

Trefftz discontinuous Galerkin methods on unstructured meshes for the wave equation

A. Moiola*

Abstract— We describe and analyse a space–time Trefftz discontinuous Galerkin method for the wave equation. The method is defined for unstructured meshes whose internal faces need not be aligned to the space–time axes. We show that the scheme is well-posed and dissipative, and we prove a priori error bounds for general Trefftz discrete spaces. A concrete discretisation can be obtained using piecewise polynomials that satisfy the wave equation elementwise.

Keywords: wave equation, discontinuous Galerkin method, Trefftz method, space–time finite elements, a priori error analysis.

1 Introduction

Finite element-type schemes whose discrete functions are piecewise solutions of the discretised PDE are often named *Trefftz methods*. When applied to time-dependent problems, Trefftz methods require the use of a space–time mesh, as opposed to the combination of a discretisation in space and a time-stepping. In the context of linear wave propagation, Trefftz methods have been widely studied in time-harmonic regime (e.g. [4]), while only few recent works have been devoted to the time-domain acoustic and electromagnetic wave equations, chiefly [1, 5, 6, 9, 10]. Some possible advantages of Trefftz methods over standard ones are better orders of convergence; flexibility in the choice of basis functions; low dispersion; incorporation of wave propagation directions in the discrete space; adaptivity and local space–time mesh refinement.

Here we introduce a Trefftz discontinuous Galerkin (DG) method for the wave equation written as a first-order system. We consider unstructured meshes whose faces are not aligned to the space–time axes. The method proposed is an extension of those in [5, 6] to higher dimensions and more general meshes, and is closely related to those in [1] (Maxwell’s equations) and in [7] (non-Trefftz, see Remark 2 below). After introducing the initial boundary value problem in §2, some notation in §3 and the Trefftz DG formulation in §4, in §5.2 we show that the Trefftz-DG formulation is well-posed for any Trefftz discrete space and we prove a priori error bounds in a DG norm. In §5.3–§5.5 we study the stability of an auxiliary problem in order to give a sufficient condition for an a priori error bound on the space–time L^2 norm of the Trefftz-DG error, and show that

for a class of meshes this condition is verified. These meshes can be obtained with the “tent-pitching algorithm” of [2, 3, 7], and allow to treat the Trefftz-DG method as a semi-explicit scheme and to compute the solution element by element by solving a sequence of small local linear systems. We also show that the proposed method is dissipative. The analysis is carried out closely following that of [4, 5]; the duality argument used to control the L^2 norm of the error follows an idea of [8].

2 The initial boundary value problem

We consider an initial boundary value problem (IBVP) posed on a space–time domain $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded Lipschitz polytope with outward unit normal \mathbf{n}_Ω^x , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T > 0$. The boundary of Ω is divided in three parts, denoted Γ_D , Γ_N and Γ_R , corresponding to Dirichlet, Neumann and Robin boundary conditions; one or two of them may be empty. The wave equation IBVP reads as

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla v + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } Q, \\ \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ v(\cdot, 0) = v_0, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\cdot, 0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ v = g_D, & \text{on } \Gamma_D \times [0, T], \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x = g_N, & \text{on } \Gamma_N \times [0, T], \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial c} v - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x = g_R, & \text{on } \Gamma_R \times [0, T]. \end{cases}$$

Here $v_0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, g_D, g_N, g_R$ are the problem data; $c > 0$ is the wave speed, which is assumed to be piecewise constant and

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Reading, Whiteknights PO Box 220, Reading RG6 6AX, UK. Email: a.moiola@reading.ac.uk

independent of t ; $\vartheta \in L^\infty(\Gamma_R \times [0, T])$ is an impedance parameter, which is assumed to be uniformly positive. The gradient ∇ and divergence $\nabla \cdot$ operators are meant in the space variable \mathbf{x} only. The equations in (1) may be derived from the second-order scalar wave equation $-\Delta U + c^{-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} U = 0$ setting $v = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -\nabla U$.

3 Space–time mesh and notation

We impose a finite element mesh \mathcal{T}_h on the space-time domain Q . We assume that all its elements are Lipschitz polytopes, so that each internal face $F = \partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2$, for $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h$, with positive n -dimensional measure, is a subset of a hyperplane:

$$F \subset \Pi_F := \{(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F^x + t n_F^t = C_F\},$$

where (\mathbf{n}_F^x, n_F^t) is a unit vector in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $C_F \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that all the internal mesh faces belong to one of the following two classes:

on an internal face $F = \partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2$, either

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} c|\mathbf{n}_F^x| < n_F^t & \text{and } F \text{ is called "space-like" face, or} \\ n_F^t = 0 & \text{and } F \text{ is called "time-like" face.} \end{cases}$$

(See Remark 1 for the extension to more general meshes.) On space-like faces, by convention, we choose $n_F^t > 0$, i.e. the normal unit vector (\mathbf{n}_F^x, n_F^t) points towards future time. Intuitively, space-like faces are hypersurfaces lying under the characteristic cones and on which initial conditions might be imposed, while time-like faces are propagations in time of the faces of a mesh in space only. We use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_h &:= \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \partial K \quad (\text{the mesh skeleton}), \\ \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} &:= \text{the union of the internal space-like faces,} \\ \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} &:= \text{the union of the internal time-like faces,} \\ \mathcal{F}_h^0 &:= \Omega \times \{0\}, & \mathcal{F}_h^T &:= \Omega \times \{T\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_h^D &:= \Gamma_D \times [0, T], & \mathcal{F}_h^N &:= \Gamma_N \times [0, T], \\ \mathcal{F}_h^R &:= \Gamma_R \times [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

