

Natural Dynamics for Combinatorial Optimization

Igor V. Ovchinnikov and Kang L. Wang¹

*Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California at Los Angeles,
Los Angeles, CA, 90095-1594*

Abstract

Stochastic and/or natural dynamical systems (DS's) are dominated by sudden nonlinear processes such as neuroavalanches, gamma-ray bursts, solar flares, earthquakes *etc.* that exhibit scale-free statistics. These behaviors also occur in many nanosystems. On phase diagrams, these DS's belong to a finite-width phase that separates the phases of thermodynamic equilibrium and "ordinary" chaotic dynamics, and that is known under such names as intermittency, noise-induced chaos, and self-organized criticality. Within the recently formulated approximation-free cohomological theory of stochastic differential equations, the noise-induced chaos can be roughly interpreted as a noise-induced "overlap" between regular (integrable) and chaotic (non-integrable) deterministic dynamics so that DS's in this phase inherit the properties of the both. Here, we analyze this unique set of properties and conclude that such DS's must be the most efficient natural optimizers. Based on this understanding, we propose the method of the natural dynamical optimization using DS's at the phase of the noise-induced chaos. This method can be viewed as a hybrid of the simulated and chaotic annealing methods. Our proposition can result in a new generation of (hardware) devices for the efficient solution of various search and/or combinatorial optimization problems.

I. Introduction

Many important problems in modern information science including supervised machine learning and/or image recognition, internet traffic control, power grid optimization, and weather forecast, can be reformulated in many cases as combinatorial optimization problems (COP's). The COP is the problem of finding the best solution among a (large) countable set of possible solutions and a typical example of COP is the milestone problem of traveling salesmen.

In the most general case when there is no any simplifying structure in the problem, only the brute force method known as the "exhaustive search" can guarantee that the best solution is found: one has to check all the possible solutions and see which one is the best. If the set of possible

¹ Email: wang@seas.ucla.edu

solutions is very large, however, the straightforward exhaustive search can be very demanding computationally.

In applications, however, a simplified version of the COP is more practical. This version is to find a "good enough" solution within a limited time allotted for the search rather than the best solution possible. Within this formulation of the COP, various algorithms can be advantageous over the exhaustive search. One of the most successful algorithms of this type is the family of the annealing techniques including the methods of the simulated or thermal annealing (TA) [1] and chaotic annealing (CA) [2, 3].

From the point of view of the theory of stochastic dynamical systems (DS's), the TA and CA algorithms correspond respectively to the stochastic (Langevin/purely dissipative) dynamics and deterministic chaotic dynamics. [4, 5] These two types of dynamical behavior (stochastic Langevin and deterministic chaos) are well understood theoretically by now. This is probably the reason why the TA and CA methods are the two major types of annealing in the Literature.

As to the more general types of stochastic dynamics, its use for the optimization purposes has not been investigated in sufficient details yet. One of the reasons for it is that there was no reliable theory of stochastic DS's that would allow for the treatment of chaotic and noise-induced effects on the same footing.

Such theory has been worked out very recently. [6-8] This theory is essentially an approximation-free and coordinate-free theory of stochastic differential equations (SDE's) that can be dubbed the cohomological theory (ChT) of SDE's (ChT-SDE). Among other important results offered by the ChT-SDE is the deeper theoretical understanding of the interplay between external noise and non-integrability of the flow vector fields pertinent to deterministic chaotic behavior. More specifically, the ChT-SDE clarified the theoretical essence of the stochastic dynamical phase known under various names such as noise-induced chaos, [9] self-organized criticality, [10] and intermittency (or preferable as itinerancy by us). [11, 12] As is illustrated in Fig. 1, in noiseless or




Fig.1: In the weak noise regime, the general phase diagram of stochastic DSs consists of three phases: the thermodynamic equilibrium phase (T) with unbroken topological supersymmetry, the I-phase with the topological supersymmetry broken by the noise-induced tunneling processes, and the ordinary chaotic phase (C) with the topological supersymmetry broken by non-integrability of the flow vector field. In the deterministic limit, the I-phase collapses/shrinks into the boundary between T- and C- phases.

deterministic case, a DS can be in either integrable or non-integrable (chaotic) phases, whereas with the introduction of (weak) noise the intermittent (or itinerant)² phase (I-phase) shows up. Such natural phenomena as neurodynamical avalanches, [13-16] earthquakes, [17, 18], sudden reconfiguration in glasses [19] and biological [20] and celestial [21] evolutions, solar flares, gamma-ray bursts and many other nonlinear processes in the Universe [22] are believed to be the signature of the I-phase dynamical behavior.

The fact that biological evolution is in its I-phase [23] naturally points on the possibility that I-phase DS's are efficient optimizers. In this line of thinking, it was numerically demonstrated that this is indeed so. [24] However, there was no theory of the I-phase dynamical behavior and it was not known why the I-phase optimization could be advantageous. This idea can now be supported within the ChT-SDE picture of the I-phase dynamics as we do in this paper.

