

# STOCHASTIC STABILITY OF SECTIONAL-ANOSOV FLOWS

R.J. METZGER AND C.A. MORALES

ABSTRACT. A *sectional-Anosov flow* is a vector field on a compact manifold inwardly transverse to the boundary such that the maximal invariant set is sectional-hyperbolic (in the sense of [12]). We prove that any  $C^2$  transitive sectional-Anosov flow has a unique SRB measure which is stochastically stable under small random perturbations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We shall study the *SRB measures* were discovered by Sinai, Bowen and Ruelle in the 70's. More precisely, we will be concerned with continuous-time systems, i.e., vector fields and their corresponding flows. The motivation comes from flow's counterpart of this discovery [4] implying that such measures do exist for any  $C^2$  Anosov flow on a compact manifold. Naturally, this conduces to the following question: Can the existence of SRB measures be proved for dynamical systems beyond the Anosov ones? An important case is that of the *sectional-Anosov flows* defined in [13]. These flows extend the Anosov ones to include important examples like the *geometric* and *multidimensional Lorenz attractors* [1], [6], [3] and, specifically, Lorenz's polynomial flow [9], [16]. We therefore ask if, likewise Anosov's, every  $C^2$  sectional-Anosov flow on a compact manifold carries a SRB measure. Positive answer for the geometric Lorenz attractor is nowadays folklore. For general  $C^2$  sectional-Anosov flows on compact 3-manifolds the answer is positive (with unicity) in the transitive case. This can be deduced from [5] (with the assumption that the periodic points are dense in the maximal invariant set) and from [2] (without such an assumption). Recently, Sataev pursued these last two results to the codimension one nontransitive case [15]. Important examples which are not sectional-Anosov were considered by the first author [10], [11].

In this paper we shall give positive answer in the transitive case in any dimension (extending so [2], [5] and, partially, [15]). More precisely, we prove that every  $C^2$  transitive sectional-Anosov flow on a compact manifold has a unique SRB measure. Furthermore, such a measure is stochastically stable under small random perturbations (extending so Kifer [7] who proved stochastic stability in the case of the geometric Lorenz attractor). This result answers in positive to

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58F15, 60J60.

*Key words and phrases.* SRB measure, Sectional-Anosov, Flow.

CAM was partially supported by CNPq, FAPERJ and PRONEX/DYN-SYS. from Brazil.

a question formulated to the first author by Viana in the specific case of the multidimensional Lorenz attractor. Let us state our result in a precise way.

Hereafter  $X$  will be a  $C^1$  vector field of a compact manifold  $M$  inwardly transverse to the boundary  $\partial M$  (if nonempty). Denote by  $X_t$  the flow generated by  $X$  and define the *maximal invariant set*

$$M(X) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} X_t(M).$$

We say that  $X$  is *transitive* if  $M(X) = \omega(x)$  for some  $x \in M(X)$ , where  $\omega(x)$  is the *omega-limit set* of  $x$ ,

$$\omega(x) = \left\{ y \in M : y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{t_k}(x) \text{ for some sequence } t_k \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

We say that  $\Lambda \subset M(X)$  is *invariant* if  $X_t(\Lambda) = \Lambda$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . A compact invariant set  $\Lambda$  is *hyperbolic* if there are a tangent bundle decomposition  $T_\Lambda M = E_\Lambda^s \oplus E_\Lambda^X \oplus E_\Lambda^u$  over  $\Lambda$  as well as positive constants  $K, \lambda$  and a Riemannian metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $M$  satisfying

- (1)  $E_x^s \neq 0$  and  $E_x^u \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \Lambda$ ;
- (2)  $\|DX_t(x)/E_x^s\| \leq Ke^{-\lambda t}$ , for every  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (3)  $E_\Lambda^X$  is the subbundle generated by  $X$ ;
- (4)  $m(DX_t(x)/E_x^u) \geq K^{-1}e^{\lambda t}$ , for every  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $t \geq 0$ , where  $m(\cdot)$  indicates the conorm operation.

