On bivariate fundamental polynomials

V. Vardanyan (vahagn.vardanyan94@gmail.com) Department of Mathematics and Mechanics Yerevan State University A. Manukyan St. 1 0025 Yerevan, Armenia

Abstract

An *n*-independent set in two dimensions is a set of nodes admitting (not necessarily unique) bivariate interpolation with polynomials of total degree at most *n*. For an arbitrary *n*-independent node set \mathcal{X} we are interested with the property that each node possesses an *n*-fundamental polynomial in form of product of linear or quadratic factors. In the present paper we show that each node of \mathcal{X} has an *n*fundamental polynomial, which is a product of lines, if $\#\mathcal{X} \leq 2n + 1$. Next we prove that each node of \mathcal{X} has an *n*-fundamental polynomial, which is a product of lines or conics, if $\#\mathcal{X} \leq 2n + [n/2] + 1$. We have a counterexample in each case to show that the results are not valid in general if $\#\mathcal{X} \geq 2n + 2$ and $\#\mathcal{X} \geq 2n + [n/2] + 2$, respectively.

Key words: Bivariate polynomial, interpolation, fundamental polynomial, conic, *n*-poised, *n*-independent nodes.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): primary: 41A05, 41A63; secondary 14H50.

1 Introduction

Let Π_n be the space of bivariate polynomials of total degree at most n:

$$\Pi_n = \left\{ \sum_{i+j \le n} a_{ij} x^i y^j : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

We have that

$$N := N_n := \dim \Pi_n = \binom{n+2}{2}.$$

Consider a set of distinct nodes (points)

$$\mathcal{X}_s = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_s, y_s)\}.$$

The problem of finding a polynomial $p \in \Pi_n$ which satisfies the conditions

$$p(x_i, y_i) = c_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots s,$$
 (1.1)

is called interpolation problem. A polynomial $p \in \Pi_n$ is called an *n*-fundamental polynomial for a node $A = (x_k, y_k) \in \mathcal{X}_s$ if

$$p(x_i, y_i) = \delta_{ik}, \quad i = 1, \dots, s,$$

where δ is the Kronecker symbol. We denote this fundamental polynomial by $p_k^{\star} = p_A^{\star} = p_{A,\mathcal{X}_s}^{\star}$. Sometimes we call fundamental also a polynomial that vanishes at all nodes of \mathcal{X} but one, since it is a nonzero constant times a fundamental polynomial.

Definition 1.1. A set of nodes \mathcal{X} is called *n*-independent if all its nodes have fundamental polynomials. Otherwise, \mathcal{X} is called *n*-dependent.

Fundamental polynomials are linearly independent. Therefore a necessary condition of *n*-independence is $\#\mathcal{X} \leq N$. Having fundamental polynomials of all nodes of \mathcal{X} we get a solution of general interpolation problem (1.1) by using the Lagrange formula:

$$p(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i p_i^{\star}(x,y).$$
(1.2)

Thus we get readily that the node set \mathcal{X}_s is *n*-independent if and only if it is *n*-solvable, meaning that for any data $\{c_1, \ldots, c_s\}$ there exists a (not necessarily unique) polynomial $p \in \Pi_n$ satisfying the conditions (1.1).

Definition 1.2. The interpolation problem with the set of nodes \mathcal{X}_s is called *n*-poised if for any data $\{c_1, \ldots, c_s\}$ there exists a unique polynomial $p \in \Pi_n$, satisfying the conditions (1.1).

A necessary condition for *n*-poisedness is $s = #\mathcal{X}_s = N$. We have also that a set \mathcal{X}_N is *n*-poised if and only if it is *n*-independent. The following proposition is based on an elementary Linear Algebra argument.

Proposition 1.3. The interpolation problem with the set of nodes \mathcal{X}_N is *n*-poised if and only if the following condition holds:

$$p \in \Pi_n, \ p(x_i, y_i) = 0, \ i = 1, \dots, N \Rightarrow p = 0.$$

Now let us bring some results on n-independence we shall use in the sequel. Let us start with the following simple but important result of Severi (see [5]):

Theorem 1.4 ([5]). Any set \mathcal{X} , with $\#\mathcal{X} \leq n+1$, is n-independent.

Remark 1.5. For each node $A \in \mathcal{X}$ here we can find *n*-fundamental polynomial which is a product of $\#\mathcal{X} - 1 \leq n$ lines, each of which passes through a respective node of $\mathcal{X} \setminus \{A\}$ and does not pass through A.

Next two results extend the Severi theorem to the cases of sets with no more than 2n + 1 (see [1], Proposition 1) and 3n - 1 (see [3], Theorem 5.3) nodes, respectively.

