

A fractional order recovery SIR model from a stochastic process

C. N. Angstmann · B. I. Henry ·
A. V. McGann

Abstract We have derived the governing evolution equations describing an SIR model for the spread of a disease in which the probability of recovering from the disease is a function of the time since infection. The derivation is based on a stochastic process, a continuous time random walk, describing the motion of individuals through SIR compartments. If the recovery probability is exponentially distributed in time since infection then the standard SIR model is obtained. If the recovery probability is power law distributed then the model equations involve fractional-order derivatives. This latter fractional order recovery model is shown to be consistent with an age-structured Kermack-McKendrick SIR model. The fractional order recovery SIR model permits a disease free steady state and, for certain parameters, in the long time asymptotic limit, an endemic steady state.

We have developed a robust numerical method, based on discrete time random walks, for solving the fractional order recovery SIR model equations. The numerical solutions are found to converge to the asymptotic endemic steady state for parameter values where it is permitted. The numerical results also reveal that the further the fractional order derivative is from the standard SIR model the higher the prevalence of infection, and the longer the time for individuals to be in the infectious state.

The fractional recovery SIR model based on a physically consistent stochastic process provides a useful framework for modelling disease processes with chronic infection.

Keywords SIR Model · Fractional Calculus · Epidemiological Models

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 92D30 · 26A33 · 37M05

C. N. Angstmann
School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW Australia, Sydney Australia 2052
E-mail: c.angstmann@unsw.edu.au

B. I. Henry
School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW Australia, Sydney Australia 2052
E-mail: b.henry@unsw.edu.au

A. V. McGann
School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW Australia, Sydney Australia 2052
E-mail: a.mcgann@unsw.edu.au

1 Introduction

The classic SIR model was introduced by Kermack and McKendrick in 1927 [16]. In the simplest form of this model the population is separated into three compartments representing susceptible, infected and removed populations. The time evolution of the disease is then described by three coupled ordinary differential equations. Kermack and McKendrick also considered more general age-structured SIR models in 1932 and 1933 [17,18]. These more general models were formulated as a set of coupled integro-differential equations. Numerous other variants of the SIR model, and related models, have been widely studied over the past several decades [14]. The classical SIR models have also been formulated as the expectation of stochastic processes [1].

In this work we consider a variation of the SIR model to include recovery that is dependent on the time since infection. The model is derived from a directed continuous time random walk through the SIR compartments, with the time in the infectious compartment drawn from a waiting time probability density. We show that, in the case of a power law tailed waiting time density, the governing equations become a set of fractional order differential equations. The expected recovery time diverges in a power law waiting time density so this leads to chronic infection in the fractional order SIR model. It is easy to find numerous studies in the literature of *ad-hoc* fractional order SIR models where the time derivative is simply replaced with a nonlocal Caputo fractional derivative [9,24,5]. These models, and their analysis, are mathematically interesting, however they have no particular physical basis, other than the incorporation of a memory effect. Spatially dependent SIR models with space fractional derivatives have also been considered [12] to account for nonlocal spread of disease. The fractional order recovery SIR (frSIR) model considered here is derived from a physical underlying stochastic process. More general fractional compartment models could be readily derived following the approach outlined here.

The steady state solutions of the fractional order SIR model, with constant coefficients, are obtained and a linear stability analysis of these states is examined. Further solutions of the model equations are investigated using a new numerical method of solution. Our numerical scheme is derived from a discrete time stochastic process formulation of the model equations. This is an extension of the numerical method that was recently introduced to solve the fractional Fokker-Planck equation [4]. We have implemented the numerical scheme to investigate the effects of changes in the fractional order exponent on the qualitative behaviour of solutions.

In section 2 we derive the SIR model for an arbitrary waiting time in the infectious compartment. This general model is shown to be consistent with the structured formulation of Kermack and McKendrick [17,18]. In section 3 we show that in the case of a power-law waiting time distribution in the infectious compartment we obtain fractional order derivatives in the model and we present the governing equations for the frSIR model. In section 4 a stable numerical scheme for solving the frSIR model is derived from a discrete time formulation of the stochastic process. In Appendix C the discrete time formulation is shown to converge, under a continuous time limit, to the continuous time formulation. Numerical solutions are investigated in section 5, and we conclude with a summary in section 6.

2 SIR as a Continuous Time Random Walk

An underlying assumption in the simplest SIR models is that the transition of an individual through each of the compartments is independent of the amount of time since the individual entered the compartment. This assumption is mathematically equivalent to assuming that the time spent in each compartment is exponentially distributed. However in many diseases the time spent infected is not exponentially distributed. Moreover, in some diseases, such as HPV there is clear evidence of power-law tails in the distribution of infected times [26]. We have incorporated this possibility in our derivation of the generalised SIR model below.

In general our derivation may be adapted to any compartment model where the transition out of a compartment is dependent on the length of time since entering the compartment. In this work we have concentrated on an SIR model with births and deaths.

In the standard manner, we separate the population into three compartments, Susceptible (S), Infectious (I), and Recovered (R) [14]. The population is composed of individuals who are born into the S compartment and undergo a directed continuous time random walk (CTRW) [22,27] on the S, I, and R, compartments until they die and are removed from consideration. As in the standard model, individuals may only move from the S compartment to the I compartment and then to the R compartment. The transition to the I compartment occurs when an individual becomes infected and the transition to the R compartment occurs when an individual recovers from the infection. The derivation of fractional diffusion equations [15,21], fractional reaction diffusion equations [13,29,10], fractional Fokker-Planck equations [7,20,6] and fractional chemotaxis diffusion equations [19,11] from CTRWs has been well studied and provides clear physical motivation for each of these systems. Our derivation of the evolution equations for the SIR model and fractional SIR model from a stochastic CTRW process with reactions is similar to the derivation of the fractional Fokker-Planck equation with reactions [2] and the derivation of the master equations for CTRWs with reactions on networks [3].

Consider an individual who is infectious. The probability that they will infect a susceptible person in the time t to $t + \delta t$ is assumed to be a product of the probability that the infectious person will encounter a susceptible and the probability that an encounter with a susceptible will result in an infection. Without loss of generality we can express the probability that an encounter with a susceptible will result in infection by $\omega(t)\delta t + o(\delta t)$, identifying $\omega(t)$ as the rate of becoming infected per time interval δt . Given that there are $S(t)$ susceptible people at time t , this implies that the probability of an infected individual creating a new infected individual in the time interval t to $t + \delta t$ is $\omega(t)S(t)\delta t + o(\delta t)$. We represent the flux of individuals entering the infected state at time t , by $q^+(I, t)$, which can be recursively constructed from the flux at earlier times. Explicitly we have

$$q^+(I, t) = \int_0^t \omega(t)S(t)\Phi(t, t')q^+(I, t')dt', \quad (1)$$

where $\Phi(t, t')$ is the probability that an infected individual has survived in the infected state until time t given that they entered the state at time t' .