We say that  $X$  is an *Anosov flow* if  $M(X)$  is a hyperbolic set of  $X$ .

On the other hand, a compact invariant set  $\Lambda$  is *sectional-hyperbolic* if every singularity in  $\Lambda$  is hyperbolic and, also, there are a decomposition  $T_\Lambda M = E_\Lambda^s \oplus E_\Lambda^c$  of the tangent bundle over  $\Lambda$  as well as positive constants  $K, \lambda$  and a Riemannian metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $M$  satisfying

- (1)  $\|DX_t(x)/E_x^s\| \leq Ke^{-\lambda t}$  for every  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $t \geq 0$ .
- (2)  $\frac{\|DX_t(x)/E_x^s\|}{m(DX_t(x)/E_x^c)} \leq Ke^{-\lambda t}$ , for every  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $t \geq 0$ .
- (3)  $|\det(DX_t(x)/L_x)| \geq K^{-1}e^{\lambda t}$  for every  $x \in \Lambda$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and every two-dimensional subspace  $L_x$  of  $E_x^c$ .

We say that  $X$  is a *sectional-Anosov flow* if  $M(X)$  is a sectional-hyperbolic set.

A Borel probability measure  $\mu$  of  $M$  is *invariant* if  $(X_t)_*(\mu) = \mu$  for every  $t \geq 0$ . If, additionally, it has positive Lyapunov exponent a.e. and, also, absolutely continuous conditional measure on the corresponding unstable manifolds, then we say that  $\mu$  is an *SRB measure* of  $X$  (see [17] for further details).

Next we introduce some basics on random perturbations of dynamical systems [7]. Consider the family of transition probability measures  $P^\varepsilon(t, x, \cdot)$  on  $M$  given for every  $x \in M$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ) and  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough and define Markov chains  $x_t^\varepsilon$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  in the following way: if  $x_t^\varepsilon = x$  then  $x_{t+\tau}^\varepsilon$  has probability  $P^\varepsilon(\tau, x, A)$  of being in  $A$ . The Markov chain  $x_t^\varepsilon$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is called a *small random*

*perturbation* of a flow  $X_t$  if for every continuous function  $h$  on  $M$ , we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_M P^\varepsilon(t, x, dy) h(y) - h(X_t(x)) \right| = 0.$$

Similarly, the Markov chain  $x_n^\varepsilon$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is called a small random perturbation of a map  $f$  if for every continuous function  $h$  on  $M$ , we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_M P^\varepsilon(n, x, dy) h(y) - h(f^n(x)) \right| = 0.$$

A probability measure  $\nu^\varepsilon$  on  $M$  is a stationary measure for the Markov chain  $x_t^\varepsilon$  if for all Borel set  $A$  and any  $\tau > 0$ , we have

$$\int_M \nu^\varepsilon(dx) P^\varepsilon(\tau, x, A) = \nu^\varepsilon(A).$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(M)$  the set of borelians of  $M$ . Suppose that  $X$  has a unique SRB measure  $\mu$ . Let  $P^\varepsilon: \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \times \mathcal{B}(M) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the transition probability measures associated to a fixed small random perturbation  $x_t^\varepsilon$  of  $X$  and  $\{\mu^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  be a family of stationary measures of  $P^\varepsilon$ . We say that  $\mu$  is *stochastically stable* if for every real number sequence  $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0^+$  such that  $\mu^{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow \nu$  in the weak sense one has  $\nu = \mu$ .

By *stochastic stability under small diffusion-type random perturbations* it is meant that we are going to use transition probabilities of the form

$$P^\varepsilon(\tau, x, A) = \int_A p^\varepsilon(\tau, x, y) dy,$$

where  $dy$  means integration with respect to the natural Lebesgue measure of the manifold and  $p^\varepsilon(\tau, x, y)$  is a solution of the diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial p^\varepsilon}{\partial t}(t, x, y) = (\varepsilon L + X)p^\varepsilon(t, x, y)$$

with  $L$  being an elliptic operator. Note that the elliptic operator introduces the possibility of collision with particle in a media (or heat equation), that gives the random part of the Markov chains. Typical solution of this equation comes with a factor that has Gaussian behaviour, namely,  $p^\varepsilon(t, x, y) \sim \exp\left(\frac{-\text{dist}(X_t(x), y)}{\varepsilon}\right)$ .