Theorem 1.6 ([1]). Any set \mathcal{X} , with $\#\mathcal{X} \leq 2n+1$, is n-independent, if and only if no n+2 nodes of \mathcal{X} are collinear.

Theorem 1.7 ([3]). Let \mathcal{X} be set of nodes with $\#\mathcal{X} \leq 3n$. Then the set \mathcal{X} is n-dependent if and only if one of the following hold: i) n + 2 nodes of \mathcal{X} are collinear, ii) 2n + 2 nodes of \mathcal{X} are lying on a conic, iii) $\#\mathcal{X} = 3$, there are curves $\gamma \in \Pi_3$ and $p \in \Pi_n$ such that $\gamma \cap p = \mathcal{X}$.

Here we use the same letter, say p, to denote the polynomial $p \in \Pi_n \setminus \Pi_0$ and the algebraic curve defined by the equation p(x, y) = 0. We denote lines and conics by α and β , respectively.

Note that, according to Theorem 1.3, the interpolation problem with \mathcal{X}_N is *n*-poised if and only if there is no algebraic curve of degree $\leq n$ passing through all the nodes of \mathcal{X}_N .

At the end of this section let us discuss the problem we consider. In view of the Lagrange formula (1.2) it is very important to find *n*-independent (i.e., *n*-solvable) sets for which the fundamental polynomials have the simplest possible forms. In Section 2 we characterize *n*-independent sets for which all fundamental polynomials are products of lines. It is worth mentioning that for the natural lattice, introduced by Chung and Yao in [2], the fundamental polynomials have the mentioned forms. But in this case the nodes satisfy very special conditions. Namely, they are intersection points of some n + 2 given lines. In our characterization (see forthcoming Theorem 2.1, Proposition 2.2) the restrictions on the node set are much more weak. In Sections 3 we consider a much more involved problem. Here we characterize *n*-independent node sets for which all fundamental polynomials are products of lines or conics.

2 The fundamental polynomials as products of lines

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{X} be an n-independent set of nodes with $\#\mathcal{X} \leq 2n + 1$. Then for each node of \mathcal{X} there is an n-fundamental polynomial, which is a product of lines. Moreover, this statement is not true in general for nindependent node sets \mathcal{X} with $\#\mathcal{X} \geq 2n + 2$ and $n \geq 2$.

The first statement of Theorem follows from the following result which covers more wider setting.

Proposition 2.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a set of nodes with $\#\mathcal{X} \leq 2n+1$ and $A \in \mathcal{X}$. Then the following three statements are equivalent

i) The node A has an n-fundamental polynomial,

ii) The node A has an n-fundamental polynomial, which is a product of linear factors,

iii) No n+1 nodes of $\mathcal{X} \setminus \{A\}$ are collinear together with the node A.

3 The fundamental polynomials as products of lines and conics

Theorem 3.1. Let \mathcal{X} be an n-independent set of nodes with $\#\mathcal{X} \leq 2n + [n/2] + 1$. Then for each node of \mathcal{X} there is an n-fundamental polynomial, which is a product of lines and conics. Moreover, this statement is not true in general for n-independent node sets \mathcal{X} with $\#\mathcal{X} \geq 2n + [n/2] + 2$ and $n \geq 3$.

The first statement of Theorem follows from the following result which covers more wider setting.

Proposition 3.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a set of nodes with $\#\mathcal{X} \leq 2n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{X}$. Then the following three statements are equivalent:

i) The node A has an n-fundamental polynomial,

ii) The node A has an n-fundamental polynomial, which is a product of lines and conics,

iii) a) no n+1 nodes of $\mathcal{X} \setminus \{A\}$ are collinear together with A,

b) if n + 1 nodes of $\mathcal{X} \setminus \{A\}$ are collinear and are lying in a line α then no n nodes of $\mathcal{X} \setminus (A \cup \alpha)$ are collinear together with A,

c) no 2n+1 nodes of $\mathcal{X} \setminus \{A\}$ are lying on an irreducible conic together with A.

References

- D. Eisenbud, M. Green and J. Harris (1996) Cayley-Bacharach theorems and conjectures, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 33(3), 295–324.
- [2] Chung, K. C. and Yao, T. H., On lattices admitting unique Lagrange interpolation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 14 (1977), 735-743.
- [3] H. Hakopian and A. Malinyan, Characterization of *n*-independent sets with no more than 3*n* points, Jaén J. Approx. 4(2012), 119 134.
- [4] J. Radon, Zur mechanischen Kubatur, Monatsh. Math. 52 (1948) 286– 300.
- [5] F. Severi, Vorlesungen Euber Algebraische Geometrie (Teubner, Berlin, 1921).