

THE TWOFOLD WAY OF SUPER HOLONOMY

JOSUA GROEGER¹

*Universität zu Köln, Institut für Theoretische Physik,
Zùlpicher Str. 77, 50937 Köln, Germany*

¹groegerj@thp.uni-koeln.de

Abstract

There are two different notions of holonomy in supergeometry, the supergroup introduced by Galaev and our functorial approach motivated by super Wilson loops. Either theory comes with its own version of invariance of vectors and subspaces under holonomy. By our first main result, the Twofold Theorem, these definitions are equivalent. Our proof is based on the Comparison Theorem, our second main result, which characterises Galaev's holonomy algebra as an algebra of coefficients, building on previous results. As an application, we generalise some of Galaev's results to S -points, utilising the holonomy functor. We obtain, in particular, a de Rham-Wu decomposition theorem for semi-Riemannian S -supermanifolds.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58A50, 53C29, 18F05.

Key words and phrases. supermanifolds, holonomy, group functor.

1 Introduction

A connection on a vector bundle over a smooth manifold gives rise to an isomorphism of fibres through parallel transport along a connecting path. The group of such isomorphisms with respect to loops, all starting and ending at the same point, is known as the holonomy group. It is an important concept of an algebraic encoding of geometric properties [KN96, Joy00, MS99, GL10].

The generalisation of holonomy to supergeometry is nontrivial due to the lack of a sufficiently powerful notion of parallel transport in that context. Recently, two approaches have been introduced that both overcome this difficulty. In the first one due to Galaev [Gal09], a suitable generalisation of the Ambrose-Singer theorem is taken as the definition of a super Lie algebra, which is then endowed to a super Harish-Chandra pair, thus obtaining a super Lie group for every topological point of the manifold. In the second approach [Gro14], auxiliary Graßmann generators are introduced that allow for a supergeometric parallel transport modelling super Wilson loops [MS10, BKS12]. The holonomy of an S -point x is then a Lie group valued functor $T \mapsto \text{Hol}_x(T)$.

The relation between both theories is nontrivial, as to be elaborated henceforth. The Twofold Theorem, to be stated next in an informal way, is our main result for the sake of applications.

Research funded by the Institutional Strategy of the University of Cologne in the German Excellence Initiative.

Theorem A (Twofold Theorem). *A vector in the pullback of the super vector bundle under an S -point x is invariant under Galaev's holonomy supergroup if and only if it is invariant under $\text{Hol}_x(T)$, for a sufficiently large choice T of auxiliary Graßmann generators. An analogous statement holds for invariant subspaces.*

In [Gro14], we argued that the generators of Galaev's holonomy algebra can be extracted as certain coefficients from the Lie algebras occurring in the functorial approach in the common situation of a topological point, and a partial sketch of a proof was given. In this article, we establish this observation, generalised to S -points, as the Comparison Theorem, which is our main result from a technical point of view.

Theorem B (Comparison Theorem). *Galaev's holonomy superalgebra can be characterised as the algebra of T -coefficient matrices of the Lie algebras $\text{hol}_x(T)$, for all T .*

Our proof uses a formula for an odd derivative of parallel transport interpreted as a homotopy and relates the pullback of higher covariant derivatives to covariant derivatives of the pullback. The Comparison Theorem is the main ingredient in the proof of the Twofold Theorem. It further forms the basis of the following result.

Proposition C. *The functor of points of Galaev's holonomy supergroup is the smallest representable group functor which contains Hol_x as a subfunctor. On the level of Lie algebras, the T -coefficients of monomials of sufficiently large degree agree.*

In view of our results mentioned so far, one might conjecture that the functor of points of Galaev's holonomy supergroup should be the sheafification of our supergroup functor, with respect to a Grothendieck topology of submersions natural in our context. This topology agrees with the fppf topology [Zub09, MZ11] on the category of Graßmann algebras. We establish the following.

Proposition D. *Both Hol_x and the functor of points of Galaev's holonomy supergroup are sheaves in the fppf topology.*

Prp. C and Prp. D show in a very precise way how the two approaches to super holonomy are related. In the framework of either theory, it is natural to formulate generalisations of the milestones of classical holonomy, that is to say the holonomy principle, the theorem on parallel subbundles and the de Rham-Wu theorem. By our Twofold Theorem, these generalisations are, respectively, equivalent. While the holonomy principle holds for general S -points (Thm. 2 in [Gro14]), the other two theorems mentioned were proved by Galaev in [Gal09] in the supergroup approach for topological points only. We establish the general case in the functorial approach.

Theorem E. *Parallel subbundles uniquely correspond to holonomy invariant subspaces.*

Theorem F (De Rham-Wu). *A semi-Riemannian S -supermanifold splits into a product such that the factors have weakly irreducible holonomy.*

This article is organised as follows. In Sec. 2, we briefly recall the relevant background and provide precise formulations of Thm. A, Thm. B and Prp. C. The proof of Thm. B, the Comparison Theorem, is deferred to the first part of Sec. 3. In the second, we prove Prp. D. Thm. E and Thm. F are the subject matter of Sec. 4. In a separate appendix, Sec. A, we establish the aforementioned characterisation of the fppf topology as a topology of submersions. While this can be deduced from a result by Schmitt in [Sch89], we provide an independent proof based on a less abstract result by Esin and Koç in [EK07].

2 The Twofold Theorem

In this section, we first recall the functorial holonomy theory of [Gro14] and introduce a slight generalisation of Galaev's approach developed in [Gal09]. Having set the stage, we precisely formulate and prove our main results concerning the relation between both theories. Thm. A and Thm. B correspond to Thm. 2.13 and Thm. 2.7, respectively, while Prp. C is split into Prp. 2.10, Prp. 2.11 and Prp. 2.12.

Let $M = (M_{\bar{0}}, \mathcal{O}_M)$ be a supermanifold in the sense of Berezin-Kostant-Leites ([Lei80], [Var04], [CCF11]) with underlying classical manifold $M_{\bar{0}}$. Concerning notation, we shall use the subscript $\bar{0}$ also to denote the even part of a super vector space, and $\bar{1}$ to denote the odd part. Let \mathcal{E} be a super vector bundle over M considered as a sheaf of locally free \mathcal{O}_M supermodules, such as the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}M := \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_M)$. Moreover, we fix a superpoint $S := \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$, an S -connection ∇ on the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_S := \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} \mathcal{O}_{S \times M}$ and an S -point $x : S \rightarrow M$ on M .

As detailed in [Gro14], ∇ gives rise to parallel transport operators $P_\gamma : x^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow y^* \mathcal{E}$ along an S -path $\gamma : S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ connecting x with another S -point y . The holonomy group Hol_x is defined as the set of parallel transports P_γ such that γ is a piecewise smooth S -loop starting and ending in x . For notational simplicity, we shall not denote the dependence on ∇ explicitly in the following. Hol_x carries the structure of a Lie group. By a theorem of Ambrose-Singer type, its Lie algebra hol_x is generated by endomorphisms of the form

$$(1) \quad \{P_\gamma^{-1} \circ R_y(u, v) \circ P_\gamma \mid y : S \rightarrow M, \gamma : x \rightarrow y \text{ pw.smooth}, u, v \in (y^* \mathcal{T}M)_{\bar{0}}\}$$

where R denotes the curvature tensor with respect to ∇ . As it stands, the holonomy group Hol_x contains only a limited amount of information, making it necessary to consider a larger set of loops. To that end, let $T = \mathbb{R}^{0|L'}$ be another superpoint and consider x as an $S \times T$ -point, denoted $x_T : S \times T \rightarrow M$. The prescription $T \mapsto \text{Hol}_x(T) := \text{Hol}_{x_T}$ extends to a Lie group valued functor, referred to as the holonomy group functor Hol_x in the following. Similarly, the assignment of Lie algebras $T \mapsto \text{hol}_x(T)$ establishes a functor, denoted hol_x , in its own right. Both Hol_x and hol_x can be considered as functors from the category \mathcal{P} of superpoints $T = \mathbb{R}^{0|L'}$ to the category Sets .

Galaev's holonomy supergroup studied in [Gal09] is defined for a classical point $x \in M_{\bar{0}}$, that is an S -point with $L = 0$ in the notation above. For comparison with the holonomy group functor, we introduce a slight generalisation of that theory next. To begin with, we define higher covariant derivatives of tensors of curvature type. Choose an auxiliary S -connection on $\mathcal{T}M$, which will also be referred to as ∇ and left implicit.

Definition 2.1. *Let $F \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}}(\mathcal{T}M_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}} \mathcal{T}M_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}} \mathcal{E}_S, \mathcal{E}_S)_{\bar{0}}$ be a tensor and $Y_1, \dots, Y_{k+1} \in \mathcal{T}M_S$. For $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}M_S$, we define*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{Y_1} F)(X, Y) &:= \nabla_{Y_1} \circ F(X, Y) - F(\nabla_{Y_1} X, Y) - (-1)^{|Y_1||X|} F(X, \nabla_{Y_1} Y) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|Y_1|(|X|+|Y|)} F(X, Y) \circ \nabla_{Y_1} \end{aligned}$$

and, recursively,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_1}^{k+1} F &:= \nabla_{Y_{k+1}} (\nabla_{Y_k, \dots, Y_1}^k F) \\ &\quad - \sum_j (-1)^{|Y_{k+1}|(|Y_k|+\dots+|Y_1|)} \nabla_{Y_k, \dots, Y_{j+1}, \nabla_{Y_{k+1}} Y_j, Y_{j-1}, \dots, Y_1}^k F \end{aligned}$$

The covariant derivatives $\nabla^k F$ are tensors and, as such, may be pulled back to $y : S \times T \rightarrow M$. As in [Gro14] we write, by a slight abuse of notation,

$$(\nabla_{Y_k, \dots, Y_1}^k F)_y(u, v) := ((\nabla^k F)_y)_{Y_k, \dots, Y_1}(u, v)$$

for $Y_r, \dots, Y_1, u, v \in y^* \mathcal{T}M$.

Definition 2.2. *Let $x : S \rightarrow M$ be an S -point. Let $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ denote the $(\mathbb{R}\text{-})$ super Lie algebra generated by operators*

$$P_\gamma^{-1} \circ \left(\nabla_{Y_k, \dots, Y_1}^k R \right)_y(u, v) \circ P_\gamma \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(x^* \mathcal{E}) \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk} \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$$

with arbitrary S -point $y : S \rightarrow M$, S -path $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_r, u, v \in y^* \mathcal{T}M$.

The reader should note that Def. 2.2, like Def. 2.1, implicitly depends on the choice of an auxiliary connection on $\mathcal{T}M$. As done by Galaev for $L = 0$, one can directly show that, in fact, $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ is independent thereof. We will omit this proof here, since that statement is a direct corollary of Thm. 2.7 below.