Now we state our result.

**Theorem A.** *Every  $C^2$  transitive sectional-Anosov flow on a compact manifold has a unique SRB measure which is stochastically stable under small diffusion-type random perturbations.*

This result (announced twice in [12] and [14]) extend the recent paper by Leplaideur and Yang [8].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

This section is to give the results needed to prove 2.1 in [7], which is essentially a linear version of the perturbation and only need hyperbolic behaviour along orbits. This can be achieved if the orbits remains outside the singularities as it is shown in the following propositions and lemmas.

Hereafter  $X$  will be a  $C^2$  transitive sectional-Anosov flow of a compact manifold  $M$ .

**Lemma 1** (Shadowing Lemma). *There exists a constant  $C$  such that if  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  is a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit of  $F = X_\tau$  satisfying*

$$(1) \quad \min_{0 \leq i \leq n} \text{dist}(x_i, \text{Sing}(X)) > C\delta,$$

*then one can find a point  $y \in M$  such that*

$$(2) \quad \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \text{dist}(x_i, F^i y) \leq Cn\delta$$

**Proposition 2.** *There are positive constants  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , not depending on  $y \in M$ , such that for any  $y \in M$  that shadows a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit as above there is an invariant splitting*

$$T_{F^l y} M = \tilde{H}_{F^l y} \oplus H_{F^l y}^u, \quad l \in \mathbb{N},$$

*satisfying*

$$\angle(\tilde{H}_{F^l y}, H_{F^l y}^u) > \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \|DF^{-l}\zeta\| \leq \gamma^{-1}e^{-\gamma l}\|\zeta\|, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $J(x)$  be the absolute value of the Jacobian of the derivative of  $F$  at  $x$ , and  $J_n(x)$  the absolute value of the Jacobian of the  $F^n$  at  $x$ . Define also  $d_n(x, y) = \max\{\text{dist}(F^k x, F^k y), 0 \leq |k| \leq |n| \text{ and } kn \geq 0\}$  and  $K_\rho(x, n) = \{y : d_n(x, y) \leq \rho\}$ .

**Proposition 3** (Volume Lemma). *Then there exists  $\tilde{\rho}, C_\rho, C > 0$  such that for any positive  $\rho \leq \tilde{\rho}, n \geq 0$  and  $x \in M(X)$*

$$(3) \quad C_\rho^{-1} \leq m(K_\rho(x, n)J_n(x)) \leq C_\rho$$

*where  $m$  is the Riemannian volume, and for each  $y \in K_\rho(x, n)$*

$$(4) \quad C^{-1} \leq J_n(x)(J_n(y))^{-1} \leq C$$

*If  $X$  has no singularities (hence Anosov) and  $F = X_\tau$  then (3) remains true and (4) must be replaced by*

$$(5) \quad C^{-1} \leq J_n(F^u x)(J_n(y))^{-1} \leq C$$

*with  $|u| \leq c\rho$ , where  $c \geq 0$  depends only on  $X$ .*

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Let  $X$  be a  $C^2$  transitive sectional-Anosov flow of a compact manifold  $M$ . Denote by  $Sing(X)$  the set of singularities of  $X$ . If  $Sing(X) = \emptyset$  then  $X$  is Anosov and then the result follows from classical results [7]. Then, we can assume that  $X$  is a genuine sectional-Anosov flow, i.e.,  $Sing(X) \neq \emptyset$ . We keep in mind the notation concerning small random perturbations as in the Introduction.

The proof of Theorem A is based on following lemmas to be proved in the final sections.

