

A NOTE ON CRYSTALLINE LIFTINGS IN THE \mathbb{Q}_p CASE

HUI GAO

ABSTRACT. Let $p > 2$ be a prime. Let ρ be a crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with distinct Hodge-Tate weights in $[0, p]$, such that its reduction $\bar{\rho}$ is upper triangular. Under certain conditions, we prove that $\bar{\rho}$ has an upper triangular crystalline lift ρ' such that $\text{HT}(\rho') = \text{HT}(\rho)$. The method is based on the author's previous work, combined with an inspiration from the work of Breuil-Herzig.

Soit $p > 2$ un premier. Soit ρ une représentation cristalline de $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ avec des poids distincts de Hodge-Tate dans $[0, p]$, de telle sorte que sa réduction $\bar{\rho}$ soit triangulaire supérieure. Dans certaines conditions, nous prouvons que $\bar{\rho}$ a une élévation cristalline triangulaire supérieure ρ' telle que $\text{HT}(\rho') = \text{HT}(\rho)$. La méthode est basée sur le travail antérieur de l'auteur, combiné avec une inspiration de l'oeuvre de Breuil-Herzig.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Kisin modules and (φ, \hat{G}) -modules	3
3. Some group theory	5
4. Shape of upper triangular (φ, \hat{G}) -modules with k_E -coefficients	6
5. Crystalline lifting theorem	10
Acknowledgements	10
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Overview.** Given (a lattice in) a crystalline representation, it is natural to study its reduction. Conversely, given a representation over an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -vector space, it is natural to consider its crystalline lifts. We are particularly interested with crystalline representations, because they will have applications to weight part of Serre's conjectures (see e.g. [GLS14, GLS15, Gao17]). In general, both these questions are notoriously difficult. For example, given an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -representation, we do not even know if it has any crystalline lift. However, for applications to weight part of Serre's conjectures, we can *assume* at the beginning that certain $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -representation already have at least one crystalline lift; the key point then is to show that it has some other *nicer* crystalline lift. And this is what we do in this paper.

To state our main result, we introduce some notations first. Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the Galois group of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension, \mathcal{O}_E the ring of integers,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F80, 11F33.

Key words and phrases. Kisin modules, crystalline representations; modules de Kisin, représentations cristallines.

ω_E a fixed uniformizer, and $k_E = \mathcal{O}_E/\omega_E\mathcal{O}_E$ the residue field. We will use the following notations often, **(CRYS)**:

- Let $p > 2$ be an odd prime. Let V be a crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of E -dimension d , such that the Hodge-Tate weights $\text{HT}(V) = \{0 = r_1 < \dots < r_d \leq p\}$.
- Let $\rho = T$ be a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable \mathcal{O}_E -lattice in V , and $\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ the (φ, \hat{G}) -module (with \mathcal{O}_E -coefficient) attached to T . Let $\bar{\rho} := T/\omega_E T$ be the reduction. Let $\overline{\hat{\mathfrak{M}}}$ be the reduction of $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}$, and $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ the reduction of \mathfrak{M} .

1.1.1. Theorem. *With notations in **(CRYS)**. Suppose that $\bar{\rho}$ is upper triangular, i.e., $\bar{\rho}$ is a successive extension of d characters: $\bar{\chi}_1, \dots, \bar{\chi}_d$. Suppose $\bar{\chi}_i \bar{\chi}_j^{-1} \neq \bar{\varepsilon}_p, \forall i \neq j$, where $\bar{\varepsilon}_p$ is the reduction of the cyclotomic character. Then there exists an upper triangular crystalline representation ρ' such that $\bar{\rho}' \cong \bar{\rho}$, and $\text{HT}(\rho') = \text{HT}(\rho)$ as sets.*

Theorem 1.1.1 strengthens [Gao17, Cor. 0.2(1)] in the \mathbb{Q}_p -case, and of course have direct application to weight part of Serre's conjectures as in *loc. cit.*. In our Theorem 1.1.1,

- we do not require the Condition **(C-1)** of [Gao17, §3], and
- we only require a weaker version of Condition **(C-2A)** of [Gao17, §6].
- Note that Condition **(C-2B)** of [Gao17, §6] in general will never be satisfied in our current paper.

Let us also remark that Condition **(C-1)** seems to be the most difficult condition to remove in [Gao17].

The proof of our theorem still uses results in [Gao17] to study the possible shape of upper triangular reductions of crystalline representations. The difference in the current paper is a different crystalline lifting technique, which is inspired by some group theory developed in [BH15]. Roughly speaking, we can use the group theory to conjugate our upper triangular $\bar{\rho}$ to another upper triangular form, which can be lifted to an *ordinary* (in particular, upper triangular) crystalline representation via the result of [GG12]. The lifting process via *loc. cit.* is in some sense easier than those used in [Gao17] (which is generalization of methods in [GLS14, GLS15]). However, we can only apply this technique in the \mathbb{Q}_p -case, because it seems that we cannot apply the group theory in [BH15] to deal with general K/\mathbb{Q}_p case for our problem. Let us remark that our current paper shows a much refined structure for upper triangular reductions of crystalline representations. It is also worth pointing out that our result gives a very *natural* example (see (4.1.2)) for some of the group theories in [BH15].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the theory of Kisin modules and (φ, \hat{G}) -modules with \mathcal{O}_E -coefficients. In Section 3, we review the group theory in [BH15]. In Section 4, we study the shape of upper triangular torsion (φ, \hat{G}) -modules, using results in [Gao17], as well as techniques inspired by the group theory in Section 3. Finally in Section 5, we prove our crystalline lifting theorem.

