

Variational problems for Hölderian functions with free terminal point

Ricardo Almeida Natália Martins
 ricardo.almeida@ua.pt natalia@ua.pt

CIDMA – Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications,
 Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, Portugal.

Abstract

We develop the new variational calculus introduced in 2011 by J. Cresson and I. Gr-eff, where the classical derivative is substituted by a new complex operator called the scale derivative. In this paper we consider several nondifferentiable variational problems with free terminal point: with and without constraints, of first and higher-order type.

Keywords: Hölderian functions; calculus of variations; Euler-Lagrange equation; natural boundary conditions.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: primary: 39A13; 49K05; 49S05; secondary: 26A27; 26B20; 49K10

1 Introduction

Dating back to the late 17th century, the calculus of variations has been proved to be a powerful tool in several fields, such as physics, geometry, engineering, economics and control theory. One of the earliest variational problems posed in physics was the problem of determining the shape of a surface of revolution that would encounter the least resistance when moving through some resisting medium. This problem was solved by Issac Newton and the solution was published in 1687 in the first book of the collection *Principia*. Since then, important mathematicians and physicists, such as John and James Bernoulli, Leibniz, Euler, Lagrange, Jacobi, Weierstrass, Hilbert, Noether, Tonelly and Lebesgue, studied different variational problems, contributing to the development of the classical calculus of variations.

The fundamental problem of the classical calculus of variations can be formulated in the following form: among all differentiable functions $y : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $y(a) = y_a$ and $y(b) = y_b$, where y_a, y_b are fixed real numbers, find the ones that minimize (or maximize) the functional

$$\mathcal{L}[y] = \int_a^b L(t, y(t), y'(t)) dt.$$

It can be proved that the extremizers of this variational problem must satisfy the following second-order differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(t, y(t), y'(t)) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(t, y(t), y'(t)), \quad \forall t \in [a, b]$$

called the Euler-Lagrange equation (where $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}$ denote, respectively, the partial derivative of L with respect to the second and third argument). With the two boundary restrictions, $y(a) = y_a$ and $y(b) = y_b$, we may determine the extremals of \mathcal{L} . If the boundary condition $y(a) = y_a$ is not

imposed in the initial problem, then in order to find the extremizers we have to add another necessary condition: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(a, y(a), y'(a)) = 0$; and thus, instead of the initial condition $y(a) = y_a$, we have one more equation on the system. Also, if $y(b) = y_b$ is not fixed in the problem, then we need to impose another necessary condition: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(b, y(b), y'(b)) = 0$. These two conditions are usually called natural boundary conditions or transversality conditions.

Since many physical phenomena are described by nondifferentiable functions, and many problems posed in physics can be formulated using integral functionals, it seemed clear for some mathematicians and physicists that it would be interesting to develop a calculus of variations for functionals which are defined on a set of nondifferentiable functions.

There exist several different approaches to deal with nondifferentiability in problems of the calculus of variations: the time scale approach, which typically deals with delta or nabla differentiable functions [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]; the fractional approach, which deals with fractional derivatives of order less than one [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]; the quantum approach, which deals with quantum derivatives [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]; and the scale derivative approach recently introduced by J. Cresson in 2005 [27].

In this paper we are concerned with the scale derivative approach. In the paper [29], J. Cresson and I. Greff introduced a new variational calculus where the classical derivative is replaced by a new complex operator called the scale derivative. Basically, this new derivative allows the development of an analogue of a differential variational calculus for Hölderian functions. The scale variational theory is still in the very beginning [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32], and much remains to be done.

The main results of the paper [29] are: the Euler-Lagrange equation for a variational problem with fixed boundary conditions involving a scale derivative, and the nondifferentiable Noether's Theorem. In this work we will use the scale derivative introduced in [29] (we remark that such derivative is not exactly the same as introduced in [27]).

In [32] the following scale variational problems were studied: the isoperimetric problem, the variational problem with dependence on a parameter, the higher-order variational problem, and the variational problem with two independent variables, for problems with fixed boundary conditions.

The main purpose of this paper is to generalize the results of [29, 32]. Let a and b be two fixed real numbers such that $a \leq b$. We consider nondifferentiable variational problems

$$\mathcal{I}[y, T] = \int_a^T L \left(t, y(t), \frac{\square y}{\square t}(t) \right) dt$$

where $a \leq T \leq b$. The admissible functions y are Hölderian such that $y(a) = y_a$, for some fixed $y_a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\square y / \square t$ is the scale derivative of y (to be defined later). Note that here, in contrast to [29, 32], we have a free terminal point T and no constraint is imposed on $y(T)$. Therefore, T and $y(T)$ become part of the extremal choice process.

