

On Hamiltonian cycles of power graphs of abelian groups

Himadri Mukherjee*

July 31, 2018

Abstract

In this article we discuss the question of presence of Hamiltonian cycle in the undirected power graph of a group. In the process we develop weighted Hamiltonian cycle concept and prove a few general results regarding the Hamiltonian question.

1 Introduction

Graphs associated to algebraic structures is in literature for a long time. Most notable of it all would probably be the Cayley graph associated to a group. Association of a graph to a group dates back to Cayley (see [6]), and more recently to Dehn in [4]. Dehn reintroduced the Cayley graph of a group and proposed the word problem of the mapping class group of a surface. In the theory of Cayley graphs it is a long standing problem to solve, the conjecture of Lovász, that a vertex transitive graph has a Hamiltonian path and in particular a connected Cayley graph is Hamiltonian. It is an elementary exercise to write down a Hamiltonian cycle when an abelian group acts transitively on a graph. More generally in the framework of Cayley graphs it is easier to get some results on existence of a Hamiltonian cycle when the group is an abelian group.

But the same problem for a non-abelian group still remains open despite repeated attempts by eminent mathematicians. So it is an interesting problem to

*email: himadrim@bits-pilani.goa.ac.in

ask whether the power graph associated to a group is Hamiltonian. The answer to that question is no, as it is trivial to see from the definition of a power graph that the power graph of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ has a cut vertex when p is a prime. The definition of power graph of a finite group occurs in the works of Kelarev et.al in the paper [5], where the directed power graph of a finite group was studied. For a finite group G the graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ is the graph on vertex set $V = G$ where (g, h) is a directed edge from g to h if there is a natural number k such that $h = g^k$. In the survey [1] one can find a very detailed bibliography of the articles related to power graph of a finite group.

In the paper [3] the question of Hamiltonicity of undirected power graph of the group of units in the ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is Introduced. It was shown in the same paper that when the number n is a product of more than one Fermat primes [2] the group of units $U(n) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^*$ as mentioned above does not give a Hamiltonian graph. But the general question remains open whether the group of units in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = U_n$ gives a Hamiltonian graph. In this article we give results that will be instrumental to solve the question raised in [3]. We also develop graph theoretic tools that are useful to tackle such graphs, namely given in clusters of complete graphs. Also as a question in itself the weighted Hamiltonian question is very interesting and useful.

2 Structure of Power Graphs

In this section we define a few basic concepts and prove a few basic results for general power graphs of groups.

Definition 2.1. Power graph $P_G = (V, E)$ of a finite group G is given by the vertex set V and edge set $E = \{(g, h) : \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, g^k = h\}$.

Note that we have a natural direction on the edges of the power graph of a group. In this article we will consider only the undirected power graph of a group as it is easy to observe that there cannot be any directed Hamiltonian cycle in a power graph (identity vertex is a sink). But many a time we will consider the direction, although we will mention it whenever we intend it, to facilitate our study.

Lemma 2.2. *In a directed power graph G if $(x, y), (y, z)$ are two directed edges, then (x, z) is a directed edge.*

Proof. There are $n_x, n_y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^{n_x} = y$ and $y^{n_y} = z$ so putting these two together we have $x^{n_x n_y} = z$. □

Edges obtained in a process described in the above lemma will be called transitive edges.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a group, if (g, h) and (h, g) , directed edges, exist in the power graph of G then $o(g) = o(h)$.*

Proof. Note that order $o(h^k)$ of the element h^k is $\frac{o(h)}{\gcd(o(h), k)}$ and then it follows easily. \square

2.4 Cluster graphs

Throughout this section we will consider the directed power graph of a group.

Definition 2.5. A cluster in a power graph G is a strongly connected component in the associated directed power graph.

Note that a natural graph structure precipitates on the set of clusters C of the power graph $G = (V, E)$ as the quotient graph under the equivalence relation defined by the strong components, namely if C_1, C_2 are two clusters (C_1, C_2) is an edge if there are $x \in C_1, y \in C_2$ such that $(x, y) \in E$. Note that once such an edge exist there will be edges between every pair (z, w) , $z \in C_1, w \in C_2$. Also note that this graph structure acquires a natural direction on the edges and further note that by the lemma 2.2 this direction on the edges of the clusters is not reversible, otherwise the two clusters will be one unified strong component. We will call this the cluster graph of the power graph G . The induced subgraph on the set of vertices of a cluster c is a directed complete subgraph of the graph G , as a result the graph G is totally determined if the cluster graph and the size of the clusters are known. The cluster graph with the vertex weight defined by the size of the cluster totally characterizes the graph G . Let $G = (V, E)$ be a vertex weighted graph with vertex weight $w : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, we define \widetilde{G}^w as a the graph on the set of vertices $\cup_{v \in V} \{v\} \times [w(v)]$ ($[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$) with $((v, i), (u, j))$ an edge if either $v = u$ and $i \neq j$ or $(v, u) \in E$, this operation is reversible since the original graph G is the quotient graph of the graph \widetilde{G}^w where (v, i) is equivalent to (u, j) if $v = u$. Thus a power graph G is isomorphic to the graph \widetilde{C}^w where C is the cluster graph and $w(c) = |c|$. We sum up the above discussion in the following three brief lemmas, the proofs of which are more or less automatic.

