

# SPECTRAL MACKEY FUNCTORS AND EQUIVARIANT ALGEBRAIC $K$ -THEORY (II)

CLARK BARWICK

ABSTRACT. We study the “higher algebra” of spectral Mackey functors, which we introduced in Part I of this paper. The  $\infty$ -category of Mackey functors admits a well-behaved symmetric monoidal structure. This, combined with Saul Glasman’s Day convolution for  $\infty$ -categories, makes it possible to speak of spectral Green functors for any operad  $\mathcal{O}$ . We show that the algebraic  $K$ -theory of derived stacks provides an example. We also use this theory to give a new proof of the equivariant Barratt–Priddy–Quillen theorem, which states that the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the category of finite  $G$ -sets is simply the  $G$ -equivariant sphere spectrum.

## CONTENTS

0.	Summary	1
1.	$\infty$ -cooperads and symmetric monoidal $\infty$ -categories	5
2.	The symmetric monoidal structure on the effective Burnside $\infty$ -category	6
3.	Green functors	13
4.	The Künneth spectral sequence	17
5.	Green stabilization	19
6.	Duality	22
7.	Symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibrations	23
8.	Equivariant algebraic $K$ -theory of derived stacks	27
9.	An equivariant Barratt–Priddy–Quillen Theorem	29

## 0. SUMMARY

This paper is part of an effort to give a complete description of the structures available on the algebraic  $K$ -theory of varieties and schemes (and even of various derived stacks) with all their concomitant functorialities and homotopy coherences.

So suppose  $X$  a scheme (quasicompact and quasiseparated). The derived tensor product  $\otimes^L$  on perfect complexes on  $X$  defines a symmetric monoidal structure on the derived category  $D_X^{perf}$  of perfect complexes on  $X$ . With a little more effort, one can lift this structure to a symmetric monoidal structure on the stable  $\infty$ -category of perfect complexes on  $X$ . This suffices to get a product on algebraic  $K$ -theory

$$\otimes: K(X) \wedge K(X) \longrightarrow K(X)$$

that is associative and commutative up to coherent homotopy. Thus,  $K(X)$  has not only the structure of a connective spectrum, but also the structure of a *connective  $E_\infty$  ring spectrum*. This is an exceedingly rich structure: not only do the homotopy groups  $K_*(X)$  form a graded commutative ring, but these homotopy groups also

support (in a functorial way) a tremendous amount of structure involving intricate higher homotopy operations called *Toda brackets*. Still more information (in the form of *Dyer-Lashof operations*) can be found on the  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -cohomology of  $K(X)$ .

Now for any morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  of schemes, the derived functor

$$\mathbf{L}f^*: D_X^{qcoh} \rightarrow D_Y^{qcoh}$$

on the category of complexes with quasicohherent cohomology preserves perfect complexes, and the resulting functor  $\mathbf{L}f^*: D_X^{perf} \rightarrow D_Y^{perf}$  induces a morphism

$$f^*: K(X) \rightarrow K(Y)$$

on the algebraic  $K$ -theory. The functor  $\mathbf{L}f^*$  is compatible with the derived tensor product, in the sense that for any perfect complexes  $E$  and  $F$  on  $X$ , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbf{L}f^*(E \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} F) \simeq (\mathbf{L}f^*E) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} (\mathbf{L}f^*F).$$

Again this can be lifted to the level of stable  $\infty$ -categories, whence the induced morphism  $f^*$  on  $K$ -theory turns out to be a morphism of connective  $E_\infty$  ring spectra. This implies that the induced homomorphism on homotopy groups

$$f^*: K_*(X) \rightarrow K_*(Y)$$

is a homomorphism of graded commutative rings, and it must respect all the higher homotopy operations on  $K_*(X)$  as well.

Furthermore, one can fit all the functors  $\mathbf{L}f^*$  together to get a presheaf  $U \rightsquigarrow D_U^{perf}$  on the big site of all schemes. This can even be viewed as a presheaf of stable  $\infty$ -categories, which suffices to give us a presheaf of connective spectra  $U \rightsquigarrow K(U)$ . Since the morphisms  $f^*$  are morphisms of connective  $E_\infty$  ring spectra, we can regard this as presheaf of  $E_\infty$  ring spectra.

If one wanted, one might “externalize” the product on  $K$ -theory in the following manner. For any two schemes  $X$  and  $Y$  over a base scheme  $S$ , one may define an external tensor product

$$\boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}}: D_X^{perf} \times D_X^{perf} \rightarrow D_{X \times_S Y}^{perf}$$

by the assignment  $(E, F) \rightsquigarrow (\mathbf{L}pr_1^*E) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} (\mathbf{L}pr_2^*F)$ . Note that we have natural equivalences

$$(\mathbf{L}f^*E) \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} (\mathbf{L}g^*F) \simeq \mathbf{L}(f \times g)^*(E \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} F)$$

If we lift this to the level of stable  $\infty$ -categories, this gives rise to an external pairing

$$\boxtimes: K(X) \wedge K(Y) \rightarrow K(X \times_S Y),$$

which is functorial (contravariantly) in  $X$  and  $Y$ . The  $E_\infty$  product on  $K(X)$  can now be obtained by pulling back this external pairing along the diagonal map:

$$K(X) \wedge K(X) \rightarrow K(X \times_S X) \rightarrow K(X).$$

A morphism of schemes  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  may induce morphisms in the *covariant* direction as well. The pushforward  $\mathbf{R}f_*: D_Y^{qcoh} \rightarrow D_X^{qcoh}$  generally will not preserve perfect complexes. If, however,  $f$  is flat and proper, then for any perfect complex  $E$ , the complex  $\mathbf{R}f_*E$  is perfect. Thus in this case  $\mathbf{R}f_*$  restricts to a functor  $\mathbf{R}f_*: D_Y^{perf} \rightarrow D_X^{perf}$ , and after lifting this to the stable  $\infty$ -categories, we find an induced morphism

$$f_*: K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$$

on the algebraic  $K$ -theory. One thus obtains a covariant functor  $U \rightsquigarrow K(U)$ , but only with respect to flat and proper morphisms. Observe, however, that since the functors  $\mathbf{R}f_*$  do not commute with the derived tensor product, this functor is *not* valued in ring spectra.

Nevertheless, if  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is proper and flat, we do have an algebraic structure preserved by  $\mathbf{R}f_*$ . Observe that one may regard  $K(Y)$  as a module over the  $E_\infty$  ring spectrum  $K(X)$  via  $f^*$ . For any perfect complexes  $E$  on  $Y$  and  $F$  on  $X$ , one has a canonical equivalence

$$(\mathbf{R}f_*E) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} F \simeq \mathbf{R}f_*(E \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{L}f^*F)$$

of perfect complexes; this is the usual projection formula [?, Exp. III, Pr. 3.7]. At the level of  $K$ -theory, this translates to the observation that the morphism

$$f_*: K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$$

is a morphism of connective  $K(X)$ -modules. The induced map on homotopy groups

$$f_*: K_*(Y) \rightarrow K_*(X)$$

is therefore a homomorphism of  $K_*(X)$ -modules.

Note that the *external* tensor product  $\boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}}$  is actually perfectly compatible with the pushforwards, in the sense that one has natural equivalences

$$(\mathbf{R}f_*E) \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} (\mathbf{R}g_*F) \simeq \mathbf{R}(f \times g)_*(E \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} F),$$

so on  $K$ -theory the external product  $\boxtimes: K(X) \wedge K(Y) \rightarrow K(X \times_S Y)$  is functorial (covariantly) in  $X$  and  $Y$ .

Last, but certainly not least, there is a compatibility between the morphisms  $f^*$  and the morphisms  $g_*$ , which results from the base change theorem for complexes [?, Exp. IV, Pr. 3.1.0]. Suppose that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \end{array}$$

is a pullback square of schemes in which the horizontal maps  $g$  are flat and proper. Then the canonical morphism

$$\mathbf{L}f^*\mathbf{R}g_* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}g_*\mathbf{L}f^*$$

is an objectwise equivalence of functors  $D_{X'}^{perf} \rightarrow D_Y^{perf}$ . This translates to the condition that there is a canonical homotopy

$$f^*g_* \simeq g_*f^*: K(X') \rightarrow K(Y)$$

of morphisms of  $K(X)$ -modules. In fact, this compatibility between the pullbacks and the pushforwards, combined with the compatibility between  $f_*$  and the external tensor product, allows us to *deduce* the projection formula.

Let us summarize the structure we've found on the assignment  $U \rightsquigarrow K(U)$ :

- For every scheme  $X$ , we have an  $E_\infty$  ring spectrum  $K(X)$ . Moreover, for any two schemes  $X$  and  $Y$  over a base  $S$ , one has an external pairing

$$\boxtimes: K(X) \wedge K(Y) \rightarrow K(X \times_S Y).$$

- For every morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ , we have a pullback morphism

$$f^*: K(X) \rightarrow K(Y),$$

which is compatible with the external pairings and thus also with the  $E_\infty$  product.

- For every flat and proper morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ , we have a pushforward morphism

$$f_*: K(Y) \rightarrow K(X),$$

which is compatible with the external pairings and thus (in light of the next condition) also with the  $K(X)$ -module structure.

- For any pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal maps  $g$  are flat and proper, we have a canonical homotopy

$$f^* g_* \simeq g_* f^*: K(X') \rightarrow K(Y).$$

of morphisms of  $K(X)$ -modules.

In this paper, we will demonstrate that these structures, along with all of their homotopy coherences, are neatly packaged in a *spectral Green functor* on the category of schemes.

This structure is the origin of both the  $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ -equivariant  $E_\infty$  ring spectrum structure on the algebraic  $K$ -theory of a Galois extension  $E \supset F$  and the cyclotomic structure on the  $p$ -typical curves on a smooth  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -scheme. For the former, see 8.7, and for the latter, see the forthcoming paper [?].

In order to describe all the structure we see here, we study the “higher algebra” (in the sense of Lurie’s book [?], for example) of spectral Mackey functors, which we introduced in Part I of this paper [?]. The  $\infty$ -category of spectral Mackey functors turns out to admit all the same well-behaved structures as the  $\infty$ -category of spectra itself. In particular, the  $\infty$ -category of Mackey functors admits a well-behaved symmetric monoidal structure. This, combined with Saul Glasman’s Day convolution for  $\infty$ -categories [?], makes it possible to speak of  $E_1$  algebras,  $E_\infty$  algebras, or indeed  $O$ -algebras for any operad  $O$  in this context. We show that the algebraic  $K$ -theory of derived stacks provides an example. We also use this theory to give a new proof of the equivariant Barratt–Priddy–Quillen theorem, which states that the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the category of finite  $G$ -sets is simply the  $G$ -equivariant sphere spectrum. (In fact, we will generalize this result dramatically.)

**Warning.** Let us emphasize that for spectral Mackey functors for a profinite group  $G$ , our spectral Green functors are *not* equivalent to algebras in  $G$ -equivariant spectra structured by the equivariant linear isometries operad on a complete  $G$ -universe. To describe the latter in line with the discussion here – and to find such structures on algebraic  $K$ -theory spectra – it is necessary to develop elements of the theory of  $G$ - $\infty$ -categories. This we do in the forthcoming joint paper [?].

**Acknowledgments.** I have had very helpful conversations with David Ayala and Mike Hill about the contents of this paper, its predecessor, and its sequels. I also thank the Bourbon Seminar – Emanuele Dotto, Saul Glasman, Marc Hoyois, Denis Nardin, Tomer Schlank, and Jay Shah – for their many, many insights.