In this paper, for expository convenience, we assume that only the terminal point is variable. It is clear that all the arguments used in the proofs of our results are easily extended to the case of a variable initial point.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the scale derivative as introduced in [29] and briefly review some of its properties, namely, the Leibniz rule and the Barrow rule in the scale calculus context. Our main results are presented in Section 3. In Subsection 3.1 we prove necessary and sufficient conditions to obtain extremals for complex valued integral functionals with various type of constraint (imposed over the terminal point T and/or over $y(T)$). In Subsection 3.2 we briefly show how higher-order scale derivatives can be included on the variational problem (Theorem 9).

2 Preliminaries

To make the paper self-contained, we begin with a review of the definitions and results from [29] needed to the present work.

In what follows we consider $\alpha, \beta \in]0, 1[$, $h \in]0, 1[$ with $h \ll 1$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, and define the interval $I := [a - h, b + h]$.

Definition 1. Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The h -forward difference operator is defined by

$$\Delta_h[f](t) := \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h}, \quad t \in [a - h, b].$$

The h -backward difference operator is defined by

$$\nabla_h[f](t) := \frac{f(t) - f(t-h)}{h}, \quad t \in [a, b + h].$$

Remark 1. Obviously, if f is a differentiable function (in the classical sense), then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \Delta_h[f](t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \nabla_h[f](t) = f'(t).$$

Definition 2. The h -scale derivative of $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\frac{\square_h f}{\square t}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\Delta_h[f](t) + \nabla_h[f](t) \right) + i \left(\Delta_h[f](t) - \nabla_h[f](t) \right) \right], \quad t \in [a, b], \quad i^2 = -1. \quad (1)$$

For complex valued functions f we define

$$\frac{\square_h f}{\square t}(t) = \frac{\square_h \text{Ref}}{\square t}(t) + i \frac{\square_h \text{Imf}}{\square t}(t)$$

where Ref and Imf denote, respectively, the real and imaginary part of f .

Remark 2. Again, if $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function, then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\square_h f}{\square t}(t) = f'(t).$$

Let $C_{conv}^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C})$ be the subspace of $C^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C})$ such that for any function $g \in C_{conv}^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C})$, the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g(t, h)$$

exists for any $t \in [a, b]$. Denote by E a complementary space of $C_{conv}^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C})$ in $C^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C})$ and denote by π the projection of $C_{conv}^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C}) \oplus E$ onto $C_{conv}^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C})$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : C_{conv}^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C}) \oplus E &\rightarrow C_{conv}^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C}) \\ g := g_{conv} + gE &\mapsto \pi(g) = g_{conv}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to define the scale derivative, we need to introduce the following operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot \rangle : C^0([a, b] \times]0, 1[, \mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}([a, b], \mathbb{C}) \\ g &\mapsto \langle g \rangle : t \mapsto \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \pi(g)(t, h) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}([a, b], \mathbb{C})$ denotes the set of functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Finally, we arrive to the main concept introduced in [29]: the scale derivative of f (without the dependence of h).

Definition 3. The scale derivative of $f \in C^0(I, \mathbb{C})$, denoted by $\frac{\square f}{\square t}$, is defined by

$$\frac{\square f}{\square t}(t) := \left\langle \frac{\square_h f}{\square t} \right\rangle(t), \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (2)$$

Remark 3. As remarked in [29], the operator $\langle \cdot \rangle$ depends on the choice of the complementary space E . However, this dependence does not change the form of the properties of this derivative.

From now on, we assume that a complementary space E is fixed.

It is clear that the scale derivative (2) is a linear operator and the scale derivative of a constant is zero.

Remark 4. *It is clear that if $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function, then $\frac{\square f}{\square t}(t) = f'(t)$.*

Higher-order scale derivatives can be defined as usual. For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $I^n := [a - nh, b + nh]$. The n th scale derivative of a function $f : I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the function $\frac{\square^n f}{\square t^n}$ defined recursively by

$$\frac{\square^n f}{\square t^n}(t) = \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\square^{n-1} f}{\square t^{n-1}} \right) (t), \quad t \in [a, b],$$

where $\frac{\square^1 f}{\square t^1} := \frac{\square f}{\square t}$ and $\frac{\square^0 f}{\square t^0} := f$.