1.2. Notations. The notations in the following are taken directly from [Gao17]. In particular, they are valid for any finite extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p (and we use K_0 to denote the maximal unramified sub-extension of K , and k the residue field of K). See *loc. cit.* for any unfamiliar terms and more details.

In this paper, we sometimes use boldface letters (e.g., e) to mean a sequence of objects (e.g., $e = (e_1, \dots, e_d)$ a basis of some module). We use $\text{Mat}(?)$ to mean the set of matrices with elements in $?$. We use notations like $[u^{r_1}, \dots, u^{r_d}]$ to mean a diagonal matrix with the diagonal elements in the bracket. We use Id to mean the identity matrix. For a matrix A , we use $\text{diag}A$ to mean the diagonal matrix formed by the diagonal of A .

In this paper, **upper triangular** always means successive extension of rank-1 objects. We use notations like $\mathcal{E}(m_d, \dots, m_1)$ (note the order of objects) to mean the set of all upper triangular extensions of rank-1 objects in certain categories. That is, m is in $\mathcal{E}(m_d, \dots, m_1)$ if there is an increasing filtration $0 = \text{Fil}^0 m \subset \text{Fil}^1 m \subset \dots \subset \text{Fil}^d m = m$ such that $\text{Fil}^i m / \text{Fil}^{i-1} m = m_i, \forall 1 \leq i \leq d$.

We normalize the Hodge-Tate weights so that $\text{HT}_\kappa(\varepsilon_p) = 1$ for any $\kappa : K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, where ε_p is the p -adic cyclotomic character.

We fix a system of elements $\{\pi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in \overline{K} , where $\pi_0 = \pi$ is a uniformizer of K , and $\pi_{n+1}^p = \pi_n, \forall n$. Let $K_n = K(\pi_n), K_\infty = \cup_{n=0}^\infty K(\pi_n)$, and $G_\infty := \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_\infty)$. We fix a system of elements $\{\mu_{p^n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in \overline{K} , where $\mu_1 = 1, \mu_p$ is a primitive p -th root of unity, and $\mu_{p^{n+1}}^p = \mu_{p^n}, \forall n$. Let $K_{p^\infty} = \cup_{n=0}^\infty K(\mu_{p^n})$, and $\hat{K} = K_{\infty, p^\infty} = \cup_{n=0}^\infty K(\pi_n, \mu_{p^n})$. Note that \hat{K} is the Galois closure of K_∞ , and let $\hat{G} = \text{Gal}(\hat{K}/K), H_K = \text{Gal}(\hat{K}/K_\infty)$, and $G_{p^\infty} = \text{Gal}(\hat{K}/K_{p^\infty})$. When $p > 2$, then $\hat{G} \simeq G_{p^\infty} \rtimes H_K$ and $G_{p^\infty} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$, and so we can (and do) fix a topological generator τ of G_{p^∞} . And we can furthermore assume that $\mu_{p^n} = \frac{\tau(\pi_n)}{\pi_n}$ for all n .

Let $C = \widehat{\overline{K}}$ be the completion of \overline{K} , with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_C . Let $R := \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_C/p$ where the transition maps are p -th power map. R is a valuation ring with residue field \bar{k} (\bar{k} is the residue field of C). R is a perfect ring of characteristic p . Let $W(R)$ be the ring of Witt vectors. Let $\underline{\varepsilon} := (\mu_{p^n})_{n=0}^\infty \in R, \underline{\pi} := (\pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in R$, and let $[\underline{\varepsilon}], [\underline{\pi}]$ be their Teichmüller representatives respectively in $W(R)$. We normalize the valuation on R so that $v_R(\underline{\pi}) = \frac{1}{e}$, where e is the ramification index of K/\mathbb{Q}_p .

There is a map $\theta : W(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ which is the unique universal lift of the map $R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C/p$ (projection of R onto the its first factor), and $\text{Ker} \theta$ is a principle ideal generated by $\xi = [\overline{\omega}] + p$, where $\overline{\omega} \in R$ with $\omega^{(0)} = -p$, and $[\overline{\omega}] \in W(R)$ its Teichmüller representative. Let $B_{\text{dR}}^+ := \varprojlim_n W(R)[\frac{1}{p}]/(\xi)^n$, and $B_{\text{dR}} := B_{\text{dR}}^+[\frac{1}{\xi}]$. Let $t := \log([\underline{\varepsilon}])$, which is an element in B_{dR}^+ . Let A_{cris} denote the p -adic completion of the divided power envelope of $W(R)$ with respect to $\text{Ker}(\theta)$. Let $B_{\text{cris}}^+ = A_{\text{cris}}[1/p]$ and $B_{\text{cris}} := B_{\text{cris}}^+[\frac{1}{t}]$. The projection from R to \bar{k} induces a projection $\nu : W(R) \rightarrow W(\bar{k})$, since $\nu(\text{Ker} \theta) = pW(\bar{k})$, the projection extends to $\nu : A_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow W(\bar{k})$, and also $\nu : B_{\text{cris}}^+ \rightarrow W(\bar{k})[\frac{1}{p}]$. Write $I_+ B_{\text{cris}}^+ := \text{Ker}(\nu : B_{\text{cris}}^+ \rightarrow W(\bar{k})[\frac{1}{p}])$, and for any subring $A \subseteq B_{\text{cris}}^+$, write $I_+ A = A \cap \text{Ker}(\nu)$.

