

# A General Integrable Nonlocal Coupled Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

Cai-Qin Song, Dong-Mei Xiao and Zuo-Nong Zhu \*

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University,  
800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai, 200240, P. R. China

## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate a general integrable nonlocal coupled nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) system with the parity-time (PT) symmetry, which contains not only the nonlocal self-phase modulation and the nonlocal cross-phase modulation, but also the nonlocal four-wave mixing terms. This nonlocal coupled NLS system is a nonlocal version of a coupled NLS system. The general Nth Darboux transformation for the nonlocal coupled NLS equation is constructed. By using the Darboux transformation, its soliton solutions are obtained.

## 1 Introduction

According to the work of Bender and Boettcher [1], the PT symmetry plays a crucial role in the spectrum of the Hamiltonian. They proved that a wide class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with PT symmetry have real and positive spectrum. This motivated the interest for many researchers on the PT symmetry in quantum mechanics [2-5]. For a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian  $H = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$ , if  $V(x)$  satisfies  $V(x) = V^*(-x)$ , then it is PT symmetric. Under this condition, the Schrödinger equation  $i\Psi_t = H\Psi$  is PT symmetric. It has been shown that the optics can provide a good condition for testing the theory of PT symmetry or observing the phenomenon when the PT symmetry is breaking [6-9].

As is well known, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS),

$$iq_t(x, t) = q_{xx}(x, t) \pm 2|q(x, t)|^2q(x, t), \quad (1)$$

which is PT symmetric, received a wide study since the appearance of the work by Zakharov and Shabat [10]. The NLS equation has many important physical applications, such as nonlinear optics

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: znzhu@sjtu.edu.cn

[11], water wave [12], and plasma physics. Very recently, Ablowitz and Musslimani investigated a nonlocal NLS equation [13]:

$$iq_t(x, t) = q_{xx}(x, t) \pm 2q(x, t)q^*(-x, t)q(x, t), \quad (2)$$

which is derived from a new symmetry reduction of the well-known AKNS system, where  $q(x, t)$  is a complex valued function of the real variables  $x$  and  $t$  and  $*$  denotes complex conjugation. The nonlocal NLS equation (2) is an integrable system possessing the Lax pair, infinitely many conservation laws and it is solvable by using the inverse scattering transformation [13]. Like the classical NLS equation, the nonlocal NLS equation (2) is PT symmetric and can be regarded as a mathematical model describing wave propagation phenomena in PT symmetric nonlinear media. The nonlocal NLS equation possesses some new behaviors, e.g., it simultaneously admits both the bright and the dark soliton solutions [14]. In addition, the dark and antidark soliton interactions have been studied by use of the Darboux transformation method [15].

On the other hand, integrable or nonintegrable coupled NLS equations have attracted widespread attention. In physical area, many problems, such as the nonlinear light propagation in a birefringent optical fiber [16], the two surface waves in deep water [17], Bose-Einstein condensates [18], are governed by the coupled NLS equations. In Ref. [19], integrable properties for the following general coupled NLS equation are investigated,

$$\begin{aligned} ip_t + p_{xx} + 2[a|p|^2 + c|q|^2 + bpq^* + b^*qp^*]p &= 0, \\ iq_t + q_{xx} + 2[a|p|^2 + c|q|^2 + bpq^* + b^*qp^*]q &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

which contains the cross-phase modulation, the self-phase modulation, and the four-wave mixing terms. When  $a = c$  and  $b = 0$ , equation (3) reduces to Manakov equation [20]. When  $a = -c$  and  $b = 0$ , it reduces to the mixed coupled NLS equation [21]. In [19], the N-soliton solutions in this system are obtained by the Riemann-Hilbert method. The collision of the two solitons in this system is analyzed. The dark soliton, breather and rogue wave of this system are given by the Hirota method and Darboux transformation [22, 23, 24].

In Ref.[25], periodic and hyperbolic soliton solutions for a number of nonlocal nonlinear equations have been gained. Those nonlocal nonlinear equations include nonlocal NLS equation (2) and the nonlocal coupled NLS equation,

$$\begin{aligned} ip_t(x, t) + p_{xx}(x, t) + [ap(x, t)p^*(-x, t) + bq(x, t)q^*(-x, t)]p(x, t) &= 0, \\ iq_t(x, t) + q_{xx}(x, t) + [fp(x, t)p^*(-x, t) + eq(x, t)q^*(-x, t)]q(x, t) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $a, b, f, e$  are arbitrary real numbers. In the case  $a = b = e = f$  or  $a = f = -e = -b$ , this system reduces respectively to nonlocal Manakov equation or nonlocal Mikhailov-Zakharov-Schulman (MZS)

equation. The authors of the Ref. [25] asked a question: whether like the nonlocal NLS equation, the coupled nonlocal NLS equation of Manakov type or MZS type is also an integrable system? They conjectured that indeed both the nonlocal Manakov and the nonlocal MZS are integrable systems. In this paper, motivated by the investigation for the general coupled NLS equation (3) and the nonlocal coupled NLS equation (4), we consider the following general coupled nonlocal NLS equation:

$$\begin{aligned} ip_t + p_{xx} + 2[app^*(-x, t) + cq q^*(-x, t) + bpq^*(-x, t) + b^*qp^*(-x, t)]p &= 0, \\ iq_t + q_{xx} + 2[app^*(-x, t) + cq q^*(-x, t) + bpq^*(-x, t) + b^*qp^*(-x, t)]q &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $p = p(x, t)$ ,  $q = q(x, t)$  and the parameters  $a$  and  $c$  are real and  $b$  is complex. It is obvious that equation (5) is a nonlocal version for the coupled NLS equation (3). The equation (5) contains the nonlocal cross-phase modulation, the nonlocal self-phase modulation, and the nonlocal four-wave mixing terms. In this paper, we will demonstrate the integrability for the coupled nonlocal NLS (5) by proposing its Lax pair. Inspired the work of the construction of Darboux transformation for the coupled NLS equation in Refs. [26, 27], we will construct the Darboux transformation for the nonlocal coupled NLS equation (5). As an application of the Darboux transformation, we will derive N-soliton solutions of the nonlocal coupled NLS equation (5).