For an individual to be in the infected compartment at time t they must have become infected at time t or at some prior time t' and remained in the compartment

until t . The number infected at time t can therefore be found from the flux, and the survival probability, as follows,

$$I(t) = \int_0^t \Phi(t, t') q^+(I, t') dt'. \quad (2)$$

We assume that there are two possible ways in which an individual can move from the infectious compartment; they can either recover from the disease and move to the R compartment, or they can die and be removed from consideration. If these two possibilities are independent we may write,

$$\Phi(t, t') = \phi(t - t') \theta(t, t') \quad (3)$$

where $\phi(t - t')$ is the probability of surviving the jump transition to the R compartment from time t' to time t , and $\theta(t, t')$ is the probability of surviving death from time t' until time t . If we have a time dependent death rate such that the probability of death occurring in the interval t to $t + \delta t$ is $\gamma(t)\delta t + o(\delta t)$ then the death survival can be written as

$$\theta(t, t') = e^{-\int_{t'}^t \gamma(s) ds}. \quad (4)$$

The rate of change of the population in the infectious compartment can be found by differentiating Eq. (2) to get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI(t)}{dt} &= q^+(I, t) - \int_0^t \psi(t - t') \theta(t, t') q^+(I, t') dt' - \gamma(t) \int_0^t \phi(t - t') \theta(t, t') q^+(I, t') dt' \\ &= q^+(I, t) - \int_0^t \psi(t - t') \theta(t, t') q^+(I, t') dt' - \gamma(t) I(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here we have used the fact that, $\phi(0) = 1$, and that the derivative of the jump survival function, $\phi(t)$, is a waiting time probability density function, here denoted $\psi(t)$, i.e.,

$$\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt} = -\psi(t). \quad (6)$$

Substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (5) gives,

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \omega(t) S(t) \int_0^t \phi(t - t') \theta(t, t') q^+(I, t') dt' - \int_0^t \psi(t - t') \theta(t, t') q^+(I, t') dt' - \gamma(t) I(t) \quad (7)$$

In order to obtain a generalised master equation we need to express the right hand side of this equation in terms of $I(t)$. We first use the definition of $I(t)$ in Eq. (2), to write

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \omega(t) S(t) I(t) - \int_0^t \psi(t - t') \theta(t, t') q^+(I, t') dt' - \gamma(t) I(t). \quad (8)$$

Further, noting that

$$\theta(t, 0) = \theta(t, t') \theta(t', 0) \quad \forall 0 < t' < t, \quad (9)$$

we can write Eq. (2) as

$$\frac{I(t)}{\theta(t, 0)} = \int_0^t \phi(t-t') \frac{q^+(I, t')}{\theta(t', 0)} dt'. \quad (10)$$

As the right hand side is a convolution, taking the Laplace transform, $\mathcal{L}$, of the equation with respect to time and rearranging gives

$$\mathcal{L} \left\{ \frac{q^+(I, t)}{\theta(t, 0)} \right\} = \mathcal{L} \left\{ \frac{I(t)}{\theta(t, 0)} \right\} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L} \{ \phi(t) \}}. \quad (11)$$

This result can then be used to write,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \psi(t-t') \frac{q^+(I, t')}{\theta(t', 0)} dt' &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L} \{ \psi(t) \}}{\mathcal{L} \{ \phi(t) \}} \mathcal{L} \left\{ \frac{I(t)}{\theta(t, 0)} \right\} \right\} \\ &= \int_0^t K(t-t') \frac{I(t')}{\theta(t', 0)} dt', \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we have defined the memory kernel,

$$K(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L} \{ \psi(t) \}}{\mathcal{L} \{ \phi(t) \}} \right\}. \quad (13)$$

Equation (9) allows us to write Eq. (8) as

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \omega(t)S(t)I(t) - \theta(t, 0) \int_0^t \psi(t-t') \frac{q^+(I, t')}{\theta(t', 0)} dt' - \gamma(t)I(t), \quad (14)$$

which, using Eq. (12), becomes

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \omega(t)S(t)I(t) - \theta(t, 0) \int_0^t K(t-t') \frac{I(t')}{\theta(t', 0)} dt' - \gamma(t)I(t). \quad (15)$$

This equation is the generalised master equation that describes the time evolution of the number of infected individuals in an SIR model with arbitrary waiting time in the infectious compartment.

Simple flux balance considerations give the master equations for the other two states. The equations for the susceptible and recovered populations are,

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = \lambda - \omega(t)S(t)I(t) - \gamma(t)S(t), \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = \theta(t, 0) \int_0^t K(t-t') \frac{I(t')}{\theta(t', 0)} dt' - \gamma(t)R(t). \quad (17)$$

Equations (15), (16), and (17) are the governing, or generalised master, equations for an SIR model with a general recovery probability.

2.1 Relation to the Kermack and McKendrick Age-Structured Model

The master equations for the SIR model with a general recovery probability are formally equivalent to a reduction of the general SIR model presented by Kermack and McKendrick [17]. The derivation of the Kermack and McKendrick model from our stochastic process is presented in Appendix A. Here we show how the master equations, Eqs. (15), (16), (17), can be obtained from a reduction of the Kermack and McKendrick SIR model equations given by,

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \lambda - \int_0^\infty \omega i(a, t) da S(t) - \gamma S(t), \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\partial i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial i}{\partial a} = -\beta(a)i(a, t) - \gamma i(a, t), \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \int_0^\infty \beta(a)i(a, t) da - \gamma R(t), \quad (20)$$

$$I(t) = \int_0^\infty i(a, t) da. \quad (21)$$

In this model $i(a, t)$ is the number of individuals who are infected at time t and who have been infected since time $t - a$. To match our initial conditions we take $i(a, t) = 0$ for all $a > t$. The equivalence can be seen by making the identification,

$$i(a, t) = \Phi(t, t - a)q^+(I, t - a). \quad (22)$$

Then Eq. (21) is equivalent to Eq. (2), provided that the separability assumption, Eq. (3), holds. Integrating Eq. (19) with respect to a then gives

$$\frac{dI}{dt} - i(0, t) = - \int_0^\infty \beta(a)\phi(a)\theta(t, t - a)q^+(I, t - a)da - \gamma I. \quad (23)$$

Identifying $\beta(a)\phi(a) = \psi(a)$ and $i(0, t) = q^+(I, t) = \omega SI$, and then using the same Laplace transform method as above this becomes

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \omega SI - \theta(t, 0) \int_0^\infty K(t - t') \frac{I(t')}{\theta(t', 0)} dt' - \gamma I. \quad (24)$$

which is the generalised master equation given in Eq. (15) with time independent rates.

2.2 Relation to the Classic SIR Model

The master equations for the SIR model with a general recovery probability reduce to the classic SIR model equations, with births and deaths, if the probability of not clearing an infection is exponentially distributed, i.e. $\phi(t) = \exp(-\mu t)$. In this case the probability of an individual clearing an infection is not dependent on the amount of time that the person has already been infected. Substituting the exponential distribution for $\phi(t)$ into the kernel, Eq. (13), we obtain

$$K(t - t') = \mu \delta(t - t'), \quad (25)$$

where $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac delta function. We can now substitute this expression for the kernel into the generalised master equations, Eqs. (15), (16), and (17), to yield the classic SIR equations,

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = \lambda - \omega(t)S(t)I(t) - \gamma(t)S(t) \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \omega(t)S(t)I(t) - \mu I(t) - \gamma(t)I(t) \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = \mu I(t) - \gamma(t)R(t). \quad (28)$$

3 Fractional Recovery SIR Model

It is reasonable to assume that the probability of an individual clearing an infection will, in the long time limit, decrease with the amount of time that the individual has been infected. This can lead to a power-law behaviour in the waiting time distribution of the transition to the recovered state. From an observational standpoint this would mean that there are people within the population who would not clear the infection in their lifetime, i.e., they are chronically infected. The power-law nature of the waiting time distribution leads people to become “trapped” in the infectious compartment until death.