(We will consider more specific meshes with $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} = \emptyset$ in §5.5 below.) We denote the outward-pointing unit normal vector on ∂K by (\mathbf{n}_K^x, n_K^t) . For piecewise-continuous scalar (w) and vector ($\boldsymbol{\tau}$) fields, we define averages $\{\!\{ \cdot \}\!\}$, space normal jumps $[\![\cdot]\!]_{\mathbf{N}}$ and time (full) jumps $[\![\cdot]\!]_t$ in the standard DG notation: on $F = \partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2$, $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\!\{ w \}\!\} &:= \frac{w|_{K_1} + w|_{K_2}}{2}, & \{\!\{ \boldsymbol{\tau} \}\!\} &:= \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}|_{K_1} + \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{K_2}}{2}, \\ [\![w]\!]_{\mathbf{N}} &:= w|_{K_1} \mathbf{n}_{K_1}^x + w|_{K_2} \mathbf{n}_{K_2}^x, \\ [\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!]_{\mathbf{N}} &:= \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{K_1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K_1}^x + \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{K_2} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K_2}^x, \\ [\![w]\!]_t &:= w|_{K_1} n_{K_1}^t + w|_{K_2} n_{K_2}^t = (w^- - w^+) n_F^t, \\ [\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!]_t &:= \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{K_1} n_{K_1}^t + \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{K_2} n_{K_2}^t = (\boldsymbol{\tau}^- - \boldsymbol{\tau}^+) n_F^t, \end{aligned}$$

where, on space-like faces, w^- and w^+ denote the traces of the function w from the adjacent elements at lower and higher times, respectively (and similarly for $\boldsymbol{\tau}^\pm$). We use the notation $[\![\cdot]\!]_{\mathbf{N}}$ to recall that $[w]_{\mathbf{N}}$ is a vector field parallel to \mathbf{n}_F^x and $[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!]_{\mathbf{N}}$ is the jump of the normal component of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ only. We recall also that in this formulas $|n_K^t|, |\mathbf{n}_K^x| \leq 1$, and that one of the two might be zero; in particular $[w]_t = 0$ and $[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!]_t = \mathbf{0}$ on $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}$. The following identities can easily be shown:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} w^- [w]_t - \frac{1}{2} [w^2]_t &= \frac{1}{2n_F^t} [w]_t^2, \\ \boldsymbol{\tau}^- [\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!]_t - \frac{1}{2} [[\boldsymbol{\tau}^2]]_t &= \frac{1}{2n_F^t} |[\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!]_t|^2, \\ w^- [\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!]_{\mathbf{N}} + \boldsymbol{\tau}^- \cdot [w]_{\mathbf{N}} - [w\boldsymbol{\tau}]_{\mathbf{N}} &= \frac{1}{n_F^t} [w]_t [\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!]_{\mathbf{N}}, \\ \{\!\{ w \}\!\} [\![\boldsymbol{\tau}]\!]_{\mathbf{N}} + \{\!\{ \boldsymbol{\tau} \}\!\} \cdot [w]_{\mathbf{N}} &= [w\boldsymbol{\tau}]_{\mathbf{N}}, \end{aligned}$$

We assume that the mesh \mathcal{T}_h is chosen so that the wave speed c is constant in each element; as c is independent of time, it may jump only across faces in $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}$.

Finally, we define the local and the global *Trefftz spaces*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}(K) &:= \left\{ (w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in L^2(K)^{1+n}, \text{ s.t. } \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{\partial K} \in L^2(\partial K)^n, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(K), \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial t}, \nabla w \in L^2(K)^n, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \underbrace{\nabla w + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = 0}_{\text{(Trefftz property)}} \right\} \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h) &:= \left\{ (w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in L^2(Q)^{1+n}, \text{ s.t.} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (w|_K, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_K) \in \mathbf{T}(K) \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The solution $(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ of IBVP (1) is assumed to belong to $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$.

4 The Trefftz-discontinuous Galerkin method

To obtain the DG formulation, we multiply the first two equations of (1) with test fields $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and w and integrate by parts on a single mesh element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \int_K \left(v \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right) + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial t} + \nabla w \right) \right) dV \\ & + \int_{\partial K} \left((v \boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} w) \cdot \mathbf{n}_K^x + \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \frac{v w}{c^2} \right) n_K^t \right) dS = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We look for a discrete solution $(v_{hp}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp})$ approximating $(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ in a finite-dimensional (arbitrary, at this stage) Trefftz space $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h) \subset \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$. We take the test field $(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ in the same space $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, thus the volume integral over K in (4) vanishes. The traces of v_{hp} and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp}$ on the mesh skeleton are approximated by the (single-valued) *numerical fluxes* \hat{v}_{hp} and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{hp}$, so that (4) is rewritten as:

$$(5) \quad \int_{\partial K} \hat{v}_{hp} \left(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_K^x + \frac{w}{c^2} n_K^t \right) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{hp} \cdot (w \mathbf{n}_K^x + \boldsymbol{\tau} n_K^t) dS = 0.$$

We choose to define the numerical fluxes as:

$$\widehat{v}_{hp} := \begin{cases} v_{hp}^- & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}, \\ v_{hp} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^T, \\ v_0 & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^0, \\ \llbracket v_{hp} \rrbracket + \beta \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}, \\ gD & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^D, \\ v_{hp} + \beta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x - gN) & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^N, \\ (1 - \delta)v_{hp} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^R, \\ + \frac{\delta c}{\vartheta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x + gR) & \end{cases} \quad \widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{hp} := \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp}^- & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^T, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^0, \\ \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} \rrbracket + \alpha \llbracket v_{hp} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} + \alpha(v_{hp} - gD)\mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^D, \\ gN\mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^N, \\ (1 - \delta)(\vartheta \frac{v_{hp}}{c} - gR)\mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^R, \\ + \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} & \end{cases}$$

The mesh-dependent parameters $\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^D)$, $\beta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^N)$ and $\delta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^R)$ may be used to tune the method, e.g. to deal with locally-refined meshes.

The fluxes are *consistent*, in the sense that they coincide with the exact solution $(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ of the IBVP (1) if they are applied to $(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ itself, which satisfies the boundary conditions and has no jumps across mesh faces. Moreover, the fluxes satisfy the boundary conditions, e.g. $\frac{\vartheta}{c} \widehat{v}_{hp} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{hp} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x = gR$ on \mathcal{F}_h^R . The numerical fluxes can be understood as upwind fluxes on the space-like faces and centred fluxes with jump penalisation on the time-like ones.

Summing the elemental TDG equation (5) over the elements $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, with the fluxes defined above, we obtain the Trefftz-DG variational formulation displayed in box (6).