Lemma 2.6. *If c_1, c_2, c_3 such that (c_1, c_2) and (c_2, c_3) are directed cluster edges, then there is a directed cluster edge (c_1, c_3) .*

Lemma 2.7. *Let c_1, c_2 be two clusters in a graph G and let $v_i \in c_i$ be vertices such that (v_1, v_2) is an edge in G , then for every $v \in c_1$ and $v' \in c_2$ there are edges (v, v') in G .*

Proof. Follows from the lemma 2.2. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be a directed graph, let $C(G)$ be its cluster graph with $w(c) = |c|$ vertex weights, then $G \simeq \widetilde{C(G)}^w$.*

Throughout in this article, unless otherwise stated, we will assume that the product of two graphs is given in the following manner.

Definition 2.9. Let $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ be two graphs, then the product graph is defined as the graph $G_1 \times G_2 = (V_1 \times V_2, E)$ where $((v_1, w_1), (v_2, w_2))$ defines an edge if $v_1 = v_2$ and $(w_1, w_2) \in E_2$ or $(v_1, v_2) \in E_1$ and $w_1 = w_2$ or $(v_1, v_2) \in E_1$ and $(w_1, w_2) \in E_2$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let G_1, G_2 be two directed graphs and $C(G_i)$ be the corresponding cluster graphs then $C(G_1 \times G_2) = C(G_1) \times C(G_2)$.*

If a cluster edge is not obtained as a transitive edge then it is called an irreducible edge. The directed cluster graph with irreducible edges and the size of each cluster totally determines the original graph since all the edges could be reconstructed by taking the transitive closure of the irreducible edges so the cluster graph with cluster sizes and irreducible edges totally determine the original graph. As a convention for drawing figures, whenever there is not a chance of ambiguity, our edges in a cluster graph will be oriented from bottom to top.

Definition 2.11. A graph $G = (V, E)$ along with a map $f : E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, is called a power graph if there is a group H such that $G = P_H$ and $f(g, h) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : g^k = h\}$.

Let us also define a product of power graphs in the following manner which we will call strong product.

Definition 2.12. Let G_1, G_2 be two power graphs and let f_1, f_2 be two edge functions respectively, then we define a product $G_1 \boxtimes G_2 = (G_1 \times G_2, E_1 \boxtimes E_2)$ where $E_1 \boxtimes E_2$ is given by the edges $((v_1, x_1), (v_2, x_2))$ is an edge if $f_1(v_1, x_1) \cap f_2(v_2, x_2) \neq \emptyset$.




Figure 1: cluster graph with irreducible edges of $\mathbb{Z}_{3^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_3$




Figure 2: Cluster graph of $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$

Theorem 2.13. *If the groups G, G_1, G_2 related as $G = G_1 \times G_2$ then $P_{G_1} \boxtimes P_{G_2} = P_G$, where P_G denotes the power graph of the group G .*

Proof. Only thing that we have to prove is that the edge sets are equal for the both sides. In other words we have to prove that $E_1 \boxtimes E_2 = E$, where E is that edge set of the graph G . Let $(x, y), (g, h) \in G$ such that there is an edge $((x, y), (g, h)) \in E$. Or equivalently we have a natural number k such that $(x, y)^k = (g, h)$ or $x^k = g$ and $y^k = h \iff (x, g) \in E_1$ and $(y, h) \in E_2$ and $f_1(x, g) \cap f_2(y, h) \neq \emptyset$ (since it contains k) $\iff ((x, y), (g, h)) \in E_1 \boxtimes E_2$. \square

Theorem 2.14. *Let G_1, G_2 be two groups with coprime orders then $P_{G_1} \boxtimes P_{G_2} = P_{G_1 \times G_2}$, where $P_{G_1} \times P_{G_2}$ is the product of graphs defined in 2.9.*

Proof. We just have to show that $E_1 \boxtimes E_2 = E_1 \times E_2$ to show that it is enough to show that for any $(x, y) \in E_1$ and $(g, h) \in E_2$, $f_1(x, y) \cap f_2(g, h) \neq \emptyset$. Equivalently, there exists k_1 and k_2 such that $x^{k_1} = y$ and $g^{k_2} = h$. So $f_1(x, y) = \{k_1 + m_1 o(x) : m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $f_2(g, h) = \{k_2 + m_2 o(g) : m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where $o(x)$ and $o(g)$ are prime to each other. So we have to show that there is a solution of the following equations: $x \equiv k_1 \pmod{o(x)}$ and $x \equiv k_2 \pmod{o(g)}$. Now $o(x)$ and $o(g)$ being co-prime,

by Chinese Remainder theorem we get a solution of the above equations say the solution be k . Therefore $x^k = y$ and $g^k = h \Rightarrow (x, g)^k = (y, h)$. Hence follows the theorem. \square

The following few are a few relevant general theorems about Hamiltonian graphs that will be useful later.

Theorem 2.15. *Let G_1, G_2 be two Hamiltonian graphs then $G_1 \times G_2$ is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. Fix a Hamiltonian cycle $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n = v_0$ in the graph G_1 , let us also fix a Hamiltonian cycle $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m = w_0$ in the graph G_2 . We define a Hamiltonian cycle in the graph $G_1 \times G_2$ in the following way. Define paths $\pi_i = (v_0, w_i), (v_1, w_i), \dots, (v_{n-1}, w_i)$ on the product graph. Note that there is an edge in the product graph between the end vertex of the path π_i and the start vertex of the path π_{i+1} for each $i \leq m - 1$. And the end vertex of the path π_m has an edge to the start vertex of the path π_1 . So the concatenation $\pi_0 \pi_1 \dots \pi_m$ is a Hamiltonian cycle in the product graph. \square

Definition 2.16. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let \sim be an equivalence relation on the set of vertices V such that if $v \sim w$ and (w, w') is an edge then $v \sim w'$, the quotient graph $G / \sim = (V', E')$ where $V' = \{[v] : v \in V\}$ where $[v]$ stands for the equivalence class of the vertex v . $([v], [w]) \in E'$ if for any vertex $v_1 \in [v]$ there is a vertex $w_1 \in [w]$ such that $(v_1, w_1) \in E$.