Let $\mathfrak{S} := W(k)[[u]]$, $E(u) \in W(k)[u]$ the minimal polynomial of π over $W(k)$, and S the p -adic completion of the PD-envelope of \mathfrak{S} with respect to the ideal $(E(u))$. We can embed the $W(k)$ -algebra $W(k)[u]$ into $W(R)$ by mapping u to $[\underline{\pi}]$. The embedding extends to the embeddings $\mathfrak{S} \hookrightarrow S \hookrightarrow A_{\text{cris}}$.

2. KISIN MODULES AND (φ, \hat{G}) -MODULES

In this section, we briefly review some facts in the theory of Kisin modules and (φ, \hat{G}) -modules with \mathcal{O}_E -coefficients. The materials in this section are based on

works of [Kis06, Liu10, CL11, GLS14, Lev15] etc.. But here we only cite them in the form as in [Gao17, §1], where the readers can find more detailed attributions.

2.1. Kisin modules and (φ, \hat{G}) -modules with coefficients. In this subsection, all the definitions and results are valid for any finite extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p .

Recall that $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[u]]$ with the Frobenius endomorphism $\varphi_{\mathfrak{S}} : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ which acts on $W(k)$ via arithmetic Frobenius and sends u to u^p . Denote $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E} := \mathfrak{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{k_E} := \mathfrak{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} k_E = k[[u]] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_E$. We can extend $\varphi_{\mathfrak{S}}$ to $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ (resp. \mathfrak{S}_{k_E}) by acting on \mathcal{O}_E (resp. k_E) trivially. Let r be any nonnegative integer.

- Let $'\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi}$ (called the category of Kisin modules of height r with \mathcal{O}_E -coefficients) be the category whose objects are $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ -modules \mathfrak{M} , equipped with $\varphi : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ which is a $\varphi_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}$ -semi-linear morphism such that the span of $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ contains $E(u)^r \mathfrak{M}$. The morphisms in the category are $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ -linear maps that commute with φ .
- Let $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi}$ be the full subcategory of $'\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi}$ with $\mathfrak{M} \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ where I is a finite set. Let $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{k_E}}^{\varphi}$ be the full subcategory of $'\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi}$ with $\mathfrak{M} \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{S}_{k_E}$ where I is a finite set.

For any integer $n \geq 0$, write $n = (p-1)q(n) + r(n)$ with $q(n)$ and $r(n)$ the quotient and residue of n divided by $p-1$. Let $t^{\{n\}} = (p^{q(n)} \cdot q(n)!)^{-1} \cdot t^n$, we have $t^{\{n\}} \in A_{\text{cris}}$. We define a subring of B_{cris}^+ , $\mathcal{R}_{K_0} := \{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i t^{\{i\}}, f_i \in S_{K_0}, f_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty \}$. Define $\hat{\mathcal{R}} := \mathcal{R}_{K_0} \cap W(R)$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is a φ -stable subring of $W(R)$, which is also G_K -stable, and the G_K -action factors through \hat{G} . Denote $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{O}_E} := \hat{\mathcal{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$, $W(R)_{\mathcal{O}_E} := W(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$, and extend the G_K -action and φ -action on them by acting on \mathcal{O}_E trivially. Note that $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E} \subset \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$, and let $\varphi : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ be the composite of $\varphi_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ and the embedding $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$.

2.1.1. Definition. Let $'\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ be the category (called the category of (φ, \hat{G}) -modules of height r with \mathcal{O}_E -coefficients) consisting of triples $(\mathfrak{M}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}, \hat{G})$ where,

- (1) $(\mathfrak{M}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}) \in '\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi}$ is a Kisin module of height r ;
- (2) \hat{G} is a $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ -semi-linear \hat{G} -action on $\hat{\mathfrak{M}} := \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{O}_E} \otimes_{\varphi, \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}} \mathfrak{M}$;
- (3) \hat{G} commutes with $\varphi_{\hat{\mathfrak{M}}} := \varphi_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{O}_E}} \otimes \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}$;
- (4) Regarding \mathfrak{M} as a $\varphi(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E})$ -submodule of $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}$, then $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \hat{\mathfrak{M}}^{H_K}$;
- (5) \hat{G} acts on the $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}/(I_+ \hat{\mathcal{R}}) \hat{\mathfrak{M}}$ trivially.

A morphism between two (φ, \hat{G}) -modules is a morphism in $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi}$ which commutes with \hat{G} -actions.

We denote $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ to be the full subcategory of $'\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ where $\mathfrak{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi}$; and we denote $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{k_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ for the full subcategory of $'\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ where $\mathfrak{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{k_E}}^{\varphi}$.

We can associate representations to (φ, \hat{G}) -modules.

2.1.2. Theorem. [Gao17, Thm. 1.2, Thm. 1.4]

- (1) Suppose $\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ where \mathfrak{M} is of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ -rank d , then

$$\hat{T}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}) := \text{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}, \varphi}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}, W(R))$$

is a finite free \mathcal{O}_E -representation of G_K of rank d .