Method (6) appears as a formulation over the whole space–time domain Q , which would lead to an inconvenient global linear system coupling all elements. However, if the mesh is suitably designed, the solution can be computed by solving a sequence of smaller local problem. A first possibility is to partition the time interval $[0, T]$ into subintervals and solve sequentially for the corresponding time-slabs $\Omega \times (t_{j-1}, t_j)$, see [1, 6]; this corresponds to an implicit method and allows local mesh refinement. A slightly more complicated, but potentially much more efficient version is to solve for small patches of elements, localised in space and time, in the spirit of the semi-explicit ‘‘tent-pitching’’ algorithm of [2, 3, 7]. If no time-like faces are present in the mesh, the solution can be found by solving a small system for each element, see §5.5 below. If the same mesh is used, all these variants are equivalent, in the sense that the discrete solutions $(v_{hp}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp})$ coincide.

REMARK 1 One could easily extend the formulation weakening assumption (2) to allow more general time-like faces with $c|\mathbf{n}_F^x| > n_F^t$, i.e. not aligned to the time-axis. Choosing the numerical fluxes as above, one obtains a formulation similar to (6) with additional terms on $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}$ containing $\llbracket w \rrbracket_t$ and $\llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau} \rrbracket_t$, which do not vanish in this setting. It is then easy to prove the coercivity of the new bilinear form in a slight modification of the DG norm introduced below. However, the bilinear form will contain the term $\int_{\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau} \rrbracket_t \, dS$, featuring the full

jump of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ (as opposed to the normal jump only), which, in dimension $n > 1$, is not easily controlled by the same DG norms.

REMARK 2 Formulation (6) can be seen in the framework of DG methods for general first-order hyperbolic systems developed in [7], which considers standard discontinuous piecewise-polynomial spaces. The choice of the numerical fluxes in the interior elements correspond to the choice of a suitable decomposition of the block matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} n_K^t c^{-2} & (\mathbf{n}_K^x)^\top \\ \mathbf{n}_K^t & n_K^t \text{Id}_n \end{pmatrix}$ defined on ∂K for all $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Here Id_n is the identity matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $^\top$ denotes vector transposition, and \mathbf{n}_K^x is thought as a column vector. The choice we have done in this section corresponds to the decomposition:

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| on | $M^+ =$ | $M^- =$ |
| $\partial K \cap \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} \cap \{n_K^t > 0\}$ | M | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\partial K \cap \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} \cap \{n_K^t < 0\}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | M |
| $\partial K \cap \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{n}_K^x)^\top \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n}_K^x & \beta \mathbf{n}_K^x \otimes \mathbf{n}_K^x \end{pmatrix}$ | $\begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{n}_K^x)^\top \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n}_K^x & -\beta \mathbf{n}_K^x \otimes \mathbf{n}_K^x \end{pmatrix}$ |

The conditions $M^+ + M^- = M$, $\ker(M^+ - M^-) = \ker(M)$ and $M^+_{|\partial K_1} + M^-_{|\partial K_2} = \mathbf{0}$ on $\partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2$ are automatically satisfied. Moreover, $M^+ \geq 0$ and $M^- \leq 0$ hold true if and only if $\alpha\beta \geq 1/4$. The boundary terms in (6) and in [7, §6] coincide (apart from a different sign convention) if our flux parameters and their boundary coefficients Q and σ are chosen so that $\alpha = \sigma$ on Γ_D , $\beta = 1/\sigma$ on Γ_N , $\delta = (1 + Q)/2$ and $\vartheta/c = \sigma(1 + Q)/(1 - Q)$ on Γ_R .

5 A priori error analysis

5.1 Definitions and assumptions

We prove the well-posedness and the stability of the Trefftz-DG formulation (6) under the assumption that the flux parameters α , β and δ are uniformly positive in their domains of definition and that $\|\delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^R)} < 1$. We introduce a piecewise-constant function γ defined on $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}$, measuring how close to characteristic cones the space-like mesh faces are:

$$(7) \quad \gamma := \frac{c|\mathbf{n}_F^x|}{n_F^t} \quad \text{on } F \subset \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}, \quad \gamma := 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^0 \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T,$$

from which, recalling assumption (2), $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and

$$(8) \quad \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \leq \frac{\gamma}{c} \llbracket w \rrbracket_t, \quad \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \leq \frac{\gamma}{c} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau} \rrbracket_t \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}.$$

We define two mesh- and flux-dependent norms on $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})\|_{\text{DG}}^2 &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1 - \gamma}{n_F^t} \right)^{1/2} c^{-1} \llbracket w \rrbracket_t \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}})}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1 - \gamma}{n_F^t} \right)^{1/2} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau} \rrbracket_t \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}})^n}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \|c^{-1} w\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^0 \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^0 \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)^n}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \alpha^{1/2} \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}})^n}^2 + \left\| \beta^{1/2} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}})^n}^2 \end{aligned}$$