## 2 Darboux transformation for the coupled nonlocal NLS equation (5)

In this section, we first demonstrate the integrability of the coupled nonlocal NLS (5). Then we construct its Darboux transformation and soliton solutions. Inspired the form of the Lax pair for the coupled NLS equation [19], the Lax pair of equation (5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_x &= U\Phi = (i\lambda\sigma_3 + P)\Phi, \\ \Phi_t &= V\Phi = -(2i\lambda^2\sigma_3 + 2\lambda P + i(P^2 + P_x)\sigma_3)\Phi, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p & q \\ -r_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -r_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $r_1 = ap^*(-x, t) + bq^*(-x, t)$ ,  $r_2 = b^*p^*(-x, t) + cq^*(-x, t)$ . In fact, note that  $\sigma_3 P = -P\sigma_3$ , and  $\sigma_3 P^2 \sigma_3 = P^2$ , we can check that the zero-curvature equation  $U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0$  yields

$$iP_t - P_{xx}\sigma_3 + 2P^3\sigma_3 = 0. \quad (7)$$

Equation (7) yields the coupled nonlocal NLS (5). This implies the nonlocal coupled NLS equation (5) is an integrable system. Thus, both the nonlocal Manakov equation and the nonlocal MZS equation are integrable systems.

Next, using a similar method of constructing the Darboux transformation for the coupled NLS equation [26, 27], we construct the Darboux transformation for the coupled nonlocal NLS equation (5). Suppose  $\Phi = \Phi(x, t, \lambda)$ ,  $\Phi_1 = \Phi(x, t, \lambda_1)$  are special vector solutions for system (6) at  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$  respectively. We define the binary function

$$\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi) = \frac{\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi}{i(\lambda + \lambda_1^*)}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\dagger$  is the conjugate transpose, and  $Re(\lambda_1) \neq 0$ . If  $Re(\lambda_1) = 0$ , we choose  $\Phi_1$  such that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi_1 = 0$ , and define  $\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1)$  by

$$\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_1} \frac{\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi}{i(\lambda - \lambda_1)} + \theta, \quad (9)$$

where  $\theta$  is a proper constant, and

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} ac - |b|^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c & b \\ 0 & b^* & -a \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a direct calculation, we have  $\sigma_3 M = M\sigma_3$ ,  $P^\dagger(-x, t)M = MP$ ,  $P_x^\dagger(-x, t)M = -MP_x$ ,  $P\sigma_3 = -\sigma_3 P$ . Then we can verify the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \Phi^\dagger(-x, t)M &= \Phi^\dagger(-x, t)(i\lambda^* \sigma_3 - P^\dagger(-x, t))M = \Phi^\dagger(-x, t)M(i\lambda^* \sigma_3 - P), \\ \frac{d}{dt} \Phi^\dagger(-x, t)M &= \Phi^\dagger(-x, t) \left( 2i\lambda^{*2} \sigma_3 - 2\lambda^* P^\dagger(-x, t) + i\sigma_3(P^{\dagger 2}(-x, t) + P_x^\dagger(-x, t)) \right) M \\ &= \Phi^\dagger(-x, t)M (2i\lambda^{*2} \sigma_3 - 2\lambda^* P + i(P^2 + P)\sigma_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_x(\Phi_1, \Phi) &= \Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\sigma_3\Phi, \\ \Omega_t(\Phi_1, \Phi) &= 2(\lambda^* - \lambda)\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\sigma_3\Phi - 2\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)MP\Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing the following transformations for the eigenfunctions and the potentials:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi[1] &= \Phi - \frac{\Phi_1 \Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi)}{\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1)}, \\ P[1] &= P + [\sigma_3, \frac{\Phi_1 \Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M}{\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1)}], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

then we can show

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi[1]_x &= (i\lambda\sigma_3 + P[1])\Phi[1], \\ \Phi[1]_t &= - (2i\lambda^2\sigma_3 + 2\lambda P[1] + i(P^2[1] + P_x[1])\sigma_3) \Phi[1].\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

This means that the Darboux transformation for the nonlocal coupled NLS equation (5) is constructed.

Here, we describe the main idea of the proof for equation (11). Set  $S = \frac{\Phi_1\Phi_1^\dagger(-x,t)M}{\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1)}$ . A direct calculation yields

$$S^2 = 2iRe(\lambda_1)S, \quad S\sigma_3S = S\frac{\Phi_1^\dagger(-x,t)M\sigma_3\Phi_1}{\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1)}, \quad SP S = S\frac{\Phi_1^\dagger(-x,t)MP\Phi_1}{\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1)}.$$

By using those properties of the matrix  $S$ , we can verify the validity for equation (11).

Further, following the method of the constructing N-fold Darboux transformation for the coupled NLS in Refs. [26, 27], we can obtain the N-fold Darboux transformation for the nonlocal coupled NLS equation (5).

Suppose  $\Phi_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,N$ ) are the N different eigenfunctions for the spectrum problem (6) at  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  respectively, then the N-fold Darboux transformation can be written as

$$\Phi[N] = \Phi - RW^{-1}\Omega, \quad (12)$$

where  $R = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_N)$ , and

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1) & \Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) & \cdots & \Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_N) \\ \Omega(\Phi_2, \Phi_1) & \Omega(\Phi_2, \Phi_2) & \cdots & \Omega(\Phi_2, \Phi_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Omega(\Phi_N, \Phi_1) & \Omega(\Phi_N, \Phi_2) & \cdots & \Omega(\Phi_N, \Phi_N) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi) \\ \Omega(\Phi_2, \Phi) \\ \vdots \\ \Omega(\Phi_N, \Phi) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

The relation between new potential and the old one is given by

$$P[N] = P + [\sigma_3, RW^{-1}R^\dagger(-x,t)M]. \quad (14)$$

Here we give the proof for equation  $\Phi[N]_x = (i\lambda\sigma_3 + P[N])\Phi[N]$ . It is similar to show that the eigenfunction  $\Phi[N]$  satisfies the time evolution equation. In fact, a direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi[N]_x &= (i\lambda\sigma_3 + P)\Phi - R_xW^{-1}\Omega - RW^{-1}\Omega_x + RW^{-1}W_xW^{-1}\Omega \\ &= (i\lambda\sigma_3 + P)\Phi - (i\sigma_3R\Lambda + PR)W^{-1}\Omega - RW^{-1}R^\dagger(-x,t)M\sigma_3\Phi + RW^{-1}R^\dagger(-x,t)M\sigma_3RW^{-1}\Phi \\ &= (i\lambda\sigma_3 + P)\Phi - PRW^{-1}\Omega - RW^{-1}R^\dagger(-x,t)M\sigma_3\Phi + RW^{-1}R^\dagger(-x,t)M\sigma_3RW^{-1}\Phi \\ &\quad - i\sigma_3R\Lambda W^{-1}\Omega + \sigma_3RWR^\dagger(-x,t)M\Phi - i\sigma_3RW^{-1}(\lambda I + \Lambda^*)\Omega \\ &= (i\lambda\sigma_3 + P)\Phi - PRW^{-1}\Omega - RW^{-1}R^\dagger(-x,t)M\sigma_3\Phi + RW^{-1}R^\dagger M\sigma_3RW^{-1}\Phi + \sigma_3RWR^\dagger(-x,t)M\Phi \\ &\quad - i\lambda\sigma_3RW^{-1}\Omega - \sigma_3RW^{-1}(R^\dagger(-x,t)MR - iW\Lambda)W^{-1}\Omega \\ &= (i\lambda\sigma_3 + P[N])\Phi[N],\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$ , and we have used the two identities  $R^\dagger(-x, t)MR = i(W\Lambda + \Lambda^*W)$ , and  $i(\lambda I + \Lambda^*)\Omega - R^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi = 0$ .

