

QUASI-GALOIS POINTS

SATORU FUKASAWA, KEI MIURA AND TAKESHI TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. We introduce the new notion of the “quasi-Galois point” in Algebraic geometry, which is a generalization of the Galois point. A point P in projective plane is said to be quasi-Galois for a plane curve if the curve admits a non-trivial birational transformation which preserves the fibers of the projection π_P from P . We discuss the standard form of the defining equation of curves with quasi-Galois points, the number of quasi-Galois points, the structure of the Galois group for the projection, relations with dual curves, and so on. Our theory also has applications to the study of automorphism groups of algebraic curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to establish the theory of the “*quasi-Galois point*” in Algebraic geometry.

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, and let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an irreducible plane curve of degree $d \geq 4$. Consider a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and let $\pi_P : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projection from P . The Galois closure of $K(C)/\pi_P^*K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is denoted by L_P , and the Galois group by G_P . In this situation, Hisao Yoshihara introduced the notion of the *Galois point* in 1996 (see e.g. [6, 16, 25]). A point P is said to be Galois, if the function field extension $K(C)/\pi_P^*K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is Galois. There are many results on Galois point: the number of Galois points for smooth or singular curves, characterization of curves with Galois points, the structure of the Galois group, and so on. Also, Galois points have some applications to the study of algebraic curves (see [6] and references therein). On the other hand, when $p = 0$, it follows from theorems of Yoshihara [25] (if C is smooth) and Pirola–Schlesinger [19] that there exist only finitely many points $P \in C$ (resp. $P \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$) such that G_P

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H50, 14H05, 12F10.

Key words and phrases. quasi-Galois point, Galois point, Galois group, plane curve.

The first author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25800002.

The second author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26400057.

The third author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25400059.

is not the full symmetric group S_{d-1} (resp. S_d). There are several papers studying those exceptional non-Galois points (see e.g. [13, 16, 17, 23, 25]).

We would like to extend the study of Galois points and to study systematically points P such that G_P is not the full symmetric group. To do these, we introduce the notion of the *quasi-Galois point*. For a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$, we define the set

$$G[P] := \{\tau \in \text{Bir}(C) \mid \tau(C \cap \ell \setminus \{P\}) \subset \ell \text{ for a general line } \ell \ni P\}$$

of all birational transformations of C preserving the fibers of the projection π_P .

Definition 1.1. If $|G[P]| \geq 2$, then we say that P is a *quasi-Galois point*.

Remark 1.2. (1) We have $G[P] = \{\tau \in \text{Bir}(C) \mid \pi_P \circ \tau = \pi_P\}$.

(2) The condition $|G[P]| = \deg \pi_P$ holds if and only if P is Galois.

As an extension of the study of Galois points, it is important to determine the number of quasi-Galois points, since we have succeeded in obtaining several characterization results by the number of Galois points. We will discuss the number of quasi-Galois points in Sections 4, 5 and 6. In Section 4, we give upper bounds for the number in general, and determine the number for curves of high degree (Theorems 4.6 and 4.8). Furthermore, we characterize smooth sextic curves attaining an upper bound (Theorem 4.12). In Section 5, we determine completely for Fermat curves (Theorem 5.3). In Section 6, for quartic curves, we give a sharp upper bound, and describe curves attaining the bound (Theorem 6.9). Theorem 6.9 presents a characterization of the Klein quartic, since it is known that the described curve is projectively equivalent to the Klein quartic.

On the structure of G_P for a quasi-Galois point P , we will show that G_P is very small compared to the full symmetric group, at least when $p = 0$ and C is smooth (Proposition 3.9). In Section 7, we give a criterion for $G_P \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \times D_{2n}$ in terms of quasi-Galois point (Theorem 7.2). As initiated in Fukasawa–Miura’s papers [7, 8], by considering the dual curve, we have many examples of projections from points P such that G_P is not the full symmetric group. In Section 8, we mention the relations between quasi-Galois points and the dual curve.

Quasi-Galois points contribute to large automorphism groups. We will show that if the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(C)$ of a smooth plane curve C is simple and of even order, then $\text{Aut}(C)$ is generated by associated groups $G[P]$ with quasi-Galois points P (Theorem 3.12). The Klein quartic and the Wiman sextic are such examples of

curves. According to a theorem of Harui [10, Theorem 2.3], smooth plane curves with $|\text{Aut}(C)| > 6d^2$ must be the Klein quartic or the Wiman sextic. On the other hand, we will obtain the standard form of the defining equation of curves with quasi-Galois points (Theorem 3.5). By considering the standard form, we have that there exist quasi-Galois points for smooth plane curves of four types (i), (iii), (iv) and (v) with large automorphism groups in Harui's classification list [10, Theorem 2.5].

This article contains thirteen new theorems. Theorems 3.5, 4.6, 4.8 and 4.9 are generalizations of Yoshihara–Miura's theorems [16, 25] on Galois point. Theorem 4.12 is a new characterization result, by virtue of the generalization as quasi-Galois points. Theorems 3.10, 3.12, 4.13 and 5.4 are extensions of works of Kanazawa–Takahashi–Yoshihara [11] and Miura–Ohbuchi [15]. Theorem 5.3 is a generalization of Yoshihara–Miura's result [16, 17] on Fermat curves. Theorem 6.9 is the case of outer points compared to Takahashi's work [23]. Theorem 7.2 is a new result on the Galois closure of a projection for a smooth plane curve (cf. [13, 16, 25]). Theorem 8.4 adds new information to Fukasawa–Miura's work [7, 8].

Needless to say, the notions of Galois points for a hypersurface [26], Galois lines for a space curve [27], Galois subspaces, and Galois embeddings of an algebraic variety [28] are naturally generalized as *quasi-Galois points*, *quasi-Galois lines*, *quasi-Galois subspaces*, and *quasi-Galois embeddings*.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We introduce the system $(X : Y : Z)$ of homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^2 with local coordinates $x = X/Z, y = Y/Z$ for the affine open set $Z \neq 0$. If $P \in C$ is a smooth point, the (projective) tangent line at P is denoted by $T_P C$. For a projective line $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and a point $P \in C \cap \ell$, the intersection multiplicity of C and ℓ at P is denoted by $I_P(C, \ell)$. The line passing through points P and Q is denoted by \overline{PQ} , when $P \neq Q$, and the projection from a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ by π_P , which is the rational map from C to \mathbb{P}^1 represented by $Q \mapsto \overline{PQ}$. If $Q \in C$ is a smooth point, the ramification index of π_P at Q is denoted by e_Q . We note the following elementary fact.

Fact 2.1. *Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$, and let $Q \in C$ be a smooth point. Then, for π_P we have the following.*

- (1) *If $P = Q$, then $e_P = I_P(C, T_P C) - 1$.*
- (2) *If $P \neq Q$, then $e_Q = I_Q(C, \overline{PQ})$.*

If a Galois covering $\theta : C \rightarrow C'$ between smooth curves is given, then the Galois group G acts on C naturally. The stabilizer subgroup of P is denoted by $G(P)$. The following fact is useful (see [21, III. 7.2, 8.2]).

Fact 2.2. *Let $\theta : C \rightarrow C'$ be a Galois covering of degree d , and let G be the Galois group. Then, we have the following.*

- (1) *The order of $G(P)$ is equal to e_P at P for any point $P \in C$.*
- (2) *Let $P, Q \in C$. If $\theta(P) = \theta(Q)$, then $e_P = e_Q$.*

3. FUNDAMENTAL RESULTS

We start with the following lemma, due to field theory.

Lemma 3.1. *The set $G[P]$ is a subgroup of $\text{Bir}(C)$ such that the order $|G[P]|$ divides the degree of π_P .*

Proof. It is not difficult to check that $G[P]$ is a subgroup of $\text{Bir}(C)$. Since the fixed field $K(C)^{G[P]}$ is an intermediate field of $K(C)/\pi_P^*K(\mathbb{P}^1)$, $|G[P]|$ divides $\deg \pi_P$. \square

Example 3.2. Let $p = 0$ and $\deg \pi_P = 4$. Then, P is quasi-Galois with $|G[P]| = 2$ if and only if G_P is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_8 of order eight.

Let $G_0[P] \subset G[P]$ be the set of all elements of $G[P]$ which are the restrictions of some linear transformations of \mathbb{P}^2 .

Definition 3.3. A point P is said to be extendable quasi-Galois if $|G_0[P]| \geq 2$.

Remark 3.4. If C is smooth, then any automorphism is the restriction of a linear transformation (see [1, Appendix A, 17 and 18] or [4]). Therefore, every quasi-Galois point is extendable and $G[P] = G_0[P]$.

Theorem 3.5 (cf. [14, 25, 29]). *If $p = 0$ or p does not divide the order $|G_0[P]|$, then the group $G_0[P]$ is a cyclic group. Furthermore, for an integer $n \geq 2$, n divides $|G_0[P]|$ if and only if there exists a linear transformation ϕ such that*

- (1) $\phi(P) = (1 : 0 : 0)$,
- (2) *there exists an element $\sigma \in G_0[\phi(P)] \subset \text{Bir}(\phi(C))$ which is represented by the matrix*

$$A_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where ζ is a primitive n -th root of unity, and

(3) $\phi(C)$ is given by

$$\sum_i G_{d-ni}(Y, Z)X^{ni} = 0,$$

where G_{d-ni} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d - ni$ in variables Y, Z .

Proof. We can assume that $P = (1 : 0 : 0)$. The projection π_P is given by $(x : y : 1) \mapsto (y : 1)$. We have a field extension $K(x, y)/K(y)$. Let $\sigma \in G_0[P]$, and let $A_\sigma = (a_{ij})$ be a matrix representing σ , as $\sigma : (X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Y : Z) {}^t A_\sigma$. Since $\sigma^*(y) = y$,

$$(a_{21}x + a_{22}y + a_{23}) - (a_{31}x + a_{32}y + a_{33})y = 0$$

in $K(C) = K(x, y)$. Since $d \geq 4$, we have $a_{21} = a_{23} = a_{31} = a_{32} = 0$ and $a_{22} = a_{33}$. We take the representative matrix with $a_{22} = a_{33} = 1$. Let $N = |G_0[P]|$. If $a_{11} = 1$, then, by $A_\sigma^N = 1$, we have $Na_{12} = Na_{13} = 0$. Since N is not divisible by p if $p > 0$, $a_{12} = a_{13} = 0$. Then, we have an injective homomorphism

$$G_0[P] \hookrightarrow K \setminus 0; \sigma \mapsto a_{11}(\sigma),$$

where $a_{11}(\sigma)$ is the $(1, 1)$ -element of A_σ . Therefore, $G_0[P]$ is a cyclic group.

