1)} \text{ with } \overline{\{x\}} \cap |D| \neq \emptyset\},$$

where we denote by $v_x : k(\mathcal{C})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the normalized discrete valuation corresponding to x . We have two maps

$$(6.1.1) \quad U \times \square^n \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \xleftarrow{\alpha} \text{Spec } A \times \mathbb{A}^n,$$

where j is the natural open immersion and α is the product of the natural map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and the composition

$$(6.1.2) \quad \mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec } k[t_1, \dots, t_n] \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[y_1, \dots, y_n] = (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\})^n \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n,$$

where the first map is induced by $y_i \mapsto 1 - t_i$.

Let $Z \subset U \times \square^n$ be an integral closed subscheme which is finite and surjective over $S \times \square^n$ and denote by $\overline{Z} \subset \mathcal{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ its closure. In particular $\text{codim}(\overline{Z}, \mathcal{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n) = 1$. Denote by $Z_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$ the image of \overline{Z} . Since Z_0 surjects onto S the dimension formula yields

$$1 = \text{trdeg}(k(Z_0)/k(S)) + \text{codim}(Z_0, \mathcal{C}).$$

Therefore we arrive in one of the following two cases:

- (1) $\text{codim}(Z_0, \mathcal{C}) = 1$ and hence $\overline{Z} = Z_0 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$.
- (2) $Z_0 = \mathcal{C}$.

In the second case the scheme-theoretic inverse image $\alpha^{-1}(\overline{Z})$ is an integral closed subscheme of codimension one in $\text{Spec } A \times \mathbb{A}^n$. Since $A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is factorial there is an irreducible polynomial $p(Z) \in A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ such that

$$(6.1.3) \quad \alpha^{-1}(\overline{Z}) = \text{div}(p(Z)).$$

Notice that $p(Z)$ is only well-defined up to multiplication with an element from A^\times .

Lemma 6.2. *Let $Z \subset U \times \square^n$ be as above. If $Z \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$, then one of the following two conditions is satisfied (with the notations from above):*

- (1) $\text{codim}(Z_0, \mathcal{C}) = 1$ and $Z_0 \in C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ (i.e. Z_0 is finite and surjective over S and contained in U).
- (2) $Z_0 = \mathcal{C}$ and $p(Z) \in Q_n(A, \mathcal{V}, s)$, with A, \mathcal{V} and s as chosen above.

Proof. In case $\text{codim}(Z_0, \mathcal{C}) = 1$ we have $\overline{Z} = Z_0 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. Hence (3.2.1) implies $Z_0 \cap D = \emptyset$, i.e. $Z_0 \subset U$. Now assume that $Z_0 = \mathcal{C}$. We have to check that

$$f := p(Z)$$

satisfies (1)-(4) of Definition 5.2. In fact (4) is clear since we start with $Z \subset U \times \square^n$. For (2) of Lemma 5.6 we have to show that \overline{Z} does not contain a closed subset of the form $D_i \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{j-1} \times \{\infty\} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-j}$, for some i, j . But this is excluded by the modulus condition (3.2.1). Pulling back the modulus condition along α from (6.1.1) we obtain

$$(6.2.1) \quad D_{\alpha^{-1}(\overline{Z})} \prec V(t_1 \cdots t_n)_{\alpha^{-1}(\overline{Z})}.$$

By definition of f in (6.1.3) this means that the element

$$\frac{t_1 \cdots t_n}{s} \in \text{Frac} \left(\frac{A[t_1, \dots, t_n]}{(f)} \right)$$

is integral over $A[t_1, \dots, t_n]/(f)$. This directly translates into (1) of Lemma 5.6. Hence f satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of Definition (5.2). Finally, if $x \in U^{(1)}$

with $\overline{\{x\}} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ we want to show that $v_x(f/a) = 0$, where a is the leading coefficient of f . We may assume $a = 1$ and hence we have to show $v_x(f) = 0$. Take $y \in \overline{\{x\}} \cap |D|$ and set $B := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, y}$ and $V := \text{Spec } B$. Denote by $\mathfrak{c}_y(f) \subset k(\mathcal{C})$ the B -submodule given by

$$\mathfrak{c}_y(f) = \{c \in k(\mathcal{C}) \mid c \cdot f \in B[t_1, \dots, t_n]\}.$$