### 3 Soliton solution for the nonlocal coupled NLS (5)

Suppose  $\text{Re}(\lambda_1) \neq 0$ , and  $\Phi_1 = (f_1, f_2, f_3)^T$  is the eigenfunction for the spectral problem (6) corresponding to  $\lambda = \lambda_1$ , then the Darboux transformation yields

$$\begin{aligned} p[1] &= p[0] - \frac{4i\text{Re}(\lambda_1)}{\mathfrak{g}} (cf_1f_2^*(-x, t) - b^*f_1f_3^*(-x, t)), \\ q[1] &= q[0] - \frac{4i\text{Re}(\lambda_1)}{\mathfrak{g}} (af_1f_3^*(-x, t) - bf_1f_2^*(-x, t)), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where  $\mathfrak{g} = (ac - |b|^2)f_1f_1^*(-x, t) - cf_2f_2^*(-x, t) - af_3f_3^*(-x, t) + bf_3f_2^*(-x, t) + b^*f_2f_3^*(-x, t)$ .

Suppose  $p = \rho_1 e^{i(k_1x + \omega_1t)}$ ,  $q = \rho_2 e^{i(k_2x + \omega_2t)}$ , where  $\omega_i, k_i$  and  $\rho_i$  are real numbers, are the seed solutions of nonlocal coupled NLS (5), then  $\omega_i$  and  $k_i$  must satisfy

$$-\omega_i - k_i^2 + 2(a\rho_1^2 e^{2ik_1x} + c\rho_2^2 e^{2ik_2x} + b\rho_1\rho_2 e^{i((k_1+k_2)x + (\omega_1 - \omega_2)t)} + b^*\rho_1\rho_2 e^{i((k_1+k_2)x + (\omega_2 - \omega_1)t)}) = 0. \quad (16)$$

This last equation yields  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$  and  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega$ . We thus see that  $p = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t}$ ,  $q = \rho_2 e^{i\omega t}$  are the seed solutions of nonlocal coupled NLS (5), where  $\omega = 2m$ , and  $m = a\rho_1^2 + c\rho_2^2 + 2b_R\rho_1\rho_2$ . In order to solve spectral equation (6), we make the gauge transformation

$$\Phi = D\Psi, D = \text{diag}(1, e^{-i\omega t}, e^{-i\omega t}),$$

then the spectral equation (6) leads to

$$\Psi_x = i\tilde{U}\Psi, \Psi_t = i\tilde{V}\Psi, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U} &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -i\rho_1 & -i\rho_2 \\ i(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2) & -\lambda & 0 \\ i(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2) & 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{V} &= \begin{pmatrix} -2\lambda^2 + (a\rho_1^2 + c\rho_2^2 + 2b_R\rho_1\rho_2) & i2\lambda\rho_1 & i2\lambda\rho_2 \\ -i2\lambda(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2) & 2\lambda^2 - (a\rho_1^2 + b\rho_1\rho_2) + \omega & -(a\rho_1\rho_2 + b\rho_2^2) \\ -i2\lambda(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2) & -(b^*\rho_1^2 + c\rho_1\rho_2) & 2\lambda^2 - (c\rho_2^2 + b^*\rho_1\rho_2) + \omega \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Consider the characteristic equation of the matrix  $\tilde{U}$ :

$$\det(\tau I - \tilde{U}) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(\tau + \lambda)(\tau^2 - m - \lambda^2) = 0. \quad (19)$$

It is obvious that the characteristic equation has three roots  $-\lambda, \delta, -\delta$ , where  $\delta = -\sqrt{\lambda^2 + m}$ .

**Case 1.** The characteristic equation has three different roots and  $\lambda_R \neq 0$ .

In this case, we obtain the eigenfunction  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)^T = D\Psi$ , where  $\Psi = HNv$ , with  $v = (1, \beta, \alpha)^T$ , and

$$N(x, t) = \text{diag}(e^{i(\delta x + (\frac{\omega}{2} - 2\lambda\delta)t)}, e^{-i(\delta x - (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda\delta)t)}, e^{-i(\lambda x - (\omega + 2\lambda^2)t)}),$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{i(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2)}{\delta + \lambda} & \frac{i(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2)}{-\delta + \lambda} & -\rho_2 \\ \frac{i(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2)}{\delta + \lambda} & \frac{i(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2)}{-\delta + \lambda} & \rho_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(\lambda, \delta) &= e^{i(\delta x + (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda\delta)t)} + \beta e^{-i(\delta x - (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda\delta)t)}, \\ \phi_2(\lambda, \delta) &= i(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2) \left( \frac{e^{i(\delta x - (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda\delta)t)}}{\lambda + \delta} + \beta \frac{e^{-i(\delta x + (\frac{\omega}{2} - 2\lambda\delta)t)}}{\lambda - \delta} \right) - \alpha\rho_2 e^{-i(\lambda x - 2\lambda^2 t)}, \\ \phi_3(\lambda, \delta) &= i(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2) \left( \frac{e^{i(\delta x - (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda\delta)t)}}{\lambda + \delta} + \beta \frac{e^{-i(\delta x + (\frac{\omega}{2} - 2\lambda\delta)t)}}{\lambda - \delta} \right) + \alpha\rho_1 e^{-i(\lambda x - 2\lambda^2 t)}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Phi_1 = (f_1, f_2, f_3)^T = (\phi_1(\lambda_1, \delta_1), \phi_2(\lambda_1, \delta_1), \phi_3(\lambda_1, \delta_1))^T$ .