By the assumption, the order of any element of $|G_0[P]|$ is not divisible by p if $p > 0$. Assume that $n \geq 2$ divides $|G_0[P]|$. Since $G_0[P]$ is a cyclic group, there exists an element $\sigma \in G_0[P]$ of order n and σ is represented by the matrix

$$A_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b \in K$ and ζ is a primitive n -th root of unity. If we take

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 - \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - \zeta \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$B^{-1}A_\sigma B = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We take the linear transformation given by $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Y : Z) {}^t B^{-1}$, so that we have assertion (2). Let $f(x, y) = \sum_i a_i(y)x^i$ be a defining polynomial with $a_0(y) \neq 0$. Then, $\sigma^*f = \sum_i a_i(y)\zeta^i x^i$. There exists $c \in K$ such that $cf = \sigma^*f$. Since

$a_0(y) \neq 0$, we have $c = 1$. For all i , $a_i(y) = a_i(y)\zeta^i$. If $a_i(y) \neq 0$, then $\zeta^i = 1$. This implies that n divides i . We have assertion (3).

The if-part is obvious. \square

Corollary 3.6. *For $\sigma \in G_0[P] \setminus \{1\}$, we define $F[P] := \{Q \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid \sigma(Q) = Q\}$. If we use the standard form as in Theorem 3.5, $F[P] = \{P\} \cup \{X = 0\}$. In particular, the set $F[P]$ does not depend on σ .*

Corollary 3.7. *Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2$. If $P_1 \neq P_2$, then $G_0[P_1] \cap G_0[P_2] = \{1\}$.*

Example 3.8 (Miura, Remark 1 in [25]). If we do not assume that C is smooth, there exists an example with $1 < |G_0[P]| < |G[P]|$. For example, if $p = 0$, C is defined by

$$Y(X^2 + Y^2)^n + X^{n+1}Z^n + Y^{n+1}Z^n + YZ^{2n} = 0,$$

and $P = (0 : 0 : 1)$, then $|G_0[P]| = n$, and $G[P] = G_P$ is the dihedral group of order $2n$.

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that $p = 0$ and $P = (1 : 0 : 0)$ is extendable quasi-Galois with $|G_0[P]| = n \geq 2$. Then, C is given by $f(x^n, y) = 0$ in the affine plane $Z \neq 0$ for some polynomial $f(X, y)$. Let r be the degree of $f(X, y)$ in variable X , and let R be the degree of the Galois closure of $K(X, y)/K(y)$. Then,*

$$n \times r \leq |G_P| \leq R \times n^r.$$

In particular,

$$n \leq |G_P| \leq r! \times n^r.$$

Proof. Let $x_1 (= x^n), x_2, \dots, x_r$ be the roots of $f(X, y)$. Then, we have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(x, y) & \text{---} & K(y, x, \sqrt[n]{x_2}, \dots, \sqrt[n]{x_r}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(x^n, y) & \text{---} & K(y, x^n, x_2, \dots, x_r) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ K(y) & & \end{array}$$

Then, the field $K(y, x, \sqrt[n]{x_2}, \dots, \sqrt[n]{x_r})$ becomes the Galois closure of $K(x, y)/K(y)$. Since $[K(x, y) : K(y)] = n \times r$ and $[K(y, x, \sqrt[n]{x_2}, \dots, \sqrt[n]{x_r}) : K(y, x^n, x_2, \dots, x_r)] \leq n^r$, we have the conclusion. \square

If C is smooth, we define

$$G_n(C) = \langle G[P] \mid P : \text{quasi-Galois with } |G[P]| = n \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(C)$$

after Kanazawa–Takahashi–Yoshihara [11] and Miura–Ohbuchi [15]. Similar to [15, Theorem 1], we have the following.

Theorem 3.10. *Let C be smooth. Then, $G_n(C)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(C)$.*

On the other hand, we have the following.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $p \neq 2$, and let C be smooth. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The order $|\text{Aut}(C)|$ is even.*
- (2) *The group $\text{Aut}(C)$ contains an involution.*
- (3) *There exists a quasi-Galois point P such that $|G[P]|$ is even.*

Proof. If $|\text{Aut}(C)|$ is even, then a Sylow 2-group contains an element of order two. Therefore, we have (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since $G[P]$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(C)$ as in Lemma 3.1, the assertion (3) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious.

We prove (2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(C)$ be an involution, and let A_σ be a matrix representing σ . Since $A_\sigma^2 = \lambda I_3$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$, where I_3 is the identity matrix, we can assume that $A_\sigma^2 = I_3$. The eigenvalues of A_σ are 1 or -1 . For any vector $x \in K^3$, $(A - E)x$ and $(A + E)x$ are contained in the direct sum of eigenspaces, since $(A + E)(A - E) = (A - E)(A + E) = 0$. Then, $2x = -(A - E)x + (A + E)x$ also. By the assumption $p \neq 2$, the direct sum of eigenspaces spans the vector space K^3 . We find that A_σ is diagonalizable. For a suitable system of coordinates, we can assume that A_σ is one of the following matrices:

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.5 that $(1 : 0 : 0)$, $(0 : 1 : 0)$ and $(0 : 0 : 1)$ are quasi-Galois, for each case. Then, $|G[P]|$ is even. \square

Combining Theorem 3.10 and Proposition 3.11, we have the following.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $p \neq 2$, and let C be smooth. If $\text{Aut}(C)$ is simple and $|\text{Aut}(C)|$ is even, then $\text{Aut}(C) = G_n(C)$ for some even integer $n \geq 2$. For example, if $p = 0$,*

and C is the Klein quartic or the Wiman sextic (see [5, 24] and [10, Remark 2.4]), then $\text{Aut}(C) = G_2(C)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.11, if $|\text{Aut}(C)|$ is even, then there exists a quasi-Galois point P with $|G[P]| = n$, where n is an even integer. Since $G_n(C) \neq \{1\}$ is a normal subgroup by Theorem 3.10, by the assumption that $\text{Aut}(C)$ is simple, we have that $G_n(C) = \text{Aut}(C)$.

For the Klein quartic and the Wiman sextic, $\text{Aut}(C)$ is a simple group of even order (see [3, Section 232], [9, pp.348–349], [12] for the Klein quartic and see [24] for the Wiman sextic). Therefore, the number n is equal to two or four (resp. two or six) for the Klein quartic (resp. the Wiman sextic). It follows from [16, Example 4.8] that there does not exist a point P with $|G[P]| = 4$ for the Klein quartic. For the Wiman sextic, if there exists a point P with $|G[P]| = 6$, then there exists an element of order six, by Theorem 3.5. Since $\text{Aut}(C) \cong A_6$ for the Wiman sextic, there does not exist an element of order six. Therefore, $n = 2$. \square

In Sections 4, 5 and 6, we study the number of quasi-Galois points. To do this, we introduce some symbols here. The number of quasi-Galois points $P \in C$ with $|G[P]| = n$ (resp. $|G[P]| \geq n$) is denoted by $\delta[n]$ (resp. $\delta[\geq n]$). Similarly, we define $\delta'[n]$ and $\delta'[\geq n]$, when we consider the case $P \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$.

Hereafter this article, we assume that $p = 0$.

4. THE NUMBER OF QUASI-GALOIS POINTS FOR SMOOTH CURVES

In this section, we assume that C is smooth.

Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ be quasi-Galois for C with $|G[P]| = n \geq 2$. Then, $G[P] = G_0[P]$. We consider ramification points for the projection π_P .

Proposition 4.1. *There exist d points $Q_1, \dots, Q_d \in C \cap (F[P] \setminus \{P\})$ such that $P \in T_{Q_i}C$ and $I_{Q_i}(C, T_{Q_i}C) = l_i n$ for some integer $l_i \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $Q \in C \cap (F[P] \setminus \{P\})$. By Corollary 3.6, $\sigma(Q) = Q$ for each $\sigma \in G[P]$. By Fact 2.2(1), the ramification index at Q for the covering map $C \mapsto C/G[P]$ is equal to n . Since the projection π_P is the composite map of $C \rightarrow C/G[P]$ and $C/G[P] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, the ramification index e_Q at Q for π_P is equal to ln for some $l \geq 1$. By Fact 2.1(2), $e_Q = I_Q(C, \overline{PQ}) = ln$ and $\overline{PQ} = T_Q C$. Furthermore, the line given by $F[P] \setminus \{P\}$ consists of exactly d points. \square

If $P \in C$, we have the following.

Proposition 4.2. *If $P \in C$, then we have $I_P(C, T_P C) = ln + 1$ for some integer $l \geq 1$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.6, for any $\sigma \in G[P]$, $\sigma(P) = P$. Then the covering map $C \rightarrow C/G[P]$ is ramified at P with index n , by Fact 2.2(1). Since the projection π_P is the composite map of $C \rightarrow C/G[P]$ and $C/G[P] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, the ramification index e_P at P is equal to ln for some $l \geq 1$. Note that $e_P = I_P(C, T_P C) - 1$, by Fact 2.1(1). We have $I_P(C, T_P C) = ln + 1$. \square

The following fact is well-known.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(C)$ be a subgroup, and let $Q \in C$ be a point. If $\sigma(Q) = Q$ for any $\sigma \in G$, then G is a cyclic group.*

Using this fact, we have the following.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ be points with $|G[P_1]| = n_1 \geq 2$, $|G[P_2]| = n_2 \geq 2$.*

- (1) *If $P_1, P_2 \in C$, then $C \cap F[P_1] \cap F[P_2] \subset \{P_1, P_2\}$. Furthermore, if n_1 and n_2 are not coprime, then we have $C \cap F[P_1] \cap F[P_2] = \emptyset$.*
- (2) *If $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$, then $C \cap F[P_1] \cap F[P_2] = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a point $Q \in C \cap F[P_1] \cap F[P_2]$. Note that, by definition, points P_1, P_2 and Q are collinear.