**Lemma 4.** *Given  $\epsilon > 0$  small there is  $C > 0$  such that if  $\gamma > 0$  is small, then  $\forall x \in M, \forall k \geq \log(\epsilon^{-m})$  one has*

$$P^\epsilon(k, x, B_{\epsilon^{1-\gamma}}(Sing(X))) \leq C\epsilon^\gamma$$

This means that the probability that a Markov chain arrives too close to the singularities is very small, while the next one means that for those which do not get close to the singularities we have the absolutely continuous property.

Recall that  $\mathcal{B}(M)$  denotes the set of borelians of  $M$ . We denote by  $[E, D]$  a rectangle consisting of points  $[x, y] = W_\eta^s(x) \cap W_\rho^u(y)$ , for local invariant manifolds, with small enough  $\eta$  and  $\rho$  (see [7] p. 142-143).

**Lemma 5.** *For every  $\epsilon > 0$  small there is  $C > 0$  such that  $\forall 1 > \gamma > 0, \forall x \in M$  and  $\forall Q \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ , with  $\text{dist}(Q, Sing(X)) > 0$ , of the form  $Q = [E, D]$  one has*

$$I_0^\epsilon(\epsilon^{1-\gamma}, n, x, Q) \leq C \text{mes}^u(E) + O(\epsilon),$$

where:

- $\text{mes}^u$  is the Lebesgue measure in the unstable direction;
- $I_0^\epsilon(\rho, n, x, \Gamma) = P_x^\epsilon\{\min_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \text{dis}(x_k^\epsilon, Sing(\Lambda)) \geq \rho \text{ and } x_n^\epsilon \in \Gamma\}$ ;
- $x_k^\epsilon$  is the Markov chain induced by the time  $\tau$ -map  $X_\tau$ ;
- $P_x^\epsilon\{x_n^\epsilon \in \Gamma\} = P^\epsilon(n, x, \Gamma)$ .
- $n \geq (\log(\epsilon))^2$

With these lemmas we are ready to prove our theorem.

We know by definition that

$$\mu^\epsilon(\Gamma) = \int_M P^\epsilon(n, x, \Gamma) d\mu^\epsilon(x),$$

for every  $n, x$  and  $\Gamma$ . We shall need the inequality

$$(6) \quad P^\epsilon(n, x, [E, D]) \leq C \cdot \text{mes}^u(E) + O(\epsilon)$$

because it implies

$$\mu^\epsilon([E, D]) \leq C \cdot \text{mes}^u(E) + O(\epsilon).$$

If  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mu^{\epsilon_i} \rightarrow \mu^*$  then we have

$$\mu^*([E, D]) \leq C \cdot \text{mes}^u(E)$$

which proves that  $\mu^*$  is absolutely continuous in the unstable direction and supported in  $M(X)$ . Since  $M(X)$  is sectional-hyperbolic we have that every point of  $M(X)$  has at least one positive Lyapunov exponent, so  $\mu^*$  is a SRB measure of  $X$ . This argument shows that  $M(X)$  supports SRB measures. Once we prove that there is only one SRB measure in  $M(X)$  we simultaneously prove the desired stochastic stability. So, the proof of Theorem A needs the following claim:

**Claim 6.**  $M(X)$  supports a unique SRB measure.

*Proof.* Since  $\mu^*$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $mes^u$  and  $M(X)$  is transitive, we obtain that  $\mu^*$  is positive in open sets in the unstable direction so it is equivalent to  $mes^u$ . If  $\nu^*$  were another SRB measure supported in  $M(X)$  then  $\nu^*$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $mes^u$  so it is also absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu^*$ . But  $\nu^*$  is ergodic as it is SRB so  $\nu^*$  and  $\mu^*$  are the same. This proves the claim.  $\square$