(6) Seek $(v_{hp}, \sigma_{hp}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ such that $\mathcal{A}(v_{hp}, \sigma_{hp}; w, \tau) = \ell(w, \tau) \quad \forall (w, \tau) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(v_{hp}, \sigma_{hp}; w, \tau) &:= \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}} (c^{-2} v_{hp}^- [w]_t + \sigma_{hp}^- \cdot [\tau]_t + v_{hp}^- [\tau]_{\mathbf{N}} + \sigma_{hp}^- \cdot [w]_{\mathbf{N}}) \, dS + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^T} (c^{-2} v_{hp} w + \sigma_{hp} \cdot \tau) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}} (\{v_{hp}\} [\tau]_{\mathbf{N}} + \{\sigma_{hp}\} \cdot [w]_{\mathbf{N}} + \alpha [v_{hp}]_{\mathbf{N}} \cdot [w]_{\mathbf{N}} + \beta [\sigma_{hp}]_{\mathbf{N}} [\tau]_{\mathbf{N}}) \, dS \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^D} (\sigma \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x w + \alpha v_{hp} w) \, dS + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^N} (v_{hp} (\tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x) + \beta (\sigma \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x) (\tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x)) \, dS \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^R} \left(\frac{(1-\delta)\vartheta}{c} v_{hp} w + (1-\delta) v_{hp} (\tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x) + \delta (\sigma_{hp} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x) w + \frac{\delta c}{\vartheta} (\sigma_{hp} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x) (\tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x) \right) \, dS, \\ \ell(w, \tau) &:= \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^0} (c^{-2} v_0 w + \sigma_0 \cdot \tau) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^D} g_D (\alpha w - \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x) \, dS + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^N} g_N (\beta \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x - w) \, dS + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^R} g_R \left((1-\delta) w - \frac{\delta c}{\vartheta} \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x \right) \, dS. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \left\| \alpha^{1/2} w \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^D)}^2 + \left\| \beta^{1/2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^N)}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \left(\frac{(1-\delta)\vartheta}{c} \right)^{1/2} w \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 + \left\| \left(\frac{\delta c}{\vartheta} \right)^{1/2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2; \\ \| (w, \tau) \|_{\text{DG}^+}^2 &:= \| (w, \tau) \|_{\text{DG}}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \left(\frac{n_F^t}{1-\gamma} \right)^{1/2} c^{-1} w^- \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}})}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \left(\frac{n_F^t}{1-\gamma} \right)^{1/2} \tau^- \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}})^n}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \beta^{-1/2} \{w\} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}})}^2 + \left\| \alpha^{-1/2} \{\tau\} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}})^n}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \alpha^{-1/2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^D)}^2 + \left\| \beta^{-1/2} w \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^N)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

(The factors $(1-\gamma)^{\pm 1/2}$ may be dropped from all terms in the two norms without modifying the analysis in the following sections.) We note that these are only *seminorms* on broken Sobolev spaces defined on the mesh \mathcal{T}_h , but are norms on $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$: indeed $\| (w, \tau) \| = 0$ for $(w, \tau) \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ implies that (w, τ) is solution of the IBVP (1) with zero initial and boundary conditions, so $(w, \tau) = (0, \mathbf{0})$ by the well-posedness of the IBVP itself (see [5, Lemma 4.1]).

5.2 Well-posedness

We first note that for all Trefftz field $(w, \tau) \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$

$$\int_{\partial K} \left(w \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_K^x + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w^2}{c^2} + |\tau|^2 \right) n_K^t \right) \, dS = 0 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h,$$

which follows from integration by parts and the Trefftz property. Subtracting these terms from the bilinear form \mathcal{A} , using the jump identities (3), the inequalities (8), the definition of γ in (7), and the weighted Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we show

that the form \mathcal{A} is coercive in $\| \cdot \|_{\text{DG}}$ norm with unit constant:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(w, \tau; w, \tau) &= \mathcal{A}(w, \tau; w, \tau) \\ &\quad - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial K} \left(w \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_K^x + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w^2}{c^2} + |\tau|^2 \right) n_K^t \right) \, dS \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{=} \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}} (c^{-2} w^- [w]_t + \tau^- \cdot [\tau]_t + w^- [\tau]_{\mathbf{N}} + \tau^- \cdot [w]_{\mathbf{N}} \\ &\quad - [w \tau]_{\mathbf{N}} - \frac{1}{2} [c^{-2} w^2 + |\tau|^2]_t) \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^T} (c^{-2} w^2 + |\tau|^2) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^0} (c^{-2} w^2 + |\tau|^2) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}} \left(\{w\} [\tau]_{\mathbf{N}} + \{\tau\} \cdot [w]_{\mathbf{N}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha |[w]_{\mathbf{N}}|^2 + \beta |[\tau]_{\mathbf{N}}|^2 - [w \tau]_{\mathbf{N}} \right) \, dS \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^D} \alpha w^2 \, dS + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^N} \beta (\tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x) (\tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x) \, dS \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^R} \left(\frac{(1-\delta)\vartheta}{c} w^2 + \frac{\delta c}{\vartheta} (\tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x)^2 \right) \, dS \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}} \left(\frac{1}{2n_F^t} (c^{-2} [w]_t^2 + |[\tau]_t|^2) + \frac{1}{n_F^t} [w]_t [\tau]_{\mathbf{N}} \right) \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \| c^{-1} w \|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^0 \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \tau \|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^0 \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)^n}^2 \\ &\quad + \left\| \alpha^{1/2} [w]_{\mathbf{N}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}})}^2 + \left\| \beta^{1/2} [\tau]_{\mathbf{N}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}})}^2 \\ &\quad + \left\| \alpha^{1/2} w \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^D)}^2 + \left\| \beta^{1/2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^N)}^2 \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\frac{(1-\delta)\vartheta}{c} \right)^{1/2} w \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 + \left\| \left(\frac{\delta c}{\vartheta} \right)^{1/2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(7),(8)}{\geq} \| (w, \tau) \|_{\text{DG}}^2 \quad \forall (w, \tau) \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h). \end{aligned}$$

Using again the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the bounds on the jumps (8) and $\gamma < 1$, we have the following continuity estimate for the bilinear form \mathcal{A} : for all $(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma}), (w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$

$$|\mathcal{A}(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma}; w, \boldsymbol{\tau})| \leq C_c \|(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma})\|_{\text{DG}^+} \|(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})\|_{\text{DG}}, \quad \text{where} \quad (9)$$

$$C_c := \begin{cases} 2, & \mathcal{F}_h^R = \emptyset, \\ 2 \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{1-\delta}{\delta} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^{1/2}, \left\| \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^{1/2} \right\}, & \mathcal{F}_h^R \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Note that $C_c \geq 2$ and that the minimal value $C_c = 2$ is obtained for $\delta = 1/2$. Also the linear functional ℓ is continuous:

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})| &\leq \left(2 \|c^{-1}v_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^0)}^2 + 2 \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^0)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad + 2 \left\| \alpha^{1/2} g_D \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^D)}^2 + 2 \left\| \beta^{1/2} g_N \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^N)}^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| (c/\vartheta)^{1/2} g_R \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})\|_{\text{DG}^+}; \end{aligned}$$

if $g_D = g_N = 0$ (or the corresponding parts $\mathcal{F}_h^D, \mathcal{F}_h^N$ of the boundary are empty) then the $\|(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})\|_{\text{DG}^+}$ norm at the right-hand side can be substituted by $\|(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})\|_{\text{DG}}$.