First, we assume that n_1 and n_2 are divisible by some integer $n \geq 2$. Since $G[P_1]$ and $G[P_2]$ are cyclic by Theorem 3.5, there exist subgroups of $G[P_1]$ and $G[P_2]$ of order n respectively. Let G be the group generated by such subgroups. Then, G fixes the point Q . By Lemma 4.3, G is a cyclic group. Therefore, by Corollary 3.7, G is a cyclic group of order n^2 . However, the cyclic group of order n^2 has a unique subgroup of order n . This is a contradiction. In particular, we have the latter assertion of (1).

Next, we consider the case where $Q \neq P_1, P_2$. Let $\sigma \in G[P_1] \setminus \{1\}$. Since σ fixes P_1 and Q on the line $\overline{P_1 Q} = \overline{P_2 Q}$, we have $P_3 := \sigma(P_2) \neq P_2$. Then, $G[P_3] = \sigma G[P_2] \sigma^{-1}$ and $Q \in C \cap F[P_2] \cap F[P_3]$. By the above discussion, we have a contradiction. We have assertions (1) and (2). \square

Remark 4.5. When $P_1, P_2 \in C$ and $|G[P_1]|, |G[P_2]|$ are coprime, the assertion $C \cap F[P_1] \cap F[P_2] = \emptyset$ does not hold in general. Let $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$, and let C be the smooth plane curve defined by

$$X^6 Z + X^3 Y^4 + Y^6 Z + Z^7 = 0.$$

By Theorem 3.5, $|G[P_1]| = 3$, $|G[P_2]| = 2$, and $C \cap F[P_1] \cap F[P_2] = \{P_1, P_2\}$.

For the number of quasi-Galois points on C , we have the following.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $n := n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_r$ be positive integers which are divisible by some integer $m \geq 2$. Then, we have the following.*

- (1) $\sum_{i=1}^r \delta[n_i](n_i - 1 + d(n_i - 2)) \leq 3d(d - 2)$.
- (2) *If $(n + 1)(n - 1 + d(n - 2)) > 3d(d - 2)$, then $\sum_i \delta[n_i] \leq 1$.*
- (3) *If $(n^2 + n + 1)(n - 1 + d(n - 2)) > 3d(d - 2)$, then $\sum_i \delta[n_i] \leq d$.*

Proof. Let $P \in C$ be a point with $|G[P]| = n \geq 2$. By Proposition 4.2, $I_P(C, T_P C) \geq n + 1$. By Proposition 4.1, there exist d points $Q \in C \cap (F[P] \setminus \{P\})$ such that $P \in T_Q C$ and $I_Q(C, T_Q C) \geq n$. Therefore, for each quasi-Galois point $P \in C$ with $|G[P]| = n$, we need at least $(d + 1)$ flexes (one flex if $n = 2$), and the sum of their orders is at least

$$n + 1 - 2 + d(n - 2).$$

It follows from Proposition 4.4 that there exists no point $Q \in C$ such that $Q \in F[P_1] \cap F[P_2]$ for different quasi-Galois points P_1 and P_2 if $|G[P_1]|$ and $|G[P_2]|$ are not coprime. By the flex formula [18, Theorem 1.5.10], we have assertion (1).

We consider assertion (2). Assume by contradiction that $\sum_i \delta[n_i] \geq 2$. Let $P_1, P_2 \in C$ be points such that $|G[P_1]|, |G[P_2]|$ are at least n and divisible by m . By Proposition 4.4, $\sigma(P_2) \neq P_2$ for any $\sigma \in G[P_1] \setminus \{1\}$. Therefore, we have $\sum_i \delta[n_i] \geq n + 1$. By assertion (1), we have the inequality

$$(n + 1)(n - 1 + d(n - 2)) \leq 3d(d - 2).$$

This is a contradiction.

We consider assertion (3). Assume by contradiction that $\sum_i \delta[n_i] > d$. Then, we have three points $P_1, P_2, P' \in C$ such that $|G[P_1]|, |G[P_2]|$ and $|G[P']|$ are at least n , are divisible by m , and $P' \notin \overline{P_1 P_2}$. Similar to the proof of assertion (2), we have at least $n + 1$ quasi-Galois points P_1, \dots, P_{n+1} on the line $\overline{P_1 P_2}$. Further, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$, there exist $n + 1$ quasi-Galois points on the line $\overline{P_i P'}$. Therefore, we have at least $(n + 1) \times n + 1$ quasi-Galois points. By assertion (1), we have the inequality

$$(n^2 + n + 1)(n - 1 + d(n - 2)) \leq 3d(d - 2).$$

This is a contradiction. □

Corollary 4.7. *Assume that $d \geq 7$, d is odd, and $n = (d - 1)/2$. Then, we have $\delta[\geq n] \leq d$. Furthermore, $\delta[\geq n] \leq 1$ if $d \geq 15$.*

For the number of quasi-Galois points in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$, we have the following.

Theorem 4.8. (1) $\sum_{n \geq 3} \delta'[n](n - 2) \leq 3(d - 2)$.
 (2) *If $(n + 1)(n - 2) > 3(d - 2)$, then $\delta'[\geq n] \leq 3$.*

Proof. We consider assertion (1). Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.6, for each quasi-Galois point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$, we need at least d flexes, and the sum of their orders is at least

$$d \times (n - 2).$$

Using Proposition 4.4 and the flex formula, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 3} \delta'[n]d(n - 2) \leq 3d(d - 2).$$

We consider assertion (2). Assume that $\delta'[\geq n] \geq 2$. Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ be points with $|G[P_1]| \geq n$, $|G[P_2]| \geq n$. By Corollary 3.6, if $P_2 \notin F[P_1]$, then $\delta[\geq n] \geq n + 1$. By assertion (1), we have the inequality

$$(n + 1)d(n - 2) \leq 3d(d - 2).$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, $P_2 \in F[P_1]$. If P_3 is another quasi-Galois point, then $P_3 \in F[P_1] \cap F[P_2]$. We have $\delta'[\geq n] \leq 3$. \square

We consider the case where $d \geq 6$, d is even, and $n = d/2$. By Theorem 4.8(1),

$$\delta'[\geq n] \leq 3 \times \frac{d - 2}{n - 2} \leq 3 \times 4 = 12.$$

Note that $(n + 1)(n - 2) > 3(d - 2)$ if and only if $d \geq 14$. Using Theorem 4.8(2), we have the following.

Theorem 4.9. *Assume that $d \geq 14$, d is even, and $n = d/2$. Then, $\delta'[\geq n] \geq 2$ if and only if C is projectively equivalent to the curve defined by*

$$X^{2n} + Y^{2n} + Z^{2n} + aX^n Y^n + bY^n Z^n + cZ^n X^n = 0,$$

where $a, b, c \in K$. In this case, $\delta'[\geq n] = 3$.

Proof. The if part is due to Theorems 3.5. We prove the only-if part. Assume that $\delta'[\geq n] \geq 2$. Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ be points with $|G[P_1]| \geq n$, $|G[P_2]| \geq n$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.8, $P_2 \in F[P_1]$ and $P_1 \in F[P_2]$. By Theorem 3.5, for a suitable

system of coordinates, we can assume that $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and there exists an element $\sigma_1 \in G[P_1]$ of order n which is represented by the matrix

$$A_{\sigma_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where ζ is a primitive n -th root of unity. Then, the line given by $F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$ is defined by $X = 0$. Since $P_2 \in F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : a)$ for some $a \in K$. If we take the linear transformation $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Y : Z - aY)$, we can assume that $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$. Then, there exists an element $\sigma_2 \in G[P_2]$ of order n which is represented by the matrix

$$A_{\sigma_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & \zeta & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $a, b \in K$. Since the line given by $F[P_2] \setminus \{P_2\}$ is defined by $aX + (\zeta - 1)Y + bZ = 0$ and $P_1 \in F[P_2] \setminus \{P_2\}$, we have $a = 0$. If we take

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - \zeta \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$B^{-1}A_{\sigma_1}B = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^{-1}A_{\sigma_2}B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By taking the linear transformation represented by B^{-1} , we have the defining polynomial

$$F = X^{2n} + (aY^n + bZ^n)X^n + (\alpha Y^{2n} + \beta Y^n Z^n + \gamma Z^{2n})$$

of C . We can assume $\alpha = \gamma = 1$. We have the conclusion. By Theorems 3.5 and 4.8, we have $\delta'[\geq n] = 3$. \square

Corollary 4.10. *Assume that $d \geq 6$, d is even, and $n = d/2$. Then, $\delta'[\geq n] \leq 12$. Furthermore, if $d \geq 14$, then $\delta'[\geq n] = 0, 1$ or 3 .*

We consider the case where $d = 6$ and $n = 3$. We characterize smooth plane curves C of degree $d = 6$ with $\delta'[3] = 12$. To do this, we introduce the notion of “ G -pairs”. Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ be points such that $P_1 \neq P_2$ and $|G[P_1]| = |G[P_2]| = n \geq 2$. We call the pair (P_1, P_2) a G -pair if $\sigma_1(P_2) = P_2$ and $\sigma_2(P_1) = P_1$ for generators

$\sigma_1 \in G[P_1]$ and $\sigma_2 \in G[P_2]$. By Corollary 3.6, the definition does not depend on the choice of generators.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ such that $P_1 \neq P_2$ and $|G[P_1]| = |G[P_2]| = n \geq 2$, and let $\sigma_i \in G[P_i]$ be a generator for $i = 1, 2$. If $\sigma_1(P_2) = P_2$, then $\sigma_2(P_1) = P_1$. In particular, (P_1, P_2) is a G -pair.*

Proof. By the assumption, $P_2 \in F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$. By Corollary 3.6 and Proposition 4.1, we have that the set $F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$ is a line containing d points $Q_1, \dots, Q_d \in C$ with $\overline{P_1 Q_i} = T_{Q_i} C$ for each i . Since $F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$ is a line passing through P_2 , we have $\sigma_2(Q_1) = Q_i$ and $\sigma_2(Q_2) = Q_j$ for some i, j . Since $\overline{P_1 Q_1}$ and $\overline{P_1 Q_i}$ are tangent lines at Q_1 and Q_i respectively, $\sigma_2(\overline{P_1 Q_1}) = \overline{P_1 Q_i}$. Then, $\sigma_2(\overline{P_1 Q_1} \cap \overline{P_1 Q_2}) \subset \overline{P_1 Q_i} \cap \overline{P_1 Q_j} = \{P_1\}$. We have $\sigma_2(P_1) = P_1$. \square

We prove the following characterization theorem.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane curve of degree $d = 6$. Then,*

$$\delta'[3] \leq 12.$$

Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if C is projectively equivalent to the curve defined by

$$X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 - 10(X^3 Y^3 + Y^3 Z^3 + Z^3 X^3) = 0.$$

Proof. Assume that $\delta'[3] = 12$. Let ζ be a primitive cubic root of unity.