Now we turn on to the proof of (6). This is merely a computation using lemmas 4 and 5: For all  $n, \rho$  and  $\Gamma$  as above one has

$$\begin{aligned} P^\varepsilon(n, x, \Gamma) &= P_x^\varepsilon\{x_n^\varepsilon \in \Gamma\} = P_x^\varepsilon\left\{\min_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \text{dist}(x_k^\varepsilon, \text{Sing}(X)) \geq \rho \text{ and } x_n^\varepsilon \in \Gamma\right\} \\ &\quad + P_x^\varepsilon\{\exists k : \text{dist}(x_n^\varepsilon, \text{Sing}(X)) < \rho, \text{ and } x_n^\varepsilon \in \Gamma\} \\ &= I_0^\varepsilon(\rho, n, x, \Gamma) + P_x^\varepsilon\{\exists k : \text{dist}(x_k^\varepsilon, \text{Sing}(X)) < \rho, \text{ and } x_n^\varepsilon \in \Gamma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $\Gamma = [E, D]$  and taking  $n \in [(\log \varepsilon)^2, (\log \varepsilon)^4]$  and  $\rho = \varepsilon^{1-\gamma}$  we obtain by lemmas 4-5 that

$$P^\varepsilon(n, x, [E, D]) \leq C \cdot mes^u(E) + O(\varepsilon)$$

proving (6).

#### 4. PROOF OF LEMMA 5

In the flow case<sup>(1)</sup>, set  $\tilde{W}_\rho^s(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{|t| \leq \rho} W_\rho^s(\Gamma)$ . For diffeomorphisms, set  $\tilde{W}_\rho^s(\Gamma) = W_\rho^s(\Gamma)$ .

It is enough to prove the lemma for the case where  $E = W_\eta^u(z)$  and  $D = W_\rho^s(z) \cap M(X)$ , for all  $z \in M(X)$  and  $\rho, \eta > 0$ .

Choose  $v_i \in E$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k_\varepsilon$  such that

$$E \subset \bigcap_i W_\varepsilon^u(v_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i m^u(W_\varepsilon^u(v_i)) \leq 3^m m^u(E).$$

Denote

$$I_1(\rho, \delta, n, x, \Gamma) = P_x^\varepsilon \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \text{dist}(x_k^\varepsilon, \text{Sing}(X)) \geq \rho, \\ \text{dist}(F x_i^\varepsilon, x_{i+1}^\varepsilon) < \delta, i = 0, \dots, n-1 \text{ and} \\ x_n^\varepsilon \in \Gamma \end{array} \right\}$$

<sup>1</sup>We are in the diffeomorphism case  $F = X_\tau$  for some  $\tau > 1$

Then for  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$I_0^\varepsilon(\rho, n(\varepsilon), x, \tilde{W}_\rho^s(E)) \leq I_1(\rho, \delta(\varepsilon), n(\varepsilon), x, \tilde{W}_\rho^s(E) \cap W_{\varepsilon^{1-2\beta}}^s(M(X))) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

where  $\delta(\varepsilon)$  is chosen so that we can approximate transition probabilities of Markov chains with transition probabilities of Markov chains that are also  $\delta(\varepsilon)$ -pseudo-orbits. If we choose  $\delta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{1-\beta}$  with  $0 < \beta < \alpha$  and small (to be chosen later) the error is of the order of  $\exp(-\beta/3)$ . Also, to make this approximation we need  $n(\varepsilon) > (\log(\varepsilon))^2$ . But,

$$I_1(\rho, \delta(\varepsilon), n(\varepsilon), x, \tilde{W}_\rho^s(E) \cap W_{\varepsilon^{1-2\beta}}^s(\Lambda)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_\varepsilon} I_1^\varepsilon(\rho, \delta(\varepsilon), n(\varepsilon), x, A_i^\varepsilon),$$

where  $A_i^\varepsilon = \tilde{W}_\rho^s(E_i^\varepsilon)$ ,  $E_i^\varepsilon = W_\varepsilon^u(v_i)$ .

From the definition, for each  $x \in W_{\varepsilon^{1-2\beta}}^s(M(X))$  there exists  $\tilde{x}$  such that  $x \in W_{\varepsilon^{1-2\beta}}^s(\tilde{x})$  and  $\tilde{x} \in M(X)$ .