(2) Suppose $\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{k_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ where \mathfrak{M} is of \mathfrak{S}_{k_E} -rank d , then

$$\hat{T}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}) := \text{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}, \varphi}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}, W(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is a finite free k_E -representation of G_K of dimension d .

(3) For $\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$, we have $\hat{T}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}/\omega_E \hat{\mathfrak{M}}) \simeq \hat{T}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}})/\omega_E \hat{T}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}})$.

When $p > 2$, the theory of (φ, \hat{G}) -modules becomes simpler.

2.1.3. Lemma. [Gao17, Lem. 1.6] Suppose $p > 2$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{M}} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by the following information:

- (1) A matrix $A_\varphi \in \text{Mat}(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E})$ for the Frobenius $\varphi : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$, such that there exist $B \in \text{Mat}(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E})$ with $A_\varphi B = E(u)^r \text{Id}$.
- (2) A matrix $A_\tau \in \text{Mat}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{O}_E})$ (for the τ -action $\tau : \hat{\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{M}}$) such that
 - $A_\tau - \text{Id} \in \text{Mat}(I_+ \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathcal{O}_E})$,
 - $A_\tau \tau(\varphi(A_\varphi)) = \varphi(A_\varphi) \varphi(A_\tau)$.
 - $g(A_\tau) = \prod_{k=0}^{\varepsilon_p(g)-1} \tau^k(A_\tau)$ for all $g \in G_\infty$ such that $\varepsilon_p(g) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$.

For $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{k_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$, it is also uniquely determined up to isomorphism by its matrix A_φ and A_τ satisfying similar conditions as above.

2.2. Rank 1 Kisin modules and (φ, \hat{G}) -modules. We only recall the following definitions and results in the \mathbb{Q}_p case.

2.2.1. Definition.

- (1) Suppose t is a non-negative integer, $a \in k_E^\times$. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(t; a)$ be the rank-1 module in $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{k_E}}^\varphi$ such that $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(t; a)$ is generated by some basis e , and $\varphi(e) = au^t e$.
- (2) Suppose t is a non-negative integer, $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{O}_E^\times$. Let $\mathfrak{M}(t; \hat{a})$ be the rank-1 module in $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^\varphi$ such that $\mathfrak{M}(t; \hat{a})$ is generated by some basis \tilde{e} , and $\varphi(\tilde{e}) = \hat{a}(u - p)^t \tilde{e}$.

2.2.2. Lemma. [Gao17, Lem. 1.11]

- (1) Any rank 1 module in $\text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{k_E}}^\varphi$ is of the form $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(t; a)$ for some t and a .
- (2) When \hat{a} is a lift of a , $\mathfrak{M}(t; \hat{a})/\omega_E \mathfrak{M}(t; \hat{a}) \simeq \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(t; a)$.
- (3) There is a unique $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}(t; \hat{a}) \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ such that the ambient Kisin module of $\hat{\mathfrak{M}}(t; \hat{a})$ is $\mathfrak{M}(t; \hat{a})$, and $\hat{T}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}(t; \hat{a}))$ is a crystalline character. In fact, $\hat{T}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}(t; \hat{a})) = \lambda_{\hat{a}} \psi^t$, where ψ is a certain crystalline character such that $\text{HT}(\psi) = 1$, and $\lambda_{\hat{a}}$ is the unramified character of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which sends the arithmetic Frobenius to \hat{a} .
- (4) There is a unique $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(t; a) \in \text{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_{k_E}}^{\varphi, \hat{G}}$ such that the ambient Kisin module is $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(t; a)$. Furthermore, $\hat{T}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(t; a))$ is the reduction of $\hat{T}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}(t; \hat{a}))$ for any lift $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{O}_E$ of a .

3. SOME GROUP THEORY

We recall some group theory, which will be useful for our work. All the materials in this section are developed in [BH15, §2.3], for general split connected reductive groups. But we will only need it for GL_d , which we recall.

Let H be the algebraic group GL_d , T the torus consisting of diagonal matrices, B the Borel consisting of upper triangular matrices, and U the unipotent radical consisting of unipotent matrices.

We have $X(T) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_d$, where ϵ_i is the character sending the diagonal matrix $[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ to x_i . Let $S = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq d-1\}$ be the simple roots, and let $R^+ = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq d\}$ be the positive roots. Denote W the Weyl group of H , which is isomorphic to the permutation group S_d . If $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \in R^+$, let $U_\alpha \subset H$ be the root subgroup, which corresponds to the unipotent upper triangular matrices where the only nonzero element above the diagonal is at the (i, j) -position.

3.0.1. Definition. A subset $C \subseteq R^+$ is called *closed* if the following condition is satisfied: if $\alpha \in C, \beta \in C$ and $\alpha + \beta \in R^+$, then $\alpha + \beta \in C$.

For a closed subset $C \subseteq R^+$, let $U_C \subseteq U$ be the Zariski closed subgroup of B generated by the subgroups U_α for all $\alpha \in C$. Let $B_C = TU_C \subseteq B$. If $C = \{\epsilon_{i_1} - \epsilon_{j_1}, \dots, \epsilon_{i_m} - \epsilon_{j_m}\}$ is a closed subset of R^+ , then it is easy to see that B_C corresponds to the matrices where the only nonzero elements above the diagonal are at the positions (i_ℓ, j_ℓ) for all $1 \leq \ell \leq m$.