First, we prove that there exists a G -pair. Assume by contradiction that $\sigma(P_2) \neq P_2$ for any quasi-Galois points P_1, P_2 and any generator $\sigma \in G[P_1]$. If quasi-Galois points are not collinear, then we have $3^2 + 3 + 1 = 13$ quasi-Galois points, similar to the proof of Theorem 4.6(3). If quasi-Galois points are collinear, we have $3m + 1$ quasi-Galois points for some integer m by the actions associated with one quasi-Galois point. In each case, we have a contradiction. Therefore, we have points P_1 and P_2 such that $\sigma_1(P_2) = P_2$ for a generator $\sigma_1 \in G[P_1]$. By Lemma 4.11, (P_1, P_2) is a G -pair. For a suitable system of coordinates, we can assume that $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$. Further, similar to the proof of Theorem 4.9, we can assume that generators σ_1 of $G[P_1]$ and σ_2 of $G[P_2]$ are given by the matrices

$$A_{\sigma_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{\sigma_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively, and C is given by

$$X^6 + aY^6 + bZ^6 + cX^3Y^3 + dY^3Z^3 + eZ^3X^3 = 0,$$

where $a, b, c, d, e \in K$. Therefore, $P_3 = (0 : 0 : 1)$ is also quasi-Galois.

Next, we prove that 9 quasi-Galois points other than P_1, P_2 or P_3 are not contained in the set $S := \overline{P_1P_2} \cup \overline{P_2P_3} \cup \overline{P_3P_1} = \{XYZ = 0\}$. If there exists one quasi-Galois point $\notin S$, then there exist 9 quasi-Galois points on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus S$, by the actions σ_1 and σ_2 . Therefore, we assume that all quasi-Galois points are contained in S . If there exist two quasi-Galois points $P_4, P_5 \notin \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ which are contained in $X = 0$ and $Y = 0$ respectively, then (P_4, P_5) is not a G -pair, since (P_4, P_1) is a G -pair, (P_4, P_3) is not a G -pair, and $P_5 \in \overline{P_1P_3}$. We can find quasi-Galois points in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus S$ by the actions associated with P_4 . Therefore, we can assume that 9 quasi-Galois points $\neq P_1, P_2, P_3$ are contained in one line $\subset S$. We can assume that such a line is $\overline{P_2P_3}$. Then, we have 11 quasi-Galois points P_2, \dots, P_{12} on $\overline{P_2P_3}$. By Lemma 4.11, (P_i, P_1) is a G -pair for $i = 2, \dots, 12$. However, the number of collinear quasi-Galois points P_i such that (P_i, P_1) is a G -pair must be even. Indeed, for every $P_i \in \{P_2, \dots, P_{12}\}$, there exists a unique $P_j \in \{P_2, \dots, P_{12}\}$ such that (P_i, P_j) is a G -pair. This is a contradiction.

Let $(\alpha : \beta : 1)$ be a quasi-Galois point on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus S$. By using the linear transformation given by $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto ((1/\alpha)X : (1/\beta)Y : Z)$, we can assume that $(\alpha : \beta : 1) = (1 : 1 : 1)$. Then, points

$$P_{ij} := (\zeta^i : \zeta^j : 1)$$

are quasi-Galois for $i, j = 0, 1, 2$, and the set $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \cup \{P_{ij} \mid i, j = 0, 1, 2\}$ consists of all quasi-Galois points for C .

We compute a generator $\tau \in G[P_{00}]$, where $P_{00} = (1 : 1 : 1)$. We have $\tau(P_1) = P_{i0}$, $\tau(P_2) = P_{0j}$ and $\tau(P_3) = P_{kk}$ for some $i, j, k \neq 0$. We can assume that $k = 2$ and $\tau(P_3) = (1 : 1 : \zeta)$. Then, τ is represented by the matrix

$$A_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\zeta^i & \mu & 1 \\ \lambda & \mu\zeta^j & 1 \\ \lambda & \mu & \zeta \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in K \setminus \{0\}$. By using the condition $\tau((1 : 1 : 1)) = (1 : 1 : 1)$, we have

$$\lambda = \frac{\zeta - 1}{\zeta^i - 1}, \quad \mu = \frac{\zeta - 1}{\zeta^j - 1}.$$

If $i = 2$, then $\lambda = 1/(\zeta + 1) = -\zeta$ and $\tau((1 : 0 : 1)) = (0 : 1 : 0)$. Since $(1 : 0 : 1)$ is not quasi-Galois, this is a contradiction. We have $i = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$. Similarly, we have $j = 1$ and $\mu = 1$.

Note that

$$A_{\sigma_1} A_{\tau} A_{\sigma_1} A_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 3\zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3\zeta \\ 0 & 3\zeta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The linear transformation given by $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Z : Y)$ acts on C . Similarly, the linear transformation given by $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (Z : Y : X)$ acts on C . Therefore, the defining equation of C is of the form

$$F = X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + a(X^3Z^3 + Y^3Z^3 + Z^3X^3) = 0$$

for some $a \in K$. We consider the action by τ . Polynomials $(\tau^{-1})^*F$ and F are the same up to a constant. We consider the coefficient of X^4YZ . We have that the coefficient of X^4YZ is 30ζ for $(\zeta X + Y + Z)^6$, $(X + \zeta Y + Z)^6$ and $(X + Y + \zeta Z)^6$. The coefficient is 3ζ for $(\zeta X + Y + Z)^3(X + \zeta Y + Z)^3$, $(X + \zeta Y + Z)^3(X + Y + \zeta Z)^3$ and $(X + Y + \zeta Z)^3(\zeta X + Y + Z)^3$. We have $a = -10$.

On the contrary, we consider the curve C given by

$$X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 - 10(X^3Y^3 + Y^3Z^3 + Z^3X^3) = 0.$$

By Theorem 3.5, points $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ are quasi-Galois, and groups $G[P_1], G[P_2]$ are generated by the linear transformations σ_1, σ_2 given by

$$A_{\sigma_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{\sigma_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Let τ be the linear transformation given by the matrix

$$A_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $\tau(C) = C$ and

$$\tau^* \left(\frac{x-y}{y-1} \right) = \frac{x-y}{y-1}.$$

Therefore, the point $(1 : 1 : 1)$ is quasi-Galois on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{XYZ = 0\}$. By considering the actions σ_1 and σ_2 , we have $\delta'[3] \geq 12$. By Theorem 4.8(1), we have $\delta'[3] = 12$. \square

On the automorphism group, we have the following.

Theorem 4.13. *Let C be the plane curve defined by $X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 - 10(X^3Y^3 + Y^3Z^3 + Z^3X^3) = 0$. Then,*

$$G_3(C) = \text{Aut}(C).$$

Proof. Let $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$, $P_3 = (0 : 0 : 1)$, and let $P_{ij} = (\zeta^i : \zeta^j : 1)$ for $i, j = 0, 1, 2$, where ζ is a primitive cubic root of unity. Then, the set $\Delta' := \{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \cup \{P_{ij} \mid i, j = 0, 1, 2\}$ consists of all quasi-Galois points P with $|G[P]| = 3$.

Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(C) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. Then, σ acts on Δ' . If $\sigma(P_1) = P_2$ or P_3 , then there exists $\phi_1 \in G_3(C)$ such that $\phi_1\sigma(P_1) = P_1$, since the automorphisms $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (Y : X : Z)$ and $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (Z : Y : X)$ are contained in $G_3(C)$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.12. If $\sigma(P_1) = P_{ij}$ for some i, j , then there exists $\phi_2 \in G[P_{kj}]$ for $k \neq i$ such that $\phi_2\sigma(P_1) = P_1$. Therefore, there exists $\phi \in G_3(C)$ such that $\phi\sigma(P_1) = P_1$. The line $F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$ is a unique line ℓ such that $I_Q(C, \overline{P_1Q}) = 3$ for any $Q \in C \cap \ell$. By this fact and $\phi\sigma(P_1) = P_1$, $\phi\sigma(F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}) = F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$. Since $\Delta' \cap F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\} = \{P_2, P_3\}$ and the automorphism $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Z : Y)$ is contained in $G_3(C)$, there exists $\phi_3 \in G_3(C)$ such that $\phi_3\sigma(P_i) = P_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then, $\phi_3\sigma$ is represented by the matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in K$. By considering the action on the defining equation, we have $\alpha^3 = 1$ and $\beta^3 = 1$. If we take

$$\phi_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G[P_1], \quad \phi_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G[P_2],$$

then $\phi_5\phi_4\phi_3\sigma = 1$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . Therefore, $\sigma = \phi_3^{-1}\phi_4^{-1}\phi_5^{-1} \in G_3(C)$. \square

Remark 4.14. For the curve defined by $X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 - 10(X^3Y^3 + Y^3Z^3 + Z^3X^3) = 0$, it is known that the group $\text{Aut}(C)$ is isomorphic to the Hessian group of order 216 ([2]).