For each  $\tilde{x}$ , take  $G_j^\varepsilon = \overline{W_{j\varepsilon}^u(\tilde{x}) \setminus W_{(j-1)\varepsilon}^u(\tilde{x})}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \varepsilon^{-4\beta} \rfloor + 1$ . So, for each  $i, j$  we have that  $G_j^\varepsilon \cap F^{n(\varepsilon)}W_{2\rho}^s(v_i)$  consists of points  $z_{ijk}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, k^{ij}$ .

If  $w = (x, y, \dots, y_n)$  is a  $\delta(\varepsilon)$ -pseudo-orbit, with  $x \in W_{\varepsilon^{1-2\beta}}^s(\tilde{x})$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in \Lambda$ ,  $y_n \in A_i^\varepsilon$  then there exists  $y^w$  such that  $\text{dist}(y_l, F^l y^w) \leq Cn\varepsilon^{1-2\beta}$ , for all  $l = 0, \dots, n$ , using the Shadowing Lemma (see Lemma 1), where  $y^w \in \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon^{1-4\beta}}^s(W_{\varepsilon^{1-4\beta}}^u(\tilde{x}))$  and  $F^{n(\varepsilon)}y^w \in \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon^{1-4\beta}}^s(W_{\varepsilon^{1-4\beta}}^u(A_i^\varepsilon))$ .

That is, there exists  $i, j, k$  such that  $j \leq \lfloor \varepsilon^{-4\beta} \rfloor + 1$  and  $\text{dist}(F^l y^w, F_l z_{ijk}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{1-5\beta}$  for all  $l = 0, \dots, n(\varepsilon)$ .

Then,  $\text{dist}(y_l, F^l z_{ijk}) \leq \varepsilon^{1-5\beta}$  and

$$(7) \quad I_1^\varepsilon(\rho, \delta(\varepsilon), n(\varepsilon), x, A_i^\varepsilon) \leq \sum_{j \leq \lfloor \varepsilon^{-4\beta} \rfloor + 1} I_2^\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{1-5\beta}, \delta(\varepsilon), n(\varepsilon), z_{ijk}, A_i^\varepsilon)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^\varepsilon(\rho, \delta, n, z, \Gamma) &= P_x^\varepsilon \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \text{dis}(x_k^\varepsilon, \text{Sing}(\Lambda)) \geq \rho \\ \text{dist}(x_l^\varepsilon, F^l z) \leq \delta \\ x_n^\varepsilon \in \Gamma \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \int_{U_\rho(Fz)} \cdots \int_{U_\rho(F^{n-1}z)} \int_{U_\rho(F^n z) \cap \Gamma} q_{Fz}^\varepsilon(y_1) \cdots q_{F^{n-1}z}^\varepsilon(y_n) \\ &\quad \cdots dm(y_1) \cdots dm(y_n) \end{aligned}$$

That is, for every  $z = z_{ijk}$  we have that  $I_2$  in the sum of equation (7) is less or equal

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 + \varepsilon^\alpha) \int_{U_{\varepsilon^{1-4\beta}}(Fz)} \cdots \int_{U_{\varepsilon^{1-4\beta}}(F^{n-1}z)} \int_{U_{\varepsilon^{1-4\beta}}(F^n z) \cap A_i^\varepsilon} \\ &\quad \varepsilon^{-m} r_{Fx} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \exp_{Fx}^{-1}(y_1) \right) \cdots \varepsilon^{-m} r_{Fy_{n-1}} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \exp_{Fy_{n-1}}^{-1}(y_n) \right) dy_1 \cdots dy_n \end{aligned}$$

After this preparation we can lift the problem to the tangent bundle in the same way as in Theorem 4.1 of Kifer [7] which essentially uses the Volumen Lemma 3 and Theorem 2.1 and 3.10 of [7]. Observe that we can use Theorem 2.1 of [7] because for pseudo-orbits not approaching the set  $Sing(\Lambda)$  our transformations behaves like a hyperbolic one, see propositions 2 and 3.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF LEMMA 4

By the Chapman-Kolmogorov formula for any  $l < k$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad P^\varepsilon(k, x, B_{\varepsilon^{1-\gamma}}(Sing(X))) &= \int_M P^\varepsilon(k-l, x, dz) P^\varepsilon(l, z, B_{\varepsilon^{1-\gamma}}(Sing(X))) \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in M} P^\varepsilon(l, z, B_{\varepsilon^{1-\gamma}}(Sing(X))) \end{aligned}$$

so if the conclusion of Lemma 4 is true for  $k = l$  it remains true for any  $k \geq l$ .