The Mittag-Leffler waiting time density defined by [15]

$$\psi(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\tau^\alpha} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left[-\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^\alpha \right] \quad (29)$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \beta)} \quad (30)$$

has been widely used as a canonical waiting time density with a power-law tail at long times

$$\psi(t) \sim t^{-1-\alpha}. \quad (31)$$

The corresponding survival function is given by

$$\phi(t) = E_{\alpha,1}(-(\frac{t}{\tau})^\alpha). \quad (32)$$

We have considered this survival function in the following.

The Laplace transform from t to s of the memory kernel, Eq. (13), for a Mittag-Leffler distribution is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_t[K(t)|s] = s^{1-\alpha}\tau^\alpha. \quad (33)$$

Here we use the notation $\mathcal{L}_t[Y(t)|s]$ to denote the Laplace transform of $Y(t)$ from t to s and we use the notation $\mathcal{L}_s^{-1}[Y(s)|t]$ to denote the inverse Laplace transform of $Y(s)$ from s to t . Without loss of generality we define

$$\mu = \tau^\alpha. \quad (34)$$

We also note that the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, defined by [23]

$${}_0\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{f(t')}{(t-t')^{1-\alpha}} dt' \quad (35)$$

has the Laplace transform [25]

$$\mathcal{L}_t[{}_0\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\alpha} f(t)|s] = s^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_t[f(t)|s] \quad (36)$$

so that the fractional derivative can also be written as the following convolution,

$${}_0\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\alpha} f(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} [s^{1-\alpha} |t'] f(t-t') dt'. \quad (37)$$

It follows from Eq.(33) and Eq.(37) that if the kernel in Eqs.(15),(16),(17) is obtained from the Mittag-Leffler waiting time density then we obtain the generalised master equations with fractional recovery,

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = \lambda - \omega(t)S(t)I(t) - \gamma(t)S(t), \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \omega(t)S(t)I(t) - \mu\theta(t,0){}_0\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{I(t)}{\theta(t,0)} \right) - \gamma(t)I(t), \quad (39)$$

$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = \mu\theta(t,0){}_0\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{I(t)}{\theta(t,0)} \right) - \gamma(t)R(t). \quad (40)$$

In the following we will refer to the above set of equations as the frSIR model.

3.1 Steady States

The classic SIR model given in Eqs(26),(27),(28) is a non-autonomous dynamical system. However if the infectivity rate $\omega(t) = \omega$, and death rate $\gamma(t) = \gamma$, i.e., both are independent of time, then the system is autonomous and steady state solutions can be obtained. These steady state solution are the disease free steady state given by

$$S^* = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}, \quad I^* = 0, \quad R^* = 0, \quad (41)$$

and the endemic steady state given by

$$S^* = \frac{\mu + \gamma}{\omega}, \quad I^* = \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \gamma} - \frac{\gamma}{\omega}, \quad R^* = \frac{\mu}{\gamma} I^* \quad (42)$$

In the frSIR model, if the infectivity rate and death rate are both independent of time the model equations are given by

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = \lambda - \omega S(t)I(t) - \gamma S(t) \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \omega S(t)I(t) - \mu e^{-\gamma t} {}_0\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\alpha} (e^{\gamma t} I(t)) - \gamma I(t) \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = \mu e^{-\gamma t} {}_0\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\alpha} (e^{\gamma t} I(t)) - \gamma R(t) \quad (45)$$

The disease free steady state Eq.(41) is a steady state solution of the frSIR model. However the frSIR model, Eqs.(43),(44),(45) is non-autonomous and an endemic steady state can only be obtained in the asymptotic long time limit when

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\gamma t} {}_0\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\alpha} (e^{\gamma t} I^*) = \gamma^{1-\alpha} I^*. \quad (46)$$

The endemic steady state in this limit is found by setting, $S(t) = S^*$, $I(t) = I^*$, $R(t) = R^*$ in Eqs.(43),(44),(45) together with Eq.(46), and solving the resulting algebraic equations. This yields

$$S^* = \frac{\mu\gamma^{1-\alpha} + \gamma}{\omega}, \quad I^* = \frac{\lambda}{\mu\gamma^{1-\alpha} + \gamma} - \frac{\gamma}{\omega}, \quad R^* = \frac{\mu\lambda}{\mu\gamma + \gamma^{1+\alpha}} - \frac{\mu\gamma^{1-\alpha}}{\omega} \quad (47)$$

Note that the endemic steady state can only exist if

$$\frac{\lambda\omega}{\gamma} > \gamma + \mu\gamma^{1-\alpha}. \quad (48)$$

In Appendix B we have investigated linear stability analysis of the steady state solutions, with $\mu = 1$, and when $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, and we show that the disease free steady state is linearly stable if

$$\frac{\lambda\omega}{\gamma} < \gamma + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (49)$$

More generally we anticipate that the disease free steady state is stable when the endemic steady state cannot exist, i.e., when

$$\frac{\lambda\omega}{\gamma} < \gamma + \mu\gamma^{1-\alpha}, \quad (50)$$

and the endemic steady state is stable when it can exist. Although we have not proved this more general result it is consistent with the results of our numerical simulations carried out in Section 5.

4 SIR as a Discrete Time Random Walk

There are numerous numerical methods that have been developed for solving fractional order differential equations [23,25,8] and many of these methods could be adapted to the system under consideration here. However recently we showed that in the case where the fractional order derivatives have been derived from continuous time random walks it is useful to reformulate the problem using discrete time random walks (DTRWs) and then use this formulation as the basis of a numerical method [4]. In the following we have extended a method presented in [4], which is based on a discrete time random walk (DTRW) approach. The advantage of basing the numerical method on a stochastic process, that leads to the governing equations, in discrete time, is that numerical method is explicit and easy to implement and is also inherently stable.

4.1 Discrete Time Random Walk

We consider a discrete time random walk where the walking particle is an individual who will transition through the S, I, and R, compartments. In order to obtain a useful numerical scheme from this we need the discrete time process to limit to the continuum process as the time step, Δt , goes to zero. This necessitates a slight modification to the transitions that were considered in the continuum case. Individuals are born into the susceptible compartment with a constant birth rate λ so that the number of individuals being born in a time step is equal to $\lambda\Delta t$. The probability that an individual will die and be removed from consideration on the n^{th} time step is $\gamma(n)$. Susceptible individuals who come in contact with an infected individual may become infected. The probability of an infected individual coming in contact and infecting a susceptible individual in the n^{th} time step is $\omega(n)$.