Then $\overline{Z} \times_{\mathcal{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n} (V \times \mathbb{A}^n)$ is the closed subscheme of $\text{Spec } B[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ given by the ideal $\mathfrak{c}_y(f) \cdot f \cdot B[t_1, \dots, t_n]$. Since the leading coefficient of f is equal to 1, we have $\mathfrak{c}_y(f) \subset B$. The modulus condition (3.2.1) tells us in particular that $\overline{Z} \cap (|D| \times \square^n) = \emptyset$. Hence $\overline{Z} \times_{\mathcal{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n} (\{y\} \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})^n) = \emptyset$. If $\mathfrak{m} \subset B$ denotes the maximal ideal this means that

$$\frac{\mathfrak{c}_y(f) \cdot f \cdot B[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]}{\mathfrak{m} \cdot B[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]} = \frac{B[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]}{\mathfrak{m} \cdot B[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]},$$

i.e. there exists an element $b \in \mathfrak{c}_y(f) \subset B$ and $M_1, \dots, M_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(6.2.2) \quad b \cdot f \in (t_1^{M_1} \cdots t_n^{M_n}) \cdot B^\times + \mathfrak{m} B[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}].$$

Now write f as in (5.2.1) (with $a_{N_1, \dots, N_n} = 1$). We claim that $M_i = N_i$ for all i . Indeed, (6.2.2) yields that $b \cdot a_{M_1, \dots, M_n} \in B^\times$. If D_j is an irreducible component of D passing through y and with generic point η_j , then we have a natural map $B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, \eta_j}$ and hence $b \cdot a_{M_1, \dots, M_n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, \eta_j}^\times$. In particular $a_{M_1, \dots, M_n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, \eta_j}^\times$ which by (1) of Definition 5.2 is only possible if $M_i = N_i$. Since $a_{N_1, \dots, N_n} = 1$ (6.2.2) yields that $b \in B^\times$ and hence $\mathfrak{c}_y(f) = B$. If $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, x}$ is a local parameter then we obtain

$$\pi^{-v_x(f)} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, x} = \{c \in k(\mathcal{C}) \mid c \cdot f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, x}[t_1, \dots, t_n]\} = \mathfrak{c}_y(f) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, x} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}, x},$$

i.e. $v_x(f) = 0$. This proves the lemma. \square

Proposition 6.3. *Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n(\mathcal{C}/S, D)^{\text{eff}}$ the free submonoid of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ generated by prime correspondences. Then with the notation from 6.1 above there is an isomorphism of monoids for all $n \geq 1$*

$$(6.3.1) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n(\mathcal{C}/S, D)^{\text{eff}} \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)^{\text{eff}} \oplus (Q_n(A, \mathcal{V}, s)/A^\times),$$

which is given by

$$Z \subset U \times \square^n (\text{integral}) \mapsto \begin{cases} Z_0, & \text{if } \overline{Z} = Z_0 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n, \\ p(Z) \bmod A^\times, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The map is well-defined by Lemma 6.2. It is clearly injective and surjects onto the generators of the first factor. Let $f \in Q_n(A, \mathcal{V}, s)$ be a non-constant irreducible polynomial. Then with the notation from 6.1 it defines a point $(f) \in \text{Spec } A \times \mathbb{A}^n$ and we denote by \overline{Z} the closure of $\alpha((f))$ in $\mathcal{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and set $Z := j^{-1}(\overline{Z})$. We claim

$$(6.3.2) \quad Z \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n(\mathcal{C}/S, D).$$

Then clearly $p(Z) = f \bmod A^\times$ and the surjectivity follows from Corollary 5.8. We prove (6.3.2). Since f satisfies (4) of Definition 5.2, we see that Z is not empty and is henceforth an integral closed subscheme of codimension 1 inside $U \times \square^n$. Since f is non-constant \overline{Z} surjects onto \mathcal{C} . Further the property (2) of Definition 5.2 implies that \overline{Z} does not contain closed subschemes of the form $D_i \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{j-1} \times \{\infty\} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-j}$, for any i, j . Hence there is no irreducible component of $\overline{Z} \cap (D \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$ which maps

to $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ under any of the n projections. Thus it suffices to check the modulus condition (3.2.1) after pulling \overline{Z} back along the composition

$$\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{A}^n \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times (6.1.2)} \mathcal{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\})^n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^n.$$