The even part $(\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_{\bar{0}} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk} \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_S)_{\bar{0}}$ is the Lie algebra of a unique immersed connected Lie subgroup of $GL_{\text{rk} \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ (see Chp. 2 of [GOV97]), that we will refer to as $(\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_{\bar{0}}$. Moreover, we define the Lie group

$$(\text{Hol}_x)_{\bar{0}} := \text{Hol}_x(T = \mathbb{R}^{0|0}) = \{P_\gamma \mid \gamma : S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M, \gamma : x \rightarrow x\} \subseteq GL_{\text{rk} \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$$

whose Lie algebra is contained in $(\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_{\bar{0}}$, and which is not connected, in general. Now let $(\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_{\bar{0}} \subseteq GL_{\text{rk} \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ be the Lie group generated by $(\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_{\bar{0}}$ and $(\text{Hol}_x)_{\bar{0}}$, which comes with the natural adjoint action on $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk} \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. We thus obtain a super Harish-Chandra pair corresponding to a super Lie group.

Definition 2.3. *Galaev's holonomy supergroup $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ is the super Lie group determined by the super Harish-Chandra pair $((\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_{\bar{0}}, \text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})$.*

We shall next define the holonomy algebra of coefficients of $\text{hol}_x(T)$ with respect to generators of \mathcal{O}_T . Continuing the above convention, the number L will always denote the odd dimension of $S = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$, whereas L' is as in $T = \mathbb{R}^{0|L'}$.

For a fixed number $L' > 0$, we consider the generators $\eta_{L'}^1, \dots, \eta_{L'}^{L'}$ of the Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{O}_T = \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L'}$ corresponding to the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{L'}$. The canonical inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{L'} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{L''}$ for $L'' > L'$ induces an identification of the generators $\eta_{L'}^i$ and $\eta_{L''}^i$ (for $1 \leq i \leq L'$). In the following, we will simply write η^i as an element of $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L''}$ for some $L'' \geq L'$ whose exact value is not important unless stated otherwise.

Definition 2.4. *We define the coefficient holonomy algebra hol_x^{C} to be the set of coefficient matrices of T -generators as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hol}_x^{\text{C}} &:= (\text{hol}_x^{\text{C}})_{\bar{0}} \oplus (\text{hol}_x^{\text{C}})_{\bar{1}} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk} \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_S) \\ (\text{hol}_x^{\text{C}})_{\bar{i}} &:= \{h \mid \exists L' \in \mathbb{N}, \exists A = \sum_I A^I \cdot \eta^I \in \text{hol}_x(T = \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L'}), \exists I : |I| = \bar{i}, h = A^I\} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5. *hol_x^{C} is an \mathcal{O}_S -supermodule as well as a super Lie algebra, with operations induced from $\mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk} \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$.*

Proof. The sum of two elements $X, Y \in \text{hol}_x^{\mathbb{C}}$ is contained in the same set. In case of opposite parity, this is by definition. Otherwise, it follows from the fact that, by (1), $A \cdot \eta^K \in \text{hol}_x(T)$ for every $A \in \text{hol}_x(T)$ and every even monomial $\eta^K \in \mathcal{O}_T$. A similar argument concludes the proof that $\text{hol}_x^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an \mathcal{O}_S -supermodule. Finally, we claim that $[X, Y] \in \text{hol}_x^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $A = A^I \eta^I \in \text{hol}_x(T)$, $B = B^J \eta^J \in \text{hol}_x(T')$, and let I_0 and J_0 denote multiindices such that $X = A^{I_0}$ and $Y = B^{J_0}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $T = T'$. Moreover, we may alter B such as to achieve $I \cdot J \neq 0$ for all I and J occurring. In terms of the generators (1), this means to change the instances of γ , u and v accordingly. It then follows that $[X, Y] = [A^{I_0}, B^{J_0}] = [A, B]^{I_0 J_0}$, thus concluding the proof. \square

A Lie supergroup over S is a group object in the category of supermanifolds over S and, as such, possesses an \mathcal{O}_S -Lie superalgebra (sheaf) which is (locally) free. This is detailed in a forthcoming article by Alldridge and Coulembier. In the present setting, it is thus natural to conjecture that $\text{hol}_x^{\mathbb{C}}$ should be free as an \mathcal{O}_S -supermodule. However, the following example, which resembles Exp. 4 of [Gro14], shows that this conjecture is false, in general.

Example 2.6. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^{0|1} = (*, \langle \theta \rangle)$, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}M$ and $S = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ with some $L \geq 2$. Denoting the standard S -coordinates by $\hat{\eta}^j$, we define an S -connection on \mathcal{E}_S by prescribing $\nabla_{\partial_\theta} \partial_\theta = \hat{\eta}^1 \hat{\eta}^2 \theta \partial_\theta$. A short calculation shows that

$$P_\gamma^{-1} \circ R_y(u, v) P_\gamma[w] = -2\hat{\eta}^1 \hat{\eta}^2 u^\theta v^\theta \cdot w$$

writing $u = (y^* \partial_\theta) \cdot u^\theta \in (y^* \mathcal{E})_{\bar{0}}$ and analogously for v . Any element $C \in \text{hol}_*^{\mathbb{C}}$ is, therefore, of the form $C = \hat{\eta}^1 \hat{\eta}^2 \cdot \tilde{C}$.

Theorem 2.7 (Comparison Theorem). *The coefficient holonomy algebra is Galaev's holonomy superalgebra $\text{hol}_x^{\mathbb{C}} = \text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$.*

Technically, the Comparison Theorem is the main result of this article. A partial proof of one implication, in the case $S = \mathbb{R}^{0|0}$, was already given in Sec. 4 of [Gro14]. This was done by suitably expressing covariant derivatives up to second order by infinitesimal parallel transport and, moreover, considering special $S \times T$ -points of M ((20) in that reference). We are convinced that the argument can be generalised in that, by some inductive proof, higher covariant derivatives to any order should be expressible by means of parallel transport. While such a statement would certainly be of independent interest, already calculations at low orders involve some technical complexity.

For this reason, we shall provide a different proof, which we defer to Sec. 3 below. It is based on a formula for an odd derivative of parallel transport, when interpreted as a corresponding homotopy, and a precise study of the different pullback derivatives involved.

For further comparison of the two theories of super holonomy, it is most natural to relate the functors Hol_x and hol_x to the functors of points of $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ and $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$, respectively. It will be helpful to consider all functors occurring as subfunctors of the functor of points of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk} \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ to be described next.

Consider $\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ as a real super vector space, with \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading induced by the supermatrix grading and the natural grading on \mathcal{O}_S . With respect to a choice of generators $\hat{\eta}^1, \dots, \hat{\eta}^L$ of \mathcal{O}_S , a natural basis is given by the matrices (E^{lmI}) with entries $(E^{lmI})_{kn} := \delta_k^l \delta_n^m \cdot \hat{\eta}^I$, where $1 \leq l, m \leq n + m$, I is a multiindex, and the parity of

E^{lmI} is given by $|l| + |m| + |I|$. Let $\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}^S$ denote the supermanifold corresponding to that super vector space. Moreover, let $GL_{n|m}^S$ denote the open subsupermanifold such that the points in the underlying manifold $(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}^S)_{\overline{0}}$ are invertible as supermatrices. It is known that this condition is equivalent to invertibility of the matrix arising from projecting away the \mathcal{O}_S -generators.

Lemma 2.8. *The functors of points satisfy $\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}^S(T) \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}(\mathcal{O}_{S \times T})_{\overline{0}}$ (as Lie algebras) and $GL_{n|m}^S(T) \cong GL_{n|m}(\mathcal{O}_{S \times T})$ (as Lie groups). Moreover, the super Harish-Chandra pair of $GL_{n|m}^S$ is given by $(GL_{n|m}(\mathcal{O}_S), \mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}(\mathcal{O}_S))$ together with the usual adjoint action.*

Proof. The first assertion is clear by the general formula $V(T) \cong (V \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)_{\overline{0}}$, where V on the left hand side is the supermanifold associated to a super vector space (or super Lie algebra), also denoted by V on the right hand side. The corresponding statement for the Lie groups holds by the characterisation of invertibility of a supermatrix mentioned above. With these identifications, the Harish-Chandra characterisation is also established. \square

While the first part of Lem. 2.8 is valid for T in the category SMan of all supermanifolds, we will use this result for the subcategory \mathcal{P} of superpoints $T = \mathbb{R}^{0|L'}$.

Let F_1 and F_2 be functors to the category Sets. F_1 is said to be a subfunctor of F_2 if $F_1(T) \subseteq F_2(T)$ for every object T , and for every morphism $\varphi : T \rightarrow S$, the morphism $F_1(\varphi)$ arises by restriction from $F_2(\varphi)$. This is true for the functors arising in the context of holonomy as summarised in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *The functors hol_x and $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ are subfunctors of (the functor of points of) $\mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}}^S$. Similarly, Hol_x and $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ are subfunctors of $GL_{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}}^S$ (and, as such, also subfunctors of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}}^S$).*

As the first corollary of the Comparison Theorem, Thm. 2.7, we establish important inclusions.

Proposition 2.10. *For every $T = \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$, there are canonical inclusions*

$$\text{hol}_x(T) \subseteq (\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)_{\overline{0}} = \text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T), \quad \text{Hol}_x(T) \subseteq \text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T)$$

of Lie algebras and Lie groups, respectively, which are functorial in T and thus induce natural transformations.

Proof. The first inclusion is a direct corollary of Thm. 2.7.

$\text{hol}_x(T)$ and $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T)$ are both Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}}^{\text{Gal}}(\mathcal{O}_{S \times T})$. By standard Lie group theory (cf. Chp. 2 of [GOV97]), the connected components of the corresponding holonomy groups thus satisfy

$$\text{Hol}_x(T)^0 \subseteq \text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T)^0 \subseteq GL_{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{O}_{S \times T})$$

Every element $P_\gamma \in \text{Hol}_x(T)$ comes with an $S \times T$ -path $\gamma : S \times T \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$, which may be homotoped to the underlying S -path $\tilde{\gamma}$ obtained from γ by the standard inclusion $S \rightarrow S \times T$. This homotopy gives rise to a path $P_{\tilde{\gamma}} \rightarrow P_\gamma$. In particular, the composition $P := P_\gamma \cdot P_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1}$ is connected to the identity and, therefore, contained in $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T)^0$. Since, moreover, $P_{\tilde{\gamma}} \in (\text{Hol}_x)_{\overline{0}} \subseteq \text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T)$, it follows that $P_\gamma = P \cdot P_{\tilde{\gamma}} \in \text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T)$, which proves the second inclusion.

The various pullbacks with respect to a morphism $\varphi : T' \rightarrow T$ are all standard, which shows functoriality. \square

The inclusion of Prp. 2.10 is, in general, proper, as can be seen e.g. in Exp. 4 of [Gro14]. Nevertheless, the holonomy group functor $\text{Hol}_x(T)$ still contains all relevant information, to be detailed henceforth.