As we will discuss in this paper, the I-phase can be looked upon as a noise-induced overlap between chaotic (non-integrable) and regular (integrable) deterministic dynamics. As a result, this phase inherits the properties of the both. Our analysis points onto the possibility that this unique set of properties includes those that are advantageous for the purposes of the efficient solution of COP problems and is free from those that can be viewed as drawbacks. In other words, DS's in I-phase are naturally the best optimizers. Based on this understanding, we propose a new method that can be called the I-phase optimization (IA) and/or searching. The IA can be identified as a hybrid of the TA and CA methods mentioned above. We argue that the methodology of the IA can form a core for the new generation of the efficient hardware optimizers.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the general phase diagram of stochastic DS's from the ChT-SDE theory is briefly outlined. In Sec. III, the ChT-SDE is used to analyze the TA and CA methods and qualitatively discuss their advantages and drawbacks for the purposes of optimization. In Sec. IV, the possibility of using the I-phase DS's for the purposes of optimization, searching, and information processing is considered and it is argued that this approach has the advantages over both the TA and CA methods and is free of their drawbacks. A couple of examples will also be given to illustrate the utility of the IA method. Sec. V concludes the paper.

² The I-phase can also be identified as the phase of itinerant dynamics for the reason discussed later in Sec. IV.

II. General Phase Diagram of Stochastic Dynamics from the Cohomological Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations

a. De Rahm Supersymmetry of Stochastic Differential Equations

The recently proposed ChT-SDE [6-8] revealed the mathematical origin of the ubiquitous chaotic long-range order (CLRO) that reveals itself through such well-established natural phenomena as the $1/f$ noise or the long-term memory effect, the butterfly effect of chaotic dynamics, and the scale-free statistics of avalanche-type processes (see Fig. 2c). The key finding, that led to the formulation of the ChT-SDE, is that without approximations all SDE's³ possess topological or De Rahm supersymmetry (d-symmetry) and the spontaneous breakdown of d-symmetry is the field-theoretic essence of the concept of deterministic chaos or rather of its stochastic generalization also known as dynamical complexity. Consequently, the CLRO is merely consequence of this spontaneous d-symmetry breaking within the lines of emergence of scale-free behavior according to the Goldstone theorem. This theory offers for the first time a theoretical picture and qualitative differences between the three major phases of (weak-noise) stochastic dynamics: the thermodynamics equilibrium, itinerancy, ordinary chaos. Later, we will use this newly obtained understanding for analysis of the various dynamical optimization techniques. For this purpose, let us briefly outline here the key elements of this theory.

The ChT-SDE applies to all SDE. For the sake of brevity, we will consider only the following general class of SDEs, to which most of the models in the Literature belong:

$$\dot{x}^i(t) = F^i(x(t)) + (2T)^{1/2} e_a^i(x(t)) \xi^a(t). \quad (1)$$

Here, x 's are DS variables from its phase space, X ; F is the flow vector field representing the laws of the deterministic temporal evolution; $\xi^a(t)$'s are the Gaussian white noise variables (generalizations to any noise is also possible) and e 's from the mathematical point of view are the vielbeins that define the noise-induced metric on X , $g^{ij}(x) = e_a^i(x) e_a^j(x)$. In the literature, the position-dependent/independent vielbeins are called multiplicative/additive noise. Parameter, T , is the temperature or intensity of the noise.

³ The same is true for all ODE's that are merely deterministic limit of SDEs.

The stochastic evolution of $\varphi(t)$'s is probabilistic. It can be equivalently formulated as the temporal evolution of generalized probability distributions (GPDs). Without approximations, Eq.(1) corresponds to the following (generalized) Fokker-Planck equation,

$$\partial_t \psi(t) = -\hat{H}\psi(t),$$

where the wavefunction is the GPD that from mathematical point of view is an element of the (complex-valued) exterior algebra of the phase space, and the (generalized) FP operator is,

$$\hat{H} = [\hat{d}, \hat{d}]_+,$$

where $\hat{d} = \hat{i}_F - \hat{i}_{e_a} \hat{L}_{e_a}$ is recognized sometimes as current operator, \hat{i}_F is the interior multiplication, and \hat{d} is the nilpotent ($\hat{d}^2 = 0$) exterior derivative or De Rahm operator. The De Rahm operator is commutative with the FP evolution operator so that it is a (super-)symmetry of the model.

This symmetry divides all eigenstates into d-symmetric “singlets” non-trivial in De Rahm cohomology, $\hat{d}|\theta\rangle = 0$, at this $|\theta\rangle \neq \hat{d}|something\rangle$, and non-d-symmetric doublets/pairs trivial in De Rahm cohomology, $|\mathcal{G}\rangle$ and $|\mathcal{G}'\rangle = \hat{d}|\mathcal{G}\rangle$.