Note that  $\Phi^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi = N^\dagger(-x, t)H^\dagger D^\dagger MDHN = N^\dagger(-x, t)H^\dagger MHN$ . Set  $B = (B_{ij})_{3 \times 3} = H^\dagger MH$ , then we have

$$B = (ac - |b|^2) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\lambda_R}{\lambda_R + \delta_R} & \frac{2\lambda_R}{\lambda_R - i\delta_I} & 0 \\ \frac{2\lambda_R}{\lambda_R + i\delta_I} & \frac{2\lambda_R}{\lambda_R - \delta_R} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{m}{|b|^2 - ac} \end{pmatrix}$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi &= B_{11}e^{i((\delta + \delta^*)x - 2(\lambda\delta - \lambda^*\delta^*)t)} + \beta B_{12}e^{-i((\delta - \delta^*)x + 2(\lambda\delta + \lambda^*\delta^*)t)} + \beta^* B_{21}e^{i((\delta - \delta^*)x - 2(\lambda\delta + \lambda^*\delta^*)t)} \\ &+ |\beta|^2 B_{22}e^{-i((\delta + \delta^*)x - 2(\lambda\delta - \lambda^*\delta^*)t)} + |\alpha|^2 B_{33}e^{-i((\lambda + \lambda^*)x - 2(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{*2})t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we focus on the case of  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda_1 \in R$ , and  $\lambda_1^2 + m < 0$ . We can show that in this case  $\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi_1 \neq 0$  for arbitrary  $x$  and  $t$ . This means that nonsingular and local solution for nonlocal coupled NLS equation (5) can be obtained. We denote  $\delta_1 = -i\sqrt{-\lambda_1^2 - m} = -i\kappa$ , then

$$\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi_1 = 2(ac - |b|^2)\mathfrak{R},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R} = e^{-4\lambda_1\kappa t} + \frac{\beta\lambda_1 e^{-2\kappa x}}{\lambda_1 + i\kappa} + \frac{\beta^*\lambda_1 e^{2\kappa x}}{\lambda_1 - i\kappa} + |\beta|^2 e^{4\lambda_1\kappa t} - \frac{m|\alpha|^2 e^{-2i\lambda_1 x}}{2(ac - |b|^2)}.$$

In the following, for the sake of simplicity, we set  $\lambda = \lambda_1$ . By a direct calculation, we obtain

$$p[1] = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left[ 1 - \frac{2\lambda(e^{\kappa(x-2\lambda t)} + \beta e^{-\kappa(x-2\lambda t)})}{\mathfrak{R}} \left( \frac{e^{-\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda + i\kappa} + \frac{\beta^* e^{\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda - i\kappa} - \frac{i\alpha^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)} e^{-i(\lambda x + (2\lambda^2 + \frac{\omega}{2})t)} \right) \right], \quad (20)$$

$$q[1] = \rho_2 e^{i\omega t} \left[ 1 - \frac{2\lambda(e^{\kappa(x-2\lambda t)} + \beta e^{-\kappa(x-2\lambda t)})}{\mathfrak{R}} \left( \frac{e^{-\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda + i\kappa} + \frac{\beta^* e^{\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda - i\kappa} + \frac{i\alpha^*(b\rho_2 + a\rho_1)}{\rho_2(ac - |b|^2)} e^{-i(\lambda x + (2\lambda^2 + \frac{\omega}{2})t)} \right) \right]. \quad (21)$$

We remark here that if set  $\alpha = 0$ , the solution yields the one of the nonlocal NLS equation, which has been given [15].

Next we will analyse the asymptotic behavior of the solutions (20) and (21). We do this for solution  $p[1]$ , since the asymptotic analysis for the solution  $q[1]$  is similar.

We rewrite the solution  $p[1]$  as the following two different forms, respectively,

$$p[1] = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left[ 1 - \frac{2\lambda(1 + \beta e^{-2\kappa(x-2\lambda t)})}{\mathfrak{R}_1} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda + i\kappa} + \frac{\beta^* e^{2\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda - i\kappa} - \frac{i\alpha^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)} e^{-i(\lambda x + (2\lambda^2 + \frac{\omega}{2})t) + \kappa(x+2\lambda t)} \right) \right], \quad (22)$$

$$p[1] = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left[ 1 - \frac{2\lambda(e^{2\kappa(x-2\lambda t)} + \beta)}{\mathfrak{R}_2} \left( \frac{e^{-2\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda + i\kappa} + \frac{\beta^*}{\lambda - i\kappa} - \frac{i\alpha^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)} e^{-i(\lambda x + (2\lambda^2 + \frac{\omega}{2})t) - \kappa(x+2\lambda t)} \right) \right], \quad (23)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R}_1 = 1 + \frac{\beta\lambda e^{-2\kappa(x-2\lambda t)}}{\lambda + i\kappa} + \frac{\beta^*\lambda e^{2\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda - i\kappa} + |\beta|^2 e^{8\lambda\kappa t} - \frac{m|\alpha|^2 e^{-2i\lambda x + 4\lambda\kappa t}}{2(ac - |b|^2)},$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_2 = e^{-8\lambda\kappa t} + \frac{\beta\lambda e^{-2(\kappa x + 2\lambda t)}}{\lambda + i\kappa} + \frac{\beta^*\lambda e^{2\kappa(x-2\lambda t)}}{\lambda - i\kappa} + |\beta|^2 - \frac{m|\alpha|^2 e^{-2i\lambda x - 4\lambda\kappa t}}{2(ac - |b|^2)}.$$

(A) Along the line  $x + 2\lambda t = 0$ , we have

$$p[1] = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left( \frac{1 - \frac{2\lambda(\frac{1}{\lambda+i\kappa} + \frac{\beta^* e^{2\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda-i\kappa} - \frac{i\alpha^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)} e^{-i(\lambda x + (2\lambda^2 + \frac{\omega}{2})t) + \kappa(x+2\lambda t)})}{1 + \frac{\beta^*\lambda e^{2\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda-i\kappa}}}{\lambda + i\kappa} - \frac{i\kappa}{\lambda + i\kappa} \tanh(\theta_1) + \frac{i\alpha^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)} e^{-i(\lambda x + (2\lambda^2 + \frac{\omega}{2})t) - \varepsilon_1 - i\zeta} \operatorname{sech}(\theta_1) \right) \quad \lambda t \rightarrow -\infty \quad (24)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
p[1] &= \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left( 1 - \frac{2\lambda\beta \left( \frac{e^{-2\kappa(x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda+i\kappa} + \frac{\beta^*}{\lambda-i\kappa} - \frac{i\alpha^*(c\rho_2+b^*\rho_1)}{\rho_1(ac-|b|^2)} e^{-i(\lambda x+(2\lambda^2+\frac{\omega}{2})t)-\kappa(x+2\lambda t)} \right)}{\frac{\beta\lambda e^{-2(\kappa x+2\lambda t)}}{\lambda+i\kappa} + |\beta|^2} \right) \quad \lambda t \rightarrow +\infty \\
&= \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left( \frac{-\lambda}{\lambda-i\kappa} - \frac{i\kappa}{\lambda-i\kappa} \tanh(\theta_2) + \frac{2i\lambda\alpha^*(c\rho_2+b^*\rho_1)}{\beta^*\rho_1(ac-|b|^2)} e^{-i(\lambda x+(2\lambda^2+\frac{\omega}{2})t)+\varepsilon_2-i\zeta} \operatorname{sech}(\theta_2) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where

$$\theta_1 = \kappa(x+2\lambda t) + \varepsilon_1 + i\zeta, 2\varepsilon_1 = \ln\left(\frac{|\beta^*\lambda|}{|\lambda-i\kappa|}\right), 2\zeta = \operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{\beta^*\lambda}{\lambda-i\kappa}\right);$$