Reconsider Def. 2.4 of $\text{hol}_x^{\mathbb{C}}$ as the set of coefficients with respect to arbitrary $T = \mathbb{R}^{0L}$: From the form (1), it is clear that small T are irrelevant in the sense that $A \in \text{hol}_x(T)$ implies $A \in \text{hol}_x(T')$ for $T' = \mathbb{R}^{0L'}$ with $L' \geq L$. Therefore, coefficients with respect to T are coefficients with respect to T' . Since $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m, \mathcal{O}_S)$ is finite-dimensional, this inclusion stabilises. For any fixed sufficiently large T , we thus obtain

$$(2) \quad (\text{hol}_x^{\mathbb{C}})_{\bar{i}} := \{h \mid \exists A = \sum_I A^I \cdot \eta^I \in \text{hol}_x(T), \exists I : |I| = \bar{i}, h = A^I\}$$

Similarly, it is clear that $A \cdot \eta^J \in \text{hol}_x(T)$ for $A \in \text{hol}_x(T)$ and an even monomial $\eta^J \in \mathcal{O}_T$. It follows that all elements of $\text{hol}_x^{\mathbb{C}}$ occur as coefficients of monomials of sufficiently large degree. This observation can be formulated in the following form.

Proposition 2.11. *Writing \mathcal{O}_T as a direct sum $\mathcal{O}_T = (\mathcal{O}_T)_{\text{deg} \leq N} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_T)_{\text{deg} > N}$ for some $N < L$, we obtain*

$$\text{hol}_x(T) = (\text{hol}_x(T))_{\text{deg} \leq N} \oplus (\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_T)_{\text{deg} > N})_{\bar{0}} \subseteq (\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)_{\bar{0}}$$

provided that both N and L are sufficiently large.

By Prp. 2.10, the functor $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ contains Hol_x as a subfunctor. Moreover it is, by definition, representable. By the following result, it is the smallest one with these properties.

Proposition 2.12. *$\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ is the smallest representable group functor which contains Hol_x as a subfunctor.*

Proof. Assume that $\widetilde{\text{Hol}}_x$ is a super Lie group with super Lie algebra $\widetilde{\text{hol}}_x$ such that

$$\text{Hol}_x(T) \subseteq \widetilde{\text{Hol}}_x(T) \subseteq \text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T)$$

for every T , in a functorial way. Considering Harish-Chandra pairs we will show that, in this case, the super Lie groups $\widetilde{\text{Hol}}_x = \text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ agree.

By the corresponding inclusions for the Lie algebra valued functors and Prp. 2.11, we deduce

$$(\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_T)_{\text{deg} > N})_{\bar{0}} \subseteq (\widetilde{\text{hol}}_x \otimes (\mathcal{O}_T)_{\text{deg} > N})_{\bar{0}} \subseteq (\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_T)_{\text{deg} > N})_{\bar{0}}$$

It follows that $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} = \widetilde{\text{hol}}_x$ and, moreover, the connected Lie groups of the respective even parts coincide. In particular, $(\widetilde{\text{Hol}}_x)_{\bar{0}}$ contains $(\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_{\bar{0}}^0$. By assumption, it also contains $(\text{Hol}_x)_{\bar{0}}$. The inclusion $(\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_{\bar{0}} \subseteq (\widetilde{\text{Hol}}_x)_{\bar{0}}$ becomes immediate. Again by assumption, this is, in fact, an equality. \square

The non-trivial relation between the functors of both holonomy theories is revealed in Prp. 2.10, Prp. 2.11 and Prp. 2.12. We will further show that both group functor are sheaves in the fppf topology, see Prp. 3.10 below. In particular, $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ is not the sheafification of Hol_x , as one might conjecture in light of the previous results. When it comes to applications, however, both holonomy theories turn out to be equivalent. This is detailed in the Twofold Theorem, our main result in this regard.

Theorem 2.13 (Twofold Theorem). *Let $x : S \rightarrow M$ be an S -point and set $\mathcal{E}_x = x^*\mathcal{E}$. Let T be fixed sufficiently large as in (2).*

- (i) *Let $X_x \in \mathcal{E}_x$. Then $(\text{Hol}_x)_0 \cdot X_x = X_x$ and $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} \cdot X_x = 0$ if and only if $\text{Hol}_x(T) \cdot X_x = X_x$.*
- (ii) *Let $\mathcal{F}_x \subseteq \mathcal{E}_x$ be a free \mathcal{O}_S -submodule. Then $(\text{Hol}_x)_0 \cdot \mathcal{F}_x \subseteq \mathcal{F}_x$ and $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} \cdot \mathcal{F}_x \subseteq \mathcal{F}_x$ if and only if $\text{Hol}_x(T) \cdot \mathcal{F}_x \subseteq \mathcal{F}_x$.*

In both parts, the respective second condition for one (large) T may be equivalently replaced by the corresponding condition for every T .

Proof. We show part (i) of the theorem and omit the analogous proof of (ii).

Starting with the second implication, assume that X_x satisfies $\text{Hol}_x(T) \cdot X_x = X_x$. Since $(\text{Hol}_x)_0 \subseteq \text{Hol}_x(T)$, it follows that X_x is preserved by this group. Moreover, passing to the Lie algebra $\text{hol}_x(T)$, it also follows that $\text{hol}_x(T) \cdot X_x = 0$. Considering coefficients (2), we obtain $\text{hol}_x^C \cdot X_x = 0$, provided that T is sufficiently large. The implication is then immediate thanks to Thm. 2.7.

Conversely, assume that $(\text{Hol}_x)_0 \cdot X_x = X_x$ and $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}} \cdot X_x = 0$. Being connected, the Lie group $(\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_0$ is generated by $\exp(\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})$ and thus preserves X_x . It follows that $(\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_0 \cdot X_x = X_x$. Consider the super Lie group $G := GL_{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}}^S$ acting naturally on \mathcal{E}_x . Denoting by G_x the stabiliser super Lie subgroup of G with respect to X_x , the assumptions imply that the super Harish-Chandra pair $((\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})_0, \text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}})$ of $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ is a subpair of the one corresponding to G_x . Therefore, for each T , $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T) \subseteq G_x(T)$ (cf. Prp. 8.4.7 of [CCF11]), i.e. $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T) \cdot X_x = X_x$. By the inclusion of Prp. 2.10, it then follows that $\text{Hol}_x(T) \cdot X_x = X_x$. \square

3 The Holonomy Functor and its Algebra of Coefficients

This section contains a thorough analysis of the holonomy functor and forms the technical core of the present article. We provide a proof of the Comparison Theorem, Thm. B and Thm. 2.7 above, according to the strategy mentioned in the context of its formulation. Finally, we establish Prp. D as Prp. 3.10, stating that all functors occurring are sheaves in the fppf topology. The proof turns out to be quite simple, provided that a suitable characterisation of the topology is taken into account.

3.1 Proof of the Comparison Theorem

We begin with the following two lemmas, which relate the pullback of higher covariant derivatives of a tensor $F \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}}(\mathcal{T}M_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}} \mathcal{T}M_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}} \mathcal{E}_S, \mathcal{E}_S)_0$ of curvature type as in Def. 2.1 to covariant pullback derivatives of the pullback $F_y = y^*F$ with respect to a point $y : S \times T \rightarrow M$. It will be sufficient to consider consecutive applications of first-order covariant pullback derivatives rather than higher-order ones. For convenience, we recall the definition (Def. 14 of [Gro14]), with $u, v \in y^*\mathcal{T}M$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}(S \times T)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x^*\nabla)_X F_x(u, v) &:= (x^*\nabla)_X \circ F_x(u, v) - F_x((x^*\nabla)_X(u), v) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|X||u|} F_x(u, (x^*\nabla)_X(v)) - (-1)^{|X|(|u|+|v|)} F_x(u, v) \circ (x^*\nabla)_X \end{aligned}$$

The reader should note that this definition, like Def. 2.1, depends on the choice of an auxiliary connection on $\mathcal{T}M$. It will be used with $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^i}$ where, in all of the following, the η^i will denote the standard odd generators of \mathcal{O}_T (not of \mathcal{O}_S), as in the beginning of this section.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $y : S \times T \rightarrow M$ be an $S \times T$ -point. Then every operator of the form*

$$(y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{i_k}}} \circ \dots \circ (y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{i_1}}} F_y$$

can be written as an $\mathcal{O}_{S \times T}$ -linear combination of operators of the form

$$\left(\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^{j_1}} \dots \partial_{\xi^{j_l}}}^l F \right)_y$$

with $0 \leq l \leq k$ and $\xi = (x, \theta)$ coordinates around $y \in M_0$.

Proof. The base case $k = 0$ is trivial. We assume, by induction, that the assumption holds for k . To establish the case $k + 1$, we unwind the definitions to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & (y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{i_{k+1}}}} \left(\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^{j_1}} \dots \partial_{\xi^{j_l}}}^l F \right)_y \\ &= \partial_{\eta^{i_{k+1}}} (y^*(\xi^m)) \cdot \left(\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^m}} \nabla_{\partial_{\xi^{j_1}} \dots \partial_{\xi^{j_l}}}^l F \right)_y \\ &= \partial_{\eta^{i_{k+1}}} (y^*(\xi^m)) \cdot \left(\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^m}, \partial_{\xi^{j_1}} \dots \partial_{\xi^{j_l}}}^{l+1} F \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_n (\pm 1) \cdot \nabla_{\partial_{\xi^{j_1}} \dots \partial_{\xi^{j_{n+1}}}, \nabla_{\partial_{\xi^m}} \partial_{\xi^{j_n}}, \partial_{\xi^{j_{n-1}}}, \dots, \partial_{\xi^{j_1}}}^l F \right)_y \end{aligned}$$

Pulling the Christoffel symbol in $\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^m}} \partial_{\xi^{j_n}} = \Gamma_{m j_n}^h \partial_{\xi^h}$ in front of the l -order covariant derivative, we end up with an $\mathcal{O}_{S \times T}$ -linear combination of operators of the form stated, with $0 \leq l + 1 \leq k + 1$ provided that $0 \leq l \leq k$. The case $k + 1$ then follows immediately with the Leibniz rule. \square

While Lem. 3.1 holds in general, the following one will be established for a suitable generalisation of the special points (20) of [Gro14] to be introduced next. Given an S -point $q : S \rightarrow M$, consider the following $S \times T$ -point y , which is defined with respect to some choice of coordinates $\xi = (x, \theta)$ of M around $q_0 \in M_{\bar{0}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad y^\sharp(\theta^i) &:= \eta^i + q^\sharp(\theta^i) && \in (\mathcal{O}_T)_{\bar{1}} + (\mathcal{O}_S)_{\bar{1}} \\ y^\sharp(x^j) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \eta^{d_1+n(2d_0)+2j-1} \eta^{d_1+n(2d_0)+2j} + q^\sharp(x^j) && \in (\mathcal{O}_T)_{\bar{0}} + (\mathcal{O}_S)_{\bar{0}} \end{aligned}$$

Here, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a fixed number, and the indices j and i run through $1 \leq j \leq d_0$ and $1 \leq i \leq d_1$, respectively, where we abbreviate $d_i := (\dim M)_{\bar{i}}$ for the even ($i = 0$) and odd ($i = 1$) dimensions of M . For this definition to make sense, it is implicitly understood that T be sufficiently large. The point y is constructed such as to satisfy

$$y^*(\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^i}} Z) = (y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^i}} (y^* Z), \quad \eta^{d_1+n(2d_0)+2j} \cdot y^*(\nabla_{\partial_{x^j}} Z) = (y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{d_1+n(2d_0)+2j-1}}} (y^* Z)$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad & y^* \left((\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^i}} F)(\partial_{\xi^a}, \partial_{\xi^b}) \right) = \left((y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^i}} F_y \right) (y^* \partial_{\xi^a}, y^* \partial_{\xi^b}) \\ & \eta^{d_1+n(2d_0)+2j} \cdot y^* \left((\nabla_{\partial_{x^j}} F)(\partial_{\xi^a}, \partial_{\xi^b}) \right) = \left((y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{d_1+n(2d_0)+2j-1}}} F_y \right) (y^* \partial_{\xi^a}, y^* \partial_{\xi^b}) \end{aligned}$$

thus generalising (21) of [Gro14].