The recovery of infected individuals is assumed to be dependent on the number of time steps since they entered the infectious compartment. The individual is assumed to wait in the infectious compartment with a probability of “jumping” that is dependent on the time step. When a transition event occurs there are two possible things that can happen to the individual, they will either move to the recovered compartment or re-enter the infectious compartment with the transition probability, dependent on the time step, being reset. This self jump modification is required to ensure appropriate scaling as the size of the time step tends to zero. The probability of transitioning to the R compartment, given a jump occurred, is denoted by r . The probability of jumping on the n^{th} step, conditional on not having jumped in the first $(n-1)$ steps, is denoted by $\mu(n)$.

From this it follows that the probability flux entering the I compartment on the n^{th} time step can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_I^+(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega(n) S(n-1) \phi(n-1-k) \theta(n-1, k) Q_I^+(k) \\ &\quad + (1-r) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(n-k) \phi(n-1-k) \theta(n, k) Q_I^+(k). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

In the above equation, $\phi(n-k)$ is the probability of not jumping for $(n-k)$ steps and $\theta(n, k)$ is the probability that an individual who entered the I compartment on the k^{th} step has survived the death process up to the n^{th} step. We can easily see that

$$\phi(n-k) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-k} (1 - \mu(j)), \quad (52)$$

and

$$\theta(n, k) = \prod_{j=k}^n (1 - \gamma(j)). \quad (53)$$

The number of individuals in the I compartment on the n^{th} time step is then

$$I(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \phi(n-k) \theta(n, k) Q_I^+(k). \quad (54)$$

Subtracting $I(n-1)$ from each side of the above equation then gives,

$$I(n) - I(n-1) = Q_I^+(n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\phi(n-k)\theta(n,k) - \phi(n-1-k)\theta(n-1,k))Q_I^+(k), \quad (55)$$

and substituting Eq. (51) into the right hand side of Eq. (55) gives,

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) - I(n-1) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega(n)S(n-1)\phi(n-1-k)\theta(n-1,k)Q_I^+(k) \\ &\quad + (1-r) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(n-k)\phi(n-1-k)\theta(n,k)Q_I^+(k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\phi(n-k)\theta(n,k) - \phi(n-1-k)\theta(n-1,k))Q_I^+(k). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Now using Eq.(54) in the first term on the right hand side this simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) - I(n-1) &= \omega(n)S(n-1)I(n-1) + (1-r) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(n-k)\phi(n-1-k)\theta(n,k)Q_I^+(k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\phi(n-k)\theta(n,k) - \phi(n-1-k)\theta(n-1,k))Q_I^+(k). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

A further simplification can be obtained by noting that

$$(\phi(n-k)\theta(n,k) - \phi(n-1-k)\theta(n-1,k)) = -\gamma(n)\phi(n-1-k)\theta(n-1,k) - \mu(n-k)\phi(n-1-k)\theta(n,k). \quad (58)$$

Substituting this into Eq.(57) we can now write

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) - I(n-1) &= \omega(n)S(n-1)I(n-1) \\ &\quad - r \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(n-k)\phi(n-1-k)\theta(n,k)Q_I^+(k) - \gamma(n)I(n-1). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

To simplify further we mirror the derivation in the CTRW approach, using the semi-group property of the death survival function, and using discrete Laplace transform ($\mathcal{Z}$ transform) methods to replace the memory kernels. The $\mathcal{Z}$ transform from n to z of $Y(n)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}[Y(n)|z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y(n)z^{-n}. \quad (60)$$

First we use the result

$$\theta(n,0) = \theta(n,k)\theta(k,0), \quad (61)$$

in Eq.(59) to write

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) - I(n-1) &= \omega(n)S(n-1)I(n-1) \\ &\quad - r\theta(n,0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(n-k)\phi(n-1-k) \frac{Q_I^+(k)}{\theta(k,0)} - \gamma(n)I(n-1) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

and we use the same result in Eq. (54) to write

$$\frac{I(n)}{\theta(n, 0)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \phi(n-k) \frac{Q_I^+(k)}{\theta(k, 0)}. \quad (63)$$

Finally, we need to express the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(n-k) \phi(n-1-k) \frac{Q_I^+(k)}{\theta(k, 0)}$, in terms of $I(n)$ so we take the Z-transform of Eq. (63), and use the convolution property of $\mathcal{Z}$ transforms to give,

$$\mathcal{Z} \left[\frac{I(n)}{\theta(n, 0)} |z \right] = \mathcal{Z} [\phi(n) |z] \mathcal{Z} \left[\frac{Q_I^+(n)}{\theta(n, 0)} |z \right]. \quad (64)$$

We can now write

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(n-k) \phi(n-1-k) \frac{Q_I^+(k)}{\theta(k, 0)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \kappa(n-1-k) \frac{I(k)}{\theta(k, 0)} \quad (65)$$

where,

$$\kappa(n) = \mathcal{Z}^{-1} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Z} [\mu(n) \phi(n-1) |z]}{\mathcal{Z} [\phi(n) |z]} \Big| n \right]. \quad (66)$$

The discrete time generalised master equation for an arbitrary waiting time distribution can then finally be found by substituting Eq. (65) into Eq. (62) to give,

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) - I(n-1) = & \omega(n) S(n-1) I(n-1) \\ & - r \theta(n, 0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \kappa(n-k) \frac{I(k)}{\theta(k, 0)} - \gamma(n) I(n-1). \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

The master equations for the other compartments can be found by again considering a flux balance so that,

$$S(n) - S(n-1) = \lambda - \omega(n) S(n-1) I(n-1) - \gamma(n) S(n-1), \quad (68)$$

$$R(n) - R(n-1) = r \theta(n, 0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \kappa(n-k) \frac{I(k)}{\theta(k, 0)} - \gamma(n) R(n-1). \quad (69)$$

4.2 Discrete Time Fractional Recovery SIR Model

The continuous time frSIR model was obtained by considering Mittag-Leffler waiting time densities in the CTRW formulation. In the DTRW formulation a discrete time fractional recovery SIR model is obtained by considering a Sibuya(α) waiting time distribution [28, 4]. In this case the probability of jumping on the n^{th} time step, conditional on not having jumped on the previous $n-1$ time steps, is given by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0, \\ \frac{\alpha}{n}, & n > 0, \end{cases} \quad (70)$$

and the jump survival probability is given by

$$\phi(n) = \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\alpha-n)}. \quad (71)$$

It is a simple matter to obtain the $\mathcal{Z}$ transforms from n to z

$$\mathcal{Z}[\phi(n)|z] = \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} \quad (72)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}[\mu(n)\phi(n-1)|z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(n)\phi(n-1)z^{-n} \quad (73)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)\phi(n-1)z^{-n} \quad (74)$$

$$= 1 - \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\alpha}. \quad (75)$$

Now using Eq.(66) we have

$$\kappa(n) = \mathcal{Z}^{-1} \left[(1-z^{-1})^{1-\alpha} - (1-z^{-1})|n \right] \quad (76)$$

and then after taking the inverse $\mathcal{Z}$ transform we obtain the fractional memory kernel

$$\kappa(n) = \delta_{1,n} + \frac{\Gamma(n-1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)\Gamma(n+1)}. \quad (77)$$