## 1. $\infty$ -COOPERADS AND SYMMETRIC MONOIDAL $\infty$ -CATEGORIES

One of the many complications that arises when one combines an  $\infty$ -category and its opposite in the way we have is that our constructions are extremely intolerant of asymmetries in basic definitions. This complication rears its head the moment we want to contemplate the symmetric monoidal structure on the Burnside  $\infty$ -category. In effect, the description of a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories given in the Second Book of Jacob [?, Ch. 4] forces one to specify the data of maps *out of* various tensor products in a suitably compatible fashion. That is, symmetric monoidal categories are there identified as certain  $\infty$ -operads. But since we are also working with opposites of symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories, we will come face-to-face with circumstances in which we must identify the data of maps *into* various tensor products in a suitably compatible fashion. That is, we will sometimes have to identify symmetric monoidal categories as certain  $\infty$ -cooperads. Awkward as this may seem, it cannot be avoided.

**1.1. Notation.** Let  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$  denote the following ordinary category. The objects will be finite sets, and a morphism  $J \rightarrow I$  will be a map  $J \rightarrow I_+$ ; one composes  $\psi: K \rightarrow J_+$  with  $\phi: J \rightarrow I_+$  by forming the composite

$$K \xrightarrow{\psi} J_+ \xrightarrow{\phi_+} I_{++} \xrightarrow{\mu} I_+,$$

where  $\mu: I_{++} \rightarrow I_+$  is the map that simply identifies the two added points. (Of course  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$  is equivalent to the category  $\mathbf{F}_*$  of pointed finite sets, but we prefer to think of the objects of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$  as unpointed. This is the natural perspective on this category from the theory of operator categories [?].)

**1.2. Definition.** (1.2.1) An  $\infty$ -*cooperad* is an inner fibration

$$p: O_{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$$

whose opposite

$$p^{op}: (O_{\otimes})^{op} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$$

is an  $\infty$ -operad.

(1.2.2) If  $p: O_{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$  is an  $\infty$ -cooperad, then an edge of  $O_{\otimes}$  will be said to be *inert* if it is cartesian over an edge of  $\mathrm{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$  that corresponds to an inert map in  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ , that is, a map  $\phi: J \rightarrow I_+$  such that the induced map  $\phi^{-1}(I) \rightarrow I$  is a bijection [?, Df. 2.1.1.8], [?, Df. 8.1].

(1.2.3) A cartesian fibration

$$q: X_{\otimes} \rightarrow O_{\otimes}$$

will be said to *exhibit*  $X_{\otimes}$  *as an*  $O_{\otimes}$ -*monoidal*  $\infty$ -*category* just in case the cocartesian fibration

$$q^{op}: (X_{\otimes})^{op} \rightarrow (O_{\otimes})^{op}$$

exhibits  $(X_{\otimes})^{op}$  as an  $(O_{\otimes})^{op}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category in the sense of [?, Df. 2.1.2.13]. When  $O_{\otimes} = \mathrm{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$ , we will say that  $q$  *exhibits*  $X_{\otimes}$  *as a symmetric monoidal*  $\infty$ -*category*.

(1.2.4) A **morphism**  $f: O_{\otimes} \rightarrow P_{\otimes}$  of  $\infty$ -**cooperads** is a morphism over  $\mathrm{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$  that carries inert edges to inert edges. If  $O_{\otimes}$  and  $P_{\otimes}$  are symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories, then  $f$  is a **symmetric monoidal functor** if it carries all cartesian edges to cartesian edges.

1.3. **Example.** Suppose  $C$  an  $\infty$ -category. We define the **cartesian  $\infty$ -cooperad** as

$$p: C_{\times} := ((C^{op})^{\sqcup})^{op} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op},$$

where the notation  $(\cdot)^{\sqcup}$  refers to the cocartesian  $\infty$ -operad [?, Cnstr. 2.4.3.1]. If  $C$  is an  $\infty$ -category that admits all products, then the functor  $p$  exhibits  $C_{\times}$  as a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category [?, Rk. 2.4.3.4].

An object  $(I, X)$  of  $C_{\times}$  consists of a finite set  $I$  and a family  $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ ; a morphism  $(\phi, \omega): (I, X) \rightarrow (J, Y)$  of  $C_{\times}$  consists of a map of finite sets  $\phi: J \rightarrow I$  and a family of morphisms

$$\{\omega_j: X_{\phi(j)} \rightarrow Y_j \mid j \in \phi^{-1}(I)\}$$

of  $C$ . If  $C$  admits finite products, then the morphisms  $\omega_j$  determine and are determined by a family of morphisms

$$\left\{ \omega_{J_i}: X_i \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J_i} Y_j \mid i \in I \right\};$$

here  $J_i$  denotes the fiber  $\phi^{-1}(i)$ .

Observe that the cartesian  $\infty$ -cooperad is significantly simpler to define than the cartesian  $\infty$ -operad. Note also that  $(\Delta^0)_{\times} = \mathrm{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$ .

## 2. THE SYMMETRIC MONOIDAL STRUCTURE ON THE EFFECTIVE BURNSIDE $\infty$ -CATEGORY

Suppose  $C$  a disjunctive  $\infty$ -category. The product on  $C$  does not induce the product on the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category  $A^{eff}(C)$ . (Indeed, recall that the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category admits direct sums, and these direct sums are induced by the *coproduct* in  $C$ .) However, a product on  $C$  (if it exists) *does* induce a symmetric monoidal structure on  $A^{eff}(C)$ . The construction of the previous example is just what we need to describe this structure, and it will work for a broad class of disjunctive triples – which we call *cartesian* – as well.

It turns out to be convenient to consider situations in which  $C$  does not actually have products. In this case, the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category  $A^{eff}(C)$  admits not a symmetric monoidal structure, but only an  $\infty$ -operad structure, which is almost as good. The generalization of this observation to arbitrary disjunctive triples leads to an  $\infty$ -operad structure on the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category. (The set up is very slightly different, so it forces us to give “variants” of our constructions and results, but the idea is the same.)

2.1. **Definition.** A disjunctive triple  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  will be said to be **cartesian** just in case it admits finite products, and for any object  $X \in C$ , the product functor

$$X \times -: C \rightarrow C$$

preserves finite coproducts; that is, for any finite set  $I$  and any collection  $\{U_i \mid i \in I\}$  of objects of  $C$ , the natural map

$$\coprod_{i \in I} (X \times U_i) \longrightarrow X \times \left( \coprod_{i \in I} U_i \right)$$

is an equivalence.

**2.2. Example.** Note that a disjunctive  $\infty$ -category  $C$  that admits a terminal object, when equipped with the maximal triple structure (in which every morphism is both ingressive and egressive) is always cartesian. More generally, any disjunctive triple that contains a terminal object  $1$  with the property that every morphism  $X \rightarrow 1$  is ingressive and egressive is cartesian.

**2.3. Notation.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. We now define a triple structure  $(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger})$  on  $C_{\times}$  in the following manner. A morphism

$$(\phi, \omega): (I, X) \longrightarrow (J, Y)$$

of  $C_{\times}$  will be ingressive just in case  $\phi$  is a bijection, and each morphism

$$\omega_j: X_{\phi(j)} \longrightarrow Y_j$$

is ingressive. The morphism  $(\phi, \omega)$  will be egressive just in case each morphism

$$\omega_{J_i}: X_i \longrightarrow \prod_{j \in J_i} Y_j$$

is egressive.

**2.3.1. Variant.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple, not necessarily cartesian. We may nevertheless define a triple structure  $(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\ddagger})$  on  $C_{\times}$  in the following manner. The ingressive morphisms are the same as in Nt. 2.3: a morphism

$$(\phi, \omega): (I, X) \longrightarrow (J, Y)$$

of  $C_{\times}$  will be ingressive just in case  $\phi$  is a bijection, and each morphism

$$\omega_j: X_{\phi(j)} \longrightarrow Y_j$$

is ingressive. The morphism  $(\phi, \omega)$  will be egressive just in case each morphism

$$\omega_j: X_{\phi(j)} \longrightarrow Y_j$$

is egressive.

If  $C$  is a cartesian disjunctive triple, the subcategories  $(C_{\times})^{\dagger}$  and  $(C_{\times})^{\ddagger}$  may not coincide; however, they will agree if the subcategory  $C^{\dagger}$  is closed under products in  $C$ . (Observe that this means that a morphism  $X \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} Y_j$  is egressive just in case each of the components  $X \rightarrow Y_j$  is so.)

It is a trivial matter to verify the following.

**2.4. Lemma.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. Then the triple*

$$(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

*is adequate in the sense of [?, Df. 5.2].*

**2.4.1. Variant.** *Similarly, if  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is a disjunctive triple, not necessarily cartesian, then the triple*

$$(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\ddagger})$$

*is adequate in the sense of [?, Df. 5.2].*

In particular, for any cartesian disjunctive triple  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ , one may consider the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}),$$

and, more generally, for any disjunctive triple  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  (not necessarily cartesian), one may consider the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}).$$

**2.5. Example.** Note in particular that

$$((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger}) = ((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger}) \simeq (N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{\text{op}}, {}_{\iota}N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{\text{op}}, N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{\text{op}}), \blacksquare$$

whence one proves easily that the inclusions

$$N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}) \simeq (((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

and

$$N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}) \simeq (((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

are equivalences.

We'll use the following quartet of results. They follow the same basic pattern as [?, Lms. 11.4 and 11.5]; in particular, they too follow immediately from our ‘‘omnibus theorem’’ [?, Th. 12.2].

**2.6. Lemma.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. Then the natural morphism*

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

*is an inner fibration.*

**2.6.1. Variant.** *Similarly, if  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is a disjunctive triple (not necessarily cartesian), then the natural morphism*

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

*is an inner fibration.*

**2.7. Lemma.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. Then the natural morphism*

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

*is a cocartesian fibration.*

**2.7.1. Variant.** *If  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is a disjunctive triple (not necessarily cartesian), then for any object  $Y$  of  $C_{\times}$  lying over an object  $J$  of  $(\Delta^0)_{\times} \simeq N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{\text{op}}$  and for any inert morphism  $\phi: I \rightarrow J$  of  $N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ , there exists a cocartesian edge  $Y \rightarrow X$  for the inner fibration*

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

*lying over the image of  $\phi$  under the equivalence of Ex. 2.5.*

Now we can go about defining the symmetric monoidal structure on the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category of a cartesian disjunctive triple and the  $\infty$ -operad structure on the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category of a general disjunctive triple.



**2.8. Notation.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. We define the  $\infty$ -category  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  as the pullback

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} := A^{eff}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}) \times_{A^{eff}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})} N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}),$$

equipped with its canonical projection to  $N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ . Note that because the inclusion

$$N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}) \hookrightarrow A^{eff}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, (\Delta^0)_{\times, \dagger}, (\Delta^0)_{\times}^{\dagger})$$

is an equivalence, it follows that the projection functor

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow A^{eff}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

is actually an equivalence.

**2.8.1. Variant.** Similarly, if  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple (not necessarily cartesian), then we define the  $\infty$ -category  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\oplus}$  as the pullback

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\oplus} := A^{eff}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}) \times_{A^{eff}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})_{\dagger}, ((\Delta^0)_{\times})^{\dagger})} N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}),$$

equipped with its canonical projection to  $N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ . Note that because the inclusion

$$N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}) \hookrightarrow A^{eff}((\Delta^0)_{\times}, (\Delta^0)_{\times, \dagger}, (\Delta^0)_{\times}^{\dagger})$$

is an equivalence, it follows that the projection functor

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\oplus} \longrightarrow A^{eff}(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

is actually an equivalence.