Recall that if we let $N_H(T)$ be the normalizer of T in H , then $N_H(T)/T$ is isomorphic to W . For each $\sigma \in W$ which is a permutation sending $(1, \dots, d)$ to $(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(d))$, we fix a representative of σ in H to be the $d \times d$ matrix $w_\sigma := (\delta_{i, \sigma(j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} = (\delta_{\sigma^{-1}(i), j})$ where the notation $\delta_{x, y} = 0$ if $x \neq y$, and $\delta_{x, y} = 1$ if $x = y$. Note that if we have another $d \times d$ matrix $A = (a_{k, l})$, we have the matrix multiplication:

$$(\delta_{i, \sigma(j)})(a_{k, l}) = (a_{\sigma^{-1}(k), l}), \quad (a_{k, l})(\delta_{i, \sigma(j)}) = (a_{k, \sigma(l)}),$$

and so in particular $\sigma^{-1}(a_{i, j})\sigma = (a_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)})$.

Let $C \subseteq R^+$ closed, we define the following subset of W :

$$W_C := \{\sigma \in W : \sigma^{-1}(C) \subseteq R^+\}.$$

3.0.2. Lemma. [BH15, Lem. 2.3.6] *With notations above, we have*

$$W_C = \{\sigma \in W : w_\sigma^{-1} B_C w_\sigma \subseteq B\}.$$

The above lemma says that conjugations of a matrix in B_C by permutations in W_C will stay upper triangular. In the following, we will sometimes simply use σ to mean the matrix w_σ . If \mathcal{R} is a ring, we will use $B_C(\mathcal{R})$ to mean the subring of $\mathrm{Mat}_d(\mathcal{R})$ corresponding to the algebraic group B_C . That is, if $C = \{\epsilon_{i_1} - \epsilon_{j_1}, \dots, \epsilon_{i_m} - \epsilon_{j_m}\}$ is closed in R^+ , then we let

$$B_C(\mathcal{R}) := \{A = (a_{i, j}) \in \mathrm{Mat}_d(\mathcal{R}) : A \text{ is upper triangular, and } a_{i, j} = 0 \text{ if } \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \notin C\}.$$

It is clear that for any $A \in B_C(\mathcal{R})$ and any $\sigma \in W_C$, $\sigma^{-1}A\sigma$ is an upper triangular matrix.

4. SHAPE OF UPPER TRIANGULAR (φ, \hat{G}) -MODULES WITH k_E -COEFFICIENTS

In this section, we study the shape of upper triangular torsion (φ, \hat{G}) -modules, using results in [Gao17], as well as ideas in Section 3.

4.1. Shape of φ .

4.1.1. Proposition. *With notations from (CRYST). Suppose that $\bar{\rho}$ is upper triangular. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_d, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{N}}_1)$, where $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_i = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(t_i; a_i)$ for some $a_i \in k_E^\times$, and $\{t_1, \dots, t_d\} = \{r_1, \dots, r_d\}$ as sets.*

Furthermore, there exists a basis e of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$, such that the matrix A_φ of φ with respect to this basis can be decomposed as $A_\varphi = \widetilde{A}_\varphi + u^p N$ where

- (1) \widetilde{A}_φ is upper triangular, with diagonal equal to $[a_1 u^{t_1}, \dots, a_d u^{t_d}]$, and $(\widetilde{A}_\varphi)_{i,j} = u^{t_i} y_{i,j}$ for $i < j$ (here $(\widetilde{A}_\varphi)_{i,j}$ is the element of \widetilde{A}_φ in the (i,j) -position), where
 - $y_{i,j} = 0$ if $t_j < t_i$.
 - $y_{i,j} \in k_E$ if $t_j > t_i$.
- (2) $N \in \text{Mat}_d(k_E[u])$ is strictly upper triangular (i.e., the diagonal is 0).

Proof. This is a slight generalization of [Gao17, Prop. 4.1] (using, in particular, [Gao17, Prop. 2.2, Prop. 2.3]). The novelty here is that we can allow the existence of nonzero morphisms $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_j \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{N}}_i$ for some $j > i$, i.e., the situation in Statement (3) of [Gao17, Prop. 2.2] is allowed.

Step 1. First of all, the existence and shape of $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_i$ is proved in [Gao17, Prop. 2.3]. To construct the basis e and the upper triangular matrix A_φ , we will apply [Gao17, Prop. 2.2]. For the convenience of the reader, let us give some more explanation of *loc. cit.*, in the \mathbb{Q}_p -case (the general unramified case is similar).¹

The construction of the basis e (in [Gao17, Prop. 2.2]) when $d > 2$ is really an easy inductive process from that of [GLS15, Prop. 5.1.3] (where $d = 2$). Let us only sketch the case when $d = 3$. That is, suppose now we have $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_3, \overline{\mathfrak{N}}_2, \overline{\mathfrak{N}}_1)$. So we have a basis $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ such that

$$\varphi(f_1, f_2, f_3) = (f_1, f_2, f_3) \begin{pmatrix} a_1 u^{t_1} & x & z \\ 0 & a_2 u^{t_2} & y \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 u^{t_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

The key point then is to make change of bases so that x, y, z will satisfy the conditions in [Gao17, Prop. 2.2]. By [GLS15, Prop. 5.1.3] (the $d = 2$ case), we can and do assume that x already satisfies all the conditions in [Gao17, Prop. 2.2]. That is: x is a polynomial in $k_E[u]$ of degree less than t_2 , unless if there exists nonzero morphism $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{N}}_1$, then x can have an extra term of degree $t_2 + \frac{t_2 - t_1}{p-1}$.