Theorem 2.17. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let \sim be an equivalence relation on the set of vertices V . Let $[v]$ stand for the equivalence class of the vertex v . If the quotient graph G / \sim and the induced subgraphs on equivalence classes $[v]$ are Hamiltonian for all $v \in V$ then G is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. Let us fix a Hamiltonian cycle on the quotient graph $[v_1], [v_2], \dots, [v_l]$. Now also fix a Hamiltonian cycle for each of the induced subgraphs $[v_i]$ let these be $w_{i1}, w_{i2}, \dots, w_{ir_i}$, let us call this path π_i . So we have a cycle $\pi_1 \pi_2, \dots, \pi_l$, this is a path since from the end vertex of π_i that is w_{ir_i} there is an edge to the vertices $\{w_{(i+1)1}, w_{(i+1)2}, \dots, w_{(i+1)r_{i+1}}\}$ without loss of generality let us say to the vertex $w_{(i+1)1}$. So the concatenation works. From the last vertex w_{lr_l} there is an edge to a vertex in the set $\{w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{1r_1}\}$ if it is not the vertex w_{11} we can relabel so that we have a cycle. \square

2.18 p groups

From the previous subsection it is clear that to understand the power graph of a nilpotent group it is required to understand the primary components of it and the study of strong product of these graphs. One could at this point branch out to study the power graphs of general nilpotent groups but in this article we will only consider abelian groups as our main motive dictates that and some structural understanding of the primary abelian groups are easier. So in this subsection we will consider an Abelian p -group G_p for a prime p , which using the structure theorem of Abelian group can be written as $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/p^{\alpha_i}\mathbb{Z}$ for some natural numbers α_i . For $a_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $a_i \leq \alpha_i$ we define $N_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}$ or simply by $N_{\bar{a}}$ to be the set $\prod N_{a_i}$ where $N_{a_i} = \{g \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{\alpha_i}\mathbb{Z} : o(g) = p^{\alpha_i - a_i}\}$. For a tuple $\bar{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ we can define $\bar{a} + 1 = (\min\{a_1 + 1, \alpha_1\}, \min\{a_2 + 1, \alpha_2\}, \dots, \min\{a_n + 1, \alpha_n\})$. Let us define a notation $I_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} = \{\bar{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : \text{where } 0 \leq a_i \leq \alpha_i\}$, if we declare an edge between \bar{a} and $\bar{a} + 1$ then the resulting graph structure on the set $I_{\underline{\alpha}}$ is a tree. For the Abelian p -primary group G_p the tree $I_{\underline{\alpha}}$ is called $N_{\underline{\alpha}}$ tree of the group G_p .

- Remark 2.19.**
1. There is a map $f : N_{\bar{a}} \rightarrow N_{\bar{a}+1}$ where $f(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = (pg_1, pg_2, \dots, pg_n)$
 2. The group $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z})^*$ acts on the set $N_{\bar{a}}$ where $a = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, by the action: $x \in H$ then $x * (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = (\bar{x}g_1, \bar{x}g_2, \dots, \bar{x}g_n)$. Where \bar{x} stands for the images of x under the maps $\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_i}$.

Lemma 2.20. *under the action of the group $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z})^*$ on the $N_{\underline{a}}$ the orbits are precisely the clusters of the power graph of G_p .*

Proof. Let $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in N_{\underline{a}}$ and let O_g be the orbit through g . Let $x * g \in O_g$ for some $x \in H$, now choosing an appropriate representative x' in \mathbb{Z} for the element x , we write $x * g = (\bar{x}g_1, \bar{x}g_2, \dots, \bar{x}g_n) = (x'g_1, x'g_2, \dots, x'g_n) = xg$. Hence there is an edge between g and $x * g$. Now note that the edge is reversible since for $y \in H$ such that $xy = 1$ we have $y(x * g) = yx * g = g$ thus we have an edge from $x * g$ to g . Now if there is $z \in G$ such that there is a reversible edge between z and g , then $z = kg$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ hence z is in the orbit of g . \square

Let us denote the p - group $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/p^{\alpha_i}\mathbb{Z}$ by G_p , observe that the abelian group gets a ring structure by coordinatewise multiplication, we will call this ring to be RG_p . Also let us denote the cluster of an element $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ by $c(\underline{a})$, recall that we call $\text{support}(\underline{a}) = \{i \leq n | a_i \neq 0\}$. The following lemma is provided to make the size of the cluster $c(\underline{a})$ clear.




Figure 3: $N_{r,s}$ tree of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$

Lemma 2.21. Let $\underline{a} \in G_p$ and let m be the maximum among the α_i such that i is in the support of \underline{a} then we have $|c(\underline{a})| = |(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^*|$.