5. FERMAT CURVES

In this section, we assume that C is the Fermat curve $X^d + Y^d + Z^d = 0$ of degree $d \geq 4$. Let $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$, and let $P_3 = (0 : 0 : 1)$. It follows from Yoshihara–Miura’s theorem [16, 25] that $|G[P_i]| = d$ (i.e. P_i is outer Galois) for each i , and $\delta'[d] = 3$.

Proposition 5.1. (1) *Let $d = 2n$, and let ζ satisfy $\zeta^{2n} = 1$. Then, points $(\zeta : 0 : 1)$, $(1 : \zeta : 0)$ and $(1 : 0 : \zeta)$ are quasi-Galois points in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$.*
 (2) *Let $d = 2n + 1$, and let η satisfy $\eta^{2n+1} = -1$. Then, points $(\eta : 0 : 1)$, $(1 : \eta : 0)$ and $(1 : 0 : \eta)$ are quasi-Galois points in C .*

Proof. Let P be one of the points given in (1). Since C is invariant under the linear transformations $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (Z : X : Y)$ and $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (\zeta^{-1}X : Y : Z)$, we can assume that $P = (1 : 0 : 1)$. We set

$$\tilde{X} = \frac{1}{2}(X + Z), \tilde{Y} = Y, \tilde{Z} = \frac{1}{2}(X - Z)$$

and take the linear transformation $\phi : (X : Y : Z) \mapsto (\tilde{X} : \tilde{Y} : \tilde{Z})$. Then, $\phi^{-1}(P) = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is given by

$$(X + Z)^{2n} + (X - Z)^{2n} + Y^{2n} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} X^{2n-2k} Z^{2k} + Y^{2n} = 0.$$

By Theorem 3.5, $\phi^{-1}(P)$ is quasi-Galois. Therefore, P is quasi-Galois.

Let P be one of the points given in (2). Since C is invariant under the linear transformations $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (Z : X : Y)$ and $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (-\eta^{-1}X : Y : Z)$, we can assume that $P = (-1 : 0 : 1)$. We set

$$\tilde{X} = \frac{1}{2}(X + Z), \tilde{Y} = Y, \tilde{Z} = \frac{1}{2}(X - Z)$$

and take the linear transformation $\phi : (X : Y : Z) \mapsto (\tilde{X} : \tilde{Y} : \tilde{Z})$. Then, $\phi^{-1}(P) = (0 : 0 : 1)$ and $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is given by

$$(X + Z)^{2n+1} + (X - Z)^{2n+1} + Y^{2n+1} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} X^{2n+1-2k} Z^{2k} + Y^{2n+1} = 0.$$

By Theorem 3.5, $\phi^{-1}(P)$ is quasi-Galois. Therefore, P is quasi-Galois. \square

Proposition 5.2. *If a point P satisfies $1 < |G[P]| < d$, then $|G[P]| = 2$ and $P \in \{XYZ = 0\}$. Furthermore, the number of such points is at most $3d$.*

Proof. We prove that $P \in \{XYZ = 0\}$. Assume by contradiction that $P \notin \{XYZ = 0\}$. Let $\sigma \in G[P] \setminus \{1\}$. There exists a unique outer Galois point on the line $\overline{PP_1}$. Then, $\sigma(P_1) = P_1$. Similarly, $\sigma(P_2) = P_2$ and $\sigma(P_3) = P_3$. By Corollary 3.6, $\sigma(P) = P$. Since σ fixes non-collinear three points P_1, P_2, P_3 and the point $P \notin \bigcup_{i \neq j} \overline{P_i P_j}$, σ is identity on \mathbb{P}^2 . This is a contradiction.

We can assume that $P \in \overline{P_1 P_2}$. Let $\sigma \in G[P]$ be a generator. Since outer Galois points on the line $\overline{P_1 P_2}$ are exactly two, σ acts on the set $\{P_1, P_2\}$. Note that $\sigma(P) = P$. Then, the restriction $\sigma|_{\overline{P_1 P_2}}$ is of order two. By Theorem 3.5, the order of σ is equal to the order of $\sigma|_{\ell}$ for each line $\ell \ni P$. Therefore, $|G[P]| = 2$.

We prove the latter assertion. If d is odd, then $P \in C$. The assertion is obvious, since the number of points in $C \cap \{XYZ = 0\}$ is $3d$. Assume that d is even. Let $P \in \overline{P_1 P_2}$ with $|G[P]| = 2$, and let $\sigma \in G[P]$ be a generator. Let ζ be a primitive d -th root of unity, and let η be a d -th root of -1 . Then, $C \cap \overline{P_1 P_2} = \{(\zeta^i \eta : 1 : 0) \mid i = 0, \dots, d-1\}$. We identify $\overline{P_1 P_2}$ with \mathbb{P}^1 by the isomorphism $(X : Y : 0) \mapsto (X : Y)$. Then, $P_1 = (1 : 0)$ and $P_2 = (0 : 1)$. Since σ acts on the set $\{P_1, P_2\}$, σ is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^i \eta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \zeta^i \eta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since σ acts on $C \cap \overline{P_1 P_2}$, there exists j such that $(a : \zeta^i \eta) = (\zeta^j \eta : 1)$. We have $a = \zeta^k \eta^2$ for some k . We consider the fixed locus of σ . Assume that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta^k \eta^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^k \eta^2 \\ x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $x^2 = \zeta^k \eta^2$ and $x^d = (\zeta^{\frac{d}{2}})^k \eta^d = (-1)^k (-1)$. If $x^d = -1$, then $(x : 1) \in C \cap \overline{P_1 P_2}$. If we take $P = (x : 1) \notin C$, then $x^d = 1$. Therefore, we have at most d quasi-Galois points on the line $\overline{P_1 P_2}$. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let C be the Fermat curve of degree d . Then, we have the following.*

- (1) $\delta'[d] = 3$.
- (2) *If d is even, then $\delta'[\geq 2] = \delta'[d] + \delta'[2] = 3 + 3d$ and $\delta[\geq 2] = 0$.*
- (3) *If d is odd, then $\delta'[\geq 2] = \delta'[d] = 3$ and $\delta[\geq 2] = \delta[2] = 3d$.*

Proof. Assertion (1) is nothing but Yoshihara–Miura’s theorem [16, 25]. By Propositions 5.1 and 5.2, we have assertions (2) and (3). \square

Theorem 5.4.

$$\langle G_2(C), G_d(C) \rangle = \text{Aut}(C).$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(C) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. Then, σ acts on the set $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ of outer Galois points. If $\sigma(P_1) = P_2$, then there exists $\phi_1 \in G_2(C)$ such that $\phi_1\sigma(P_1) = P_1$, by using an action associated with a quasi-Galois point on the line $\overline{P_1P_2}$. If $\phi_1\sigma(P_2) = P_3$, then we take $\phi_2 \in G_2(C)$ such that $\phi_2(P_1) = P_1$ and $\phi_2(P_3) = P_2$, which comes from an action associated with a quasi-Galois point on the line $\overline{P_2P_3}$. Therefore, there exists $\phi \in G_2(C)$ such that $\phi\sigma(P_i) = P_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then, $\phi\sigma$ is represented by the matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in K$. By considering the action on the defining equation $X^d + Y^d + Z^d = 0$, we have $\alpha^d = 1$ and $\beta^d = 1$. If we take

$$\phi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G[P_1], \quad \phi_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G[P_2],$$

then $\phi_4\phi_3\phi\sigma = 1$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . Therefore, $\sigma = \phi^{-1}\phi_3^{-1}\phi_4^{-1} \in \langle G_2(C), G_d(C) \rangle$. \square

Remark 5.5. According to [15, Example 2], $G_d(C) \neq \text{Aut}(C)$.

6. THE NUMBER OF QUASI-GALOIS POINTS FOR SMOOTH QUARTIC CURVES

In this section, we assume that C is smooth and of degree $d = 4$. The set of all quasi-Galois points in $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ for C is denoted by Δ' . If $P \in \Delta'$, then there exists a unique involution in $G[P]$, since $G[P]$ is a cyclic group of order 2 or 4. First, we note the following.

Lemma 6.1. *If $P \in \Delta'$, then we have the following.*

- (1) *There exist exactly four lines $\ell \ni P$ such that $C \cap \ell$ consists of one or two points, and the tangent line at each point of $C \cap \ell$ is equal to ℓ .*
- (2) *There does not exist a line $\ell \ni P$ such that $I_Q(C, \ell) = 3$ for some $Q \in C \cap \ell$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in G[P]$ be the involution. The projection π_P is the composite map of $g_P : C \rightarrow C/\sigma$ and $f_P : C/\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Since g_P is ramified at exactly four points by Corollary 3.6 and Fact 2.2(1), by Hurwitz formula, the genus of the smooth model of C/σ is equal to 1. Then, $f_P : C/\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has exactly four ramification points. Therefore, we have (1). Assertion (2) is obvious, since π_P is the composite map of double coverings g_P and f_P . \square

We recall the notion of G -pairs and the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2. *Let (P_1, P_2) be a G -pair. Then, there exists a linear transformation ϕ such that $\phi(P_1) = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $\phi(P_2) = (0 : 1 : 0)$, and $\phi(C)$ is given by*

$$X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + aX^2Y^2 + bY^2Z^2 + cZ^2X^2 = 0,$$

where $a, b, c \in K$. In particular, $C \cap \overline{P_1P_2}$ consists of exactly four points.

Proof. By the assumption, $P_2 \in F[P_1]$ and $P_1 \in F[P_2]$. By Theorem 3.5, for a suitable system of coordinates, we can assume that $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and the involution $\sigma_1 \in G[P_1]$ is represented by the matrix

$$A_{\sigma_1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the line given by $F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$ is defined by $X = 0$. Since $P_2 \in F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : a)$ for some $a \in K$. If we take the linear transformation $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Y : Z - aY)$, we can assume that $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$. Then, the involution $\sigma_2 \in G[P_2]$ is represented by the matrix

$$A_{\sigma_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & -1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $a, b \in K$. Since the line given by $F[P_2] \setminus \{P_2\}$ is defined by $aX + (-1 - 1)Y + bZ = 0$ and $P_1 \in F[P_2] \setminus \{P_2\}$, we have $a = 0$. If we take

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$B^{-1}A_{\sigma_1}B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^{-1}A_{\sigma_2}B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By taking the linear transformation represented by B^{-1} , we have the defining polynomial

$$F = X^4 + (aY^2 + bZ^2)X^2 + (\alpha Y^4 + \beta Y^2 Z^2 + \gamma Z^4)$$

of C . We can assume $\alpha = \gamma = 1$. We have the conclusion. \square

Let $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a projective line. We would like to calculate the number of quasi-Galois points on the line ℓ . We treat the cases $\#C \cap \ell = 4, 3, 2$ and 1 separately.