To this end write f as in (5.2.1); we may assume $a_{N_1, \dots, N_n} = 1$. Property (3) of Definition 5.2 then implies that there exists an open subset $V \subset \mathcal{C}$ with $D \subset V$ such that $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(V)$. (Here we use that \mathcal{C} is normal.) Set $M := \max\{N_1, \dots, N_n\}$ and denote by $\eta_j \in D$ the generic points. Then by (1) of Definition 5.2 the a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} map to zero in $\mathcal{O}_{(M-i), D, \eta_j}$ for all j and all tuples (i_1, \dots, i_n) with $\max_{j=1}^n (N_j - i_j) = M - i$, for some $i \in [0, M - 1]$. Since D has no embedded components, this implies that $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(-(M - i) \cdot D))$, for these tuples (i_1, \dots, i_n) . Therefore, if $V' \subset V$ is an open affine subset on which D is given by an equation σ one gets a similar equation as in (5.6.1) and concludes that $t_1 \cdots t_n / \sigma$ is integral over $\mathcal{O}(V')[t_1, \dots, t_n]/(f)$. This directly translates into the modulus condition (3.2.1) over V' . Since $V' \subset V$ was arbitrary the modulus condition holds in general. In particular Z is closed in $\mathcal{C} \times \square^n$ and hence is proper over $S \times \square^n$. Since $U \rightarrow S$ is affine so is $Z \rightarrow S \times \square^n$ and therefore Z is finite over $S \times \square^n$. Then Z is also surjective onto $S \times \square^n$ for dimension reasons and hence $Z \in \tilde{C}_n(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$. \square

6.4. Let $f \in Q_{n+1}$ be a non-constant irreducible polynomial and write f as in (5.2.1). Then for $j \in [1, n + 1]$ and $\epsilon \in \{0, \infty\}$ we define the correspondence

$$Z_{j, \epsilon}(f) \in C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)^{\text{eff}}$$

as follows: For a prime correspondence $Z \in C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ denote by $v_Z : k(\mathcal{C})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the corresponding normalized discrete valuation; it extends canonically to valuations on $k(\mathcal{C} \times \square^n)$ and on $k(\mathcal{C} \times \square^{n+1})$, see 5.1.1. Then

$$Z_{j, \epsilon}(f) := \sum_{Z \in C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)} (-v_Z(f) + b_{j, \epsilon}(f)_Z) \cdot Z,$$

with

$$b_{j, \epsilon}(f)_Z := v_Z(f(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, \epsilon + 1, t_j, \dots, t_n)).$$

(By convention we put $\infty + 1 = \infty$. We also use the notation from Lemma 5.6.) Notice that $b_{j, \epsilon}(f)_Z \geq \min\{v_Z(a_{i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}})\}$ and hence $-v_Z(f) + b_{j, \epsilon}(f)_Z \geq 0$, for all Z . Also for $u \in A^\times$ we have $Z_{j, \epsilon}(f) = Z_{j, \epsilon}(uf)$. If $f = \prod_i f_i \in Q_{n+1}$ with f_i irreducible then we set $Z_{j, \epsilon}(f) = \sum_i Z_{j, \epsilon}(f_i)$. By Corollary 5.8 this yields a morphism of monoids

$$Z_{j, \epsilon} : Q_{n+1}/A^\times \rightarrow C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)^{\text{eff}}.$$

Denote by $(Q_{n+1}/A^\times)^{\text{gp}}$ the group generated by the monoid Q_{n+1}/A^\times . Then we obtain a group homomorphism

$$Z_{j, \epsilon} : (Q_{n+1}/A^\times)^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D), \quad f/g \mapsto Z_{j, \epsilon}(f) - Z_{j, \epsilon}(g).$$

Lemma 6.5. *The following diagram commutes for all $n \geq 1$, $j \in [1, n]$ and $\epsilon \in \{0, \infty\}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C}_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}/S, D) & \xrightarrow{\partial_\epsilon^j} & \tilde{C}_n(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \\ \downarrow (6.3.1) \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq (6.3.1) \\ C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_{n+1}/A^\times)^{\text{gp}} & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_n/A^\times)^{\text{gp}}, \end{array}$$

where the lower map is given by

$$\left(Z, \frac{f(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1})}{g(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1})} \right) \mapsto \left(Z + Z_{j,\epsilon}(f/g), \frac{\rho(f(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1 + \epsilon, t_j, \dots, t_n))}{\rho(g(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1 + \epsilon, t_j, \dots, t_n))} \right),$$