Proof. Recall that $\underline{b} \in c(\underline{a}) \iff \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x\underline{a} = \underline{b}$ and $y\underline{b} = \underline{a}$. Note that we can take the numbers x, y from the ring $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$, where $m = \max\{\alpha_i \mid i \in \text{support}(\underline{a})\}$. Since $x\underline{a} = \underline{b} \implies xy\underline{b} = \underline{b} \implies xy = 1 \in RG_p$, and if $x\underline{a} = y\underline{a}$ then $(x - y)\underline{a} = \underline{0} \implies (x - y) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{\alpha_i}\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in \text{support}(\underline{a})$. Putting these two together we get the result. \square

Corollary 2.22. $|c(\underline{a})| = p^{\alpha_i-1}(p - 1)$, where α_i is maximum of the set $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in \text{support}(\underline{a})\}$.

Let us prove a small lemma concerning the group $H = \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$, for an element $x \in H$ let us define $r(x) = |\{y \in H \mid py = x\}|$.

Lemma 2.23. With the notation as above $r(x) = 0$ if $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^*$ else $r(x) = p$. Further if $y \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}^*$ for some $n \geq m$ then $r(yx) = r(x)$.

In the next lemma we calculate the degree of the clusters in the graph of G_p , $C(G_p)$. Note that in the cluster graph we only take the so called irreducible edges in consideration and we leave the “implied” edges.

Lemma 2.24. Let $c(\underline{a})$ where $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)$ be a cluster in the cluster graph $C(G_p)$ then the indegree of the cluster $\text{indeg}(c(\underline{a})) = \prod_{i=1}^n r(a_i)$.

Proof. The required indegree is given by the number $|\{c(\underline{b}) : p\underline{b} = x\underline{a} \text{ such that } x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\alpha_i}\mathbb{Z})^*\}|$, where α_i is the maximum of the numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n$. Or the number of \underline{b} such that $p(b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n) = x(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)$ or equivalently $pb_i = xa_i$. So the required number is $\prod_{i=1}^n r(xa_i)$ where $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\alpha_i}\mathbb{Z})^*$ fixed. Now by the lemma 2.23 we are done. \square

3 Investigation of Hamiltonian Cycle

3.1 Weighted Hamiltonian Cycle

Given an weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ where $w : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the weight function we define the graph $\widetilde{G}^w = (\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{E})$, where $\widetilde{V} = \cup_{v \in V} \{v\} \times \{1, \dots, w(v)\}$ and there is an edge between (v, i) and (v', i') if either $v = v'$ or $(v, v') \in E$. A weighted graph as defined above is called a w -Hamiltonian graph if there is a path $\pi = v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_n$ such that $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ and $\{v_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\} = V$ and $|\{i | v_i = v\}| \leq w(v)$. Further for such a path $\pi = v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_n$ if $v_1 = v_n$ then the path is called a weighted Hamiltonian cycle or w -Hamiltonian cycle. A graph G with weight function $w : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called w -Hamiltonian if there is a w -Hamiltonian cycle in the graph G with weight w . When the weight is clear from the context we will denote \widetilde{G}^w by \widetilde{G} .

In the lemma below we note a very useful fact, which we will henceforth refer to as the generalized cut lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $G = (V, E, w)$ be a weighted graph, let $C \subset V$, if G is w -Hamiltonian then $w(C) = \sum_{v \in C} w(v) \geq N$ where N is the number of connected components in $G \setminus C$. Further if there exist a w -Hamiltonian path then $w(C) \geq N - 1$.*

Proof. Clearly since there are N connected components in the graph $G \setminus C$ one must visit C (counting multiplicity) at least $N - 1$ times to get a w -Hamiltonian graph. And that is precisely the condition in the statement. \square

Lemma 3.3. *In a graph $G = (V, E)$ if there is a special vertex v_0 such that $(v_0, v) \in E$ for all $v \in V \setminus \{v_0\}$ then there exist a Hamiltonian cycle in the graph G if and only if there is a Hamiltonian path in the graph $G \setminus v_0$.*

Proof. It is clear that if $\pi = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ be a Hamiltonian path in $G \setminus v_0$, then $\pi_1 = v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_0$ gives a Hamiltonian cycle in G . \square

In the lemma below we give a necessary and sufficient condition on a weight function w for which any tree G is a w -Hamiltonian graph.

Lemma 3.4. *A weighted tree $T = (V, E, w)$ is w -Hamiltonian if and only if $w(v) \geq \deg(v), \forall v \in V$.*

Proof. Let the tree $T = (V, E, w)$ be w -Hamiltonian and if possible let there exists $v \in V$ such that $\deg(v) > w(v)$, then $\{v\}$ gives a cut, therefore by cut lemma we have $w(v) \geq$ the number of connected components of $G \setminus v$. So we arrive at a contradiction. Hence $w(v) \geq \deg(v), \forall v \in V$. Conversely, let $w(v) \geq \deg(v), \forall v \in V$. Now choose any $v \in V$ and T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k be the components of $T \setminus v$. Then T_i also satisfies $w(v_i) \geq \deg(v_i), \forall v_i \in T_i$. If there are Hamiltonian cycles $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ in T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k then $v\alpha_1, v\alpha_2, \dots, v\alpha_k$ is a Hamiltonian path in T with $w(v) \geq k$ and $w(v_i) \geq \deg^T(v_i) = \deg^{T_i}(v_i) - 1$. Hence it follows. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let (T, w) be a weighted tree with weight w (here T may or may not be Hamiltonian). Then $(T, \lambda w)$ is Hamiltonian if and only if $\lambda \geq \max_{v \in V(T)} \frac{\deg(v)}{w(v)}$.*