Proposition 6.3. *Let ℓ be a line with $\#C \cap \ell = 4$. Then, $\#\Delta' \cap \ell = 0, 1, 2, 4$ or 6. Furthermore, if $\#\Delta' \cap \ell = 2$ (resp. 4, 6), then we have exactly one (resp. two, three) G -pair.*

Proof. Let $C \cap \ell = \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4\}$. We consider the possibilities of involutions acting on $C \cap \ell$. There are at most three types:

- (1) $Q_1 \leftrightarrow Q_2, Q_3 \leftrightarrow Q_4$,
- (2) $Q_1 \leftrightarrow Q_3, Q_2 \leftrightarrow Q_4$,
- (3) $Q_1 \leftrightarrow Q_4, Q_2 \leftrightarrow Q_3$.

If $P_1, P_2 \in \Delta' \cap \ell$, and involutions $\sigma_1 \in G[P_1]$ and $\sigma_2 \in G[P_2]$ are of type (1), then we have $\sigma_1|_\ell = \sigma_2|_\ell$. Then, $\sigma_1(P_2) = \sigma_2(P_2) = P_2$ and $\sigma_2(P_1) = \sigma_1(P_1) = P_1$, i.e. (P_1, P_2) is a G -pair. For each types (1)-(3) we have at most two quasi-Galois points, and hence, $\#\Delta' \cap \ell \leq 6$.

Let $\sigma_1 \in G[P_1]$ and $\sigma_2 \in G[P_2]$ give involutions of types (1) and (2) respectively. Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1(Q_1) = Q_3$, and hence, $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$ is of type (2). Since $\sigma_1(P_2) \neq P_2$ and $\sigma_1(P_2)$ is quasi-Galois, $(P_2, \sigma_1(P_2))$ is a G -pair. Similarly, $(P_1, \sigma_2(P_1))$ is a G -pair. We have two G -pairs.

Assume that $\#\Delta' \cap \ell \geq 5$. There are at least two G -pairs. We can assume that (P_1, P_2) and (P_3, P_4) are G -pairs, and give involutions on ℓ of type (1) and (2) respectively. Let P_5 be another quasi-Galois point, and let $\sigma_i \in G[P_i]$ be the involution. Then, $\sigma_1(P_5) \neq P_5$ and the involution $\sigma_1\sigma_5\sigma_1 \in G[\sigma_1(P_5)]$ gives an involution on ℓ of type (3). Therefore, $\sigma_1(P_5) \neq P_1, \dots, P_5$. We have $\#\Delta' \cap \ell = 6$. \square

If (P_1, P_2) is a G -pair, then, up to linear transformations, $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$, and C is defined by

$$F = X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + aX^2Y^2 + bY^2Z^2 + cZ^2X^2 = 0.$$

We consider this curve. Then, the lines $F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$ and $F[P_2] \setminus \{P_2\}$ are defined by $X = 0$ and $Y = 0$ respectively. Since C is smooth, we have $a \neq \pm 2$, $b \neq \pm 2$ and $c \neq \pm 2$.

Proposition 6.4. *We have the following.*

- (1) *If there exist two G -pairs on the line defined by $Z = 0$, then $b = \pm c$. Furthermore, when $c = -b$, we take the linear transformation given by $X \mapsto iX$, where $i^2 = -1$, so that we have the defining equation with $c = b$.*
- (2) *If there exist three G -pairs on the line defined by $Z = 0$, then $b = c = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that (P_1, P_2) and (P_3, P_4) are two G -pairs on the line $Z = 0$. Let $Q \in F[P_1] \cap F[P_2]$. Then $Q = (0 : 1 : 0)$. Let $\sigma_1 \in G[P_1]$ and $\sigma_3 \in G[P_3]$ be involutions. Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_3$ satisfies

$$P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2, P_3 \leftrightarrow P_4$$

(see the second paragraph of the proof of Proposition 6.3). Since $\sigma_1\sigma_3(F[P_1]) = F[P_2]$, we have $\sigma_1\sigma_3(Q) = Q$. Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_3$ is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in K$. Then, $((\sigma_1\sigma_3)^{-1})^*F$ and F are the same up to a constant. Therefore, we have

$$\lambda^4Y^4 + \mu^4X^4 + Z^4 + a\lambda^2\mu^2X^2Y^2 + b\mu^2X^2Z^2 + c\lambda^2Y^2Z^2 = F.$$

Considering the coefficients of Y^4 and Y^2Z^2 , we have $\lambda^2 = \pm 1$ and $b = \pm c$.

Assume that (P_1, P_2) , (P_3, P_4) and (P_5, P_6) are three G -pairs on the line $Z = 0$. Let $\sigma_3 \in G[P_3]$ and $\sigma_5 \in G[P_5]$ be involutions. Then, $\sigma_3\sigma_5$ satisfies

$$P_1 \rightarrow P_1, P_2 \rightarrow P_2, P_3 \leftrightarrow P_4, P_5 \leftrightarrow P_6$$

(see the second paragraph of the proof of Proposition 6.3). Since $\sigma_3\sigma_5(P_i) = P_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have $\sigma_3\sigma_5(Q) = Q$. Note that the order of $\sigma_3\sigma_5$ is at least 3. Then, $\sigma_3\sigma_5$

is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\eta^2 \neq -1$. Then, $((\sigma_3\sigma_5)^{-1})^*F$ and F are the same up to a constant. Therefore, we have

$$\eta^4 X^4 + \eta^4 Y^4 + Z^4 + a\eta^2 X^2 Y^2 + b\eta^2 Y^2 Z^2 + c\eta^2 Z^2 X^2 = F.$$

Considering the coefficients of $Y^2 Z^2$ and $Z^2 X^2$, we have $b = c = 0$. \square

On the contrary, we have the following.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $a, b \in K$, and let C be the smooth plane curve given by*

$$X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + aX^2 Y^2 + bY^2 Z^2 + bZ^2 X^2 = 0.$$

Then, we have the following.

- (1) *Points $(1 : 0 : 0)$, $(0 : 1 : 0)$, $(1 : 1 : 0)$ and $(1 : -1 : 0)$ are quasi-Galois points. Furthermore, if $b \neq 0$, they are not Galois.*
- (2) *If $b = 0$, then points $(\pm i : 1 : 0)$ are quasi-Galois, where $i^2 = -1$. Furthermore, we have the following.*
 - *If $a \neq 0$, then points $(1 : 0 : 0)$ and $(0 : 1 : 0)$ are not Galois.*
 - *If $a \neq 6$, then points $(\pm 1 : 1 : 0)$ are not Galois.*
 - *If $a \neq -6$, then points $(\pm i : 1 : 0)$ are not Galois.*
 - *If $a = 0$ or ± 6 , then there exists a linear transformation ϕ such that $\phi(\{Z = 0\}) = \{Z = 0\}$ and $\phi(C)$ is the Fermat curve $X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 = 0$.*
- (3) *If $b = 0$, then $\delta'[2] = 6$ or 12 . Furthermore, $\delta'[2] = 12$ if and only if C is projectively equivalent to the Fermat curve $X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 = 0$.*

Proof. We consider points $(\pm 1 : 1 : 0)$. We set

$$\tilde{X} = \frac{1}{2}(X + Y), \quad \tilde{Y} = \frac{1}{2}(X - Y), \quad \tilde{Z} = Z$$

and take the linear transformation $\phi : (X : Y : Z) \mapsto (\tilde{X} : \tilde{Y} : \tilde{Z})$. Then, $\phi^{-1}((1 : 1 : 0)) = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $\phi^{-1}((-1 : 1 : 0)) = (0 : 1 : 0)$, and $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is given by

$$G = (2 + a)X^4 + (2 + a)Y^4 + Z^4 + (12 - 2a)X^2 Y^2 + 2bY^2 Z^2 + 2bX^2 Z^2 = 0.$$

By Theorem 3.5, $\phi^{-1}((\pm 1 : 1 : 0))$ are quasi-Galois. Therefore, $(\pm 1 : 0 : 0)$ are quasi-Galois. Furthermore, if $\phi^{-1}((1 : 1 : 0))$ is Galois, then the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

acts on G . This implies $12 - 2a = 0$ and $2b = 0$.

Let $b = 0$. We consider points $(\pm i : 1 : 0)$. We set

$$\tilde{X} = \frac{1}{2}(X + iY), \quad \tilde{Y} = \frac{1}{2}(X - iY), \quad \tilde{Z} = Z$$

and take the linear transformation $\phi : (X : Y : Z) \mapsto (\tilde{X} : \tilde{Y} : \tilde{Z})$. Then, $\phi^{-1}((i : 1 : 0)) = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $\phi^{-1}((-i : 1 : 0)) = (0 : 1 : 0)$, and $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is given by

$$H = (2 - a)X^4 + (2 - a)Y^4 + Z^4 + (12 + 2a)X^2Y^2 = 0.$$

By Theorem 3.5, $\phi^{-1}((\pm i : 1 : 0))$ are quasi-Galois. Therefore, $(\pm i : 0 : 0)$ are quasi-Galois. Furthermore, if $a \neq -6$, then $(\pm i : 0 : 0)$ are not Galois.

We prove (3). Now, we have six quasi-Galois points on the line $Z = 0$. By the defining equation, we infer that $Q = (0 : 0 : 1)$ is an outer Galois point and the set $F[Q] \setminus \{Q\}$ is given by $Z = 0$. Assume that $\delta'[2] > 6$. Then there exists a quasi-Galois point $R \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (\{Z = 0\} \cup \{Q\})$. Let $\tau \in G[R]$ be the involution. If $\tau(Q) = Q$, then by Lemma 4.11, (R, Q) is a G -pair. Then R must lie on the line $Z = 0$. This is a contradiction. If $\tau(Q) \neq Q$ is not a G -pair, then we have two Galois points. It follows from a theorem of Yoshihara [25] that C is projectively equivalent to the Fermat curve. By Theorem 5.3, we have $\delta'[2] = 12$. \square

We consider the case where $C \cap \ell$ consists of three points.

