How large should the QM region be in QM/MM calculations? The

case of catechol O-methyltransferase

Heather J. Kulik^{†,§,%}, Jianyu Zhang[¶], Judith P. Klinman[¶], and Todd J. Martinez^{†,§,*}

 [†]Department of Chemistry and PULSE Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305
 [§]SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025
 [¶]Departments of Chemistry and of Molecular and Cell Biology and California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

*Email: todd.martinez@stanford.edu

Abstract: Hybrid quantum mechanical-molecular mechanical (QM/MM) simulations are widely used in studies of enzymatic catalysis. Up until now, it has usually been cost prohibitive to determine the convergence of these calculations with respect to the size of the QM region. Recent advances in reformulating electronic structure algorithms for stream processors such as graphical processing units have made QM/MM calculations of optimized reaction paths with QM regions comprising up to $O(10^3)$ atoms feasible. Here, we leverage these GPU-accelerated quantum chemistry methods to investigate catalytic properties in catechol O-methyltransferase. Using QM regions ranging in size from the reactant only (63 atoms) up to nearly one-third of the entire protein (940 atoms), we show that convergence of properties such as the activation energy of the catalyzed reaction can be quite slow. Convergence to within chemical accuracy for this case requires a quantum mechanical region with approximately 500 atoms. These results call for a more careful determination of QM region sizes in future QM/MM studies of enzymes.

[%] Present Address: Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachussetts Institute of Technology

A firm understanding of how enzymes facilitate chemical reactions is key for designing molecular catalysts¹ and novel enzymes.² Atomistic simulations can provide valuable insight distinguishing enzymatic rate enhancements due to static, local transition-state stabilization³ from more non-local effects.⁴ However, there remains considerable uncertainty regarding the role of the greater protein.⁵⁻⁹ Enzyme simulation requires a balance of sufficient accuracy to describe chemical rearrangements and catalytic enhancement with low computational cost to enable extensive sampling. Typically, this balance is achieved through a multilevel approach,¹⁰⁻¹⁶ wherein the region of primary interest is treated quantum mechanically (QM), while the surrounding portion of the enzyme is described with an empirical molecular mechanics (MM) model. Largely because of computational limitations, typical QM region sizes (i.e. ligands and a few direct residues) are on the order of tens of atoms.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ There has been much work^{16,23,28-2920-27} to minimize QM/MM boundary effects that might be of concern with small QM regions.

Recent advances³⁰⁻³⁵ in computational techniques enable fully *ab initio*, quantum chemical simulation of polypeptides³⁰ as well as QM/MM treatments of enzymes using *ab initio* QM methods and large (more than 100 atoms) QM regions. Determining the extent to which quantum effects (e.g. due to polarization or charge transfer) are relevant in enzyme catalysis beyond a small active site region has largely been restricted to QM/MM studies with semi-empirical QM methods for computational reasons.³⁶ The few *ab initio* QM/MM studies for large molecules which have been reported document the disappointingly slow convergence (with respect to the size of the QM region) of NMR shieldings,³⁷ solvation effects,³⁸ barrier heights,^{39.40} and excitation energies.⁴¹

In this work, we investigate the convergence of key catalytic properties with increasing QM region size using the model system, catechol-*O*-methyltransferase (COMT), a 221-residue

Large OM Regions in OM/MM – Kulik. et al. – Page 2

soluble protein.⁴² COMT transfers a methyl group of *S*-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) to a catecholate anion.⁴³ There is some evidence that residues that are distant from the active site can have a significant effect on activity in COMT. For example, the Val158Met polymorphism in human COMT not only reduces activity by four-fold⁴³ but also affects prefrontal cortex function,^{44,45} pain perception,^{46,47} and mental illness^{48,49} and cancer⁵⁰ frequency. This Val/Met residue is 18 Å from the central active site of COMT. The difference in Val/Met function cannot be easily discounted as arising from a large scale conformational change: the C α root-mean-square deviation for the aligned Val and Met crystal structures is a mere 0.19 Å.⁴² Classical molecular dynamics studies of the two variants have suggested that the Val/Met substitution does impart slight changes to the flexibility of the active site.⁵¹