with $Z_{j,\epsilon}(f)$ as defined in 6.4 and $\rho(f)$ as in Definition 5.4. In particular the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C}_1(\mathcal{C}/S, D) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0 - \partial_\infty} & C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_1/A^\times)^{\text{gp}} & \xrightarrow{(Z, f(t)) \mapsto Z_{1,0}(f) - Z_{1,\infty}(f)} & C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D). \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $Z \in C_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ be a prime correspondence. If $Z = Z_0 \times \square^{n+1}$ with $Z_0 \in C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ a prime correspondence, then $\partial_\epsilon^j(Z) = Z_0 \times \square^n$. Therefore $Z \mapsto \partial_\epsilon^j(Z)$ translates under (6.3.1) into $(Z_0, 0) \mapsto (Z_0, 0)$. Now assume Z dominates \mathcal{C} and set $f := p(Z)$ with the notation from (6.1.3) (this is well defined up to multiplication with an element from A^\times). Denote by $Z' \subset U \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\})^{n+1}$ the image of Z under the isomorphism

$$(6.5.1) \quad U \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\})^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} U \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\})^{n+1},$$

which is induced by the unique isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_U -algebras $\mathcal{O}_U[t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U[y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}]$ given by $t_i \mapsto 1 - y_i$. We have to compute $\partial_{1+\epsilon}^j(Z')$. We restrict to the case $\epsilon = 0$ and $j = n + 1$, the other cases are similar. Set $B = H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$ and $K = \text{Frac}(B) = \text{Frac}(A)$. Then by definition of f the ideal of Z' in $U \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\})^{n+1}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ cf \mid c \in K \text{ with } cf \in B[t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}] \cdot B[t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}, \frac{1}{t_1 \cdots t_{n+1}}] \right\}.$$

Let x be the generic point of a prime correspondence in $C_n(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ and denote by $x' \in U \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\})^n$ its image under the isomorphism (6.5.1). Denote by R the localization of $B[t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}]$ with respect to the prime ideal defined by $x' \times \{1\}$ and by $v_{x'} : \text{Frac}(R/(t_{n+1} - 1))^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the valuation defined by x' . Then the multiplicity with which the correspondence $\overline{\{x'\}}$ occurs in $\partial_1^{n+1}(Z')$ is equal to $v_{x'}(\mathfrak{p}R/(t_{n+1} - 1))$. (Notice that $\mathfrak{p}R/(t_{n+1} - 1)$ is a principal ideal so that the latter expression makes sense.) Now if x' dominates \mathcal{C} we have $K \subset R$ so that $\mathfrak{p}R = (f)$ and

$$v_{x'}(\mathfrak{p}R/(t_{n+1} - 1)) = v_{x'}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n, 1)) = v_{x'}(\rho(f(t_1, \dots, t_n, 1))).$$

Otherwise there is a point $x_0 \in U^{(1)}$ which dominates S and such that x' is the generic point of $x_0 \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\})^n$. In this case $v_{x'}$ extends the valuation v_{x_0} defined by x_0 and if $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{U, x_0}$ is a local parameter we have

$$\mathfrak{p}R = (\pi^{-v_{x'}(f)} \cdot f).$$

Hence $v_{x'}(\mathfrak{p}R/(t_{n+1} - 1)) = -v_{x'}(f) + b_{n+1,0}(f)_{\overline{\{x'\}}}$. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let $f \in Q_1$ be non-constant. Then $f(1)/f(\infty) \in G(\mathcal{C}, D)$ (see 4.1 for the notation) and $Z_{1,0}(f) - Z_{1,\infty}(f) = \text{div}(f(1)/f(\infty))$.*

Proof. The first part is immediate from Definition 5.2. The second part follows from the exact sequence (4.1.1), Lemma 4.3 and the definition of $Z_{j,\epsilon}(f)$ in 6.4. \square

Definition 6.7. For $n \geq 1$ we define $NQ_n(A, \mathcal{V}, s) := NQ_n$ to be the set of all elements in $\text{Frac}(A[t_1, \dots, t_n])^\times / A^\times$ such that:

- (1) it has a representation f/g with $f, g \in Q_n$ satisfying (2)-(4) below.
(2)

$$\beta_{j,0}(f/g) := \frac{\rho(f(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n))}{\rho(g(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n))} \in A^\times, \quad \text{for all } j \in [2, n].$$

(3)

$$\beta_{j,\infty}(f/g) := \frac{\rho(f(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, \infty, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n))}{\rho(g(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, \infty, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n))} \in A^\times, \quad \text{for all } j \in [1, n].$$

(4)

$$\beta_{j,\epsilon}(f/g) / \beta_{j',\epsilon'}(f/g) \in H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}|D}^\times),$$

where $(j, \epsilon), (j', \epsilon') \in ([1, n] \times \{\infty\}) \cup ([2, n] \times \{0\})$ and we use the notation from 4.1.