Proof. $(T, \lambda w)$ is Hamiltonian $\Leftrightarrow \lambda w(v) \geq \deg(v), \forall v \in V(T) \Leftrightarrow \lambda \geq \frac{\deg(v)}{w(v)}, \forall v \in V(T) \Leftrightarrow \lambda \geq \max_{v \in V(T)} \frac{\deg(v)}{w(v)}$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *A weighted graph G with weight w is w -Hamiltonian if and only if \widetilde{G} is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. Let the weighted graph (G, w) is w -Hamiltonian. Then let $v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ be a weighted Hamiltonian cycle in G such that $\cup\{v_i\} = V$. Let w_i 's be the corresponding weights of v_i 's. Now consider the cycle $(v_0, w_0), (v_1, w_0), \dots, (v_n, w_0), (v_0, w_1), (v_1, w_1), \dots, (v_n, w_1), (v_0, w_n), \dots, (v_1, w_n), \dots, (v_n, w_n), (v_0, w_0)$, which gives a Hamiltonian cycle in \widetilde{G} . For the other direction, let we have Hamiltonian cycle in \widetilde{G} , say $(v_0, i_0), (v_1, i_1), \dots, (v_r, i_r), (v_0, i_0)$. Then take the cycle according to the vertices v_i 's we get the weighted Hamiltonian cycle. \square

3.7 The grid

Let for a prime p , $G_p = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_i}}$ for some numbers $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the level sets $L_k = \{[x] \in G_p \mid ht(x) = k\}$, where $[x]$ denotes the cluster of the element x and $ht(x)$ is the number $\log_p(o(x))$ or equivalently the number of irreducible edges it takes to reach the cluster of 0 from the cluster of x . Now observe that the induced subgraph on $L_0 \cup L_1$ is a star. We will call this the associated star to the group G_p . Note that the cluster graph of the group G_p gets a graded structure on the set of vertices through these level sets. Or in other words we have $V = \cup_{i=1}^l L_i$.

3.8 Cluster grid of a graph

Recall a subset S of the set of vertices of a graph G is called a cut if the number of connected components in $G \setminus S$ is larger than the number of elements in the set S . In this section we will investigate several cuts in the power graph of a group G . Consider the class of groups $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^m \times \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ let $G(m, n, w)$ be the weighted cluster grid of the group.

Lemma 3.9. *In the graph $G(m, n, w)$ as in above we have:*

1. $S = \{(x, y) \mid x = 0, y \leq n\}$ is a cut if $n > m(p - 1) + 1$.
2. $S = \{(x, y) \mid x \leq m, y = 0\}$ is a cut if $m > n(q - 1) + 1$.
3. $S = \{(x, y) \mid x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0\}$ is a cut if $mn > m(p - 1) + n(q - 1) + 1$.

Theorem 3.10. *The power graph of a the group $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^m \times (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ is not Hamiltonian if $n > m(q - 1) + 1$ or $m > n(q - 1) + 1$ or $mn > m(q - 1) + n(q - 1)$.*

Proof. Follows trivially from the lemma 3.9. □

We can generalize the above lemma for the general grid $Grid_{m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_r}^{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_r}$. Let us take a subset $I \subset [r] = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ We define the cut \mathfrak{S} associated to the subset I is the set of vertices $\cup_{i \in I} C_i$ where $C_i = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_r) : a_i = 0\}$. In this following lemma we will calculate the total weight of the cut and calculate the number of components in it's complement. For a subset $A \subset Grid_{m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_r}^{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_r}$ let us call the dimension of the span of A as the dimension of A . So using this notation dimension of C_i is $r - 1$ or the co-dimension is 1.

Lemma 3.11. *Let A be a subset of the grid $\text{Grid}_{m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_r}^{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_r}$, if the co-dimension of the subset A is more than 1 then it does not disconnect the grid.*

Proof. We will show that $C_{ij} = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_r) | a_i = a_j = 0\}$ for $i \neq j$ and unions of these does not disconnect the grid. And a similar argument will prove that for even a higher co-dimension subsets will not disconnect these. Let us show that the points $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_r)$ with α_i, α_j not both zero is connected by a path to $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_r)$ with β_i, β_j not both zero. First let us show the case that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ and $\beta_j \neq 0$, we have the path $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_r) \rightarrow (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, 0, \dots, \alpha_r)$ (where we have 0 in the j th position, $\rightarrow (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, \beta_j, \dots, \alpha_r)$. Now we use the fact that $\beta_j \neq 0$ to continue the path with $\rightarrow (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, 0, \dots, \beta_j, \dots, \alpha_r)$ where the 0 appears in the i th position. Continue as $\rightarrow (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \beta_i, \dots, \beta_j, \dots, \alpha_r)$. Now we can change the rest of the points similarly. For the case $\alpha_i = \beta_i = 0$ we will start with $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_r) \rightarrow (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, 1, \dots, \alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_r)$ now the final point is connected to $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_i, \dots, \beta_j, \dots, \beta_r)$ as the first point has 1 in the i th place and the second one has a nonzero entry in the j th place. \square

As a corollary of the above lemma one can say that the only subsets that disconnects the grid are unions of C_i for $i \in I$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\text{Grid}_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{u}}$, I and \mathfrak{S} be as above then,*