In what follows we will denote

$$C_{\square}^n([a, b], \mathbb{K}) := \{f \in C^0(I^n, \mathbb{K}) \mid \frac{\square^k f}{\square t^k} \in C^0(I^{n-k}, \mathbb{C}), k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}.$$

It can be proved that the scale derivative satisfies a scale analogue of Leibniz's and Barrow's rule in certain subclasses of $C^0(I, \mathbb{C})$.

First let us recall the definition of Hölderian function.

Definition 4. *Let $f \in C^0(I, \mathbb{C})$. We say that f is Hölderian of Hölder exponent α if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $s, t \in I$, the inequality*

$$|f(t) - f(s)| \leq C|t - s|^\alpha$$

holds. The set of Hölderian functions of Hölder exponent α defined on I is denoted by $H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 1 (The scale Leibniz rule [29]). *Let $\alpha, \beta \in]0, 1[$ be such that $\alpha + \beta > 1$. For $f \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R})$ and $g \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\frac{\square(f \cdot g)}{\square t}(t) = \frac{\square f}{\square t}(t) \cdot g(t) + f(t) \cdot \frac{\square g}{\square t}(t), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

Theorem 2 (The scale Barrow rule [29]). *Let $f \in C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ be such that*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^b \left(\frac{\square_h f}{\square t} \right)_E (t) dt = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $\frac{\square_h f}{\square t} := \left(\frac{\square_h f}{\square t} \right)_{conv} + \left(\frac{\square_h f}{\square t} \right)_E$. Then,

$$\int_a^b \frac{\square f}{\square t}(t) dt = f(b) - f(a).$$

Remark 5. *Although Theorems 1 and 2 were proven for real valued functions, they still hold for complex valued functions (the proofs are similar to the ones given in [29]).*

The following result is an easy consequence of the complex versions of Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 3 (The scale integration by parts formula). *Let $f \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{C})$ and $g \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{C})$ be such that $\alpha + \beta > 1$ and*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^b \left(\frac{\square_h(f \cdot g)}{\square t} \right)_E (t) dt = 0.$$

Then

$$\int_a^b \frac{\square f}{\square t}(t) \cdot g(t) dt = [f(t)g(t)]_a^b - \int_a^b f(t) \cdot \frac{\square g}{\square t}(t) dt.$$

Next we prove the scale Taylor Theorem of first order.

Theorem 4. *Given $f \in C_{\square}^2([a, b], \mathbb{C})$ and $t \in [a, b]$ such that $\frac{\square f}{\square t} \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{C})$,*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^t \left(\frac{\square_h f}{\square \tau} \right)_E(\tau) d\tau = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^t \left(\frac{\square_h \left(\frac{\square f}{\square \tau} \cdot (t - \tau) \right)}{\square \tau} \right)_E(\tau) d\tau = 0,$$

then

$$f(t) = f(a) + \frac{\square f}{\square t}(a)(t - a) + O(t - a)^2.$$

Proof. First observe that $\tau \mapsto t - \tau$ is of class C^1 and thus

$$\frac{\square}{\square \tau}(t - \tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau}(t - \tau) = -1.$$

Using Theorem 2 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) - f(a) &= \int_a^t \frac{\square f}{\square \tau}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= - \int_a^t \frac{\square f}{\square \tau}(\tau) \cdot \frac{\square}{\square \tau}(t - \tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Using the scale integration by parts formula (Theorem 3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) - f(a) &= \frac{\square f}{\square t}(a)(t - a) + \int_a^t \frac{\square^2 f}{\square \tau^2}(\tau) \cdot (t - \tau) d\tau \\ &= \frac{\square f}{\square t}(a)(t - a) + M(t - a)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for some $M \in \mathbb{C}$, which ends the proof. \square

We finish this section with the following useful result.

Lemma 1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in]0, 1[$ be such that $\alpha \leq \beta$. Then, for all $y \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{C})$ and $\eta \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{C})$, $y + \eta \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{C})$.*

3 Main results

The aim is to exhibit several necessary and sufficient conditions in order to determine scale extremals for a certain class of functionals, which domain is the set of Hölderian functions. Not only the Euler-Lagrange equation will be obtained, but also natural boundary conditions will appear.