The discrete time fractional recovery SIR model is now obtained by using the memory kernel Eq. (77) in Eqs.(68), (67), (69). This results in

$$S(n) = S(n-1) + \lambda - \omega(n)S(n-1)I(n-1) - \gamma(n)S(n-1), \quad (78)$$

$$I(n) = I(n-1) + \omega(n)S(n-1)I(n-1) - \gamma(n)I(n-1) \quad (79)$$

$$-r\theta(n, n-1)I(n-1) - r\theta(n, 0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(n-k-1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)\Gamma(n-k+1)} \frac{I(k)}{\theta(k, 0)},$$

$$R(n) = R(n-1) - \gamma(n)R(n-1) \quad (80)$$

$$+r\theta(n, n-1)I(n-1) + r\theta(n, 0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(n-k-1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)\Gamma(n-k+1)} \frac{I(k)}{\theta(k, 0)}.$$

In Appendix C we show that the discrete time fractional recovery SIR model equation limits to the frSIR model equations by identifying $t = n\Delta t$ and taking the limit $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, with $\frac{r}{\Delta t^\alpha}$ finite. This justifies our use of the explicit discrete time model equations as a numerical method for solving the continuous time frSIR model.

4.3 Numerical Scheme

Here we provide details on how to implement the discrete generalised master equations, Eqs. (78), (79), and (80), in an explicit numerical scheme for solving the continuous time frSIR model equations. In order to do this we need to identify the time scales and parameters in this correspondence.

Assuming a given time step Δt , the correspondence between the continuous time t and the discrete time n is given by $t = n\Delta t$. Given a continuous time rate




Fig. 1 The endemic steady state in the frSIR model plotted as a function of α for susceptibles (dashed line) and infecteds (solid line). The Parameters were $\lambda = 0.1$, $\omega = 0.02$, $\gamma = 0.001$.

parameter $\omega(t)$ we can identify the discrete time probability $\omega(n)$ through the relation

$$\omega(n) = 1 - \exp\left(-\int_t^{t+\Delta t} \omega(t') dt'\right). \quad (81)$$

Note that in the limit $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ Eq.(81) is consistent with Eq.(129). We can treat $\gamma(n)$ in a similar fashion.

The remaining parameter r is obtained from

$$r = \mu \Delta t^\alpha \quad (82)$$

which is consistent with Eq.(143). Note that r is a probability and as such is bound in the interval $[0, 1]$. Thus given the parameters μ and α from the continuous time model, Eq.(82) constrains Δt as follows,

$$\Delta t < \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \quad (83)$$

5 Example

To illustrate the effect of changes in the anomalous exponent in the frSIR model, Eqs. (38, 39, 40), we have obtained numerical solutions based on Eqs.(78),(79), (80) with time step $\Delta t = 0.05$, for fixed $\mu = 1$, $\lambda = 0.1$, $\omega = 0.02$, $\gamma = 0.001$, and a range of $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. With these parameter values, the system has two possible steady states, the disease free steady state given by Eq. (41) and the endemic steady state given by Eq. (47). The endemic steady state for susceptibles and infecteds are plotted as a function of α in Fig.(1).




Fig. 2 Plots of $S(t)$ and $I(t)$ versus time in the fractional recovery SIR model with $\alpha = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$. The other parameters are $\lambda = 0.1$, $\omega = 0.02$, $\gamma = 0.001$. The model was solved using the DTRW method with $\Delta t = 0.1$.

In Fig. (2) we show plots of $S(t)$ and $I(t)$ versus time for $\alpha = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$ with initial conditions $S(0) = 99.5, I(0) = 0.5, R(0) = 0$. The number of susceptibles falls sharply over short times before rising slowly towards a steady state at later times. The number of infecteds rises to a maximum in the short time regime before declining slowly back towards a long time steady state. It can be seen that the peak level of infection and the long time levels of infection are both increased with decreasing α .

In Fig. (3) we show phase space plots of $I(t)$ versus $S(t)$ for $\alpha = 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 0.99$ and a range of initial conditions. The filled circles in these plots show the positions of the endemic steady state, consistent with Fig. (1). The numerical simulations are consistent with the endemic steady state being stable for all values of α , at parameters where the endemic steady state exists.

For values of α very close to one the numerical solutions show an oscillatory approach towards the steady state. This can be seen in Fig. (4) for $\alpha = 0.99, 0.999, 1$ with initial conditions $S(0) = 99.5, I(0) = 0.5, R(0) = 0$. The oscillations are suppressed as α is decreased.

6 Summary

We have derived a fractional order recovery SIR model that differs from the classic SIR model by considering the case where the recovery from a disease does not follow an exponential distribution, but follows a distribution with a power law tail. The fractional order model is biologically motivated by the observation that in some disease processes, the longer a person is infectious the more likely they will




Fig. 3 Phase space plots of $I(t)$ versus $S(t)$ for $\alpha = 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 0.99$ and a range of initial conditions. The other parameters are $\lambda = 0.1$, $\omega = 0.02$, $\gamma = 0.001$. The model was solved using the DTRW method with $\Delta t = 0.1$.




Fig. 4 Plot of susceptible versus time, after the initial relaxation time, for $\alpha = 1$ (solid line), $\alpha = 0.999$ (dotted line) and $\alpha = 0.99$ (dashed line). The other parameters are $\lambda = 0.1$, $\omega = 0.02$, $\gamma = 0.001$.

remain infectious. The fractional order model permits disease free steady states and endemic steady states. We have related the fractional order model to the generalised SIR models introduced by Kermack and McKendrick. The fractional order model that we have derived is very different to fractional order epidemic models that have been considered previously. We have also derived a discrete time fractional order recovery model that limits to the continuous time fractional order model as the time step goes to zero. The discrete time model was itself derived from a stochastic process and we have used this model to provide a stable numerical solver for the continuous time model. Our numerical solutions based on this discrete model reveal that prevalence of infection and the duration of infection are both elevated by the fractional order derivative as it is varied further away from the integer order derivative in the classic SIR model.