The polynomial of odd generators in the second line of (3) can be understood as follows. A product of two η 's, both different from those occurring in the first line, is necessary for having a formula like (4). In the proof of Lem. 3.2 to be stated next, we need to express a variant of the left hand side of this equation containing concatenations of covariant derivatives in the shape of the right hand side. The product of two η 's corresponding to different even coordinates x^j must, therefore, have nonvanishing product. In addition, in order to differentiate with respect to the same direction ∂_{x^j} up to k times, each $y^\sharp(x^j)$ is defined as the sum of k such pairs.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $q : S \rightarrow M$ be an S -point, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y : S \times T \rightarrow M$ be over q as defined in (3). Then every operator of the form*

$$\left(\prod_{n=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^{d_0} \eta^{d_1+n(2d_0)+2j} \right) \cdot (\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^{j_k}}, \dots, \partial_{\xi^{j_1}}}^k F)_y$$

can be written as an $\mathcal{O}_{S \times T}$ -linear combination of operators of the form

$$(y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{i_l}}} \circ \dots \circ (y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{i_1}}} F_y$$

for $l \leq k$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lem. 3.1, we proceed by induction. Again, the base case $k = 0$ is trivial. The inductive step follows from applying (4), with F replaced by $\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^{j_k}}, \dots, \partial_{\xi^{j_1}}}^k F$, and Leibniz' rule to the right hand side of the recursive definition of Def. 2.1. The construction is such that conversion of a covariant derivative along an even direction ∂_{x^j} via (4) swallows one of k available \mathcal{O}_T -generators associated to x^j . \square

Prp. 2 of [Gro14] provides a formula for the derivative of the parallel transport operator by an even homotopy variable s . There, it was assumed that the homotopy is proper, i.e. the boundary points do not depend on s . In general, one would get boundary terms. The following proposition is the corresponding statement for a single path γ interpreted as a homotopy with respect to one of the odd variables.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $U = \mathbb{R}^{0M} = (*, \langle \eta^1, \dots, \eta^M \rangle)$ be a superpoint, $x, y : U \rightarrow M$ be U -points and $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$ be a U -path. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\eta^i} P_\gamma[Z_x] &= \left(\int_0^1 R_t[\partial_{\eta^i}] \right) P_\gamma + P_\gamma \partial_{\eta^i} (x^*(\xi^l)) x^* (\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^l}} T^k) \cdot Z_x^k \\ &\quad - \partial_{\eta^i} (y^*(\xi^l)) y^* (\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^l}} T^k) \cdot (P_\gamma[Z_x])^k \end{aligned}$$

for every $Z_x \in x^* \mathcal{E}$, where $R_t[\partial_{\eta^i}] := P_t \text{ev}|_t R_\gamma (d\gamma[\partial_t], d\gamma[\partial_{\eta^i}]) P_t^{-1}$ and $P_t := P_{\gamma|_{U \times \{t, 1\}}}$.

The formulation of Prp. 3.3 contains some heavy abuse of notation that needs to be explained. Recall that parallel transport P_γ is an operator $x^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow y^* \mathcal{E}$. The derivative with respect to η^i thus depends on the choice of trivialisations on either side. To be precise, we let (T^k) denote a local \mathcal{E} -basis in a neighbourhood of $x_0 \in M_{\overline{0}}$ and expand $Z_x =: (x^* T^k) \cdot Z_x^k$, and analogous with respect to y . The reader should note that we use the same symbol (T^k) for two different local \mathcal{E} -bases.

Proof. This is shown along the lines of the proof of Prp. 2 of [Gro14]. \square

We will use Prp. 3.3 for $U = S \times T$ and x replaced by $x_T : S \times T \rightarrow M$. In the following, the symbols η^i will again be used to denote odd generators of \mathcal{O}_T , as in the beginning of this section. By the following corollary, an η_i -derivative of an Ambrose-Singer operator yields a covariant derivative together with some lower order term. It is the key formula for the proof of Thm. 2.7 below.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $x : S \rightarrow M$ be an S -point, considered as an $S \times T$ -point $x = x_T : S \times T \rightarrow M$, y be an $S \times T$ -point and $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$. Let F be a tensor of curvature type as above and $u, v \in y^* \mathcal{T}M$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\eta^i}(P_\gamma^{-1} \circ F_y(u, v) \circ P_\gamma) \\ &= P_\gamma^{-1} \circ ((y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^i}} F_y)(u, v) \circ P_\gamma + P_\gamma^{-1} F_y((y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^i}} u, v) P_\gamma \\ & \quad + (-1)^{|u|} P_\gamma^{-1} F_y(u, (y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^i}} v) P_\gamma - \left[\int_0^1 dt P_\gamma^{-1} R_t[\partial_{\eta^i}] P_\gamma, P_\gamma^{-1} F_y(u, v) P_\gamma \right] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the product rule and the standard formula

$$\partial_{\eta^i} P_\gamma^{-1} = -P_\gamma^{-1} (\partial_{\eta^i} P_\gamma) P_\gamma^{-1},$$

the left hand side of the equation can be expressed in the form

$$\partial_{\eta^i}(P_\gamma^{-1} \circ F_y(u, v) \circ P_\gamma) = - [P_\gamma^{-1} \partial_{\eta^i} P_\gamma, P_\gamma^{-1} F_y(u, v) P_\gamma] + P_\gamma^{-1} [\partial_{\eta^i}, F_y(u, v)] \circ P_\gamma$$

Application of Prp. 3.3 with the assumption $\partial_{\eta^i} x^* = 0$ then leads to the right hand side. \square

To rephrase the previous result in a more convenient form, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.5. *For $k \geq 0$, define $\text{hol}_x(T)^{(k)}$ to be the Lie algebra generated by operators*

$$P_\gamma^{-1} \circ \left((y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{i_1}}} \circ \dots \circ (y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{i_k}}} R_y \right) (u, v) \circ P_\gamma$$

with $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$, $0 \leq l \leq k$ and $u, v \in y^ \mathcal{T}M$.*

This is such that $\text{hol}_x(T)^{(k)} \subseteq \text{hol}_x(T)^{(k+1)}$. Note that, in general, $\text{hol}_x(T)^{(0)} \neq \text{hol}_x(T)$ since the latter allows only even u and v .

Corollary 3.6. *Let $x : S \rightarrow M$ be an S -point, y be an $S \times T$ -point, $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$ and $u, v \in y^* \mathcal{T}M$. Then*

$$\partial_{\eta^{i_k}} \dots \partial_{\eta^{i_1}} (P_\gamma^{-1} \circ R_y(u, v) \circ P_\gamma) = P_\gamma^{-1} \circ ((y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{i_k}}} \dots (y^* \nabla)_{\partial_{\eta^{i_1}}} R_y)(u, v) \circ P_\gamma + R^{k-1}$$

with $R^{k-1} \in \text{hol}_x(T)^{(k-1)}$. Moreover, $\text{hol}_x(T)^{(m)}$ is generated by operators of the form of the left hand side of this equation with $0 \leq k \leq m$.

Proof. The first part of the statement is shown by induction, with both the base case $k = 1$ and the inductive step provided by Cor. 3.4. The second part is a direct corollary of the first. \square

Proof of Thm. 2.7. hol_x^{C} is generated by operators X of the form

$$(5) \quad X = Y|_{\eta=0}, \quad Y = \partial_{\eta^{i_k}} \dots \partial_{\eta^{i_1}} (P_\gamma^{-1} \circ R_y(u, v) \circ P_\gamma)$$

with $u, v \in (y^*\mathcal{T}M)_{\bar{0}}$. By Cor. 3.6, Y is contained in $\text{hol}_x(T)^{(k)}$. As such, by Lem. 3.1 it has an expression as a linear combination of (possibly nested) commutators involving operators of the form

$$P_\gamma^{-1} \circ \left(\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^{j_l}}, \dots, \partial_{\xi^{j_1}}}^l R \right)_y (u, v) \circ P_\gamma$$

with $0 \leq l \leq k$ and $u, v \in y^*\mathcal{T}M$. It follows that X has a corresponding expression with the occurrences of y, u, v and γ replaced by $y_0 := y|_{\eta=0} : S \rightarrow M$ and analogous for u_0, v_0 and γ_0 , respectively. By definition (Def. 2.2), this is clearly contained in $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$. Galaev's holonomy algebra thus contains all generators of the holonomy algebra of coefficients, thus establishing the inclusion $\text{hol}_x^{\text{C}} \subseteq \text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$.

Conversely, consider a generator X of $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ of the form

$$X = P_{\gamma_0}^{-1} \circ \left(\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^{i_k}}, \dots, \partial_{\xi^{i_1}}}^k R \right)_{y_0} (u_0, v_0) \circ P_{\gamma_0}$$

with $y_0 : S \rightarrow M$ and $\gamma_0 : x \rightarrow y_0$ and $u_0, v_0 \in y_0^*\mathcal{T}M$. Let $y : S \times T \rightarrow M$ (with T sufficiently large) be as in (3), and $u, v \in y^*\mathcal{T}M$ such that $u_0 = u|_{\eta=0}$ and $v_0 = v|_{\eta=0}$. Then

$$X = Y|_{\eta=0}, \quad Y := P_\gamma^{-1} \circ \left(\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^{i_k}}, \dots, \partial_{\xi^{i_1}}}^k R \right)_y (u, v) \circ P_\gamma$$

In order to apply Lem. 3.2, we denote the product of η 's occurring in that lemma by $\eta^{(d,k)}$ and rewrite the previous equation in the form

$$X = \hat{Y}|_{\eta=0}, \quad \hat{Y} = \partial_{\eta^{(d,k)}} \left(\eta^{(d,k)} Y \right)$$

By Lem. 3.2, the term in parentheses is an $\mathcal{O}_{S \times T}$ -linear combination of operators as in Def. 3.5 (\mathbb{R} -linear upon redefining the vectors u or v), and thus contained in $\text{hol}_x(T)^{(k)}$. By Cor. 3.6, it is a linear combination of arbitrary commutators of operators as on the left hand side of the corollary, and the same follows for \hat{Y} . Therefore, X has a corresponding expression in terms of operators of the form (5). Consider one such operator. We may assume, without loss of generality, that u (and similarly v) is even, for if not, we enlarge T by an additional odd generator η^a , replace (the odd part of) u by $\eta^a \cdot u$ and put a derivative ∂_{η^a} in front. We conclude that X is contained in hol_x^{C} which, therefore, contains all generators of $\text{hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$. The theorem is proved. \square

3.2 Sheaf Properties

Graßmann algebras are special instances of supercommutative superalgebras. For the study of functors in the latter category, it is natural to consider the fppf Grothendieck topology which, by definition, is the collection of finitely many morphisms $R \rightarrow R_i$ such that R_i is finitely presented and $R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ is a faithfully flat R -module. An important application concerns the quotient of an algebraic supergroup by a closed subsupergroup. The quotient functor, defined by the quotients of the functors of points, does not come with good properties. Only its fppf-sheafification is represented by a superscheme as

shown in [MZ11], cf. also Sec. 5.2 in [Jan87], and [Vis05] for a general treatment on Grothendieck topologies and related concepts.