The eigensystem of the FP operator has the following properties: (i) all d-symmetric states have zero-eigenvalues (light dots of Fig. 2a); (ii) due to spectral theorems of the DS theory, the ground state's eigenvalue is real (black dots of Fig. 2a). (iii) For physically meaningful models – the only ones we consider here - there is always at least one d-symmetric eigenstate representing the steady-state total probability distribution. This eigenstate is the state of the thermodynamic equilibrium (TE), following the Boltzmann's distribution,

$$\psi_{TE} = e^{-L(x)T} dV, \quad (1)$$

where dV is the volume element on X and function $L(x)$ can be identified as the stochastic generalization of the concept of the Lyapunov function.

The above properties limit possible FP spectra to only two qualitatively different forms (Fig.2a) with the unbroken (top) and the spontaneously broken (bottom) d-symmetry. The TE-state is the ground state for unbroken d-symmetry so that only these models can be recognized as models at their TE.

One of the two fundamental “partition-function-like” objects (the other one is the Witten index) is the physical partition function and/or the trace of the finite-time FP evolution operator. In

models with spontaneously broken d-symmetry, this partition function grows exponentially in the long time limit:⁴

$$Z(t) = \text{Tr} e^{-i\hat{H}t} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 2e^{+\Gamma_g t}, \quad (2)$$

in which, the eigenvalues are negative, and $|\Gamma_g|$ is the absolute value of the ground state's eigenvalue. In the DS theory, this parameter is known as “pressure” and it is related to such concepts of the modern DS theory as topological entropy. [25].

It can be shown that (for a wide class of models) the partition function in the large time limit⁵ represents the stochastically averaged number of periodic solutions of the SDE. The exponential growth of this characteristic is a definitive feature of deterministic chaos [26]. This exponential growth is also the reason why dynamical chaos is often called dynamical complexity, with the later name being borrowed from information theory




Fig.2: (a) Two types of Fokker-Planck spectra: with unbroken (top, thermodynamic equilibrium) and spontaneously broken (bottom, chaotic) d-symmetry. Black dots represent ground states. Light gray dot at the origin in the lower graph represents the state of thermodynamic equilibrium, which is not among the ground states anymore. (b) General phase diagram of a stochastic DS in temperature-parameters space. Thermodynamic equilibrium (T) phase has unbroken d-symmetry; the phase of “ordinary” chaos (C) has d-symmetry broken; the I-phase or noise-induced chaotic phase has d-symmetry broken by the condensation of noise-induced tunneling processes (neuroavalanches in case of brain). In the no noise limit, the I-phase collapses into the boundary between the C- and T- phases. At higher temperatures, the sharp boundary between the I- and C- phases smears out into a crossover and the two phases merge into a d-broken phase of strong-coupling chaos (X). The thermal annealing (TA) and chaotic annealing (CA) methods correspond respectively to the high-temperature T- phase and the zero temperature C-phase. The single-run regime is realized as the “in-and-out” manipulation of parameters (double-arrows). The I-phase phase processing or annealing (IA) method has both features of non-zero temperature and the long-range chaotic order. Horizontal blue dashed thick line is the fixed and low temperature cross-section in Fig.1. (c) The three low-temperature phases exhibit different statistics of (the masses of) avalanches (M): I-phase, a power law; C- and T-phases, a bump and a cut-off, respectively, on the large-avalanche side. (d) Major difference between dynamics in the T-phase (left) and the I-phase (right) is that the chaotic long-range order of the I-phase reveals itself in revisiting attractors. This must speed up the solution search for the IA as compared to the TA method. At the same time, unlike the CA method, the IA has well-defined attractors that can be associated with possible solutions.

⁴ Factor 2 comes from the double degeneracy of the non-d-symmetric ground state.

⁵ This is true in particular for the class of deterministic models with non-zero Lyapunov exponents.

where a problem is called complex when the number of interactions needed for solution of this problem grows exponentially with the size of the problem.

Eq. (2) is generalization of the concept of complexity to stochastic SDEs. Thus it is the proof that spontaneous d-symmetry breaking is the mathematical definition of the stochastic generalization of the concept of deterministic chaos.

b. General Phase Diagram

The ChT-SDE allows for one step further in understanding of the qualitatively different types of stochastic dynamics. A refined classification of stochastic DS beyond broken/unbroken d-symmetry follows from the analysis of the possible ways the d-symmetry can be broken (Fig.2b). In the deterministic limit, the classification is trivial, that is, we have integrable vs. non-integrable (or chaotic) flow vector fields; however in the presence of noise, another mechanism shows up. This mechanism is the noise-induced tunneling between different attractors⁶. This mechanism is known in High-Energy Physics as the condensation of (anti-)instantons, which is a technical term for the tunneling processes between different “perturbative” vacua. Normally supersymmetries are hard to break due to what is known as non-renormalization or no-anomaly theorems [27]; but (anti-)instanton condensation is the most reliable mechanism for spontaneous breakdown of a supersymmetry. [28] An example of this is avalanches from tunneling.