Studies of COMT indicate that it is characterized by an inverse temperature dependence of the secondary kinetic isotope effect (KIE), while methyl transfer in solution exhibits no measurable kinetic isotope effect.⁵² Mutagenesis and accompanying KIE measurements on COMT suggest the importance of transiently shortened donor-acceptor (D-A) distances for the methyl transfer, which are influenced by remote residues. Observations of this activity motif have been reported in hydrogen transfer,^{53,57} but the role of transiently shortened D-A distances is not well understood for reactions involving bulkier moieties,⁸ where tunneling effects are expected to be small. However, previous semiempirical QM/MM studies of COMT have not found any evidence of unexpectedly short D-A distances. This might call into question the experimental interpretation or it might be an issue with the theoretical modeling. Importantly, experimental mutagenesis and kinetic studies have implicated remote residues as far as 6 Å from the central active site in the catalytic mechanism of COMT (see Fig. 1).⁸ This could suggest that some of the surrounding residues are playing a Atomistic approaches, in particular *ab initio* electronic structure simulations, of COMT can provide insight regarding how protein scaffolds may enhance reaction rates via transiently induced, anomalously short D-A distances. Comparisons between COMT backbone fluctuations in classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations with Debye-Waller *B*-factors from crystal structures showed that classical MD yields significantly decreased positional fluctuations.⁵⁸ QM descriptions of protein structure access a greater range of structurally diverse conformers,³⁰ and a quantum-mechanical treatment that includes polarization and charge transfer directly may be useful in identifying factors that play a role in enzyme activity. Nevertheless, previous computational studies of the mechanism of COMT have been limited to semi-empirical treatment of the reactants with small QM regions.⁵² We now harness advances in computation to systematically determine how properties at the active site of COMT – namely reactant distances, atomic charges for electrostatic analyses, and activation energies – converge with increasingly expansive quantum-mechanical descriptions in QM/MM simulations.

We simulated the 214-residue, 3419 atom soluble, human form of COMT⁴² starting from available crystal structures (PDB ID: 3BWM). Six residues at the C terminus and one residue at the N terminus of the protein are unresolved in the crystal structure. The protein was crystallized in the presence of a dinitrocatechol inhibitor, which we replaced with a catecholate anion substrate in our simulations. Three water molecules resolved in the crystal structure that were buried in the interior of the active site near the catalytically relevant Mg²⁺ ion were preserved in the simulation setup. For all simulations, we carried out combined quantum mechanical (QM) and molecular mechanics (MM) calculations using our TeraChem package⁵⁹ for the QM portion and AMBER 12⁶⁰ for the molecular mechanics force field component. The QM region is modeled with density functional theory using the range-separated exchange-correlation

functional ω PBEh within the 6-31g⁶¹ basis set. We have previously benchmarked this approach for protein structure.³⁰ The MM region is modeled using the ff12SB force field.⁶⁰

The simulations were set up as follows: the protein was protonated assuming a pH of 7.0, which yielded a total net protein charge of -6. Neutralizing Na⁺ charges were added using the AMBER tleap program.⁶⁰ The protein was solvated with a 10Å radius of water that was terminated with spherical boundary conditions in simulations. The external solvating water molecules were never included in QM regions, while the three water molecules from the crystal structure were included, when within appropriate distance cutoffs of the reactants. Counterions that are introduced to produce a neutral simulation cell are always treated with the force field. Generalized Amber Force Field (GAFF) parameters were determined for both SAM and catecholate using the Antechamber code in AMBER for the MM simulations but were not used in QM/MM production runs.⁶⁰

The starting point for combined QM/MM simulations was the well-equilibrated MM structure obtained as follows: (1) 1000 constrained-protein (i.e. only solvent and ions are minimized while the protein is held fixed) and 1000 free-protein minimization steps (i.e. everything in the system is minimized), (2) 20 ps quick NVT heating to 300 K, (3) 5 ns NPT equilibration, and (4) 100 ns of NVE production runs. A representative snapshot was selected from the MM production run by choosing the mode of the C-O SAM-catechol distance distribution (~3.11 Å) for subsequent QM/MM studies.