Notice that NQ_n is a group. We obtain a homological complex

$$NQ_\bullet : \dots \rightarrow NQ_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n} NQ_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} \dots \rightarrow NQ_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} NQ_0 := C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\delta_n \left(\frac{f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{g(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho(f(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}))}{\rho(g(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}))}, & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ \text{div} \left(\frac{f(1)}{g(1)} \frac{g(\infty)}{f(\infty)} \right), & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 6.8. *The isomorphism from Proposition 6.3 induces an isomorphism*

$$NC_\bullet(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \xrightarrow{\cong} NQ_\bullet,$$

where $NC_\bullet(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ is the normalized chain complex from Lemma 3.3. In particular, by the latter lemma NQ_\bullet is homotopy equivalent to $C_\bullet(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$.

Proof. Consider the homological complex $C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_\bullet/A^\times)^{\text{gp}}$ with differentials as described in Lemma 6.5. It suffices to show that the normalized complex of $C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_\bullet/A^\times)^{\text{gp}}$ (in the sense of Lemma 3.3) is equal to NQ_\bullet . To this end, we take $(\alpha, f/g) \in C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_n/A^\times)^{\text{gp}}$. We can assume that f and g have leading coefficient equal to 1. Assume that $\partial_\epsilon^j(\alpha, f/g) = 0$ for all $(j, \epsilon) \in ([1, n] \times \{\infty\}) \cup ([2, n] \times \{0\})$. By Lemma 6.5 this is equivalent to $\beta_{j,\epsilon}(f/g) \in A^\times$ and $\alpha = -Z_{j,\epsilon}(f/g)$ for all $(j, \epsilon) \in ([1, n] \times \{\infty\}) \cup ([2, n] \times \{0\})$. Finally notice that under the above conditions

$$Z_{j,\epsilon}(f/g) = Z_{j',\epsilon'}(f/g)$$

is by the definition of $Z_{j,\epsilon}$ in 6.4 equivalent to

$$\text{div}(\beta_{j,\epsilon}(f/g)) = \text{div}(\beta_{j',\epsilon'}(f/g)) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{C}.$$

Hence $\beta_{j,\epsilon}(f/g) / \beta_{j',\epsilon'}(f/g)$ is a global unit of \mathcal{C} . Furthermore since f and g have leading coefficient equal to 1 condition (1) of Definition 5.2 says that f and g map to 1 in the residue fields of the generic points of D . Since D is a Cartier divisor, it has no embedded components and therefore $f = g = 1$ on all of D . This gives $\beta_{j,\epsilon}(f/g) / \beta_{j',\epsilon'}(f/g) \in H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}|D}^\times)$.

We define $\theta_0 : NQ_0 \rightarrow C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ to be the identity map and for $n \geq 1$,

$$\theta_n : NQ_n \rightarrow C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_n/A^\times)^{\text{gp}}, \quad f/g \mapsto (-Z_{1,\infty}(f/g), f/g).$$

We claim that the diagram

$$(6.8.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} NQ_n & \xrightarrow{\theta_n} & C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_n/A^\times)^{\text{gp}} \\ \delta_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_0^1 \\ NQ_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{n-1}} & C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_{n-1}/A^\times)^{\text{gp}} \end{array}$$

commutes for all $n \geq 1$. It is then clear that θ induces an isomorphism from NQ_\bullet to the normalized complex of $C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D) \oplus (Q_\bullet/A^\times)^{\text{gp}}$, which proves the corollary. For $n = 1$ the commutativity of (6.8.1) follows directly from the definition of δ_1 , Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.6. For $n \geq 2$ the commutativity amounts to show

$$(6.8.2) \quad -Z_{1,\infty}(f/g) + Z_{1,0}(f/g) = -Z_{1,\infty}(f(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})/g(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})).$$