Avalanches (such as neuroavalanches in brain) are an example of these noise-induced tunneling processes. The phase with d-symmetry broken by the noise-induced tunneling processes is the I-phase. In hydrodynamics, the I-phase is called intermittent turbulence that precedes the “fully developed turbulence” (ordinary chaos in our case) and that can be characterized as mostly regular dynamics interrupted by sporadic (itinerant) bursts of irregular behavior. In general case, for an external observer, the dynamics in the I-phase looks like a sequence of unpredictable tunneling events (avalanches).

It is understood that in the deterministic limit (no noise), when the noise-induced tunneling disappears, the I-phase must collapse onto the boundary between the phase of thermodynamic equilibrium (T-phase) and that of the ordinary chaotic phase with non-integrable or chaotic flow vector fields (C-phase) (see Fig. 1).

⁶ More generally, this is the tunneling between different global unstable manifolds that represent the global ground states of deterministic DS with the integrable flow vector field.

A less important point for our purposes is the opposite limit of high temperatures. In this case, the “perturbative” ground states on different attractors of the integrable flow-vector field overlap too much so that an external observer will not be able to tell one tunneling process from another. As a result, the sharp boundary between the I- and C-phases must smear out into a crossover and the two phases must merge into a single phase that can be identified as strong-coupling chaos (X-phase). Finally, at even higher temperatures, the d-symmetry must eventually be restored because the FP operator will be dominated by the diffusion (the Laplacian term), which alone does not break the d-symmetry as it has a real and non-negative spectrum.

An important piece of understanding is that because the d-symmetry is broken in the I-phase by the tunneling processes, these processes must exhibit signatures of the emergent chaotic long-range order. One of these signatures is the well-established scale-free or power-law statistics of various characteristics of tunneling events such as statistics of their masses (Fig.2c).

III. Chaotic and Thermal Annealing Methods

In this Section, we would like to discuss the TA and CA methods from the point of view of the ChT-SDE introduced above. This will allow us to analyze the advantages and drawback of these two methods and address the question of the feasibility of the implementation of the annealing technique using the I-phase DS’s.

a. Thermal Annealing: Thermodynamic Equilibrium

TA is the most celebrated method of optimization in the Literature. For example, the D-wave machine [29] that was originally designed for quantum computing, has been utilized so far only as an implementation of the TA methodology.

The essence of the TA [1] is the numerical or experimental realization of a Langevin SDE. This class of SDE is featured by flow vector fields that are gradients of some Langevin function. In most examples in the Literature, the phase space is \mathbb{R}^n , the metric is Euclidian so that the veilbeins in Eq.(1) are trivial, $e_a^i = \delta_a^i$, and the flow vector field is, $F_L^i(x) = -\delta^{ij} \partial_j E(x)$, where the Langevin function, $E(x)$, is highly nonlinear with multiple local minima that can be associated with the set of possible solutions of the COP at hand.

The common operation of the TA algorithm can be described as a “single run” method - each run provides one candidate solution. The procedure is as follows (see Fig.2b, two arrows in and out of high temperature regime). One brings the DS's to the state of sufficiently high temperature. Qualitatively, the stochastic dynamics is mostly around minima with sporadic jumps between different local minima of the Langevin function (outside of the mushroom of Fig. 2). Effectively, the DS in this phase generates a sequence of candidate solutions of an COP associated with these minima. From the point of view of the ChT-SDE (see, Sec. II.a), the d-symmetry is never broken for physically meaningful Langevin SDE's. [8] The TE state is (one of) the ground states of the DS with the Langevin potential $E(x)$ playing the role of the Lyapunov function in Eq.(2):

$$\psi_{TE} = e^{-E(x)/T} dV .$$

After the DS has thermalized to its TE state, the temperature is gradually reduced to zero. At this, the TE state is being adiabatically changed into a δ -function-like distribution, $\psi_{TE}(x)|_{T \rightarrow 0} \propto \delta(x - x_{\text{glb}})dV$, where x_{glb} is the position of the global minimum of $E(x)$. The reduction of the temperature must be sufficiently slow in order to assure that the DS adiabatically remains in its TE state during the cooling process. The slower the cooling is, the likelier it is that the DS will end up in the true global minimum of $E(x)$ and not in one of its multiple local minima.