QM regions were obtained by starting from a model that consisted of SAM and catechol and identifying residues that were within increasing cutoff distances from the reactants. We chose a total of 10 models ranging from the reactants-only (with Mg^{2+}) model (63 atoms and 0 protein

residues in the QM region) to a largest model of 940 atoms (reactants and 56 protein residues in the QM region). Distance cutoffs used for the models were 0.0,1.75, 2.00, 2.25, 2.50, 2.75, 3.00, 5.00, 6.00, and 7.00 Å from any atom in a residue to any atom in either SAM or catecholate (Fig. 2). The identification of residues inside these cutoffs was determined using PyMOL⁶² with the criteria that if any atom of a residue is inside the cutoff, the entire residue is included in the QM region. In the two largest regions, two charged residues (D150 and D205) were excluded despite satisfying the distance cutoffs in order to obtain a QM region with a net charge of -2 rather than -4. Notably, these residues were not covalently bound to any other residues in the QM region, so their exclusion also prevented the increase in covalent cuts from 28 to 32. The range of sampled QM regions was chosen in part in order to study the effect of incrementally incorporating residues that were observed experimentally to have a significant role on catalytic efficiency (E6, W38, Y68, W143, and K144; see Fig. 1 and shaded regions in Fig. 3).⁸ Of the experimentally relevant residues, models 2-3 include only K144, models 4-5 incorporate also Y68, and models 6-7 further include W38 and W143 in the QM region. Only the largest models (8-10) incorporate E6, which forms a hydrogen bond with the SAM-proximal residue Y68. By sequentially incorporating electronic structure effects from increasingly remote residues, we are able to identify whether a quantum mechanical treatment of these residues is required or if a force field description is sufficient. We also note that the charge for the QM region is determined from the sum of the charges on each individual residue included in the QM region of a given size. This means that the minimal QM region had a net charge of +1 and the QM region of the largest model 10 had a net charge of -2. Variation in charge for QM region size is indicated in Fig. 5.

Structural optimizations were carried out for the AMBER-driven QM/MM simulations. Voronoi deformation density (VDD) charges⁶³ were used to assess charge transfer. We used the nudged elastic band (NEB) method⁶⁴ to characterize reaction pathways for methyl transfer. These QM/MM NEB calculations were carried out using the implementation in AMBER.

We now consider the convergence of properties that underlie enzyme catalysis in catechol-Omethyltransferase with increasing QM region size. Experimental observations that the protein facilitates shortened methyl donor-acceptor distances (here, the donor is SAM and the acceptor is catecholate)⁸ first suggested that shortened D-A distances may be observable even in the enzyme-substrate (ES) or reactants complex. We carried out structural optimizations, in each case starting from the crystal structure geometries across our 10 different QM region models. We observe significant shortening of methyl donor-acceptor distances over observed values in solution,⁵² particularly when more residues are introduced into the quantum region (Fig. 3). In total, methyl donor-acceptor distances are shortened by around 0.2 Å with increasing QM region size, from about 3.1 Å in the reactants-only model to 2.85 Å in the largest model. This convergence to a distance significantly shorter than that predicted by van der Waal's radii is consistent with the observation of shortened distances in a number of COMT crystal structures.^{42,65-66} The methyl donor-acceptor distance of the reactants appears to be converged at Model 8 (~500 atoms, 26 residues), which is the smallest model to incorporate all of the residues identified as catalytically relevant from experimental studies.⁸ Changes in the net charge of the QM region cannot explain the variation in distance alone: the charge differs between models 2 and 3 (from +1 to -1) but the D-A distance continues to decrease for models 5 and 6 where the net charge is 0. There appears to be some correlation with the sequential inclusion of previously identified experimental residues (E6, W43, Y68, W143, K144, see Fig. 1). Namely, model 2 represents the inclusion of K144, model 4 incorporates Y68 while model 3 does not, and model 6 includes W38 and W143. These results suggest that incorporating more atoms into the QM

region does not simply dampen a size or boundary effect. In fact, boundary effects from cutting through covalent bonds in QM/MM regions¹⁶ should be the smallest in the reactants-only model where there are no covalent bonds spanning the QM/MM boundary. Rather, our results suggest that specific effects on charge density and polarization of the reactants are only converged when a number of remote residues are treated more flexibly (i.e. quantum mechanically).