,

$$\theta_2 = \kappa(x+2\lambda t) + \varepsilon_2 + i\zeta, -2\varepsilon_2 = \ln\left(\frac{|\lambda|}{|\beta^*(\lambda+i\kappa)|}\right).$$

(B) Along the line  $x - 2\lambda t = 0$ , we have

$$p[1] = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left( 1 - \frac{2\lambda(1 + \beta e^{-2\kappa(x-2\lambda t)})}{\lambda + i\kappa + \beta\lambda e^{-2\kappa(x-2\lambda t)}} \right) = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left( \frac{-\lambda}{\lambda + i\kappa} + \frac{i\kappa}{\lambda + i\kappa} \tanh(\eta_1) \right), \quad \lambda t \rightarrow -\infty \tag{26}$$

$$p[1] = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left( 1 - \frac{2\lambda(e^{2\kappa(x-2\lambda t)} + \beta)}{\lambda e^{2\kappa(x-2\lambda t)} + \beta(\lambda - i\kappa)} \right) = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left( \frac{-\lambda}{\lambda - i\kappa} + \frac{i\kappa}{\lambda - i\kappa} \tanh(\eta_2) \right), \quad \lambda t \rightarrow +\infty \tag{27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1 &= \kappa(x - 2\lambda t) + \mu_1 + i\nu, -2\mu_1 = \ln\left(\frac{|\beta\lambda|}{|\lambda + i\kappa|}\right), -2\nu = \operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{\beta\lambda}{\lambda + i\kappa}\right), \\
\eta_2 &= \kappa(x - 2\lambda t) + \mu_2 + i\nu, 2\mu_2 = \ln\left(\frac{|\lambda|}{|\beta(\lambda - i\kappa)|}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We can see that the phase shifts along the line  $x + 2\lambda t = 0$  and the line  $x - 2\lambda t = 0$  are equal, since  $|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2| = |\mu_1 - \mu_2| = \ln\left(\frac{|\lambda+i\kappa|}{|\lambda|}\right)$ . It follows from (26) and (27) that the extreme value of  $|p[1]|$  is  $|\rho_1| \frac{|\lambda+i\kappa \tan(\nu)|}{|\lambda+i\kappa|}$ . So, when  $\lambda \sin(2\nu) > \kappa \cos(2\nu)$ , the solution  $p[1]$  is an antidark soliton. Note that  $\sin(2\nu) = \frac{\lambda(\beta_R \kappa - \beta_I \lambda)}{|\lambda\beta(\lambda - i\kappa)|}$ ,  $\cos(2\nu) = \frac{\lambda(\beta_R \lambda + \beta_I \kappa)}{|\lambda\beta(\lambda - i\kappa)|}$ , we can get the conclusion that the soliton along the line  $x - 2\lambda t = 0$  is antidark when  $\lambda\beta_I < 0$ , and is dark when  $\lambda\beta_I > 0$ . Similarly, when  $\alpha^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1) = 0$ , along the line  $x + 2\lambda t = 0$ , the soliton is dark if  $\lambda \operatorname{Im}(\beta(\lambda - i\kappa)^2) < 0$ , and is antidark if  $\lambda \operatorname{Im}(\beta(\lambda - i\kappa)^2) > 0$ . Those phenomenons are the same as the solution for the nonlocal NLS equation. But, when  $\alpha^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1) \neq 0$ , there are some differences along the line  $x + 2\lambda t = 0$ . In fact, it follows from the equations (24) and (25) that the solution  $p[1]$  is a combination of the bright and the dark soliton or the bright and the antidark soliton. Especially, when  $\rho_1 = 0$ ,  $\alpha^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1) \neq 0$ , the solution  $p[1]$  degenerates to a bright soliton with a phase shift. The solutions of the nonlocal coupled NLS equation

(5) are more richer than the ones of the nonlocal NLS equation.

**Case 2.**  $Re(\lambda_1) = 0$  and  $a\rho_1^2 + c\rho_2^2 + 2b_R\rho_1\rho_2 + \lambda_1^2 > 0$ .

In this case, to obtain the solution  $p[1]$  and  $q[1]$ , we must carefully determine  $\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1)$ . Choosing

$$\Phi = D \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{i(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2)}{\delta + \lambda} \\ \frac{i(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2)}{\delta + \lambda} \end{pmatrix} e^{i(\delta x + (\frac{\omega}{2} - 2\lambda\delta)t)} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\delta x + (\frac{\omega}{2} - 2\lambda\delta)t)} \\ \frac{i(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2)}{\delta + \lambda} e^{i(\delta x - (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda\delta)t)} \\ \frac{i(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2)}{\delta + \lambda} e^{i(\delta x - (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda\delta)t)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (28)$$

then we have

$$\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi = (ac - |b|^2) \left( 1 - \frac{a\rho_1^2 + c\rho_2^2 + 2b_R\rho_1\rho_2}{(\lambda + \delta)(\delta_1 - \lambda_1)} \right) e^{i((\delta + \delta_1)x - (2\lambda\delta + 2\lambda_1\delta_1)t)}. \quad (29)$$

Note that, for the different spectral  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_1$ , we have  $\lambda^2 - \delta^2 = \lambda_1^2 - \delta_1^2$ , and

$$\frac{a\rho_1^2 + c\rho_2^2 + 2b_R\rho_1\rho_2}{(\lambda + \delta)(\delta_1 - \lambda_1)} - \frac{\lambda_1 + \delta_1}{\lambda + \delta} = 0.$$

We thus obtain

$$\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi = \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda + \delta + \lambda_1 + \delta_1)(ac - |b|^2)}{(\lambda + \delta)(\delta + \delta_1)} e^{i((\delta + \delta_1)x - (2\lambda\delta + 2\lambda_1\delta_1)t)}. \quad (30)$$