**2.9. Remark.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. The objects of the total  $\infty$ -category  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  are pairs  $(I, X_I)$  consisting of a finite set  $I$  and an  $I$ -tuple  $X_I = (X_i)_{i \in I}$  of objects of  $C$ . A morphism

$$(J, Y_J) \longrightarrow (I, X_I)$$

of  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  can be thought of as a morphism  $\phi: J \rightarrow I$  of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$  and a collection of diagrams

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} & U_i & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \prod_{j \in J_i} Y_j & & X_i, \end{array} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{c} i \in I \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

such that for any  $i \in I$ , the morphism  $U_i \rightarrow X_i$  is ingressive, and the morphism

$$U_i \longrightarrow \prod_{j \in J_i} Y_j$$

is egressive.

Composition is then defined by pullback; that is, a 2-simplex

$$(K, Z_K) \longrightarrow (J, Y_J) \longrightarrow (I, X_I)$$

consists of morphisms  $\psi: K \rightarrow J$  and  $\phi: J \rightarrow I$  of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$  along with a collection of diagrams

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccc} & & W_{\phi(\psi(k))} & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & V_{\psi(k)} & & U_{\phi(\psi(k))} & \\ \swarrow & & & & \searrow \\ Z_k & & Y_{\psi(k)} & & X_{\phi(\psi(k))} \end{array} \\ \hline k \in K \end{array} \right\}$$

in which the square in the middle induces a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_i & \longrightarrow & U_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{j \in J_i} V_j & \longrightarrow & \prod_{j \in J_i} Y_j \end{array}$$

is a pullback. (Note that since each morphism  $V_j \rightarrow Y_j$  is ingressive, it follows from the stability of ingressives under pullbacks that the morphism

$$\prod_{j \in J_i} V_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J_i} Y_j$$

is ingressive as well.)

In particular,  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\{1\}}^{\otimes}$  may be identified with the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  itself, and for any finite set  $I$ , the inert morphisms  $\chi_i: I \rightarrow \{i\}_+$  together induce an equivalence

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_I^{\otimes} \simeq \prod_{i \in I} A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\{i\}}^{\otimes}.$$

**2.9.1. Variant.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple, not necessarily cartesian. The objects of the total  $\infty$ -category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  are pairs  $(I, X_I)$  consisting of a finite set  $I$  and an  $I$ -tuple  $X_I = (X_i)_{i \in I}$  of objects of  $C$ .

A morphism

$$(J, Y_J) \rightarrow (I, X_I)$$

of  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  can be thought of as a morphism  $\phi: J \rightarrow I$  of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$  and a collection of diagrams

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & & U_{\phi(j)} \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ & Y_j & X_{\phi(j)} \end{array} \\ \hline j \in J \end{array} \right\}$$

such that for any  $j \in J$ , the morphism  $U_{\phi(j)} \rightarrow X_{\phi(j)}$  is ingressive, and the morphism  $U_{\phi(j)} \rightarrow Y_j$  is egressive.

Composition is then defined by pullback; that is, a 2-simplex

$$(K, Z_K) \rightarrow (J, Y_J) \rightarrow (I, X_I)$$

consists of morphisms  $\psi: K \rightarrow J$  and  $\phi: J \rightarrow I$  of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$  along with a collection of diagrams

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccc} & & W_{\phi(\psi(k))} & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & V_{\psi(k)} & & U_{\phi(\psi(k))} & \\ \swarrow & & & & \searrow \\ Z_k & & Y_{\psi(k)} & & X_{\phi(\psi(k))} \end{array} \\ \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} W_{\phi(\psi(k))} \\ V_{\psi(k)} \\ U_{\phi(\psi(k))} \\ Z_k \\ Y_{\psi(k)} \\ X_{\phi(\psi(k))} \end{array}} \right\} k \in K$$

in which the squares in the middle together exhibit, for any  $i \in I$ , the object  $W_i$  as the iterated fiber product over  $U_i$  of the set  $\{U_i \times_{Y_j} V_j \mid j \in J_i\}$ .

In particular,  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\{1\}}^{\otimes}$  may be identified with the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  itself, and for any finite set  $I$ , the inert morphisms  $\chi_i: I \rightarrow \{i\}_+$  together induce an equivalence

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_I^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i \in I} A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\{i\}}^{\otimes}.$$

In light of Lm. 2.7 and Rk. 2.9, we obtain the following.

**2.10. Theorem.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. Then the functor*

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$$

*exhibits  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  as a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category, which is a symmetric monoidal structure on the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ .*

In light of Var. 2.7.1 and Var. 2.9.1, we obtain the following.

**2.10.1. Variant.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple, not necessarily cartesian. Then the functor*

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$$

*exhibits  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  as an  $\infty$ -operad, which is a  $\infty$ -operad structure on the effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ .*

**2.11.** If  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is a cartesian disjunctive triple, it may well be that the  $\infty$ -operads  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  and  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  are different; in fact, the latter may not even be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. However, if the subcategory  $C^{\dagger} \subset C$  is closed under finite products, then  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  is a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category, and it is identical to  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$ .

**2.12. Notation.** When  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is a cartesian disjunctive triple, we may employ duality and write

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\otimes} := (A^{\text{eff}}(C, C^{\dagger}, C_{\dagger})^{\otimes})^{op}.$$

The functor  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$  is a symmetric monoidal structure on the Burnside  $\infty$ -category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C^{\dagger}, C_{\dagger})^{op} \simeq A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ .

**2.12.1. Variant.** When  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is a disjunctive triple (not necessarily cartesian), we may employ duality and write

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\otimes} := (A^{\text{eff}}(C, C^{\dagger}, C_{\dagger})^{\otimes})^{op}.$$

The functor  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$  is an  $\infty$ -cooperad structure on the Burnside  $\infty$ -category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C^{\dagger}, C_{\dagger})^{op} \simeq A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ .

2.13. Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. Note that the formula

$$\coprod_{i \in I} (X \times U_i) \simeq X \times \left( \coprod_{i \in I} U_i \right)$$

implies immediately that the tensor product functor

$$\otimes: A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \times A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$$

preserves direct sums separately in each variable.

2.14. For any disjunctive  $\infty$ -category  $C$  that admits a terminal object, the duality functor

$$D: A^{\text{eff}}(C)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} A^{\text{eff}}(C)$$

of [?, Nt. 3.10] provides duals for the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C)^{\otimes}$  [?, Df. 2.3.5]. More precisely, for any object  $X$  of  $A^{\text{eff}}(C)$ , there exists an evaluation morphism  $X \otimes DX \rightarrow 1$  given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \Delta \swarrow & & \searrow ! \\ X \times X & & 1, \end{array}$$

and, dually, there exists a coevaluation morphism  $1 \rightarrow DX \otimes X$  given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ ! \swarrow & & \searrow \Delta \\ 1 & & X \times X. \end{array}$$

Since the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & X \times X \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \times \text{id} \\ X \times X & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \Delta} & X \times X \times X \end{array}$$

is a pullback, it follows that the composite

$$X \longrightarrow X \otimes DX \otimes X \longrightarrow X$$

in  $A^{\text{eff}}(C)$  is homotopic to the identity. We conclude that  $A^{\text{eff}}(C)^{\otimes}$  is a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category with duals.

2.15. If  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is a cartesian disjunctive triple, then in general it is not quite the case that the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  admits duals. We have an evaluation morphism  $X \otimes DX \rightarrow 1$  in  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  just in case the diagonal  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$  of  $C$  is egressive, and the morphism  $!: X \rightarrow 1$  is ingressive. We have a coevaluation morphism  $1 \rightarrow DX \otimes X$  in  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  just in case  $\Delta$  is ingressive and  $!$  is egressive.

2.16. If  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  and  $(D, D_{\dagger}, D^{\dagger})$  are cartesian disjunctive triples, then it is easy to see that a functor of disjunctive triples

$$f: (C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow (D, D_{\dagger}, D^{\dagger})$$

induces a functor of adequate triples

$$(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow (D_{\times}, (D_{\times})_{\dagger}, (D_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

and thus a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads (i.e., a lax symmetric monoidal functor)

$$A^{eff}(f)^{\otimes}: A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow A^{eff}(D, D_{\dagger}, D^{\dagger})^{\otimes}.$$

If, furthermore,  $f$  preserves finite products, then  $A^{eff}(f)^{\otimes}$  is in fact a symmetric monoidal functor.

If  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  and  $(D, D_{\dagger}, D^{\dagger})$  are disjunctive triples (not necessarily cartesian), then a functor of disjunctive triples

$$f: (C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow (D, D_{\dagger}, D^{\dagger})$$

induces a functor of adequate triples

$$(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_{\dagger}, (C_{\times})^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow (D_{\times}, (D_{\times})_{\dagger}, (D_{\times})^{\dagger})$$

and thus a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads

$$A^{eff}(f)^{\otimes}: A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow A^{eff}(D, D_{\dagger}, D^{\dagger})^{\otimes}.$$

### 3. GREEN FUNCTORS

Andreas Dress [?] defined Green functors as Mackey functors equipped with certain pairings. Gaunce Lewis [?] noticed that these pairings made them commutative monoids for the Day convolution tensor product on the category of Mackey functors. By an old observation of Brian Day [?, Ex. 3.2.2], these are precisely the lax symmetric monoidal additive functors on the effective Burnside category. Thanks to recent work of Saul Glasman [?], this characterization of monoids for the Day convolution holds in the  $\infty$ -categorical context as well.

**3.1. Definition.** We shall say that a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $E^{\otimes}$  is **additive** if the underlying  $\infty$ -category  $E$  is additive, and the tensor product functor  $\otimes: E \times E \longrightarrow E$  preserves direct sums separately in each variable.

**3.2. Definition.** (3.2.1) Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple and  $E^{\otimes}$  an additive symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Then a **commutative Green functor** is a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow E^{\otimes}$$

such that the underlying functor  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow E$  preserves direct sums.

(3.2.2) More generally, if  $O^{\otimes}$  is an  $\infty$ -operad, then an  **$O^{\otimes}$ -Green functor** is a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \times_{\mathrm{NA}(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes} \longrightarrow E^{\otimes} \times_{\mathrm{NA}(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes}$$

over  $O^{\otimes}$  such that for any object  $X$  of the underlying  $\infty$ -category  $O$ , the functor

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \simeq (A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \times_{\mathrm{NA}(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes})_X \longrightarrow (E^{\otimes} \times_{\mathrm{NA}(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes})_X \simeq E$$

preserves direct sums.

(3.2.3) Similarly, for any perfect operator category  $\Phi$ , we may define a  $\Phi$ -**Green functor** as a morphism

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\Phi) \longrightarrow E^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\Phi)$$

of  $\infty$ -operads over  $\Phi$  such that the underlying functor  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow E$  preserves direct sums.

3.2.1. **Variant.** (3.2.1.1) Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple, not necessarily cartesian, and suppose  $E^{\otimes}$  an additive symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Then a **commutative quasi-Green functor** is a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow E^{\otimes}$$

such that the underlying functor  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow E$  preserves direct sums.