Combining coercivity and continuity, Céa lemma gives well-posedness and quasi-optimality of the Treffitz-DG formulation irrespectively of the discrete Treffitz space $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ chosen.

THEOREM 3 *The variational problem (6) admits a unique solution $(v_{hp}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$. It satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(v - v_{hp}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp})\|_{\text{DG}} \\ &\leq (1 + C_c) \inf_{(w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} \|(v - w, \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\tau})\|_{\text{DG}^+}, \end{aligned}$$

with C_c as in (9). Moreover, if $g_D = g_N = 0$ then

$$\|(v_{hp}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp})\|_{\text{DG}} \leq \left(2 \left\| \frac{1}{c} v_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^0)}^2 + 2 \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^0)}^2 + \left\| \frac{c^{1/2}}{\vartheta^{1/2}} g_R \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In the next sections we control the $L^2(Q)$ norm of the error with a duality-type argument inspired by [8, Theorem 3.1], based on an auxiliary problem and on energy estimates.

5.3 Auxiliary problem and error bounds in $L^2(Q)$

Consider the auxiliary inhomogeneous IBVP

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla z + \partial \boldsymbol{\zeta} / \partial t = \boldsymbol{\Phi} & \text{in } Q, \\ \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta} + c^{-2} \partial z / \partial t = \psi & \text{in } Q, \\ z(\cdot, 0) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\zeta}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \Omega, \\ z = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D \times I, \\ \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N \times I, \\ \frac{\vartheta}{c} z - \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_R \times I, \end{cases}$$

with data $\psi \in L^2(Q), \boldsymbol{\Phi} \in L^2(Q)^n$. In the next proposition we assume that there exists a positive constant C_{stab} , depending on the domain Q , the mesh \mathcal{T}_h (thus on γ) and the parameters $c, \vartheta, \alpha, \beta, \delta$, such that for all $\psi \in L^2(Q), \boldsymbol{\Phi} \in L^2(Q)^n$ the solution $(z, \boldsymbol{\zeta})$ of (10) satisfies the stability bound:

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} &2 \left\| \left(\frac{(1+\gamma^2)n_F^t}{1-\gamma} \right)^{1/2} z \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)}^2 \\ &+ 2 \left\| \left(\frac{(1+\gamma^2)n_F^t}{1-\gamma} \right)^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)^n}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \alpha^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^D)}^2 + \left\| \beta^{-1/2} z \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^N)}^2 \\ &+ \left\| \left(\frac{c}{(1-\delta)\vartheta} \right)^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 + \left\| \left(\frac{\vartheta}{\delta c} \right)^{1/2} z \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 \\ &\leq C_{\text{stab}}^2 \left(\|\boldsymbol{\Phi}\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 + \|c\psi\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Conditions under which bound (11) holds are given in §5.5.

PROPOSITION 4 *If bound (11) is satisfied, then for all Treffitz fields $(w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$*

$$(12) \quad \left(\|c^{-1}w\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C_{\text{stab}} \|(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})\|_{\text{DG}}.$$

Proof. We first prove the vanishing of certain jumps across mesh faces for the solution $(z, \boldsymbol{\zeta})$ of the inhomogeneous auxiliary problem (10): $\llbracket z \rrbracket_t$ and $\llbracket \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rrbracket_t$ on $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}$ and of $\llbracket z \rrbracket_N$ and $\llbracket \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rrbracket_N$ on $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}$. Given a hyperplane $\Pi = \{\mathbf{n}_\Pi^x \cdot \mathbf{x} + n_\Pi^t t = c_\Pi\}$, denote the scalar jump of a piecewise continuous function f as $\llbracket f \rrbracket_\Pi := f|_{\{\mathbf{n}_\Pi^x \cdot \mathbf{x} + n_\Pi^t t > c_\Pi\}} - f|_{\{\mathbf{n}_\Pi^x \cdot \mathbf{x} + n_\Pi^t t < c_\Pi\}}$. From (10), the fields $(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, c^{-2}z)$ and $(ze_j, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_j), 1 \leq j \leq n$ (\mathbf{e}_j denoting the standard basis elements of \mathbb{R}^n), are in $H(\text{div}_{x,t}, Q)$, thus their normal jumps vanish across any space–time Lipschitz interface in Q and in particular across Π :

$$\llbracket \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Pi^x + c^{-2} z n_\Pi^t \rrbracket_\Pi = \llbracket z(\mathbf{n}_\Pi^x)_j + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_j n_\Pi^t \rrbracket_\Pi = 0 \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Thus, on time-like faces $n_\Pi^t = 0$, the jump of z and the normal jump of $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ vanish. On constant-time faces ($\mathbf{n}_\Pi^x = \mathbf{0}, n_\Pi^t = \pm 1$) all jumps vanish. On other planes, $n_\Pi^t \neq 0$ and $|\mathbf{n}_\Pi^x| \neq 0$, thus

$$(-c^2/n_\Pi^t) \llbracket \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Pi^x \rrbracket_\Pi = \llbracket z \rrbracket_\Pi = (-n_\Pi^t/|\mathbf{n}_\Pi^x|^2) \llbracket \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Pi^x \rrbracket_\Pi.$$

If $n_\Pi^t/|\mathbf{n}_\Pi^x| \neq c$ then we have immediately $\llbracket z \rrbracket_\Pi = \llbracket \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Pi^x \rrbracket_\Pi = 0$ and from above, $\llbracket \boldsymbol{\zeta}_j \rrbracket_\Pi = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Assumption (2) guarantees that $n_\Pi^t/|\mathbf{n}_\Pi^x| > c$ on $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}$, so we conclude that all jumps vanish. (We have simply shown that the discontinuities of solutions of the first-order wave equation with source term in $L^2(Q)^{n+1}$ propagate along characteristics.)