The next step is to alter f_3 in order to make y, z satisfy [Gao17, Prop. 2.2]. We can first change f_3 to $f'_3 = f_3 + \alpha f_2$ as in the proof of [GLS15, Prop. 5.1.3] to make y to some y' that satisfy [Gao17, Prop. 2.2]. Note that this process will not have any effect on x , but it will alter z . So now we are in the situation

$$\varphi(f_1, f_2, f'_3) = (f_1, f_2, f'_3) \begin{pmatrix} a_1 u^{t_1} & x & z' \\ 0 & a_2 u^{t_2} & y' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 a_3 u^{t_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

¹The statement of [Gao17, Prop. 2.2] is correct. A minor imperfection is that in the proof of *loc. cit.*, we cited [GLS14, Prop. 7.4]. Indeed, to be more precise, we should have cited [GLS15, Prop. 5.1.3] instead (although as mentioned in [GLS15, Prop. 5.1.3], their proof are almost identical). The difference between [GLS14, Prop. 7.4] and [GLS15, Prop. 5.1.3] is that in the latter situation, we can allow all the Hodge-Tate numbers to be nonzero (we thank one of the referees for pointing this out).

where both x, y' satisfy [Gao17, Prop. 2.2]. Now we only need to change f'_3 to some $f''_3 = f'_3 + \beta f_1$ in order to make z' satisfy [Gao17, Prop. 2.2]. Note that there is no extension between $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_3$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_1$, so we can not directly apply [GLS15, Prop. 5.1.3] to get f''_3 . However, the “ f_2 -parts” of $\varphi(f''_3) = \varphi(f'_3) + \varphi(\beta)a_1u^{t_1}f_1$ and $\varphi(f'_3)$ are the same. So we can “forget” about f_2 and pretend that there is an extension between $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_3$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_1$. The same process as in [GLS15, Prop. 5.1.3] will in the end produce our desired basis e .

Step 2. Now let us discuss about the “extra terms”. Recall that in [Gao17, Prop. 2.2], when there exists nonzero morphisms $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_j \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{N}}_i$ for some $j > i$, then A_φ can have *extra* terms as described in Statement (3) of *loc. cit.*, and this extra term has degree $t_j + \frac{t_j - t_i}{p-1}$. Note that in order to have $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_j \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{N}}_i$ for $j > i$, the only possibility is to have $t_j - t_i = p - 1$ and $a_i = a_j$ (easy by [Gao17, Lem. 1.13] since we are in the \mathbb{Q}_p situation). So the extra terms are always of degree p or $p + 1$, i.e., the extra terms are always divisible by u^p . (In fact, clearly we can only have at most two extra terms). Decompose A_φ as $\widetilde{A}_\varphi + u^pN$ where u^pN are the extra terms.

Step 3. Finally, we only need to prove the properties regarding $y_{i,j}$. We argue similarly as in [Gao17, Prop. 2.3], let e' be another basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that $\varphi(e') = e'X[u^{r_1}, \dots, u^{r_d}]$ where $X \in \text{Mat}_d(k_E[[u]])$ as in [Gao17, Thm. 2.1]. Let $e' = eT$ for some matrix $T \in \text{GL}_d(k_E[[u]])$, then $A_\varphi = TX[u^{r_1}, \dots, u^{r_d}]\varphi(T^{-1})$. Similarly as in [Gao17, Prop. 4.1], let $\varphi(T) = P + u^pQ$ for some $P \in \text{GL}_d(k_E)$, $Q \in \text{Mat}_d(k_E[[u]])$, and let $R \in \text{GL}_d(k_E)$ such that $R^{-1}[u^{r_1}, \dots, u^{r_d}]R = [u^{t_1}, \dots, u^{t_d}]$, then we have

$$(\widetilde{A}_\varphi + u^pN)(P + u^pQ)R = TXR[u^{t_1}, \dots, u^{t_d}].$$

So we have $u^{t_i} \mid \text{col}_i(\widetilde{A}_\varphi PR)$. Now we can again apply [Gao17, Lem. 4.3] to conclude (note that \widetilde{A}_φ satisfies property (DEG) of *loc. cit.*, since we removed the extra terms u^pN from A_φ). \square

With notations in Proposition 4.1.1, we can define the following subset C of R^+ :

$$(4.1.2) \quad C := \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j : i < j, t_i < t_j\}.$$

It is easy to see that C is closed in R^+ , and \widetilde{A}_φ is a matrix in the subring $B_C(k_E[u])$. But in fact, we also have $A_\varphi \in B_C(k_E[u])$, because the extra terms in u^pN only show up in positions (i, j) where $t_i < t_j$.