3.1 First-order variational problems

We consider the following functional:

$$\mathcal{I}[y, T] = \int_a^T L \left(t, y(t), \frac{\square y}{\square t}(t) \right) dt$$

defined on

$$\mathcal{A} = \{y \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R}) : y(a) = y_a \wedge y \in C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})\},$$

where $T \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $a \leq T \leq b$, the Lagrangian $L = L(t, y, v) : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of class C^1 , and $y_a \in \mathbb{R}$ is a given fixed real number. We emphasize that we have a free terminal point T and no constraint on $y(T)$. Hence, T and $y(T)$ become part of the extremal choice process.

Definition 5. We say that (y, T) is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{I} defined on \mathcal{A} if, for any $\eta \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(a) = 0$, and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}[y + \varepsilon\eta, T + \varepsilon\delta] \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0.$$

Our first goal is to obtain a necessary and a sufficient condition to (y, T) be a scale extremal of the following functional

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{I}[y, T] = \int_a^T L \left(t, y(t), \frac{\square y}{\square t}(t) \right) dt \\ y \in \mathcal{A}, T \in [a, b]. \end{cases} \quad (\text{P})$$

In the sequel we assume that $\alpha + \beta > 1$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$. For simplicity of notation, we introduce the operator $[\cdot]$ defined by

$$[y](t) = \left(t, y(t), \frac{\square y}{\square t}(t) \right).$$

Theorem 5 (The scale Euler–Lagrange equation and natural boundary conditions I). *Let $\tilde{T} \in [a, b]$ and $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{C})$ and*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left(\frac{\square_h}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \cdot \eta \right) (t) \right)_E dt = 0$$

for all $\eta \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(a) = 0$. The pair (\tilde{y}, \tilde{T}) is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{I} defined on \mathcal{A} if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) = \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t)$ for all $t \in [a, \tilde{T}]$;
2. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) = 0$;
3. $L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that (\tilde{y}, \tilde{T}) is a scale extremal of problem (P). Hence, by definition,

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}[\tilde{y} + \varepsilon\eta, \tilde{T} + \varepsilon\delta] \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$$

for all $\eta \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(a) = 0$, and all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that, by Lemma 1, $\tilde{y} + \varepsilon\eta \in \mathcal{A}$. Also note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}[\tilde{y} + \varepsilon\eta, \tilde{T} + \varepsilon\delta] \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left(\int_a^{\tilde{T} + \varepsilon\delta} L \left(t, \tilde{y}(t) + \varepsilon\eta(t), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(t) + \varepsilon \frac{\square \eta}{\square t}(t) \right) dt \right) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) \cdot \eta(t) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](t) \cdot \frac{\square \eta}{\square t}(t) \right] dt + L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) \cdot \delta \\ &= \int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) - \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t) \right] \cdot \eta(t) dt + \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](t) \cdot \eta(t) \right]_a^{\tilde{T}} + L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) \cdot \delta \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Since $\eta(a) = 0$, then

$$\int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) - \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t) \right] \cdot \eta(t) dt + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](\tilde{T}) \cdot \eta(\tilde{T}) + L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) \cdot \delta = 0 \quad (5)$$

If we restrict the variations in (5) to those such that $\eta(\tilde{T}) = 0$ and $\delta = 0$, we get

$$\int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) - \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t) \right] \cdot \eta(t) dt = 0.$$

From the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations it follows that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) - \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t) = 0 \quad (6)$$

for all $t \in [a, \tilde{T}]$.

If we restrict in (5) to those η such that $\eta(\tilde{T}) = 0$, we get

$$\int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) - \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t) \right] \cdot \eta(t) dt + L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) \cdot \delta = 0. \quad (7)$$

Substituting the scale Euler-Lagrange equation (6) into (7) we obtain

$$L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) \cdot \delta = 0.$$

By the arbitrariness of δ we get

$$L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) = 0.$$

Substituting $\delta = 0$ and the scale Euler-Lagrange equation (6) into (5), we have that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](\tilde{T}) \cdot \eta(\tilde{T}) = 0.$$

From the arbitrariness of η , we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) = 0.$$

□

Corollary 1. ([29]) *Suppose that T is fixed in problem (P) and the set of admissible functions is given by*

$$\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A} \cap \{y \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R}) : y(T) = y_T\}$$

for some fixed real number y_T . Let $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{B}$ be such that $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^T \left(\frac{\square_h}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \cdot \eta \right) (t) \right)_E dt = 0$$

for all $\eta \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(a) = \eta(T) = 0$. Then \tilde{y} is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{I} in the class \mathcal{B} if and only if