We consider variations in Voronoi deformation density partial charges for these same optimized ES complexes in order to understand the changes in electronic structure associated with increasing QM region size. In isolation, catecholate is a singly charged anion; SAM is neutral, but zwitterionic, with a net positive charge on the S⁺-CH₃ moiety and a negative charge on a terminal carboxylate. We therefore compare the net charge of a SAM fragment containing the S⁺-CH₃ moiety to the charge on the portion of catecholate closest to SAM to see how charges are altered between the methyl donor and acceptor for increasing QM region size (Fig. 4). We observe slow convergence of Voronoi charges with QM region sizes commensurate with previous observations for the D-A distance convergence. For increasing QM region size, we observe a net increase in the magnitude of the positive charge localized on the S⁺-CH₃ moiety with a change in sign for regions containing more than 7 protein residues. The charge is not purely positive because our Voronoi charges are summed over the negatively charged carboxylate. This change in charge with QM region size appears to be mediated by charge transfer between the SAM carboxylate and neighboring residues. There is a net increase in the magnitude of the negative charge localized on the catecholate. This charge transfer is likely stabilizing the donating sulfur to facilitate the loss of the methyl group, and it coincides with an overall elongation in the S⁺-CH₃ bond by about 0.1 Å. We note that this charge transfer converges slowly as we add more residues to our QM region, particularly once a number of remote positioning residues (E6 and Y68) are included in the QM region or at ~26-30 residues. Overall, our results suggest that the fundamental electronic structure description of the reactants is altered when we fully describe remote residues in the active site in a quantum mechanical fashion, rather than the pure point charge description available from non-polarizable force field representations.

We have shown that the description of the ES complex changes significantly when we sequentially increase QM region size. These results suggest that the enzyme scaffold primes the reactants for methyl transfer, and that some of these effects are mediated through electronic structure properties absent from force field descriptions of residues. In order to confirm this hypothesis, we will consider how activation energies for methyl transfer differ with increasing QM region size (Fig. 5). We observe that activation energies decrease, as more residues from the protein are treated quantum mechanically. The trend is consistent with observations described above for the structure of the ES complex. As the QM region size is increased, the reactant distances (SAM-catecholate) get shorter and charges adjust on the ES complex. These changes can be viewed as conferring increasing transition state character on the ES complex, and thus it is not surprising that the reaction barrier heights decrease as the QM region size is increased. While we have not incorporated entropic effects here to give a direct comparison to the ΔG^{\ddagger} (= 18 kcal/mol)⁶⁷ obtained from experiments ($k_{cat} \approx 24/min$),^{8,67} our results suggest that the size of the QM region is a key factor in assessments of the mechanistic features of COMT within a QM/MM approach. As with charges and the C-O distance in the ES complex, we observe convergence of the activation energy at about 26-30 residues (500-600 atoms).

We have quantified how key descriptive properties of enzyme catalysis obtained from simulations depend on the size of the QM region in QM/MM calculations. Our results on COMT

show that geometric and electronic structure properties of the reactants converge slowly as more and more remote residues are added to the QM region. Namely, both reactant distances and charge transfer between reactants converge slowly with increasing QM region size. Prior to advances in GPU-accelerated quantum-chemical techniques,³¹⁻³⁵ most systematic studies of convergence with QM region size have been restricted to semi-empirical QM methods.⁶⁸ For the COMT example investigated here, we find that convergence of either structural properties or reaction energetics requires QM regions that are $\approx 10x$ larger than typically used in QM/MM calculations. We find that the required size of the QM region in this case is roughly consistent with the inclusion of residues that have been demonstrated to be mechanistically relevant.⁸ In earlier semi-empirical QM studies, forces on central QM atoms in a lysozyme were observed to converge only when QM regions were at least 500 atoms in size.⁶⁸ In the case of COMT, the experimentally-observed⁸ effect that the protein scaffold has on stabilizing the transferring complex only becomes clear when 20 or more residues are treated quantum-mechanically. These results suggest that increasing QM region sizes in QM/MM simulations and/or incorporation of polarization at the active site through application of more sophisticated force fields could serve to significantly improve the predictive accuracy of computational methods for studying enzyme catalysis.

The results we present for activation energies are obtained by complete reaction path optimization for each QM/MM region, i.e. including up to \approx 1000 atoms quantum mechanically. However, we are well aware that a complete study should include conformational sampling and entropic effects. Future work should include these effects, but

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Department of Defense (Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering) through a National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (to T.J.M) and by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants to J.P.K. and J.Z. (GM025765 and GM039296). H.J.K. holds a Career Award at the Scientific Interface from the Burroughs Wellcome Fund.



Figure 1. COMT protein with active site features highlighted. The reactants (SAM and catechol) are shown in green and purple, respectively, as well as an Mg^{2+} ion in magenta and five key residues identified from experiments (orange).



Figure 2. QM regions shown for models 1 (63 atoms, 0 residues), 7 (496 atoms, 26 residues), and 10 (940 atoms, 56 residues) with QM atoms shown in green stick representation.