Since the $L^2(Q)$ norm of $(w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ can be computed as

$$(13) \quad \left(\|c^{-1}w\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \sup_{(\Phi, \psi) \in L^2(Q)^{n+1}} \frac{\iint_Q (w\psi + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \Phi) dx dt}{\left(\|\Phi\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 + \|c\psi\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \right)^{1/2}},$$

we now take the scalar product of $(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ with the source terms (ψ, Φ) of problem (10) and integrate by parts in each element:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q (w\psi + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \Phi) dV \\ \stackrel{(10)}{=} & \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \left(w \nabla \cdot \zeta + \frac{w}{c^2} \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \nabla z + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} \right) dV \\ = & \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial K} \left(w \zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_K^x + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_K^x z + \frac{w z n_K^t}{c^2} + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \zeta n_K^t \right) dS \\ = & \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}} \underbrace{\left[w \zeta + \boldsymbol{\tau} z \right]_{\mathbf{N}} + \left[c^{-2} w z + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \zeta \right]_t}_{\leq c^{-1} \left(\|w\|_t (\gamma |\zeta| + c^{-1} |z|) + \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_t (\gamma c^{-1} |z| + |\zeta|) \right)} dS \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^T} \left(\frac{wz}{c^2} + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \zeta \right) dS - \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^0} \underbrace{\left(\frac{w}{c^2} z \right)}_{=0} + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \zeta}_{=0} dS \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}} \underbrace{\left[w \zeta + \boldsymbol{\tau} z \right]_{\mathbf{N}}}_{=[w]_{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \zeta + [\boldsymbol{\tau}]_{\mathbf{N}} z} dS \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^D \cup \mathcal{F}_h^N \cup \mathcal{F}_h^R} \left(w \underbrace{\zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x}_{=0 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_h^N} + \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x \underbrace{z}_{=0 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_h^D} \right) dS \\ \leq & \| (w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \|_{\text{DG}} \cdot \left(2 \left\| \left(\frac{(1 + \gamma^2) n_F^t}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{1/2} \frac{z}{c} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}})}^2 \right. \\ & + 2 \left\| \left(\frac{(1 + \gamma^2) n_F^t}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{1/2} \zeta \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}})^n}^2 \\ & + 2 \|c^{-1} z\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^T)}^2 + 2 \|\zeta\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^T)^n}^2 \\ & + \left\| \alpha^{-1/2} \zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_F^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^D)}^2 + \left\| \beta^{-1/2} z \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^N)}^2 \\ & + \left\| \left(\frac{c}{(1 - \delta)\vartheta} \right)^{1/2} \zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 \\ & + \left\| \left(\frac{\vartheta}{\delta c} \right)^{1/2} z \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 \Big)^{1/2} \\ \stackrel{(11)}{\leq} & C_{\text{stab}} \| (w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \|_{\text{DG}} \left(\|\Phi\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 + \|c\psi\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this bound in the expansion (13) of the $L^2(Q)$ norm of $(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})$, we obtain the assertion (12). \square

From Proposition 4 and Theorem 3, it follows that the $L^2(Q)$ norm of the Trefftz-DG error is controlled by the $\|\cdot\|_{\text{DG}^+}$ norm of the best-approximation error: if bound (11) is verified,

$$(14) \quad \boxed{\begin{aligned} & \left(\|c^{-1}(v - v_{hp})\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp}\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C_{\text{stab}}(1 + C_c) \inf_{(w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} \| (v - w, \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\tau}) \|_{\text{DG}^+}, \end{aligned}}$$

In [5], bound (11) was proven in one space dimension on meshes made of rectangular elements aligned to the space-time axes and C_{stab} was computed explicitly. Two proofs were given. One of them (Appendix A of [5]) relies on the use of the exact value of (z, ζ) in Q computed with Duhamel's principle, and can not be easily extended to general domains in higher dimensions as it require a suitable periodic extension of the IBVP (10) to $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$. The second proof (Lemma 4.9 of [5]) uses an energy argument to control the traces on space-like faces in (11) and an integration by parts trick to bound the traces on time-like faces. In higher dimensions, the energy argument carries over, while the traces on time-like faces are harder to control. In §5.5 we prove the stability estimate (11) in any dimension, under two additional assumptions to get around the need to control traces on time-like faces. We first discuss in the next section some energy identities which will be useful later.

5.4 Energy identities and estimates

We call ‘‘space-like interface’’ a graph hypersurface

$$\Sigma = \{(\mathbf{x}, f_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})) : \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\} \subset \bar{Q}$$

where $f_\Sigma : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, T]$ is a Lipschitz-continuous function whose Lipschitz constant is smaller than $1/c$. Each space-like mesh face in $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}$ is subset of a space-like interface Σ . The future-pointing unit normal vector is defined almost everywhere on Σ and denoted by $(\mathbf{n}_\Sigma^x, n_\Sigma^t)$.

For sufficiently smooth scalar and vector fields $(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})$, define their *energy* on a space-like interface as

$$\mathcal{E}(\Sigma; w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) := \int_\Sigma \left(w \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Sigma^x + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w^2}{c^2} + |\boldsymbol{\tau}|^2 \right) n_\Sigma^t \right) dS.$$

The energy on constant-time, or ‘‘flat’’, space-like interfaces are denoted by $\mathcal{E}(t; w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) := \mathcal{E}(\bar{\Omega} \times \{t\}; w, \boldsymbol{\tau})$, for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

For two space-like interfaces Σ_1, Σ_2 with $f_{\Sigma_1} \leq f_{\Sigma_2}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, we denote the volume between them and its lateral boundary as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2} &:= \{(\mathbf{x}, t) : \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, f_{\Sigma_1}(\mathbf{x}) < t < f_{\Sigma_2}(\mathbf{x})\}, \\ \Gamma_{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2} &:= \{(\mathbf{x}, t) : \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, f_{\Sigma_1}(\mathbf{x}) \leq t \leq f_{\Sigma_2}(\mathbf{x})\}. \end{aligned}$$

For such interfaces, the following energy identity can be verified integrating by parts:

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_2; w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) &= \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_1; w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) - \int_{\Gamma_{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2}} w \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x dS \\ &+ \int_{Q_{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2}} \left(\left(\nabla w + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right) w \right) dV. \end{aligned}$$

If $(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ is the solution of IBVP (1), then we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\Sigma_2; v, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_1; v, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \int_{\Gamma_{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2}} v \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x dS.$$

If $g_D = g_N = g_R = 0$ in their domains of definition, since $\vartheta \geq 0$, we have $\mathcal{E}(\Sigma_2; v, \sigma) \leq \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_1; v, \sigma)$, i.e. energy is dissipated. If moreover $\mathcal{F}_h^R = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{E}(\Sigma_2; v, \sigma) = \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_1; v, \sigma)$, i.e. energy is preserved.