This means that  $\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi_1 = 0$ , and  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_1} \frac{\Phi_1^\dagger(-x, t)M\Phi}{i(\lambda - \lambda_1)} = \frac{i(|b|^2 - ac)}{\delta_1} e^{2i\delta_1(x - 2\lambda_1 t)}$ . Finally,  $\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1)$  is determined by

$$\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1) = \frac{i(|b|^2 - ac)}{\delta_1} e^{2i\delta_1 x + 4\delta_1 k_1 t} + \theta, \quad (31)$$

where  $\theta = \frac{i(|b|^2 - ac)}{\delta_1}$ ,  $\lambda_1 = ik_1$ . The solutions  $p[1]$  and  $q[1]$  are given by

$$p[1] = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left( \frac{\delta_1 + \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \delta_1} + \frac{2\delta_1}{\delta_1 - \lambda_1} \frac{1}{e^{2i\delta_1 x + 4\delta_1 k_1 t} + 1} \right),$$

$$q[1] = \rho_2 e^{i\omega t} \left( \frac{\delta_1 + \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \delta_1} + \frac{2\delta_1}{\delta_1 - \lambda_1} \frac{1}{e^{2i\delta_1 x + 4\delta_1 k_1 t} + 1} \right).$$

We remark here that the solution is singular at  $(x, t) = (\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2\delta_1}, 0)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Case 3.** The characteristic equation has two multiple roots  $\delta = 0$ .

In this case, let  $m < 0$ , then  $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{-m}$ . We obtain the eigenfunction  $\Phi_1 = (f_1, f_2, f_3)^T$ , where

$$f_1 = (1 + \beta(x - 2\lambda_1 t)) e^{\frac{i\omega t}{2}},$$

$$f_2 = \frac{i(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2)}{\lambda_1} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta i}{\lambda_1} + \beta(x - 2\lambda_1 t) \right) e^{\frac{-i\omega}{2}t} - \alpha\rho_2 e^{i(-\lambda_1 x + 2\lambda_1^2 t)},$$

$$f_3 = \frac{i(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2)}{\lambda_1} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta i}{\lambda_1} + \beta(x - 2\lambda_1 t) \right) e^{\frac{-i\omega}{2}t} + \alpha\rho_1 e^{i(-\lambda_1 x + 2\lambda_1^2 t)}.$$

Thus, solutions  $p[1]$  and  $q[1]$  of the nonlocal coupled NLS equation are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p[1] &= \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \left( 1 - \frac{4}{\mathfrak{Y}} (\beta(x - 2\lambda_1 t) + 1) \left( 1 - \frac{i\beta^*}{\lambda_1} - \beta^*(x + 2\lambda_1 t) + \frac{\lambda_1(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)\alpha^*}{i(ac - |b|^2)\rho_1} e^{-i(\lambda_1 x + (2\lambda_1^2 + \frac{\omega}{2})t)} \right) \right), \\ q[1] &= \rho_2 e^{i\omega t} \left( 1 - \frac{4}{\mathfrak{Y}} (\beta(x - 2\lambda_1 t) + 1) \left( 1 - \frac{i\beta^*}{\lambda_1} - \beta^*(x + 2\lambda_1 t) - \frac{\lambda_1(b\rho_2 + a\rho_1)\alpha^*}{i(ac - |b|^2)\rho_2} e^{-i(\lambda_1 x + (2\lambda_1^2 + \frac{\omega}{2})t)} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{Y} = (1 - \beta^*(x + 2\lambda_1 t))(1 + \beta(x - 2\lambda_1 t)) + (1 - \frac{i\beta^*}{\lambda_1} - \beta^*(x + 2\lambda_1 t))(1 + \frac{i\beta}{\lambda_1} + \beta(x - 2\lambda_1 t)) - \frac{|\alpha|^2 m}{(ac - |b|^2)} e^{-2i\lambda_1 x}$ . The case of  $\alpha = 0$  yields the rational solution of the nonlocal NLS equation (5).

## 4 2-soliton solutions

By using N-fold Darboux transformation, we can obtain the general wave solution for nonlocal coupled NLS equation (5). Suppose  $\Phi_i = (f_{1i}, f_{2i}, f_{3i})^T$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,N$ ) are the N different eigenfunctions for the spectrum problem (6) at  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  corresponding to the nonzero background  $p[0] = \rho_1 e^{i\omega t}$ ,  $q[0] = \rho_2 e^{i\omega t}$ . N-soliton solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p[N] &= \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} - 2F_1 W^{-1} (cF_2^\dagger(-x, t) - b^*F_3^\dagger(-x, t)), \\ q[N] &= \rho_2 e^{i\omega t} - 2F_1 W^{-1} (aF_3^\dagger(-x, t) - bF_2^\dagger(-x, t)), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= (f_{11}, f_{12}, \dots, f_{1N}), \\ F_2 &= (f_{21}, f_{22}, \dots, f_{2N}), \\ F_3 &= (f_{31}, f_{32}, \dots, f_{3N}). \end{aligned}$$

By use of the relation of  $\Phi_i = D\Psi_i$ ,  $D = \text{diag}(1, e^{-i\omega t}, e^{-i\omega t})$ , we have the determinant representation of the solution

$$\begin{aligned} p[N] &= \rho_1 e^{i\omega t} \frac{\det(W/2 - X)}{\det(W/2)}, X = (X_{ij})_{N \times N} = e^{-i\omega t} (cF_2^\dagger(-x, t) - b^*F_3^\dagger(-x, t))F_1/\rho_1, \\ q[N] &= \rho_2 e^{i\omega t} \frac{\det(W/2 - Y)}{\det(W/2)}, Y = (Y_{ij})_{N \times N} = e^{-i\omega t} (aF_3^\dagger(-x, t) - bF_2^\dagger(-x, t))F_1/\rho_2. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Let us give the case of  $N = 2$  in detail. Set the spectral parameters  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are two real numbers. Then we have  $\Phi_k = (f_{1k}, f_{2k}, f_{3k})^T$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1k} &= e^{i(\delta_k x + (\frac{\omega}{2} - 2\lambda_k \delta_k)t)} + \beta_k e^{-i(\delta_k x - (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda_k \delta_k)t)}, \\ f_{2k} &= \frac{i(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2)}{\delta_k + \lambda_k} e^{i(\delta_k x - (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda_k \delta_k)t)} + \beta_k \frac{i(a\rho_1 + b\rho_2)}{\lambda_k - \delta_k} e^{-i(\delta_k x + (\frac{\omega}{2} - 2\lambda_k \delta_k)t)} - \alpha_k \rho_2 e^{-i(\lambda_k x - 2\lambda_k^2 t)}, \\ f_{3k} &= \frac{i(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2)}{\delta_k + \lambda_k} e^{i(\delta_k x - (\frac{\omega}{2} + 2\lambda_k \delta_k)t)} + \beta_k \frac{i(b^*\rho_1 + c\rho_2)}{\lambda_k - \delta_k} e^{-i(\delta_k x + (\frac{\omega}{2} - 2\lambda_k \delta_k)t)} + \alpha_k \rho_1 e^{-i(\lambda_k x - 2\lambda_k^2 t)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Case 1**  $\lambda_k^2 + m < 0, k = 1, 2$ .