1. $w(I) = w(\mathfrak{S}) = \sum_{\underline{a} \in \mathfrak{S}} w(\underline{a}) = \prod_{j \in C} u_j \sum_{A \subseteq C, j \in A} m_j u_j + 1$
2. *number of component in $\text{Grid}_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{u}} \setminus \mathfrak{C} = \prod_{j \in C} m_j$.*

Proof. 1.

$$w(\underline{a}) = \sum_{\underline{a} \in \mathfrak{C}} w(\underline{a}) = \sum_{\underline{a} \in \mathfrak{C}} w(a_1)w(a_2) \dots w(a_r)$$

Since for $a_i \neq 0$ $w(a_j) = u_j$.

$$= \sum_{\underline{a} \in \mathfrak{C}} \prod_{a_j \neq 0} u_j$$

Now we take the product $\prod_{j \in C} u_j$ out. Now since for $A \subseteq C$ for $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_r)$ such that $a_i = 0$ for $i \in C \setminus A$, we have $w(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_r) = \prod_{j \in A} u_j$, and

since there are $\prod_{j \in A} m_j$ such elements we have the next equality.

$$= \prod_{j \notin C} u_j \sum_{A \subseteq C} \prod_{j \notin A} m_j u_j$$

2. For this part note that if $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \text{Grid}_m^u \setminus \mathfrak{C}$ then $\underline{a}, \underline{b}$ are not in the same connected component if $\{i : a_i \neq b_i\} \cap C \neq \emptyset$. So the number of connected components are equal to the number of a_i such that $i \in C$, or $\prod_{j \in C} m_j$. \square

As a consequence of the (subsets) described in the above lemma we have the following necessary conditions:

Lemma 3.13. *If for any subset $C \subset [r]$, $w(C) > \prod_{j \in C} m_j$ then the grid Grid_m^u is not Hamiltonian.*

Proof. It's an immediate consequence of the lemma 3.2. \square

4 Grid Algorithms

Let us take the weighted grid graph $\text{Grid}_{m,n}^{u,v}$ where $m, n, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined as the graph with $V = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\} \times \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and directed edges are $((i, j), (l, k))$ if $i = 0$ and $j = 0$ or $i = l$ and $j = 0$ or $i = 0$ and $j = k$. Note that this is just the product graph of the stars $S_m = [m] \cup \{0\}$ and edges from $i \in [m]$ to 0, and S_n . And the weight is given by $w(i, j) = uv$ if $j \neq 0$ and $j \neq 0$ and $w(0, j) = v$ and $w(i, 0) = u$. In the light of the above section it is noted that the Hamiltonicity of such grids are one of the basic questions that we have to discuss. In this section we will discuss such graphs in detail. Note that we can generalize the grid to a general grid as follows $\text{Grid}_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t}^{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t} = \text{Grid}_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{u}}$ is a graph of the product graph of stars S_{m_i} where the weight of a vertex $w(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_t) = \prod_{i=1}^t u_i(x_i)$ with the understanding that $u_i : \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m_i\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a function with $u_i(0) = 1$. If we take the functions $u_i(x) = u_i x$ for $x \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise then we call that a simple grid.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\text{Grid}_{(m,n)}^{(v,u)} = (V, E, w)$ is w -Hamiltonian then $nu + mv \geq mn - 1$ and $mv \geq n - 1$.*

Proof. Let us take $C = \{(i, j) \in V : i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0\}$. Note that $w(C)$ as defined in the lemma 3.2 is $\sum_{v \in C} w(v) = nu + mv + 1$. And the number of connected components in the complement of C is clearly is the set of singleton points (i, j) such that $i \neq 0$ and $j \neq 0$, so the number of components is mn . Now the result follows from the lemma 3.2. \square

Theorem 4.2. *The grid as defined above with all the notations in place with $n \geq m$ is Hamiltonian if $nu + mv \geq mn - 1$ and $mv \geq n - 1$.*

Proof. To prove the above theorem we will make use of the following lemmas. \square

The complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n} = (V, E)$ where $V = [m] \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times [n]$ with weights defined as $w(i, 0) = v$ and $w(0, j) = u$ will be called the associated complete bipartite graph of the grid $Grid_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{u}}$.

For a weighted complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with vertex set $V = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\} \sqcup \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m\}$ with vertex weight w we define an acceptable path in the following way. For a path $\pi = v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_k$ we define $n^\pi(v) = |\{l : v_l = v\}|$ or in other words the number of times the vertex v appears in the path π .

Definition 4.3. A w -Hamiltonian path $\pi = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$ in $K_{m,n}$ is acceptable if there is a partition of edges not in the path $E \setminus \{(v_i, v_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k-1\} = A \sqcup B$, where $A = \cup A_i, B = \cup B_j, A_i = \{l : (x_l, y_i) \in A\}, B_j = \{l : (x_j, y_l) \in B\}$, such that the following two statements are true.

1. $w(x_i) \geq n^\pi(x_i) + |B_i| - 1$ if $v_1 = x_i$, else, $w(x_i) \geq n^\pi(x_i) + |B_i|$.
2. $w(y_j) \geq n^\pi(y_j) + |A_j| - 1$ if $v_1 = y_j$ else, $w(y_j) \geq n^\pi(y_j) + |A_j|$.

Proposition 4.4. $Grid_{(m,n)}^{(v,u)}$ is w -Hamiltonian if and only if there is an acceptable path in the associated complete bipartite graph.