(3.2.1.2) More generally, if  $O^{\otimes}$  is an  $\infty$ -operad, then an  $O^{\otimes}$ -**quasi-Green functor** is a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes} \longrightarrow E^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes}$$

over  $O^{\otimes}$  such that for any object  $X$  of the underlying  $\infty$ -category  $O$ , the functor

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \simeq (A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes})_X \longrightarrow (E^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes})_X \simeq E$$

preserves direct sums.

(3.2.1.3) Similarly, for any perfect operator category  $\Phi$ , we may define a  $\Phi$ -**quasi-Green functor** as a morphism

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\Phi) \longrightarrow E^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\Phi)$$

of  $\infty$ -operads over  $\Phi$  such that the underlying functor  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow E$  preserves direct sums.

3.3. Note that if  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is a cartesian disjunctive triple such that  $C^{\dagger} \subset C$  is closed under finite products, then there is no difference between a quasi-Green functor and a Green functor (for any  $\infty$ -operad or any perfect operator category).

3.4. **Notation.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple, and suppose  $E^{\otimes}$  an additive symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. For any  $\infty$ -operad  $O^{\otimes}$ , let us write, employing the notation of [?, Df. 2.1.3.1]

$$\mathbf{Green}_{O^{\otimes}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; E^{\otimes}) \subset \mathbf{Alg}_{A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes} / O^{\otimes}}(E^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes})$$

for the full subcategory spanned by the  $O^{\otimes}$ -Green functors.

3.4.1. **Variant.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple, not necessarily cartesian, and suppose  $E^{\otimes}$  an additive symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. For any  $\infty$ -operad  $O^{\otimes}$ , let us write, employing the notation of [?, Df. 2.1.3.1]

$$\mathbf{qGreen}_{O^{\otimes}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; E^{\otimes}) \subset \mathbf{Alg}_{A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes} / O^{\otimes}}(E^{\otimes} \times_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} O^{\otimes})$$

for the full subcategory spanned by the  $O^{\otimes}$ -Green functors.

3.5. **Example.** We define *modules* over an *associative Green functor* in this way. Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple, and suppose  $E^{\otimes}$  an additive

symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Then we may consider the  $\infty$ -operad of [?, Df. 4.2.7], which we will denote  $\mathbf{LM}^\otimes$ . The inclusion  $\mathbf{Ass}^\otimes \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LM}^\otimes$  induces a functor

$$\mathbf{Green}_{\mathbf{LM}^\otimes}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Green}_{\mathbf{Ass}^\otimes}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes).$$

An object  $A$  of the target may be called an *associative Green functor*, and an object of the fiber of this functor over  $A$  may be called a *left  $A$ -module*. We write

$$\mathbf{Mod}_A^\ell(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes) := \mathbf{Green}_{\mathbf{LM}^\otimes}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes) \times_{\mathbf{Green}_{\mathbf{Ass}^\otimes}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes)} \{A\}$$

for the  $\infty$ -category of left  $A$ -modules. When  $A$  is a commutative Green functor, we will drop the superscript  $\ell$ .

**3.5.1. Variant.** We may also define *modules* over an *associative quasi-Green functor* in a similar manner. Suppose  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$  a disjunctive triple (not necessarily cartesian), and suppose  $E^\otimes$  an additive symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Then we may consider the  $\infty$ -operad of [?, Df. 4.2.7], which we will denote  $\mathbf{LM}^\otimes$ . The inclusion  $\mathbf{Ass}^\otimes \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LM}^\otimes$  induces a functor

$$q\mathbf{Green}_{\mathbf{LM}^\otimes}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes) \longrightarrow q\mathbf{Green}_{\mathbf{Ass}^\otimes}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes).$$

An object  $A$  of the target may be called an *associative quasi-Green functor*, and an object of the fiber of this functor over  $A$  may be called a *left  $A$ -module*. We write

$$q\mathbf{Mod}_A^\ell(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes) := q\mathbf{Green}_{\mathbf{LM}^\otimes}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes) \times_{q\mathbf{Green}_{\mathbf{Ass}^\otimes}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger; E^\otimes)} \{A\}$$

for the  $\infty$ -category of left  $A$ -modules. When  $A$  is a commutative Green functor, we will drop the superscript  $\ell$ .

**3.6. Definition.** We will say that a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $E^\otimes$  is *presentable* if the underlying  $\infty$ -category  $E$  is presentable and if the tensor product functor  $\otimes: E \times E \rightarrow E$  preserves colimits separately in each variable.

Suppose  $D^\otimes$  a small symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category, and suppose  $E^\otimes$  a presentable symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. In [?], Glasman constructs a symmetric monoidal structure on the functor  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Fun}(D, E)$  which is the natural  $\infty$ -categorical generalization of Day's convolution product. As in Day's construction, the convolution  $F \otimes G$  of two functors  $F, G: D \rightarrow E$  in Glasman's symmetric monoidal structure is given by the left Kan extension of the composite

$$D \times D \xrightarrow{(F, G)} E \times E \xrightarrow{\otimes} E$$

along the tensor product  $\otimes: D \times D \rightarrow D$ .

In particular, for any finite set  $I$ , and for any  $I$ -tuple  $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$  of functors  $D \rightarrow E$ , the value of the tensor product is given by the coend

$$\left( \bigotimes_{i \in I} F_i \right) (X) \simeq \int^{U \in D_I^\otimes} \mathbf{Map}_{D^\otimes}^\phi(U, X) \otimes \bigotimes_{i \in I} F_i(U_i),$$

where  $U \rightarrow U_i$  is a chosen cocartesian edge chosen over each inert map  $I \rightarrow \{i\}$  of  $N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ , and  $\phi: I \rightarrow \{\xi\}$  is the unique active map. (Here  $\mathbf{Map}_{D^\otimes}^\phi$  denotes the union of those connected components in  $\mathbf{Map}_{D^\otimes}$  that lie over  $\phi$ .)

Equivalently, the Day convolution on  $\mathbf{Fun}(D, E)$  is the essentially unique symmetric monoidal structure that enjoys the following criteria:

- The tensor product

$$- \otimes -: \text{Fun}(D, E) \times \text{Fun}(D, E) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(D, E)$$

preserves colimits separately in each variable.

- The functor given by the composite

$$D^{op} \times E \xrightarrow{j \times \text{id}} \text{Fun}(D, \mathbf{Kan}) \times E \xrightarrow{m} \text{Fun}(D, E)$$

is symmetric monoidal, where  $j$  denotes the Yoneda embedding, and  $m$  is the functor corresponding to the composition

$$\text{Fun}(D, \mathbf{Kan}) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(E \times D, E \times \mathbf{Kan}) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(E \times D, E)$$

in which the first functor is the obvious one, and the functor  $E \times \mathbf{Kan} \longrightarrow E$  is the tensor functor  $(X, K) \rightsquigarrow X \otimes K$  of [?, §4.4.4].

The convolution of two Mackey functors will not in general be a Mackey functor, but it can be replaced with one by employing a localization (which we might as well call Mackeyfication). To prove that convolution followed by Mackeyfication defines a symmetric monoidal structure on the  $\infty$ -category of Mackey functors, it is necessary to show that Mackeyfication is *compatible* with the convolution symmetric monoidal structure in the sense of Lurie [?, Df. 2.2.1.6, Ex. 2.2.1.7].

The following is immediate from [?, Pr. 6.5].

**3.7. Lemma.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple, and suppose  $E$  a presentable additive  $\infty$ -category. Then the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; E)$  is an accessible localization of the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}), E)$ .*

**3.8. Notation.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive  $\infty$ -category, and suppose  $E$  a presentable additive  $\infty$ -category. Then write  $M$  for the left adjoint to the fully faithful inclusion

$$\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; E) \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}), E).$$

**3.9. Lemma.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive  $\infty$ -category, and suppose  $E^{\otimes}$  a presentable symmetric monoidal additive  $\infty$ -category. Then the left adjoint  $M$  constructed above is compatible in the sense of [?, Df. 2.2.1.6] with Glasman's Day convolution symmetric monoidal structure on  $\text{Fun}(A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}), E)$ .*

*Proof.* For any object  $s \in C$  and any object  $x \in E$ , let  $H_x^s: A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow E$  be the functor given by the composite

$$A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \xrightarrow{j(s)} \mathbf{Kan} \xrightarrow{- \otimes x} E,$$

where  $j(s): A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Kan}$  is the functor corepresented by  $s$  and  $- \otimes x: \mathbf{Kan} \longrightarrow E$  is given by the tensor product with spaces [?, §4.]. Objects of the form  $H_x^s$  generate the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}), E)$  under colimits. Now the class of  $M$ -equivalences is the strongly saturated class generated by the canonical morphisms

$$H_x^s \oplus H_x^t \longrightarrow H_x^{s \oplus t}.$$

There are natural equivalences

$$H_x^s \otimes H_y^t \simeq H_{x \otimes y}^{s \otimes t},$$



whence one obtains natural  $M$ -equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
(H_x^s \oplus H_x^t) \otimes H_y^u &\simeq (H_x^s \otimes H_y^u) \oplus (H_x^t \otimes H_y^u) \\
&\simeq H_{x \otimes y}^{s \otimes u} \oplus H_{x \otimes y}^{t \otimes u} \\
&\longrightarrow H_{x \otimes y}^{(s \otimes u) \oplus (t \otimes u)} \\
&\simeq H_{x \otimes y}^{(s \oplus t) \otimes u} \\
&\simeq H_x^{s \oplus t} \otimes H_y^u.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that for any  $M$ -equivalence  $X \rightarrow Y$  and any object  $Z$  of the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}), E)$ , the morphism

$$X \otimes Z \rightarrow Y \otimes Z$$

is an  $M$ -equivalence.  $\square$

3.10. In particular, if  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is a cartesian disjunctive  $\infty$ -category, and if  $E^{\otimes}$  a presentable symmetric monoidal additive  $\infty$ -category, we obtain a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; E)^{\otimes}$ , and, in light of [?], for any  $\infty$ -operad  $O^{\otimes}$ , one obtains an equivalence

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{O^{\otimes}}(\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; E)^{\otimes}) \simeq \mathbf{Green}_{O^{\otimes}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; E).$$

3.11. It is possible to obtain a variant of this result for general disjunctive triples  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ .

The main complication is that, since  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  is merely an  $\infty$ -operad, there is no Day convolution symmetric monoidal structure on the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}), E)$ . Instead, one has only a representable  $\infty$ -operad structure; nevertheless, one may show that the  $\infty$ -category of commutative algebras in this  $\infty$ -operad are equivalent to morphisms of  $\infty$ -operads  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \rightarrow E^{\otimes}$ . (This is unfortunately not a trivial consequence of Glasman's arguments.)

Finally, one must show that the representable  $\infty$ -operad structure is compatible with the Mackeyfication functor. Then one may deduce an equivalence

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{O^{\otimes}}(\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; E)^{\otimes}) \simeq q\mathbf{Green}_{O^{\otimes}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; E).$$

We leave the relevant details to the reader.

#### 4. THE KÜNNETH SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

Let us note that the Künneth spectral sequence works in the Mackey functor context more or less exactly as in the ordinary  $\infty$ -category of spectra. To this end, let us first discuss  $t$ -structures on  $\infty$ -categories of spectral Mackey functors.