Proposition 6.6. *If $\#C \cap \ell = 3$, then $\#\Delta' \cap \ell = 0$ or 1.*

Proof. Let $C \cap \ell = \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}$, let $T_{Q_1}C = \ell$, and let $P_1, P_2 \in \ell$ be different quasi-Galois points. Then, $Q_1 \in C \cap F[P_1] \cap F[P_2]$. This is a contradiction to Proposition 4.4. \square

We consider the case where $C \cap \ell$ consists of two points.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $C \cap \ell = \{Q_1, Q_2\}$, where $Q_1 \neq Q_2$.*

- (1) *If $I_{Q_1}(C, \ell) = 3$, then $\#\Delta' \cap \ell = 0$.*
- (2) *If $T_{Q_1}C = T_{Q_2}C = \ell$, then $\#\Delta' \cap \ell = 0, 1$ or 3.*

Proof. Assertion (1) is derived from Lemma 6.1(2). We consider assertion (2). Let $P_1, P_2 \in \ell$ be quasi-Galois points, and let $\sigma_i \in G[P_i]$ be the involution. By Proposition 6.2, (P_1, P_2) is not a G -pair. By Lemma 4.11, $\sigma_1(P_2) \neq P_2$, and hence, $\#\Delta' \cap \ell \geq 3$. We consider $\sigma_1\sigma_2$. Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_2(Q_1) = Q_1$ and $\sigma_1\sigma_2(Q_2) = Q_2$. Let R be the intersection point of the lines $F[P_1] \setminus \{P_1\}$ and $F[P_2] \setminus \{P_2\}$. Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_2(R) = \sigma_1(R) = R$. If $R \in C$, then $T_R C \ni P_1, P_2$. Therefore, $R \notin C$. For a suitable system of coordinates, we can assume that $Q_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $Q_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ and $R = (0 : 0 : 1)$. Consider the action of $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ on the lines $\overline{Q_1R}$ and $\overline{Q_2R}$. Since $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ fixes Q_1, Q_2 and R , $\sigma_1\sigma_2|_{\overline{Q_iR}}$ is identity if $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ fixes some point of $C \cap \overline{Q_iR}$ other than Q_i . Therefore, the restriction $\sigma_1\sigma_2|_{\overline{Q_iR}}$ is identity or of order three for $i = 1, 2$. Then, $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ is represented by the matrix

$$A_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where ζ and η are cubic roots of 1. Since Q_1 and Q_2 are not inner Galois (by Facts 2.1 and 2.2(2)) and $R \notin C$, we have $\eta \neq 1$, $\zeta \neq 1$ and $\zeta \neq \eta$. This implies that $\eta = \zeta^2$.

Let $P_3 := \sigma_1\sigma_2(P_2)$ ($= \sigma_1(P_2)$). Since $\sigma_1\sigma_2(F[P_2]) = F[P_3]$, $R \in F[P_3]$. Assume by contradiction that $\#\Delta' \cap \ell \geq 4$. Let $P_4 \neq P_1, P_2, P_3$ be quasi-Galois, and let $\sigma_4 \in G[P_4]$ be the involution. Since $\sigma_1\sigma_4(Q_i) = Q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and the order of $\sigma_1\sigma_4$ is three, we have $\sigma_1\sigma_4|_{\ell} = \sigma_1\sigma_2|_{\ell}$ or $(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^2|_{\ell}$. Then, we find that $\sigma_1\sigma_4(R) = R$, and hence, $\sigma_1\sigma_4 = \sigma_1\sigma_2$ or $(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^2$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . We have $\sigma_4 = \sigma_2 \in G[P_2]$ or $\sigma_4 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \in G[P_3]$. This is a contradiction. \square

We consider the case where $C \cap \ell$ consists of a unique point.

Proposition 6.8. *If $\#C \cap \ell = 1$, then $\#\Delta' \cap \ell = 0$ or 1.*

Proof. Let $C \cap \ell = \{Q\}$, and let P_1, P_2 be different quasi-Galois points. Then, $Q \in F[P_1] \cap F[P_2]$. This is a contradiction to Proposition 4.4. \square

Theorem 6.9. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth curve of degree four. Then,*

$$\delta'[2] \leq 21.$$

Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if C is projectively equivalent to the curve defined by

$$X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + a(X^2Y^2 + Y^2Z^2 + Z^2X^2) = 0,$$

where $a \in K$ satisfies $a^2 + 3a + 18 = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $\delta'[2] \geq 13$. It follows from Propositions 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7 and 6.8 that $\#\Delta' \cap \ell \leq 4$ for each line ℓ , and $\#\Delta' \cap \ell \geq 2$ only if $\#C \cap \ell = 4$ or ℓ is the tangent line at two distinct points of $C \cap \ell$. Let $P \in \Delta'$. By Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.7, there exist at most four lines $\ell \ni P$ such that $\#C \cap \ell \leq 2$ and $\#\Delta' \cap \ell \geq 2$ (in this case $\#\Delta' \cap \ell = 3$). By Proposition 6.3, if a line $\ell \ni P$ satisfies $\#C \cap \ell = 4$ and $\#\Delta' \cap \ell \geq 2$, then there exists a point $P' \in \Delta' \cap \ell$ such that (P, P') is a G -pair. Then, $P' \in F[P] \setminus \{P\}$. Since the set $F[P] \setminus \{P\}$ is a line, $\#\Delta' \cap F[P] \setminus \{P\} \leq 4$. Therefore, we have at most four lines $\ell \ni P$ such that $\#C \cap \ell = 4$ and $\#\Delta' \cap \ell \geq 2$. As a consequence, we have an inequality $\delta'[2] \leq 1 + 2 \times 4 + 3 \times 4 = 21$.

Assume that $\delta'[2] = 21$. Let $P = (1 : 0 : 0) \in \Delta'$. By the above discussion, there exist four lines $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4 \ni P$ such that $\#C \cap \ell_i = 4$ and $\#\Delta' \cap \ell_i = 4$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. By Proposition 6.3, for each i , there exists a point $P_i \in \ell_i$ such that (P, P_i) is a G -pair. Then, by Proposition 6.4, we can assume that $P = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $P_1 = (0 : 1 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 0 : 1)$, and C is given by

$$F = X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + a(X^2Y^2 + Y^2Z^2 + Z^2X^2) = 0$$

for some $a \in K$. It follows from Proposition 6.5 that $P_3 := (0 : 1 : 1)$ and $P_4 := (0 : -1 : 1) \in F[P] \setminus \{P\} = \{X = 0\}$ are quasi-Galois. Therefore, four lines ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 and ℓ_4 are $\overline{PP_1}, \overline{PP_2}, \overline{PP_3}$ and $\overline{PP_4}$, which are defined by $Z = 0, Y = 0, Y - Z = 0$ and $Y + Z = 0$ respectively. Note that (P_3, P_4) is a G -pair, since (P_1, P_2) is a G -pair.

Let $Q \in \Delta' \cap \overline{PP_4}$ other than P or P_4 , and let $\tau \in G[Q]$ be the involution. Note that $\tau(P_4) = P$, since (P, P_4) is a G -pair. Since (P, P_3) and (P_3, P_4) are G -pairs, $F[P_3] \setminus \{P_3\} = \overline{PP_4} \ni Q$, and hence, (P_3, Q) is a G -pair. Therefore $\tau(P_3) = P_3$. Since $\tau((0 : 1 : 1)) = (0 : 1 : 1)$, $\tau((0 : -1 : 1)) = (1 : 0 : 0)$, and $\tau((1 : 0 : 0)) = (0 : -1 : 1)$, τ is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{2}{\lambda} & -\frac{2}{\lambda} \\ \lambda & 1 & 1 \\ -\lambda & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda \in K$. Then, $(\tau^{-1})^*F$ and F are the same up to a constant. Here

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau^{-1})^*F &= \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^4 (Y - Z)^4 + (\lambda X + Y + Z)^4 + (-\lambda X + Y + Z)^4 \\ &\quad + a \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 (Y - Z)^2 (\lambda X + Y + Z)^2 + a (\lambda X + Y + Z)^2 (-\lambda X + Y + Z)^2 \\ &\quad + a \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 (-\lambda X + Y + Z)^2 (Y - Z)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of X^2YZ is

$$12\lambda^2 + 12\lambda^2 - 2a \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 \lambda^2 - 4a\lambda^2 - 2a \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 \lambda^2 = 0.$$

We have $\lambda^2 = 4a/(6 - a)$. The coefficient of Y^3Z is

$$-4 \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^4 + 4 + 4 + 4a = 0.$$

We have $a^3 + a^2 + 12a - 36 = 0$. Since $a \neq 2$, we have $a^2 + 3a + 18 = 0$.

On the contrary, let $a^2 + 3a + 18 = 0$, and let C be the plane curve given by

$$F = X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + a(X^2Y^2 + Y^2Z^2 + Z^2X^2) = 0.$$

By Proposition 6.5, we have 9 quasi-Galois points on the union of the lines $X = 0$, $Y = 0$ and $Z = 0$. Let λ be a solution of $\lambda^2 = 4a/(6 - a)$, and let τ be the involution given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{2}{\lambda} & -\frac{2}{\lambda} \\ \lambda & 1 & 1 \\ -\lambda & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, τ acts on C . Since $\tau(\{Y + Z = 0\}) = \{Y + Z = 0\}$ and τ is not identity on this line, by the proof of Proposition 3.11, τ is the involution of some quasi-Galois point Q on the line $Y + Z = 0$ other than $(1 : 0 : 0)$ or $(0 : -1 : 1)$. By considering the actions associated with points $(1 : 0 : 0)$, $(0 : 1 : 0)$ and $(0 : 0 : 1)$, we have four quasi-Galois points not in $\{XYZ = 0\}$. Note that Q is different from $(\pm 1 : \pm 1 : 1)$. Using the linear transformation given by $(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (Z : X : Y)$, we have 4×3 additional quasi-Galois points. Therefore, we have $\delta'[2] \geq 9 + 12 = 21$. Since $\delta'[2] \leq 21$, we have $\delta'[2] = 21$. \square

Remark 6.10. It is known that the curve defined by

$$X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + a(X^2Y^2 + Y^2Z^2 + Z^2X^2) = 0,$$

where $a \in K$ satisfies $a^2 + 3a + 18 = 0$, is projectively equivalent to the Klein quartic $X^3Y + Y^3Z + Z^3X = 0$ ([12], [20]).