Figure 3. Dependence of reactant distances in the protein (distance from transferring methyl carbon of SAM to acceptor oxygen of catecholate) on QM region size. QM region sizes are reported in terms of the number of protein residues included in each QM region from reactants-only (0 residues, 63 atoms) to a 7 Å radius around the reactants (58 residues, 940 atoms). The addition of each experimentally relevant residue is annotated. Inset shows the orientation of the methyl donor and acceptor.



Figure 4. Dependence of Voronoi deformation density partial charges for reactant fragments on QM region size. Charges on the S^+ -CH₃-containing reactant fragment of SAM (blue circles, blue shading on inset structure) and the part of catecholate closest to SAM (red squares, red shading on inset structure) are compared with the absolute values indicated on left and right y-axes, respectively. The scale of the y-axis is the same for SAM and CAT but the charges are of opposite sign (positive for SAM and negative for CAT).



Figure 5. Dependence of methyl transfer activation energy on QM region size (top) compared to variation in charge of QM region (red squares) and number of covalent cuts in QM region (green diamonds) with increasing region size.

References

1. Gao, L.; Zhuang, J.; Nie, L.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Gu, N.; Wang, T.; Feng, J.; Yang, D.; Perrett, S.; Yan, X. Intrinsic peroxidase-like activity of ferromagnetic nanoparticles. *Nature Nanotech.* **2007**, 2, 577-583.

2. Siegel, J. B.; Zanghellini, A.; Lovick, H. M.; Kiss, G.; Lambert, A. R.; St.Clair, J. L.;
Gallaher, J. L.; Hilvert, D.; Gelb, M. H.; Stoddard, B. L.; Houk, K. N.; Michael, F. E.; Baker, D.
Computational Design of an Enzyme Catalyst for a Stereoselective Bimolecular Diels-Alder
Reaction. *Science* 2010, 329, 309-313.

3. Fastrez, J.; Fersht, A. R. Mechanism of chymotrypsin - Structure, reactivity, and nonproductive binding relationships. *Biochemistry* **1973**, 12, 1067-1074.

4. Fraser, J. S.; Clarkson, M. W.; Degnan, S. C.; Erion, R.; Kern, D.; Alber, T. Hidden alternative structures of proline isomerase essential for catalysis. *Nature* 2009, 462, 669-673.
5. Villa, J.; Warshel, A. Energetics and Dynamics of Enzymatic Reactions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2001, 105, 7887-7907.

6. Roca, M.; Marti, S.; Andres, J.; Moliner, V.; Tunon, I.; Bertran, J.; Williams, I. H. Theoretical modeling of enzyme catalytic power: Analysis of "cratic" and electrostatic factors in catechol O-methyltransferase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 7726-7737.

7. Olsson, M. H. M.; Parson, W. W.; Warshel, A. Dynamical Contributions to Enzyme Catalysis: Critical Tests of A Popular Hypothesis. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, 106, 1737-1756.

 Zhang, J.; Klinman, J. P. Enzymatic Methyl Transfer: Role of an Active Site Residue in Generating Active Site Compaction That Correlates with Catalytic Efficiency. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 17134-17137.

9. Klinman, J. P.; Kohen, A. Hydrogen Tunneling Links Protein Dynamics to Enzyme Catalysis. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* **2012**.

10. Field, M. J.; Bash, P. A.; Karplus, M. A Combined Quantum-Mechanical And Molecular Mechanical Potential For Molecular-Dynamics Simulations. *J. Comp. Chem.* **1990**, 11, 700-733.

11. Bakowies, D.; Thiel, W. Hybrid models for combined quantum mechanical and molecular mechanical approaches. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, 100, 10580-10594.

12. Mordasini, T. Z.; Thiel, W. Combined quantum mechanical and molecular mechanical approaches. *Chimia* **1998**, 52, 288-291.

13. Monard, G.; Merz, K. M. Combined quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical methodologies applied to biomolecular systems. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, 32, 904-911.

14. Gao, J. L.; Truhlar, D. G. Quantum mechanical methods for enzyme kinetics. *Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2002**, 53, 467-505.

15. Rosta, E.; Klahn, M.; Warshel, A. Towards accurate ab initio QM/MM calculations of freeenergy profiles of enzymatic reactions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, 110, 2934-2941.

16. Lin, H.; Truhlar, D. QM/MM: what have we learned, where are we, and where do we go from here? *Theo. Chem. Acc.* **2007**, 117, 185-199.

17. Vidossich, P.; Florin, G.; Alfonso-Prieto, M.; Derat, E.; Shaik, S.; Rovira, C. On the Role of Water in Peroxidase Catalysis: A Theoretical Investigation of HRP Compound I Formation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, 114, 5161-5169.