4.1. **Proposition.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple, and suppose  $A$  a stable  $\infty$ -category equipped with a  $t$ -structure  $(A_{\geq 0}, A_{\leq 0})$ . Then the two subcategories*

$$\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A)_{\geq 0} := \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A_{\geq 0})$$

and

$$\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A)_{\leq 0} := \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A_{\leq 0})$$

define a  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the functor  $L: \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A)$  given by composition with  $\tau_{\leq -1}$ ; it is clear that  $L$  is a localization functor. Furthermore, the essential image of  $L$  is the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A_{\leq -1})$ , which is closed under extensions, since  $A_{\leq -1}$  is. Now we apply [?, Pr. 1.2.1.16].  $\square$

4.2. Note that if  $A$  a stable  $\infty$ -category equipped with a  $t$ -structure  $(A_{\geq 0}, A_{\leq 0})$ , then for any disjunctive triple  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ , the heart of the induced  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A)$  is given by

$$\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A)^{\heartsuit} \simeq \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A^{\heartsuit}).$$

Furthermore, it is clear that many properties of the  $t$ -structure on  $A$  are inherited by the induced  $t$ -structure  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A)$ : in particular, one verifies easily that the  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A)$  is left bounded, right bounded, left complete, right complete, compatible with sequential colimits, compatible with filtered colimits, or accessible if the  $t$ -structure on  $A$  is so.

4.3. **Example.** For any disjunctive triple  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ , the resulting  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp})$  admits an accessible  $t$ -structure that is both left and right complete whose heart is the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{NAb})$ .

In particular, if  $G$  is a profinite group and if  $C$  is the disjunctive  $\infty$ -category of finite  $G$ -sets, then the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mack}_G$  of spectral Mackey functors for  $G$  admits an accessible  $t$ -structure that is both left and right complete, in which the heart  $\mathbf{Mack}_G^{\heartsuit}$  is the nerve of the usual abelian category of Mackey functors for  $G$ .

4.4. **Construction.** Suppose  $A$  a stable  $\infty$ -category equipped with a  $t$ -structure. Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple, and suppose

$$X: N\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; A)$$

a filtered Mackey functor with colimit  $X(+\infty)$ . Then we have the spectral sequence

$$E_r^{p,q} := \mathrm{im} \left[ \pi_{p+q} \left( \frac{X(p)}{X(p-r)} \right) \rightarrow \pi_{p+q} \left( \frac{X(p+r-1)}{X(p-1)} \right) \right]$$

associated with  $X$  [?, Df. 1.2.2.9].

Note that this is a spectral sequence of  $A^{\heartsuit}$ -valued Mackey functors. Since limits and colimits of Mackey functors are defined objectwise, it follows that for any object  $U \in A^{\mathrm{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ , the value  $E_r^{p,q}(U)$  is the spectral sequence (in  $A^{\heartsuit}$ ) associated with the filtered object  $X(U): N\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow A$ .

4.5. In the setting of Cnstr. 4.4, assume that  $A$  admits all sequential colimits and that the  $t$ -structure is compatible with these colimits. If  $X(n) \simeq 0$  for  $n \ll 0$ , then the associated spectral sequence converges to a filtration on  $\pi_{p+q}(X(+\infty))$  [?, 1.2.2.14]. That is:

- For any  $p$  and  $q$ , there exists  $r \gg 0$  such that the differential

$$d_r: E_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E_r^{p-r, q+r-1}$$

vanishes.

- For any  $p$  and  $q$ , there exist a discrete, exhaustive filtration

$$\cdots \subset F_{p+q}^{-1} \subset F_{p+q}^0 \subset F_{p+q}^1 \subset \cdots \subset \pi_{p+q} X(+\infty)$$

and an isomorphism  $E_{\infty}^{p,q} \cong F_{p+q}^p / F_{p+q}^{p-1}$ .

In more general circumstances, one can obtain a kind of “local convergence.” Suppose again that  $A$  admits all sequential colimits, and that the  $t$ -structure is compatible with these colimits. Now suppose that for every object  $U \in A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ , there exists  $n \ll 0$  such that  $X(n)(U) \simeq 0$ . Then for every object  $U \in A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ , the spectral sequence  $E_r^{p,q}(U)$  converges to  $\pi_{p+q}(X(+\infty)(U))$ . In finitary cases (e.g., when  $C$  is the disjunctive  $\infty$ -category of finite  $G$ -sets for a finite group  $G$ ), there is no difference between the local convergence and the global convergence.

Better convergence results can be obtained when the filtered Mackey functor is the skeletal filtration of a simplicial connective object  $Y_*$  [?, Pr. 1.2.4.5]. In this case, we do not need to assume that the  $t$ -structure on  $A$  is compatible with sequential colimits, the associated spectral sequence is a first-quadrant spectral sequence, and it converges to a length  $p + q$  filtration on  $\pi_{p+q}|Y_*|$ .

Now, to construct the Künneth spectral sequence for Mackey functors, we can follow very closely the arguments of Lurie [?, §7.2.1].

**4.6. Construction.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. Suppose

$$R: A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \times_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} \text{Ass}^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^{\otimes} \times_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} \text{Ass}^{\otimes}$$

an associative Green functor, suppose  $M$  a right  $R$ -module, and suppose  $N$  a left  $R$ -module. We construct a spectral sequence that converges to the ordinary (graded) Mackey functor  $\pi_*(M \otimes_R N)$ .

Let  $S$  denote the class of left  $R$ -modules of the form  $\Sigma^n \circ R$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . By [?, Pr. 7.2.1.4], there exists an  $S$ -free  $S$ -hypercovering  $P_{\bullet} \longrightarrow N$  in the (presentable) stable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mod}_R^{\ell}$ . By passing to the skeletal filtration of  $M \otimes_R |P_{\bullet}|$ , we obtain a spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{p,q}, d_r\}_{r \geq 1}$  that converges to  $\pi_{p+q}(M \otimes_R N)$ . The complex  $(E_1^{*,q}, d_1)$  is the normalized chain complex  $N_*(\pi_q(M \otimes_R P_{\bullet}))$ .

Arguing as in [?, Pr. 7.2.1.17], we obtain an isomorphism

$$\text{Tor}_0^{\pi_* R}(\pi_* M, \pi_* P_{\bullet}) \cong \pi_*(M \otimes_R P_{\bullet}).$$

Since  $N_*(\pi_* P_{\bullet})$  is a resolution of  $\pi_* N$  by graded-free  $\pi_* R$ -modules, it follows that the  $E_2$  page is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong \text{Tor}_p^{\pi_* R}(\pi_* M, \pi_* N)_q.$$

As in [?, Cor. 7.2.1.23], we have an immediate corollary.

**4.7. Lemma.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ ,  $R$ ,  $M$ , and  $N$  as above. Suppose that  $R$ ,  $M$ , and  $N$  are all connective. Then  $M \otimes_R N$  is connective, and one has an isomorphism of ordinary Mackey functors*

$$\pi_0(M \otimes_R N) \cong \pi_0 M \otimes_{\pi_0 R} \pi_0 N.$$

## 5. GREEN STABILIZATION

Now let us address the issue of multiplicative structures on the Mackey stabilization, as constructed in [?, §7]. In particular, we aim to show that if  $E$  is an  $\infty$ -topos, then the Mackey stabilization of a morphism of operads

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow E^{\times}$$

naturally admits the structure of a Green functor

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}(E)^{\wedge}.$$

**5.1. Definition.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple, suppose  $E$  an  $\infty$ -topos, and suppose

$$f: A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow E^{\times} \quad \text{and} \quad F: A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}(E)^{\otimes}$$

morphisms of  $\infty$ -operads. Then a morphism of  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$ -algebras

$$\eta: f \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty} \circ F$$

will be said to exhibit  $F$  as the **Green stabilization** of  $f$  if  $F$  is a Green functor, and if, for any Green functor  $R: A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}(E)^{\otimes}$ , the map

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Green}_{E^{\infty}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp}(E)^{\otimes})}(F, R) \longrightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{Alg}_{A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}}(E^{\times})}(f, \Omega^{\infty} \circ R)$$

induced by  $\eta$  is an equivalence.

The following result is essentially the same as [?, Pr. 2.1].

**5.2. Proposition.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. There exists a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  and a fully faithful symmetric monoidal functor*

$$j^{\otimes}: A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \hookrightarrow \text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$$

with the following properties.

(5.2.1) *The  $\infty$ -category  $\text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  underlies  $\text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$ , and the underlying functor of  $j^{\otimes}$  is the inclusion*

$$j: A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \hookrightarrow \text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$$

of [?, Nt. 7.2].

(5.2.2) *For any symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $E^{\otimes}$  whose underlying  $\infty$ -category admits all sifted colimits such that the tensor product preserves sifted colimits separately in each variable, the induced functor*

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}}(E^{\otimes}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}}(E^{\otimes})$$

*exhibits an equivalence from the full subcategory spanned by those morphisms of  $\infty$ -operads  $A$  whose underlying functor  $A: \text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow E$  preserves sifted colimits to the full subcategory spanned by those morphisms of  $\infty$ -operads  $B$  whose underlying functor  $B: A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow E$  preserves filtered colimits.*

(5.2.3) *The tensor product functor*

$$\otimes: \text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \times \text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow \text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$$

*preserves all colimits separately in each variable.*

*Proof.* The only part that is not a consequence of [?, Pr. 6.3.1.10 and Var. 6.3.1.11] is the assertion that the tensor product functor

$$\otimes: \text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \times \text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow \text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$$

preserves direct sums separately in each variable. This assertion holds for objects of the effective Burnside category  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  thanks to the universality of co-products in  $C$ ; the general case follows by exhibiting any object of  $\text{DA}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  as a colimit of a sifted diagram of objects of  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  and using the fact that both the tensor product and the direct sum commute with sifted colimits.  $\square$

In light of [?, Pr. 3.5] and [?, Pr. 7.2.4.14 and Th. 7.2.6.2], we now have the following.

**5.3. Proposition.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a disjunctive triple, suppose  $E$  an  $\infty$ -topos, and suppose*

$$f: A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow E^{\times}$$

*a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads. Then a Green stabilization of  $f$  exists. In particular, the functor*

$$\Omega^{\infty} \circ - : \mathbf{Green}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp}(E)^{\otimes}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}}(E^{\times})$$

*admits a left adjoint that covers the left adjoint of the functor*

$$\Omega^{\infty} \circ - : \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp}(E)) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}), E).$$

**5.4. Example.** Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. Then the functor

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Kan}$$

corepresented by the terminal object 1 of  $C$  is the unit for the Day convolution symmetric monoidal structure of Glasman, and hence it is an  $E_{\infty}$  algebra in an essentially unique fashion. Thus we can consider its Green stabilization

$$\mathbf{S}^{\otimes} = \mathbf{S}_{(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})}^{\otimes} : A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^{\wedge},$$

whose underlying Mackey functor is the Burnside Mackey functor  $\mathbf{S}_{(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})}$  of [?]. We call  $\mathbf{S}^{\otimes}$  the **Burnside Green functor**.

In a similar vein, we immediately have the following:

**5.5. Proposition.** *For any cartesian disjunctive triple  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ , the functor*

$$A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp})$$

*given by the assignment  $X \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{S}^X$  is naturally symmetric monoidal. That is, for any two objects  $X, Y \in C$ , one has a canonical equivalence*

$$\mathbf{S}^X \otimes \mathbf{S}^Y \simeq \mathbf{S}^{X \otimes Y}$$

**5.5.1. Corollary.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. For any spectral Mackey functor  $M$  thereon, write  $F(M, -)$  for the right adjoint to the functor*

$$- \otimes M : \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp}).$$

*Then for any object  $X \in C$ , the Mackey functor  $F(\mathbf{S}^X, M)$  is given by the assignment*

$$Y \rightsquigarrow M(X \otimes Y).$$

The following is now immediate.