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) = \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t), \quad \forall t \in [a, T].$$

Remark 6. (cf. [33]) *If we restrict the set of admissible functions to be the set $\{y \in C^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}) : y(a) = y_a\}$, then problem (P) reduces to the classical variational problem*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I}[y, T] = \int_a^T L(t, y(t), y'(t)) dt \quad \rightarrow \text{extremize} \\ y \in C^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \\ y(a) = y_a, \end{array} \right. \quad (8)$$

and, by Theorem 5, we can conclude that if (\tilde{y}, \tilde{T}) is an extremizer (that is, minimizer or maximizer) of problem (8), then

1. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t)$ for all $t \in [a, \tilde{T}]$;
2. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \tilde{y}'(\tilde{T}) \right) = 0$;
3. $L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \tilde{y}'(\tilde{T}) \right) = 0$.

Doing similar calculations as done in the proof of Theorem 5 one can prove the following result.

Theorem 6 (The scale Euler–Lagrange equation and natural boundary conditions II). *Let $\tilde{T} \in [a, b]$ and $\tilde{y} \in C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ be such that $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{C})$ and*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left(\frac{\square_h}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \cdot \eta \right) (t) \right)_E dt = 0$$

for all $\eta \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$. *The pair (\tilde{y}, \tilde{T}) is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{I} defined on $C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ if and only if the following conditions hold:*

1. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) = \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t)$ for all $t \in [a, \tilde{T}]$;
2. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \left(a, \tilde{y}(a), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(a) \right) = 0$;
3. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) = 0$;
4. $L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) = 0$.

Corollary 2. ([32]) *Suppose that T is fixed in problem (P) and that the boundary conditions $y(a) = y_a$ and $y(T) = y_T$ are not present. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{y \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{R}) : y \in C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{C})\}$, and $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \in H^\alpha(I, \mathbb{C})$, and*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^T \left(\frac{\square_h}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \cdot \eta \right) (t) \right)_E dt = 0$$

for all $\eta \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$. *Then \tilde{y} is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{I} in the class \mathcal{D} if and only if the following conditions hold:*

1. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) = \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t)$ for all $t \in [a, T]$;
2. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \left(a, \tilde{y}(a), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(a) \right) = 0$;
3. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \left(T, \tilde{y}(T), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(T) \right) = 0$.

In the proof of Theorem 5 we proved that (\tilde{y}, \tilde{T}) is a scale extremal of problem (P), if and only if, for arbitrary $\eta \in H^\beta(I, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\square}^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(a) = 0$, and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) - \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t) \right] \cdot \eta(t) dt + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](\tilde{T}) \cdot \eta(\tilde{T}) + L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) \cdot \delta = 0. \quad (9)$$

In what follows we will write $\eta(\tilde{T})$ in terms of δ , the increment over time, and the increment over space,

$$\delta \tilde{y}_{\tilde{T}} := (\tilde{y} + \eta)(\tilde{T} + \delta) - \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}).$$

Assuming that $\tilde{y}, \eta \in C_{\square}^2([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ and for those η such that $\frac{\square \eta}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) = 0$, and $|\delta| \ll 1$, then, by Theorem 4, we deduce that

$$(\tilde{y} + \eta)(\tilde{T} + \delta) - (\tilde{y} + \eta)(\tilde{T}) = \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \cdot \delta + O(\delta^2)$$

and so we obtain the formula for the increment over space

$$\delta \tilde{y}_{\tilde{T}} = \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \cdot \delta + \eta(\tilde{T}) + O(\delta^2)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\eta(\tilde{T}) = \delta \tilde{y}_{\tilde{T}} - \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \cdot \delta + O(\delta^2). \quad (10)$$

Substituting (6) and (10) into (9) we get

$$\delta \left[L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](\tilde{T}) \cdot \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right] + \delta \tilde{y}_{\tilde{T}} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](\tilde{T}) + O(\delta^2) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Depending on the constraints that may be imposed over the terminal point T and/or over the boundary condition $y(T)$, several natural boundary conditions can be obtained from condition (11). Obviously, if they are both fixed we do not get any extra condition (see [29]).

Next we consider the case where the boundary conditions $y(a)$ and $y(T)$ are fixed and T is free.