The TA method can be also employed for the continuous-time search. Instead of moving the DS in and out of the high temperature regime, one can just let the DS constantly be at sufficiently high temperature. The dynamics of the DS is a sequence of jumps between the local minima of the Langevin function. In this manner, the DS is essentially a generator of a sequence of candidate solutions, which may be read out. This sequence is monitored in the real time and when a better solution has been generated, it is updated continuously until a good enough solution is obtained.

b. Chaotic Annealing: Deterministic Chaos

As is suggested by its name, the CA method [2, 3] is based on the properties of chaotic dynamics. Unlike the TA method, the CA method is deterministic. To be more specific, one considers a deterministic DS ($T \rightarrow 0$) with a one-parameter flow vector field in Eq.(1):

$$F_{\eta}(x) = \eta F_L(x) + (1 - \eta) F_C(x),$$

where $F_L^i(x) = -\delta^{ij} \partial_j E(x)$ is a dissipative/Langevin part as in the TA method, $F_C(x)$ is some chaotic flow vector field, and η is the (homotopy) parameter connecting $F_L(x)$ and $F_C(x)$. Clearly, there is least one η_C , such that $0 < \eta_C < 1$, at which the transition from the integrable to chaotic (non-integrable) deterministic behavior occurs.

The idea now is to employ the intrinsic itinerancy of chaotic behavior for the search of the best solution among all the local minima of the Langevin potential, $E(x)$. One can try to implement the single run methodology of the TA algorithm as follows (see Fig.2b, double red arrows): one moves the DS into the chaotic regime at some, $\eta > \eta_C$, allows the DS to be chaotic for some long enough time, and then gradually moves the DS out of the C-phase into the T-phase, where the DS's settles into one of the local minima of $E(x)$. Now, it may seem that the so-found solution is a good candidate for the global minimum of $E(x)$.

This, however, is not correct. From the solution search point of view, the external noise of the TA method and the chaoticity of the CA method may look alike in making the DS itinerant enough in the phase space so that it could visit many candidate solutions/regions. But the CA has no attractor and thus does not stop. Thus the CA method is often discussed in the context of the "continuous-time search regime": it is often said that chaotic DS "visits" good candidate solutions in the state of being chaotic and the solution is not settled.

Furthermore, the solution provided by a single run TA procedure is "almost" independent of the initial condition and on how in the processes of search for the global minimum one manipulates the temperature parameter (provided it is sufficiently slow). In other words, the TE state is memoryless, which forgets where the DS's began its search and how one manipulated the temperature parameter. On the contrary, the chaotic dynamics of the CA has the ultimate infinitely long memory of the initial conditions and/or perturbations (the butterfly effect). This infinite memory is opposed to the memoryless TE. The solution provided by a single run CA procedure is highly dependent not only on the initial condition, but also on the way one manipulates parameter, η . Clearly, a solution that depends strongly on the initial condition and on the behavior of the "driver" cannot be reliable.

As we discuss in the next sections, in the itinerant search regime, the sensitivity to initial conditions of the chaotic dynamics that makes the single-run CA unreliable, actually becomes an advantage, though for a very different reason.

c. Comparison of Thermal and Chaotic Annealing Methods

In this subsection, we would like to compare the TA and CA methods in the regime of continuous-time search for optimal solution. In fact, the continuous time search is what we are aiming at in this paper for the I-phase annealing. The advantages and drawbacks of the TA and CA techniques are discussed next.

An obvious advantage of the TA method is the existence of the set of local minima (attractors) that can be directly associated with the set of possible solutions of the COP. The noise induced dynamics in the TA method provides a sequence of candidate solutions. In contrast, the chaotic dynamics of the CA method does not have local minima or, more generally, well-defined attractors that could be associated with the set of solutions. Chaotic dynamics is continuous and unlike the dynamics in TA method, that spends most of its time around local minima, but chaotic dynamics never stops.⁷

At the same time, chaotic dynamics has intrinsic advantages. First, "chaotic" is antonym for "periodic" - chaotic trajectory never crosses itself. This property is one way to understand the essence of the butterfly effect: the DS "remembers" all the points it has previously been to and avoids revisiting them. This property must facilitate the search for the best solution. The TA method, on the other hand, does not possess the memory of its past, unlike chaotic dynamics. In other words, the generation of the sequence of solutions in the TA is effectively "diffusive" in the phase space. As a result, the dynamics of the DS will not make effort to avoid solutions that have been already visited/generated.

Another valuable property of chaos is due to "topological mixing" – the property of deterministic chaotic dynamics that any two regions in the phase space are connected by a trajectory. This implies that the phase space region corresponding to the best solution of the COP will be eventually found by the chaotic search no matter where the search has begun. The TA method does not have this property. Unlike in the chaotic search, the noise-induced Langevin search is carried out not in a "directional" manner but rather in a diffusive, randomly directed way. As a result, it may take longer for the TA to generate a "good enough" solution.

⁷ This problem can be overcome by separating the phase space into regions that are associated with different solutions of the COP at hand. This approach may not be easy to implement physically, however.