**5.6. Proposition.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple. The Burnside Mackey functor  $\mathbf{S}_{(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})}$  is the unit in the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp})^{\otimes}$ . Consequently, the Burnside Green functor  $\mathbf{S}_{(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})}^{\otimes}$  is the initial object in the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Green}_{\mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp}^{\otimes})$ , and the forgetful functor*

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{S}^{\otimes}}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp}^{\otimes}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp})$$

*is an equivalence.*

## 6. DUALITY

In this section, suppose  $C$  a disjunctive  $\infty$ -category that admits a terminal object. Since the functor  $X \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{S}^X$  is symmetric monoidal, it follows immediately that every representable Mackey functor  $\mathbf{S}^X$  is strongly dualizable, and

$$(\mathbf{S}^X)^\vee \simeq \mathbf{S}^{DX}$$

**6.1. Notation.** For any associative spectral Green functor  $R$  and for any object  $X \in C$ , denote by  $R^X$  the left  $R$ -module  $R \otimes \mathbf{S}^X$ , and denote by  ${}^X R$  the right  $R$ -module  $\mathbf{S}^X \otimes R$ .

Of course for any left (respectively, right)  $R$ -module  $M$ , one has

$$\mathrm{Map}(R^X, M) \simeq \Omega^\infty M(X) \quad (\text{resp., } \mathrm{Map}({}^X R, M) \simeq \Omega^\infty M(X) \quad ).$$

**6.2. Definition.** For any associative spectral Green functor  $R$  on  $C$ , denote by  $\mathbf{Perf}_R^\ell$  the smallest stable subcategory of the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mod}_R^\ell$  that contains the left  $R$ -modules  $R^X$  (for  $X \in C$ ) and is closed under retracts. Similarly, denote by  $\mathbf{Perf}_R^r$  the smallest stable subcategory of the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mod}_R^r$  that contains the right  $R$ -modules  ${}^X R$  (for  $X \in C$ ) and is closed under retracts.

The objects of  $\mathbf{Perf}_R^\ell$  (respectively,  $\mathbf{Perf}_R^r$ ) will be called *perfect* left (resp., right) modules over  $R$ .

Now we obtain the following, which is a straightforward analogue of [?, Pr. 7.2.5.2].

**6.3. Proposition.** *For any associative spectral Green functor  $R$ , a left  $R$ -module is compact just in case it is perfect.*

*Proof.* For any  $X \in C$ , the functor corepresented by  $R^X$  is the assignment  $M \rightsquigarrow \Omega^\infty M(X)$ , which preserves filtered colimits. Hence  $R^X$  is compact, and thus any perfect left  $R$ -module is compact.

Conversely, there is a fully faithful, colimit-preserving functor

$$F: \mathrm{Ind}(\mathbf{Perf}_R^\ell) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R$$

induced by the inclusion  $\mathbf{Perf}_R^\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R^\ell$ . If this is not essentially surjective, there exists a nonzero left  $R$ -module  $M$  such that for every  $R$ -module  $N$  in the essential image of  $F$ , the group  $[N, M]$  vanishes. In particular, for any integer  $n$  and any object  $X \in C$ ,

$$\pi_n M(X) \cong [R^X[n], M] \cong 0,$$

whence  $M \simeq 0$ . □

The proof of the following is word-for-word identical to that of [?, Pr. 7.2.5.4].

**6.4. Proposition.** *For any associative spectral Green functor  $R$  on  $C$ , a left  $R$ -module  $M$  is perfect just in case there exists a right  $R$ -module  $M^\vee$  that is dual to  $M$  in the sense that the functor*

$$\mathrm{Map}(\mathbf{S}, M^\vee \otimes_R -): \mathbf{Mod}_R^\ell \longrightarrow \mathbf{Kan}$$

*is the functor that  $M$  corepresents.*

**6.5. Example.** Note that, in particular, for any object  $X \in C$ , one has

$$(R^X)^\vee \simeq {}^{DX} R.$$

## 7. SYMMETRIC MONOIDAL WALDHAUSEN BICARTESIAN FIBRATIONS

In [?], we define an  $O^\otimes$ -monoidal Waldhausen  $\infty$ -category for any  $\infty$ -operad  $O^\otimes$  as an  $O^\otimes$ -algebra in the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty$ . We give two equivalent fibrational formulations of this notion.

**7.1. Definition.** Suppose  $O^\otimes$  an  $\infty$ -operad. An  $O^\otimes$ -*monoidal Waldhausen  $\infty$ -category* consists of an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{X}^\otimes$  along with a pair structure on its underlying  $\infty$ -category and a morphism of pairs

$$p^\otimes: \mathbf{X}^\otimes \longrightarrow (O^\otimes)^\flat$$

such that the following conditions obtain.

(7.1.1) The functor  $p^\otimes$  is a cocartesian fibration.

(7.1.2) The composition

$$\mathbf{X}^\otimes \longrightarrow O^\otimes \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$$

exhibits  $(\mathbf{X}_\otimes)^{op}$  as an  $\infty$ -operad.

(7.1.3) The fiber  $p: \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow O$  over  $* \in \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$  is a Waldhausen cocartesian fibration.

(7.1.4) For any finite set  $I$ , any morphism  $s \longrightarrow t$  of  $O^\otimes$  covering the unique active morphism  $I \longrightarrow \{\xi\}$ , and any choice of inert morphisms  $\{s \longrightarrow s_i \mid i \in I\}$  covering the inert morphisms  $I \longrightarrow \{i\}$ , the functor of pairs

$$\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{s_i} \simeq \mathbf{X}_s^\otimes \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}_t$$

is exact separately in each variable [?].

Dually, suppose  $O_\otimes$  an  $\infty$ -cooperad. Then a  $O_\otimes$ -*monoidal Waldhausen  $\infty$ -category* consists of an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{X}_\otimes$  along with a pair structure on its underlying  $\infty$ -category and a morphism of pairs

$$p_\otimes: \mathbf{X}_\otimes \longrightarrow (O_\otimes)^\flat$$

such that the following conditions obtain.

(7.1.5) The functor  $p_\otimes$  is a cartesian fibration.

(7.1.6) The composition

$$\mathbf{X}_\otimes \longrightarrow O_\otimes \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$$

exhibits  $\mathbf{X}_\otimes$  as an  $\infty$ -cooperad.

(7.1.7) The fiber  $p: \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow O$  over  $* \in \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$  is a Waldhausen cartesian fibration.

(7.1.8) For any finite set  $I$ , any morphism  $t \longrightarrow s$  of  $O_\otimes$  covering the opposite of the unique active morphism  $I \longrightarrow \{\xi\}$ , and any choice of inert morphisms  $\{s_i \longrightarrow s \mid i \in I\}$  covering the inert morphisms  $I \longrightarrow \{i\}$ , the functor of pairs

$$\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{s_i} \simeq \mathbf{X}_{\otimes, s} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}_t$$

is exact separately in each variable [?].

Employing [?, Ex. 2.4.2.4 and Pr. 2.4.2.5] and [?, Lm 1.4], one deduces the following.

**7.2. Proposition.** *Suppose  $O^\otimes$  (respectively,  $O_\otimes$ ) an  $\infty$ -operad (resp., an  $\infty$ -cooperad) ■*  
Then the functor

$$O^\otimes \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty \quad (\text{resp., the functor } (O_\otimes)^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$$

classifying an  $O^\otimes$ -monoidal Waldhausen  $\infty$ -category (resp., an  $O_\otimes$ -monoidal Waldhausen  $\infty$ -category) factors through an essentially unique morphism of  $\infty$ -operads

$$O^\otimes \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes \quad (\text{resp., the functor } (O_\otimes)^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes)$$

**7.3. Definition.** Now suppose  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$  a cartesian disjunctive triple that is either left complete or right complete. A **symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration**

$$p_{\boxtimes}: \mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes} \longrightarrow C_\times$$

over  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$  is a functor of pairs  $\mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes} \longrightarrow (C_\times)^b$  with the following properties.

(7.3.1) The underlying functor  $p_{\boxtimes}: \mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes} \longrightarrow C_\times$  is an inner fibration.

(7.3.2) For any egressive morphism  $(\phi, \omega): (I, X) \longrightarrow (J, Y)$  of  $C_\times$  (in the sense of Nt. 2.3) and for any object  $Q$  of the fiber  $(\mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes})_{(J, Y)}$ , there exists a  $p_{\boxtimes}$ -cartesian morphism  $P \longrightarrow Q$  covering  $(\phi, \omega)$ .

(7.3.3) The composition

$$\mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes} \longrightarrow C_\times \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$$

exhibits  $\mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes}$  as an  $\infty$ -cooperad.

(7.3.4) The fiber  $p: \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow C$  over  $* \in \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$  is a Waldhausen bicartesian fibration  $\mathbf{X} \longrightarrow C$  over  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$ .

**7.4.** This is a lot of data, so let's unpack it a bit.

First, a symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration

$$p_{\boxtimes}: \mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes} \longrightarrow C_\times$$

over  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$  admits an underlying Waldhausen bicartesian fibration  $p: \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow C$  over  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$ . This provides, for any object  $S \in C$ , a Waldhausen  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{X}_S$ , and for any morphism  $\phi: S \longrightarrow T$  of  $C$ , it provides an exact “pushforward” functor  $\phi_!: \mathbf{X}_S \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}_T$  whenever  $\phi$  is ingressive and an exact “pullback” functor  $\phi^*: \mathbf{X}_T \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}_S$  whenever  $\phi$  is egressive. These are compatible with composition, and when  $\phi$  is both ingressive and egressive, these two are adjoint.

There's more structure here: for any finite set  $I$  and any  $I$ -tuple  $(S_i)_{i \in I}$  of objects of  $C$  with product  $S$ , consider the cartesian edge

$$(\{\xi\}, S) \longrightarrow (I, S_I)$$

of  $C_\times$  lying over the morphism  $\{\xi\} \longrightarrow I$  of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{op}$  corresponding to the unique active morphism  $I \longrightarrow \{\xi\}$  of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ ; it is of course egressive in  $\mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes}$ . Hence there is a functor

$$\boxtimes: \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{S_i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}_S,$$

exact separately in each variable. If  $(\phi_i: S_i \longrightarrow T_i)_{i \in I}$  is an  $I$ -tuple of morphisms of  $C$  with product  $\phi: S \longrightarrow T$  then the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{T_i} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{i \in I}} & \mathbf{X}_T \\ \prod_{i \in I} \phi_i^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^* \\ \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{S_i} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{i \in I}} & \mathbf{X}_S \end{array}$$

commutes.



This structure endows each fiber  $\mathbf{X}_S$  with a symmetric monoidal structure: indeed, for any finite set  $I$ , we may define

$$\bigotimes_{i \in I} := \Delta^* \circ \boxed{\times}_{i \in I},$$

where  $\Delta: S \rightarrow S^I$  is the diagonal. One sees easily that the commutativity of the square above implies that any functor  $\phi^*$  induced by a morphism  $\phi: S \rightarrow T$  is symmetric monoidal in a natural way. Furthermore, a simple argument demonstrates that the external product  $\boxed{\times}_{i \in I}$  can be recovered from the symmetric monoidal structures along with the pullback functors; for example,  $X \boxed{\times} Y \simeq \text{pr}_1^* X \otimes \text{pr}_2^* Y$ .