7. GROUP STRUCTURE

Let C be a smooth curve.

Proposition 7.1. *If $|G[P]| = n$ and $2n = \deg \pi_P$, then $|G_P| = 2n^2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, we can assume that $P = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and the defining equation is given by

$$F = X^{2n}Z + GX^n + H = 0 \quad (\text{resp. } X^{2n} + GX^n + H = 0),$$

if $P \in C$ (resp. $P \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$). Set $C \cap F[P] \setminus \{P\} = \{X = H = 0\} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_d\}$. The projection π_P is the composite map of $g_P : C \rightarrow C/G[P]$ and $f_P : C/G[P] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. We prove that there exists Q_i such that f_P is unramified at $g_P(Q_i)$. Assume by contradiction that for each Q_i , f_P is ramified at $g_P(Q_i)$. Then, the ramification index at Q_i for π_P is equal to $\deg \pi_P$. Let H_i be a linear homogeneous polynomial defining $\overline{PQ_i}$. Then, H_i divides G for each i . Since $\deg G < \deg H$, we have $G = 0$. Then, by Theorem 3.5, $|G[P]| = 2n$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, f_P is unramified at $g_P(Q_i)$ for some i . Since f_P is a double covering, there exists a point $R \in C/G[P]$ such that $f_P(R) = f_P(g_P(Q_i))$ and f_P is unramified at R . Then, π_P is unramified at each point $Q \in g_P^{-1}(R)$. We take a covering $h_P : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ such that $\pi_P \circ h_P = f_P \circ g_P \circ h_P$ gives a Galois covering. Since $f_P \circ g_P \circ h_P$ is ramified at every point in $(g_P \circ h_P)^{-1}(R)$ with index $\geq n$ by Fact 2.2(2), we have $\deg h_P \geq n$. Then, $|G_P| \geq 2n^2$. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.9, $|G_P| \leq 2n^2$. \square

Theorem 7.2. *Assume that n is odd prime and $\deg \pi_P = 2n$. Then, $|G[P]| = n$ if and only if $G_P \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \times D_{2n}$.*

Proof. We prove the only-if part. By Proposition 7.1, $|G_P| = 2n^2$. By Sylow's theorem, there exists an element of G_P of order two. Let τ be such an element. Then, we have the split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L_P/K(C)^{G[P]}) \rightarrow G_P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

by considering the orders of groups. Since the group of order n^2 is Abelian (see, for example, [22, p. 27]), the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L_P/K(C)^{G[P]})$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/n^2\mathbb{Z})$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$.

Since $K(C)/K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is not Galois, the group $\text{Gal}(L_P/K(C))$ is not a normal subgroup. Then, $\tau^{-1}\text{Gal}(L_P/K(C))\tau \neq \text{Gal}(L_P/K(C))$, and hence, we have at least two subgroups of $\text{Gal}(L_P/K(C)^{G[P]})$ of order n . Then, we have $\text{Gal}(L_P/K(C)^{G[P]}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$. Let $\text{Gal}(L_P/K(C)) = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Then $\sigma(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau) \neq 1$ is fixed by the action of τ , that is, $\tau^{-1}(\sigma(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau))\tau = \sigma(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau)$. Let

$$A_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

be the matrix representing τ as an action on the vector space $\text{Gal}(L_P/K(C)^{G[P]}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Since $A_\tau^2 = 1$, $b = -1$ and A_τ is diagonalizable. Therefore, we have $G_P \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

We prove the if part. Assume that $G_P \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \times D_{2n}$. Since $|\text{Gal}(L_P/K(C))| = n$, there exists a subgroup H of order n^2 such that $\text{Gal}(L_P/K(C)) \subset H$. We take the fixed field L_P^H . Since L_P/L_P^H is Galois and $[L_P : L_P^H] = n^2$, the extension is Abelian. Therefore, $K(C)/L_P^H$ is Galois with $[K(C) : L_P^H] = n$. Since $K(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset L_P^H$, we have $|G[P]| \geq n$. By the definition of G_P , $K(C)/K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is not Galois and $|G[P]| < 2n$. Therefore, we have $|G[P]| = n$. \square

8. RELATIONS WITH DUAL CURVES

We use the same notation as in [7].

Proposition 8.1. *If P is extendable quasi-Galois, then there exists a unique point $\overline{P} \in \mathbb{P}^{2*}$ such that the natural map $\sigma \mapsto \overline{\sigma}$ induces an injection*

$$G_0[P] \hookrightarrow G_0[\overline{P}].$$

Proof. See the proofs of [7, Lemma 2.4, Proposition 1.5]. \square

Corollary 8.2. *If P is extendable quasi-Galois, then \overline{P} is extendable quasi-Galois.*

Corollary 8.3. *Assume that C is smooth and $d \geq 4$. If $R \in \mathbb{P}^{2*}$ is quasi-Galois for C^* , then R is extendable and $|G[R]| = |G_0[R]| \leq d$.*

Proof. According to [7, Lemma 3.1], R is extendable with $G_0[R] = G[R]$. By Proposition 8.1, we have an injection $G_0[R] \hookrightarrow G_0[\overline{R}]$, where $\overline{R} \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Then, $|G_0[\overline{R}]| \leq d$. \square

Theorem 8.4. *If P is extendable quasi-Galois, then $\overline{\overline{P}} = P$ and $G_0[P] \cong G_0[\overline{P}]$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{\overline{\sigma}} = \sigma$ for any $\sigma \in G_0[P]$, and $F[\overline{P}]$ does not depend on elements of $G_0[\overline{P}] \setminus \{1\}$ by Corollary 3.6, we have the conclusion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves*, Vol. I. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **267**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [2] M. Artebani and I. Dolgachev, The Hesse pencil of plane cubic curves, *Enseign. Math. (2)* **55** (2009), 235–273.
- [3] W. Burnside, *Theory of groups of finite order*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1911; reprinted by Dover, New York.
- [4] H. C. Chang, On plane algebraic curves, *Chinese J. Math.* **6** (1978), 185–189.
- [5] H. Doi, K. Idei and H. Kaneta, Uniqueness of the most symmetric non-singular plane sextics, *Osaka J. Math.* **37** (2000), 667–687.
- [6] S. Fukasawa, Galois points for a plane curve in arbitrary characteristic, *Proceedings of the IV Iberoamerican conference on complex geometry*, *Geom. Dedicata* **139** (2009), 211–218.
- [7] S. Fukasawa and K. Miura, Galois points for a plane curve and its dual curve, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **132** (2014), 61–74.
- [8] S. Fukasawa and K. Miura, Galois points for a plane curve and its dual curve, II, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **220** (2016), 2038–2048.
- [9] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, GTM 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [10] T. Harui, Automorphism groups of smooth plane curves, preprint, arXiv:1306.5842.
- [11] M. Kanazawa, T. Takahashi and H. Yoshihara, The group generated by automorphisms belonging to Galois points of the quartic surface, *Nihonkai Math. J.* **12** (2001), 89–99.
- [12] F. Klein, Ueber die Transformation siebenter Ordnung der elliptischen Functionen, *Math. Ann.* **14** (1879), 428–471.
- [13] K. Miura, Field theory for function fields of plane quintic curves, *Algebra Colloq.* **9** (2002), 303–312.
- [14] K. Miura, Galois points for plane curves and Cremona transformations, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), 987–995.
- [15] K. Miura and A. Ohbuchi, Automorphism group of plane curve computed by Galois points, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **56** (2015), 695–702.
- [16] K. Miura and H. Yoshihara, Field theory for function fields of plane quartic curves, *J. Algebra* **226** (2000), 283–294.
- [17] K. Miura and H. Yoshihara, Field theory for the function field of the quintic Fermat curve, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 1979–1988.
- [18] M. Namba, *Geometry of projective algebraic curves*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1984.
- [19] G. P. Pirola and E. Schlesinger, Monodromy of projective curves, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **14** (2005), 623–642.

- [20] R. Rodriguez and V. González-Aguilera, Fermat's quartic curve, Klein's curve and the tetrahedron, *Extremal Riemann Surfaces* (San Francisco, CA, 1995), 43–62, Contemp. Math., **201**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [21] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [22] M. Suzuki, *Group theory I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **247**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [23] T. Takahashi, Projection of a non-singular plane quintic curve and the dihedral group of order eight, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, to appear.
- [24] A. Wiman, Ueber eine einfache Gruppe von 360 ebenen collineationen, *Math. Ann.* **47** (1896), 531–556.
- [25] H. Yoshihara, Function field theory of plane curves by dual curves, *J. Algebra* **239** (2001), 340–355.
- [26] H. Yoshihara, Galois points for smooth hypersurfaces, *J. Algebra* **264** (2003), 520–534.
- [27] H. Yoshihara, Galois lines for space curves, *Algebra Colloq.* **13** (2006), 455–469.
- [28] H. Yoshihara, Galois embedding of algebraic variety and its application to abelian surface, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **117** (2007), 69–85.
- [29] H. Yoshihara, Rational curve with Galois point and extendable Galois automorphism, *J. Algebra* **321** (2009), 1463–1472.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY,
KOJIRAKAWA-MACHI 1-4-12, YAMAGATA 990-8560, JAPAN

E-mail address: s.fukasawa@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UBE NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, UBE, YAMAGUCHI 755-8555, JAPAN

E-mail address: kmiura@ube-k.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN

E-mail address: takeshi@ie.niigata-u.ac.jp