To this end, let $Z \in C_0(\mathcal{C}/S, D)$ be a prime correspondence. With the notation from 6.4 we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & -(-v_Z(f/g) + b_{1,\infty}(f/g)_Z) + (-v_Z(f/g) + b_{1,0}(f/g)_Z) \\ &= -v_Z \left(\frac{f(\infty, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})}{g(\infty, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})} \right) + v_Z \left(\frac{f(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})}{g(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})} \right) \\ &= -v_Z \left(\frac{f(1, \infty, t_1, \dots, t_{n-2})}{g(1, \infty, t_1, \dots, t_{n-2})} \right) + v_Z \left(\frac{f(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})}{g(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})} \right) \\ &= - \left(b_{1,\infty} \left(\frac{f(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})}{g(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})} \right)_Z - v_Z \left(\frac{f(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})}{g(1, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where for the second equality we used that f/g has the properties (3) and (4) of Definition 6.7. This gives (6.8.2) and finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.9. *Assume $n \geq 2$ and take $f, g \in Q_n$ with $f/g \in NQ_n$. Denote by $a \in A^\times$ the leading coefficient of f and by $b \in A^\times$ the leading coefficient of g (see Definition 5.2). Then for all $(j, \epsilon) \in ([1, n] \times \{\infty\}) \cup ([2, n] \times \{0\})$ we have*

$$(6.9.1) \quad \frac{f(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1 + \epsilon, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n)}{g(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1 + \epsilon, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n)} = \frac{a}{b} \cdot t_1^{m_1} \cdots \widehat{t_j^{m_j}} \cdots t_n^{m_n},$$

where $m_i = \deg_{t_i}(f) - \deg_{t_i}(g)$, $i \in [1, n]$. If furthermore $\delta_n(f/g) = 1$, then (6.9.1) also holds for $(j, \epsilon) = (1, 0)$.

Proof. Write

$$f = \sum_{i_1=0}^{N_1} \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^{N_n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \cdot t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n}$$

and

$$g = \sum_{j_1=0}^{M_1} \cdots \sum_{j_n=0}^{M_n} b_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \cdot t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_n^{j_n}.$$

Then

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \infty) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{N_1} \cdots \sum_{i_{n-1}=0}^{N_{n-1}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, N_n} \cdot t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}$$

and

$$g(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \infty) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{M_1} \cdots \sum_{j_{n-1}=0}^{M_{n-1}} b_{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}, M_n} \cdot t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}}.$$

Since $n \geq 2$ we can only have an equality $\beta_{n,\infty}(f/g) = c_{n,\infty} \in A^\times$ if there exist non-negative integers $r_i \in [0, N_i]$ and $s_i \in [0, M_i]$ such that

$$(6.9.2) \quad a_{i_1+r_1, \dots, i_{n-1}+r_{n-1}, N_n} = c_{n,\infty} \cdot b_{i_1+s_1, \dots, i_{n-1}+s_{n-1}, M_n}, \quad \text{for all } i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}.$$

We set $m_i(n) := r_i - s_i$. Then plugging in $i_j = N_j - r_j$ we see that

$$b_{N_1-m_1(n), \dots, N_n-m_n(n)} \in A^\times.$$

By definition of Q_n this is only possible if

$$(6.9.3) \quad \deg_{t_i}(f) = N_i = M_i + m_i(n) = \deg_{t_i}(g) + m_i(n), \quad \text{for } i \in [1, n-1].$$

Furthermore we get

$$\beta_{n,\infty}(f/g) = c_{n,\infty} = a_{N_1, \dots, N_n} / b_{M_1, \dots, M_n} = a/b.$$

We can play the same game with $\beta_{j,\infty}(f/g)$ for all $j \in [1, n]$ and get similar as in (6.9.3)

$$m_i(j) = N_i - M_i, \quad \text{for all } i \in [1, n] \setminus \{j\}, j \in [1, n].$$

Hence $m_i(j)$ is constant for i fixed and j running; we set $m_i := m_i(j)$. Furthermore similar as above we get

$$\beta_{j,\infty}(f/g) = a/b, \quad \text{for all } j \in [1, n].$$

This proves (6.9.1) for $(j, \epsilon) \in [1, n] \times \{\infty\}$. The equality $\beta_{n,0}(f/g) = c_{n,0} \in A^\times$ implies that there exist non-negative integers $r'_i \in [0, N_i]$ and $s'_i \in [0, M_i]$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N_n} a_{i_1+r'_1, \dots, i_{n-1}+r'_{n-1}, i} = c_{n,0} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{M_n} b_{i_1+s'_1, \dots, i_{n-1}+s'_{n-1}, i}, \quad \text{for all } i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}.$$