To finalize the discussion in this section, it would be desirable to have a method, which has advantages of both the TA and the CA methods. As we discuss in the next section, the properties of the I-phase DS that follow from our previous discussion with the ChT-SDE do allow for the realization of such an annealing method.

IV. I-Phase Dynamical Search

As we already mentioned before, the I-phase dynamics has been studied mostly numerically in different areas of science. a consistent theory of the I-phase dynamics did not exist yet for any class of models. The ChT-SDE in combination with the methodology of the low-energy effective action provides a firm basis for the construction of such a theory, which will certainly be very model-specific. In this paper, however, we do not need and will not discuss the specifics.

The qualitative understanding of the essence of the I-phase dynamics provided by the ChT-SDE so far suffices to recognize that DS's in their I-phase must be the most efficient natural optimizers. Dynamical optimization technique using DS in their I-phase will have the advantages of the both the TA and the CA methods and at the same time it will circumvent their shortcomings. Specifically, on one hand, just like the DS's in the T-phase, but unlike those in the C-phase, the DSs in the I-phase have well-defined attractors because the flow vector field is integrable. These attractors can be directly associated with the set of possible solutions of the COP. On the other hand, unlike the DS's at the T-phase but just like the DS's at the C-phase, the I-phase DS's have their d-symmetry spontaneously broken so that they must possess the properties of chaotic behavior. Consequently, they must possess the advantages associated with the presence of the chaotic long-range order discussed in the previous section. More specifically, the property of the chaotic "non-periodicity" must most likely transform in the stochastic case into the I-phase to avoid revisiting its attractors/solutions. This is schematically exemplified in Fig. 2d.

Note that the above claims made about the properties of DSs at I-phase would not be possible without the understanding of the fact that I-phase dynamical behavior is a subclass of stochastic chaos. In other words, only within the ChT-SDE picture discussed briefly in Sec. II that it is possible to see that the (continuous-time) annealing using DS's at I-phase must possess the advantages and not the drawbacks of the TA and CA methods. Prior to the formulation of the ChT-SDE, these claims would not be possible.

V. I-Phase Dynamical Optimization Unit

Based on the above understanding, we conceive an implementation of the I-phase dynamical optimization as illustrated in Fig. 3a. Let us refer to this implementation to as I-phase Optimization Unit (IOU). An IOU consists of two major interacting parts: the I-phase Dynamical Solution Generator (i-DSG) and the software part. The i-DSG is the heart of the device. It is a physical DS in its I-phase. We will speak of the functionality of i-DSG shortly. Let us first discuss briefly its physical implementation.

Clearly, there are abundant nanoscale engineered DS's, which can be used as an i-DSG. Examples of i-DSG's may include those of coupled oscillators which may be fabricated from CMOS circuits, spin torque oscillators and other nanoscale dynamic elements. A lattice of the carefully designed coupled ferromagnetic nano-disks brought into its I-phase by an externally controlled electric current may also be used as an i-DSG.

One type of dynamics of an i-DSG is a sequence of "patterns" or matrices of zero and ones realized as up and down spins in a ferromagnetic lattice. Each pattern change is an avalanche that can be characterized by its mass, i.e., the number of units that switched. The location of the I-phase on the space of the externally controlled




Fig. 3a. (Upper graph) The heart of the IOU is the I-phase dynamical solution generator (i-DSG), which continuously generates candidate solutions for the combinatorial optimization problem at hand, implemented as the cost function in the software part of the device. The output of the IOU is the best solution found so far. **b. (Lower graph)** Depending on either the new solution is better/worse than the previous solution, the software part shifts the control parameter of the i-DSG toward the C-phase or T-phase, respectively. The control parameter makes the solution generator more or less chaotic depending on either the new solution is better or worse than the previous solution. The goal of this control is to allow the i-DSG to explore the phase space (nearby solutions) more carefully if the new solution is an improvement. On the contrary, if the new solution is a worsening, the control parameter makes the IDS travel faster through this region of the phase space.

parameters, e.g., the driving electric current through the device, must be established at the calibration level using the scale-free avalanche statistics criterion illustrated in Fig.2c.

This sequence of patterns is read out by the second module of the IOU – the software part of the device. In case when the i-DSG is implemented as an array of magnetic elements, the read out can be experimentally realized via magneto-optic Kerr effect (MOKE) microscope, which transfers a magnetic configuration of array into an optical image.

a. Patterns as Solutions

The software part of the IOU interprets patterns from i-DSG as a sequence of candidate solutions for the COP at hand implemented on the software level. Here is an example how 2D patterns or rather matrices of zeros and ones can be interpreted as candidate solutions of a traveling salesman problem (TSP) – a textbook example of COPs. The TSP asks the following question: given a set of cities and the pair-wise distances between them, what is the closed path that visits each city exactly once and has the least possible distance? There are $(N-1)!$ different closed paths obtained by all possible permutations of cities in the closed path $123\dots N-1$. The cost function for each path $p(12\dots N-1)$ is the total distance traveled: $C(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} d_{p(i)p(i+1)}$, where d's are the pair-wise distances between the cities. Now, for each pattern from the i-DSG, or for any $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ matrix of zeros and ones, one can construct a set of $N-1$ numbers that are the sums of columns (or rows) of this matrix: $M_{ij} \rightarrow R_i = \sum_j M_{ij}$. The candidate solution to the TSP is the permutation of these $N-1$ numbers that renders them in ascending or descending order $p(R_i) \rightarrow R_{p(1)} < \dots < R_{p(N-1)}$. The cost function, $C(p)$, is then minimized.