Proof. Let us prove that if there is a w -Hamiltonian cycle in the grid then there is an acceptable path in the associated complete bipartite graph. Without loss of generality we assume that the w -Hamiltonian cycle $\pi = v_0 v_1 \dots v_r v_{r+1}$ starts at the point $(0, 0)$, i.e $v_0 = v_{r+1} = (0, 0)$. For the rest of the vertices $v_i = (x_{j_i}, y_{j_i})$, we call such a vertex interior vertex if $x_{j_i} y_{j_i} \neq 0$. We define a path $\tilde{\pi}$ on the associated complete bipartite graph by ignoring the interior vertices of the path π and realizing the points $(x_i, 0)$ as $(i, 0)$ and $(0, y_i)$ as $(0, i)$. Clearly this is a w -Hamiltonian path. For the acceptable part define $A_i = \{l : (x_i, 0)(x_i, y_l)(x_i, 0) \text{ is an expression in } \pi\}$,

similarly we define $B_j = \{l : (0, y_j)(x_l, y_j)(0, y_j) \text{ is an expression in } \pi\}$. It is easy to check that this is an acceptable path. For the reverse direction we define the w -Hamiltonian path in the grid in the following way, we take an acceptable path $\pi = v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_r$ on $K_{m,n}$ and at the first point when $v_i = (x_j, 0)$ we insert the expression $\prod_{l \in B_j} (x_j, y_l)(x_j, 0)$ and whenever first we have $v_k = (0, y_t)$ we insert the expression $\prod_{s \in A_k} (x_{l_s}, y_t)(0, y_t)$. It is easy to prove that this is a w -Hamiltonian cycle in the grid. \square

Let us consider the path as described below in the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$. Let us assume that $V_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_m\}$, $V_2 = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ and also without a loss of generality let us assume that $m \geq n$. Let us also consider the weight w which is defined as $w(x_i) = v$ and $w(y_j) = u$. Also assume that $m = sn + r$, where $0 \leq r < n$. Let us look at the following path $\pi = v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_{2m-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2, \dots, x_n y_n \\
& x_{n+1} y_1 x_{n+2} y_2, \dots, x_{2n} y_n \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \\
& x_{n(s-1)+1} y_1 x_{n(s-1)+2} \dots x_{ns} y_n \\
& x_{ns+1} y_1 x_{ns+2} y_2 \dots x_{ns+r}
\end{aligned}$$

So we can also say that for $j < m$:

$$v_j = \begin{cases} x_l & \text{if } j \text{ is odd and } l = (j+1)/2 \\ y_k & \text{if } j \text{ is even } k = j \bmod (n) \end{cases}$$

Let us define for a vertex $a \in V_1 \cup V_2$ the number of times the vertex occurs in the path π , $d(a) = |v_j : v_j = a|$, in the following lemma we calculate these numbers precisely for the path.

Lemma 4.5. *For the path π as above we have the following equalities;*

1.

$$d(y_i) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } i \geq r \\ s+1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

2. $d(x_i) = 1$ for all i .

Proof. It follows clearly from the definition of the path. \square

Lemma 4.6. *For the path π above we have $d(y_i) \leq u$.*

Proof. 1. Case $r = 0$. In this case $d(y_i) = m/n$ and we know that $nu \geq m - 1$ so $u \geq (m - 1)/n = m/n - 1/n$ and both u and m/n are integers we have the result of the lemma.

2. Case $i \geq r$. We have $d(y_i) = s$ so $md(y_i) = ns = m - r \leq nu + 1 - r \leq nu$ hence $d(y_i) \leq u$.

3. Case $1 < i < r$. $d(y_i) = s + 1$ or $nd(y_i) = ns + n = m + n - r \leq nu + 1 + n - r$. Hence $d(y_i) \leq u + 1 + n - r/n \leq u$ as $1 + n - r < n$. \square

Now let us consider the weights we have after the path π for the first x_1 and the last x_m visits there will be no weights lost as we are starting from this points or still to move from this points. So the weights used will be for each of the x_i where i is other than $1, m$ we have used up only one weight. And for the y_i we have used as many weights as we have visited these points, that is $d(y_i)$. Let us denote the available weights by $\theta(a)$ for a vertex $a \in V_1 \cup V_2$. And note that we have the following weights left:

$$\theta(x_i) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } i = 1, m \\ v - 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\theta(y_i) = \begin{cases} u - s & \text{if } i \geq r \\ u - s - 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Consider the subset $A_\pi = \{(i, j) : (x_i, y_j) \text{ does not occur in } \pi\}$ of the grid $[m] \times [n]$, with weights $u_i = \theta(x_i)$ and $v_j = \theta(y_j)$. In the following proposition we will prove that this subset is colorable a concept that we are defining in the next subsection see 4.10.

Proposition 4.7. *The subset A_π as defined above is colorable.*

Proof. As a result of 4.10 we have to prove that for any subset $B \subset A_\pi$ we have the inequality:

$$\sum_{i \in \pi_1(B)} u_i + \sum_{j \in \pi_2(B)} v_j \geq |B|$$

or

$$\sum_{i \in \pi_1(B)} \theta(x_i) + \sum_{j \in \pi_2(B)} \theta(y_j) \geq |B|$$

To deal with the case when $1, m \in \pi_1(B)$ we see that the maximum cardinality of such a B is the size of the grid minus the number of edges appearing in the path π . Clearly there are $2m - 1$ edges in the path π so the maximum size of B is $mn - 2m + 1$ in such case the left hand side becomes: $(v - 1)(m - 2) + 2v + (u - s)(n - r) + (u - s - 1)r = mv + nu - 2m + 2$ and since we have $mv + nu \geq mn - 1$ we have the desired colorability in this case. The general case is an easy reiteration of this argument.