By analogy and in view of the results of the previous subsection, one might conjecture that (the functor of points of) $\text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}$ should be the sheafification of the functor Hol_x . However, this turns out not to be the case. To the contrary, we shall prove that both functors are in fact sheaves with respect to the fppf topology (see Prp. 3.10 below). We consider the category \mathcal{P} of superpoints $\mathbb{R}^{0|L}$.

Definition 3.7 (fppf). *The fppf topology, denoted T_{fppf} , on \mathcal{P} is defined as collection of finite sets $\{P_i \rightarrow P\}_{i \in I}$ (for $P, P_i \in \mathcal{P}$) such that each morphism $P_i \rightarrow P$ is a submersion.*

Def. 3.7 is convenient for the present purposes. In App. A, we will prove its equivalence with the one used in [MZ11], upon restriction to Graßmann algebras, a result of independent interest. From that equivalence, one can deduce that, indeed, T_{fppf} satisfies the axioms of a Grothendieck topology. We shall now sketch a proof of this result in the current setting, not only for having a self-contained exposition but, more importantly, to collect some notation and facts for reference in the proof of Prp. 3.10 below.

Consider morphisms $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R}^{0|L_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ ($i = 1, 2$). The fibred product, if it exists, is defined as the object which makes the following type of diagrams commute and is universal in this respect (see Chp. III.4 of [Lan98]).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^{0|L_1} \times_{\mathbb{R}^{0|L}} \mathbb{R}^{0|L_2} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \mathbb{R}^{0|L_1} \\ \text{pr}_2 \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \varphi_1 \\ \mathbb{R}^{0|L_2} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \mathbb{R}^{0|L} \end{array}$$

We need the existence of the fibred product in case φ_1 is a submersion. This is indeed the case, for a submersion is transversal to any morphism φ_2 , see Prp. 2.9 of [BBHRP98]. Moreover, the fibred product has the form

$$\mathbb{R}^{0|L_1} \times_{\mathbb{R}^{0|L}} \mathbb{R}^{0|L_2} = \left(*, \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L_1+L_2} / \left\langle (\varphi_1 \times 0 - 0 \times \varphi_2)^* \left(\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L \right) \right\rangle \right)$$

and the maps pr_i are indeed projections.

Coordinates of this space can be found as follows. As φ_1 is assumed to be a submersion, there exist coordinates $(\eta^1, \dots, \eta^L, \eta^{L+1}, \dots, \eta^{L_1})$ of $\mathbb{R}^{0|L_1}$ such that φ_1 identifies η^1, \dots, η^L with coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{0|L}$. Let, moreover, $(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{L_2})$ be any coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{0|L_2}$. It follows that

$$\langle \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{L_2}, \eta^{L+1}, \dots, \eta^{L_1} \rangle \cong \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L_1+L_2} / \left\langle (\varphi_1 \times 0 - 0 \times \varphi_2)^* \left(\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L \right) \right\rangle$$

It follows that the projection pr_2 is a submersion, and we have established the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *T_{fppf} satisfies the axioms of a Grothendieck topology, such that $(\mathcal{P}, T_{\text{fppf}})$ constitutes a site.*

For our next result, recall the definition of the supermanifold $\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}^S$ for a fixed Graßmann algebra S and the characterisation of its functor of points in Lem. 2.8.

Lemma 3.9. *Every subfunctor F of (the functor of points of) $\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}^S$ is a sheaf in (\mathcal{P}, T_{fppf}) .*

Proof. Considering two submersions $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R}^{0|L_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ ($i = 1, 2$), let $(\eta_{(i)}^k)_{1 \leq k \leq L_i}$ denote coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{0|L_i}$ such that φ_i identifies the first L with coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{0|L}$. Let $a_i \in F(\mathbb{R}^{0|L_i})$. The condition $\text{pr}_1^* a_1 = \text{pr}_2^* a_2 \in F(\mathbb{R}^{0|L_1} \times_{\mathbb{R}^{0|L}} \mathbb{R}^{0|L_2})$ implies that either side depends only on the respective first L coordinates, which are identified in the fibred product. In other words, there is a unique $a \in F(\mathbb{R}^{0|L})$ such that $a_i = \varphi_i^* a$. Repeating the argument finitely many times, the sheaf property is established. \square

By Lem. 2.9, the holonomy functors are all subfunctors of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}}^S$. As such, they may be considered for the preceding lemma. We thus yield the following result.

Proposition 3.10. *The functor of points $T \mapsto \text{Hol}_x^{\text{Gal}}(T)$, as well as the functor $T \mapsto \text{Hol}_x(T)$ are both sheaves in (\mathcal{P}, T_{fppf}) .*

4 Applications of the Holonomy Functor

Semi-Riemannian S -supermanifolds are relevant in the context of supergravities [SS12]. The main purpose of this section is to establish the de Rham-Wu decomposition theorem, Thm. F in the precise shape of Thm. 4.9, generalising Thm. 11.1 of [Gal09] which, in turn, is a supergeometric version of the corresponding theorem proved in [Wu67]. We begin with Thm. 4.2 (Thm. E) on parallel subbundles, which generalises Thm. 6.1 of [Gal09] and will be needed in the proof.

Recall that \mathcal{E}_S is locally free as an $\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}$ -supermodule. An $\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}$ -subsheaf \mathcal{F}_S is called locally direct if, locally, \mathcal{E}_S possesses a basis such that a subbasis thereof spans \mathcal{F}_S . By the next lemma, this condition is equivalent to \mathcal{F}_S being locally free. We provide an elementary proof but remark that a variant thereof uses Nakayama's lemma (Prp. I.1.1 of [BBHR91]). The reader should note that corresponding statements for general (super-)modules are, in general, false.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ be a super vector bundle over a supermanifold and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ a subsheaf of \mathcal{O}_M -supermodules. Then \mathcal{F} is locally free if and only if it is locally direct, i.e. if and only if $\mathcal{E}(U)$ for $U \subseteq M_{\overline{0}}$ sufficiently small possesses a basis $(e_A)_A$ of which a subbasis spans $\mathcal{F}(U)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is free over $\mathcal{O}_M(U)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{O}_M(U) \cong C^\infty(U) \otimes \wedge \mathbb{R}^m$ through a choice of coordinates on M and, similarly, $\mathcal{E}(U)$ is trivial. Denoting the corresponding adapted basis by $(e_A)_{1 \leq A \leq k+l}$, and some basis of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ by $(f_{A'})_{A'}$ (where A' runs through $1 \leq A' \leq k'$ and $k+1 \leq A' \leq k+l'$), there are coefficients $C_{A'}^A \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ such that $f_{A'} = \sum_A C_{A'}^A \cdot e_A$. Let $\tilde{f}_{A'} \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^{k'+l'})$ denote the corresponding sections obtained by projecting the $C_{A'}^A$ to $C^\infty(U)$. They are linearly independent over $C^\infty(U)$, so there are $(\tilde{e}_{\hat{A}})_{\hat{A}}$ with $k'+1 \leq \hat{A} \leq k$ or $k+l'+1 \leq \hat{A} \leq k+l$ such that $(\tilde{f}_{A'}, \tilde{e}_{\hat{A}})$ forms a basis of $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^{k'+l'})$. By construction, the tuple $(f_{A'}, \tilde{e}_{\hat{A}})$ is linearly independent over $\mathcal{O}_M(U)$ and, therefore, constitutes a basis of $\mathcal{E}(U)$. $\mathcal{F}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(U)$ is direct. The other implication is trivial. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be connected, ∇ be an S -connection on \mathcal{E}_S , $x : S \rightarrow M$ be an S -point and $T = \mathbb{R}^{0|L'}$ with L' sufficiently large. Then the following holds true.*

- (i) Let $\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{E}_S$ be a locally free subbundle of \mathcal{E}_S which is parallel, i.e. such that $\nabla_Y X \in \mathcal{F}_S$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{T}M_S$ and $X \in \mathcal{F}_S$. Then $\mathcal{F}_x := \hat{x}^* \mathcal{F}_S$ is holonomy invariant $\text{Hol}_x(T) \cdot \mathcal{F}_x \subseteq \mathcal{F}_x$.
- (ii) Conversely, let \mathcal{F}_x be a free submodule of $\mathcal{E}_x := x^* \mathcal{E}$ such that $\text{Hol}_x(T) \cdot \mathcal{F}_x \subseteq \mathcal{F}_x$. Then there exists a unique locally free subbundle $\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{E}_S$ with $\hat{x}^* \mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_x$, which is parallel.

Proof. The proof is more involved, yet in its structure reminiscent of the one of the holonomy principle (Thm. 2 in [Gro14]) and, moreover, in parts similar to the proof of Thm. 7.1 in [Gal09]. Therefore, we consider it sufficient to sketch the relevant steps of the second assertion (which is the hard one) and leave the details to the attentive reader. Concerning notation, we shall follow the aforementioned proof and treat standard generators of both S and T on an equal footing, writing η^k with an appropriate index k .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M \cong \mathbb{R}^{n|m}$ has global coordinates $\xi = (x, \theta)$ and $\mathcal{E}_S \cong E \otimes \mathcal{O}_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ is trivial. Let $((e_A)_x)_A$ denote a basis of \mathcal{E}_x with the implicit understanding that A runs through a finite index set. Following Galaev's notation, we shall write $((e_A)_x)_A = (((e_{\bar{A}})_x)_{\bar{A}}, ((e_{\hat{A}})_x)_{\hat{A}})$ such that $((e_{\bar{A}})_x)_{\bar{A}}$ is a basis of \mathcal{F}_x . Let $(e_A)_{x_0}$ denote the projection of $(e_A)_x$ to the super vector space $x_0^* \mathcal{E}$, removing the nilpotent part coming from $\mathcal{O}_S = \langle \eta^1, \dots, \eta^L \rangle$. This gives rise to a basis of $x_0^* \mathcal{E}$ and is such that every $(e_A)_x$ can be written as a an \mathcal{O}_S -linear combination of the $(e_A)_{x_0}$. For the \mathcal{F}_x -part, we write

$$(e_{\bar{A}})_x = (e_{\bar{A}})_{x_0} + (T_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}})_x \cdot (e_{\hat{B}})_{x_0}, \quad (T_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}})_x \in \mathcal{O}(\eta) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_S$$

This is not the most general expansion possible, but may be achieved by an invertible transformation of the $(e_{\bar{A}})_x$.