18. Senn, H. M.; Thiel, W. QM/MM Methods for Biomolecular Systems. *Ang. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 1198-1229.

19. Carloni, P.; Rothlisberger, U.; Parrinello, M. The role and perspective of a initio molecular dynamics in the study of biological systems. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2002**, 35, 455-464.

20. Wang, Y.; Gao, J. Projected Hybrid Orbitals: A General QM/MM Method. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2014**, Articles ASAP.

21. Slavicek, P.; Martinez, T. J. Multicentered valence electron effective potentials: A solution to the link atom problem for ground and excited electronic states. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, 124, 084107.

22. Murphy, R. B.; Philipp, D. M.; Friesner, R. A. A mixed quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) method for large scale modeling of chemistry in protein environments. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2000**, 21, 1442-1457.

23. Eurenius, K. P.; Chatfield, D. C.; Brooks, B. R.; Hodoscek, M. Enzyme mechanisms with hybrid quantum and molecular mechanical potentials. I. Theoretical considerations. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **1996**, 60, 1189-1200.

24. Zhang, Y.; Lee, T.-S.; Yang, W. A pseudobond approach to combining quantum mechanical and molecular mechanical methods. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, 110, 46-54.

25. DiLabio, G. A.; Hurley, M. M.; Christiansen, P. A. Simple one-electron quantum capping potentials for use in hybrid QM/MM studies of biological molecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, 116, 9578-9584.

26. von Lilienfeld, O. A.; Tavernelli, I.; Rothlisberger, U.; Sebastiani, D. Variational optimization of effective atom-centered potentials for molecular properties. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2005, 122, 14113.

27. Wang, B.; Truhlar, D. G. Combined quantum mechanical and molecular mechanical methods for calculating potential energy surfaces: Tuned and balanced redistributed charge algorithm. *J. Chem. Theo. Comp.* **2010**, 6, 359-369.

28. Senn, H. M.; Thiel, W. QM/MM studies of enzymes. *Curr. Op. Chem. Bio.* 2007, 11, 182-187.

29. Monari, A.; Rivail, J.-L.; Assfeld, X. Advances in the local self-consistent field method for mixed quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics calculations. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, 46, 596-603.

30. Kulik, H. J.; Luehr, N.; Ufimtsev, I. S.; Martinez, T. J. Ab Initio Quantum Chemistry for Protein Structure. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**.

31. Ufimtsev, I. S.; Martínez, T. J. Quantum Chemistry on Graphical Processing Units. 1. Strategies for Two-Electron Integral Evaluation. *J. Chem. Theo. Comp.* **2008**, 4, 222-231.

32. Ufimtsev, I. S.; Martínez, T. J. Quantum Chemistry on Graphical Processing Units. 2. Direct Self-Consistent-Field Implementation. *J. Chem. Theo. Comp.* **2009**, *5*, 1004-1015.

33. Ufimtsev, I. S.; Martínez, T. J. Quantum Chemistry on Graphical Processing Units. 3.

Analytical Energy Gradients, Geometry Optimization, and First Principles Molecular Dynamics. *J. Chem. Theo. Comp.* **2009**, *5*, 2619-2628.

34. Isborn, C. M.; Luehr, N.; Ufimtsev, I. S.; Martinez, T. J. Excited-State Electronic Structure with Configuration Interaction Singles and Tamm-Dancoff Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory on Graphical Processing Units. *J. Chem. Theo. Comp.* **2011**, 7, 1814-1823.

35. Ufimtsev, I. S.; Luehr, N.; Martínez, T. J. Charge Transfer and Polarization in Solvated Proteins from Ab Initio Molecular Dynamics. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *2*, 1789-1793.

36. Meier, K.; Thiel, W.; van Gunsteren, W. F. On the effect of a variation of the force field, spatial boundary condition and size of the QM region in QM/MM MD simulations. *J. Comp. Chem.* **2012**, 33, 363-378.

37. Flaig, D.; Beer, M.; Ochsenfeld, C. Convergence of Electronic Structure with the Size of the QM Region: Example of QM/MM NMR Shieldings. *J. Chem. Theo. Comp.* 2012, 8, 2260-2271.
38. Fox, S. J.; Pittock, C.; Fox, T.; Tautermann, C. S.; Malcolm, N.; Skylaris, C. K. Electrostatic embedding in large-scale first principles quantum mechanical calculations on biomolecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2011, 135.