In fact, the correspondence law between 2D patterns and the set of candidate solutions can be devised for any other COP. After all, a 2D pattern is just a (long) binary number and any set of objects like candidate solutions for a given COP can be embedded into the space of all possible patterns, provided that the number of elements in the i-SDG is large enough. Such pattern-solution correspondence law is of cause COP-specific. For example, for a problem of image recognition on a neuronal network, the patterns of the i-SDG represent the neuron connection strengths and the cost function is the error of recognition of a set of given images.

b. Itinerant Operation of IOU

Now, after the relation between the patterns of the i-DSG and a candidate solution of a COP at hand is settled, we can proceed with the discussion established (Fig.3b). Once a new candidate solution is generated by i-DSG and received by the software part, the cost function of the new solution is evaluated. The software part compares the new cost function with that of the previous solution and that of the best solution found so far. The best solution found so far is updated accordingly if the new solution turns out to be better. Depending on either the new solution is better/worse than the previous solution, the software part shifts the control parameter (e.g., electrical current or applied bias) of i-DSG toward the C-phase or T-phase, respectively. The control parameter makes the i-DSG more or less chaotic allowing the solution generator to explore the phase space, or rather nearby solutions, more thoroughly if the new solution is an improvement. On the contrary, if the new solution is worse, the control parameter makes the i-DSG go more towards the c-phase.

Finally, we would like to point out that in the above description of the operation of the IOU, the i-DSG can be substituted by a T-phase DS, which would act as a TA optimizer operating in a continuous-time regime as discussed at the end of Sec. III..a. Unlike the I-phase dynamics, the T-phase dynamics is not directional in phase space but essentially diffusive, it has no memory of its past dynamical history and thus it would repeat solutions and as a result the solution search would be significantly slower.

A quantitative estimation of the improvement of the I-phase optimization over the conventional T-phase optimization is not available at this moment. Nevertheless, it is reasonable to expect that this improvement must be of the same significance as the improvement in the three-coloring graph problem with the extremal optimization procedure by turning to a power-law distribution of individual cells. [30] There, the local minima of the problem can be efficiently sampled in $O(n^{3.5})$ over the exponential growth in the “non-critical” optimization scheme. In our case, the I-phase with gapless excitations due to spontaneous breakdown of topological supersymmetry must be paralleled with the power-law optimization scheme in the coloring problem above. Therefore, exponential improvement over the conventional T-phase optimization scheme seems reasonable.

V. Conclusion

The recently proposed approximation-free cohomological theory of stochastic differential equations has revealed that on the border of “ordinary” chaotic phase there exist a full-dimensional dynamical phase, that we call the I-phase, with a unique set of dynamical properties. In this paper we argued that this set of properties makes DSs in this phase are naturally the most efficient combinatorial optimizers or search engines. Based on this finding we propose the dynamical optimization method using the I-phase DSs, which can viewed as a hybrid of the chaotic and simulated annealing optimization methods. This method must inherit the advantages and not the drawbacks of the two parental annealing techniques. In particular, it predicts that on one hand the I-phase DSs possess chaotic long-range order just like chaotic DS, which must result in the acceleration of the best solution search. On the other hand, the flow-vector field is integrable and the attractors of the I-phase DSs can be directly associated with the set of possible solutions of the optimization problem at hand just like it is done in thermal annealing method.

We would also like to mention here yet another probably less concrete but not any less important motivation of this paper. The part of the physics community studying stochastic dynamics in brain has been already identified as a DS in the self-organized critical phase or I-phase in our terms. One natural question that immediate arises is whether we can identify the properties of the I-phase DSs that makes it preferable for Nature to make brain one of them. To the best of our knowledge, a convincing answer to this question has not been found yet. Our proposition that I-phase DSs are the best natural optimizers and search engines can be looked upon as the first attempt to answer this question from the mathematical/physical point of view.

The work was supported in part by DARPA. One of us (KLW) would like to acknowledge the endowed support of Raytheon Chair Professorship.