If we plug in $N_j - r'_j$ for the i_j 's, the left hand side of the above equality is in A^\times (by (1) and (2) of Definition 5.2). Hence the right hand side is also a unit in this case; this is only possible if

$$b_{N_1-r'_1+s'_1, \dots, N_{n-1}-r'_{n-1}+s'_{n-1}, M_n} \in A^\times$$

which is only possible if

$$N_i - (r'_i - s'_i) = M_i, \quad \text{for all } i \in [1, n-1].$$

Hence $r'_i - s'_i = m_i$ for $i \in [1, n-1]$. As above $\beta_{1,\infty}(f/g) = a/b$ implies, cf. (6.9.2),

$$a_{N_1, \dots, N_{n-1}, i} = \begin{cases} a/b \cdot b_{M_1, \dots, M_{n-1}, i-m_n}, & \text{if } i \geq m_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } i < m_n. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a/b \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{M_n} b_{M_1, \dots, M_{n-1}, i} &= \sum_{i=0}^{M_n} a_{N_1, \dots, N_{n-1}, i+m_n} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N_n} a_{N_1, \dots, N_{n-1}, i} = c_{n,0} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{M_n} b_{M_1, \dots, M_{n-1}, i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $c_{n,0} = a/b$. Similarly $\beta_{j,0}(f/g) \in A^\times$ implies $\beta_{j,0}(f/g) = a/b$ for all $j \in [2, n]$ and if $\delta_n(f/g) = 1$ also for $j = 1$. Hence the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.4. We start by showing that $H_n^S(\mathcal{C}/S, D) = 0$, for $n \geq 2$. Take $f, g \in Q_n$ with $f/g \in NQ_n$ and assume $\delta_n(f/g) = 1$. We have to show that f/g lies in the image of $\delta_{n+1} : NQ_{n+1} \rightarrow NQ_n$. To this end we may assume that the leading coefficients of f, g are equal to 1. We denote by $N_i = \deg_{t_i}(f)$ and $M_i = \deg_{t_i}(g)$ their degrees. Set $m_i := N_i - M_i$. We define

$$m_i^+ := \begin{cases} m_i, & \text{if } m_i \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } m_i < 0, \end{cases} \quad m_i^- := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m_i \geq 0, \\ -m_i, & \text{if } m_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_1(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) := t_1 \cdot \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} t_i^{m_i^-} \right) \cdot f(t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}),$$

$$h_2(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) := \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} t_i^{m_i^-} \right) \cdot f(t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}) - \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} t_i^{m_i^+} \right) \cdot g(t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}).$$

Then h_1 satisfies properties (1)-(3) of Definition 5.2. If we set $R_i := \deg_{t_i}(h_1)$, then $R_1 = 1$ and $R_i = \max\{N_{i-1}, M_{i-1}\}$, for $i \in [2, n+1]$. By definition we have $\deg_{t_i}(h_2) \leq R_i$ and the coefficients of h_2 before $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i^{R_i}$ and $\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} t_i^{R_i}$ are zero. If we have a tuple $(i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}) \in \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} [1, R_i]$ in which $i_j < R_j$ for at least one j , and $c_{0, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}$ is the coefficient of h_2 in front of $\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} t_j^{i_j}$, then

$$c_{0, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}} \in s^{\max_j \{R_j - i_j\}} \cdot A.$$

This follows from $f, g \in Q_n$ and

$$\min\{\max_j \{N_{j-1} - (i_j - m_{j-1}^-)\}, \max_j \{M_{j-1} - (i_j - m_{j-1}^+)\}\} = \max_j \{R_j - i_j\}.$$

Furthermore condition (3) of Definition 5.2 for f, g clearly implies $v(c_{0, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}}) \geq 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Hence $h_1 - h_2$ satisfies condition (1) - (3) of Definition 5.2. Thus

$$h := \rho(h_1 - h_2) \in Q_{n+1}.$$

We claim

$$q := f(t_2, \dots, t_{n+1})/h(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in NQ_{n+1}.$$

Indeed, since $f/g \in NQ_n$ and $\delta_n(f/g) = 1$ it follows from Lemma 6.9 that under $t_i \mapsto 1 + \epsilon$ the polynomial h_2 is mapped to zero, for all $i \in [2, n+1]$ and $\epsilon \in \{0, \infty\}$. Hence with the notation from Definition 6.7 we have

$$\beta_{j, \epsilon}(q) = 1, \quad \text{for all } j \in [2, n+1], \epsilon \in \{0, \infty\}.$$

One checks directly that the same holds for $j = 1$ and $\epsilon = \infty$. Hence $q \in NQ_{n+1}$. Finally we observe

$$\delta_{n+1}(q) = \frac{f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{g(t_1, \dots, t_n)}.$$

This finishes the prove of $H_i^S(\mathcal{C}/S, D) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.