4.1.3. Proposition. *There exists a unique $\sigma \in W_C$ such that $\sigma^{-1}A_\varphi\sigma$ is still upper triangular, and $\text{diag}(\sigma^{-1}A_\varphi\sigma) = [a_{\sigma(1)}u^{r_1}, \dots, a_{\sigma(d)}u^{r_d}]$.*

Proof. The uniqueness of σ is determined since we have $t_{\sigma(i)} = r_i, \forall i$, that is,

$$(4.1.4) \quad t_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < t_{\sigma(d)}.$$

It suffices to show that $\sigma \in W_C (\Leftrightarrow \sigma^{-1}(W_C) \subseteq R^+)$, i.e., if $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \in C$, then $\sigma^{-1}(i) < \sigma^{-1}(j)$. Let $x = \sigma^{-1}(i)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(j) = y$. Then $t_i = t_{\sigma(x)} < t_{\sigma(y)} = t_j$. So by (4.1.4), we must have $x < y$. \square

4.1.5. Remark. The following remark is suggested by one of the referees. Since we have already shown that $(A_\varphi)_{i,j} = 0$ when $t_j < t_i$ (where $j > i$), we could use an elementary “swapping” process to obtain the above Proposition. Namely, suppose for example $t_{i+1} < t_i$, we could simply change the basis $(e_1, \dots, e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_d)$ to $(e_1, \dots, e_{i+1}, e_i, \dots, e_d)$; the matrix for φ will remain upper triangular. After

all these possible two by two swappings, the u -power on the diagonal will become eventually increasing.

As the readers can see, this elementary swapping process is precisely the key idea in the Breuil-Herzig group theory that we reviewed in Section 3. Indeed, the “ordinary part” of the p -adic Langlands in [BH15] is precisely built out from GL_2 ! It is also interesting to point out in the paper [GS16], a similar Weyl group element played a similar useful role in determining the locally algebraic vectors in the “ordinary part” of [BH15] (see the remarks following [GS16, Thm 1.2]).

We have chosen to keep the Breuil-Herzig theory in our paper (instead of the more elementary swapping process), because it does make the argument cleaner. Also, as we mentioned in the Introduction, this indeed provides a natural example of the Breuil-Herzig group theory.

4.2. Shape of τ . Our following lemma (Lemma 4.2.1) is valid for any K/\mathbb{Q}_p . So we use notations introduced in Section 1. Recall that $u = (\pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in R$, and we normalize the valuation on R so that $v_R(u) = \frac{1}{e}$ where e is the ramification degree of K/\mathbb{Q}_p . For $\zeta \in R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_E$, write it as $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \otimes a_i$ where $y_i \in R$, and $a_i \in k_E$ are independent over \mathbb{F}_p . Let

$$v_R(\zeta) := \min\{v_R(y_i)\}.$$

Then by [Gao17, Lem. 5.6], v_R is a well-defined valuation on $R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_E$ (so in particular, it does not depend on the sum representing ζ). In particular, $v_R(\varphi(\zeta)) = pv_R(\zeta)$. We also use the convention that $v_R(0) = +\infty$.

4.2.1. Lemma. *Let $\zeta \in R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_E$ with $v_R(\zeta) > 0$, such that*

$$\zeta\tau(\varphi(u^b)) = \varphi(u^a)\varphi(\zeta)$$

for some $a > b \geq 0$, then $\zeta = 0$.

Proof. Note that $\tau(u) = u\epsilon$, where $\epsilon = (\mu_{p^n})_{n=0}^\infty \in R$. Consider the valuation on both side of the equation, then $v_R(\zeta) + \frac{pb}{e} = \frac{pa}{e} + pv_R(\zeta)$. The only possibility is when $v_R(\zeta) = +\infty$. \square

Now we return to the \mathbb{Q}_p case.

4.2.2. Proposition. *With notations in Proposition 4.1.1, let $A_\tau \in \mathrm{Mat}(R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_E)$ is the matrix of τ with respect to the basis $1 \otimes_\varphi e$. Then A_τ is in the subring $B_C(R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_E)$ defined by (4.1.2), i.e., if $i < j$ and $t_i > t_j$, then $(A_\tau)_{i,j} = 0$.*

Proof. This is easy consequence of the following lemma. Note that for any $i < j$, $v_R((A_\tau)_{i,j}) > 0$ by [Gao17, Lem. 5.7]. \square

4.2.3. Lemma. *Let $F = (f_{i,j}) \in \mathrm{Mat}_d(k_E[[u]])$, $M = (m_{i,j}) \in \mathrm{Mat}_d(R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_E)$ two upper triangular matrices. Suppose $\mathrm{diag}(F) = [a_1u^{t_1}, \dots, a_du^{t_d}]$ where $a_i \in k_E^\times$ and t_i are distinct non-negative integers. Suppose that*

- *If $i < j$ and $t_i > t_j$, then $f_{i,j} = 0$,*
- *$v_R(m_{i,j}) > 0, \forall i < j$, and*
- *$M\tau(\varphi(F)) = \varphi(F)\varphi(M)$.*

Then $M \in B_C(R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_E)$, where $C := \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j : i < j, t_i < t_j\}$ the closed subset of R^+ .

Proof. We prove by induction on the dimension d . When $d = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose the lemma is true for dimension less than d , and consider it for d .

We can apply the induction hypothesis to $F_{1,1}$ and $M_{1,1}$ (resp. $F_{d,d}$ and $M_{d,d}$), where $F_{1,1}$ is the co-matrix of F by deleting the 1st row and 1st column (and similarly for $M_{1,1}, F_{d,d}$ and $M_{d,d}$). So we only need to deal with the element on the most upper right corner. That is, we only need to prove that if $t_1 > t_d$, then $m_{1,d} = 0$.