Now define $F_{x_0} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}((e_{\bar{A}})_{x_0})$. By holonomy invariance of \mathcal{F}_x , we conclude $(\text{Hol}_{x_0})_{\bar{0}} \cdot F_{x_0} \subseteq F_{x_0}$. By the classical analogon of the current theorem, this gives rise to a parallel subbundle $F \subseteq E$. Now let (e_A) be a basis of E such that $(e_{\bar{A}})$ is a basis of F and $x_0^* e_A = (e_A)_{x_0}$. By the assumption of triviality, we may consider (e_A) as a basis of \mathcal{E}_S such that $x^* e_A = (e_A)_{x_0}$. We want a basis $(f_{\bar{A}})$ of \mathcal{F}_S such that $e_{\bar{A}}$ is the canonical projection of $f_{\bar{A}}$ and $x^* f_{\bar{A}} = (e_{\bar{A}})_x$. We find that any

$$f_{\bar{A}} = e_{\bar{A}} + T_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} \cdot e_{\hat{B}} \quad \text{with} \quad T_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}} \in \mathcal{O}_{S \times M} \quad \text{s.th.} \quad x^*(T_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}}) = (T_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}})_x$$

satisfies these conditions. We will prove existence and uniqueness of such $T_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}}$ with the property that there exist functions $X_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} \in \mathcal{O}_{S \times M}$ such that

$$\nabla_{\partial_{\xi^a}} f_{\bar{A}} = X_{a\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} \cdot f_{\bar{B}}$$

Then the subsheaf

$$\mathcal{F}_S := \text{span}_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}}(f_{\bar{A}}) = \mathcal{O}_{S \times M} \otimes \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{\bar{A}})$$

is parallel and locally free.

In the first step, we construct $(T_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}})^0 \in \mathcal{O}_M$ recursively with respect to an expansion in monomials θ^I of odd M -variables, setting $(T_{\bar{A}}^{\hat{B}})^0(q) := 0$ and applying the analogon

of (30) in [Gal09] to the projection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ of ∇ to a superconnection on \mathcal{E} . The bundle

$$\mathcal{F}_S^0 := (\mathcal{F}_S)_0 := \mathcal{O}_M \otimes \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(f_A^0), \quad f_A^0 := e_{\bar{A}} + (T_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}})^0 \cdot e_{\hat{B}}$$

satisfies the following. First, for $y : S \times T \rightarrow M$ and $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$, we obtain

$$P_{\gamma}[\mathcal{F}_x]|_{\eta^0} = P_{\gamma_0}[F_{x_0}] = F_{y_0} = (y^* \mathcal{F}_S^0)|_{\eta^0}$$

Moreover, the construction implies that

$$(\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^j}} f_A^0)|_{\eta^0} = \nabla_{\partial_{\theta^j}}^{\mathcal{E}} f_A^0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S^0, \quad (\nabla_{\partial_{x^j}} f_A^0)|_{\eta^0 \theta^0} = \nabla_{\partial_{x^j}}^0 e_{\bar{A}} \subseteq F = \mathcal{F}_S^0|_{\eta^0 \theta^0}$$

We take the preceding properties as the beginning of an induction and consider multiindices $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{|I|})$ with $1 \leq i_j \leq L + (\dim M)_{\bar{1}}$, such that $\eta^I \in \mathcal{O}_{S \times T}$. Assume that we have constructed $(T_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}})^N, (X_{\bar{a}\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})^N \in \mathcal{O}_{S \times M}$ and $(M_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})_{(\gamma)}^N \in \mathcal{O}_{S \times T}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

0_N $(T_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}})^N$ has an expansion $X^N = \sum_{|I| \leq N} X|_{\eta^I} \cdot \eta^I$ such that $X|_{\eta^I} = 0$ whenever there is $i_j \in I$ with $i_j \geq L + 1$, and accordingly for $(X_{\bar{a}\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})^N$ and $(M_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})_{(\gamma)}^N$.

1_N $P_{\gamma}[(e_{\bar{A}})_x]|_{\eta^I} = \left((M_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})_{(\gamma)}^N \cdot y^* f_{\bar{B}}^N \right)|_{\eta^I}$ for every $y : S \times T \rightarrow M$, $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$ and $|I| \leq N$.

2_N $(\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^j}} f_A^N)|_{\eta^I} = \left((X_{\bar{\theta}^j \bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})^N \cdot f_{\bar{B}}^N \right)|_{\eta^I}$ for all $|I| \leq N$.

3_N $(\nabla_{\partial_{x^j}} f_A^N)|_{\theta^A \eta^B} = \left((X_{x^j \bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})^N f_{\bar{B}}^N \right)|_{\eta^I}$ for all A, B such that $|A| + |B| \leq N$, where $A = (a_1, \dots, a_{|A|})$ with $1 \leq a_j \leq (\dim M)_{\bar{1}}$.

The inductive step proceeds as follows. For $|J| = N + 1$, we define $(M_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})^J$ and $(T_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{B}})^J(q)$ by 1_{N+1} . While the former explicitly depends on y and γ , one can show that the latter depends only on $q \in M_0$ such that $y_0(0) = q$. By means of 2_{N+1} we next endow $(T_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{B}})^J(q)$ to $(T_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{B}})^J \in \mathcal{O}_M$ and define $(X_{\bar{\theta}^j \bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})^J$. Finally use 3_{N+1} to define $(X_{x^j \bar{A}}^{\bar{B}})^{N+1}$.

The induction hypotheses with respect to $L + (\dim M)_{\bar{1}}$ imply that the covariant derivatives of the sections $f_{\bar{A}}$ remain in their span such that the subsheaf \mathcal{F}_S is parallel. It is unique by an argument similar to the last part of the proof of Thm. 2 of [Gro14]. \square

In view of our theory, it is natural to generalise the notion of a semi-Riemannian supermanifold to the relative setting with respect to a superpoint S , which is straightforward. Considering coefficients of odd S -generators, which can be thought of as auxiliary data on M , the supermetric can be interpreted as having an even and an odd part. This is analogous to considering "maps with flesh" $S \times M \rightarrow N$ as models for superfields including bosons (even) and fermions (odd), see e.g. [Hél09, DF99, Khe07, Han12, Gro11] for this concept under various names, and especially [SS12] where the following generalisation of a semi-Riemannian supermanifold occurs in the context of supergravities.

Definition 4.3. *A semi-Riemannian S -supermanifold is a supermanifold M together with an S -metric, i.e. an even non-degenerate, supersymmetric, bilinear form $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}}(\mathcal{T}M_S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}} \mathcal{T}M_S, \mathcal{O}_{S \times M})$.*

The torsion tensor of an S -connection is defined as usual.

$$T(X, Y) := \nabla_X Y - (-1)^{|X||Y|} \nabla_Y X - [X, Y], \quad T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}}(\mathcal{T}M_S \otimes \mathcal{T}M_S, \mathcal{T}M_S)_{\bar{0}}$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian S -supermanifold. It possesses a unique S -connection, denoted $\nabla^{LC} : \mathcal{T}M_S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}M_S^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S \times M} \mathcal{T}M_S$, such that $T = 0$ and it is metric:*

$$Xg(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + (-1)^{|X||Y|} g(Y, \nabla_X Z)$$

Proof. Shown as usual via Koszul's formula. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let (N, g) be a semi-Riemannian S -supermanifold, M a supermanifold, and $\varphi : S \times M \rightarrow N$ a morphism. Let ∇ an S -superconnection on N which is metric (such as Levi-Civita). Then the pullback $\varphi^* \nabla : \varphi^* \mathcal{T}N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}M_S^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S \times M}} \varphi^* \mathcal{T}N$ is metric in the following sense.*

$$Xg_\varphi(Y, Z) = g_\varphi((\varphi^* \nabla)_X Y, Z) + (-1)^{|X||Y|} g_\varphi(Y, (\varphi^* \nabla)_X Z)$$

holds true for every $X \in \mathcal{T}M$ as well as $Y, Z \in \varphi^* \mathcal{T}N$.

Proof. This follows from a straightforward calculation in local coordinates. \square

Let now $S = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ and (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian S -supermanifold with vector bundle $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}M$. Let $x : S \rightarrow M$ be an S -point. We call a free \mathcal{O}_S -submodule $W \subseteq \mathcal{E}_x = x^* \mathcal{E}$ non-degenerate, if it is with respect to the pullback metric g_x , in other words if $g_x|_W$ is non-degenerate. From Lem. 4.1, we know that W is direct. As in the classical case, there is a canonical choice of complementing submodule as shown next.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $W \subseteq \mathcal{E}_x$ be a free non-degenerate submodule. Then its orthogonal complement*

$$W^\perp := \{v \in \mathcal{E}_x \mid g_x(v, W) = 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_x$$

is a free and non-degenerate submodule of \mathcal{E}_x such that $\mathcal{E}_x = W \oplus W^\perp$.

Proof. $g_x|_W$ is even, nondegenerate and supersymmetric, thus W possesses an OSp -basis $(e_1, \dots, e_k, f_1, \dots, f_{2l})$. Continuing performing the corresponding algorithm, we may endow this basis to an OSp -basis $(e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_{2m})$ of \mathcal{E}_x . It is then clear that $(e_{k+1}, \dots, f_{2l+1}, \dots)$ is an OSp -basis of W^\perp . \square

Definition 4.7. *Let $x : S \rightarrow M$. The holonomy group $\text{Hol}_x(T)$, for fixed T , is called strongly reducible if there is a free non-degenerate submodule $F \subseteq \mathcal{E}_x$ which is preserved $\text{Hol}_x(T) \cdot F \subseteq F$. Otherwise, we call it weakly irreducible.*

The holonomy group functor $T \mapsto \text{Hol}_x(T)$ is called strongly reducible if there is free non-degenerate submodule $F \subseteq \mathcal{E}_x$ preserved by $\text{Hol}_x(T)$ for all superpoints T .

By Thm. 2.13, the holonomy group functor is weakly irreducible if and only if $\text{Hol}_x(T)$ is weakly irreducible for one fixed sufficiently large T . Moreover, this property depends on the connected component of x only:

Lemma 4.8. *Let $x, y : S \rightarrow M$ be S -points connected by an $S \times T$ -path γ . Parallel transport P_γ identifies free non-degenerate submodules of \mathcal{E}_x and \mathcal{E}_y , preserved by $\text{Hol}_x(T)$ and $\text{Hol}_y(T)$, respectively.*

Proof. This follows from the following two important observations. First, the holonomy groups are conjugated by parallel transport.

$$\text{Hol}_x(T) = P_\gamma^{-1} \circ \text{Hol}_y(T) \circ P_\gamma$$

Second, by Lem. 4.5 applied to $X = \partial_t$, parallel transport is an isometry. \square

Let $x : S \rightarrow M$ and assume that $(M, g) \cong (M_1 \times M_2, g_1 + g_2)$ splits. We define $x_i := \text{pr}_i \circ x : S \rightarrow M_i$. It is clear that $\mathcal{T}M_x \cong \mathcal{T}(M_1)_{x_1} \oplus \mathcal{T}(M_2)_{x_2}$. Likewise, parallel transport splits, and $\text{Hol}_x^{\nabla^g}(T) \cong \text{Hol}_{x_1}^{\nabla^{g_1}}(T) \times \text{Hol}_{x_2}^{\nabla^{g_2}}(T)$. We are now in a position to state a theorem of de Rham-Wu type for the present case of an semi-Riemannian S -supermanifold. Here, the subscript "0" does not refer to the underlying manifold or a related notion, as should be clear from the context.