Expanding $\ell(v_{hp}, \sigma_{hp}) \geq |||(v_{hp}, \sigma_{hp})|||_{DG}^2$ as in [5, eq. (28)], it is easy to prove that the method (6) is *dissipative* and that energy is dissipated by the discrete solution jumps across mesh interfaces: if $g_D = g_N = g_R = 0$, the energy of the discrete solution (v_{hp}, σ_{hp}) of the Treffitz-DG formulation satisfies

$$\boxed{\mathcal{E}(T; v_{hp}, \sigma_{hp}) \leq \mathcal{E}(0; v_0, \sigma_0)}.$$

Using $|\mathbf{n}_F^x| \leq \gamma c^{-1} n_F^t$ on $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}$ and the weighted Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have lower and upper bounds for the energy: if $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^0 \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T$ is a space-like interface composed by element faces, then for all $(w, \tau) \in L^2(\Sigma)^{1+n}$

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (1 - \gamma) n_{\Sigma}^t \left(\frac{w^2}{c^2} + |\tau|^2 \right) dS \leq \mathcal{E}(\Sigma; w, \tau) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (1 + \gamma) n_{\Sigma}^t \left(\frac{w^2}{c^2} + |\tau|^2 \right) dS.$$

5.5 Stability of the auxiliary problem

We are now ready to prove bound (11) which guarantees the error bound in $L^2(Q)$ norm (14). We note that, under assumption (ii) below, the wave speed c must be constant throughout Q . A mesh satisfying this assumption is depicted in Figure 1.

PROPOSITION 5 Consider the IBVP (10) and assume that

- (i) $\Gamma_D = \Gamma_N = \emptyset$, i.e. only Robin boundary conditions are allowed, $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_R$; and
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} = \emptyset$, i.e. no time-like mesh interfaces are allowed.

Then the stability estimate (11) holds true with

$$C_{\text{stab}}^2 = 2T \left(N \left\| \frac{4(1 + \gamma^2)}{(1 - \gamma)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}})} + \left\| \frac{1}{\delta(1 - \delta)} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^R)} \right)$$

where N is the minimal number of space-like interfaces $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_N$ such that $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq N-1} \Sigma_j$ and $0 \leq f_{\Sigma_1} \leq \dots \leq f_{\Sigma_{N-1}} \leq f_{\Sigma_N} = T$.

Proof. Applying the energy identity (15) to the solution (z, ζ) of the IBVP (10), we have that for any two space-like interfaces Σ_1, Σ_2 with $f_{\Sigma_1} \leq f_{\Sigma_2}$,

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_2; z, \zeta) \leq \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_1; z, \zeta) + \int_{Q_{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2}} (\Phi \cdot \zeta + \psi z) dV$$

(equality holds if $\Gamma_{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2} \cap \mathcal{F}_h^R$ has vanishing n -dimensional measure). This implies a bound in space–time L^2 norm:

$$\|c^{-1}z\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\zeta\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 = 2 \int_0^T \mathcal{E}(t; z, \zeta) dt$$

$$\stackrel{(17)}{\leq} 2 \int_0^T \left(\underbrace{\mathcal{E}(0; z, \zeta)}_{=0} + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t (\Phi \cdot \zeta + \psi z) ds dx \right) dt \\ \leq 2T \left(\|c^{-1}z\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\zeta\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \cdot \left(\|c\psi\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\Phi\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

from which

$$(18) \quad \|c^{-1}z\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\zeta\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 \leq 4T^2 \left(\|c\psi\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\Phi\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 \right).$$

For every space-like mesh interface $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{F}_h$ we control the corresponding term in (11) with the energy term:

$$(19) \quad 2 \left\| \left(\frac{(1 + \gamma^2) n_{\Sigma}^t}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{1/2} z \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + 2 \left\| \left(\frac{(1 + \gamma^2) n_{\Sigma}^t}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{1/2} \zeta \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)^n}^2 \\ \leq \underbrace{\left\| \frac{4(1 + \gamma^2)}{(1 - \gamma)^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}})}}_{=: C_\gamma} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (1 - \gamma) n_{\Sigma}^t \left(\frac{z^2}{c^2} + |\zeta|^2 \right) dS \\ \stackrel{(16)}{\leq} C_\gamma \mathcal{E}(\Sigma; z, \zeta).$$

We partition the faces in $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}}$ into $(N - 1)$ interfaces $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq N-1} \Sigma_j$ such that $0 \leq f_{\Sigma_1} \leq \dots \leq f_{\Sigma_{N-1}} \leq T$ and denote $\Sigma_N = \Omega \times \{T\}$. We now control all terms on the space-like faces:

$$2 \left\| \left(\frac{(1 + \gamma^2) n_F^t}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{1/2} z \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)}^2 \\ + 2 \left\| \left(\frac{(1 + \gamma^2) n_F^t}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{1/2} \zeta \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{space}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)^n}^2 \\ \stackrel{(19)}{\leq} C_\gamma \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_j; z, \zeta) \\ \stackrel{(17)}{\leq} C_\gamma \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{Q_{\Omega \times \{0\}, \Sigma_j}} (\Phi \cdot \zeta + \psi z) dV \\ \stackrel{(18)}{\leq} 2C_\gamma NT \left(\|c\psi\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\Phi\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 \right).$$