□

Let us summarize the above in this following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *The associated complete bipartite to the grid $\text{Grid}_{m,n}^{v,u}$ has an acceptable path if $mv \geq n - 1$, $nu \geq m - 1$ and $mv + nu \geq mn - 1$.*

4.9 Color game

In this section we will build up the subsets A, B for the above path using a game we will call color game. Let us consider a subset $A \subset [m] \times [n]$ where $[m] = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, and there are natural numbers $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n$ and $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m$. The points $(i, j) \in A$ could be colored by either color red if $u_i \geq 1$ and if it is colored red then u_i is reset by $u_i - 1$ and the point could be colored blue if $v_j \geq 1$ and the number v_j is reset by $v_j - 1$. The goal is to color all the points on A with some colors. If there is a legal coloring of the subset with the given weights, so that no weight is allowed to go less than zero, then the subset is called colorable with the given weights.

Lemma 4.10. *A subset $A \subset [m] \times [n]$ is colorable if and only if for every subset $B \subset A$ we have $\sum_{i \in \pi_1(B)} u_i + \sum_{j \in \pi_2(B)} v_j \geq |B|$.*

Proof. The necessary condition is obvious. For the sufficient condition let us give an algorithm:

1. Pick a column i such that $\pi^{-1}(i)$ has the maximum cardinality.
2. If the color available on the X axis is not zero color the point with red from $(i, j_1), (i, j_2), (i, j_3) \dots, (i, j_r)$ where weights $v_{j_1} \leq v_{j_2} \leq \dots \leq v_{j_r}$.
3. Color the rest of the points on $\pi^{-1}(i)$ blue. This can be done since the condition for this subset guarantees that.
4. Now observe that $A' = A \setminus \pi^{-1}(i)$ is a subset of a smaller grid and by the lemma 4.11, A' could be colored by the remaining colors.

□

Lemma 4.11. *Let A' be as above then for every subset $B \subset A'$ we have*

$$\sum_{i \in \pi_1(B)} x_i + \sum_{j \in \pi_2(B)} y_j \geq |B|.$$

Proof. If we realize the subset $B \subset A'$ as a subset of A we get the desired inequality. □

Continuing with the notations from the definition 4.3 we will denote the associated complete bipartite weighted graph to the grid $Grid_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{n}}$ as $K_{m,n}$ with weight w . Let $\pi = v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_l$ be a w -Hamiltonian path in $K_{m,n}$. Let E' be all the edges encountered in this path, let $A_\pi = \{(i, j) | (i, j) \notin E'\}$, and let us take $u_i = w(x_i) - |\{l : v_l = x_i\}|$ if $x_i \neq v_1$ and $w(x_i) - |\{l : v_l = x_i\}| + 1$ else, similarly we define v_j . In the lemma below we characterize the acceptable paths.

Lemma 4.12. *The subset A_π is colorable if and only if the path π is acceptable.*

Proof. If A_π is colorable then define $A_l = \{y_i | (l, i) \text{ is colored red}\}$ and similarly we define $B_l = \{x_i | (i, l) \text{ is colored blue}\}$. Note that since A_π is colorable we have

1. $w(x_l) - n^\pi(x_l) \geq |A_l|$ if $x_l \neq v_1$ else $w(x_l) - n^\pi(x_l) + 1 \geq |A_l|$.
2. $w(y_l) - n^\pi(y_l) \geq |B_l|$ if $y_l \neq v_1$ else $w(y_l) - n^\pi(y_l) + 1 \geq |B_l|$.

This finishes the proof of the acceptability and for the reverse part we color the vertices in A red and those in B blue, the condition for acceptability permits us to have a legal coloring with these colors. □

Corollary 4.13. *The grid $\text{Grid}_{(m,n)}^{(v,u)} = (V, E, w)$ is w -Hamiltonian if and only if $nu + mv \geq mn - 1$ and $nu \geq m - 1, mu \geq n - 1$.*

Proof. Necessary condition was shown in 3.10, and the sufficient condition follows from 4.8 and 4.4. \square

Theorem 4.14. *The powergraph of the Abelian groups $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^n \times (\mathbb{Z}_q)^m$, where p, q are distinct primes, is Hamiltonian if and only if $m(q - 1) \geq n - 1$ and $n(p - 1) \geq m - 1$ and $m(q - 1) + n(p - 1) \geq mn - 1$.*

Proof. Follows from the corollary 4.13 \square

References

- [1] J. Abawajy, A. Kelarev, and M. Chowdhury. Power graphs: a survey. *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl. (EJGTA)*, 1(2):125–147, 2013.
- [2] D. M. Burton. *Elementary number theory*. W. C. Brown Publishers, Dubuque, IA, second edition, 1989.
- [3] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, and M. K. Sen. Undirected power graphs of semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*, 78(3):410–426, 2009.
- [4] M. Dehn. *Papers on group theory and topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987. Translated from the German and with introductions and an appendix by John Stillwell, With an appendix by Otto Schreier.
- [5] A. V. Kelarev and S. J. Quinn. Directed graphs and combinatorial properties of semigroups. *J. Algebra*, 251(1):16–26, 2002.
- [6] D. Witte and J. A. Gallian. A survey: Hamiltonian cycles in Cayley graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 51(3):293–304, 1984.