Theorem 4.9 (De Rham-Wu). *Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian S -supermanifold such that the underlying classical semi-Riemannian manifold is simply connected and geodesically complete. Then there exist semi-Riemannian S -supermanifolds (M_i, g_i) , $0 \leq i \leq r$ with $r \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$(M, g) = (M_0 \times M_1 \times \dots \times M_r, g_0 + g_1 + \dots + g_r)$$

The supermanifold (M_0, g_0) has vanishing curvature (is flat), and the holonomy group functors $T \mapsto \text{Hol}_{x_i}^{\nabla^{g_i}}(T)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ are weakly irreducible. In particular,

$$\text{Hol}_x^{\nabla^g}(T) = \text{Hol}_{x_0}^{\nabla^{g_0}}(T) \times \text{Hol}_{x_1}^{\nabla^{g_1}}(T) \times \dots \times \text{Hol}_{x_r}^{\nabla^{g_r}}(T)$$

for every S -point $x : S \rightarrow M$ and T sufficiently large.

Proof. With the theorem on parallel subbundles, Thm. 4.2, established, the proof is similar to Galaev's proof for the case $L = 0$ (Thm. 11.1 in [Gal09]). Assume that $\text{Hol}_x(T)$ is strongly reducible, then it preserves a free non-degenerate submodule $F_1 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_x$, i.e. $\text{Hol}_x(T) \cdot F_1 \subseteq F_1$. Let $F_2 := F_1^\perp$ be its orthogonal complement. By Lem. 4.6, this is a transversal free non-degenerate submodule. Parallel transports around loops are isometries, and it follows that $\text{Hol}_x(T)$ preserves the decomposition $\mathcal{E}_x = F_1 \oplus F_2$. If T is sufficiently large, we may use Thm. 4.2 to conclude existence and uniqueness of locally direct parallel subbundles $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ of $\mathcal{T}M_S$. Since the Levi-Civita connection is torsion-free

$$0 = T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - (-1)^{|X||Y|} \nabla_Y X - [X, Y]$$

and the subbundles are parallel, it holds that $[X, Y] \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, such that the subbundles are involutive. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i \subseteq \mathcal{T}M$ denote the canonical projection of \mathcal{F}_i to $\mathcal{T}M$ by setting all generators of \mathcal{O}_S to zero. It follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$ is still free, the decomposition $\mathcal{T}M = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$ is direct, and the \mathcal{F}_i are involutive. By Frobenius' theorem, there are maximal integral subsupermanifolds M_1, M_2 with M_i corresponding to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$ such that M is locally diffeomorphic to $M_1 \times M_2$. It is globally so by the classical de Rham-Wu theorem. Moreover, the restrictions g_i of g to \mathcal{F}_i are non-degenerate and depend on M_i only. It follows that $(M, g) \cong (M_1 \times M_2, g_1 + g_2)$. \square

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Jan Hakenberg for lending his algorithmic expertise and Alexander Alldridge and Dominik Ostermayr for making suggestions which helped to improve a previous version of the article.

A The fppf Topology on the Category of Superpoints

For the purpose of studying the holonomy group functors it was natural to define the *fppf*-topology on the category \mathcal{P} of superpoints $\mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ in the form of Def. 3.7. The objective of this appendix is to relate this definition to the one which is well-known in algebraic geometry under the same name. For concreteness, we consider the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded version used in [Zub09, MZ11] of the classical notion (cf. Sec. 5.2 in [Jan87]), as follows.

Let SAlg_K denote the category of supercommutative superalgebras over a field K with characteristic different from 2. Recall that a supercommutative superalgebra B over a superring A is said to be finitely presented if it is of the form $A[t_1, \dots, t_n | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m] / I$ where I is a finitely generated ideal. An A -supermodule Y is said to be faithfully flat if the following holds: A sequence $X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X''$ is exact if and only if $X' \otimes_A Y \rightarrow X \otimes_A Y \rightarrow X'' \otimes_A Y$ is exact (for all A -modules X', X, X'').

Definition A.1 (fppf). *The fppf topology on SAlg_K is defined as collection of finite sets $\{R \rightarrow R_i\}_{i \in I}$ (for $R, R_i \in \text{SAlg}_K$) such that the R -supermodule $\times_{i \in I} R_i$ is faithfully flat and all R_i are finitely presented R -superalgebras.*

\mathcal{P} is naturally equivalent to the category Gr of Grassmann algebras over \mathbb{R} and, as such, can be considered as a full subcategory of $\text{SAlg}_{\mathbb{R}}$. It makes sense to consider Def. A.1 restricted to \mathcal{P} . Doing so results in our previous notion of fppf topology as shown by the following main result of this appendix.

Theorem A.2. *The topology on \mathcal{P} as defined in Def. 3.7 agrees with the one induced by Def. A.1.*

Proof. We note first that being finitely presented is no condition in the case of Grassmann algebras. Consider a covering consisting of morphisms $\varphi_i : P_i \rightarrow P$ as in Def. 3.7. By the following proposition, Prp. A.3, φ_i is a submersion if and only if $R_i = \mathcal{O}_{P_i}$ is flat as an $R = \mathcal{O}_P$ -module with respect to φ^* (a morphism in Gr). All R_i being flat, in turn, is equivalent to the condition of Def. A.1 (by Lem. I.2.2 of [Bou72] and the implication (ii) \implies (i) in Prp. A.3). \square

Concerning the preceding proof, we are obviously in the supercommutative rather than the commutative situation treated in [Bou72]. However, the results relevant for our present purposes continue to hold unchanged.

In the following, we shall make no notational distinction between a morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{0|L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{0|L'}$ and its pullback $\varphi : \wedge \mathbb{R}^{L'} \rightarrow \wedge \mathbb{R}^L$.

Proposition A.3. *Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a morphism in Gr , and consider S as an R -module via φ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) S is faithfully flat.
- (ii) S is flat.

(iii) S is free.

(iv) (The associated morphism of superpoints corresponding to) φ is a submersion.

Proof.

(i) \iff (ii): In general, an R -module M is faithfully flat if and only if M is flat and $M \neq mM$ for every maximal ideal m ([Bou72], Prp. I.3.1). In the case of a Grassmann algebra R , its nilpotent part R_{nil} is the unique maximal ideal and, obviously, $S \neq \varphi(R_{\text{nil}})S$.

(ii) \iff (iii): The Jacobson radical of R is R_{nil} , and $R/R_{\text{nil}} \cong \mathbb{R}$ is a field. The equivalence follows from Prp. II.3.5 of [Bou72].

(iv) \implies (iii): A submersion is characterised by the existence of coordinates $(\theta^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $(\eta^j)_{1 \leq j \leq n+m}$ on R and S , respectively, such that $\theta^i \mapsto \eta^i$ (see Prp. 5.2.5 of [CCF11]). Then any \mathbb{R} -basis of $\langle \eta^{n+1}, \dots, \eta^{n+m} \rangle$ is an R -basis of S .

(ii) \implies (iv): This is a special case of Prp. 3.6.1(ii) of [Sch89]. \square

Along with the proposition, also Thm. A.2 is established. We remark that the result by Schmitt in [Sch89], used for the last implication, is really the hardest bit of the proof. While Schmitt's results are stronger than needed here, his proofs involve a heavy algebraic machinery. We therefore consider it worth providing an independent, less abstract, proof of the implication (iii) \implies (iv) in Prp. A.3 in the remainder of this appendix. It is shown by induction over the number of R -Grassmann-generators, while the base case is established by means of ideal theory and reduction to a special case considered by Esin and Koç in [EK07]. The proof of the latter result, in turn, is rather concrete. We start with two easy lemmas needed in the inductive step, Prp. A.6 below.

Lemma A.4. *Let $\varphi : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$ be a morphism of Grassmann algebras such that $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$ is free. Then φ is injective. In particular, $n \leq m$.*

For the following lemma, we need the inclusion maps $\pi_j : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$, defined by $\theta^k \mapsto \theta^k$ for $k < j$ and $\theta^k \mapsto \theta^{k+1}$ for $k \geq j$, where we let $(\theta^k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ denote fixed coordinates of $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$ and analogous for $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Unless said otherwise, we consider those corresponding to the standard bases of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , respectively.

Lemma A.5. *Let $\varphi : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$ be a morphism of Grassmann algebras such that $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$ is free. Then it is also free with respect to $\varphi \circ \pi_j : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$.*

Proposition A.6. *Assuming that every morphism $\psi : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$, such that $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$ is a free $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$ -module with respect to ψ , is a submersion, the corresponding statement holds for all morphisms $\varphi : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$ is a free $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ -module. By Lem. A.5, it is also free with respect to the map $\varphi_{n+1} := \varphi \circ \pi_{n+1} : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$ which, by assumption, is a submersion. Therefore, there are coordinates of $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$, respectively, still denoted $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n$ and η^1, \dots, η^m , such that $\varphi_{n+1}(\theta^i) = \eta^i$ ([CCF11], Prp. 5.2.5). Endowing the former coordinates with the original θ^{n+1} , φ obtains the form

$$\varphi(\theta^1) = \eta^1, \dots, \varphi(\theta^n) = \eta^n, \quad \varphi(\theta^{n+1}) \in \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m$$

We may assume that $\varphi(\theta^{n+1}) \in \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \langle \eta^1, \dots, \eta^n \rangle$, for if not we can modify θ^{n+1} by subtracting from it a suitable element of $\langle \theta^1, \dots, \theta^n \rangle$. Denoting the associated morphism of superpoints still by φ , the differential at the single topological point 0 assumes the form

$$(d\varphi)_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n \times n} & 0_{(m-n) \times n} \\ 0_{1 \times n} & \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(\theta^{n+1})}{\partial \eta^{n+1}} \Big|_0 \dots \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

φ is a submersion if and only if the lower right submatrix is non-zero. This condition is satisfied by the following argument. The last line of $(d\varphi)_0$ equals the differential $(d\varphi_{n+1})_0$ of the map $\varphi_{n+1} := \varphi \circ \pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \pi_n$, where the π_j are defined with respect to the new coordinates. But φ_{n+1} is a submersion by Lem. A.5 and the induction hypothesis for $n = 1$. \square

We now turn to the base case $n = 1$. The following two lemmas provide equivalent characterisations of freeness in terms of ideal theory.

Lemma A.7. *Let $\varphi : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$ be a morphism of Graßmann algebras. $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$ is free if and only if it has an \mathbb{R} -basis of the form $(v_1, \dots, v_{2^L-1}, \varphi(\theta^1) \cdot v_1, \dots, \varphi(\theta^1) \cdot v_{2^L-1})$.*

Proof. This is shown analogous to the proof of Lem. A.5. \square

Lemma A.8. *Let $\mu \in (\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L)_{\overline{1}}$ and consider the ideal (μ) in $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$ generated by μ . Then $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$ admits an \mathbb{R} -basis of the form $(v_1, \dots, v_{2^L-1}, \mu \cdot v_1, \dots, \mu \cdot v_{2^L-1})$ if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \geq 2^{L-1}$. In this case, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) = 2^{L-1}$.*

Proof. If a basis as stated exists, then the vectors $\mu \cdot v_i \in (\mu)$ are all linearly independent, thus the real dimension of (μ) is greater than or equal to their number, 2^{L-1} .