In this case,  $\delta_k = -i\sqrt{-\lambda_k^2 - m} = -i\kappa_k$ . We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1) &= i(|b|^2 - ac)\left(\frac{e^{-4\lambda_1\kappa_1 t}}{\lambda_1} + \frac{\beta_1 e^{-2\kappa_1 x}}{\lambda_1 + i\kappa_1} + \frac{\beta_1^* e^{2\kappa_1 x}}{\lambda_1 - i\kappa_1} + \frac{|\beta_1|^2 e^{4\lambda_1\kappa_1 t}}{\lambda_1}\right) + i\frac{m|\alpha_1|^2}{2\lambda_1} e^{-2i\lambda_1 x}; \\ \Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) &= 2i(|b|^2 - ac)\left(\frac{e^{(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)x - 2(\lambda_2\kappa_2 + \lambda_1\kappa_1)t}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + i(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)} + \frac{\beta_2 e^{-(\kappa_2 + \kappa_1)x - 2(\lambda_1\kappa_1 - \lambda_2\kappa_2)t}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + i(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\beta_1^* e^{(\kappa_2 + \kappa_1)x - 2(\lambda_2\kappa_2 - \lambda_1\kappa_1)t}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - i(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)} + \frac{\beta_1^* \beta_2 e^{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)x + 2(\lambda_1\kappa_1 + \lambda_2\kappa_2)t}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - i(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)}\right) + i\frac{m\alpha_2\alpha_1^*}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} e^{i(-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x - 2(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)t)}; \\ \Omega(\Phi_2, \Phi_1) &= 2i(|b|^2 - ac)\left(\frac{e^{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)x - 2(\lambda_2\kappa_2 + \lambda_1\kappa_1)t}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + i(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)} + \frac{\beta_2 e^{-(\kappa_2 + \kappa_1)x - 2(\lambda_2\kappa_2 - \lambda_1\kappa_1)t}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + i(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\beta_2^* e^{(\kappa_2 + \kappa_1)x - 2(\lambda_1\kappa_1 - \lambda_2\kappa_2)t}}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - i(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)} + \frac{\beta_2^* \beta_1 e^{(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)x + 2(\lambda_1\kappa_1 + \lambda_2\kappa_2)t}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - i(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)}\right) + i\frac{m\alpha_1\alpha_2^*}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} e^{-i((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x + 2(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)t)}; \\ \Omega(\Phi_2, \Phi_2) &= i(|b|^2 - ac)\left(\frac{e^{-4\lambda_2\kappa_2 t}}{\lambda_2} + \frac{\beta_2 e^{-2\kappa_2 x}}{\lambda_2 + i\kappa_2} + \frac{\beta_2^* e^{2\kappa_2 x}}{\lambda_2 - i\kappa_2} + \frac{|\beta_2|^2 e^{4\lambda_2\kappa_2 t}}{\lambda_2}\right) + i\frac{m|\alpha_2|^2}{2\lambda_2} e^{-2i\lambda_2 x};\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}X_{11} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(e^{\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)} + \beta_1 e^{-\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)})\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 + i\kappa_1} + \frac{e^{\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 - i\kappa_1} - \frac{i\alpha_1^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_1 x + 2\lambda_1^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)}\right) \\ X_{12} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(e^{\kappa_2(x-2\lambda_2 t)} + \beta_2 e^{-\kappa_2(x-2\lambda_2 t)})\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 + i\kappa_1} + \frac{e^{\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 - i\kappa_1} - \frac{i\alpha_1^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_1 x + 2\lambda_1^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)}\right) \\ X_{21} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(e^{\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)} + \beta_1 e^{-\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)})\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_2(x+2\lambda_2 t)}}{\lambda_2 + i\kappa_2} + \frac{e^{\kappa_2(x+2\lambda_2 t)}}{\lambda_2 - i\kappa_2} - \frac{i\alpha_2^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_2 x + 2\lambda_2^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)}\right) \\ X_{22} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(e^{\kappa_2(x-2\lambda_2 t)} + \beta_2 e^{-\kappa_2(x-2\lambda_2 t)})\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_2(x+2\lambda_2 t)}}{\lambda_2 + i\kappa_2} + \frac{e^{\kappa_2(x+2\lambda_2 t)}}{\lambda_2 - i\kappa_2} - \frac{i\alpha_2^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_2 x + 2\lambda_2^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)}\right) \\ Y_{11} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(e^{\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)} + \beta_1 e^{-\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)})\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 + i\kappa_1} + \frac{e^{\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 - i\kappa_1} + \frac{i\alpha_1^*(b\rho_2 + a\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_1 x + 2\lambda_1^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_2(ac - |b|^2)}\right) \\ Y_{12} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(e^{\kappa_2(x-2\lambda_2 t)} + \beta_2 e^{-\kappa_2(x-2\lambda_2 t)})\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 + i\kappa_1} + \frac{e^{\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 - i\kappa_1} + \frac{i\alpha_1^*(b\rho_2 + a\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_1 x + 2\lambda_1^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_2(ac - |b|^2)}\right) \\ Y_{21} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(e^{\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)} + \beta_1 e^{-\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)})\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_2(x+2\lambda_2 t)}}{\lambda_2 + i\kappa_2} + \frac{e^{\kappa_2(x+2\lambda_2 t)}}{\lambda_2 - i\kappa_2} + \frac{i\alpha_2^*(b\rho_2 + a\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_2 x + 2\lambda_2^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_2(ac - |b|^2)}\right) \\ Y_{22} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(e^{\kappa_2(x-2\lambda_2 t)} + \beta_2 e^{-\kappa_2(x-2\lambda_2 t)})\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_2(x+2\lambda_2 t)}}{\lambda_2 + i\kappa_2} + \frac{e^{\kappa_2(x+2\lambda_2 t)}}{\lambda_2 - i\kappa_2} + \frac{i\alpha_2^*(b\rho_2 + a\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_2 x + 2\lambda_2^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_2(ac - |b|^2)}\right)\end{aligned}$$

**Case 2.**  $m < 0$ ,  $\lambda_1^2 + m < 0$ , and  $\delta_1 = -i\kappa_1$ ;  $\delta_2 = 0$ , and  $\lambda_2 = \sqrt{-m}$ .