Take

$$(9) \quad D = \sup_{x \in M} \|F'(x)\| < \infty.$$

Define

$$(10) \quad l = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \beta (\log(D+1))^{-1} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \right]$$

where  $1 > \beta > 0$  and  $[\cdot]$  means the integral part. It is not difficult to show that with this definition we have

$$(11) \quad (D+1)^{l+1} \leq e^{-\beta/2}$$

We also use  $\beta$  to approximate the probability with  $\varepsilon^{1-\beta}$ -pseudo-orbits using Lemma 1.1 of [7] (p. 101) and obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad P^\varepsilon(l, x, \Gamma) &\leq I_1(\varepsilon^{1-\beta}, l, \Gamma) + Cl\varepsilon^{-2m} mes(\Gamma) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\varepsilon^{1-\beta}}{2\varepsilon}\right) \\ &\leq I_1(\varepsilon^{1-\beta}, l, \Gamma) + \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{3\varepsilon^\beta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} &I_1(\varepsilon^{1-\beta}, l, x, \Gamma) = \\ &= P^\varepsilon\{(dist(F(X_i^\varepsilon), X_{i+1}^\varepsilon) < \varepsilon^{1-\beta} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, l-1 \text{ and } X_i^\varepsilon \in \Gamma)\} \end{aligned}$$



From the continuity of  $F$  and (11) every  $\varepsilon^{1-\beta}$ -pseudo-orbit  $y_0 = x, y_1, \dots, y_l$  satisfies

$$(13) \quad \text{dist}(F^i(x), y_i) < \varepsilon^{1-2\beta} \quad \text{for all } i = 0, \dots, l.$$

So, we can write

$$(14) \quad I_1(\varepsilon^{1-\beta}, l, x, \Gamma) \leq I_3^\varepsilon(l, x, \Gamma)$$

where  $2\beta < \alpha$ , and

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} I_3^\varepsilon(l, x, \Gamma) &= P_x^\varepsilon\{X_i^\varepsilon \in U^{(i)} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, l \text{ and } X_l^\varepsilon \in \Gamma\} \\ &= \int_{U^{(0)}} \dots \int_{U^{(l-1)}} \int_{U^{(l)} \cap \Gamma} q_{F^l x}^\varepsilon(y_1) q_{F^{l-1} y_1}^\varepsilon(y_2) \dots q_{F y_{l-1}}^\varepsilon(y_l) dy_1 \dots dy_l \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon^\alpha)^l \int_{U^{(0)}} \dots \int_{U^{(l-1)}} \int_{U^{(l)} \cap \Gamma} \varepsilon^{-m} r_{F^l x} \left( \frac{\sigma(F^l x, y_1)}{\varepsilon} \right) \\ (16) \quad &\times \varepsilon^{-m} r_{F y_1} \left( \frac{\sigma(F y_1, y_2)}{\varepsilon} \right) \dots \varepsilon^{-m} r_{F y_{l-1}} \left( \frac{\sigma(F y_{l-1}, y_l)}{\varepsilon} \right) dy_1 \dots dy_l. \end{aligned}$$

where  $U^{(i)} = \{v : \text{dist}(v, z_i) < \varepsilon^{1-2\beta}\}$ . Since of our choice of the points  $z_i$ , we can have

$$\|F y_i - y_{i+1}\| = \|F y_i - z_{i+1} + z_{i+1} - y_{i+1}\| \leq \|F y_i - z_{i+1}\| + |F'(z_i)(z_i - y_i)| + \text{ord}(\varepsilon^{2-5\beta})$$

provided  $\beta < \frac{1}{5}$

This will lead to an expression that can be bounded by

$$(17) \quad I_4^\varepsilon(l, x, \Gamma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\Gamma} \varepsilon^{-m} r_{z_1} \left( \frac{\eta_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \varepsilon^{-m} r_{z_1} \left( \frac{\eta_2 - F' z_1(y_i - z_i)}{\varepsilon} \right) \dots$$

$$(18) \quad \times \varepsilon^{-m} r_{z_l} \left( \frac{\eta_l - F' z_{l-1}(y_{l-1} - z_{l-1})}{\varepsilon} \right) d\eta_1 \dots d\eta_l$$