Conversely, let $(\mu \cdot w_1, \dots, \mu \cdot w_d)$ denote a real basis of (μ) with $d \geq 2^{L-1}$. We may endow this basis by vectors v_j , $1 \leq j \leq f$ to a basis

$$(v_1, \dots, v_f, \mu \cdot w_1, \dots, \mu \cdot w_d)$$

of $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$. It follows that $f + d = 2^L$ and thus $f \leq 2^{L-1}$. Multiplying all vectors with μ and using $\mu^2 = 0$, one sees that the vectors $(\mu \cdot v_1, \dots, \mu \cdot v_f)$ span (μ) , whence $f \geq \dim(\mu) = d \geq 2^{L-1}$, such that $f = d = 2^{L-1}$. In particular, $(\mu \cdot v_1, \dots, \mu \cdot v_d)$ is a basis of (μ) . Endowed with the vectors v_i , we obtain a basis of $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$ as claimed. \square

Our strategy for the base case will be to transform $\mu := \varphi(\theta^1)$ to another odd element of some bigger Graßmann algebra with similar properties, and such that the associated ideal has the form treated by Esin and Koç in [EK07]. This is Prp. A.11 below. We now continue with two lemmas used in the proof of that algorithm. The first one is clear by Lem. A.8.

Lemma A.9. *Let $\mu \in (\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L)_{\overline{1}}$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \geq 2^{L-1}$ then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\varphi(\mu)) \geq 2^{L-1}$ for every Graßmann automorphism $\varphi : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$.*

Lemma A.10. *Let $\mu \in (\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L)_{\overline{1}}$. Let $r \in (\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L)_{\overline{0}}$ and consider $\hat{\mu} := \mu + \eta^{L+1} \cdot r \in (\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L+1})_{\overline{1}}$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \geq 2^{L-1}$ (with (μ) as an ideal in $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\hat{\mu}) \geq 2^L$ (as an ideal in $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L+1}$).*

Proof. Writing elements of $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L+1}$ in the form $v + \eta^{L+1}w$ with $v, w \in \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$, we obtain

$$(\hat{\mu}) = \{\mu v + \eta^{L+1}(rv - \mu w) \mid v, w \in \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L\}$$

By assumption and Lem. A.8, $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$ has a real basis $(v_1, \dots, v_{2^{L-1}}, \mu \cdot v_1, \dots, \mu \cdot v_{2^{L-1}})$. Let $V := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(v_1, \dots, v_{2^{L-1}}) \subseteq \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$. There is a canonical map from $V \oplus V$ to the space

$$\{\mu v + \eta^{L+1}(rv - \mu w) \mid v, w \in V\} \subseteq (\hat{\mu})$$

sending (v, w) to $\mu v + \eta^{L+1}(rv - \mu w)$, which is clearly \mathbb{R} -linear and surjective. Assume $\mu v + \eta^{L+1}(rv - \mu w) = 0$. Then, in particular, $\mu v = 0$. By definition of V , it follows that $v = 0$. Then also $\mu w = 0$ and, similarly, $w = 0$. The aforementioned map is injective, and we conclude that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\hat{\mu}) \geq \dim(V \oplus V) = 2^L$. \square

For the next proposition, we need the following notation. Let $(\eta^i)_i$ denote the coordinates of $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$ corresponding to the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^L . We write the expansion of $\mu \in \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$ with respect to these coordinates in the form

$$(6) \quad \mu = \sum_J C_{\mu}^J \eta^J, \quad C_{\mu}^J \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \eta^J = \eta^{j_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \eta^{j_{|J|}}$$

where the sum runs over all multiindices J of length $|J|$ up to L .

Proposition A.11. *Let $\mu \in (\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L)_{\overline{1}}$ be such that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \geq 2^{L-1}$. Then there are $L' \geq L$ and $\mu' \in (\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L'})_{\overline{1}}$ such that*

- *There is a bijective correspondence*

$$\lambda : \{J \mid C_{\mu}^J \neq 0\} \rightarrow \{J' \mid C_{\mu'}^{J'} \neq 0\}$$

such that $|J| = |\lambda(J)|$.

- *The product $\prod_{J' \mid C_{\mu'}^{J'} \neq 0} \eta^{J'}$ is non-zero.*
- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu') \geq 2^{L'-1}$.

Proof. μ' is successively built from μ as follows. Let j_0 denote the smallest integer such that the generator η^{j_0} is contained in at least two monomials η^J such that $C_{\mu}^J \neq 0$. Let I denote one of $k \geq 2$ such multiindices. By assumption, there is $r \in (\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L)_{\overline{0}}$ such that $\eta^I = \eta^{j_0} \cdot r$. Consider

$$\hat{\mu} := (\mu - C_{\mu}^I \eta^I) + (\eta^{j_0} + \eta^{L+1}) \cdot C_{\mu}^I r$$

By Lem. A.10, it satisfies $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\hat{\mu}) \geq 2^{L'-1}$ with $L' = L + 1$. Consider next the automorphism $\varphi : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L'} \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^{L'}$ defined by $\eta^i \mapsto \eta^i$ ($i < L'$) and $\eta^{L'} \mapsto (\eta^{L'} - \eta^{j_0})$. Then the element $\varphi(\hat{\mu})$ satisfies $\dim(\varphi(\hat{\mu})) \geq 2^{L'-1}$ by Lem. A.9. Moreover, the number of monomials containing η^{j_0} is reduced to $k - 1$. It is also clear that the multiindex bijection required in the statement is satisfied.

Now start with μ replaced by $\varphi(\hat{\mu})$ from the previous step, and repeat the construction until finally there is no generator η^{j_0} contained in more than one monomial. Since every step of the construction satisfies the first and third items in the statement, the same holds for the final result. As no two monomials therein share a common generator, the product over all is non-zero. \square

Proof of (iii) \implies (iv) in Prp. A.3. This remaining implication is proved by induction over n in $R = \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$. It remains to show the base case as the inductive step was already established in Prp. A.6. Consider thus a morphism $\varphi : \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$ such that \mathbb{R}^L is free as an \mathbb{R}^1 -module via φ . By Lem. A.7 and Lem. A.8, this property is characterised by $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu) \geq 2^{L-1}$ for $\mu := \varphi(\theta^1)$. The algorithm of Prp. A.11 constructs another odd μ' with a similar shape, but such that the product over all monomials with nonvanishing coefficients in (6) does not vanish. This is the case treated in [EK07]. In Thm. 4 of that reference, the dimension of (μ') is explicitly calculated to be

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu') = 2^{L'-1} \left(1 - \prod_{\{J \mid c_{\mu'}^J \neq 0\}} (1 - 2^{1-|J|}) \right)$$

Together with $\dim(\mu') \geq 2^{L'-1}$, this forces at least one of the multiindices J in the product to be of length $|J| = 1$. But then the corresponding μ -multiindex $\lambda^{-1}(J)$ has also length 1. It follows that φ is a submersion. \square

References

- [BBHR91] C. Bartocci, U. Bruzzo, and D. Hernández-Ruipérez. *The Geometry of Supermanifolds*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- [BBHRP98] C. Bartocci, U. Bruzzo, D. Hernández-Ruipérez, and V. Pestov. Quotient supermanifolds. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 58:107–120, 1998.
- [BKS12] A. Belitsky, G. Korchemsky, and E. Sokatchev. Are scattering amplitudes dual to super Wilson loops? *Nucl. Phys. B*, 855:333–360, 2012.
- [Bou72] N. Bourbaki. *Commutative Algebra*. Hermann, 1972.
- [CCF11] C. Carmeli, L. Caston, and R. Fiorese. *Mathematical Foundations of Supersymmetry*. European Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [DF99] P. Deligne and D. Freed. Supersolutions. In P. Deligne et al., editor, *Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians*. American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [EK07] S. Esin and C. Koç. Annihilators of principal ideals in the exterior algebra. *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 11(4):1019–1035, 2007.
- [Gal09] A. Galaev. Holonomy of supermanifolds. *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, 79:47–78, 2009.
- [GL10] A. Galaev and T. Leistner. Recent developments in pseudo-Riemannian holonomy theory. In *Handbook of Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry*, volume 16 of *IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics*, pages 581–629. European Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [GOV97] V. Gorbatsevich, A. Onishchik, and E. Vinberg. *Foundations of Lie Theory and Lie Transformation Groups*. Springer, 1997.

- [Gro11] J. Groeger. Holomorphic supercurves and supersymmetric sigma models. *J. Math. Phys.*, 52(12), 2011.
- [Gro14] J. Groeger. Super Wilson loops and holonomy on supermanifolds. *Comm. Math.*, 22(2), 2014.
- [Han12] F. Hanisch. Variational problems on supermanifolds. Dissertation, Universität Potsdam, 2012.
- [Hél09] F. Hélein. An introduction to supermanifolds and supersymmetry. In P. Baird, F. Hélein, J. Kounieher, F. Pedit, and V. Roubtsov, editors, *Systèmes intégrables et théorie des champs quantiques*, pages 103–157. Hermann, 2009.
- [Jan87] J. Jantzen. *Representations of Algebraic Groups*. Academic Press, 1987.
- [Joy00] D. Joyce. *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [Khe07] I. Khemar. Supersymmetric harmonic maps into symmetric spaces. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 57(8):1601–1630, 2007.
- [KN96] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry*. Wiley Classics, 1996.
- [Lan98] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Springer, 1998.
- [Lei80] D. Leites. Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 35(1), 1980.
- [MS99] S. Merkulov and L. Schwachhöfer. Classification of irreducible holonomies of torsion-free affine connections. *Ann. of Math.*, 150(1):77–149, 1999.
- [MS10] L. Mason and D. Skinner. The complete planar S-matrix of N=4 SYM as a Wilson loop in twistor space. *JHEP*, 2010(12), 2010.
- [MZ11] A. Masuoka and A. Zubkov. Quotient sheaves of algebraic supergroups are superschemes. *J. Algebra*, 348(1):135–170, 2011.
- [Sch89] T. Schmitt. Regular sequences in \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded commutative algebra. *J. Algebra*, 124(1):60–118, 1989.
- [SS12] A. Santi and A. Spiro. Super-Poincaré algebras, space-times, and supergravities (II). *J. Math. Phys.*, 53(3), 2012.
- [Var04] V. Varadarajan. *Supersymmetry for Mathematicians: An Introduction*. American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [Vis05] A. Vistoli. Grothendieck topologies, fibered categories and descent theory. In *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, volume 123 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 1–104. American Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [Wu67] H. Wu. Holonomy groups of indefinite metrics. *Pacific J. Math.*, 20:351–382, 1967.

- [Zub09] A. Zubkov. Affine quotients of supergroups. *Transform. Groups*, 14(3):713–145, 2009.