39. Liao, R.-Z.; Thiel, W. Convergence in the QM-Only and QM/MM Modeling of Enzymatic Reactions: A Case Study for Acetylene Hydratase. *J. Comp. Chem.* 2013, 34, 2389-2397.
40. Sadeghian, K.; Flaig, D.; Blank, I. D.; Schneider, S.; Strasser, R.; Stathis, D.; Winnacker, M.;

Carell, T.; Ochsenfeld, C. Ribose-Protonated DNA Base Excision Repair: A Combined Theoretical and Experimental Study. *Ang. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 10044-10048.

41. Isborn, C. M.; Goetz, A. W.; Clark, M. A.; Walker, R. C.; Martinez, T. J. Electronic

Absorption Spectra from MM and ab Initio QM/MM Molecular Dynamics: Environmental

Effects on the Absorption Spectrum of Photoactive Yellow Protein. J. Chem. Theo. Comp. 2012.

42. Rutherford, K.; Le Trong, I.; Stenkamp, R. E.; Parson, W. W. Crystal Structures of Human 108V and 108M Catechol O-Methyltransferase. *J. Mol. Bio.* **2008**, 380, 120-130.

43. Lotta, T.; Vidgren, J.; Tilgmann, C.; Ulmanen, I.; Melen, K.; Julkunen, I.; Taskinen, J.
Kinetics of Human Soluble and Membrane-Bound Catechol O-Methyltransferase: A Revised
Mechanism and Description of the Thermolabile Variant of the Enzyme. *Biochemistry* 1995, 34, 4202-4210.

44. Bellgrove, M. A.; Domschke, K.; Hawi, Z.; Kirley, A.; Mullins, C.; Robertson, I. H.; Gill, M. The methionine allele of the COMT polymorphism impairs prefrontal cognition in children and adolescents with ADHD. *Exp. Brain Res.* **2005**, 163, 352-360.

45. Weiss, E. M.; Stadelmann, E.; Kohler, C. G.; Brensinger, C. M.; Nolan, K. A.; Oberacher, H.; Parson, W.; Pitterl, F.; Niederstutter, H.; Kemmler, G.; Hinterhuber, H.; Marksteiner, J. Differential effect of catechol-O-methyltransferase Val158Met genotype on emotional recognition abilities in healthy men and women. *J. Int. Neuropsych. Soc.* **2007**, 13, 881-887.

46. Diatchenko, L.; Nackley, A. G.; Slade, G. D.; Bhalang, K.; Belfer, I.; Max, M. B.; Goldman, D.; Maixner, W. Catechol-O-methyltransferase gene polymorphisms are associated with multiple pain-evoking stimuli. *Pain* **2006**, 125, 216-224.

47. Rakvag, T. T.; Klepstad, P.; Baar, C.; Kvam, T.-M.; Dale, O.; Kaasa, S.; Krokan, H. E.; Skorpen, F. The Val158Met polymorphism of the human catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene may influence morphine requirements in cancer pain patients. *Pain* **2005**, 116, 73-78.

48. Karayiorgou, M.; Altemus, M.; Galke, B. L.; Goldman, D.; Murphy, D. L.; Ott, J.; Gogos, J.

A. Genotype determining low catechol-O-methyltransferase activity as a risk factor for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **1997**, 94, 4572-4575.

49. Egan, M. F.; Goldberg, T. E.; Kolachana, B. S.; Callicott, J. H.; Mazzanti, C. M.; Straub, R.

E.; Goldman, D.; Weinberger, D. R. Effect of COMT Val108/158 Met genotype on frontal lobe function and risk for schizophrenia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2001**, 98, 6917-6922.

50. Lavigne, J. A.; Helzlsouer, K. J.; Huang, H.-Y.; Strickland, P. T.; Bell, D. A.; Selmin, O.;

Watson, M. A.; Hoffman, S.; Comstock, G. W.; Yager, J. D. An Association between the Allele Coding for a Low Activity Variant of Catechol-O-methyltransferase and the Risk for Breast Cancer. *Cancer Res.* **1997**, 57, 5493-5497.

51. Rutherford, K.; Daggett, V. A Hotspot of Inactivation: The A22S and V108M Polymorphisms Individually Destabilize the Active Site Structure of Catechol O-Methyltransferase. *Biochemistry* **2009**, 48, 6450-6460.