From assumptions (i) and (ii), in (11) there are no terms on $\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}, \mathcal{F}_h^D$ and \mathcal{F}_h^N , so we are now left with the terms on \mathcal{F}_h^R : using the Robin boundary condition $\frac{\vartheta}{c} z = \zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x$, the energy identity (15) and the $L^2(Q)$ stability bound (18), we have

$$\left\| \left(\frac{c}{(1 - \delta)\vartheta} \right)^{1/2} \zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 + \left\| \left(\frac{\vartheta}{\delta c} \right)^{1/2} z \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}^2 \\ \leq \underbrace{\left\| \frac{1}{\delta(1 - \delta)} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_h^R)}}_{=: C_\delta} \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^R} \left(\delta \frac{c}{\vartheta} (\zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x)^2 + (1 - \delta) \frac{\vartheta}{c} z^2 \right) dS$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta z = c \zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x \quad C_\delta \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^R} z \zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_\Omega^x \, dS \\
& \stackrel{(15)}{=} C_\delta \left(\underbrace{\mathcal{E}(0; z, \zeta)}_{=0} - \underbrace{\mathcal{E}(T; z, \zeta)}_{\geq 0} + \int_Q (\Phi \cdot \zeta + \psi z) \, dV \right) \\
& \stackrel{(18)}{\leq} C_\delta 2T (\|c\psi\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\Phi\|_{L^2(Q^n)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this inequality with the previous one we obtain the assertion with $C_{\text{stab}}^2 = 2T(C_\gamma N + C_\delta)$. \square

Assumption (ii) in Proposition 5 requires that all the internal mesh faces are space-like; Figure 1 shows a mesh of this kind. The meshes that satisfy this condition allow the Trefftz-DG method to be treated as a “semi-explicit” scheme as in [3, 7]: if the elements are suitably ordered, the discrete solution can be computed sequentially solving a local problem for each element. This also allows a high degree of parallelism. If the “tent-pitching” algorithm of [2] is used to construct the mesh and the “macro elements” of [7] are taken as elements, then the mesh obtained satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 5. The fact that the elements obtained in this way do not have simple shapes such as $(n+1)$ -simplices is not a computational difficulty: all integrals in the formulation (6) are defined on mesh faces, which are n -simplices, thus no quadrature on complicated shapes needs to be performed. This is due to the Trefftz property, so this advantage is not available to discretisations employing standard (non-Trefftz) local spaces.




Figure 1: An example of a mesh in one space dimension ($n = 1$) satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 5. All internal mesh faces are space-like. Not all mesh elements are 2-simplices (triangles), but the faces are 1-simplices (segments), so all integrals in (6) are easy to compute. For this mesh, the parameter N in the proof of Proposition 5 is equal to 16.

6 Polynomial Trefftz spaces

So far we have not specified any discrete space $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$: the only condition we imposed is the Trefftz property, i.e. $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h) \subset \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$. Given $p \in \mathbb{N}$, a simple choice is to define $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h) := \prod_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \mathbf{V}_p(K)$, where $\mathbf{V}_p(K) := \mathbf{T}(K) \cap \mathbb{P}^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^{1+n}$ is the space of the solutions (w, τ) of the wave equation in K that are polynomials of degree at most p .

For high polynomial degree p , the Trefftz space $\mathbf{V}_p(K)$ has much lower dimension than the space-time, vector-valued, full

polynomial space $\mathbb{P}^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^{1+n}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathbf{V}_p(K) &= \binom{p+n}{n} \frac{2p+n+2}{p+1} - 1 = \mathcal{O}_{p \rightarrow \infty}(p^n), \\
\dim \mathbb{P}^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^{1+n} &= \binom{p+n}{n} (p+n+1) = \mathcal{O}_{p \rightarrow \infty}(p^{n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

In dimension $n = 1$, it has been proved in [5, §5.3] that these two spaces have the same orders of approximation in the mesh-width $h_K := \text{diam } K$ and in the polynomial degree p . This is true both for solutions with bounded Sobolev regularity (algebraic orders in h_K and p) and for analytic solutions (exponential orders in p). Thus the order of convergence in terms of numbers of degrees of freedom can be considerably higher for the Trefftz-DG method compared to similar DG schemes based on standard spaces (see e.g. [5, Fig. 2]). Similar results have been observed in higher dimensions [1, 10], but the proof of the orders of convergence is open.

A basis of $\mathbf{V}_p(K)$ can be constructed using “transport polynomials”, or “polynomial waves”, in the form $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{\ell,j}, -\nabla P_{\ell,j})$ with $P_{\ell,j}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{d}_j - ct)^\ell$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq p$, where the propagation directions $\mathbf{d}_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\mathbf{d}_j| = 1$, are suitably chosen. Non-polynomial Trefftz basis functions can easily be constructed, for example, from $f(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{d}_j - ct)$ for any smooth function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

References

- [1] H. Egger, F. Kretzschmar, S. M. Schnepf, and T. Weiland, *A space-time discontinuous Galerkin Trefftz method for time dependent Maxwell’s equations*. ArXiv preprint, arXiv:1412.2637, (2014).
- [2] J. Erickson, D. Guoy, J. Sullivan, and A. Üngör, *Building spacetime meshes over arbitrary spatial domains*. Eng. Comput. **20** (2005), 342–353.
- [3] R. Falk, and G. R. Richter, *Explicit finite element methods for symmetric hyperbolic equations*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **36** (1999), 935–952.
- [4] R. Hiptmair, A. Moiola, and I. Perugia, *Plane wave discontinuous Galerkin methods for the 2D Helmholtz equation: analysis of the p-version*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **49** (2011), 264–284.
- [5] F. Kretzschmar, A. Moiola, I. Perugia, and S. Schnepf, *A priori error analysis of space-time Trefftz discontinuous Galerkin methods for wave problems*. ArXiv preprint, arXiv:1501.05253v2, (2015).
- [6] F. Kretzschmar, S. M. Schnepf, I. Tsukerman, and T. Weiland, *Discontinuous Galerkin methods with Trefftz approximations*. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **270** (2014), 211–222.
- [7] P. Monk and G. R. Richter, *A discontinuous Galerkin method for linear symmetric hyperbolic systems in inhomogeneous media*. J. Sci. Comput., **22/23** (2005), 443–477.
- [8] P. Monk and D. Wang, *A least squares method for the Helmholtz equation*. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. **175** (1999), 121–136.
- [9] S. Petersen, C. Farhat, and R. Tezaur, *A space-time discontinuous Galerkin method for the solution of the wave equation in the time domain*. Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg. **78** (2009), 275–295.
- [10] D. Wang, R. Tezaur, and C. Farhat, *A hybrid discontinuous in space and time Galerkin method for wave propagation problems*. Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg. **99** (2014), 263–289.