From here we can follow the same calculation used in the proof of Theorem II.2.1 of [7] and obtain

$$(19) \quad I_4^\varepsilon(l, x, \Gamma) \leq \tilde{C} \varepsilon^{-m} \lambda^{-l} \text{mes}(\Gamma)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the expansion rate of the volume. Now taking  $U_{\varepsilon^{1-\gamma}}(\text{Sing}(\Lambda))$  and  $l$  as in (10) we arrive to the conclusion of the lemma.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Afraimovich, V., S., Bykov, V., V., Shilnikov, L., P., On attracting structurally unstable limit sets of Lorenz attractor type, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* 44 (1982), 150–212.
- [2] Araujo, V., Pacifico, M.J., Pujals, E.R., Viana, M., Singular-hyperbolic attractors are chaotic, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (2009), no. 5, 2431–2485.
- [3] Bonatti, C., Pumariño, A., Viana, M., Lorenz attractors with arbitrary expanding dimension, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 325 (1997), no. 8, 883–888.
- [4] Bowen, R., Ruelle, D., The ergodic theory of Axiom A flows, *Invent. Math.* 29 (1975), no. 3, 181–202.

- [5] Colmenarez, W., Existence of SRB measures for singular-hyperbolic attractors, Preprint 2005 unpublished.
- [6] Guckenheimer, J., Williams, R.F., Structural stability of Lorenz attractors, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 50 (1979), 59–72.
- [7] Kifer, Y., *Random perturbations of dynamical systems*, Progress in Probability and Statistics, 16. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [8] Leplaideur, R., Yang, D., SRB measures for higher dimensional singular partially hyperbolic flows, arXiv:1505.01478v1 [math.DS] 6 May 2015.
- [9] Lorenz, E.N., Deterministic nonperiodic flow, *J. Atmosph. Sci.* 20 (1963), 130–141.
- [10] Metzger, R.J., Stochastic stability for contracting Lorenz maps and flows, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 212 (2000), no. 2, 277–296.
- [11] Metzger, R.J., Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen measures for contracting Lorenz maps and flows, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 17 (2000), no. 2, 247–276.
- [12] Metzger, R., Morales, C.A., Sectional-hyperbolic systems, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 28 (2008), no. 5, 1587–1597.
- [13] Morales, C.A., Sectional-Anosov flows, *Monatsh. Math.* 159 (2010), no. 3, 253–260.
- [14] Morales, C.A., Recent progress on sectional-hyperbolic systems, ICM 2014 Satellite Conference on Dynamical Systems and Related Topics, Chungnam National University in Daejeon, Korea, August 2014.
- [15] Sataev, E.A., Invariant measures for singularly hyperbolic attractors, *Mat. Sb.* 201 (2010), no. 3, 107–160; translation in *Sb. Math.* 201 (2010), no. 3-4, 419–470.
- [16] Tucker, W., The Lorenz attractor exists, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* 328 (1999), no. 12, 1197–1202.
- [17] Young, L-S. Ergodic theory of attractors, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Vol. 1, 2 (Zrich, 1994), 1230–1237, Birkhauser, Basel, 1995.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y CIENCIAS AFINES IMCA, CALLE LOS BIÓLOGOS 245,  
 URB. SAN CÉSAR LA MOLINA, LIMA 12, LIMA, PERÚ.  
*E-mail address:* metzger@imca.edu.pe, roger@impa.br

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, P. O. BOX  
 68530, 21945-970 RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL.  
*E-mail address:* morales@impa.br