Theorem 7. [The scale Euler–Lagrange equation and natural boundary conditions III] In the conditions of Theorem 5, the pair (\tilde{y}, \tilde{T}) is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{I} defined on

$$\{y \in H^\alpha(I^2, \mathbb{R}) : y(a) = y_a \wedge y(T) = y_T \wedge y \in C_{\square}^2([a, b], \mathbb{R})\},$$

where $y_a, y_T \in \mathbb{R}$ are fixed real numbers, if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) = \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t)$ for all $t \in [a, \tilde{T}]$;
2. $L \left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](\tilde{T}) \cdot \frac{\square \tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T})$.

Proof. In this case, $\delta\tilde{y}_{\tilde{T}} = 0$ and δ is arbitrary. Thus from (11) we obtain the condition

$$L\left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square\tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T})\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](\tilde{T}) \cdot \frac{\square\tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}).$$

□

Now we shall generalize Theorem 7 considering the case where we have the boundary condition $\tilde{y}(T) = \psi(T)$, where ψ is a given function of class $C_{\square}^2([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, and T is free.

Theorem 8 (The scale Euler–Lagrange equation and natural boundary conditions IV). *Let ψ be a given function of class $C_{\square}^2([a, b], \mathbb{R})$. In the conditions of Theorem 5, the pair (\tilde{y}, \tilde{T}) is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{I} defined on*

$$\{y \in H^{\alpha}(I^2, \mathbb{R}) : y(a) = y_a \wedge y(T) = \psi(T) \wedge y \in C_{\square}^2([a, b], \mathbb{R})\}$$

if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}](t) = \frac{\square}{\square t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}] \right) (t)$ for all $t \in [a, \tilde{T}]$;
2. $L\left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square\tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T})\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](\tilde{T}) \cdot \left(\frac{\square\tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) - \frac{\square\psi}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right)$.

Proof. From Theorem 4 we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\tilde{y}_{\tilde{T}} &= \psi(\tilde{T} + \delta) - \psi(\tilde{T}) \\ &= \frac{\square\psi}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \cdot \delta + O(\delta^2). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Replacing (12) into (11), the arbitrariness of δ allows us to deduce the condition

$$L\left(\tilde{T}, \tilde{y}(\tilde{T}), \frac{\square\tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T})\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}[\tilde{y}](\tilde{T}) \cdot \left(\frac{\square\tilde{y}}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) - \frac{\square\psi}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) \right).$$

□

3.2 Higher-order variational problems

In this section we consider the following higher-order functional \mathcal{J}

$$\mathcal{J}[y, T] = \int_a^T L\left(t, y(t), \frac{\square y}{\square t}(t), \dots, \frac{\square^n y}{\square t^n}(t)\right) dt \tag{13}$$

defined in the class

$$\mathcal{E} = \{y \in H^{\alpha}(I^n, \mathbb{R}) : y(a) = y_a, \frac{\square y}{\square t}(a) = y_a^1, \dots, \frac{\square^{n-1} y}{\square t^{n-1}}(a) = y_a^{n-1} \wedge y \in C_{\square}^n([a, b], \mathbb{R})\},$$

where the Lagrangian $L = L(t, y, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of class C^1 , $T \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $a \leq T \leq b$, and $y_a \in \mathbb{R}$, $y_a^1, \dots, y_a^{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ are given fixed numbers.

Definition 6. *We say that (y, T) is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{J} defined on \mathcal{E} if, for any $\eta \in H^{\beta}(I^n, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\square}^n([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(a) = \frac{\square\eta}{\square t}(a) = \dots = \frac{\square^{n-1}\eta}{\square t^{n-1}}(a) = 0$, and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{J}[y + \varepsilon\eta, T + \varepsilon\delta]|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0.$$

In order to simplify notations we will denote

$$[y]^n(t) := \left(t, y(t), \frac{\square y}{\square t}(t), \dots, \frac{\square^n y}{\square t^n}(t) \right).$$

Theorem 9 (The higher-order scale Euler–Lagrange equation and natural boundary conditions).
Let $\tilde{T} \in [a, b]$ and $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{E}$ be such that

1. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i}[\tilde{y}]^n \in H^\alpha(I^n, \mathbb{C})$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,
2. for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, i-1$,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left(\frac{\square_h}{\square t} \left(\frac{\square^k}{\square t^k} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i}[\tilde{y}]^n \right) \cdot \frac{\square^{i-k-1} \eta}{\square t^{i-k-1}} \right) (t) \right)_E dt = 0,$$

for all $\eta \in H^\beta(I^n, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{\square}^n([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(a) = 0, \frac{\square \eta}{\square t}(a) = 0, \dots, \frac{\square^{n-1} \eta}{\square t^{n-1}}(a) = 0$.

