Lexicographic Generation of Projective Spaces

Christoph Hering and Hans-Jörg Schaeffer

Abstract. Lexicographic or first choice constructions of geometric objects sometimes lead to amazingly good results. Usually it is difficult to determine the precise identity of these geometries. Here we find infinitely many cases where the identification actually can be accomplished.

Let $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists exactly one $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ -matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ over $\{0,1\}$ such that $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if none of the following conditions holds,

- there exist $i' < i$ and $j' < j$ such that $a_{i,j'} = a_{i',j'} = a_{i',j'} = 1$;
- $\bullet\ \sum_{j'$
- $\bullet \sum_{i'$

This matrix is called the *naive matrix* of Type (k, r) . (In this paper $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$).

THEOREM. Assume $k = 3$ and $r = 2ⁿ - 1, n \ge 1$ and denote

$$
d = (2^{n+1} - 1)(2^n - 1)/3.
$$

Then the first d rows of A are of the form

$$
\{a, b, a \oplus b\}, \text{ where } 0 < a < b < a \oplus b < 2^{n+1}
$$

and \oplus is the Nim addition.

PROOF. Let L_i be the *i*-th row of our matrix A. Clearly $L_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$ $\{1,2,1\oplus 2\}$. (We identify the rows of A with their support, so $L_i = \{j \mid a_{i,j} = 1\}$.) Let $1 \leq m < d$ and assume that L_1, \dots, L_m are of the form given in the theorem. Denote $\mathcal{L} = \{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$ and $L_{m+1} = \{a, b, c\}$, where $a < b < c$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{1, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1\}$. We call a point $i \in \mathbb{N}$ complete (with respect to \mathcal{L}) if $\sum_{j \leq m} a_{j,i} = r$. Because $|\mathcal{P}_0| \cdot r = d \cdot k > mk$, the points in \mathcal{P}_0 cannot all be complete. Therefore $a \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

Two points $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ are called *connectable (with respect to* \mathcal{L}) if there exists a row in L containing i and j. Note that $|\mathcal{P}_0| = r(k-1) + 1$. Therefore

(1) If a point x in \mathcal{P}_0 is complete, then it is connectable to any other point in \mathcal{P}_0 .

We mention some further properties of our matrix:

If $1 \leq x \leq c$ and $x \neq a, b$, then x is complete or connectable to a or b. Together with (1) we have

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 51E15, 05B15.

Key words and phrases. Greedy algorithms, Lexicographic constructions, Nim addition, {0, 1} matrices, Projective spaces, First choice decisions.

(2) If $1 \leq x < c$ and $x \leq 2^{n+1} - 1$, then x is connectable to a or b.

In the same way

(3) If $1 \leq x < b$ and $x \leq 2^{n+1} - 1$, then x is connectable to a.

The rows (lines) in L through a cover at most $(r-1)(k-1)+1=2^{n+1}-3$ points. A point not covered by these rows cannot be complete by (1). Therefore $b \in \mathcal{P}_0$. Furthermore $a, b < 2^{n+1}$ implies $a \oplus b < 2^{n+1}$, and hence $a \oplus b \in \mathcal{P}_0$ also.

Suppose $a \oplus b < c$. If $a \oplus b$ is connectable to a, then $\mathcal L$ contains the row ${a, a \oplus b, b}$, as $a \oplus (a \oplus b) = b$, a contradiction. Hence $a \oplus b$ is not connectable to a and therefore connectable to b by (2). But then $\mathcal L$ contains the row $\{b, a \oplus b, a\}$, again a contradiction. Therefore $c \le a \oplus b < 2^{n+1}$, and $c \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

Suppose now that $c < a \oplus b$. We use the greediness of the Nim addition. We have $c \oplus a < b$ or $c \oplus b < a$ by the Greediness Lemma below. In the first case $c \oplus a$ is connectable to a by (3), and L contains the row $\{a, c \oplus a, (c \oplus a) \oplus a\} = \{a, c \oplus a, c\}$, a contradiction. In the second case $c \oplus b$ is complete and hence connectable to b by the row $\{c \oplus b, b, (c \oplus b) \oplus b\} = \{c \oplus b, b, c\}$, which again is a contradiction.

Therefore there only remains the case $c = a \oplus b$.

LEMMA. Greediness Lemma. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $c < a \oplus b$, then $a \oplus c < b$ or $b \oplus c < a$.

PROOF. Let $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots), b = (b_0, b_1, \dots)$ and $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots)$ be the binary expansions (so, e.g., $a = a_0 + a_1 2^1 + a_2 2^2 + \cdots$, and $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$). Let j be the largest number such that $c_j \neq a_j \oplus b_j$. Then $c < a \oplus b$ implies $c_j = 0$ and $a_j \oplus b_j = 1$ so that $a_j = 1$, $b_j = 0$ or $b_j = 1$, $a_j = 0$. Assume at first $a_j = 1$ and $b_j = 0$. For $k > j$ we have $c_k = a_k \oplus b_k$ and hence $a_k = b_k \oplus c_k$. On the other hand the j−th coefficient of $c \oplus b$ is $c_j \oplus b_j = 0$, while $a_j = 1$. So $c \oplus b < a$.

In the same way, the second possibility $b_i = 1$ and $a_i = 0$ implies $c \oplus a < b$. Here, again, \oplus stands for the Nim addition.

 $\mathcal{P}_0 \cup \{0\}$ is a subgroup of order 2^{n+1} of the elementary abelian 2– group (N, ⊕). The row $\{a, b, a \oplus b\}$ above consists of the non-zero elements of a subgroup order 4 of $\mathcal{P}_0\cup\{0\}$. As d is the number of subgroups of order 4 of $\mathcal{P}_0\cup\{0\}$, the rows L_1,\cdots,L_d correspond to the set of all subgroups of order 4 of this group. Therefore the upper left hand corner of our matrix contains the incidence matrix of a projective space $PG(n, 2)$, the columns corresponding to points and the rows to lines.

Clearly $a_{i,j} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $j > 2^{n+1} - 1 := s$ and also for $i > d$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$ (as $d \cdot k = d \cdot 3 = s \cdot r$). Therefore $a_{i+d,j+s} = a_{ij}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Naive matrices of the form presented here arise from lexicographic or first choice constructions of (k, r) -configurations. The Greediness Lemma above is related to results of Conway in [[1](#page-1-0)]. For a more detailed analysis and further literature see [[2](#page-1-1)] and [[3](#page-2-0)].

Using some more algebra we can generalize the Theorem to $k = q + 1$ and $r = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$, where $q = 2^{2^a}, a \ge 0$ is a Fermat 2-power. We then obtain incidence matrices of the point-line designs of projective spaces over $GF(q)$.

References

^[1] Conway, J.H.: On Numbers and Games. Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco (1976).

^[2] Hering, C., A. Krebs, and T. Edgar: Naive configurations. Des. Codes Cryptogr. 72 (2014) 719-731. DOI 10.1007/s10623-013-9797-4.

[3] Hering, C., A. Krebs, and T. Edgar: Non-symmetric lexicographic configurations. Group theory, combinatorics, and computing, 49-58, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 611, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TÜBINGEN, AUF DER MORGENSTELLE $10, \, D72076$ TÜBINGEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: hering@uni-tuebingen.de

ROSSBERGSTR. 47, D72072 TÜBINGEN, GERMANY E-mail address: hjs@hjschaeffer.de