References

1. Kirkpatrick, S., C.D. Gelatt, and M.P. Vecchi, *Optimization by Simulated Annealing*. Science, 1983. **220**(4598): p. 671-680.
2. Chen, L.N. and K. Aihara, *Chaotic simulated annealing by a neuronal-network model with transient chaos*. Neural Networks, 1995. **8**(6): p. 915-930.
3. Tokuda, I., K. Aihara, and T. Nagashima, *Adaptive annealing for chaotic optimization*. Physical Review E, 1998. **58**(4): p. 5157-5160.
4. Motter, A. and D. Campbell, *CHAOS at fifty*. Physics Today, 2014. **66**(5): p. 27-33.
5. Ruelle, D., *Early chaos theory*. Physics Today, 2014. **67**(3): p. 9-+.
6. Ovchinnikov, I.V., *Topological field theory of dynamical systems. II*. Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 2013. **23**(1): p. 013108.
7. Ovchinnikov, I.V., *Transfer Operators and Topological Field Theory*. arXiv:1308.4222v6, 2014.
8. Ovchinnikov, I.V., R.N. Schwartz, and K.L. Wang, *Topological supersymmetry breaking: definition and stochastic generalization of chaos and the limit of applicability of statistics*. arXiv:1404.4076, 2014.
9. Gan, C.B., S.X.i. Yang, and H. Lei, *A kind of noise-induced transition to noisy chaos in stochastically perturbed dynamical system*. Acta Mechanica Sinica, 2012. **28**(5): p. 1416-1423.
10. Bak, P., C. Tang, and K. Wiesenfeld, *Self-organized criticality: An explanation of the $1/f$ noise*. Physical Review Letters, 1987. **59**(4): p. 381-384.
11. Pradas, M., et al., *Noise Induced State Transitions, Intermittency, and Universality in the Noisy Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation*. Physical Review Letters, 2011. **106**(6).
12. Bashkirtseva, I. and L. Ryashko, *Stochastic sensitivity analysis of noise-induced intermittency and transition to chaos in one-dimensional discrete-time systems*. Physica a-Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, 2012. **392**(2): p. 295-306.
13. Beggs, J.M., and Plenz, D., *Neuronal Avalanches Are Diverse and Precise Activity Patterns That Are Stable for Many Hours in Cortical Slice Cultures*. The Journal of Neuroscience, 2004. **24**(22): p. 5216-5229.
14. Beggs, J.M. and D. Plenz, *Neuronal Avalanches in Neocortical Circuits*. The Journal of Neuroscience, 2003. **23**(35): p. 11167-11177.
15. Chialvo, D.R., *Emergent complex neural dynamics*. Nat Phys, 2010. **6**(10): p. 744-750.
16. Levina, A., J.M. Herrmann, and T. Geisel, *Dynamical synapses causing self-organized criticality in neural networks*. Nat Phys, 2007. **3**(12): p. 857-860.
17. Bak, P., et al., *Unified Scaling Law for Earthquakes*. Physical Review Letters, 2002. **88**(17): p. 178501.
18. Gutenberg, B. and C.F. Richter, *Magnitude and Energy of Earthquakes*. Nature, 1955. **176**(4486): p. 795-795.
19. Vollmayr-Lee, K. and E.A. Baker, *Self-organized criticality below the glass transition*. Europhysics Letters, 2006. **76**(6): p. 1130-1136.
20. Gould, S.j. and N. Eldredge, *Punctuated equilibrium comes of age*. Nature, 1993. **366**(6452): p. 223-227.
21. Garrido, P., S. Lovejoy, and D. Schertzer, *Multifractal processes and self-organized criticality in the large-scale structure of the universe*. Physica a-Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, 1996. **225**(3-4): p. 294-311.
22. Aschwanden, M., *The Statistics of Nonlinear Processes in the Universe*. 2011: Springer.
23. Bak, P. and K. Sneppen, *Punctuated equilibrium and criticality in a simple model of evolution*. Phys. Rev. Letts., 1993. **71**: p. 4083.

24. Onody, R.N. and P.A. De Castro, *Self-Organized Criticality, Optimization and Biodiversity*. International Journal of Modern Physics C, 2003. **14**: p. 911.
25. Ruelle, D., *Dynamical Zeta Functions and Transfer Operators*. Notices of AMS, 2002. **49**(8): p. 887-895.
26. Gilmore, R., Lefranc, M., *The Topology of Chaos: Alice in Stretch and Squeezeland*. 2002: Wiley.
27. Seiberg, N., *Naturalness versus supersymmetric non-renormalization theorems*. Physics Letters B, 1993. **318**(3): p. 469-475.
28. Witten, E., *Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry*. Nuclear Physics B, 1981. **188**(3): p. 513-554.
29. Johnson, M.W., et al., *Quantum annealing with manufactured spins*. Nature, 2011. **473**(7346): p. 194-198.
30. Boettcher, S. and A.G. Percus, *Extremal optimization at the phase transition of the three-coloring problem*. Physical Review E, 2004. **69**(6): p. 066703.