We are left to show that $NQ_1/\text{Im}(\delta_2) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} NQ_0$ is quasi-isomorphic to $G(\mathcal{C}, D) \rightarrow \text{Div}(\mathcal{C}, D)$. First we claim that for $f, g \in Q_1$ we have

$$(6.9.4) \quad \frac{f(1)}{f(\infty)} = \frac{g(1)}{g(\infty)} \iff f/g \in \text{Im}(\delta_2).$$

Indeed, to see this '⟹' direction we may assume that $f(\infty) = g(\infty) = 1$ and that $n := \deg(f) \geq \deg(g) := m$. Set

$$h(t_1, t_2) := \frac{f(t_2)}{\rho(t_1 f(t_2) - (f(t_2) - t_2^{n-m} g(t_2)))}.$$

As above one checks that $h \in NQ_2$ and $\delta_2(h) = f/g$. The other direction follows immediately from Lemma 6.9.

We define the following map

$$\varphi : G(\mathcal{C}, D) \rightarrow NQ_1/\text{Im}(\delta_2), \quad a \mapsto \rho((1 - t_1) - a) \bmod \text{Im}(\delta_2).$$

Notice that φ is a group homomorphism since $f(t_1) = \rho((1 - t_1) - ab)$ and $g(t_1) = \rho((1 - t_1) - a)\rho((1 - t_1) - b)$ satisfy the condition on the left of (6.9.4). By '⟸' of (6.9.4) φ is injective. By '⟹' of (6.9.4) and Lemma 6.6 the map φ is also surjective. Altogether we see that φ is an isomorphism which clearly fits into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(\mathcal{C}, D) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \text{Div}(\mathcal{C}, D) \\ \simeq \downarrow \varphi & & \parallel \text{Lem 4.3} \\ NQ_1/\text{Im}(\delta_2) & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & NQ_0. \end{array}$$

This finishes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [BE03] Spencer Bloch and Hélène Esnault. An additive version of higher Chow groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 36(3):463–477, 2003.
- [Bou72] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Elements of mathematics. Commutative algebra*. Hermann, Paris; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1972.
- [BS] Federico Binda and Shuji Saito. Relative cycles with moduli and regulator maps. Preprint 2014, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.0385>.
- [CR] Andre Chatzistamatiou and Kay Rülling. Vanishing of the higher direct images of the structure sheaf. *Compositio Math.* 151(11):2131–2144, 2015.
- [Gro67] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (32):361, 1967.
- [KP12] Amalendu Krishna and Jinhyun Park. Moving lemma for additive higher Chow groups. *Algebra Number Theory*, 6(2):293–326, 2012.
- [KS14] Moritz Kerz and Shuji Saito. Chow group of 0-cycles with modulus and higher dimensional class field theory. Preprint, arxiv.org/pdf/1304.4400v2, 2014.
- [KSYa] Bruno Kahn, Shuji Saito, and Takao Yamazaki. Motives with modulus. Preprint 2015, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.07124>.
- [KSYb] Bruno Kahn, Shuji Saito, and Takao Yamazaki. Reciprocity sheaves. Preprint 2014, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.4201>.
- [Lev09] Marc Levine. Smooth motives. In *Motives and algebraic cycles*, volume 56 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 175–231. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [Lic93] Stephen Lichtenbaum. Suslin homology and Deligne 1-motives. In *Algebraic K-theory and algebraic topology (Lake Louise, AB, 1991)*, volume 407 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 189–196. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993.
- [Mat89] Matsumura, Hideyuki. *Commutative ring theory*, volume 8 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [MVW06] Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, volume 2 of *Clay Mathematics Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [SV96] Andrei Suslin and Vladimir Voevodsky. Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 123(1):61–94, 1996.

- [SV00] Andrei Suslin and Vladimir Voevodsky. Relative cycles and Chow sheaves. In *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, volume 143 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 10–86. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.
- [Voe00] Vladimir Voevodsky. Triangulated categories of motives over a field. In *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, volume 143 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 188–238. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.

FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, ARNIMALLEE 7, 14195 BERLIN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `kay.ruelling@fu-berlin.de`

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, AOBA, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN
E-mail address: `ytakao@math.tohoku.ac.jp`