The pair (\tilde{y}, \tilde{T}) is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{J} on the class \mathcal{E} if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}]^n(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \frac{\square^i}{\square t^i} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i} \right) [\tilde{y}]^n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [a, \tilde{T}]$;
2. $\sum_{k=i}^n (-1)^{k-i} \frac{\square^{k-i}}{\square t^{k-i}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_k} \right) [\tilde{y}]^n(\tilde{T}) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;
3. $L[\tilde{y}]^n(\tilde{T}) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that (\tilde{y}, \tilde{T}) is a scale extremal of functional \mathcal{J} on the class \mathcal{E} . For variation functions, we consider $(\tilde{y} + \varepsilon \eta, \tilde{T} + \varepsilon \delta)$, with η be such that

$$\eta(a) = \frac{\square \eta}{\square t}(a) = \dots = \frac{\square^{n-1} \eta}{\square t^{n-1}}(a) = 0.$$

Using the definition of scale extremal, we conclude that

$$\int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}]^n(t) \cdot \eta(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i}[\tilde{y}]^n(t) \cdot \frac{\square^i \eta}{\square t^i}(t) \right) dt + L[\tilde{y}]^n(\tilde{T}) \cdot \delta = 0.$$

Applying the integration by parts formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^{\tilde{T}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}[\tilde{y}]^n(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \frac{\square^i}{\square t^i} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i} \right) [\tilde{y}]^n(t) \right) \cdot \eta(t) dt \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i}[\tilde{y}]^n(\tilde{T}) \cdot \frac{\square^{i-1} \eta}{\square t^{i-1}}(\tilde{T}) + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^k \frac{\square^k}{\square t^k} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_i} \right) [\tilde{y}]^n(\tilde{T}) \cdot \frac{\square^{i-1-k} \eta}{\square t^{i-1-k}}(\tilde{T}) \right) + L[\tilde{y}]^n(\tilde{T}) \cdot \delta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\delta = 0$ and $\eta(\tilde{T}) = \frac{\square \eta}{\square t}(\tilde{T}) = \dots = \frac{\square^{n-1} \eta}{\square t^{n-1}}(\tilde{T}) = 0$ we obtain the higher-order scale Euler–Lagrange equation. Similarly as done in the proof of Theorem 5, for appropriate variations, we obtain the pretended natural boundary conditions. \square

Clearly, all the results presented in Subsection 3.1 can be generalized for higher-order variational problems.

4 Conclusions

In the present paper we study variational problems when the dynamic of the trajectories are nondifferentiable. To overcome this situation, we considered the scale derivative as presented by Cresson and Greff in [29] and [30], which has shown some applications in physics, e.g., trajectories of quantum mechanics, fractals and scale-relativity theory. The main aim was to find necessary and sufficient conditions that a pair (y, T) must satisfy in order to be an extremal of a given functional, where y is the trajectory and T the end-time of the integral. We considered the existence or not of boundary conditions on the initial and end time, as well with higher-order scale derivatives.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Portuguese funds through the CIDMA - Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications, and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia), within project PEst-OE/MAT/UI4106/2014.