For any $2 \leq i \leq d$, we have

- (Case 1) If $t_i > t_1 > t_d$, then $f_{i,d} = 0$ (property of F), and $m_{i,d} = 0$ (induction hypothesis).
- (Case 2) If $t_1 > t_i$, then $f_{1,i} = 0$ (property of F), and $m_{1,i} = 0$ (induction hypothesis).

By the condition that $M\tau(\varphi(F)) = \varphi(F)\varphi(M)$, we must have

$$\sum_{i=1}^d m_{1,i}\tau(\varphi(f_{i,d})) = \sum_{i=1}^d \varphi(f_{1,i})\varphi(m_{i,d}).$$

So we will always have $m_{1,d}\tau(\varphi(u^{t_d})) = \varphi(u^{t_1})\varphi(m_{1,d})$, because all the other terms vanish. Now we can conclude $m_{1,d} = 0$ by Lemma 4.2.1. \square

5. CRYSTALLINE LIFTING THEOREM

5.0.1. Theorem. *With notations in (CRY), and suppose that $\bar{\rho}$ is upper triangular. Suppose $\bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{E}(\bar{\chi}_1, \dots, \bar{\chi}_d)$ such that $\bar{\chi}_i \bar{\chi}_j^{-1} \neq \bar{\varepsilon}_p, \forall i \neq j$. Then there exists an upper triangular crystalline representation ρ' such that $\bar{\rho}' \cong \bar{\rho}$, and $\text{HT}(\rho') = \text{HT}(\rho)$ as sets.*

Proof. Recall that $e = (e_1, \dots, e_d)$ is the basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ in Proposition 4.1.1. Let $\sigma \in W_C$ be the unique element as in Proposition 4.1.3, and denote $e^\sigma := (e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(d)})$. By *loc. cit.*, the matrix of φ for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ with respect to the basis e^σ (which is $\sigma^{-1}A_\varphi\sigma$) is still upper triangular. By Proposition 4.2.2 and Lemma 3.0.2, the matrix of τ for $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ with respect to the basis $1 \otimes_\varphi e^\sigma$ (which is $\sigma^{-1}A_\tau\sigma$) is also upper triangular. That is to say (by Lemma 2.1.3), $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_{\sigma(d)}, \dots, \overline{\mathfrak{N}}_{\sigma(1)})$, where $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}_{\sigma(i)} := \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(r_i; a_{\sigma(i)})$. And so $\bar{\rho} = \hat{T}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}) \in \mathcal{E}(\bar{\chi}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \bar{\chi}_{\sigma(d)})$.

By Lemma 2.2.2(3), each $\bar{\chi}_{\sigma(i)}$ has a crystalline lift $\chi_{\sigma(i)} =: \hat{T}(\hat{\mathfrak{M}}(r_i; \hat{a}_{\sigma(i)}))$, where $\hat{a}_{\sigma(i)} \in \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ is any lift of $a_{\sigma(i)}$. Since $r_1 < \dots < r_d$, by [GG12, Lem. 3.1.5] (note that our convention of Hodge-Tate weights is the opposite of *loc. cit.*), $\bar{\rho}$ has an upper triangular crystalline lift ρ' such that $\rho' \in \mathcal{E}(\chi_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \chi_{\sigma(d)})$. Let us remark here that ρ' is in fact *ordinary* in the sense of [GG12, Def. 3.1.3]. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to heartily thank Florian Herzig for many useful and inspiring discussions, and for patiently answering many questions. The author also would like to thank the anonymous referee(s) for useful comments which help to clarify the exposition. This paper is written when the author is a postdoc in Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research and University of Helsinki,

and we would like to thank the institutes for the hospitality. This work is partially supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation General Financial Grant 2014M550539.

REFERENCES

- [BH15] Christophe Breuil and Florian Herzig. Ordinary representations of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and fundamental algebraic representations. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(7):1271–1352, 2015.
- [CL11] Xavier Caruso and Tong Liu. Some bounds for ramification of p^n -torsion semi-stable representations. *J. Algebra*, 325:70–96, 2011.
- [Gao17] Hui Gao. Crystalline liftings and the weight part of Serre’s conjecture. *Israel J. Math.*, 221(1):117–164, 2017.
- [GG12] Toby Gee and David Geraghty. Companion forms for unitary and symplectic groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 161(2):247–303, 2012.
- [GLS14] Toby Gee, Tong Liu, and David Savitt. The Buzzard-Diamond-Jarvis conjecture for unitary groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(2):389–435, 2014.
- [GLS15] Toby Gee, Tong Liu, and David Savitt. The weight part of Serre’s conjecture for $GL(2)$. *Forum Math. Pi*, 3:e2 (52 pages), 2015.
- [GS16] Hui Gao and Claus Sorensen. Locally algebraic vectors in the Breuil–Herzig ordinary part. *Manuscripta Math.*, 151(1-2):113–131, 2016.
- [Kis06] Mark Kisin. Crystalline representations and F -crystals. In *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, volume 253 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 459–496. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [Lev15] Brandon Levin. G -valued crystalline representations with minuscule p -adic Hodge type. *Algebra Number Theory*, 9(8):1741–1792, 2015.
- [Liu10] Tong Liu. A note on lattices in semi-stable representations. *Math. Ann.*, 346(1):117–138, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FIN-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND

E-mail address: hui.gao@helsinki.fi