Appendix A

Derivation of the Kermack-McKendrick Model for Infecteds in a Age-Structured System

Let $i(a, t)$ denote the number of individuals who are infected at time t and who have been infected for time a . The total number of infected individuals at time t is given by

$$\int_0^t i(a, t) da = \int_0^t \Phi(t, t') i(0, t') dt' \quad (84)$$

where

$$\Phi(t, t') = \phi(t - t') \theta(t, t') \quad (85)$$

is the product of the probability of surviving death, $\theta(t, t')$, and of ‘surviving’ the transition out of the next stage, $\phi(t - t')$. If we differentiate Eq.(84) with respect to t using Leibniz rule we have

$$\int_0^t \frac{\partial i}{\partial t} da + i(t, t) = \int_0^t \frac{\partial \Phi(t, t')}{\partial t} i(0, t') dt' + \Phi(t, t) i(0, t) \quad (86)$$

We also have

$$\int_0^t \frac{\partial i}{\partial a} da = i(t, t) - i(0, t). \quad (87)$$

But

$$\Phi(t, t) = 1 \quad (88)$$

so that if we add Eq.(86) and Eq.(87) then we obtain

$$\int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial i}{\partial a} \right) da = \int_0^t \frac{\partial \Phi(t, t')}{\partial t} i(0, t') dt' \quad (89)$$

We can expand the partial derivative of $\Phi(t, t')$ using the product rule in Eq.(85) with

$$\frac{\partial \theta(t, t')}{\partial t} = -\gamma(t) \theta(t, t') \quad (90)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \phi(t - t')}{\partial t} = -\psi(t - t'), \quad (91)$$

to obtain

$$\int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial i}{\partial a} \right) da = - \int_0^t \psi(t-t')\theta(t,t')i(0,t') dt' - \int_0^t \gamma(t)\theta(t,t')\phi(t-t')i(0,t') dt'. \quad (92)$$

Using Eq.(85) and then Eq.(84) in the second term on the RHS of Eq.(92) we now have

$$\int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial i}{\partial a} \right) da = - \int_0^t \psi(t-t')\theta(t,t')i(0,t') dt' - \gamma(t) \int_0^t i(a,t) da. \quad (93)$$

We now define

$$\beta(t-t') = \frac{\psi(t-t')}{\phi(t-t')} \quad (94)$$

and use Eq.(85) in the first term on the RHS of Eq.(93) to obtain

$$\int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial i}{\partial a} \right) da = - \int_0^t \beta(t-t')\Phi(t,t')i(0,t') dt' - \gamma(t) \int_0^t i(a,t) da. \quad (95)$$

In the first integral on the RHS of Eq.(95) it is convenient to make a change of variables $t' = t - a$ with $dt' = -da$, then we have

$$\int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial i}{\partial a} \right) da = - \int_0^t \beta(a)\Phi(t,t-a)i(0,t-a) da - \gamma(t) \int_0^t i(a,t) da. \quad (96)$$

Finally we note that

$$i(a,t) = \Phi(t,t-a)i(0,t-a) \quad (97)$$

so that Eq.(96) can be written as

$$\int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial i}{\partial a} \right) da = - \int_0^t \beta(a)i(a,t) da - \gamma(t) \int_0^t i(a,t) da. \quad (98)$$

This is consistent with Eq.(19) for the change in infectives in the age-structured Kermack McKendrick model.

Appendix B

Linear Stability Analysis of Steady State Solutions.

Here we consider the linear stability of steady state solutions of the fractional SIR model described by Eqs.(43),(44),(45). It is sufficient to consider the reduced system described by Eqs.(43),(44) in this analysis. We first derive the evolution equations for solutions in the neighbourhood of a steady state solution, S^* and I^* by substituting $S(t) = S^* + \Delta S(t)$ and $I(t) = I^* + \Delta I(t)$ into Eqs.(43),(44), and retaining only terms that are linear in $\Delta S(t)$ and $\Delta I(t)$. This results in the system of equations,

$$\frac{d\Delta S}{dt} = -\omega S^* \Delta I - \omega I^* \Delta S - \gamma \Delta S, \quad (99)$$

$$\frac{d\Delta I}{dt} = \omega \Delta S I^* + \omega S^* \Delta I - e^{-\gamma t} {}_0D_t^{1-\alpha} (\Delta I e^{\gamma t}) - \gamma \Delta I. \quad (100)$$

It is convenient to introduce a change of dependent variables

$$\Delta \hat{S} = e^{\gamma t} \Delta S, \quad (101)$$

$$\Delta \hat{I} = e^{\gamma t} \Delta I, \quad (102)$$

to arrive at the coupled system

$$\frac{d\Delta \hat{S}}{dt} = -\omega S^* \Delta \hat{I} - \omega I^* \Delta \hat{S}, \quad (103)$$

$$\frac{d\Delta \hat{I}}{dt} = \omega \Delta \hat{S} I^* + \omega S^* \Delta \hat{I} - {}_0D_t^{1-\alpha} (\Delta \hat{I}). \quad (104)$$

We now carry out Laplace transforms with respect to time to arrive at the coupled linear algebraic system

$$s\widehat{\Delta \hat{S}}(s) - \Delta \hat{S}(0) = -\omega S^* \widehat{\Delta \hat{I}}(s) - \omega I^* \widehat{\Delta \hat{S}}(s), \quad (105)$$

$$s\widehat{\Delta \hat{I}}(s) - \Delta \hat{I}(0) = \omega I^* \widehat{\Delta \hat{S}}(s) + \omega S^* \widehat{\Delta \hat{I}}(s) - s^{1-\alpha} \widehat{\Delta \hat{I}}(s). \quad (106)$$

This has solution

$$\widehat{\Delta \hat{S}}(s) = \frac{s\Delta \hat{S}(0) + s^{1-\alpha} \Delta S(0) - \omega S^* (\Delta I(0) + \Delta S(0))}{s^2 + s^{2-\alpha} + s\omega(I^* - S^*) + s^{1-\alpha} I^* \omega}, \quad (107)$$

$$\widehat{\Delta \hat{I}}(s) = \frac{s\Delta \hat{I}(0) + \omega I^* (\Delta I(0) + \Delta S(0))}{s^2 + s^{2-\alpha} + s\omega(I^* - S^*) + s^{1-\alpha} I^* \omega}. \quad (108)$$

In general it is possible to proceed further by using series expansions about $s = 0$ and then inverting the Laplace transforms term by term using known results for special functions such as the generalised Mittag-Leffler function.

In the following we consider the disease free steady state, $S^* = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}$, $I^* = 0$ in the special case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, where closed form solutions can be obtained. The Laplace transform in (108) can now be written as

$$\widehat{\Delta \hat{I}}(s) = \frac{\Delta I(0)}{s + s^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{\lambda\omega}{\gamma}} \quad (109)$$

$$= \frac{\Delta I(0)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\lambda\omega}{\gamma}}} \left(\frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}} - s_0^+} - \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}} - s_0^-} \right) \quad (110)$$

where

$$s_0^{\pm} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\lambda\omega}{\gamma}}}{2}. \quad (111)$$

We can now invert the Laplace transforms in Eq.(110) and use the definition in Eq.(102) to obtain the result

$$\Delta I(t) = \frac{\Delta I(0)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\lambda\omega}{\gamma}}} e^{-\gamma t} \left[s_0^+ e^{s_0^{+2} t} \operatorname{erfc}(-s_0^+ \sqrt{t}) - s_0^- e^{s_0^{-2} t} \operatorname{erfc}(-s_0^- \sqrt{t}) \right]. \quad (112)$$

This solution will decay in time, and the disease free steady state will be stable, if $s_0^{+2} - \gamma < 0$. It thus follows from Eq.(111) that the disease free steady state will be stable at $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ if

$$\frac{\lambda\omega}{\gamma} < \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} + \gamma. \quad (113)$$

Note that this is the same condition that precludes the possibility of the endemic steady state at $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Appendix C