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) &= \frac{(ac - |b|^2)}{i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}(1 + \beta_2(x - 2\lambda_2 t))(e^{-\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)} + \beta_1^* e^{\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}) \\
&\quad - \frac{(ac - |b|^2)m}{i\lambda_2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\left(\frac{i\beta_2}{\lambda_2} + \beta_2(x + 2\lambda_2 t) + 1\right)\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 + i\kappa_1} + \frac{\beta_1^* e^{\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 - i\kappa_1}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_1^* m}{i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} e^{i(-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x + 2(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)t)}, \\
\Omega(\Phi_2, \Phi_1) &= \frac{(ac - |b|^2)}{i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}(e^{\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)} + \beta_1 e^{-\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)})(1 - \beta_2^*(x + 2\lambda_2 t)) \\
&\quad - \frac{(ac - |b|^2)m}{i\lambda_2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\left(\frac{-i\beta_2^*}{\lambda_2} - \beta_2^*(x + 2\lambda_2 t) + 1\right)\left(\frac{e^{\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 - i\kappa_1} + \frac{\beta_1 e^{-\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 + i\kappa_1}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha_2^* \alpha_1 m}{i(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} e^{i(-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x + 2(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)t)}, \\
\Omega(\Phi_2, \Phi_2) &= \frac{(ac - |b|^2)}{2i\lambda_2}(1 + \beta_2(x - 2\lambda_2 t))(1 - \beta_2^*(x + 2\lambda_2 t)) \\
&\quad - \frac{(ac - |b|^2)m}{2i\lambda_2^2}\left(1 + \beta_2(x - 2\lambda_2 t) + \frac{i\beta_2}{\lambda_2}\right)(1 - \beta_2^*(x + 2\lambda_2 t) - \frac{i\beta_2^*}{\lambda_2}) - \frac{|\alpha_2|^2 m}{2i\lambda_2} e^{-2i\lambda_2 x}, \\
X_{12} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(1 + \beta_2(x - 2\lambda_2 t))\left(\frac{e^{-\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 + i\kappa_1} + \frac{e^{\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)}}{\lambda_1 - i\kappa_1} - \frac{i\alpha_1^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_1 x + 2\lambda_1^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)}\right), \\
X_{21} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(e^{\kappa_1(x-2\lambda_1 t)} + \beta_1 e^{-\kappa_1(x+2\lambda_1 t)})\left(\frac{-i\beta_2^*}{\lambda_2^2}\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\beta_2^*}{\lambda_2}(x + 2\lambda_2 t) + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{i\alpha_2^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_2 x + 2\lambda_2^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)}\right), \\
X_{22} &= i(|b|^2 - ac)(1 + \beta_2(x - 2\lambda_2 t))\left(\frac{-i\beta_2^*}{\lambda_2^2} - \frac{\beta_2^*}{\lambda_2}(x + 2\lambda_2 t) + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{i\alpha_2^*(c\rho_2 + b^*\rho_1)e^{-i(\lambda_2 x + 2\lambda_2^2 t + \frac{\omega}{2}t)}}{\rho_1(ac - |b|^2)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_1), X_{11}, Y_{11}$  are same as the ones for the first case. Thus, two-soliton solution is given by the formula (33) with  $N = 2$ . We remark here that if set  $\alpha_k = 0$ , then  $X = Y$ , and thus the two-soliton solution of nonlocal coupled NLS (5) yields the one of the nonlocal NLS equation.

## 5 Conclusions and Remarks

In this paper, we have investigated a nonlocal coupled NLS equation. We have demonstrated its integrability by proposing its Lax pair. We have constructed its Nth Darboux transformation. By using the Darboux transformation, we have derived its soliton solutions. The results obtained in this

paper including the Lax pair, the Darboux transformation, and the soliton solutions can yield the corresponding ones for nonlocal NLS equation. In the future, we will investigate the discrete version of the nonlocal coupled NLS equation (5).

## Acknowledgements

The work of DMX is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under grants 11371248 and 11431008 and the RFDP of Higher Education of China under grant 20130073110074, that of ZNZ by the NSFC under grant 11271254, and in part by the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness of Spain under contract MTM2012-37070.

## References

- [1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5243(1998).
- [2] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, H. F. Jones, and B. K. Meister, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 040403(2007).
- [3] R. El-Ganainy, K. G. Makris, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, Opt. Lett. **32**, 2632 (2007).
- [4] Z. H. Musslimani, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030402 (2008).
- [5] K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 103904 (2008).
- [6] C. E. Rter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, Nat. Phys. **6**, 192 (2010).
- [7] A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M.-A. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Nature **488**, 167 (2012).
- [8] A. Regensburger, M.-A. Miri, C. Bersch, J. Nager, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 223902 (2013).
- [9] A. Guo, G.J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G.A. Siviloglou, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 093902 (2009).
- [10] V. E. Zakharov, A.B. Shabat, Sov. Phys. JETP, **34**, 63 (1972).
- [11] G. P. Agrawal, Nonlinear Fiber Optics (Academic, San Diego, 1989).
- [12] D. J. Benney and A. C. Newell, Stud. Appl. Math. **46**, 133 (1967).
- [13] M.J. Ablowitz and Z.H. Musslimani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 064105(2013).
- [14] A. K. Sarma, M. A. Miri, Z. H. Musslimani, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. E **89**, 052918 (2014).

- [15] M. Li and T. Xu, Phys. Rev. E. **91**, 033202 (2015).
- [16] A. Hasegawa and Y. Kodama, Solitons in Optical Communications (Clarendon, Oxford, 1995).
- [17] G. J. Roskes, Stud. Appl. Math. **55**, 231, (1976).
- [18] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463 (1999).
- [19] D.S. Wang, D.J.Zhang and J.K. Yang, J. Math. Phys. **51**, 023510(2010).
- [20] S. V. Manakov, Sov. Phys. JETP **38**, 248 (1974).
- [21] V. E. Zakharov and E .I. Schulman, Physica D **4**, 270 (1982).
- [22] N. Vishnu Priya, M. Senthilvelan, M. Lakshmanan, Phys. Rev. E **89**, 062901 (2014).
- [23] N. Vishnu Priya, M. Senthilvelan, Wave Motion **54**, 125 (2015).
- [24] N. Vishnu Priya, M. Senthilvelan, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **20**, 401 (2015).
- [25] A. Khara and A. Saxena,J. Math. Phys. **56**, 032104 (2015).
- [26] L. Ling, L. Zhao and B. Guo, arXiv:1407.5194 (2014).
- [27] L. Ling, L. Zhao, B. Guo, arXiv:1309.1037 (2013).