References

- [1] Almeida R, Torres DFM. Isoperimetric problems on time scales with nabla derivatives, *J. Vib. Control* **15** (2009), no. 6, 951–958.
- [2] Bartosiewicz Z, Torres DFM. Noether’s theorem on time scales, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **342** (2008), no. 2, 1220–1226.
- [3] Ferreira RAC, Malinowska AB, Torres DFM. Optimality conditions for the calculus of variations with higher-order delta derivatives, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24** (2011), no. 1, 87–92.
- [4] Girejko E., Malinowska AB., Torres DFM., The contingent epiderivative and the calculus of variations on time scales, *Optimization: A Journal of Mathematical Programming and Operations Research* **61** (2012), no. 3, 251–264.
- [5] Malinowska AB, Torres DFM. Strong minimizers of the calculus of variations on time scales and the Weierstrass condition, *Proc. Est. Acad. Sci.* **58** (2009), no. 4, 205–212.
- [6] Malinowska AB, Torres DFM. Backward variational approach on time scales with an action depending on the free endpoints, *Z. Naturforsch. A* **66a** (2011), no. 5, 401–410.
- [7] Martins N, Torres DFM. Calculus of variations on time scales with nabla derivatives, *Non-linear Anal.* **71** (2009), no. 12, e763–e773.
- [8] Martins N, Torres DFM. Generalizing the variational theory on time scales to include the delta indefinite integral, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61** (2011), no. 9, 2424–2435.
- [9] Malinowska A, Martins N, Torres DFM. Transversality Conditions for Infinite Horizon Variational Problems on Time Scales, *Optimization Letters* **5** (2011), 41-53.
- [10] Martins N, Torres DFM. Necessary optimality conditions for higher-order infinite horizon variational problems on time scales, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **155** (2012), no. 2, DOI: 10.1007/s10957-012-0065-y.
- [11] Malinowska AB. A formulation of the fractional Noether-type theorem for multidimensional Lagrangians, *Applied Mathematics Letters* **25** (2012), no. 11, 1941–1946.
- [12] Malinowska AB, Torres DFM. Introduction to the Fractional Calculus of Variations, Imperial College Press, London & World Sci. Publishing, Singapore (2012).

- [13] Odziejewicz T, Malinowska AB, Torres DFM, Fractional calculus of variations in terms of a generalized fractional integral with applications to physics, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 2012, Article ID 871912, 24 pages, 2012.
- [14] Almeida R, Malinowska AB, Torres DFM. A fractional calculus of variations for multiple integrals with application to vibrating string, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), no. 3, 033503, 12 pp.
- [15] Almeida R, Torres DFM. Calculus of variations with fractional derivatives and fractional integrals, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22** (2009), no. 12, 1816–1820.
- [16] Cresson J. Inverse problem of fractional calculus of variations for partial differential equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **15** (2010), no. 4, 987–996.
- [17] El-Nabulsi RA, Torres DFM. Necessary optimality conditions for fractional action-like integrals of variational calculus with Riemann-Liouville derivatives of order (α, β) , *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **30** (2007), no. 15, 1931–1939.
- [18] El-Nabulsi RA, Torres DFM. Fractional action-like variational problems, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008), no. 5, 053521, 7 pp.
- [19] Ferreira RAC, Torres DFM. Fractional h -difference equations arising from the calculus of variations, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **5** (2011), no. 1, 110–121.
- [20] Frederico GSF, Torres DFM. A formulation of Noether’s theorem for fractional problems of the calculus of variations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **334** (2007), no. 2, 834–846.
- [21] Aldwoah KA., Malinowska AB., Torres DFM. The power quantum calculus and variational problems, *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems. Series B: Applications and Algorithms*, **19** (2012), no. 1-2, 93–116.
- [22] Bangerezako G. Variational q -calculus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **289** (2004), no. 2, 650–665.
- [23] Bangerezako G. Variational calculus on q -nonuniform lattices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **306** (2005), no. 1, 161–179.
- [24] Malinowska AB, Torres DFM. The Hahn quantum variational calculus, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **147** (2010), no. 3, 419–442.
- [25] Brito da Cruz AMC, Martins N, Torres DFM. Higher-order Hahn’s quantum variational calculus, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75** (2012), no. 3, 1147–1157.
- [26] Jannussis AD, Papaloucas LC, Siafarikas PD. Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the q -differential operators, *Hadronic J.* **3** (1979/80), no. 6, 1622–1632.
- [27] Cresson J, Non-differentiable variational principles, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **307** (2005), no. 1, 48–64.
- [28] Cresson J, Frederico GSF, Torres DFM. Constants of motion for non-differentiable quantum variational problems, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **33** (2009), no. 2, 217–231.
- [29] Cresson J, Greff I. A non-differentiable Noether’s theorem, *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011), no. 2, 023513, 10 pp.
- [30] Cresson J, Greff I. Non-differentiable embedding of Lagrangian systems and partial differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **384** (2011), no. 2, 626–646.
- [31] Almeida R, Torres DFM. Hölderian variational problems subject to integral constraints, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **359** (2009), no. 2, 674–681.
- [32] Almeida R, Torres DFM. Nondifferentiable variational principles in terms of a quantum operator, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* (2011), 34, 2231–2241.

- [33] Chiang, Alpha C. *Elements of Dynamic optimization*, McGraw-Hill International Editions, 1992.