Limits To Continuous Time

The discrete time fractional recovery SIR model can be shown to limit to the frSIR model by identifying $t = n\Delta t$ and taking the limit $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ with $r/\Delta t^\alpha$ finite. The continuous time equations can be obtained from the discrete time equations using Z star transform methods. The Z star transform of $Y(n)$ is given by

$$Z^*[Y(n)|s, \Delta t] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y(n)e^{-ns\Delta t} \quad (114)$$

It follows that

$$\Delta t Z^*[Y(n)|s, \Delta t] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y(n)e^{-ns\Delta t} \Delta t \quad (115)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}(n\Delta t)e^{-ns\Delta t} \Delta t \quad (116)$$

where we have introduced $\tilde{Y}(t)$ as a function defined over a continuous variable t . We can now take the inverse Laplace transform from s to t

$$\mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[\Delta t Z^*[Y(n)|s, \Delta t] \middle| t \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}(n\Delta t) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \quad (117)$$

where $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac delta function. Here, and in the following, we use the notation $\mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[Y(s) \middle| t \right]$ to denote the inverse Laplace transform from s to t and we

use the notation $\mathcal{L}_t \left[Y(t) \middle| s \right]$ to denote the Laplace transform from t to s .

It is useful to define the function

$$\tilde{Y}(t|\Delta t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}(n\Delta t) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t. \quad (118)$$

In a similar fashion we have

$$\mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[\Delta t Z^*[Y(n-1)|s, \Delta t] \middle| t \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}(n\Delta t) \delta(t - (n+1)\Delta t) \Delta t \quad (119)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}((n-1)\Delta t) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \quad (120)$$

$$= \tilde{Y}(t - \Delta t|\Delta t). \quad (121)$$

Note that, with $t' = n\Delta t$, in Eq.(118), we have

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{Y}(t|\Delta t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}(n\Delta t) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \quad (122)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \tilde{Y}(t') \delta(t - t') dt' \quad (123)$$

$$= \tilde{Y}(t). \quad (124)$$

This formally identifies

$$\tilde{Y}(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[\Delta t Z^*[Y(n)|s, \Delta t] \Big| t \right], \quad (125)$$

provided that the limit exists.

We further note the product rule

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{X}(n\Delta t) \tilde{Y}(n\Delta t) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \\ &= \left(\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{X}(n\Delta t) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \right) \left(\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}(n\Delta t) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \right), \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

which equates to $\tilde{X}(t)\tilde{Y}(t)$ in each case, with $t' = n\Delta t$, provided that both $\tilde{X}(t)$ and $\tilde{Y}(t)$ exist.

We now take the inverse Laplace transform of the Z star transform of Eq.(67) and multiply by $\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta t}$ to write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{I}(n\Delta t) - \tilde{I}((n-1)\Delta t)}{\Delta t} \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\omega}(n\Delta t)}{\Delta t} \tilde{S}((n-1)\Delta t) \tilde{I}((n-1)\Delta t) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \\ &\quad - \frac{r}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\theta}(n\Delta t, 0) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\kappa}((n-k)\Delta t) \frac{\tilde{I}(k\Delta t)}{\tilde{\theta}(k\Delta t, 0)} \right) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(n\Delta t)}{\Delta t} \tilde{I}((n-1)\Delta t) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

We now take the continuous time limit of Eq.(127) using $t' = n\Delta t$ and the product rule in Eq.(126), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \left(\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{I}(t') - \tilde{I}(t' - \Delta t)}{\Delta t} \right) \delta(t - t') dt' = \\ & \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}(t') \tilde{S}(t') \tilde{I}(t') \delta(t - t') dt' \\ & \quad - \left(\int_0^{\infty} \tilde{\theta}(t', 0) \delta(t - t') dt' \right) \left(\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\kappa}((n-k)\Delta t) \frac{\tilde{I}(k\Delta t)}{\tilde{\theta}(k\Delta t, 0)} \right) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \right) \\ & \quad - \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{\gamma}(t') \tilde{I}(t') \delta(t - t') dt' \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

where we have defined continuous time rate parameters

$$\hat{\omega}(t') = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{\omega}(n\Delta t)}{\Delta t} \quad (129)$$

and

$$\hat{\gamma}(t') = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(n\Delta t)}{\Delta t}. \quad (130)$$

Equation (128) simplifies further to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{I}}{dt} &= \hat{\omega}(t)S(t)I(t) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\theta}(t, 0) \left(\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\kappa}((n-k)\Delta t) \frac{\tilde{I}(k\Delta t)}{\tilde{\theta}(k\Delta t, 0)} \right) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \right) \\ &\quad - \hat{\gamma}(t)\tilde{I}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

The further reduction of this equation depends on the specific form of the memory kernel $\kappa(n)$. In the case of a jump at each time step the memory kernel is,

$$\kappa(n) = \delta_{n,1}. \quad (132)$$

In this case we can perform the sum over k explicitly in Eq. (131) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{I}}{dt} &= \hat{\omega}(t)S(t)I(t) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\theta}(t, 0) \left(\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{I}((n-1)\Delta t)}{\tilde{\theta}((n-1)\Delta t, 0)} \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \right) \\ &\quad - \hat{\gamma}(t)\tilde{I}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

In order for the continuous time limit of the above equation to exist we define

$$\mu = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t}. \quad (134)$$

Note that r is a free parameter in the range $[0, 1]$ and hence μ is only well defined in this limit if we take r to be a function of Δt . With this definition of μ we can now perform the limit $\delta t \rightarrow 0$ to obtain the continuous time equation

$$\frac{d\tilde{I}}{dt} = \hat{\omega}(t)\tilde{S}(t)\tilde{I}(t) - \mu\tilde{I}(t) - \hat{\gamma}(t)\tilde{I}(t) \quad (135)$$

Equation (135) recovers the corresponding equation in the classic SIR model.

We now consider the continuous time limit of Eq.(131) with the Sibuya memory kernel, given by Eq.(66). First we simplify the double sum in Eq.(131) using Laplace transforms and Z star transforms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\kappa}((n-k)\Delta t) \frac{\tilde{I}(k\Delta t)}{\tilde{\theta}(k\Delta t, 0)} \right) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \\ &= \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[\mathcal{L}_t \left[\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\kappa}((n-k)\Delta t) \frac{\tilde{I}(k\Delta t)}{\tilde{\theta}(k\Delta t, 0)} \right) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \right] \right] \\ &= \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\kappa}((n-k)\Delta t) \frac{\tilde{I}(k\Delta t)}{\tilde{\theta}(k\Delta t, 0)} \right) e^{-sn\Delta t} \Delta t \right] \\ &= \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t} Z^* \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \kappa(n-k) \frac{I(k)}{\theta(k, 0)} |s, \Delta t] \Delta t \right] \right] \\ &= \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t} Z^* [\kappa(n)|s, \Delta t] Z^* \left[\frac{I(n)}{\theta(n, 0)} |s, \Delta t] \Delta t \right] \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

The last line in the above follows from the convolution theorem for Z star transforms.