52. Ruggiero, G. D.; Williams, I. H.; Roca, M.; Moliner, V.; Tunon, I. QM/MM determination of kinetic isotope effects for COMT-catalyzed methyl transfer does not support compression hypothesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 8634-8635.

53. Nagel, Z. D.; Klinman, J. P. Tunneling and dynamics in enzymatic hydride transfer. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, 106, 3095-3118.

54. Klinman, J. P. An integrated model for enzyme catalysis emerges from studies of hydrogen tunneling. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, 471, 179-193.

55. Agarwal, P. K.; Billeter, S. R.; Rajagopalan, P. T. R.; Benkovic, S. J.; Hammes-Schiffer, S. Network of coupled promoting motions in enzyme catalysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2002**, 99, 2794-2799.

56. Masgrau, L.; Roujeinikova, A.; Johannissen, L. O.; Hothi, P.; Basran, J.; Ranaghan, K. E.; Mulholland, A. J.; Sutcliffe, M. J.; Scrutton, N. S.; Leys, D. Atomic Description of an Enzyme Reaction Dominated by Proton Tunneling. *Science* **2006**, 312, 237-241.

57. Pudney, C. R.; Johannissen, L. O.; Sutcliffe, M. J.; Hay, S.; Scrutton, N. S. Direct Analysis of Donor-Acceptor Distance and Relationship to Isotope Effects and the Force Constant for Barrier Compression in Enzymatic H-Tunneling Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 11329-11335.

58. Lau, E. Y.; Bruice, T. C. Importance of correlated motions in forming highly reactive near attack conformations in catechol O-methyltransferase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, 120, 12387-12394.

59. Petachem., http://www.petachem.com.

60. Case, D. A.; Darden, T. A.; Cheatham, T. E.; Simmerling, C. L.; Wang, J.; Duke, R. E.; Luo,

R.; Walker, R. C.; Zhang, W.; Merz, K. M.; Wang, B.; Hayik, S.; Roitberg, A.; Seabra, G.;

Kolossvary, I.; Wong, K. F.; Paesani, F.; Vanicek, J.; Liu, J.; Wu, X.; Brozell, S. R.;

Steinbrecher, T.; Gohlke, H.; Cai, Q.; Ye, X.; Wang, J.; Hsieh, M.-J.; Hornak, V.; Cui, G.; Roe,

D. R.; Mathews, D. H.; Seetin, M. G.; Sagui, C.; Babin, V.; Luchko, T.; Gusarov, S.; Kovalenko, A.; Kollman, P. A.; Roberts, B. P. Amber 12. **2012**.

61. Ditchfield, R.; Hehre, W. J.; Pople, J. A. Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. 9.
Extended Gaussian-type basis for molecular orbital studies of organic molecules. *J. Chem. Phys.*1971, 54, 724.

62. Schrodinger, L. L. C., The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version~1.3r1. 2010.

63. Guerra, C. F.; Handgraaf, J. W.; Baerends, E. J.; Bickelhaupt, F. M. Voronoi deformation density (VDD) charges: Assessment of the Mulliken, Bader, Hirshfeld, Weinhold, and VDD methods for charge analysis. *J. Comp. Chem.* **2004**, 25, 189-210.

64. Henkelman, G.; Uberuaga, B. P.; Jonsson, H. A climbing image nudged elastic band method for finding saddle points and minimum energy paths. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, 113, 9901-9904.

65. Ellermann, M.; Lerner, C.; Burgy, G.; Ehler, A.; Bissantz, C.; Jakob-Roetne, R.; Paulini, R.; Allemann, O.; Tissot, H.; Grunstein, D.; Stihle, M.; Diederich, F.; Rudolph, M. G. Catechol-O-methyltransferase in complex with substituted 3'-deoxyribose bisubstrate inhibitors. *Acta Crystallographica Section D* **2012**, 68, 253-260.

66. Vidgren, J.; Svensson, L. A.; Liljas, A. Crystal structure of catechol O-methyltransferase. *Nature* **1994**, 368, 354-358.

67. Schultz, E.; Nissinen, E. Inhibition of rat liver and duodenum soluble catechol-O-methyltransferase by a tight-binding inhibitor OR-462. *Biochem. Pharma.* 1989, 38, 3953-3956.
68. Solt, I.; Kulhanek, P.; Simon, I.; Winfield, S.; Payne, M. C.; Csanyi, G.; Fuxreiter, M. Evaluating Boundary Dependent Errors in QM/MM Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2009, 113, 5728-5735.