Now it follows from [?, Cor. 7.3.2.7] that if  $\phi: S \rightarrow T$  is both ingressive and egressive in  $C$ , then  $\phi_!$  extends to a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads (i.e., a lax symmetric monoidal functor)  $\mathbf{X}_S^\otimes \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_T^\otimes$ .

**7.5. Lemma.** *Suppose  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$  a cartesian disjunctive triple that is either left complete or right complete, and suppose*

$$p_{\boxed{\times}}: \mathbf{X}_{\boxed{\times}} \rightarrow C_{\times}$$

*a symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration over  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$ . Then the inner fibration*

$$p_{\boxed{\times}}: \mathbf{X}_{\boxed{\times}} \rightarrow C_{\times}$$

*is an adequate inner fibration [?, Df. 10.3] for the triple  $(C_{\times}, (C_{\times})_\dagger, (C_{\times})^\dagger)$  (Nt. 2.3).*

*Proof.* The only condition of inner fibrations that isn't explicitly part of the definition above is the assertion that for any ingressive morphism  $(\phi, \omega): (I, X) \rightarrow (J, Y)$  of  $C_{\times}$  and for any object  $P$  of the fiber  $(\mathbf{X}_{\boxed{\times}})_{(I, X)}$ , there exists a  $p_{\boxed{\times}}$ -cocartesian morphism  $P \rightarrow Q$  covering  $(\phi, \omega)$ .

So suppose that  $(\phi, \omega): (I, X) \rightarrow (J, Y)$  is ingressive — i.e., that  $\phi: J \rightarrow I$  is a bijection and each morphism  $\omega_{\phi^{-1}(i)}: X_i \rightarrow Y_{\phi^{-1}(i)}$  is ingressive —, and suppose that  $P$  is an object of  $\mathbf{X}_{\boxed{\times}}$  that lies over  $(I, X)$ . Then under the equivalence

$$(\mathbf{X}_{\boxed{\times}})_I \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{\{i\}},$$

the object  $P$  corresponds to a family  $(P_i)_{i \in I}$  of objects such that  $P_i$  lies over  $X_i$  for any  $i \in I$ . For each  $i \in I$ , select a  $p$ -cocartesian edge  $P_i \rightarrow Q_{\phi^{-1}(i)}$  covering  $\omega_{\phi^{-1}(i)}$ . Now there is an essentially unique morphism  $P \rightarrow Q$  covering  $(\phi, \omega)$  that corresponds under the equivalence above to the edges  $P_i \rightarrow Q_{\phi^{-1}(i)}$ , and it is easy to see that it is  $p_{\boxed{\times}}$ -cocartesian.  $\square$

Suppose  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$  a cartesian disjunctive triple that is either left complete or right complete, and suppose  $p_{\boxed{\times}}: \mathbf{X}_{\boxed{\times}} \rightarrow C_{\times}$  a symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration for  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$ . Our goal is now to equip the unfolding of  $\mathbf{X}$  with the structure of a  $A^{\text{eff}}(C)^\otimes$ -monoidal Waldhausen structure. It will then follow that the corresponding Mackey functor is in fact a commutative Green functor.

**7.6. Construction.** Suppose  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$  a cartesian disjunctive triple that is either left complete or right complete, and suppose

$$p_{\boxed{\times}}: \mathbf{X}_{\boxed{\times}} \rightarrow C_{\times}$$

a symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration over  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$ . Then we define  $\Upsilon(\mathbf{X}/(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger))^\otimes$  as the pullback

$$\Upsilon(\mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes}/(C_\times, (C_\times)_\dagger, (C_\times)^\dagger)) \times_{A^{\text{eff}}(C_\times, (C_\times)_\dagger, (C_\times)^\dagger)} A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)^\otimes.$$

The inner fibration [?, Lm. 11.4]

$$\Upsilon(\mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes}/(C_\times, (C_\times)_\dagger, (C_\times)^\dagger)) \longrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}(C_\times, (C_\times)_\dagger, (C_\times)^\dagger)$$

pulls back to an inner fibration

$$\Upsilon(p)^\otimes: \Upsilon(\mathbf{X}/(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger))^\otimes \longrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)^\otimes.$$

We call this the *unfurling* of the symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration  $p_{\boxtimes}$ .

7.7. Unwinding the definitions, one sees that the objects of  $\Upsilon(\mathbf{X}/(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger))^\otimes$  are precisely the objects of  $\mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes}$ . These, in turn, can be thought of as triples  $(I, S_I, P_{S_I})$  consisting of a finite set  $I$ , an  $I$ -tuple  $S_I := (S_i)_{i \in I}$ , and an object  $P_{S_I}$  of the fiber

$$(\mathbf{X}_\otimes)_{S_I} \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{S_i},$$

which corresponds to an  $I$ -tuple  $(P_{S_i})_{i \in I}$  of objects of the various Waldhausen  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathbf{X}_{S_i}$ . Now a morphism  $(J, T_J, Q_{T_J}) \rightarrow (I, S_I, P_{S_I})$  of the unfurling  $\Upsilon(\mathbf{X}/(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger))^\otimes$  can be thought of as the following data:

(7.7.1) a morphism  $J \rightarrow I$  of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ ;

(7.7.2) a collection of diagrams

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} & U_i & \\ \psi_i \swarrow & & \searrow \phi_i \\ \prod_{j \in J_i} T_j & & S_i \end{array} \quad \middle| \quad i \in I \right\}$$

of  $C$  such that for any  $i \in I$ , the morphism  $\phi_i : U_i \rightarrow S_i$  is ingressive, and the morphism

$$\psi_i : U_i \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J_i} T_j$$

is egressive; and

(7.7.3) a collection of morphisms

$$\left\{ \phi_{i,!} \psi_i^* \left( \prod_{j \in J_i} Q_{T_j} \right) \rightarrow P_{S_i} \quad \middle| \quad i \in I \right\}$$

in the various  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathbf{X}_{S_i}$ .

**7.8. Theorem.** *Suppose  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$  a cartesian disjunctive triple that is either left complete or right complete, and suppose  $p_{\boxtimes}: \mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow C_\times$  a symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration over  $(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)$ . Then the functor  $\Upsilon(p)^\otimes$  exhibits the  $\infty$ -category  $\Upsilon(\mathbf{X}/(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger))^\otimes$  as a  $A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)^\otimes$ -monoidal Waldhausen  $\infty$ -category.*

*Proof.* We first observe that, in light of [?, Pr. 11.6] and Lm. 7.5, the functor  $\Upsilon(p)^\otimes$  is a cocartesian fibration. Let us check that the composite cocartesian fibration

$$\Upsilon(\mathbf{X}/(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger))^\otimes \longrightarrow A^{\text{eff}}(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger)^\otimes \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$$

exhibits  $\Upsilon(\mathbf{X}/(C, C_\dagger, C^\dagger))^\otimes$  as a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category.

To this end, it suffices to show that for any finite set  $I$  and any  $I$ -tuple  $S_I := (S_i)_{i \in I}$  of objects of  $C$ , the functor

$$\prod_{i \in I} \chi_{i,!} : (\mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes})_{S_I} \simeq \Upsilon(\mathbf{X}/(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}))_{S_I}^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \Upsilon(\mathbf{X}/(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}))_{S_i} \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{S_i}$$

induced by the cocartesian edges covering the inert maps  $\chi_i : I \rightarrow \{i\}_+$  is an equivalence. But this morphism can be identified with

$$\prod_{i \in I} \left( \text{id}_1 \circ \text{id}^* \circ \boxtimes_{i \in \{i\}} \right) : \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{S_i} \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{X}_{S_i},$$

which is homotopic to the identity.

Now for any finite set  $J$ , a morphism  $T \rightarrow S$  of  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$  covering the unique active morphism  $J \rightarrow \{\xi\}$  is represented by a span

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & U & \\ \psi \swarrow & & \searrow \phi \\ \prod_{j \in J} T_j & & S. \end{array}$$

The tensor product functor can therefore be written as

$$\phi_! \circ \psi^* \circ \boxtimes : \prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{X}_{T_j} \simeq \mathbf{X}_T \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}_S,$$

which is exact separately in each variable.  $\square$

In light of Pr. 7.2, we have the following.

**7.8.1. Corollary.** *Suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple that is either left complete or right complete, and suppose  $p_{\boxtimes} : \mathbf{X}_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow C_{\times}$  a symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration over  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ . Then the cocartesian fibration  $\Upsilon(p)^{\otimes}$  is classified by a Green functor*

$$\mathbf{M}_p^{\otimes} : A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}.$$

## 8. EQUIVARIANT ALGEBRAIC $K$ -THEORY OF DERIVED STACKS

In this section, we construct two symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibrations that extend the following two Waldhausen bicartesian fibrations introduced in [?, §D]:

- the Waldhausen bicartesian fibration

$$\mathbf{Perf}^{op} \times_{\mathbf{Shv}_{flat}} \mathbf{DM} \longrightarrow \mathbf{DM}$$

for the disjunctive triple  $(\mathbf{DM}, \mathbf{DM}_{\mathbf{FP}}, \mathbf{DM})$  of spectral Deligne–Mumford stacks, in which the ingressive morphisms are strongly proper morphisms of finite Tor-amplitude, and all morphisms are egressive [?, Pr. D.18], and

- the Waldhausen bicartesian fibration

$$\mathbf{Perf}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Shv}_{flat}$$

for the left complete disjunctive triple  $(\mathbf{Shv}_{flat}, \mathbf{Shv}_{flat, \mathbf{QP}}, \mathbf{Shv}_{flat})$  of flat sheaves in which the ingressive morphisms are the quasi-affine representable and perfect morphisms, and all morphisms are egressive [?, Pr. D.21].

These will give algebraic  $K$ -theory the structure of a commutative Green functor for these two triples.

8.1. To begin, we let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Mod}^{\otimes} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{QCoh}^{\otimes} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbf{CAlg}^{cn} \times N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{Shv}_{flat}^{op} \times N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}) \end{array}$$

be a pullback square in which  $q$  is the cocartesian fibration of [?, Th. 4.5.3.1], and  $p$  is a cocartesian fibration classified by the right Kan extension of the functor that classifies  $q$ . The objects of  $\mathbf{QCoh}^{\otimes}$  can be thought of as triples  $(X, I, M_I)$  consisting of a sheaf  $X: \mathbf{CAlg}^{cn} \rightarrow \mathbf{Kan}(\kappa_1)$  for the flat topology, a finite set  $I$ , and an  $I$ -tuple  $M_I = \{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  of quasicoherent modules  $M$  over  $X$ .