To proceed further we use the Z transform of the Sibuya memory kernel in Eq.(76) to write

$$Z^*[\kappa(n)|s, \Delta t] = \left[((1 - e^{-s\Delta t})^{1-\alpha} - (1 - e^{-s\Delta t})) \right] \quad (137)$$

$$\approx (s\Delta t)^{1-\alpha} + o(s\Delta t). \quad (138)$$

The result in Eq.(136) can now be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\kappa}((n-k)\Delta t) \frac{\tilde{I}(k\Delta t)}{\tilde{\theta}(k\Delta t, 0)} \right) \delta(t - n\Delta t) \Delta t \\ &= \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t^\alpha} s^{1-\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{I}(n\Delta t)}{\tilde{\theta}(n\Delta t, 0)} e^{-sn\Delta t} \Delta t \middle| t \right] \\ &= \mu \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[s^{1-\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{I}(t)}{\tilde{\theta}(t, 0)} e^{-st} dt \middle| t \right] \\ &= \mu \mathcal{L}_s^{-1} \left[s^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_t \left[\frac{\tilde{I}(t)}{\tilde{\theta}(t, 0)} \middle| s \right] \middle| t \right], \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

where

$$\mu = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t^\alpha}. \quad (140)$$

Finally we substitute the result of Eq.(139) into Eq.(131), and use the known result [25]

$$\mathcal{L}_t \left[{}_0D_t^{1-\alpha} Y(t) \middle| s \right] = s^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_t \left[Y(t) \middle| s \right] \quad (141)$$

to invert the Laplace transform and obtain

$$\frac{d\tilde{I}}{dt} = \hat{\omega}(t)\tilde{S}(t)\tilde{I}(t) - \mu \hat{\theta}(t, 0) {}_0D_t^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\tilde{I}(t)}{\hat{\theta}(t, 0)} \right) - \hat{\gamma}(t)\tilde{I}(t) \quad (142)$$

Equation (142) recovers the continuous time frSIR model equation.

Note that in order for the continuous time limit of the frSIR model equation to exist we defined

$$\mu = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{\Delta t^\alpha} \quad (143)$$

which requires $r \in [0, 1]$ to be a function of Δt . This is important for numerical simulations based on this DTRW method where we take $r = \mu \Delta t^\alpha$ and then the requirement that $r \in [0, 1]$ places restrictions on Δt for given α and μ .

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (DP130100595, DP140101193).

References

1. Andersson, H., Britton, T.: Stochastic epidemic models and their statistical analysis, vol. 151. Springer New York (2000)
2. Angstmann, C.N., Donnelly, I.C., Henry, B.I.: CTRW with reactions, forcing and trapping. *Math Model Nat Phenom* **8**(02), 17–27 (2013)
3. Angstmann, C.N., Donnelly, I.C., Henry, B.I.: Pattern formation on networks with reactions: A continuous time random walk approach. *Phys. Rev. E* **87**(3), 032804 (2013)
4. Angstmann, C.N., Donnelly, I.C., Henry, B.I., Nichols, J.A.: A discrete time random walk model for anomalous diffusion. *J. Comput. Phys.* **293**, 53–69 (2015) DOI 10.1016/j.jcp.2014.08.003
5. Ansari, S.P., Agrawal, S.K., Das, S.: Stability analysis of fractional-order generalized chaotic susceptible-infected-recovered epidemic model and its synchronization using active control method. *Pramana* **84**(1) (2015). DOI 10.1007/s12043-014-0830-6
6. B. I. Henry, T. A. M. Langlands, Straka, P.: Fractional Fokker-Planck equations for subdiffusion with space- and time-dependent forces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 170602 (2010)
7. Barkai, E., Metzler, R., Klafter, J.: From continuous time random walks to the fractional Fokker-Planck equation. *Phys. Rev. E* **61**(1), 132 (2000)
8. Diethelm, K., Ford, N., Freed, A.: A predictor-corrector approach for the numerical solution of fractional differential equations. *Nonlinear Dynamics* **29**, 3–22 (2002)
9. Ding, Y., Ye, H.: A fractional-order differential equation model of HIV infection of cd4+ t-cells. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **50**(3–4), 386 – 392 (2009). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2009.04.019>
10. Fedotov, S.: Non-markovian random walks and nonlinear reactions: Subdiffusion and propagating fronts. *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 011117 (2010)
11. Fedotov, S.: Subdiffusion, chemotaxis, and anomalous aggregation. *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 021110 (2011)
12. Hanert, E., Schumacher, E., Deleersnijder, E.: Front dynamics in fractional-order epidemic models. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **279**(1), 9 – 16 (2011). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2011.03.012>
13. Henry, B.I., Langlands, T.A.M., Wearne, S.L.: Anomalous diffusion with linear reaction dynamics: From continuous time random walks to fractional reaction-diffusion equations. *Phys. Rev. E* **74**(3), 031116 (2006)
14. Hethcote, H.W.: The mathematics of infectious diseases. *SIAM review* **42**(4), 599–653 (2000)
15. Hilfer, R., Anton, L.: Fractional master equations and fractal time random walks. *Phys Rev E* **51**(2), R848–R851 (1995)
16. Kermack, W.O., McKendrick, A.G.: A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A* **115**(772), 700–721 (1927). DOI 10.1098/rspa.1927.0118
17. Kermack, W.O., McKendrick, A.G.: Contributions to the mathematical theory of epidemics. II. The problem of endemicity. *Proceedings of the Royal society of London. Series A* **138**(834), 55–83 (1932)
18. Kermack, W.O., McKendrick, A.G.: Contributions to the mathematical theory of epidemics. III. Further studies of the problem of endemicity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A* **141**(843), 94–122 (1933)
19. Langlands, T.A., Henry, B.I.: Fractional chemotaxis diffusion equations. *Phys. Rev. E* **81**(5), 051102 (2010)
20. M. Sokolov, I., Klafter, J.: Field-induced dispersion in subdiffusion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 140602 (2006)
21. Metzler, R., Klafter, J.: The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: A fractional dynamics approach. *Phys. Rep.* **339**, 1–77 (2000)
22. Montroll, E., Weiss, G.: Random walks on lattices ii. *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 167 (1965)
23. Oldham, K., Spanier, J.: *The Fractional Calculus*. Academic Press (1974)
24. Özalp, N., Demirci, E.: A fractional order SEIR model with vertical transmission. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **54**(1–2), 1–6 (2011). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2010.12.051>
25. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations, *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, vol. 198. Academic Press (1999)

-
26. Rositch, A.F., Koshiol, J., Hudgens, M.G., Razzaghi, H., Backes, D.M., Pimenta, J.M., Franco, E.L., Poole, C., Smith, J.S.: Patterns of persistent genital human papillomavirus infection among women worldwide: A literature review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Cancer* **133**(6), 1271–1285 (2013). DOI 10.1002/ijc.27828
 27. Scher, H., Lax, M.: Stochastic transport in a disordered solid. I. Theory. *Phys. Rev. B* **7**, 4491–4502 (1973)
 28. Sibuya, M.: Generalized hypergeometric digamma and trigamma distributions. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **31**, 373–390 (1979)
 29. Sokolov, I.M., Schmidt, M.G.W., Sagués, F.: Reaction-subdiffusion equations. *Phys. Rev. E* **73**(3), 031102 (2006)