8.2. We may now pass to the cocartesian  $\infty$ -operads to obtain a cocartesian fibration of  $\infty$ -operads

$$p^{\sqcup}: (\mathbf{QCoh}^{\otimes})^{\sqcup} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Shv}_{flat}^{op} \times N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}))^{\sqcup} \simeq (\mathbf{Shv}_{flat, \times})^{op} \times_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{\sqcup}.$$

Now  $N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{\sqcup} \rightarrow N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$  admits a section that carries any finite set  $I$  to the pair  $(I, *_I)$ , where  $*_I = \{*\}_{i \in I}$ . Let us pull back  $p^{\sqcup}$  along this section to obtain a cocartesian fibration of  $\infty$ -operads

$$p^{\boxtimes}: \mathbf{QCoh}^{\boxtimes} := (\mathbf{QCoh}^{\otimes})^{\sqcup} \times_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})^{\sqcup}} N\Lambda(\mathbf{F}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Shv}_{flat, \times})^{op}.$$

8.3. Passing to opposites, we obtain a functor

$$(\mathbf{QCoh}^{op})_{\boxtimes} := (\mathbf{QCoh}^{\boxtimes})^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Shv}_{flat, \times}$$

which

- restricts to a symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration

$$(\mathbf{QCoh}^{op})_{\boxtimes} \times_{\mathbf{Shv}_{flat, \times}} \mathbf{DM}_{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{DM}_{\times}$$

that extends the Waldhausen bicartesian fibration of [?, Pr. D.10] for the disjunctive triple of spectral Deligne–Mumford stacks, in which the ingressive morphisms are relatively scalloped, and all morphisms are egressive, and

- gives a symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration

$$(\mathbf{QCoh}^{op})_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{Shv}_{flat, \times}$$

that extends the Waldhausen bicartesian fibration of [?, Pr. D.13] for the disjunctive triple of flat sheaves, in which the ingressive morphisms are quasi-affine representable, and all morphisms are egressive.

8.4. At last, restricting to perfect modules, we obtain the desired symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibrations

$$(\mathbf{Perf}^{op})_{\boxtimes} \times_{(\mathbf{Shv}_{flat})_{\times}} \mathbf{DM}_{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{DM}_{\times}$$

for  $(\mathbf{DM}, \mathbf{DM}_{\mathbf{FP}}, \mathbf{DM})$  and

$$(\mathbf{Perf}^{op})_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Shv}_{flat})_{\times}$$

for  $(\mathbf{Shv}_{flat}, \mathbf{Shv}_{flat, \mathbf{QP}}, \mathbf{Shv}_{flat})$ .

Now, passing to the unfurling, we obtain the following pair of results.

8.5. **Proposition.** *The Mackey functor*

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{DM}}: A^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{DM}, \mathbf{DM}_{\mathbf{FP}}, \mathbf{DM}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}$$

of [?, Cor. D.18.1] admits a natural structure of a commutative Green functor  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{DM}}^{\otimes}$ . In particular, the algebraic  $K$ -theory of spectral Deligne–Mumford stacks is naturally a commutative spectral Green functor for  $(\mathbf{DM}, \mathbf{DM}_{\mathbf{FP}}, \mathbf{DM})$ .

8.6. **Proposition.** *The Mackey functor*

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{flat}}}: A^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{flat}}, \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{flat}, \mathbf{QP}}, \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{flat}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}$$

of [?, Cor. D.21.1] admits a natural structure of a commutative Green functor  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{flat}}}^{\otimes}$ . In particular, the algebraic  $K$ -theory of flat sheaves is naturally a commutative spectral Green functor for  $(\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{flat}}, \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{flat}, \mathbf{QP}}, \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{flat}})$ .

8.7. **Construction.** Suppose  $X$  a spectral Deligne–Mumford stack. As in [?, Nt. D.23], we denote by  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\mathbf{t}(X)$  the subcategory of  $\mathbf{DM}/X$  whose objects are finite [?, Df. 3.2.4] and étale morphisms  $Y \rightarrow X$  and whose morphisms are finite and étale morphisms over  $X$ . Observe that the fiber product  $- \times_X -$  endows  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\mathbf{t}(X)$  with the structure of a cartesian disjunctive  $\infty$ -category. We will abuse notation and write  $A^{\text{eff}}(X)^{\otimes}$  for the symmetric monoidal effective Burnside  $\infty$ -category of  $\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\mathbf{t}(X)$ .

Now the inclusion  $(\mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\mathbf{t}(X), \mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\mathbf{t}(X), \mathbf{F}\acute{\text{E}}\mathbf{t}(X)) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{DM}, \mathbf{DM}_{\mathbf{FP}}, \mathbf{DM})$  is clearly a morphism of cartesian disjunctive triples, whence one can restrict the commutative Green functor  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{DM}}^{\otimes}$  above along the obvious morphism of  $\infty$ -operads  $A^{\text{eff}}(X)^{\otimes} \rightarrow A^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{DM}, \mathbf{DM}_{\mathbf{FP}}, \mathbf{DM})$  to a commutative Green functor

$$\mathbf{M}_X: A^{\text{eff}}(X)^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}.$$

Now if  $X$  is (say) a connected, noetherian scheme, then a choice of geometric point  $x$  of  $X$  gives rise to an equivalence

$$A^{\text{eff}}(\pi_1^{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}(X, x))^{\otimes} \simeq A^{\text{eff}}(X)^{\otimes}.$$

Applying algebraic  $K$ -theory, we obtain a commutative spectral Green functor for the étale fundamental group:

$$\mathbf{K}_{\pi_1^{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}(X, x)}^{\otimes}(X): A^{\text{eff}}(\pi_1^{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}(X, x))^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^{\otimes}.$$

This commutative Green functor deserves the handle *Galois-equivariant algebraic  $K$ -theory*.

## 9. AN EQUIVARIANT BARRATT–PRIDY–QUILLEN THEOREM

9.1. **Notation.** In this section, suppose  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  a cartesian disjunctive triple that is either left or right complete.

9.2. **Recollection.** Recall [?, Df. 13.5] that  $\mathbf{R}(C) \subset \text{Fun}(\Delta^2/\Delta^{\{0,2\}}, C)$  is the full subcategory spanned by those retract diagrams

$$S_0 \longrightarrow S_1 \longrightarrow S_0;$$

such that the morphism  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$  is a summand inclusion. We endow  $\mathbf{R}(C)$  with the structure of a pair in the following manner. A morphism  $T \rightarrow S$  will be declared ingressive just in case  $T_0 \rightarrow S_0$  is an equivalence, and  $T_1 \rightarrow S_1$  is a summand

inclusion. Write  $p$  for the functor  $\mathbf{R}(C) \rightarrow C$  given by evaluation at the vertex  $0 = 2$ :

$$[S_0 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0] \rightsquigarrow S_0.$$

Recall also that  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \subset \mathbf{R}(C)$  is the full subcategory spanned by those objects

$$S: \Delta^2/\Delta^{\{0,2\}} \rightarrow C$$

such that for any complement  $S'_0 \hookrightarrow S_1$  of the summand inclusion  $S_0 \hookrightarrow S_1$ ,

(9.2.1) the essentially unique morphism  $S'_0 \rightarrow 1$  to the terminal object of  $C$  is egressive, and

(9.2.2) the composite  $S'_0 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_0$  is ingressive.

We endow  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  with the pair structure induced by  $\mathbf{R}(C)$ . We will abuse notation by denoting the restriction of the functor  $p: \mathbf{R}(C) \rightarrow C$  to the subcategory  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \subset \mathbf{R}(C)$  again by  $p$ .

We proved in [?, Th. 13.11] that  $p$  is a Waldhausen bicartesian fibration over  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ .

**9.3. Construction.** Recall that an object of the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\times}$  can be described as pairs  $(I, X)$  consisting of a finite set  $I$  and a collection  $X = \{X_i \mid i \in I\}$  of objects of  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  indexed by the elements of  $I$ . Accordingly, a morphism  $(I, X) \rightarrow (J, Y)$  of  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\times}$  can be described as a map  $J \rightarrow I_+$  of finite sets and a collection

$$\left\{ X_i \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J_i} Y_j \mid i \in I \right\}$$

of morphisms of  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ .

We now define a subcategory  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\boxtimes} \subset \mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\times}$  that contains all the objects. A morphism  $(I, X) \rightarrow (J, Y)$  of  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\times}$  is a morphism of  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\boxtimes}$  if and only if, for every  $i \in I$ , every nonempty proper subset  $K_i \subset J_i$ , and every choice of a complement  $Y'_{j,0} \hookrightarrow Y_{j,1}$  of the summand inclusion  $Y_{j,0} \hookrightarrow Y_{j,1}$ , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & X_{i,1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{j \in K_i} Y_{j,0} \times \prod_{j \in J_i \setminus K_i} Y'_{j,0} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{j \in J_i} Y_{j,1}, \end{array}$$

in which  $\emptyset$  is initial and the bottom morphism is the obvious summand inclusion, is a pullback.

Let us endow this  $\infty$ -category with a pair structure in the following manner. We declare a morphism  $(I, X) \rightarrow (J, Y)$  of  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\boxtimes}$  to be ingressive just in case the map  $J \rightarrow I_+$  represents an isomorphism in  $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ , and, for every  $i \in I$ , the map  $X_i \rightarrow Y_{\phi(i)}$  of  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  is ingressive.

The following is now immediate.

**9.4. Proposition.** *The functor*

$$p_{\boxtimes}: \mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})_{\boxtimes} \rightarrow C_{\times}$$

*given by evaluation at  $0 = 2$  in  $\Delta^2/\Delta^{\{0,2\}}$  exhibits  $\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$  as a symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration over  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ .*

**9.5. Construction.** Now we may the unfurling construction of [?, §11] to the symmetric monoidal Waldhausen bicartesian fibration  $p_{\boxtimes}$  to obtain an  $A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}$ -monoidal Waldhausen  $\infty$ -category (in the sense of [?])

$$\Upsilon(p)^{\otimes}: \Upsilon(\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})/(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}))^{\otimes} \longrightarrow A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes}.$$

As we've demonstrated,  $\Upsilon(p)^{\otimes}$  is classified by an  $E_{\infty}$  Green functor

$$\mathbf{M}_p^{\otimes}: A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}$$

whose underlying functor is the Mackey functor

$$\mathbf{M}_p: A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}$$

corresponding to the unfurling of the Waldhausen bicartesian fibration

$$\mathbf{R}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}) \longrightarrow C$$

over  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ .

In [?], we demonstrated that algebraic  $K$ -theory lifts in a natural fashion to a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads, whence we may contemplate the commutative Green functor

$$\mathbf{K}^{\otimes} \circ \mathbf{M}_p^{\otimes}: A^{eff}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^{\otimes}.$$

Observe that by [?, Th. 13.12], the underlying Mackey functor

$$\mathbf{S}_{(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})} := \mathbf{K} \circ \mathbf{M}_p$$

of  $\mathbf{K}^{\otimes} \circ \mathbf{M}_p^{\otimes}$  is the spectral Burnside Mackey functor for  $(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})$ , as defined in [?, Df. 8.1]. In particular, it is unit for the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Mack}(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger}; \mathbf{Sp})$ , which of course admits an essentially unique  $E_{\infty}$  structure. Consequently, we deduce the following.

**9.6. Theorem** (Equivariant Barratt–Priddy–Quillen). *The Green functor  $\mathbf{K}^{\otimes} \circ \mathbf{M}_p^{\otimes}$  is the spectral Burnside Green functor  $\mathbf{S}_{(C, C_{\dagger}, C^{\dagger})}$ .*

Of course, this result directly implies the original Barratt–Priddy–Quillen Theorem, which states that the algebraic  $K$ -theory of the ordinary Waldhausen category  $\mathbf{F}_{*}$  of pointed finite sets (in which the cofibrations are the monomorphisms) is the sphere spectrum  $\mathbf{S}$ . Furthermore, the essentially unique  $E_{\infty}$  structure on  $\mathbf{S}$  is induced by the smash product of pointed finite sets.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, E17-332, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307, USA

*E-mail address:* clarkbar@gmail.com